COURT CASES PENDING BEFORE HON'BLE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA W.E.F. 26.08.2019 TO 31.08.2019

SR. No	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter/Prayer	Petitioner/ Respondent	Nodal Officer / Advocate	Next Date	Status	Reply Filed
1 <u>C.A</u>	./10748/2016	SLP filed against the orders dated 11.05.2016 passed by the Hon'ble NCDRC, New Delhi in Complaint Case No.19 of 2011 - Surinder Singh Atwal Vs M/s Parsvnath Developers Ltd & Others	Chandigarh Housing Board / Parsvnath Developers Ltd. & Anorther	SLO/RACHNA JOSHI ISSAR	26 Aug 2019	No order	Not Required / Being CHB filed the SLP/Case

Perevision 78819.

COURT CASES PENDING BEFOE HON'BLE NATIONAL COMMISSION NEW DELHI W.E.F. 26.08.2019 TO 31.08.2019

Sr. No	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter/Prayer	Petitioner/ Respondent	Nodal Officer / Next Date Advocate	e Status I	Reply Filed	Remarks
	NC/MA NO.525/2017	The abovementioned matter was listed before the Hon'ble National Commission (Court No. 2; Item No. 59, Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice V K Jain, Presiding Member) on 31.10.2018. As instructed, further time was sought for filing affidavit. The Hon'ble commission was granted 2 weeks' time as last opportunity for filing the reply of the affidavit subject to payment of cost of Rs.10,000/- Please send Demand Draft in the name of Registrar, National Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, New Delhi, Payable at New Delhi for Rs.10,000/ Next date of hearing fixed in the matter is 16.11.2018.	Chandigarh / Jeevan Adhaar Cooperative House Building Society Ltd.	SLO/RACHNA 29 Aug JOSHI ISSAR 2019	andhus tour neada trons todar		Reply as prepared by the counsel was handed over to her during the visit at her office on 22.08.2019

LIST OF COURT CASES PENDING BEFORE HON'BLE HIGH COURT W.E.F.	37 00 3010 TO 31 0	# 2010 -
A DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY	20.08.2017 10 21.0	ر د ب شرور
THE ARCOURT CASES PENIHNG REFURE HON DEBUILDING COURT TO STATE OF THE		

