S. No	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter/Prayer	Petitioner/ Respondent	Nodal Officer / Advocate	Next Date	Status	Reply Filed	Concerned
1	APPLICATION /1610/2018	Transfer of Dwelling Unit No. 1469/2; HIG; Sector 43-B, Chandigarh, in the name of applicant Dr. Nirban Singh.	Dr. Nirban Singh / CM, CHB & others	SLO/Alankar Narula	19-03-2019	Another opportunity give to the applicant for Filing of Affidavit.	Yes / 14 Dec 2018	Sranch AO-III
2	EXE /11/2019	Execution Application filed under the provision of State Legal Services Authorities Act 1987 against order dated 13.3.2018 passed by Permanent Lok adalat, Chandigarh regarding excess payment by way of penal interest for delayed payment of instalments received in the auction/sale on lease for Booth No. 8, Sector 48-A, Chandigarh.	Dr. Alka Singh / Chairman, CHB	SLO/ASHISH RAWAL	19-08-2019	List this case after two weeks i.e. on 19.03.2019 to enable the respondent to execute the decree. Respondent is also directed to comply with the order passed by this Court on 09.07.2019	Yes / 08 Iul 2019	AO-Commercial

2 1

## LIST OF COURT CASES PENDING BEFORE HON'BLE SUPREME COURT W.E.F. 19.08.2019 TO 24.08.2019

SLPR )/35662/2016 SLP FILED AGAINST ORDER DATED 28.05.2016 PASSED BY THE HONBLE—CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD / M/S POONAM HIGH COURT IN FAO NO.1361 OF 2015—CONSTRUCTION COMPANY LTD. Brief Subject Matter/Prayer Petitioner/ Respondent SLO/HARVINDER 23 Aug 2019 No Not Required / Being case KAUR order filed by CHB Nodal Officer / Next Date Status
Advocate Reply Filed

## LIST OF COURT CASES PENDING BEFORE HON'BLE NATIONAL COMMISSION NEW DELHI W.E.F. 19.08.2019 TO 24.08.2019

SR, ' No	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter/Prayer	Petiti Respo		Next Date	Status	Reply Filed
1 <u>FA/2</u>	749 <u>3/2019</u>	First Appeal filed by CHB against the order dated 29.11.2018 passed by State Consumer Dispute Commission, U.T., Chandigarh in CC-No.264 of 2017 vide which the complaint is partly accepted and directed to refund the excess amount received by it, from the complainants towards delayed interest for the period from 13.12.2011 to 07.06.2012 alongwith interest @ 6% per annum, to pay compensation in the sum of Rs.7000/- for causing mental ageny and physical harassment, to the and also deficiency in providing service as the OP failed to provide calculation sheet to them des several requests and to pay cost of litigation to the tune of Rs.7000/- to the complainants of dwell No.2308-D, Sector 63, Chandigarh.	with cost payment Chandigar Housing B complainant Chandigar	Board, SLO/RACHNA	20 Aug 2019		No need to filed repl being case filed by CHB

CWP/25584/2017

CWP/313/2017

Brief Subject Matter/Prayer

Respondent Petitioner/

Nodal Officer / Advocate

Reply Filed

Agreement between M/s Dhandi Builders Ltd. respect of construction of 88 Nos. Flats of Cat-II (Group-IV) / DHANDI RAWAL in Sector 51-A. Chandigarh. Writ petition FILED against order dated 21/2/2012 passed by the G.S. Rosha under Clause 2 of Contract

Writ petition FILED against order dated 21/2/2012 passed by the G S Rosha under Clause 2 of Contract

for the issuance of notices by CHB respondent No. 5 to 9 for issuance of notices for Civil Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for asking the respondents No.5 to 9 to show cause as to how and why they have completely ignored the principles of law laid down with regard to the correct legal process

capital of Punjab (Development and Regulation) Act, 1952å6 of section 15 i.e. Annexure P-1/2 and fully eviction/resumption/cancellation in complete disregard of the rules and regulation laid down under after the

confirmed and realifitmed by this Honh@TNble Courts full bench judgement which was decided by the

