

WEEKLY REPORT OF DISTRICT COURT CASES FROM 9-9-2019 TO 14-9-2019

S. No.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter/Prayer	Petitioner/Respondent	Nodal Officer/Advocate	Previous Date	Next Date	Status	Reply Filed
1	C.S./34/2016	Civil Suit No.1370/2016 filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of equal share of Dwelling Unit on the basis of agreement in respect of DU No. 3424, Sector 46-C, Chandigarh on the death of executant.	Rattan Ram / Sital Kaur & Ors.	LO/SUVIR KUMAR	09 Sep 2019	16-09-2019	Consideration.	Yes / 29 Jul 2016
2	C.S./1350/2017	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for cancellation of allotment in respect of # 908/40-A, Chandigarh & # 928/40-A, Chandigarh due to filing false affidavit..	Raj Kumar Chibber / Usha Prashar & Ors.	LO/SEEMA	09 Sep 2019	03-10-2019	Consideration.	Yes / 06 Feb 2018
3	C.S./2133/2018	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding removal of leakage/seepage of DU No. 2051, Sector 45-C, Chandigarh.	Nirmal Bhatia / CHB	SLO/Harinder Kumar Aurora	09 Sep 2019	30-09-2019	Plaintiff Evidence.	Yes / 03 Jul 2018
4	C.S./992/2018	Civil Suit has been filed by the plaintiff seeking allotment of small flat in lieu of J.No. 108, Gursagar Colony, Maloya, Chandigarh under Self Finance Scheme-2006.	Mohan Lal @ Mohan Ram & Anr. / EO/CHB	SLO/SEEMA	09 Sep 2019	19-09-2019	Evidence.	Yes / 01 Oct 2018
5	EX.AFF/904/2017	Execution Application filed against order dated 15.7.2013 for non compliance of order of PLA. The applicant is held eligible for allotment of alternate site under the scheme of 2006 & the JDs are required to follow up necessary action for alternate in lieu of J.No. 155, Block-K, Indl. Area, Labour Colony No. 4, Chandigarh.	LAKSHMAN DASS / EO/CHB	SLO/KANWA J.S. WALIA.	09 Sep 2019	18-09-2019	Consideration	Yes / 29 May 2018

Andy  
19/9/19  
4:40pm

6	C.S./3002/2019	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for specific Performance of Agreement to Sell dated 12.3.2003 in respect of DU No. 3081(GF), Sector 44-D, Chandigarh.	Vijender Kumar Nirmal / Nanak Singh & Ors.	SLO/Rajat Pabbi	09 Sep 2019	24-10-2019	Consideration.	Yes 11 Jul 2019
7	APPEAL/162/2019	Appeal filed by the Appellant against order dated 15.12.2018 for staying the operation of Judgment passed by Sh. Kushal Singla, CJJD, Chandigarh in Civil suit No. 10210 of 2013 filed for restraining conversion from leasehold to freehold of property in respect of DU No. 3594, Sector 46-C, Chandigarh.	Mohinder Kumar Malhotra / Chandigarh Housing Board	SLO/DEEPAK BAJAJ	09 Sep 2019	14-11-2019	Arguments.	No need to file reply being appeal matter.
8	C.S./1155/2019	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff seeking transfer of 1/3 share in respect of D/J No. 1759, DMC, Chandigarh.	Tajinder Kaur & Ors. / General Public & Ors.	SLO/ANJU RATHORE	09 Sep 2019	01-11-2019	Reply.	No
9	C.S./723/2019	Civil Suit has been filed by the plaintiff allotment of MIG(F) under Chandigarh Allotment Scheme 1996 of Dwelling Units to the Oustees.	Manjit Kaur Dhillon / CHB & Anr.	SLO/RAJIV SHARMA-18	10 Sep 2019	30-10-2019	Reply.	No reply required to be filed at this stage.
10	C.S./138/2019	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff seeking allotment of flat under small flat scheme-2006 Legal rep. of mother of Lt. Ram Pyari W/o Lt. Sh. Punna Lal & also permanent injunction restraining for dispossession inprooting remarking the plaintiff from H.No. 29, Block C-4 Colony No. 4, Indl. Area, Chandigarh.	Ram Payara & Ors. / Chandigarh Admn., UT, Chd. & Ors.	SLO/VIKAS JAIN	10 Sep 2019	21-10-2019	Plaintiff-reply on application u/s 67 for Dismissal of suit filed on 29.3.2019	Yes / 29 Mar 2019