. '	LIST OF COURT CASES PENDING BEFORE TO A SELECTION SELECT	Petitioner/	Nodal Officer / Advocate	Next Date	Status	Reply Filed
Case No.	Brief Subject Matter/Prayer	Respondent	Advocare		•	
<u>VP/14572/2017</u>	deh land, during the acquisition of eleven villages for development of Phase-2 of the city of Chandigarh and besides there were families whose land was acquired during the Phase-2 of acquisition. And for directing the respondents to allow all the families of the legal heirs of the oustees to be considered as eligible candidate for the said scheme. Further for directing the respondents to allot flats/dwelling units to the petitioners/LRâ€TMs of the oustees at per 1965 rates and to frame a comprehensive scheme for rehabilitation of oustees of Chandigarh (Phase-II)/petitioners within a specific time before issuing any other Housing Scheme and for directing the respondents to reserve/allot plots/dwelling units to such oustees/petitioners whose villages i.e. houses/land were acquired for development of Chandigarh at the prices prevailing at the time of acquisition of villages. CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing the impugned order dated 07.11.2017 issued by the Accounts Officer,	GOPAL SINGH & ORS / CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND ORS	SLO/SHEKHAR VERMA	26 Aug 2019 No c	order	Yes / 07 May 2018
: <u>WP/26186/2017</u>	Property Allotment Committee Respondent No.4 for MIO(1 Wo Bed Rooms) The Property Allotment of Dwelling oustees of U.T., Chandigarh on lease hold basis/free hold basis under the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling units of the Oustees of Chandigarh, Scheme 1996ac and writ of mandamus directing the respondent No.3 & 4	Ajit Singh / U.T., Chandigarh & Others	SLO/Arjun Sharma	26 Aug 2019 No	order	Yes / 26 Oct 2018
	rejecting the application Form No.1101 of the petitioner is integal, that have vote the circumstances of the scheme and pass any other direction or order as this Hon'ble Court may deemed fit in the circumstances of the scheme and pass any other direction or order as this Hon'ble Court may deemed fit in the circumstances of the scheme and pass any other direction or order as the scheme of the write region one flat of MIG (Two Bed		•	•		
	Rooms) Plats may kindly be kept reserved and the saide may not be uncered as any particular to the said and the saide may not be uncered as any particular to the said and the	•				•
. *	decided by this Hon ble Court.		•			
:	hold basis/free hold basis under the Chandigarn Anotheri of December 1996ac framed on 30.01.2017 being illegal, arbitrary, discriminatory, irrational and has no nexus with the objective to be achieved i.e. resettlement of land owners who have been rendered landless on account of acquisition of their land and every land owner is entitled to allotment of flat as per his/their legibility as each of acquisition of their land and every land owner is entitled to allotment of flat as per his/their legibility as each of	h .			•	·
<u>:wp/27481/2017</u>	consideration the rights of the petitioner as joint owners and contact the property further declaring that the impugned letter/order dated 07.11.2017 issued by the Accounts Officer, Chandigarh Housing Board, Respondent No.3 rejecting the application Form No.1906 of the petitioner by the Property Allotment Committee Respondent No.4 for MIG(Two Bed Rooms) Flats under the â@e263 Flats for oustees of U.T., Chandigarh on lease hold basis/free hold	Chandigarh & Ors	SLO/Arjun Sharma	26 Aug 2019 No	order	Yes / 08 Jan 2019
5	quashed and writ of mandamus directing the respondents NO.3 & 4 to another control of MIG (Two Bed Chandigarh, Also further prayed that the during the pendency of the writ petition, one flat of MIG (Two Bed Rooms) Flats may kindly be kept reserved and the same may not be allotted to any person till the matter is		·	·		
<u>(7W1729637/2017</u>	decided by this Honac while Court. CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing condition/clause III (vii) of the a@Chandigarh Housing Board Oustee Scheme-2017 and also the letter dated 27.10.2017 holding the petitioners not eligible for the allotment of a flat cach under the Oustees Quota, the same being highly illegal, irrational, arbitrary, discriminatory, capricious, violative of Article 14 and 18 of the Constitution of India and right under the teeth of the judgement of Hona@Phile Full Benefit of this Hona@Phile Court in the case of Jarmail Sigh and others V State of Punjab and others (2011(1) RCR Civil 915) AND A writ mandamus directing the respondents to consider case of each of	Union Territory. Chandigarh & Othe	SLO/Arjun Sharma	26 Aug 2019 - N	o order ·	Yes / 16 May 2018