HonâE<sup>rM</sup>ble Full Bench of this HonâE<sup>tM</sup>ble Court in 1993 reported as AIR 1994 P&H 1 vide Annexure P-

with regard to need-based changes so allowe4d on 23.03.2010 were given a total disaggard to and even the period from 23 March 2010 (Annexure P-4 to 16th March 2018 (Annexure P-5) as the clear-cut directions on 25.09.1990 as well as in para 12, 13 and 17. For issuance of the writ of mandamus directing the 17 at page no. of the paper book which clearly lays down in paras 3, 4 and 5 in LPA 371 of 1989 decided

CHB/respondents No.5 to 9 to explain as to who they have created the schemes between the intervening

the needs sections of society. The conduct of Chandigath Housing Board respondent No.3 to 9 through by the Government of India. The basic social equality objective was to provide cheap affordable housing to completely against the basic spirit under which the Chandigarh Hosing Board was originally incorporated residential leasehold tenure into free hold land tenure rules. 1996, Such a drastic steep charges to were not passed by the parliament of India, which was hidden by the Chandigarh Housing Board original charges leviable from conversion from leasehold to freehold were also not justifiable as the same were LIGAMIG/HIG/HIG upper. The ereation of a charge of conversion fee 50-55 times over and above the charges were leviable on the industrial labour houses/economically weaker section houses/tenements Chandigath Housing Board and the same could only be obtained by the petitioner with great difficulty as and bringing correction greating scheme like å&ecl'atkalå& and the notification of 1996 vide Annexure P authority for the Chandigarh Housing Board respondenta@Ms no.5 to 9 to deviate from the clear-cut policy of INdia & Others for the reason that in the intervening period from 23.03.2010 to 16.03.2018 there was no reason, cause, all the guilty officials who deliberately knowingly violated the implementation of need based changes to mandamus directing CBI respondent NO.10 to register cases under the prevention of corruption act against dwelling units in otter disregard of the full bench judgement mentioned above. For issuance of writ of eviction/resumption/cancellation levy of illegal fines in the form of yearly ground rent from free hold annexare P-7 to P-12 clearly demonstrates that their only intention was to make the Chandigarit Housiag respondent No.5 to 9 from general public via notification related to the Chandigarh Conversion of (cheap houses)/ and only leviable categories for charges for conversion fee from lease hold to free hold from the eyes of the general public and the dwelling unit owners as according to the said notification no the official website of Chandigarh Housing Board was done with the ulterior motive of keeping it indeen the same is not easily available anywhere. The concealment of the notification of 1996 Annexure P-1 from I was hidden from the eyes of the general public as it was deliberately removed from the website of allowed in a committee comprising of the Finance Secretary, Sanjay Kumar IAS and CEO (Chief mentioned below like after l'arkalaft – were brought into effect and even the threat of Executive Officer) of the Housing Board Sh. Sanjeev Kumar and 2 other federations, this is being prayed

Roard respondent No. S to 9 a money-making machine not for the board but for themselves. This is

Agreement between M/s Dhandi Builders Ltd. respect of construction of 96 Nos. Flats of Cat-II (Group-III) / DHANDI RAWAL in Sector 51-A, Chandigarh. BUILDERS LTD. CHANDIGARH BUILDERS CHANDIGARH 19 Aug 2019 Fixed for arguments 'Yes 19 Aug 2019 Fixed for arguments Yes

Sangathan / Union Pravasi Bhalai SLO/SHEKHAR 19 Aug 2019 Arguments.

