

CASES PENDING BEFORE PLA, UT, CHANDIGARH (12/08/2019 TO 17/08/2019)

S. No	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter/Prayer	Petitioner/ Respondent	Nodal Officer / Advocate	Next Date	Status	Reply Filed	Concerned Branch
1	APPLICATION /454/2019	Complaint against the respondents for rendering deficient services by issuing resumption notice - DU No. 5905, MHC, Manimajra, UT, Chandigarh	Smt. Tripta Rani / Chairman, Chandigarh Housing Board & others.	LO/Harinder Kumar Aurora	13-Aug-19	Fresh Matter	No - First Date	EE- Enforcement
2	APPLICATION /227/2019	Refund of Rs.6,44,253/- in respect of cancelled dwelling unit no. 131, sector 51-A, Chandigarh of the Self Financing Housing Scheme Sector 51, Chandigarh.	Subhash Chand / Secretary, Chandigarh Housing Board	SLO/Rajat Pabbi	43691	Another opportunity given to Applicant to file Rejoinder.	Yes / 10 May 2019	AO-III

Indu

Received on
19/8/19

COURT CASES PENDING BEFORE HON'BLE HIGH COURT W.E.F. 13.08.2019 TO 17.08.2019

SNo	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter/Prayer	Petitioner/ Respondent	Nodal Officer / Advocate	Next Date	Status	Reply Filed
1	<u>LPA/484/2018</u>	LPA filed by the petitioner for setting aside the order dated 16.01.2018 passed by the Hon'ble Single Judge of the Hon'ble High Court in CWP No. 28630 of 2017 titled Rajesh Kumar Vs U.T. Chandigarh and others (Cooperative House Building Society Case)	Rajesh Kumar / U.T., Chandigarh & Others	S/O/SHEKHAR VERMA	13 Aug 2019	Arguments	Not Required / Being LPA
2	<u>CWP/28778/2018</u>	Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for the issuance of a writ of certiorari (sending for the records of the case and finding that the Orders of the Estate Officer, U.T. Chandigarh Respondent No.3 dated 06.11.2017 and the order dated 14.08.2018 passed by the Appellate Authority respondent No.2 Annexure P-17 are not sustainable to quash the same. 3. Further directing the respondents to consider the claims of the petitioners, the displaced and uprooted residents of Palsora Colony, demolished by the UT Chandigarh Administration in June 2003 to rehabilitate the petitioners for allotment of alternate accommodation of one room Flat under the Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006 as per the directions of Hon'ble High Court dated 30.05.2017 in CWP NO.12042 of 2017 & Ram Gulam & Others Vs U.T. Chandigarh & Others without taking into consideration the condition of bio metric Survey and finding them eligible. to make allotment of one room flat under the 2006 scheme, as has been done in respect of similarly placed residents of erstwhile Palsora Colony who after the demolition of Palsora Colony had settled in other unauthorized slum colonies of Chandigarh, for which the Administration conducted bio metric survey in 2006, while the petitioner who sought shelter in the authorized areas of Chandigarh for which no bio metric survey was conducted	Ram Gulam & Ors./ Chandigarh Administration & Another	S/O/Nitin Kaushal	13 Aug 2019	Arguments	Yes
