

STATUS OF VARIOUS CASES (SUPREME COURT/NATIONAL COMMISSION/HIGH COURT) W/E/F/29.04.2019 to 3.05.2019

Sr. No.	Case No.	Discription	Petitioner/Respondent	Previous Hearing Date	Next Hearing Date	Reply filed or not	Status
							<u>ORDER</u>
							The report from the Architect of Chandigarh Administration has not been received. Be awaited till 23.5.2019. As and when the said report is received, the Registry shall supply copy of the said report to the learned counsel for the parties. List for hearing on 23.5.2019.
1	<u>NC/MA NO.525/2017</u>	The abovementioned matter was listed before the Hon'ble National Commission (Court No. 2; Item No. 59 , Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice V K Jain, Presiding Member) on 31.10.2018. As Chandigarh Housing instructed, further time was sought for filing affidavit. The Hon'ble commission was granted 2 Board, Chandigarh Vs weeks' time as last opportunity for filing the reply of the affidavit subject to payment of cost of Jeevan Adhaar Rs.10,000/- Please send Demand Draft in the name of Registrar, National Consumer Disputes Cooperative House Redressal Commission, New Delhi, Payable at New Delhi for Rs.10,000/-. Next date of hearing Building Society Ltd. fixed in the matter is 16.11.2018.		30 Apr 2019	23.05.2019	No need being case filed by CHB	
2	<u>CWP/8316/2018</u>	Civil Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for asking the respondents No.5 to 9 to show cause as to how and why they have completely ignored the principles of law laid down with regard to the correct legal process for the issuance of notices by CHB respondent No. 5 to 9 for issuance of notices for eviction/resumption/cancellation in complete disregard of the rules and regulation laid down under "The capital of Punjab (Development and Regulation) Act. 1952" of section 15 i.e. Annexure P-1/2 and fully confirmed and reaffirmed by this Hon'ble Courts full bench judgement which was decided by the Hon'ble Full Bench of this Hon'ble Court in 1993 reported as AIR 1994 P&H 1 vide Annexure P-17 at page no. of the paper book which clearly lays down in paras 3, 4 and 5 in LPA 371 of 1989 decided on 25.09.1990 as well as in para 12, 13 and 17. For issuance of the writ of mandamus directing the CHB/respondents No.5 to 9 to explain as to who they have created the schemes between the intervening period from 23 March 2010 (Annexure P-4 to 16th March 2018 (Annexure P-5) as the clear-cut directions with regard to need-based changes so allowe4d on 23.03.2010 were given a total disregard to and even the mentioned below like "Tatkal" were brought into effect and even the threat of eviction/resumption/cancellation levy of illegal fines in the form of yearly ground rent from free hold dwelling units in utter disregard of the full bench judgement mentioned above. For issuance of writ of mandamus directing CBI respondent NO.10 to register cases under the prevention of corruption act against all the guilty officials who deliberately knowingly violated the implementation of need based changes so allowed in a committee comprising of the Finance Secretary. Sanjay Kumar IAS and CEO (Chief Executive Officer) of the	Pravasi Bhalai Sangathan Vs Union of India & Others	30 Apr 2019	19 Aug 2019	Yes	In Regular hearing

By Hand
Received
13/5/19
Time:-11:20 Am

Housing Board Sh. Sanjeev Kumar and 2 other federations, this is being prayed for the reason that in the intervening period from 23.03.2010 to 16.03.2018 there was no reason, cause, authority for the Chandigarh Housing Board respondent's no.5 to 9 to deviate from the clear-cut policy and bringing correction creating scheme like "Tatkal" and the notification of 1996 vide Annexure P-1 was hidden from the eyes of the general public as it was deliberately removed from the website of Chandigarh Housing Board and the same could only be obtained by the petitioner with great difficulty as the same is not easily available anywhere. The concealment of the notification of 1996 Annexure P-1 from the official website of Chandigarh Housing Board was done with the ulterior motive of keeping it hidden from the eyes of the general public and the dwelling unit owners as according to the said notification no charges were leviable on the industrial labour houses/economically weaker section houses/tenements (cheap houses)/ and only leviable categories for charges for conversion fee from lease hold to free hold were LIG/MIG/HIG/HIG upper. The creation of a charge of conversion fee 50-55 times over and above the original charges leviable from conversion from leasehold to freehold were also not justifiable as the same were not passed by the parliament of India, which was hidden by the Chandigarh Housing Board respondent No.5 to 9 from general public via notification related to the Chandigarh Conversion of residential leasehold tenure into free hold land tenure rules, 1996. Such a drastic steep charges is completely against the basic spirit under which the Chandigarh Housing Board was originally incorporated by the Government of India. The basic social equality objective was to provide cheap affordable housing to the needy sections of society. The conduct of Chandigarh Housing Board respondent No.5 to 9 through annexure P-7 to P-12 clearly demonstrates that their only intention was to make the Chandigarh Housing Board respondent No. 5 to 9 a money-making machine not for the board but for themselves