	•		•	٠.				
. ,	٠,,							
•		absolutely clear from the fact that the maximum undering						
،	•	Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing the orders dated 02.12.2014 as well as the orders dated		•	•		•	
_		01.12.2015 as well as, orders dated 17.05.2018 vide which the respondents have rejected the claim of the	•			•		
		petitioner by directing her to apply afresh for conversion of the property by going against the proviso						
		mentioned in the Notification dated 07.11.2007 in which the rules deals with the power of the respondent	Indu / Union					
		to the state of th	Territory	SLO/ASHISH	19 Aug 2019	For arguments.	Yes	•
4	CWP/21502/2018	respondent No.3 (Secretary, CHB exercising the powers of Estate Officer, UT, Chandigarh) has not	Chandigarh & Ors	RAWAL -				
	·	considered the prayer of the petitioner dated 18.06.2015 received on 21.07.2015 as well as the letter	Cittinoi Ettii ca (312				•	`
		marked by the respondent No.4 to the respondent No.3 for issuance of the directions dated 19.08.2014 if	•					
		the conveyance deed has been registered or not, which was not accepted by the Respondent Nop.3 by going		•		•		
		against the notification dated 07.11.2007.(Conversion Case of D.U. No.3219/1. Sector 47-D. CHD)			•	•••		
		Writ Petition filed by the subject cited petitioner for quashing the order dated 24.04.2019 passed by	Veena Ahuja / U. L.,		•	•	•	
		Respondent No.3, and also interpreting of Clause 15 of order No.59 dated 15.02.2019 (Latest Policy of the	Chandigarn through	 - 64 75/611EP U A B		police		
5	CWP/20211/2019	Board) superseding all previous policies. And further for directing the respondents to allow the additional	Administrator	SLO/SHEKHAR VERMA	20 Aug 2019	For filing toply	No	
		construction of 150 Sq.ft or 50% of the area of rear court yard/terrace whichever is lesser is allowed under		VIJIXIVIZX			1	
		the Policy. She further prayed to regularize the additional construction upto 150 Sq.ft in accordance with	Others				· .	
		the porter.	Officia	•		The state of the s	÷	
		Petition was filed by the petitioner for directing the respondents to exempt the land of passage existing in	,		•		Į.	
		Khasra Nol.21/10/16/1, 55, 38/2, 39 with width of 11 feet which has been acquired vide Notification under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act dated 27.09.2006 (Annexure P-1) shown in Yellow Color in Site	Prakash Nath &			,		
6	CWP/11844/2018	A Control of the Cont	Ors. / U.T.,	SLO/Gagandeep	20 Aug 2019	Arguments.	Yes	
C)	<u>C.35171134472010</u>	constructing a wall by the respondents shown in Red Color in Site Map (With Proposed Wall) Annexure P-	Chandigarh &	Singh Vasu	J		•	
•		10. Further prayed that during the pendency of the writ petition respondents be directed not to close the	Another				•	
	ř.	only possession shown in Yellow Color of Site Map (Annexure P-9).					1	
						Present:- Petitioner		
•						in person. None for		
						respondents No.1 to		
	•					6, Mr. Ashok Kumar		
			Atamjit Singh			Nabhewala.		
			Thind / UT.	\$LO/INDRESH	20 Aug 2019	Advocate for respondents No.7 to	Voc / 11 Son 2018	
7	. <u>CWP/19276/2018</u>	Chandigarh	Chandigarh &	GOEL.	20 Aug 2019	9, Adjourned to	1 CS / 1 C (SEP 2016)	
			others			20.08.2019. (DAYA		
						CHAUDHARY)		
•						JUDGE 16.08.2019		
	•					(SUDHIR		
						MITTAL)JUDGE		
		Civil Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing the office order dated 28.02.2018 and Resolution-						
	•	dated 27.02.2018 against Table Agenda Point No.409.1 passed in 409th meeting held on 27.02.2018 to the						
	•	extent that the Chandigarh Housing Board has reso9lved that the extensions in service beyond the age of						
		superannuation to its employees will not be granted extension already granted to its employees will be	Sudhir Kumar					
**		treated as revoked except those employees, whose extensions are ongoing, who shall be relieved and	Bhakri/ Chanigarh	SLO/Arjun	22 Aug 2019	Arouments	Yës	
8	<u>CWP/5424/2018</u>	retired, on attaining the ages of 59 or 60 years as the case may be. AND Further for directions to the	Housing Board &	Sharma	227102			
		respondents to restore the office order dated 12.02.2018 whereby the accepting the Option dated	Others					
		30,10,2017 submitted by the petitioner to continue in service for first years beyond 28,02,2018 was					•	
		accepted and the petitioner was allowed to continue in service for a period of one (1) more year i.e. up to						
	•	28.02.2019.				•		
		(CWP/8053-2018) CWP was filed by the FI petitioners for quashing the resolution dated 27.02.2018	18.1 (2) 1 (1) 12	CLAMNINGSIALL			_	
		12 3 1 mag. Lating of the figure and the state of the state	Daler Singh / U.T.,	"SPORMER WIT	22 303 2010	Aconomicans	11.8	
• ;	<u>CW1/8953-2018</u>	against Table Agenda Point No.409.1 passed in 409th meeting of CHB held on 27.02.2018 to the extent it	Charallarich & Ors		22 Aug 2019	Arguments	Yes	
•;	<u>CW1//8953-2018</u>	against Table Agenda Point No.409.1 passed in 409th meeting of CHB held on 27.02.2018 to the extent it has been revolved that the extensions in service beyond the age of Superannuation to its employees will not	Charallarich & Ors		22 Ang 2019	Arguments	Yes	