3	<u>CWP/029469/2018</u>	Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for the issuance of a writ of certiorari (sending for the records of the case and finding that the Orders of the Estate Officer, U.T. Chandigarh Respondent No.3 dated 06.11.2017 and the order dated 14.08.2018 passed by the Appellate Authority respondent No.2 Annexure P-17 are not sustainable to quash the same. Further directing the respondents to consider the claims of the petitioners, the displaced and uprooted residents of Palsora Colony, demolished by the UT Chandigarh Administration in June 2003 to rehabilitate the petitioners for allotment of alternate accommodation of one room Flat under the Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006 as per the directions of Hon'ble High Court dated 30.05.2017 in CWP NO.12042 of 2017 & Ram Gulam & Others Vs U.T. Chandigarh & Others without taking into consideration the condition of bio metric Survey and finding them eligible. to make allotment of one room flat under the 2006 scheme, as has been done in respect of similarly placed residents of erstwhile Palsora Colony who after the demolition of Palsora Colony had settled in other unauthorized slum colonies of Chandigarh, for which the Administration conducted bio metric survey in 2006, while the petitioner who sought shelter in the authorized areas of Chandigarh for which no bio metric survey was conducted.	Subhash Chand & Others / U.T., Chandigarh and Another	S/O/Nitin Kaushal	13 Aug 2019	Arguments	Yes
4	<u>CWP/030133/2018</u>	Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for the issuance of a writ of certiorari (sending for the records of the case and finding that the Orders of the Estate Officer, U.T. Chandigarh Respondent No.3 dated 06.11.2017 and the order dated 14.08.2018 passed by the Appellate Authority respondent No.2 Annexure P-17 are not sustainable to quash the same. Further directing the respondents to consider the claims of the petitioners, the displaced and uprooted residents of Palsora Colony, demolished by the UT Chandigarh Administration in June 2003 to rehabilitate the petitioners for allotment of alternate accommodation of one room Flat under the Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006 as per the directions of Hon'ble High Court dated 30.05.2017 in CWP NO.12042 of 2017 & Ram Gulam & Others Vs U.T. Chandigarh & Others without taking into consideration the condition of bio metric Survey and finding them eligible. to make allotment of one room flat under the 2006 scheme, as has been done in respect of similarly placed residents of erstwhile Palsora Colony who after the demolition of Palsora Colony had settled in other unauthorized slum colonies of Chandigarh, for which the Administration conducted bio metric survey in 2006, while the petitioner who sought shelter in the authorized areas of Chandigarh for which no bio metric survey was conducted	Bal Mukand & Ors / U.T., Chandigarh & Another	S/O/Rakesh Sobti	13 Aug 2019	Arguments.	Yes / 08 Jul 2019
5	<u>CWP/15644/2019</u>	Civil Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for directing the Respondent No. (CHB) not to create or mark any third party rights at the behest of the private respondents or Respondent NO. 2 and to further restrain from taking any coercive action against the property of the petitioner bearing House No 5819, Modern Housing Complex, Maninjara, Chandigarh on the basis of loan fraudulently obtained by the private respondents from Respondent No.2 AND/OR in the alternative direct Respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation dated 10.08.2017 (Annexure P-5) so that the rights of the petitioner can be settled AND for issuance of a writ in the nature of Certiorari or any other appropriate writ, direction or order quashing the impugned order dated 12.11.2018 (Annexure P-10 Colly) whereby the applications.	J.L.Gen.(Retd.) Surjit Singh Chandigarh Housing Board & Ors.	S/O/Rakesh Sobti	13 Aug 2019	For filing reply	No