. 		10	9 .	
SWF2445/2018	CWP/02862/2018	CWP/27520/2018	CWP/16945/2018	CWP/10992/2018
Civil Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for directing the Respondents to pay interest earned on the excess/surplus deposited amount of Rs.4.37.108/- on 29.11.2011 : Rs.8.17.632/- on 25.4.2012 å6° Total Rs.12.54.740/-) Retained by the Respondents in their fixed deposit since 2011 an now refunded after 5-6 years in pursuance to directions issued by this Honå@Puble Court in writ/Contempt proceedings in 2017, whereby despite the said principal amount admittedly lying in excess/surplus with the respondent and despite the respondents utilizing the amount and exerced FDR interest on excess amounts for 5-6 years resulting in their own unjust enrichment at the cost of the petitioner, the interest clement is being unjustifiably & unfairly withheld, (ceneral Self Financing Housing Scheme -2008 for General Public, Sector 63. Chandigarh āC° 2173/B. Sector	petition was filed by the petitioner for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus and certiorari for quashing the order Annexure P-3 as the same is passed in ignorance of the Civil Writ Petitioner No.15130 of 2016 passed by order dated 17.04.2017 (Annexure P-1) and further directing the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioner; as the petitioner is duly competent in all respects to get the allotment of the flat under EWS Scheme being having sufficient proofs however, the claim of the petitioner had declined and his non altotment of the said flat is discriminatory and is in violation of Article 14 and 16 of the Constitution of India.(25, Sector 52, Col. No.5, Chandlgach)	lease hold/free hold basis under the Chandigarh Alloument of Dwelling Units of the Oustees of Chandigarh Scheme 1996" framed on 30.01.2017 being illegal, arbitratry, discriminatory, irrational and has no nexus with the objective e to be achieved i.e. resettlement of land owners who have been rendered landless on account of acquisition of their land and every land owner is entitled to allotment of flat as per his/their legibility as each of the co-shares has independent right and title to enjoy the possession and the said clause does not take into consideration the rights of the petitioner as joint owners and violates the right of the petitioner as co-sharer and further declaring that the impugned letter/order dated 15.12.2017 issued by the joint name of Surinder Singh /Potitioner) alone as the application Form No.1184 was submitted only by the petitioner and further the letter dated 11.10.2018 directing the petitioner to get the dispute sought out in the Civil Court as per law which is illegal as the objectors i.e. Respondent No.6 & 7 never applied for the said dwelling unit under the said dwelling unit bearing 2075/E. Sector 63. Chandigarh only to the petitioner as per altorment letter dated 15.12.2017 and delete the name of Paramjit Singh in the said allotment letter.	ng aside of impugned order dated 13.02.2008 passed by the Difficer, CHB in Appeal No.160 of 2018 through which the appeal of Small Flat Scheme has been rejected.(Jhugi No.B-191 in Nehru âccion the Change vii) of 263 Flats for Onsteeds of U.T. Chandipart on	CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing the order dated 07.11.2017 passed by the Respondent No.3 whereby the application submitted by the petitioner For Allotment of MIG (2 Bed Room) Flat under accounters Scheme 2017ac of U.T., Chundigarh on lease hold basis/free hold basis under the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling Units of the Oustees of Chandigarh Scheme, 1996ac has been rejected by the Property Allotment Committee/Respondent No.4 in violation of the Judgement dated 01.10.2010 passed by the full bench of this High Court. Further for directing the respondents to consider the application of the petitioner for the allotment of MIG (2 Bed Room) Flat in Chandigarh to the petitioner in respect of application submitted being oustee as per the scheme of U.T., Chandigarh And further prayed that during the pendency of the writ petition, one Flat of MIG (2 Bed Room) may kindly be kept reserved and the same may not be allotted to any person till the matter is decided by this Honactmeller Fligh Court.
Tripet Pai Singh / Chandigarh Housing Board	Lakhwinder Singh / . U.T., Chasndigarh & Others.	Surinder Singh / U.T., Chandigarh & Others	Nathu Ram / Union Territory Chandigarh and Others	Surjit SIngh / Union Territory, Chandigarh & Others
SLOZINDRESH 27 Aug 2019 GOEL	SLO/AJAY PAL 27 Aug 2019 REHAN	SLO/SHEKHAR 26 Aug 2019 VERMA	SLO/Akunsha .26 Aug 2019 Sawhney.	SLO/SHEKHAR 26 Aug 2019 VERMA
J19 Arguments. No order on 11.03.2019	319 No order	For filing reply. Reply prepared by the counsel—and sent to Cao/CHB for approval and Signatures	019 00	019 No order
. Ves. 25 May 2018	Yes / 06 Aug 2018	Z.	%	Yes / 08 Jan 2019

建多二醇香港等 養 建粉丁等

petitioner in respect of application submitted being oustee as per the scheme of U.T., Chandigarh And further prayed that during the pendency of the writ petition, one Flat of LIG Flat may kindly be kept reserved and the same may not be allotted to any person till the matter is decided by this HonāëTMble High Court.