or produce of the same of the

i v v <del>i</del>

be granted and extension already granted to its employees will be treated as revoked except those employees, whose extensions are ongoing who shall be relieved and retired on attaining the ages of 59 to 60 years as the case may be. And further for directing the respondents to grant/restore the benefit of extension in service not only to the employees of Chandigarh Administration but also to the employees of Boards and Corporation etc.

Civil Writ Petition filed for quashing the action of the respondents whereby, the names of the petitioners have not been included in the list of residents of Notified Industrial Area, Labour Colony No.5 Burail, Chandigarh for allotment of flat under the scheme (The Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006) dated 06.11.2006 as the petitioners are having their valid voter identity card prepared by the Election Department, but despite that their names have not been included in the list of residents of the notified Burail Labour Colony No.5, for allotment of the flats under the above said scheme. Such action of the respondent is discriminatory and in violative of Articles 14 & 16 of the Constitution of India. AND 3. Further for directing the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioners, for allotment of flat, in the light of Clause No.3 & 4 of the Notification No.11/6/106-UTFI(2)-2009/7519 dated 09.11.2009 issued by the respondents and include the names of the petitioners in the list of residents, eligible for allotment of flat in the notified Industrial Area, Labour Colony No.5. Burail, Chandigarh under the above said scheme. AND 4. For directing the respondents to consider and decide the legal notice dated 07.01.2019 in the light of judgement dated 22.12.2014 passed by the Honáe<sup>TM</sup>ble Court as the petitioners have sufficient proofs of their residence of act recognised resident in its notified colony. (Notified Industrial Area, Labour Colony No.5. Burail, Chandigarh)

Civil Writ Petition under article 226 and 227 of the constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing of order dated 22.12.2016 passed by the permanent Lok Adalat Public Utility Services Union Territory, Chandigarh annexure and A writ in the nature of mandamus for issuance of directions to the respondents for allotment of flat dwelling unit to the petitioner being Kashmiri Migrant as the petitioner is eligible candidate for the allotment of flat dwelling unit.

Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for setting aside of impugned order dated 13.02.2008 passed by the Appellate Authority-cum-Chief Executive Officer. CHB in Appeal No.160 of 2018 through which the appeal of the petitioner for allotinent of flat under the Small Flat Scheme has been rejected. (Jhugt No.B-191 in Nehru & Small Flat Scheme)

ι,			•		
:	Babu Lal & Others / U.T., Chandigarh & Others	SLO/INDRESH GOEL	22 Aug 2019	Reply handed over to the counsel on 14.08.2019 for filing before the next date	No
Jı	•••				
		÷	;		-
e S	Meena Arora / Chandigarh Administration & Ors	SEO/Survir Shegal	23 Aug 2019	Arguments	Yes / 07 May 2018
	Nathu Ram / Union Territory Chandigarh and Others	SLO/Akansha Sawhney,	23 Aug 2019	Arguments ·	No