Indu
Received on
19/8/19

		for the petitioner for impleadment have been rejected by the Ld. DRT-II, Chandigarh AND for grant of any other relief which this Honâ€™ble Court deems fit the facts and circumstances of the present case.(5819. Modern Housing Complex, Manimajra, Chd.)					
6	<u>CWP/4452/2019</u>	Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing of the impugned orders/letters issued on 18.05.2018 vide which the Respondent Board has refused to transfer D.U.No. 129/2, Sector 55, Chandigarh to the name of petitioner, in accordance with Regulation No.16 of the Chandigarh Housing Board (Allotment, Management & Sale of Tenements) Regulations, 1979 and amendments made there under time to time. Further with prayer that a writ in the nature of mandamus be issued directing the respondent to transfer the above said dwelling unit in the name of petitioner in accordance with Regulation 16 of the Chandigarh Housing Board (Allotment, Management & Sale of Tenements) Regulations, 1979.	Makhan Singh / Chandigarh Administration & Others	SLO/VISHAL SODHI	14 Aug 2019	For filing Reply.	No
7	<u>CWP/19276/2018</u>	Illegal Construction on the roof of DU No. 1072/2, Sector 39-B, Chandigarh	Atamjit Singh Thind / UT, Chandigarh & others	SLO/INDRESH GOEL	16 Aug 2019	Status report filed. Arguments	Yes
8	<u>CWP:22636/2013</u>	Petition filed by the subject cited petitioners directing the respondents to implement the Self Financing Housing Scheme 2008 on lease hold basis for period of 99 years for employees of Chandigarh Administration (for short â€™2008 Schemeâ€™) in true letter and spirit and to coordinate with each other and to issue acceptance cum Demand letter to successful applications like the petitioners in a time bound manner and to deliver the possession of the flats which are to be constructed under the scheme and/or further for issuance of such other appropriate writ order or direction to the respondents to initiate action against the officers/officials of Chandigarh Administration and Chandigarh Housing Board who have delayed the execution of scheme for such a long period while allotments made to the General Self Financing Housing Scheme launched during the same time have already ended up in completion of the projects and possession being on the verge of offer while the scheme for the employees has even failed to take off and/or further for issuance of an appropriate direction in the nature of mandamus/prohibition restraining the respondents from using the land earmarked allocated in Sectors 52, 53 and 56 for the purpose other than for which it was allotted i.e. for construction of flats of different categories under Self Financing Housing Scheme-2008 for the employees of U.T. Administration and/or further for the issuance of such other appropriate order as this Honâ€™ble Court deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstance of the case and in the interest of justice and fair play.(U.T. EMPLOYEES SCHEME)	Phool Kumar Saini & Ors. / Chandigarh Housing Board & Another	SLO/SHEKHAR VERMA	16 Aug 2019	Work Suspended. No order	Yes
9	<u>COC P 887 2018</u>	The subject cited contempt petition has been filed by the petitioner for disobeying and not complying with the directions issued by the Honâ€™ble Court vide High Court order dated 22.02.2002 passed in CWP No.3061 of 2002.	Mangat Ram / Chandigarh Administration and other	SLO/SHEKHAR VERMA	16 Aug 2019	No order. Listed for Arguments	Yes
10	<u>CWP:18927/2019</u>	Writ petitioner filed by the Petitioner for setting aside the public notice whereby the price of units/flats already allotted to the petitioners has been increased from Rs.34.70 lacs to Rs.176 Crore under the implementation of Self Financing Housing Scheme 2008 for the employees of U.T., Chandigarh Administration on lease hold basis for 99 years. AND 3. Further for issuance of a writ for setting aside the decision of the Chandigarh Administration whereby it has been decided to re-fix the price of the land at the rate of Rs.74131 per sq.yds instead of Rs.2820/-, Rs.7920/- and Rs.20200/- (original allotment rate) respectively for construction of flats and for issuance of directions for implementation of â€™Self Financing Housing Scheme, 2008 for the employees of U.T. Chandigarh Administration on lease hold basis for 99 yearsâ€™ in letter and spirit as per Brochure AND 4. Further for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to allot flats on the price as mentioned in the brochure as the delay in allotment land was on account of inaction and lack of coordination between the respondents, which cannot be attributed to the petitioners and they cannot be made to suffer for on fault to their AND 5 Further for directing the respondents not to charge the enhanced price from the petitioners in furtherance to the public notice after eleven years of launching of Scheme. 6. It is also prayed that during the pendency of the present writ petition, charging of the enhanced price in furtherance to the public notice ay kindly be stayed and no coercive action shall be taken against the petitioners adversely affecting their rights accrued pursuant to draw of lots held on 04.11.2010; 7. It is also prayed that the records of the Housing Scheme 2008 may kindly be summoned and after fixing the responsibility of the erring officials/officers, penal action be taking against them, in the light of order dated 23 02 2017 passed by the Honâ€™ble Division Bench of this Court.	Dr. Brahm Parkash Yadav & Other / Union of India & Others	SLO/SHEKHAR VERMA	16 Aug 2019	For filing reply	No