	63. Chandigarh.)					
13 <u>CWP/25987/2017</u>	CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing the minutes of meeting dated 09.02.2016 whereby respondents decided	Bhupinder Rana / U.T Chandigarh & Ors.	. SLO/Arjun Sharma	27 Aug 2019	Arguments, No order on 11.03.2019.	Yes / 08 1≈ == eb 2018
14 <u>CWP/11716/2015</u>	Writ Petition filed by the subject cited petitioner for quashing the impugned order dated 06.02.2014 communicated on 28.05.2014 passed by the respondent No.2 being contrary to the order dated 01.08.2012 and dated 16.10.2012 passed by the Hon'ble Court in CWP No.1586 of 2011 and order dated 10.06.2004 passed by the respondent no.2 itself. And to issue a writ directing the respondents to make the allotment of dwelling unit to the petitioner as have been allotted to the similarly situated persons or to make the allotment of dwelling unit No.6287, Sector 56, Chandigarh to him which is still lying vacant and kept reserved in furtherance to the order dated 10.06.2004 passed by the Respondent No.2/competent authority.		SLO/Sanjeev Sharma Sr. Counsel	27 Aug 2019	The present petition is pending since 2015. On the last date of hearing, there was request for an adjournment on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2. The request was accepted and last opportunity was granted but today also, none is present on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2. Adjourned to 27.08.2019. Mr. Pankaj Jain, Senior Standing counsel for U.T. Chandigarh is directed to be present in the Court on the next date of hearing. Registry is directed to send a copy of this order to Mr. Pankaj Jain, Senior Standing counsel for U.T. Chandigarh. (DAYA CHAUDHARY) JUDGE (SUDHIR MITTAL) JUDGE July 18, 2019	Yes/15 A ug 2015
15 <u>COCP/2307/2015</u>	COCP filed by the petitioner for non compliance of the order dated 23.03.2013 and subsequent order dated 13.12.2013passed om CM No.9619/2012 in CWPO No.20578 of 2011 decided on 23.03.2012	RESIDENT WELFARE FEDRATION - SECTOR 45-A / U.T. ADMINISTRATION AND OTHERS	SLO/Sanjeev Sharma Sr. Counsel	27 Aug 2019	Arguments. No order on 11.03.2019.	Yes
16 <u>CWP/1983/2017</u>	Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing the impugned clauses (iii) and (iv) of Para XI of the Scheme Brochure (Annexure P-1) which are illegal and unreasonable interest & Penalty clauses being imposed on the surrender/cancellation of flat(s) and for quashing the impugned order dated 04.02.2016 (Annexure P-14) passed by the respondents vide which the respondents had asked the petitioner to deposit more amount of Rs.14.73.035/- instead of passing a speaking order in compliance to the directions dated 27.11.2015 (Annexure P-13) passed by this Honâc Mble Court with regard to the legitimate claim of the petitioner for refund of his bird correct managery of Rs.8.75.000/- in lieu of Two	Arun Kumar / Chandigarh Housing Board & Ors	SLO/Arjun Sharma	30 Aug 2019	No order, Fixed for Arguments	Yes / 20 Jul 2018
17 <u>CWP:15644:2019</u>	Civil Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for directing the Respondent No. (CHB) not to create or mark any third party rights at the behest of the private respondents or Respondent NO. 2 and to further restrain from taking any coercive action against the property of the petitioner bearing House No.5819. Modern Housing Complex, Manimajra, Chandigarh on the basis of loan fraudulently obtained by the private respondents from	Li Gen.(Reid.) Surju Singh / Chandigarh Housing Board & Ors	SLO/Rakesh Sobti	30 Aug 2019	Amended Reply sent by the counsel has been forwarded to CAO CHB for approval and Signatures.	S No