3	<u>CWP/19276/2018</u>	Illegal Construction on the roof of DU No. 1072/2, Sector 39-B, Chandigarh	Atamjit Singh Thind Vs UT. Chandigarh & others	01 May 2019	15 May 2019	Yes.	In Regular hearing Affidavit as per court direction has been prepared and to be filed before next date
4	<u>CWP/18430/2018</u>	CWP filed for quashing the impugned charge sheet dated 19.02.206, inquiry report dated 23.11.2016. order of punishment dated 20.02.2017 and order in appeal dated 30.04.2018, passed by the respondents is clearly wrong, illegal, arbitrary, unfair, unreasonable, perverse and violative of statutory rules, against the principles of natural justice and thus hit by Article 14 of the Constitution of India and further direction to respondents to re-instate the petitioner back into service with all consequential benefits.	Surjit Singh, Jr. Tech.. CHB Vs Chairman, Chd. Housing Board	01 May 2019	30 May 2019	Yes	In Regular hearing
5	<u>CWP/4691/2017</u>	Writ petition filed by the petitioners for quashing the "Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling Units to the Oustees of Chandigarh Scheme, 1996" issued by Respondent No.3 i.e. CHB vide which applications have been invited upto 15.03.2017 for allotment of flats to the eligible oustees of U.T., Chandigarh under Self Financing Housing Scheme on lease hold/free hold basis, the same being directly in conflict of the order/judgement dated 05.12.2013 passed by the Hon'ble High-Court in CWP No.14300 of 1996 and connected 46 writ petitions. AND also a writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to allot dwelling units to the petitioner's strictly in compliance of the order/judgement dated 05.12.2013 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in CWP No.14300 of 1996 and connected 46 writ petitions.	Satbir Sing & Ors Vs Chandigarh Administration & Ors. (Oustees Matter)	02 May 2019	30 Sep 2019	Yes	In Regular hearing
6	<u>CWP/14572/2017</u>	Civil Writ petition filed by the petitioners for quashing the advertisement "CHB Oustees Scheme- 2017" qua clause No.1 that the said scheme is the last and final opportunity to such oustees of U.T., Chandigarh for allotment of flats/dwelling units and that no claim of oustees whatsoever shall be	GOPAL SINGH & ORS Vs CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION	02 May 2019	26 Aug 2019	Yes	In Regular hearing

entertained thereafter. whereas there were 643 families (at the time of acquisition), whose AND ORS constructed houses were acquired as part of abadi deh land, during the acquisition of eleven villages for development of Phase-2 of the city of Chandigarh and besides there were families whose land was acquired during the Phase-2 of acquisition. And for directing the respondents to allow all the families of the legal heirs of the oustees to be considered as eligible candidate for the said scheme. Further for directing the respondents to allot flats/dwelling units to the petitioners/LR's of the oustees at per 1965 rates and to frame a comprehensive scheme for rehabilitation of oustees of Chandigarh (Phase-II)/petitioners within a specific time before issuing any other Housing Scheme and for directing the respondents to reserve/allot plots/dwelling units to such oustees/petitioners whose villages i.e. houses/land were acquired for development of Chandigarh at the prices prevailing at the time of acquisition of villages.

CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing the impugned order dated 07.11.2017 issued by the Accounts Officer, Chandigarh Housing Board, Respondent No.3 rejecting the application Form No.1101 of the petitioner by the Property Allotment Committee Respondent No.4 for MIG(Two Bed Rooms) Flats under the "263 Flats for oustees of U.T., Chandigarh on lease hold basis/free hold basis under the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling units of the Ousteas of Chandigarh, Scheme 1996" and writ of mandamus directing the respondent No.3 & 4 to allot the MIG (Two Bed Rooms) Flats, in Sector 51-A, Chandigarh as action of the of Respondent No.3 & 4 rejecting the application Form No.1101 of the petitioner is illegal, null and void, discriminatory and against the scheme and pass any other direction or order as this Hon'ble Court may deemed fit in the circumstances of the case.. Also it is further prayed that the during the pendency of the writ petition. one flat of MIG (Two Bed Rooms) Flats may kindly be kept reserved and the same may not be allotted to any person till the matter is decided by this Hon'ble Court.

CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing Clause (vii) of "263 Flats for Ousteas of U.T., Chandigarh on lease hold basis/free hold basis under the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling Units of the Ousteas of Chandigarh Scheme 1996" framed on 30.01.2017 being illegal, arbitrary, discriminatory, irrational and has no nexus with the objective to be achieved i.e. resettlement of land owners who have been rendered landless on account of acquisition of their land and every land owner is entitled to allotment of flat as per his/their legibility as each of the co-shares has independent right and title to enjoy the possession and the said clause does not take into consideration the rights of the petitioner as joint owners and violates the right of the petitioner as co-sharer and further declaring that the impugned letter/order dated 07.11.2017 issued by the Accounts Officer, Chandigarh Housing Board, Respondent No.3 rejecting the application Form No.1906 of the petitioner by the Property Allotment Committee Respondent No.4 for MIG(Two Bed Rooms) Flats under the "263 Flats for oustees of U.T., Chandigarh on lease hold basis/free hold basis under the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling units of the Ousteas of Chandigarh, Scheme 1996" framed on 30.01.2017 is illegal, null and void and liable to be quashed and writ of mandamus directing the respondents No.3 & 4 to allot the MIG (Two Bed Rooms) Flats, Chandigarh. Also further prayed that the during the pendency of the writ petition. one flat of MIG (Two Bed Rooms) Flats may kindly be kept reserved and the same may not be allotted to any person till the matter is decided by this Hon'ble Court.

CWP filed by the petitioner for directing the respondents to consider the petitioner as 'Oustee' as per Eligibility and Procedure Clause III of the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling Units to the oustees of Chandigarh Scheme 1996. Further for quashing the eligibility and procedure clause III (vii) of the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling units to the Ousteas of Chandigarh Scheme 1996. Further for quashing the impugned letters dated 03.08.2017 and 31.10.2017 and further for directing the respondents to forthwith allot flat to the petitioner as per the Scheme in 'Oustee'

Ajit Singh Vs U.T.,
Chandigarh & Others

02 May 2019

26 Aug 2019

Yes

In Regular hearing

Tarsem Singh Vs U.T.,
Chandigarh & Ors

02 May 2019

26 Aug 2019

Yes

In Regular hearing

Som Nath Vs U.T.,
Chandigarh & Others

02 May 2019

04 Sep 2019

Yes

In Regular hearing

quota.

CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing condition/clause III (vii) of the 'Chandigarh Housing Board Oustees Scheme-2017 and also the letter dated 27.10.2017 holding the petitioners not eligible for the allotment of a flat each under the Oustees Quota, the same being highly illegal, irrational, arbitrary, discriminatory, capricious, violative of Article 14 and 18 of the Constitution of India and right under the teeth of the judgement of Hon'ble Full Bench of this Hon'ble Court in the case of Jarnail Singh and others V State of Punjab and others (2011(1) RCR Civil 915) AND A writ mandamus directing the respondents to consider case of each of the petitioners for allotment of one flat under the "Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling Units to the Oustees of Chandigarh, Scheme 1996", as per their entitlement i.e. an HIG dwelling unit and till that time, further allotment of left out plots which were floated under the scheme for allotment to the oustees of UT Chandigarh or any allotment under the oustees category may kindly be stayed.

CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing impugned action dated 13.09.2017 holding the petitioner ineligible only on the ground that the land was acquired before 01.11.1966 while this benefit is being extended to all other oustees whose land was acquired and struck down the clause which restrict the benefit only to the oustees whose house was acquired being discriminatory and for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to consider the petitioner application form No.1519 for allotment of flat under Oustees Scheme 2017 (Three Bed Room) for the petitioner whose father's land was acquired for development of Phase-II of Chandigarh in the year 1964.

Civil Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing Clause VIII of 263 Flats for Oustees of A.U.T., Chandigarh on lease hold basis free4 hold as is under the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling Units of the Chandigarh Scheme 1996" framed on 30.01.2017 being illegal arbitrary, discriminatory, irrational and has no nexus with the objective to be achieved i.e. resettlement of land owners who have been rendered landless on account of acquisition of their land and every land owner is entitled to allotment of float as per his/their legibility as each of the co-shares has independent right and title to enjoy the possession and the said clause does not take into consideration the rights of the petitioner as joint owners and violates the right of the petitioner as co-sharer and further declaring that the impugned letter/ order dated 27.10.2017 issued by the Accounts Officer Chandigarh Housing Board, Chandigarh, respondent No.3 rejecting the application form No. 1918 of the petitioner by the Property Allotment Committee Respondent No.4 for HIG Three Bed Rooms Flats under the 263 Flats for of UT Chandigarh on lease hold basis free hold basis under the Allotment of Dwelling Units of the Oustee of Chandigarh Scheme 1996 framed on 30.01.2017 is illegal null and void liable to be quashed and writ of mandamus directing the respondent Nos. 3 & 4 to allot HIG (three Bed Rooms) Flats, Chandigarh. AND OR Directions to respondents to consider the legal and valid claim of the petitioner for the allotment of a dwelling unit to the petitioner under the "Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling Units to the Oustees of Chandigarh Scheme. 1996" on "No Profit No Loss" Basis against 263 dwelling units which are ready for occupation AND Further prayed that during the pendency of writ petition, one flat of HIG (Three Bed Room) Flat may kindly be kept reserved and the same may not be allotted to any person till the matter is decided by the Hon'ble Court.