1	C.S./1629/2017	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of share of DU No. 1447-B, Sector 61, Chandigarh & also suit for permanent Injunction restraining defendants from alienating by way of sale, exchange gift, mortgage or any other mode of any specific portion partition.	Lakhbir Kaur & Anr. / Jagdip Kaur & Ors.	SLO/SEEMA	10 Sep 2019	23-09-2019	Evidence.	Yes / 08 Jul 2019
12	C.S./438/2018	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff -disputes between the parties regarding allotment DU No. 325 Sector 45-A, Chandigarh.	Des Raj / CHB & Anr.	SLO/SEEMA	10 Sep 2019	11-10-2019	Plaintiff evidence.	Yes / 03 Jul 2018
13	C.S./-----/2018	Remand Back from ADJ, Chandigarh to Civil Court No. CSCJ/1915/2016 to reconsider due to delay in constn. & deliver of possession in respect of flat No. 2142-E, Sector 63, Chandigarh.	Chaman Lal Govil / CHB	SLO/VIKAS JAIN	10 Sep 2019	24-09-2019	Defendant evidence.	Yes / 07 May 2018
14	APPLICATION/400/2016	Application for restoration of civil suit No. 2044/2015 in its original number in respect of possession of Flat No. 1447-B, Sector 61, Chandigarh on the basis of registered WILL dated 4.3.2011	Jagdeep Kaur / Lakhbir Kaur & Ors.	LO/RAJAT NAKRA	10 Sep 2019	23-09-2019	Evidence.	Yes / 08 Jul 2019
15	C.S./1090/2015	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiffs in respect of allotment/transfer of DU No. 413, Sector 43-A, Chandigarh qua their share on the basis of WILL dated 17.3.2014.	KARAMJIT KAUR & ANR. / CHB & ANR.	LO/RAJAT NAKRA	10 Sep 2019	17-09-2019	Ors. Def. evidence.	Yes / 09 Sep 2015
16	C.S./827/2015	Regarding allotment of flat (PDL matter)	Dr. Vasdev Singh / Inderdev Singh & Ors.	LO/PREETI NIGAM	11 Sep 2019	18-09-2019	Ors. Defendant evidence.	Yes / 04 Oct 2017

*Evidence from Sandeep Officer required. Instead of dealing clerk.*

17	C.S./2302/2015	Civil Suit filed by the petitioner regarding transfer of allotment of DU No. 431, Sector 45-A, Chandigarh.	Sairab Usmani & Ors. / Abdul Shahced Usmani & Ors.	LO/SAJAL KOSAR	11 Sep 2019	26-09-2019	Defendant Evidence.	Yes / 08 Jul 2019
18	C.S./533/2018	Illegal Constn. of DU No. 3734, Village Maloya.	Sadar Singh Bisht / Kedari & Anr.	SLO/ANJU RATHORE	11 Sep 2019	16-10-2019	Consideration.	Yes / 09 May 2018
19	C.S./748/2018	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for mandatory injunction directing CHB to transfer/mutate H.No. 2121/2, Sector 40-C, Chandigarh in favour of plaintiff & Others defendant in equal share.	Sudhir Kumar / Sarita Kalra & Ors.	SLO/VIKAS JAIN	11 Sep 2019	26-09-2019	Consideration.	Yes / 15 Feb 2018
20	C.S./1781/2017	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for mandatory injunction directing the CHB(Def. No. 1) not to transfer the house No. 3420, Maloya, UT, Chandigarh on the basis of decree dated 28.8.2017 passed by Sh. Imambir Singh Dhaliwal, CJJD, Chandigarh & also directing def. No. 1 & 2 to transfer the DU in favour of plaintiff on the basis of Agreement to sell.	SANJAY KUMAR GARG / CHB & ORS.	SLO/SEEMA	11 Sep 2019	21-09-2019	Consideration.	Yes / 06 Sep 2017
21	C.S./6/2019	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding recovery of amou nyt of Rs.61,00,000/- in lieu of DU No. 398/2, Sector 44-A, Chandigarh.	Mukesh Mittal & Ors. / Dinesh Kumar & Ors.	SLO/Harinder Kumar Aurora	11 Sep 2019	23-09-2019	Arguments.	Yes / 11 Jul 2019
22	C.S./2585/2019	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff seeking allotment of flat under Chd. Small Flat 2006 in lieu of H.No. 300 Pandit Colony, Kajheril Sector 52, Chandigarh.	Mohd. Sahni / Advisor, UT	SLO/SUSHILA BHARDWAJ DR.	11 Sep 2019	18-11-2019	Consideration.	Yes/17 May 2019