ADVANCE DISTRICT COURT CASES FROM 26.8.19 TO 31.8.19

	case No	iBne! Subject Matter/Prayer المراجعة المراجعة المراجعة المراجعة المراجعة المراجعة المراجعة المراجعة المراجعة ا	T Petitioner/Respondent	Nodal Officer / Advocate	Next Date	Status	us Reply, Filed	Concerned
1	C.S./827/2015	Regarding allotment of flat (PDL matter)	Vasudev Vs. Inder Pal	LO/PRÉETI NIGAM	26 Aug 2019 -	Ors. Defendant evidence.	Yes / 04 Oct 2017	•
2	C.S./749/2017	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of DU No. 3123/1, Sector 47-D, Chandigarh on the basis of registered WILL.						
-	C.3./749/2017		Prabhjit Singh / Kulwant Kaur	LO/Siddharth Gulati	26 Aug 2019	Evidence.	Yes / 15 Feb 2018	AO-III
3	C.S./2702/2018		Ranjit Singh / Vijay Singh & Ors.	SLO/REENA CHAUDHARY	26 Aug 2019	Replication	Yes / 06 Nov 2018	AO(Colony)
4	C.S./6/2019		Mukesh Mittal & Ors. / Dinesh Kumar & Ors.	SLO/Harinder Kumar Aurora	26 Aug 2019	consideration.	Yes / 11 Jul 2019	AO-IV
5	C.S./348/2019	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for declaration that the plaintiff is in possession of free old property of DU No. 5104/2, MHC, Manimajra.	Neetu Jakhar / CHB & Ors.	SLO/DEEPAK BAJAJ	27 Aug 2019	Plaintiff reply on application for rejection of plaint filed by CHB.	Yes / 23 Apr 2019	AO-IV
6	<u>C.S./2805/2018</u>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer the suit property of DU No. 2042/3, Sector 45-C, Chandigarh.	Kanchan Dilip Kulkarni / Dilip Ganesh Kulkarni & Ors.	SLO/RAHUL DEV SINGH	27 Aug 2019	Consideration.	Yes / 22 Nov 2018	AO-II
7	C.S./494/2017	Civil Suit (No. 1494/2017) filed by the plaintiff regarding removal of illegal construction of One Room of DU No. 5278-B(2nd floor Sector 38(W), Chandigarh. Civil Suit for aliotment/transfer of DU No. 6756-A, Sector 56,	Deepak Vaid / Anamika Singla & Anr.	LO/Devinder Singh Nirban	27 Aug 2019	Evidence.	Yes / 06 Sep 2017	Enforcement Officer
S	C.S./1937/2016	Chandigarh in the name of legal heirs under Dr. Ambedkar Awas Yojna-2009.	Rekha Rani & Anr. / Chandigarh Admn, & Ors.	LO/Harinder Kumar Aurora	27 Aug 2019	Consideration.	Yes / 26 May 2015	AO(Colony)
9	EX.APP/32/2015	Execution Application filed by the applicant seeking allotment in lieu of J.No. 427A/ Block E, Indl. Area, Labour Colony No. 4, UT, Chandigarh.	Chandrika Rajbhar / EO & CHS	LO/ANAN'I PAL SINGH	27 Aug 2019	Consideration.	Yes / 02 Jul 2019	
10	1	Civil Suit filed by plaintiff regarding transfer on the basis of WILL in respect of DU No. 1103/2, Sector 40-6, Chandigarh.	Jay Singh & Ors. / CHB & Ant.	LO/SUSHILA BHARDWAJ DR.		Defendent evidence.	Yes / 08 Mar 2017	AO-II ,

11	C.S./PBT/13/201 5	Petitioner has been filed the ptn. u/s 264 & 266 of Indian succession Act for the grant of probate WILL dated 17.2.1990 in respect of DU No. 3419, Sector 400, Chandigarh.	Subhash Chand / GP & Anr.	LO/SUSHILA BHARDWAJ	28 Aug 2019	Consideration.	V- (02 1260	
12	EX.APP/202/2016	Application No. 202 of 2016 in Civil Suit No. 10328 of 2013 filed by the plaintiff for restoration of the case earlier dismissed as withdrawn on 24.7.2015 by Dr. Sukhda Pritam, CJJD, Chandigarh regarding transfer of DU No. 1231, Sector 43-8, Chandigarh.	Balwinder Singh Bamra / Manpreet Singh & Anr.	LO/RAVI P. PRUTHI	28 Aug 2019	Consideration. Objection filed by CHB on 8.5.2018	Yes / 02 Jul 2019 Yes / 08 May 2018	AO-III
13	C.S./284/2013	(Civil Suit 3070/2013) Allotment/Transfer of entire share of DU No. 282/1, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh due to death of original allottee under Hire purchase Basis for UT employees Scheme allotted by CHB on 2.4.1985	Satwinder Singh / Prabhjot Kaur & Ors.	lo/anshuman narula	28 Aug 2019	Awaiting further order.	Yes / 29 Jul 2016	
	APPLICATION/15					Notice. No reply required as CHB not a party & reply will be filed as & when CHB		
			Babli ailas Kamlesh / Chandigarh Admn.	LO/DEEPAK BAJAJ	28 Aug 2019	proceeded as	No	AO(Colony)
<u> </u>		Civil Suit has been filed by the plaintiff regarding cancellation of DU No. 334; Maulijagran due to false & fabricated documents.	JINIS RAI / CHO. ADMN. & ORS.	SLO/ABSHEYSHER SINGH	28 Aug 2019	Consideration. CHB filed reply.	Yes / 09 Jan 2018	
			•			Plaintiff Reply & Conside: ation. Board counsel filed an application for		2(258.4)
6	C.S./101/2018	Regarding Transfer of DU No. 292/2, Sector 45-A, Chandigarh.	Rajinder Kaur / Kamlesh Kumar Sharma	SLO/VIKAS JAIN	28 Aug 2019	Section 67 on 8.8.2018. Plaintiff reply is required.	Yes / 08 Oct 2018	4O-II