Civil Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing Clause VIII of 263 Flats for Oustees of A.U.T., Chandigarh on lease hold basis free4 hold as is under the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling Units of the Chandigarh Scheme 1996" framed on 30.01.2017 being illegal arbitrary, discriminatory, irrational and has no nexus with the objective to be achieved i.e. resettlement of land owners who have been rendered landless on account of acquisition of their land and every land

Krishan Kumar Vs Union Territory, Chandigarh & Others

02 May 2019

26 Aug 2019

Yes

In Regular hearing

Anju Mittal Vs Union Territory Chandigarh & Others

02 May 2019

04 Sep 2019

Yes

In Regular hearing

Rachna Ram Vs U.T. Chandigarh & Ors

02 May 2019

26 Aug 2019

Yes

In Regular hearing

Ranga Ram Vs U.T., Chandigarh & Ors

02 May 2019

26 Aug 2019

Yes

In Regular hearing

owner is entitled to allotment of flat as per his/their legibility as each of the co-shares has independent right and title to enjoy the possession and the said clause does not take into consideration the rights of the petitioner as joint owners and violates the right of the petitioner as co-sharer and further declaring that the impugned letter/ order dated 27.10.2017 issued by the Accounts Officer Chandigarh Housing Board, Chandigarh, respondent No.3 rejecting the application form No. 1918 of the petitioner by the Property Allotment Committee Respondent No.4 for HIG Three Bed Rooms Flats under the 263 Flats for of UT Chandigarh on lease hold basis free hold basis under the Allotment of Dwelling Units of the Oustee of Chandigarh Scheme 1996 framed on 30.01.2017 is illegal null and void liable to be quashed and writ of mandamus directing the respondent Nos. 3 & 4 to allot HIG (three Bed Rooms) Flats, Chandigarh. AND OR Directions to respondents to consider the legal and valid claim of the petitioner for the allotment of a dwelling unit to the petitioner under the "Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling Units to the Oustees of Chandigarh Scheme, 1996" on "No Profit No Loss" Basis against 263 dwelling units which are ready for occupation AND Further prayed that during the pendency of writ petition, one flat of HIG (Three Bed Room) Flat may kindly be kept reserved and the same may not be allotted to any person till the matter is decided by the Hon'ble Court.

CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing the order dated 12.09.2017 passed by the Respondent No.3 whereby the application submitted by the petitioner For Allotment of LIG Flat under "Oustees Scheme 2017" of U.T., Chandigarh on lease hold basis/free hold basis under the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling Units of the Oustees of Chandigarh Scheme, 1996" has been rejected by the Property Allotment Committee/Respondent NO.4 to the effect that the land was acquired under joint khata and the same is illegally arbitrary and in violation of the Judgement dated 01.10.2010 passed by the full bench of this High Court. Further for directing the respondents to consider the application of the petitioner for the allotment of LIG Flat in Chandigarh to the petitioner in respect of application submitted being oustee as per the scheme of U.T., Chandigarh And further prayed that during the pendency of the writ petition, one Flat of LIG Flat may kindly be kept reserved and the same may not be allotted to any person till the matter is decided by this Hon'ble High Court.

CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing the order dated 07.11.2017 passed by the Respondent No.3 whereby the application submitted by the petitioner For Allotment of MIG (2 Bed Room) Flat under "Oustees Scheme 2017" of U.T., Chandigarh on lease hold basis/free hold basis under the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling Units of the Oustees of Chandigarh Scheme, 1996" has been rejected by the Property Allotment Committee/Respondent No.4 in violation of the Judgement dated 01.10.2010 passed by the full bench of this High Court. Further for directing the respondents to consider the application of the petitioner for the allotment of MIG (2 Bed Room) Flat in Chandigarh to the petitioner in respect of application submitted being oustee as per the scheme of U.T., Chandigarh And further prayed that during the pendency of the writ petition, one Flat of MIG (2 Bed Room) may kindly be kept reserved and the same may not be allotted to any person till the matter is decided by this Hon'ble High Court.

Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing the Clause(vii) of '263 Flats for Oustee's of U.T. Chandigarh on lease hold/free hold basis under the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling Units of the Oustees of Chandigarh Scheme 1996" framed on 30.01.2017 being illegal, arbitrary, discriminatory, irrational and has no nexus with the objective e to be achieved i.e. resettlement of land owners who have been rendered landless on account of acquisition of their land and every land owner is entitled to allotment of flat as per his/their legibility as each of the co-shares has

Hardeep Singh Vs Union Territory Chandigarh & Others

02 May 2019

26 Aug 2019

Yes

In Regular hearing

Surjit Singh Vs Union Territory, Chandigarh & Others

02 May 2019

26 Aug 2019

Yes

In Regular hearing

Surinder Singh Vs U.T., Chandigarh & Others

02 May 2019

26 Aug 2019

Yes

In Regular hearing

independent right and title to enjoy the possession and the said clause does not take into consideration the rights of the petitioner as joint owners and violates the right of the petitioner as co-sharer and further declaring that the impugned letter/order dated 15.12.2017 issued by the joint name of Surinder Singh petitioner and Paramjit Singh S/o of Hazura Sing and the letter dated 11.10.2018 issued by the Respondent No.4 Accounts Officer, Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh is illegal as the same should have been issued in the name of Surinder Singh (Petitioner) alone as the application Form No.1184 was submitted only by the petitioner and further the letter dated 11.10.2018 directing the petitioner to get the dispute sought out in the Civil Court as per law which is illegal as the objectors i.e. Respondent No.6 & 7 never applied for the said dwelling unit under the same scheme and further directing the respondent No.3 & 4 to handover the possession to the petitioner of the said dwelling unit bearing 2075/E, Sector 63, Chandigarh only to the petitioner as per allotment letter dated 15.12.2017 and delete the name of Paramjit Singh in the said allotment letter.

17	<u>CWP/33179/2018</u>	181, Motor Market, Sector 48, Chandigarh.	Vijay Kumar Sabharwal Vs Union of India	02 May 2019	09 Sep 2019	Yes	In Regular hearing
18	<u>SLP(C)/35662/2016</u>	SLP FILED AGAINST ORDER DATED 28.05.2016 PASSED BY THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT IN FAO NO.1361 OF 2015	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD Vs M/S POONAM CONSTRUCTION COMPANY LTD.	06 May 2019	05 July 2019	No need being case filed by CHB	In Regular hearing