11	<u>CWP/18704/2019</u>	Writ petition filed by the petitioners for directions to the respondents to allot the flats to the U.T. Employees Self Financing Scheme of 2008 within a time bound frame in pursuance to draw of lots held on 04.11.2010 and to allot the flats of the Scheme of 2008; and Further for quashing the impugned Public Notice dated 22.06.2019 vide which the respondents have put an excessive and exorbitant rate at current price without any reasonable nexus with the scheme so floated; and Further prayed to pass orders for staying the operation of the impugned Public Notice dated 22.06.2019 qua the part of demand of Exorbitant/Excessive Rate of flats or in the alternative directions be issued to the respondents to accept the decision of the writ petition in the interest of justice	Rajan Nanda & Others / Union of India & Others	S/O/SHEKHAR VERMA	16 Aug 2019	For filing reply	No
12	<u>CWP/ 19564/ 2019</u>	1. Writ petition filed by the Petitioner for directing the respondents to complete the construction of the flats in terms of the Self Financing Housing Scheme 2008 on lease hold basis for 99 years for employees of Chandigarh Administration (for short "2008 Scheme") floated on 14.01.2008 at the cost so prescribed in the scheme; 2. Further for issuance of a writ for issue of order and direction for declaring that the letter dated 05.10.2012 sent by the Government of India to the U.T. Administration is not being on the petitioners and/or the project since the land in question had already been allotted to the Housing Board in the year 2008 and the aforesaid letter having been issued later in point of time cannot be held applicable retrospectively against the land for which allotment had been made by the Administration to the Housing Board in January 2003/ AND/OR 3. Further for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to set aside the order whereby the Chandigarh Administration has issued a fresh letter of allotment for the land at the rate of Rs.74131/- per square yards being arbitrary and whimsical and being without any valid basis; AND/OR 4. Further for directing setting aside the Public Notice dated 06.05.2019 whereby the respondent Board has demanded payment of the land cost and has resultantly, increased the price of the flat by more than 5 times its cost originally advertised; and/or 5. Further for issuance of such other appropriate writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to execute the project by revising the cost of land at the price on which allotment of land had been made by the respondent Administration to the Housing Board vide order of allotment dated 10.01.2008 consequent to the decision of the Finance Secretary, Chandigarh Administration conveyed vide memo dated 16.11.2007 @7920/- per square yard; and/or 6. Further for the issuance of such other appropriate orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstance of the case and in the interest of justice and fair play.	GURMUKH SINGH AND 956 OTHERS VS. UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS (UT Employees Scheme Case)	07 Aug 2019 16 Aug 2019	16 Aug 2019	For filing reply	No
13	<u>CWP/20211/2019</u>	Writ Petition filed by the subject cited petitioner for quashing the order dated 24.04.2019 passed by Respondent No.3. and also interpreting of Clause 15 of order No.59 dated 15.02.2019 (Latest Policy of the Board) superseding all previous policies. And further for directing the respondents to allow the additional construction of 150 Sq.ft or 50% of the area of rear court yard/terrace whichever is lesser is allowed under the Policy. She further prayed to regularize the additional construction upto 150 Sq.ft in accordance with the policy.	Veena Ahuja / U.T. Chandigarh through its Advisor to the Administrator, Chandigarh & Others	S/O/SHEKHAR VERMA	16 Aug 2019	For filing reply	No
14	<u>CWP/18452/2019</u>	Vide PLU the Assistant Registrar (Writs) has forwarded the copy of Writ Petition filed by the subject cited petitioner for quashing the Brij Mohan provisions as contained in para (4) (i) and Para 4 (ii) of impugned notification dated 14.11.2018 vide which petitioner (Member of Maini Vs Cooperative Society) has been asked to pay stamp duty again for issuing sub-conveyance deed to him by 30.06.2019 being last dated for Chandigarh the same Or for issuance of a writ, order or direction especially in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents a. To declare all original members of Cooperative House Building Society as co-lessee/co-owner joint owner as per terms & conditions of the allotment letters & Conveyance Deed. b. To treat the conveyance deed entered between the society and Chandigarh Administration as a conveyance deed (Society Matter)	Administration through its Administrator and others.	S/O/SHEKHAR VERMA	16 Aug 2019	For filing reply	No

entered with its original member also as is being done with individual allottee/ employees of Chandigarh Housing Board/L.I.C, as per notification of year 1976 being ultimate beneficiary.

- c. Not to charge compulsory Registration fee nature as such Exemption from compulsory registration of instruments allowed under Section 37 of the Punjab Cooperative Societies Act 1961.
- d. Not to charge Stamp Duty from the petitioner as exemption is allowed under title 'Exemption from certain taxed, fees and duties' under Section 38(2) (a) of the Punjab Co-operative Societies Act 1961 read with Section 9(1) (A) of Indian Stamp Act.
- e. Not to force petitioner (original member) to execute Sub-Conveyance deed as Chandigarh Administration had no rule of Sub-conveyance deed nor they have any prescribed format of the sub-conveyance deed approved. Approved conveyance deed facilitates determination of chain of ownership in future problems relating to the ownership.

