LIST OF CASES PENDING BEFORE VARIOUS COURT W.E.F. 05.08.2019 TO 10.08.2019

						[a	,	
. ∫Sr No		ase No.	Brief Subject Matter/Prayer	Data and Danaged out	Nodal Officer/ Advocate	Next date of hearing	Status	Reply filed
1	- -	WP/18430/2018	20.02.2017 and order in appeal dated 30.04.2018, passed by the respondents is clearly wrong, illegal, and of the Constitution of		SLO/ S.C.Sehgal	05 Aug 2019 (Due to work suspended no next date of hearing shown in website.)	Argument	Yes / 25 Scp 2018
2	Z	IC/CC/21/2011	Counsel Smt. Rachna Joshi vide her email dated 19.11.2018 has informed that after hearing the matter, the Hon'ble Commission pleased to direct the OP 1 & 2 (PDL) to pay the balance principle amount within two month. First installment is payable by PDL by	PARSVNATH	SLO/ RACHNA JOSHI ISSAR	05 Aug 2019 17 Scp.2019	Arguments	Yes / 02 Jan 2012
3	N	IC/CC/20/2011		Parsynath Developers Ltd.	LO/ RACHNA JOSHI ISSAR	05 Aug 2019 17 Sep.2019	Arguments	Yes / 13 Jun 2011
4	C		SLP Glad against the orders dated 11.05.2016 passed by the Hon'ble NCDRC. New Delhi in Complaint Case No.19 of 2011 -	Chandigarh Housing Board / Parsynath Developers Ltd. & Anorther	SLO/ RACHNA JOSHI ISSAR	05 Aug 2019 26 Aug 2019	Arguments	Not Required / Being CHB filed the SLP/Case
5	; 'c	CR/2143/2019	Civil Revision for setting aside the order dated 09.05.2018 passed by the Court of Sh. Kushal Singla Civil Judge (Jr.Divn.) Chandigarh whereby the application under Section 10 of CPC for stay of the suit has been dismissed on wholly erroneous considerations. Interse dispute between the family and no direct claim has been sought from the Chandigarh Housing Board, Chandigarh being proforma party (DU No. 956 Dhanas)	Ashwani Kumar Sharma / Sanjay Kumar Sharma & Ors	SLO/ Anhad Singh Miglani	05 Aug 2019 (Due to work suspended no next date of hearing shown in website.)		No Need being CR
	5 <u>F</u>	AO/CARB-26/2018	Appeal filed by the petitioner under Section 37 of the Arbitration And Conciliation Act, 1996 against the order dated 30.05.2018 passed by Ms Aradhana Sawhney, Additionalo District Judge, Chandigarh in Arbitration Case No.69 of 2015	PARSVNATH DEVELOPERS L'ID. / CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD & ANOTHER	SHEKHAR	07 Aug 2019	Arguments	Yes / 17 Sep 2019
	- 7]	RSA/1773/2017	Regular Second Appeal before Hon'ble High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh against order dated 21.12.2016 passed by the Court of Sh. Balbir Singh, Distt. Judge, Chandigarh in the Civil Appeal No.388/2015 titled as Girija S. Nair Vs Chandigarh Housing Board. (One Bedroom Flat under Self Financing Housing Scheme-2006, Sector 49, Chandigarh.).	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD / GIRIJA S. NAIR	SLO/ Akansha Sawhney,	07 Aug 2019	No order	Not Required / CHB has filed the Appeal so reply not required to be filed
	8 (CWP/07440/2017	Oustees Matter.	Surinder Pal Kochar / Chd. Admn. & Others	LO/ SHEKHAR VERMA	07 Aug 2019	Argument	No
	9	CWP/22636/ 2 013 (U.T.EMPLOYEES)	Petition filed by the subject cited petitioners directing the respondents to implement the Self Financing Housing Scheme 2008 on lease hold basis for period of 99 years for employees of Chandigarh Administration (for short 2008 Scheme) in true letter and spirit and to coordinate with each other and to issue acceptance cum Demand letter to successful applications like the petitioners in a time bound manner and to deliver the possession of the flats which are to be constructed under the scheme and/or further for issuance of such other appropriate writ order or direction to the respondents to initiate action against the officers/officials of Chandigarh Administration and Chandigarh Housing Board who have delayed the execution of scheme for such a long period while allotments made to the General Self Financing Housing Scheme launched during the same time have already ended up in completion of the projects and possession being on the verge of offer while the scheme for the employees has even failed to take off and/or further for issuance of an appropriate direction in the nature of mandamus/prohibition restraining the respondents from using the land carmarked allocated in Sectors 52, 53 and 56 for the purpose other than for which it was allotted i.e. for construction of flats of	Phool Kumar Saini & Ors. / Chandigarh Housing Board & Anorther	SLO/ SHEKHAR VERMA	07 Aug 2019	Adjourned for status & Arguments.	Yes / 10 May 2014