LIST OF DISTT. COURT/CONSUMER FOR A/PLA CASES FROM 29.04.2019 TO 04.05.2019

SNo	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter/Prayer	Petitioner/ Respondent	Nodal Officer / Advocate	Previous date	Next Date	Status	Reply Filed
1	<u>C.S./1090/2015</u>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiffs in respect of allotment/transfer of DU No. 413, Sector 43-A, Chandigarh qua their share on the basis of WILL dated 17.3.2014.	KARAMJIT KAUR & ANR. / CHB & ANR.	LO/RAJAT NAKRA	29 Apr 2019	09 May .2019	Consideration.	Yes / 09 Sep 2015
2	<u>C.S./10654/2015</u>	(CS/10654/2013) Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff seeking transfer of ownership of DU No. 2374/40-C, Chandigarh.	GURBACHAN SINGH / CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	LO/SUSHILA BHARDWAJ DR.	29 Apr 2019	03 May 2019	Arguments.	Yes / 06 Sep 2017
3	<u>C.S./827/2015</u>	Regarding allotment of flat (PDL matter)	Dr. Vasdev Singh / Inderdev Singh & Ors.	LO/PREETI NIGAM	29 Apr 2019	07 May .2019	Ors. Defendant evidence.	Yes / 04 Oct 2017
4	<u>EX.APP/275/2015</u>	Execution Application No. EA/715/2015 u/s 12 of contempt of court act 1971 for not complying the order dt. 19/12/2014 within three months regarding allotment of residential accommodation in lieu of Jhuggi No. 760, Block-K, Labour Colony No. 4, Chandigarh.	Ram Dulare / Secretary. CHB & EO	LO/VIKAS JAIN	29 Apr 2019	09 May .2019	Arguments.	Yes / 30 Aug 2017
5	<u>C.S./1421/2016</u>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff seeking transfer of 1/4th share in respect of H.No. 4782/B, Sector 38(W), Chandigarh.	Angelina Gill & Ors. / Vidya Sagar & Ors.	LO/Rajinder Ghai	29 Apr 2019	10 May .2019	Other Defendant Evident.	Yes / 29 Jul 2016
6	<u>C.S./1350/2017</u>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for cancellation of allotment in respect of # 908/40-A, Chandigarh & # 928/40-A, Chandigarh due to filing false affidavit..	Raj Kumar Chibber / Usha Prashar & Ors.	LO/JOGESHWAR SINGH SATHI	29 Apr 2019	18 Jul .2019	Plaintiff reply.	Yes / 06 Feb 2018
7	<u>C.S./533/2018</u>	Illegal Constn. of DU No. 3734, Village Maloya.	Sadar Singh Bisht / Kedari & Anr.	SLO/ANJU RATHORE	29 Apr 2019	05 Jul 2019	Plaintiff reply & Consideration.	Yes / 09 May 2018
8	<u>APPEAL/0001/2018</u>	Appeal/Application filed before the Chief Administrator by the applicant directing the respondents to hand over the possession of One Room Tenement site No. 6370A, Sector 56, Chandigarh allotted under the Rehabilitation scheme in lieu of demolition of J.No. 416 Village Palsora, UT, Chandigarh).	Krishna Devi / EO/CHB	SLO/RAHUL DEV SINGH	29 Apr 2019	05 Aug 2019	Replication.	Yes/15 Oct 2018
9	<u>APPLICATION/339/2018</u>	Civil Misc. Application filed by Applicant for restoration of Execution Application filed by DH which was dismissed in default on 10.7.2018 in respect of J.No. E-401, Block-E, Indl. Area. Labour Colony No. 4, Chandigarh.	Furkan / EO & Anr.	SLO/RAJIV SHARMA-18	29 Apr 2019	Dismissed. Application Allowed.	Consideration. Reply filed by CHB on 8.1.2019.	Yes / 08 Jan 2019
10	<u>C.S./2054/2017</u>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff restraining transfer of DU No. 5189-B, LIG, Sector 38(W), Chandigarh.	Rajinder Kumar & Ors. / Shobna & Ors.	SLO/SARABJIT KAUR	29 Apr 2019	23 Jul 2019	Consideration on stay application.	Yes / 06 Nov 2018
11	<u>C.S./1844/2017</u>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for declaration that the purchase of DU No.	Vijay Kumar / Pardeep	LO/Palvinder Singh	29 Apr 2019	18 Jul 2019	Plaintiff reply &	Yes application

4151. Maulijagran is illegal as the house were allotted to jhuggi dwellers under rehabilitation scheme & suit also for mandatory injunction to cancel & possession be taken over being illegal property.