ADVANCE LIST OF COURT CASES 13.08.2019 TO 17.08.2019

SNo	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter/Prayer	Petitioner/ Respondent	Nodal Officer / Advocate	Next Date	Previous date	Status	Reply Filed	Concerned branch
1	<u>C.S./2011/2015</u>	Civil Suit filed by plaintiff for mandatory injunction directing defendant No.-2 CHB to cancel/mutation of entry in favour of defendant NO 1 i.e Rakesh Verma on the basis of forged and fabricated WILL 3.9.2014 in respect of DU No 3439/46-C CHD.	Atul Paul / Rakesh Verma & Ors.	LO/VIKAS JAIN	13 Aug 2019		Consideration.	Yes / 04 Oct 2017	AO-IV
2	<u>C.S./931/2016</u>	Civil Suit regarding transfer of DU No. 3321 and illegal/unauthorised construction of DU No. 3321/1, Housing Board Colony, Dhanas, UT, Chandigarh (CS/393/2016).	Pradeep Kumar Sharma / Saroj Kohli & Anr.	LO/RAVI PARKASH PARUTHI	13 Aug 2019		Consideration.	Yes / 29 Jul 2016	AO(C)
3	<u>C.S./335/2016</u>	Civil Suit filed by plaintiff regarding change of ownership record in respect of transfer of DU No. 3216, Sector 46-C, Chandigarh by Def. No.1(CHB) on fraudulent manner.	Sudha Sharma / CHB & Ors.	LO/KANWAL S, WALIA,	13 Aug 2019		Evidence.	Yes / 15 Feb 2018	AO-IV
4	<u>C.S./494/2017</u>	Civil Suit (No. 1494/2017) filed by the plaintiff regarding removal of illegal construction of One Room of DU No. 5278-B(2nd floor Sector 38(W), Chandigarh.	Deepak Vaid / Anamika Singla & Anr.	LO/Devinder Singh Nirban	13 Aug 2019		Evidence. Reply filed by CHB on 20.2.2018.	Yes / 06 Sep 2017	AO-II
5	<u>C.S./1670/2017</u>	Civil Suit has been filed by the plaintiff regarding removal of violations of H.No. 1057, Indira Colony, Manimajra.	Ram Sukh / Sarju Parsad & Ors.	SLO/ANANT PAL SINGH	13 Aug 2019		Argument.	Yes / 30 Jul 2018	Enforcement Officer.
6	<u>APPLICATION/239/2016</u>	Application for restoration of the application in the above titled execution petition which was dismissed in default on 18.01.2016 in respect of encroachment of DU No. 549, 549/1, Sector 40-A, Chandigarh due to illegal construction.	Raj Kumar / Mohinder Singh	SLO/RAVI PARKASH PARUTHI	13 Aug 2019		Reply filed by Others Defendants. CHB filed reply on 3/7/2019.	Yes / 03 Jul 2019	Enforcement Officer.
7	<u>C.S./1857/2014</u>	Civil Suit is ordered to be restored to its original number as appeal filed against order dated 21.11.2016 passed by Sh. Harleen Pal Singh, CJJD Chandigarh has been dismissed as default & petitioner filed an appeal before J.S.Sidhu, ADJ, Chandigarh has also been allowed in respect of transfer of DU No. 972, Dhanas, Chandigarh.	Ashok Kumar / Devi Dayal	SLO/Vinay Kashyap	14 Aug 2019		Consideration.	Yes / 08 Jul 2019	AO(C)
8	<u>APPLICATION/389/2018</u>	Civil Suit has been filed by the plaintiff for restoration of Application dismissed as default vide order dated 14.8.2018 in respect of transfer of 50% share on the suit property i.e. DU No. 2228, Sector 45-C, Chandigarh.	Rahul Sharma / Abhishek sharma & Anr.	SLO-SEEMA	14 Aug 2019		Plaintiff reply. Reply filed by CHB on 19.1.2019	No	AO-II
9	<u>C.S./125/2019</u>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for mandatory	Surinder Kumar /	SLO-SEEMA	14 Aug 2019		Filing of Reply.	No	Enforcement Officer.