•

CWP/10346/2019

CWP/0#492/2017

12 <u>CWP/16945-201</u>3

## ADVANCE LIST OF DISTT. COURT CASES FROM 19.08.2019 TO 23.08.2019

SN	o Case No.	Brief Subject Matter/Prayer	Petitioner/ Respondent	Nodal Officer / Advocate	Previous Date	Next Date	Status	Reply Filed	Concerned Branch
1	C.S./24/2016	Civil Suit filed by plaintiff for mandatory injunction for Defendant No. 4 (CHB, seeking transfer of DU No. 4954, Maloya Colony, UT, Chandigarh.	Dhannu / Bikram & Ors.	LO/SUSHILA BHARDWAJ DR.	19 Aug 2019		Consideration.	Yes / 02 Mar 2016	AO-Colony
2	C.S./953/2016	Civil Suit has been filed by the plaintiff regarding allotment of flat/tenement site in lieu of J.No. 314, Kumhar Colony, Sector 25, Chandigarh.	Anil Kumar / Secretary, Chandigarh Admn. & Ors.	LO/ANANT PAL SINGH	19 Aug 2019			Yes / 15 Feb 2018	SP(PA)
3.	C.S./1421/2016	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff seeking transfer of 1/4th share in respect of H.No. 4782/B, Sector 38(W), Chandigarh.	Angelina Gill & Ors. / Vidya Sagar & Ors.	, ,	19 Ang 2019			Yes / 15 Oct 2018	AO-Colony
4	C.S./1849/2016	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff seeking transfer of 100% share on the basis of Regd. WILL/inheritance in respect of DU No. 2671, Sector 40-C, Chandigarh.	Vijay Kumar / Chandigarh Housing Board & Ors.	-1 L 17 N 15 15 A7 A	  19 Aug  2019 	i	Consideration. Reply filed on 6.2.2017	Yes / 06 Sep 2017	VO-II
5 ,	C.S./243/2018	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for mandatory injunction directing CHB for remove/demolish illegal/unauthorized construction in respect of DU No. 796/41-A, Chandigarh.	Sarbjit Singh Hira / CHB & Ors:	SLO/GEETA GULATI	: 19 Aug 2019		Evidence.	,	Enforcement Officer.
6 -	C.S./1857/2014	Civil Suit is ordered to be restored to its original number as appeal filed against order dated 21.11.2016 passedby Sh. Harleen Pal Singh, CJJD Chandigarh has been dismissed as default & petitioner filed an appeal before J.S.Sidhu, ADJ, Chandigarh has also been allowed in respect of transfer of DU No. 972, Dhanas, Chandigarh.	Ashok Kumar / Devi Dayal	, ,	19 Aug 2019		Arguments.	Yes / 08 Jul 2019	AO-Colony
 7	<u>C.S./2369/2018</u>	Civil suit has been filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer/mutation of DU No.2580, Sector 44-C. Chandigarh.	Prem Kumari / Onkar Devi	SLO/SURINDER PAL KAUR	19 Aug 2019		Evidence.	Yes / 08 Oct 2018	AO-IV
8	C.S./837/2014	compliance of the award dated 10.12.1996 read		SLO/VIKAS JAIN	19 Aug 2019	. '	Consideration.	Yes / 11 Jul 2018	ΛΟ(Society)
9	APPLICATION/480/2018		Avtar Kaur / CHB	SLO/VIKAS	19 Aug		Notice &	No.	AO(Colony)