			Kumar Shukla & Anr.	Sarna			Consideration.	filed
12	<u>C.S./559/2019</u>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff restraining CHB from demolishing the need based changes/constn. raised in DU No. 3208/2, Sector 44-D, Chandigarh.	Gurinder Singh / CHB	SLO/SUSHILA BHARDWAJ DR.	29 Apr 2019	09 May 2019	Appearance of again AE Sh. Kulwant Singh alongwith Adv. Sushila Bhardwaj	No
13	<u>C.S./1857/2014</u>	Civil Suit is ordered to be restored to its original number as appeal filed against order dated 21.11.2016 passed by Sh. Harleen Pal Singh, CJJD Chandigarh has been dismissed as default & petitioner filed an appeal before J.S.Sidhu, ADJ, Chandigarh has also been allowed in respect of transfer of DU No. 972, Dhanas, Chandigarh.	Ashok Kumar / Devi Dayal	SLO/Vinay Kashyap	30 Apr 2019	13 May 2019	Evidence.	Yes / 28 Aug 2017
14	<u>C.S./3002/2019</u>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for specific Performance of Agreement to Sell dated 12.3.2003 in respect of DU No. 3081(GF), Sector 44-D, Chandigarh.	Vijender Kumar Nirmal / Nanak Singh & Ors.	SLO/Rajat Pabbi	30 Apr 2019	11 Jul 2019	Filing of reply.	No
15	<u>C.S./284/2016</u>	Civil Suit has been filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of full share of DU No. 6263-C, Sector 56, Chandigarh on death basis.	Meena Devi / Dharamvir Singh & Ors.	LO/RAJIV SHARMA-18	30 Apr 2019	07 May 2019	Arguments.	Yes / 02 Aug 2016
16	<u>C.S./2302/2015</u>	Civil Suit filed by the petitioner regarding transfer of allotment of DU No. 431, Sector 45-A, Chandigarh.	Sairab Usmani & Ors. / Abdul Shaheed Usmani & Ors.	LO/SAJAL KOSAR	01 May 2019	23 May 2019	Remaining cross by Board official.	Yes / 13 Jul 2016
17	<u>EX.APP/1499/2018</u>	Execution filed by the Decree Holder seeking allotment of an alternate accommodation in lieu of J.No. 134-A, Nehru Colony, Sector 53, Chandigarh.	Hari Ram / Secretary Estate, Chandigarh Admn./EO/CHB	SLO/HEMLATA ISSAR,	01 May 2019	30 May 2019	Notice & record.	Yes/28 Mar 2019
18	<u>C.S./285/2017</u>	Civil Suit for mandatory injunction directing Def. No. 1 & 2 to transfer H.No. 3100, HB Colony, Dhanas in the name of plaintiff on the basis of WILL dated 24.7.2015 & permanent Injunction restraining from transferring in the name of Def. No. 3 on the basis of WILL dated 7.6.2016 or in the name of any person.	Ravi Kamal / CHB & Ors.	LO/Harinder Kumar Aurora	01 May 2019	29 May 2019	Evidence.	Yes / 11 Sep 2017
19	<u>C.S./555/2016</u>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for mandatory injunction directing CHB not to transfer 1/3rd share out of full in respect of DU No. 986. Sector 41-A, Chandigarh.	Surinder Verma / Rajinder Verma & Ors.	LO/RITESH KUMAR BANSAL	02 May 2019	03 Jul 2019	Defendant evidence.	Yes / 10 Oct 2016
20	<u>C.S./1253/2017</u>	Civil Suit has been filed by the plaintiff regarding cancellation of DU No. 334, Maulijagran due to false & fabricated documents.	JINIS RAI / CHD. ADMN. & ORS.	SLO/ABSHEYSHER SINGH	02 May 2019	15 Jul 2019	Reply filed by others defendant. CHB filed reply.	Yes / 09 Jan 2018
21	<u>C.S./3121/2018</u>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer the ownership of flat No. 2198-D, Sector 63, Chandigarh on the basis of WILL.	VEDWATI / LAKHBIR KAUR & ORS.	SLO/SUSHILA BHARDWAJ DR.	02 May 2019	10 Jul 2019	Filing of reply	No
22	<u>C.S./2011/2015</u>	Civil Suit filled by plaintiff for mandatory injunction directing defendant No.-2 CHB to cancel/ mutation of entry in favour of defendant NO 1 i.e Rakesh Verma on the basis of forged and fabricated WILL. 3.9.2014 in	Atul Paul / Rakesh Verma & Ors.	LO/VIKAS JAIN	02 May 2019	15 May 2019	Arguments.	Yes / 04 Oct 2017

respect of DU No 3439/46-C CHD.

Appeal has been filed u/s 54(1) of the Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 against order dated 17.10.2017 passed by Secretary, CHB ordering the eviction of the appellant from H.No. 158/2, Sector 55, Chandigarh & also stayed the operation of the order.

Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of share of DU No. 1447-B, Sector 61, Chandigarh & also suit for permanent Injunction restraining defendants from alienating by way of sale, exchange gift, mortgage or any other mode of any specific portion partition.

Application for restoration of civil suit No. 2044/2015 in its original number in respect of possession of Flat No. 1447-B, Sector 61, Chandigarh on the basis of registered WILL dated 4.3.2011

Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff seeking transfer of properties i.e. DU No. 1238, Sector 43-B, Chandigarh & 14th share in agriculture land on WILL basis.

Civil Suit filed by plaintiff regarding change of ownership record of DU No. 3216, Sector 46-C, Chandigarh by Def. No.1(CHB) on fraudulent manner.

DU No. 325, Sector 45-A, Chandigarh.

Civil Suit No. CS/2214/2015 has been filed by the plaintiff for mandatory injunction directing def. No. 1, CHB to transfer of DU No. 177/1, S/41-A, Chandigarh on the basis of Agreement to sell dated 13.3.2003

Civil Suit No. 524/2016 has been filed by the plaintiff for mandatory injunction directing CHB to transfer the suit property of DU No. 1504/1, Sector 29-B, Chandigarh.

Civil Suit has been filed by the plaintiff regarding removal of violations of H.No. 1057, Indira Colony, Manimajra.

Petition filed by the petitioner for Hindu Minority & Guardianship Act 1956 seeking permission to sell the share of minor in respect of DU No. 2289/3, EWS, Sector 45-C, Chandigarh owned by minor daughter of petitioner.

SANGHAMITRA
BANERJEE /
CHANDIGARH
HOUSING BOARD &
ANR.

Lakhbir Kaur & Anr. /
Jagdeep Kaur & Ors.