Pradeep
Received on
19/8/19

		injunction to remove & demolish the violations & illegal constn. raised by Def. No. 1 to 5 of DU No. 4525, Dr. Ambedkar Colony, Maulijagan, Chandigarh in which CHB is defendant No. 6.	Krishna Devi & Ors					
10	<u>C.S./560/2019</u>	Suit filed by the plaintiff for permanent injunction restraining the defendants from demolishing the need based changes, constn. in DU No. 3207/1, Sector 44-D, Chandigarh and also regularised the Need Base Changes made in DU in question.	Gurbachan Singh Anand / CHB & Anr.	S/O/Shalini Bagdi, Adv/CHB	14 Aug 2019	Plaintiff reply.	Yes / 03 Jul 2019	Enforcement Officer
11	<u>C.S./1781/2017</u>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for mandatory injunction directing the CHB(Def. No. 1) not to transfer the house No. 3420, Maloya, UT, Chandigarh on the basis of decree dated 28.8.2017 passed by Sh. Imanbir Singh Dhaliwal, CJJD, Chandigarh & also directing def. No. 1 & 2 to transfer the DU in favour of plaintiff on the basis of Agreement to sell.	SANJAY KUMAR GARG / CHB & ORS.	S/O/SEEMA	14 Aug 2019	Consideration.	Yes / 06 Sep 2017	AO(C)
12	<u>C.S./2027/2017</u>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for allotment of flats/tenement site on the basis of documentary as well as oral evidence under Chd. Amali Flats Scheme-2006 in lieu of J.No. A-106, Janta Colony, Sector 25, Chandigarh as appeal filed before Def. No. 4(CEO, CHB) u/s 17 has been dismissed by passing a short cryptic, non-speaking order & also suit for mandatory & for permanent injunction restraining the def. from along the flats.	Charanjit Kaur / Chandigarh Admn. & Ors.	LO/Palvinder Singh Sarna	14 Aug 2019	Evidence.	Yes / 09 May 2018	SO(PA)
13	<u>APPEAL/486/2018</u>	Application filed by CHB against award dated 11.11.2017 passed by Sh. H.R.Gangar, IAS(Rt.) in respect of Constn. of 1696. Small Flats at Mauli Jagran-II, Chandigarh(Satish Kumar, Contractor).	CHB / Satish Kumar, Contractor.	LO/GEETA GULATI	14 Aug 2019	Arguments.	Yes / 03 Jul 2018	Arbitration/Engineering
14	<u>APPEAL/575/2018</u>	Application filed by CHB against award dated 11.11.2017 passed by Sh. H.R.Gangar, IAS(Rt.) Providing water supply lines, sewer lines, storm water drainage system Boosting Station and Rain Harvesting Structures for 8448. Small Flats at Dhanas, Chandigarh(Arb/796/2018).	CHB / Jai Bhushan Malik	LO/GEETA GULATI	14 Aug 2019	Arguments.	Yes / 03 Jul 2018	Arbitration/Engineering
15	<u>C.S. 642/2017</u>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of 50% share in respect of DU No. 2768/2, Sector 49, Chandigarh.	Anu Verma / EO & CHB	LO/SHIV PARTAP SING MANN	14 Aug 2019	Argument	Yes / 06 Sep 2017	AO-III
16	<u>C.N. APP/764/2017</u>	Execution Application u/o 21 Rule 11 Read with Section 151 of CPC in respect of illegal construction of DU. No. 3829, Sector 56, Palsora against judgement dated 28.7 2017. Nothing has	Jai Nath / Jagdish & Ors.	LO/SEEMA	14 Aug 2019	Notice & record.	Yes / 01 Feb 2018	Enforcement Officer.