					A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	and the second s			
	A WARRING TO SECOND	restoration of the case which was dismissed in default from the court of Sh. Gaurav Dutta, CJJD, Chandigarh vide order dated 13.10.2018 in respect	'	JAIN	2019	Record.		- MARINE MARINE	
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	of transfer of DU No. 916, Sector 56, Chandigarh.				I	,	,	
10	C.S./1234/2019	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff to record the ownership of the plaintiff to transfer the extent of 8.33% share in respect of DU No. 1014, Sector 43-1B, Chandigarh.	Pranav Sikri / CHB &		20 Aug 2019	Reply.	No	AO-III	
سادي سادر	The second problem approximately of a contract of the second of the seco	Execution Application filed by Decree Holder	p company were			E			
ij	EX.APP/880/2016	against order dated 5.11.2014 passed by PLA for		- ' <u></u>	20 Aug 2019	Consideration on objection.	No	SO(PA)	
10	<u>C.S./285/2017</u>	Civil Suit for mandatory injunction directing Def. No. 1 & 2 to transfer H.No. 3100, HB Colony, Dhanas in the name of plaintiff on the basis of WILL dated 24.7.2015 & permanent Injunction restraining from transferring in the name of Def.	Ravi Kamal / CHB &		20 Aug 2019	.r vidence	Yes / 11 Sep 2017	AO-Colony	
	•	No. 3 on the basis of WILL dated 7.6.2016 or in the name of any person.				1	<u> </u>		
13	EX.APP/183/2017	· Only summon received for allotment of alternative	Ram Lal / Chandigarh Administration & Ors.	LO/VIKAS JAIN	21 Aug 2019	Consideration.	Yes / 28 Aug 2017	SO(PA)	
14	EA.AFF/245/2010	Execution Petition U/o 21 Rule 11 read with Section 36 of the Arbitration & Concilliation Act 1996 for award dated 11.11.2017 passed by Sh. H.R.Gangar, IAS(Rt.) Sole Arbitrator in respect of		•	21 Aug 2019 #	Consideration. Objection filed by CHB in the main case 23.2.2018	Yes / 23 Feb	EE- VI(PH)/Arbitration	1
15	EX.APP/32/2015	Dhanas, Chandigarh.  Execution Application filed by the applicant	EO e CHD	 LO/ANANT PAL SINGH	. 21 Ang 2019	Consideration.	Yes / 02 Jul 2019	l ISO(PA)	
16	<u>V.D.//2010</u>	Remand Back from ADJ, Chandigarh to Civil	Chaman Lal Goyal /		21 Aug 2019	Defendant evidence. Reply filed by CHB on 7.5.2018	Yes / 07 May 2018	AO-111	4 • • •
17	C.S./533/2018	Illegal Constn. of DU No. 3734, Village Maloya.	Sadar Singh Bisht /	SLO/ANJU		4.4	. Yes / 09 May	AO-Colony	

. •			•						
			The second of th	Kedari & Anr.	RATHORE	2019	Wrongly noted by counsel.	2018	
	18	<u>C.S./992/2018</u>		Mohan Lal @ Mohan Ram & Anr. / EO/CHB	SLO/SEEMA	21 Aug 2019	Evidence.	Yes / 01 Oct 2018	SO(PA)
	19	C.S./2903/2018		Rakesh Kumar Sharma / Chandigarh Housing Board.	SLO/SARABJIT KAUR	21 Aug  2019	Consideration CHB	Yes / 08 Jul 2019	AO-IV
	20	<u>C.S./3260/2018</u>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for mandatory injunction directing the defendant No. 1 to transfer the ownership of DU No. 2556, Sector 44-C, Chandigarh on the basis of WILL.	Neelam @ Kuldip Kaur / CHB & Ors.	SLO/SAJAL KOSAR	21 Aug 2019	Replication.	Yes / 03 Jul 2019	AO-IV
	21	C.S./245/2019 •	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff seeking allotment of flat under Chd. Small Flat 2006 & also transfer of flat being legal heir in lieu of J.No. 150, Block a€ K' Colony No. 4, Indl. Area, Chandigarh.	Rishi Raj / EO & Ors.	SLO/Alankar Narula	21 Aug 2019	Evidence.	Yes / 02 Jul 2019	SO(PA)
	<b>2</b> 2 .	C.S./3002/2019	in respect of DUNo. 2021(CE). Sector 44-1)	Vijender Kumar Nirmal / Nanak Singh & Ors.	SLO/Rajat Pabbi	22 Aug 2019	Consideration	  Yes/11 Jul  2019	AO IV
	23.	<u>APPEAL/202/2010</u>	Appeal filed by the Appellant against order dated 7.5.2019 of Ms. Saloni Gupta, CJJD, Chandigarh seeking transfer of House under GPA transfer Policy in respect of DU No. 177/1, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh.	Manju Tiyagi / CHB	SLO/RAJIV SHARMA-18	22 Aug : 2019	Case Filed	No	Ao-IV
	<u>'`</u>	C.S./1931-/2015	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff seeking mandatory injunction for directing not to transfer of DU No.,	Nirmal Kaur & Ors. / Geeta Rani & Anr.	LO/DEEPALI PURI	22 Aug 2019	Evidence.	Yes / 15 Feb 2016	AO-III
,	25	<u>C.S./2339/2018</u>	Civil Suit has been filed by the plaintiff for transfer	Balwinder Kaur / Kashmir Kaur & Ors.	SLO/RAJIV SHARMA-18	22 Aug 2019	Plaintiff reply for our application under 7 Rule 11 of CPC filed by Advocate.	Yes / 20 Sep 2018	Ac-IK
	26	<u>C.S./xxxxx/2018</u>	Civil Suit No. CSCJ/129/2018 filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of suit property DU No. 780/1.		SEO/VIKAS JAIN	22 Aug 2019 .	Replication.	Yes / 23 Apr   2018	190-IV