•	·.	•							
:				•					
<u></u>									
		Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff No. 1 & 2 are owners in							
		possession of free hold DU No. 5299/3, MHC, Manimajra on the basis of Sale Deed & transfer of DU in the name of				Plaintiff evidence.			·
17	C.S./3302/2018	Plaintiff No. 1 & 2.	Rakesh Kumar & Ors. / CHB & Anr.	SLO/Navneet Jindal,	28 Aug 2019	CHB filed reply	Yes / 01 Mar 2019	AO-IV	
				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1	12/2/20	120-3	AO-IV	
		Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of share of					1		
		DU,No. 1447-8, Sector 61, Chandigarh & also suit for permanent Injunction restraining defendants from alienating					İ		4
:		by way of sale, exchange gift, mortgage or any other mode of	Lakhbir Kaur & Anr. / taedin Kaur &						
18	C.S./1629/2017	any specific portion partition.	Ors.	SLO/SEEMA	29 Aug 2019	Evidence.	Yes / 08 Jul 2019	AO-D	
		Execution Application filed against order dated 15.7.2013 for non-compliance of order of PLA. The applicant is held eligible					· .	ļ ;	
		for allotment of alternate site under the scheme of 2006 &				}			
		the JDs are required to follow up necessary action for				Consideration.	· ·		•
		alternate in lieu of LNo. 155, Block-K, Indl. Area, Labour				Objection of CH3	Yes / 29 May		
9	EX.APP/904/2017	Colony No. 4, Chandigarh.	LAKSHMAN DASS / EO/CHB	SLO/KANWAL S, WALIA,	29 Aug 2019	filed on 29.5.2018		SO(PA)	
		Filing of Civil Suit & stay the judgement dated 1.2.2008							
		passed by Sh. Mahesh Kumar, JMIC, Chandigarh in respect of							
		unauthorized occupant of H.No. 2822 in Rehabilitation	<u>.</u>		•	Civil Evidence. Civil			
20	C.S./385/2018	Colony, Sector 38(W), Chandigarh.	CHB / Ashok Kumar & Ors.	LO/VIKAS JAIN	29 Aug 2019	Suit filed by CHB.	Yes / 03 Jul 2018	AO-II	
		Application for restoration of civil suit No. 2044/2015 in its							
		original number in respect of possession of Flat No. 1447-8,	·			•			
	APPLICATION/40	Sector 61, Chandigarh on the basis of registered WILL dated							
1	<u>C/2016</u>	4.3.201)	Jagdeep Kaur / Lakhbir Kaur & Ors.	LO/RAJAT NAKRA	29 Aug 2019	Evidence.	Yes / 08 Jul 2019	AO-II	
		Civil Suit filed by the petitioner regarding transfer of	Saleah Demani R. Ora / Abdul Shakara						
2	C.S./2302/2015	allotment of DU No. 431, Sector 45-A, Chandigarh.	Sairab Usmani & Ors. / Abdul Shaheed Usmani & Ors.	LO/SAJAL KOSAR	29 Aug 2019	Defendant Evidence.	Yes / 08 Jul 2019		
 		,		EST DI MUE KODKII	29 AUS 2013	Lyidence.	162 \ 00 101 5013	AU-II	
		Civil Suit filed by plaintiff for mandatory injunction for							
3	C.S./24/2016	Defendant No. 4 (CHB, seeking transfer of DU No. 4954,		LO/SUSHILA BHARDWAL		ľ	Yes / 02 Mar	[-	
ا د	<u>C.3./ 29/ 2010</u>	Maloya Colony, UT, Chandigarh	Dhannu / Bikram & Ors.	DR.	29 Aug 2019	Consideration.	2016	AO(Colony)	,
ļ		Civil Suit has been filed by the plaintiff regarding allotment of						.	
		flat/tenement site in lieu of J.No. 314, Kumhar Colony, Sector	Anil Kumar / Secretary, Chandigarh	·					
4	C.S./953/2016	·	Admn. & Ors.	LO/ANANT PAL SINGH	29 Aug 2019	Plaintiff Evidence.	Yes / 15 Feb 2018	SO(PA)	
		<u> </u>				·	·		
		•	•					ζ.	