4

•						
	different categories under Self Financing Housing Scheme-2008 for the employees of U.T. Administration and/or further for the issuance of such other appropriate order as this Hon'ble Court deem fit and proper in the fats and circumstance of the case and in the interest of justice and fair play.(U.T. EMPLOYEES SCHEME)					
CWP/18927/2019 (U.T.EMPLOYEE	cannot be made to suffer for on fault to their. AND 3. Further for directing the respondents not to charge the enhanced price from the petitioners in furtherance to the public notice after eleven years of launching of Scheme. 6. It is also prayed that during the pendency of the present writ petition, charging of the enhanced price in furtherance to the public notice ay kindly be stayed and no coercive action shall be taken against the petitioners adversely affecting their rights accrued pursuant to draw of lots held on 04.112010; 7. It is also prayed that the records of the Housing Scheme 2008 may kindly be summoned and after fixing the responsibility of the erring officials/officers, penal action be taking against them, in the light of order dated 23.02.2017 passed by the Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court.	Dr. Brahm Parkash Yadav & Other / Union of India & Others	SLO/SHEKHAR VERMA	07 Aug 2019	For filing reply	No
CWP/18704/2019 (U.T.EMPLOYE	Further prayed to pass orders for staying the operation of the impugned Public Notice dated 22.06.2019 qua the part of demand of Exorbitant/Excessive Rate of flats or in the alternative directions be issued to the respondents to accept the decision of the writ petition in the interest of justice	Rajan Nanda & Others / Union of India & Others	SLO/SHEKHAR VERMA	07 Λug 2019	For filing reply	No
CWP/19564/2019 (U.T.EMPLOYE	Writ petition filed by the Petitioner for directing the respondents to complete the construction of the flats in terms of the Sel Pinancing Housing Scheme 2008 on lease hold basis for 99 years for employees of Chandigarh Administration (for short "2008 Scheme") floated on 14.01.2008 at the cost so prescribed in the scheme; Further for issuance of a writ for issue of order and direction for declaring that the letter dated 05.10.2012 sent by the Government of India to the U.T. Administration is not being on the petitioners and/or the project since the land in question had already been allotted to the Housing Board in the year 2008 and the aforesaid letter having been issued later in point of time cannot be held applicable retrospectively against the land for which allotment had been made by the Administration to the Housing Board in January 2003/AND/OR Further for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to set aside the order whereby the Chandigarl Administration has issued a fresh letter of allotment for the land at the rate of Rs.74131/- per square yards being arbitrary and whimsical and being without any valid basis; AND/OR Further for directing setting aside the Public Notice dated 06.05.2019 whereby the respondent Board has demanded payment of the land cost and has resultantly, increased the price of the flat by more than 5 times its cost originally advertised; and/or Further for issuance of such other appropriate writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent Administration to the Project by revising the cost of land at the price on which allotment of land had been made by the respondent Administration to the Housing Board vide order of allotment dated 10.01.2008 consequent to the decision of the Pinance Secretary, Chandigarl Administration conveyed vide memo dated 16.11.2007 @7920/- per square yard; and/or	GURMUKH SINGH AND 956 OTHERS VS. UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS	SLO/SHEKHAR VERMA	07 Aug 2019	For filing reply. Fresh case	No