Jagdeep Kaur / Lakhbir
Kaur & Ors.

Indu Munjal /
Chandigarh Housing
Board & Ors.

Sudha Sharma / CHB &
Ors.

Des Raj / CHB & Anr.

Manju Tyagi / CHB &
Ors.

Sushma Bhai & Ors. /
Ranjana Suri & Ors.

Ram Sukh / Sarju
Parsad & Ors.

Sunil Singh Vs. Rahul Dev Singh/
CHB AO-II/John.

SLO/Rajat Pabbi

SLO/JOGESHWAR
SINGH SATHI

LO/RAJAT NAKRA

LO/JOGESHWAR
SINGH SATHI

LO/KANWAL S.
WALIA,

SLO/JOGESHWAR
SINGH SATHI

LO/RAJIV
SHARMA-18

LO/RAJIV
SHARMA-18

SLO/ANANT PAL
SINGH

Rahul Dev Singh/
AO-II/John.

02 May 2019

02 May 2019

02 May 2019

03 May 2019

03 May 2019

03 May 2019

03 May 2019

03 May 2019

03 May 2019

4.5.2019

16 Jul 2019

21 May 2019

21 May 2019

08 May 2019

06 May 2019

15 Jul 2019

07 May 2019

18 Jul 2019

08 Jul 2019

05 Jul 2019

Arguments.

Evidence.

Civil evidence.

Reply by fresh added
plaintiff as party.
CHB file its Reply on
4.7.2017.

Plaintiff reply.

Plaintiff evidence.
CHB filed reply on
3.7.2018

Arguments.

Ors. Defendant
evidence.

Argument.

Filing of Reply.

Yes / 24 Jan 2018

Yes / 04 Apr 2018

Yes / 03 Jul 2018

Yes / 19 May 2017

Yes / 15 Feb 2018

Yes / 03 Jul 2018

Yes / 30 Aug 2017

Yes / 24 Aug 2016

Yes / 30 Jul 2018

No/Fresh case

CONSUMER FOR A, CHANDIGARH.

SNo	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter/Prayer	Petitioner/ Respondent	Nodal Officer / Advocate	Previous date	Next Date	Status	Reply Filed
1	<u>CC/1/2017</u>	Complaint filed by the complainant for refund of GPA transfer fee in respect of HIG-I, DU No. 1022, Sector 39-B, Chandigarh & deposited Rs.50,000/0 as tatkal service fee alongwith Rs.4000/- towards processing fee under mutual transfer policy.	Vijay Kumar / Ministry of Home Affair, New Delhi & Ors.	LO/RAHUL DEV SINGH	01 May 2019	Reserved for order.	Arguments.	Yes / 30 Aug 2017
2	<u>CR. PETITION (EXE.)154/2018</u>	Execution petition filed by the Decree Holder before SCDRC, Chandigarh against order dated 12.7.2018 in CC No. 421/2017 passed by Distt. Forum-I regarding refund of interest & compensation in respect of DU No. 64-C, Sector 51-A, Chandigarh.	Meenakshi Chandel / Chandigarh Housing Board.	SLO/RAHUL DEV SINGH	01 May 2019	Dismissed as withdrawn	Misc.	No reply required. Compliance done.

PERMANENT LOK ADALAT, CHANDIGARH.

SNo	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter/Prayer	Petitioner/ Respondent	Nodal Officer / Advocate	Previous date	Next Date	Status	Reply Filed
1	<u>APPLICATION /3133/2017</u>	Application to allow conversion of DU No. 3205, Sector 47-D, Chandigarh alongwith compensation of Rs. 20,000/-).	Abhijeet Singla & Another / Finance Secretary, UT, Chandigarh & others	LO/ANJU RATHORE	30 Apr 2019	Pending for order.	Final Order.	Yes / 26 Dec 2017
2	<u>APPLICATION /1016/2018</u>	Seeking compensation on account of delay in construction, repayment of deposited excess amount, Compensation on account of mental agony & harassment. litigation expenses etc in respect of DU No. 2211 A, Sector 63, Chandigarh. (Housing Flat Scheme,2008, Sector 63, Chandigarh)	Anand Mishra / CHB	LO/Harinder Kumar Aurora	30 Apr 2019	21 May .2019	Arguments.	Yes / 01 Aug 2018
3	<u>APPLICATION /1012/2018</u>	Transfer of DU No. 4728, Maloya Colony, UT, Chandigarh	Lalti Devi / Secy CHB & others	LO/SUSHILA BHARDWAJ DR.	02 May 2019	20 May .2019	Honble court ordered applicant to apply for transfer of DU	Yes / 06 Aug 2018