	1			T				
		Civil Suit No.1370/2016 filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of equal share of Dwelling Unit on the basis of agreement in respect of DU No. 3424, Sector 46-C,						
25	C.S./34/2016	Chandigarh on the death of executant.	Rattan Ram / Sital Kaur & Ors.	LO/SUVIR KUMAR	30 Aug 2019	Consideration.	Yes / 29 Jul 2016	AO-IV
26	C.S./1421/2016	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff seeking transfer of 1/4th share in respect of H.No. 4782/B, Sector 38(W), Chandigarh.	Angelina Gill & Ors. / Vidya Sagar & Ors.	LO/Rajinder Ghai	30 Aug 2019	Def. evidence. Reply filed on 10.11.2016.	Yes / 15 Oct 2018	AO-II
27	C.S./1090/2015	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiffs in respect of allotment/transfer of DU No. 413, Sector 43-A, Chandigarh qua their share on the basis of WILL dated 17.3.2014.	KARAMJIT KAUR & ANR. / CHB & ANR.	LO/RAJAT NAKRA	30 Aug 2019	Consideration.	Yes / 09 Sep 2015	AO-III
	,							
		·				Awaiting further		
						order. No file		
		·				required as CHB		
						has been filed the	_	
						RSA No. 1773 of 2017 in High Court		
						against the order		
					,	dated 21.12.2016		
.						passed by Sh.		
1			† 3 4			Balbir Singh in Civil Appeal No.		ļ
	,					388/2015 through		
		Execution filed by the Decree Holder not received only	t	•		Board counsel		
		attachment order against Judgement/Decree dated				Smt. Akanksha	<u> </u>	
		21.12.2016 passed in Civil Appeal No. 388/1.8.2015 in which appeal has been allowed in respect of Self Financing Housing	Giriin S Nair / Chandigach Housing			Sawhney & the		
28	EX.APP/58/2017		Board	LO/VIKAS JAIN	30 Aug 2019	next date is 14.01.2019.	No	AO-III

		T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	<u> </u>	Υ	7	i	ſ <u>-</u>
,	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for declaration that the		•				
	purchase of DU No. 4151, Maulijagran is illegal as the house						٠.
	were allotted to jhuggi dwellers under rehabilitation scheme						
		Vijay Kumar / Pardeep Kumar Shukla &		20.4 20.0	Plaintiff reply &	N.	AC/Colored
C.S./1844/2017	be taken over being illegal property.	Anr.	LO/Palvinder Singh Sarna	30 Aug 2019	Consideration.	No ·	AO(Colony)
	Arbitration matter filed before Chandigarh Arbitration				Arguments.		
	Centre, Sector 17, Chandigarh (Sole Arbitrator Mr. Justice			i	Affidavit to be filed		j
	S.N.Aggarwal,Retd.)for settlement of disputes under clause				by Board on		
ARB.	25 of the contract agreement in respect of composite work	Kamladitya Constn. Pvt. Ltd. /			13.6.2019 and	,	
APP./2304/2018	construction of 2304/SF at Dhanas, Chandigarh Gr-III.	Chandigarh Housing Board	SLO/VISHAL SODHI	30 Aug 2019	than arguments.		Arbitration
	·						
	Complaint filed by the Claimant before Chd. Micro & Small	·					
	Enterprises Facilitation Counsel, Ram Darbar, Phase-II,						
	Chandigarh & MSEFC advised CHB to pay the due amount of	Surinder:Gupta of Sunrise Facilitators]	Cross by CH3		
COMPLAINT	EPF contribution for engaging service provider for	Pvt. Ltd. / Chandigarh Housing Board &			counsel. CHB filed		
/51/2018	providing(driver, malis, helpers, beldars & Chowkidars)	· ·	SLO/GEETA GULATI	30 Aug 2019	reply on 8.2.2019	Yes / 08 Feb 2019	EE-I
	Suit filed by the plaintiff for permanent injunction restraining						
· .	the defendants from demolishing the need based changes, constn. in DU No. 3207/1, Sector 44-D, Chandigarh						
1	and also regularised the Need Base Changes made in DU in		SLO/Shalini		•	·	Enforcement
C-S./560/2019	question.	i	Bagdi, Adv/CHB	30 Aug 2019	Consideration.	r Yes / 03 Jul 2019	
<u>C:3./ 300/ 2013</u>	iquestion.		1]			
	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff seeking transfer of 100% share				Consideration.	4	
	1 '	Vijay Kumar / Chandigarh Housing			Reply filed on		
C.S./1849/2016	2671, Sector 40-C, Chandigarh.	Board & Ors.	LO/SEEMA	31 Aug 2019	6.2.2017	Yes / 06 Sep 2017	AO-II