ting the second

·	Further for the issuance of such other appropriate orders as this Hon ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstance of the case and in the interest of justice and fair play.					
,			-			
CWP/7543/2019	Civil Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing the impugned order Annexure P-9 dated 25.10.2018 passed by the Ld. Additional District Judge, U.T., Chandigarh whereby the appeal under section 54 (1) of the Haryana Housing Board Act, 1971 as extended to U.T., Chandigarh has been dismissed and Annexure P-10 dated 2801.2019 i.e. eviction notice issued by the Secretary, CHB, Chandigarh whereby the petitioner has been directed to vacate the D.U.No.4645, Maloya Colony, Chandigarh within 30 days from the date of issuance of eviction notice.	Firath Ram / Chairman, CHB & Others	SLO/VISHAL SODHI	07 Aug 2019	For filing reply Fresh Case	- No
1 CWP/21803/2017	petition filed by the petitioner for quashing the e-auction dated 09.02.2017 by the respondents for auction of the D.U.No.24 in Sector 51-A, of CatII and quashing of impugned order dated 12.07.2017 passed by the respondents whereby the Appeal filed by the petitioner has been rejected and forfeiting the entire amount about 32,00,000/- deposited by the petitioner and further quashing the action of the respondents in taking the forcible possession from the petitioner on dated 29:08.2014 is illegal, arbitrary, malafide, violative of rules of natural justice and also being against the provisions of Chandigarh Housing Board (Allotment, Management and Sale of Tenements) Regulations, 1979; Further to issue a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to restore the possession of dwelling unit No.24, Sector 51-A, Chandigarh immediately without any further delay	Charanjit Kaur / Chairman, Chandigarh	SLO/Arjun Sharma	09 Aug 2019	Arguments	Ycs / 06 Mar 2018
S ARB./163/2016	Contract allotted vide letter dated 08.12.2008 in the interest of justice and equity.	M/S B.L.MEHTA CONSTRUCTION PVT. LTD. / CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	SLO/Arjun Sharma	09 Aug 2019	Arguments	Yes / 09 Dec 2016
5 <u>FAO/2331/2014</u>	FAO FILED AGAINST THE ORDERS OF ADDL. DISTRICT MAGISTERATE, U.T. CHANDIGARH	SUBHINDER SINGH BRAR / GEETINDER GREWAL AND OTHERS	LO/Gagandeep Singh Vasu	09 Aug 2019	Arguments	Not Required / Counsel stated that being FAO reply is not required to be file only arguments is to be held



DISTRICT COURT CASES FROM 05/08/2019 TO 10/08/2019

	والشقيف المراف والمتعلق وموافقت وموافق الراف المراف المراف المرافق والموافق والمرافق المرافق ا	processor on the second of the second of	The Common Committee or supplied the supplied of the supplied	Mary and the second second second second				
Case No.	Brief Subject Matter/Prayer	Petitioner/ Respondent	Nodal Officer / Advocate	Previous Date	Next Date	Status	Reply Filed	Concerned branc
C.5./953/2016	Civil Suit has been filed by the plaintiff regarding allotment of flat/tenement site in lieu of J.No. 314, Kumhar Colony, Sector 25, Chandigarh.	Anil Kumar / Secretary, Chandigarh Admn. & Ors.	LO/ANANT PAL SINGH	05 Aug 2019	14-08-2019	Plaintiff Evidence.	Yes / 15 Feb 2018	so(c)
<u>C,5./34/2016</u>	Civil Suit No.1370/2016 filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of equal share of Dwelling Unit on the basis of agreement in respect of DU No. 3424, Sector 46-C, Chandigarh on the death of executant.	Rattan Ram / Sital Kaur & Ors.	LO/SUVIR KUMAR	05 Aug 2019	07-08-2019	Consideration.	Yes / 29 Jul 2016	AO-IV
APPLICATION/400/2016	Application for restoration of civil suit No. 2044/2015 in its original number in respect of possession of Flat No. 1447-B, Sector 61, Chandigarh on the basis of registered WILL dated 4,3.2011	Jagdeep Kaur / Lakhbir Kaur & Ors.	LO/RAJAT NAKRA	05 Aug 2019	09-28-2017.	Evidence.	Yes / 08 Jul 2019	AO-II
EX.APP/880/2016	Execution Application filed by Decree Holder against order dated 5.11.2014 passed by PLA for allotment in lieu of J.No. 195/1, Burail, Sector 45, Chandigarh.	Tinku Kumar / EO/CHB	LO/SANDEEP SURI	. 05 Aug 2019	20-68-2019	Consideration on objection.	 No	so(c)
<u>C.S./205/2017</u>	Civil Suit filed by the petitioner regarding transfer of the basis of Regd. WILL in respect of DU No. 460, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh.	Kanwar Ashwani Kumar / Chandigarh Housing Board	LO/SAJAL KOSAR	05 Aug 2019	03-10-2019	Consideration.	Yes / 06 Sep 2017	AO-IV
<u>C.S./1629/2017</u>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of share of DU No. 1447-8, Sector 61, Chandigarh & also suit for permanent Injunction restraining defendants from alienating by way of sale, exchange gift, mortgage or any other mode of any	Eakhbir Kaur & Anr. / Jagdip Kaur & Ors.	SLO/SEEMA	05 Aug 2019	09-08-2019	Evidence.	Yes / 08 Jul 2019	AO-II