		been claimed against CHB (Def. No. 3)						
17	<u>APPLICATION/152/2016</u>	Application _____ of 2016 in Civil Suit No. 1806/2013 in respect of transfer of DU No. 169, Indira Colony, Manimajra. -	Babli ailas Kamlesh / Chandigarh Admn.	LO/DEEPAK BAJAJ	16 Aug 2019	Notice. No reply required as CHB not a party & reply will be filed as & when CHB proceeded as party.	No	AO(C)
18	<u>C.S./1629/2017</u>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of share of DU No. 1447-B, Sector 61, Chandigarh & also suit for permanent Injunction restraining defendants from alienating by way of sale, exchange gift, mortgage or any other mode of any specific portion partition.	Lakhbir Kaur & Anr. / Jagdip Kaur & Ors.	SLO/SEEMA	16 Aug 2019	Evidence.	Yes / 08 Jul 2019	AO-II
19	<u>C.S./34/2016</u>	Civil Suit No.1370/2016 filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of equal share of Dwelling Unit on the basis of agreement in respect of DU No. 3424, Sector 46-C, Chandigarh on the death of executant.	Rattan Ram / Sital Kaur & Ors.	LO/SUVIR KUMAR	16 Aug 2019	Consideration.	Yes / 29 Jul 2016	AO-IV
20	<u>APPLICATION/400/2016</u>	Application for restoration of civil suit No. 2044/2015 in its original number in respect of possession of Flat No. 1447-B, Sector 61, Chandigarh on the basis of registered WILL dated 4.3.2011	Jagdeep Kaur / Lakhbir Kaur & Ors.	LO/RAJAT NAKRA	16 Aug 2019	Evidence.	Yes / 08 Jul 2019	AO-II
21	<u>C.S./1987/2016</u>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff seeking possession in lieu of tin shed No. 7000, Sector 56, Chandigarh.	Geeta / EO & Anr.	LO/SARABJIT KAUR	16 Aug 2019	Consideration.	Yes / 01 Oct 2018	AO(C)
22	<u>C.S./567/2014</u>	Civil Suit No. 2226/2014 seeking transfer of DU No. 454, Sector 61, Chandigarh on account of unregistered Will.	Ashwani / Jitender Uppal & Others.	LO/GEETA GULATI	16 Aug 2019	Def. evidence.	Yes / 11 Jul 2019	AO-II
23	<u>C.S./1090/2015</u>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiffs in respect of allotment/transfer of DU No. 413, Sector 43-A, Chandigarh qua their share on the basis of WILL dated 17.3.2014.	KARAMJIT KAUR & ANR. / CHB & ANR.	LO/RAJAT NAKRA	16 Aug 2019	Ors. Def. evidence.	Yes / 09 Sep 2015	AO-III
24	<u>C.S./1453/2015</u>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff seeking allotment of tenement site under Self Financing Scheme 2006 for the residents in Vijay Colony, Dera Pir Baba, DMC, UT, Chandigarh.	Ramesh Narwal & Ors. / Chandigarh Admn. & Ors.	LO/VIKAS JAIN	16 Aug 2019	Arguments.	Yes / 08 Jul 2019	SO(PA)
25	<u>C.S./PBT/13/2015</u>	Petitioner has been filed the ptn. u/s 264 & 266 of Indian succession Act for the grant of probate WILL dated 17.2.1990 in respect of DU No. 3419, Sector 40D, Chandigarh.	Subhash Chand / GP & Anr.	LO/SUSHILA BHARDWAJ DR.	16 Aug 2019	Consideration.	Yes / 02 Jul 2019	AO-II
26	<u>C.S./559/2019</u>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff restraining CHB from demolishing the need based changes/constn. raised in DU No. 3208/2, Sector 44-D, Chandigarh.	Gurinder Singh / Chandigarh Housing Board	SLO/SUSHILA BHARDWAJ DR.	16 Aug 2019	Arguments.	Yes / 09 May 2019	Enforcement Officer

27	APPLICATION/480/2018	Applicant filed Civil Misc. Application for restoration of the case which was dismissed in default from the court of Sh. Gaurav Dutta, CJD, Chandigarh vide order dated 13.10.2018 in respect of transfer of DU No. 916, Sector 56, Chandigarh.	Avtar Kaur / CHB	S/O/VIKAS JAIN	16 Aug 2019	Notice & Record.	No	AO(C)
----	----------------------	---	------------------	----------------	-------------	------------------	----	-------