.

e.

 C_{\downarrow}^{-1}

ADVANCE CONSUMER COURT CASES FROM 26.8.19 TO 31.8.19

SNO SAC	Mease No. 5	Brief Subject Matter/Prayer, in State of the	Petitioner/ Respondent	Nodal Officer/c Advocate	Previous date	Next Date	y Status -	Reply Filed	Concerne d'Branch
,		Complaint under Section 12 of the Consumer Protection							
		Act 1986 filed before State Consumer Disputes Redressal							
		Commission, UT, Chandigarh for deficiency in service.		İ					
		Complainant prayed the forum to refund sum of							
		Rs.1,85,000/- charged as Service Tax @ 12% intt. from the							
		date of deposit & also to restore Booth No. 37 MHC,		,					
		Manimajra. Further to pay compensation Rs.50,000/- for	•				'		
		damages of causing metal agony & harassment and	RAJ KUMARI / CHANDIGARH		•				
1	CC/559/2019	Rs.33000/-	HOUSING BOARD	SLO/ARJUN KUNDRA	29 Aug 2019		Appearance.	No	AO-IV

STATE COMMISSION CASES

10.00 P	Gase No. 3	2 Sept 8 Fief Subject Matter/Prayer sesse 14 Sept. 201	Petitioner/, Respondent	Nodal Officer //	Previous date	Next Date	Status	TReply Filed	Concern d branch
1	APP/54/2019	Appeal filed before State Commission, Chandigarh against order dated 22 1.2019 of Distt. Form-II in CC No. 421/2018 in respect of transfer of DU No. 3240, Sector 40-D, Chandigarh on the basis of GPA and also to pay as compensation for harassment and mental agony and litigation expenses.	CHB / Rakesh Kumar	SLO/AŞHISH RAWAL	28 Aug 2019				
İ		·			20 Adg 2015		Arguments.	Yes / 22 Mar 2019	AO-II
		Appeal filed by Appellant before State Commission,	·						
		Chandigarh against order dated 2.5.2018 in CC/665/2018 passed by Distt. Forum-II, Chandigarh in respect of transfer of	•					ĺ	
		ownership on the basis of GPA policy in respect of DU No.			-	į			
		231, Sector 45-A, Chandigarh & prayed to refund the	,						
2	APP/100/2019	publication charges & processing fee with 12% intt. & also compensation of Rs. 4,00,000/	Bhartendu Sood / Chairman,					No need to file reply at	
	1	(Stripe 1300011 01 K3. 4,00,000/	СНВ	SLO/ASHISH RAWAL	28 Aug 2019			i i	AO-II

5. No	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter/Prayer	Petitioner/ Respondent	Nodal Officer / Advocate	Next Date	Status	Reply Filed	Concerned Branch
	APPLICATION /1016/2018	Seeking compensation on account of delay in construction, repayment of deposited excess amount, Compensation on account of mental agony & harassment, litigation expenses etc in respect of DU No. 2211 A, Sector 63, Chandigarh. (Housing Flat Scheme, 2008, Sector 63, Chandigarh)	Anand Mishra / CHB	LO/Harinder Kumar Aurora	26.08.2019	Arguments	Yes / 01 Aug 2018	AO-III
	APPLICATION /197/2019	Recovery of Rs.123120/- plus future interest from the respondents no. 1 & 2 against the loan taken from Punjab National Bank	Punjab National Bank / Bali Ram & others.	SLO/RAJIV SHARMA- 18	28.08.2019	Rejoinder.	Yes / 08 Jul 2019	AO-Colony

,