		specific portion partition.	The state of the s			T			
!	1 :	Appeal/Application filed before the Chief Administrator by the applicant directing the respondents to hand over the possession of One Room Tenement site No. 6370A, Sector 56, Chandigarh allotted under the Rehabilitation scheme in lieu of demolition of J.No. 416 Village Palsora, UT, Chandigarh).	Krishna Devi / EO/CH8	SLO/RAHUL DEV SINGH	05 Aug 2019	11-2917	Replication. Court not Hold. Reply filed on 15.10.2018.	Yes / 15 Oct 2018	EE-Enforcement
8	C.s./992/2018		Mohan Lal @ Mohan Ram & 'Anr. / EO/CH8	SLO/SEEMA	05 Aug 2019	7/-08-2019	Evidence.	Yes / 01 Oct 2018	so(c)
9	<u>C.S./2585/2019</u>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff seeking allotment of flat under Chd. Small Flat 2006 in lieu of H.No. 300 Pandit Colony, Kajheril Sector 52, Chandigarh.	Mohd. Sahni / Advisor, UT	SLO/SUSHILA BHARDWALDR.	05 Aug 2019	11-09-2019	Consideration.	Yes/17 May 2019	so(c)
1	0 ' <u>C.S./1670/2017</u>	Civil Suit has been filed by the plaintiff regarding removal of violations of H.No. 1057, Indira Colony, Manimajra.	Ram Sukh / Sarju Parsad & Ors.	SLO/ANANT PAL SINGH	06 Aug 2019		Argument.	Yes / 30 Jul 2018	AO(C)
1	.1 <u>C.S./1857/2014</u>	Civil Suit is ordered to be restored to its original number as appeal filed against order dated 21.11.2016 passedby Sh. Harleen Pal Singh, CJJD Chandigarh has been dismissed as default & petitioner filed an appeal before J.S.Sidhu, ADJ, Chandigarh has also been allowed in respect of transfer of DU No. 972, Dhanas, Chandigarh.	Ashok Kumar / Devi Dayai	SLO/Vinay Kashyap	06 Aug 2019		Consideration.	Yes / 08 Jul 2019	AO(C)
	12 <u>C.S./2339/2018</u> 	of DIL No. 35577. Sector 41-A. Changigarn on the	Balwinder Kaur / Kashmir Kaur & Ors.	SLO/RAJIV SHARMA-18	06 Aug 2019	· (Plaintiff reply for our application under 7 Rule 11 of CPC filed by Advocate.	Yes / 20 Sep 2018	AO-IV

£.1

dia m								•
13 :	C.S./2803/2018	cult property of DU NO 2042/3, SPCIDE45-L.	Kanchan Dilip Kulkarni / Dilip Ganesh Kulkarni & Ors.	SLO/RAHUL DEV SINGH	06 Aug 2019	Consideration,	Yes / 22 Nov 2018	AO-II.
14	COMPLAINT /51/2018	1	Surinder Gupta of Sunrise Facilitators Pvt. Ltd. / Chandigarh Housing Board & 'Anr.	SLO/GEETA GULATI	06 Aug 2019	Plaintiff evidence.	Yes / 08 Feb 2019	EE-II
15	REVISION C/O ADVISOR/37/2019	Cancellation on account of building violation in respect of DU No. 3289/1, Dhanas, Chandigarh	Laxmi Devi / CHB	SLO/SHIV PARTAP SING MANN	06 Aug 2019	Case Filed	Na !	EE-Enf.
16	C.S./2011/2015	Civil Suit filled by plaintiff for mandatory injunction directing defendant No2 CHB to cancel/ mutation of entry in favour of defendant NO 1 i.e Rakesh Verma on the basis of forged and fabricated WILL 3.9.2014 in respect of DU No 3439/46-C CHD.		LO/VIKAS JAIN	06 Aug 2019	Lok Adalat	Yes / 04 Oct 2017	AO-IV
17	C.S./2302/2015	Civil Suit filed by the petitioner regarding transfer of allotment of DU No. 431, Sector 45-A, Chandigarh.	Sairab Usmani & Ors. / Abdul Shaheed Usmani & Ors.	LO/SAJAL KOSAR	07 Aug 2019	Defendant Evidence.	Yes / 08 Jul 2019	AO-II
18	3 <u>c.s./736/2018</u>	Civil Suit has been filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of DU No. 3194/46-C, Chandigarh on fraud documents.	Rajwant Kaur / Sukhdeep Singh & Ors.	SLO/SEEMA	07 Aug 2019	Replication.	Yes / 03 Jul 2019	AO-IV
1	9 <u>C.S./109/2019</u>	Civil Suit filed by the Plaintiff seeking direction to Def. No. 1 to demolish illegal Constn. of DU No. 3770, Ambedkar Awas Yojna, Sector 56, Chandigarh & further directing Def. No. 2 (CHB) for necessary action in respect of Govt. land encroached by Defendant No. 1.	Malti Devi / Ahmed Ali & Anr.	SLO/GEETA GULATI	07 Aug 2019	Notice & Record.	No .	EE-Enf.
2	co <u>c.s./2133/2018</u>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding removal of	Nirmal Bhatia / CHB	SLO/Harinder	07 Aug 2019	. Plaintiff Evidence.	Yes / 03 Jul 2018	EE-VI(PH)