Control of the Contro

) 	en de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la companya del la companya de la	Sector 41-A, Chandigarh				Control of the contro	Constitutible, will be with	The second secon
27	C.S./2006/2016	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff seeking transfer of properties i.e. DU No. 1238, Sector 43-B, Chandigarh & 14th share in agriculture land on WILL basis.	Indu Munjal / Chandigarh Housing Board & Ors.	LO/SEEMA	23 Aug 2019	Appn. reply. CHB file its Reply on 4.7.2017.	Yes / 11 Jul 2019	Ao III
28	ARB. APP./2304/2018	Arbitration matter filed before Chandigarh Arbitration Centre, Sector 17, Chandigarh (Sole Arbitrator Mr. Justice S.N.Aggarwal, Retd.) for settlement of disputes under clause 25 of the contract agreement in respect of composite work construction of 2304/SF at Dhanas, Chandigarh Gr-III.	Kamladitya Constn. Pvt. Ltd. / Chandigarh Honsing Board	SLO/VISHAL SODHI	23 Aug 2019	Arguments. Affidavit to be filed by Board on 13.6.2019 and than arguments.	Yes	Accolony)
29 ;	C.S./2302/2015	Civil Suit filed by the petitioner regarding transfer of allotment of DU No. 431, Sector 45-A, Chandigarh.	Sairab Usmani & Ors. / Abdul Shaheed Usmani & Ors.	LO/SAJAL KOSAR	23 Aug 2019	' · ·	Yes / 08 Jul 2019	AO-II
30	C.S./3121/2018	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer the ownership of flat No. 2198-D, Sector 63, Chandigarh on the basis of WILL.	VEDWATI / LAKHBIR KAUR & ORS.	SLO/SUSHILA BHARDWAJ DR.	23 Aug 2019	Filing of reply.	No	A0-111
31	EX-APP/1074/2018	Execution filed by Decree Holder against order dated 31.7.12014 in Civil Suit No. 530 of 13.1.2012 in respect of allotment of flat under Self Financing Scheme 2008 in Sector 63, Chandigarh.		SLO/SUSHILA BHARDWAJ DR.	23 Aug 2019	Notice & record. Objection filed by CHB on	No	AO-III
32	OTHERS/609/2016			SLO/Amandeep Singh Sohal	23 Aug 2019	Reply. Reply already filed by EE-VI(PH).	No	EE-VI (PH)

## STATE COMMISSION CASES FROM 19.08.2019 TO 23.08.2019

SNo Case No.	Brief Subject Matter/Prayer	Petitioner/ Respondent	Nodal Officer / Advocate	Previous Next date Date Date	Status	Reply Filed	Remarks
	Complaint under Section 17 of the Consumer Protection Act filed before State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, UT, Chandigarh	Gulsnower / CHB & Ors.	SLO/VISHAL SODHI		Seek instructions.	Yes/12 Jul 2019	

prayed that to summon for record & direct OP to	. ]	ţ.					ł	
transfer the ownership of Booth No. 12, sector 61,	•				ļ			
Kajheri & also to pay the compensation on	;	l ;	•	•	:	•		
account of mental harassment & also to take	:	i						
action against the official concerned responsible	į	j			j			
for delay & further to pay the cost of litigation (	ļ	1		•				
iRs.55,000/-	٠.				. 4		1	

÷ .

• •

.