23.5

	٠	

	leakage/seepage of DU No. 2051, Sector 45-C, Chandigarh.	The second secon	Kumar Aurora		1	1	produce make all coulders.
21 <u>C.S./827/2015</u>	Regarding allotment of flat (PDL matter)	Dr. Vasdev Singh / Inderdev Singh & Ors.	LO/PREETI NIGAM	08 Aug 2019	Ors. Defendant evidence.	Yes / 04 Oct 2017	AO-(PDL)
22 APPLICATION/15/2018	Petition u/s 276 of Indian succession Act for grant of Probate in respect of unregistered WILL dated 11.8.1986 in respect of transfer of DU No. 2567, sector 40-C, Chandigarh.	'Amba Charan Kaira / General Public & Ors.	SLO/RAHUL DEV SINGH	08 Aug 2019	Evidence.	Yes/13 Mar 2019	AO-II
23 <u>C.s./24/2019</u>	Petition No. GW/24/2019 filed by the petitioner for Hindu Miniority & Guardianship Act 1956 seeking permission to sell the share of minor in respect of DU No. 2289/3, EWS, Sector 45-C, Chandigarh owned by minor daughter of petitioner.	Sunil Singh / CHB	SLO/RAHUL DEV SINGH	08 Aug 2019	Arguments.	Yes/5 Jul 2019	AO-II
24 <u>EX.APP/904/2017</u>	Execution Application filed against order dated 15.7.2013 for non compliance of order of PLA. The applicant is held eligible for allotment of alternate site under the scheme of 2006 & the JDs are required to follow up necessary action for alternate in lieu of 1.No. 155, Block-K, Indl. Area, Labour Colony No. 4, Chandigarh.		SLO/KANWAL S, WALIA,	08 Aug 2019	Consideration. Objection of CHB filed on 29.5.2018	Yes / 29 May 2018	! ! !SO(C)
25 <u>APPEAL/52/2018</u>	Civil Appeal filed against order dated 7.12.2017 in respect of transfer of DU No. 3918, Sector 47-D, Chandigarh.	Hans Raj Malhotra / CHB	SLO/DEEPAK BAJAI	08 Aug 2019	Order.	Yes / 08 May 2018 _.	AO-III
26 <u>C.S.//2018</u>	Remand Back from ADJ, Chandigarh to Civil Court No. CSCJ/1915/2016 to reconsider due to delay in constn. & deliver of possession in respect of flat No. 2142-E, Sector 63, Chandigarh.	Chaman Lal Goyal / CHB	SLO/VIKAS JAIN	08 Aug 2019	Defendant evidence. Reply filed by CHB on 7.5.2018	Yes / 07 May 2018	AO-III
27 <u>C.S./984/2017</u>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff directing Def. No. 2	Uggar Singh / Daljit Kaur & Anr.	LO/SEEMA	08 Aug 2019	Replication. Reply	Yes / 11 Sep 2017	AO-II

ا لاين

	· •	(CHB) not to transfer the sult property in the name of any person/s in respect of DU No. 5053-B, Sector 38 Chandigarh on the basis of forged & fabricated document				filed by CH8 on 11.9.2017.		
28 <u>E</u>	x,APP/350/2017	Execution Application filed by the Decree Holder against decree dated 11.8.2005 passed in Civil Suit No. 33 of 1995 titled as Rama Shankar Vs. Mohinder Paul & Ors. in respect of illegal construction raised in H.,No. 471, Sector 40-A, Chandigarh.	Ram Shankar / Mohinder	LO/Krishan Kumar Dhiman	08 Aug 2019	Consideration.	Yes / 22 5ep 2017	EE-Enf.
29 (<u>.s./1350/2017</u>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for cancellation of allotment in respect of # 908/40-A, Chandigarh & # 928/40-A, Chandigarh due to filing false affidavit	Raj Kumar Chibber / Usha Prashar & Ors.	LO/SEEMA	08 Aug 2019	Consideration.	Yes / 06 Feb 2018	AO-II
30 (C.S./1849/2016	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff seeking transfer of 100% share on the basis of Regd. WILL/inheritance in respect of DU No. 2671, Sector 40-C, Chandigarh.	Vijay Kumar / Chandigarh Housing Board & Ors.	LO/SEEMA	09 Aug 2019	Consideration. Reply filed on 6.2.2017	Yes / 06 Sep 2017	AO-II
31	APPEAL/495/2018	Appeal filed by the appellant against order dated 8.5.2018 passed by Ms. Saloni Gupta, CJJD, Chandigarh (Regn. No. 1517/2014) regarding transfer of ownership of DU No. 5371/1, Cat-IV, Phase-II, Manimajra, UT, Chandigarh	!!! Sona Singh / CHB & Ors.	; SLO/GEETA GUI,ATI	i	• Arguments. No need to file the reply being appeal matter.	Yes / 29 Mar 2019	AO-IV
32	<u>c.s./555/2016</u>		Surinder Verma / Rajinder Verma & Ors.	LO/RITESH KUMAR BANSAL	09 Aug 2019	Defendant evidence.	Yes / 10 Oct 2016	
33	<u>APPEAL/154/2017</u>		Onkar Sharma / Leelawati & Ors.	SLO/Vinay Kashyap	09 Aug 2019	Case Filed	No (No need to file the reply being appeal matter)	40-II



Agreement to Sell in respect of DU No. 1677, EWS, Sector 29-B, Chandigarh.

CONSUMER COURT CASES FROM 05.08.2019 TO 10.08.2019

SNo	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter/Prayer	Petitioner/ Respondent	Nodal Officer / Advocate	Previous date	Next Date	Status	Reply Filed	Remarks
1	CC/178/2019	Complaint under Section 12 of the Consumer Protection Act 1986 filed before Distt. Forum-II, Chandigarh & prayed that OPs be directed to convert the DU No. 5014/3, Cat-III, Manimajra at the circle rates prevalent in the year 2012 & also prayed to pay Rs.3.00 Lakh to the complainant for huge financial & mental loss, stress & agony. Also pay Rs.50,000/- as litigation expenses.	Parvati / Chairman/CEO/Secy., CHB	SLO/ASHISH RAWAL	06 Aug 2019		Rejoinder.	Yes / 03 Jul 2019	AO-IV
2	CC/283/2019	Complaint under Section 12 of the Consumer Protection Act filed before Distt. Forum-II, Chandigarh for transfer of DU No. 296/2, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh on the basis of mutual transfer policy & also Rs.33114/- alongwith 12% intt. from the date of deposit, compensation for mental pain & harassment Rs.1,00,000/-& for litigation cost of Rs.35000/	1	SLO/Harinder Kumar Aurora	06 Aug 2019		Rejoinder	Yes/15 Jul 2019	AO-II

ES WILL BE LISTED BEFORE THE PLA, UT, CHD. (05.08.2019 TO 10.08.2019)

S. No	Core Ma	and the second s	Petitioner/ Respondent	Nodal Officer / Advocate	Next Date	Status	Reply Filed
1	ADE/1040/2018	account of delay in construction, repayment of deposited excess amount, Compensation on account of mental agony & harassment, litigation expenses etc in respect of DU No. 2211 A, Sector 63, Chandigarh. (Housing Flat Scheme, 2008, Sector 63, Chandigarh)		LO/Harinder Kumar Aurora	07.08.2019	For reconciliation.	Yes / 01 Aug 2018
2	APP/10 // 1018	Transfer of DU No. 4728, Maloya Colony, UT, Chandigarh	Lalti Devi/Secy CHB & others	LO/SUSHILA BHARDWAJ DR.	08.08.2019	Consideration.	Yes / 06 Aug 2018