23	C.S./425/2019	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for mandatory injunction to remove & demolish the violations & illegal constn. raised by Def. No. 1 to 5 of DU No. 4525, Dr. Ambedkar Colony, Maulijagan, Chandigarh in which CHB is defendant No. 6.	Surinder Kumar / Krishna Devi & Ors	SLO/SEEMA	11 Sep 2019	19-09-2019	Filing of Reply.	No
24	C.S./559/2019	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff restraining CHB from demolishing the need based changes/constn. raised in DU No. 3208/2, Sector 44-D, Chandigarh.	Gurinder Singh / Chandigarh Housing Board	SLO/SUSHILA BHARDWAJ DR.	12 Sep 2019	24-10-2019	Consideration.	Yes / 09 May 2019
25	APPEAL/486/2018	Application filed by CHB against award dated 11.11.2017 passed by Sh. H.R.Gangar, IAS(Rt.) in respect of Constn. of 1696, Small Flats at Mauli Jagran-II, Chandigarh(Satish Kumar, Contractor).	CHB / Satish Kumar, Contractor.	LO/GEETA GULATI	12 Sep 2019	14-11-2019	Arguments.	Yes / 03 Jul 2018
26	ARB. APP./2304/2018	Arbitration matter filed before Chandigarh Arbitration Centre, Sector 17, Chandigarh (Sole Arbitrator Mr. Justice S.N.Aggarwal,Retd.)for settlement of disputes under clause 25 of the contract agreement in respect of composite work construction of 2304/SF at Dhanas, Chandigarh-Gr-III.	Kamladitya Constn. Pvt. Ltd. / Chandigarh Housing Board	SLO/VISHAL SODHI	12 Sep 2019	Reserved.	Arguments. Affidavit to be filed by Board on 13.6.2019 and than arguments.	
27	C.S./567/2014	Civil Suit No. 2226/2014 seeking transfer of DU No. 454, Sector 61, Chandigarh on account of unregistered Will.	Ashwani /-Jitender Uppal & Others.	LO/GEETA GULATI	12 Sep 2019	26-09-2019	Def. evidence.	Yes / 11 Jul 2019

28	C.S./24/2016	Civil Suit filed by plaintiff for mandatory injunction for Defendant No. 4 (CHB, seeking transfer of DU No. 4954, Maloya Colony, UT, Chandigarh.	Dhannu / Bikram & Ors.	LO/SUSHILA BHARDWAJ DR.	12 Sep 2019	26-09-2019	Consideration.	Yes / 02 Mar 2016
29	C.S./1849/2016	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff seeking transfer of 100% share on the basis of Regd. WILL/inheritance in respect of DU No. 2671, Sector 40-C, Chandigarh.	Vijay Kumar / Chandigarh Housing Board & Ors.	LO/SEEMA	12 Sep 2019	09-10-2019	Consideration.	Yes / 06 Sep 2017
30	EX.APP/183/2017	Only summon received for allotment of alternative site/flat in lieu of J.No. 866, LBS Colony, Palsora vs. Chandigarh.	Ram Lal / Chandigarh Administration & Ors.	LO/VIKAS JAIN	12 Sep 2019	30-10-2019	Consideration.	Yes / 28 Aug 2017
31	EX.APP/202/2016	Application No. 202 of 2016 in Civil Suit No. 10328 of 2013 filed by the plaintiff for restoration of the case earlier dismissed as withdrawn on 24.7.2015 by Dr. Sukhda Pritam, CJJD, Chandigarh regarding transfer of DU No. 1231, Sector 43-B, Chandigarh.	Balwinder Singh Bamra / Manpreet Singh & Anr.	LO/RAVI P. PRUTHI	12 Sep 2019	24-09-2019	Consideration.	Yes / 08 May 2018
32	EX.APP/764/2017	Execution Application u/o 21 Rule 11 Read with Section 151 of CPC in respect of illegal construction of DU No. 3829, Sector 56, Palsora against judgement dated 28.7.2017. Nothing has been claimed against CHB (Def. No.3)	Jai Nath / Jagdish & Ors.	LO/SEEMA	13 Sep 2019	5 Oct 2019	Notice & record.	Yes / 01 Feb 2018
33	C.S./2054/2017	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff restraining transfer of DU No. 5189-B, LIG, Sector 38(W), Chandigarh.	Rajinder Kumar & Ors. / Shobna & Ors.	SLO/SARABJI T KAUR	13 Sep 2019	11 Oct 2019	Consideration.	Yes / 06 Nov 2018
34	EX.APP/1047/2018	Execution filed seeking allotment in lieu of J.No. B-95, Janta Colony, Sector 25, Chandigarh.	Kamal / Chandigarh Admn.	SLO/VIKAS JAIN	13 Sep 2019	31 Oct 2019	Notice & Record.	Yes / 23 Apr 2019

35	<u>APPEAL/54/2018</u>	Appeal filed by the Appellant against order dated 19.12.2017 passed by Gaurav Dutta, CJJD, Chandigarh dismissed and prayed to set aside the same in respect of DU No. 2675, Sector 40-C, Chandigarh- regarding transfer of ownership.	Vishav Gupta / Rashmi Yadav	SLO/RAJIV SHARMA-18	13 Sep 2019	30 Sep 2019	Arguments.	No need to file reply being appeal matter.
36	<u>APPEAL/624/2018</u>	Only summon received in misc. application of DU No. 2675, Sector 40-C, Chandigarh.	Rashmi Yadav / Vishal Gupta.	SLO/RAJIV SHARMA-18	13 Sep 2019	30 Sep 2019	Arguments.	No need to file reply being appeal matter.
37	<u>APPEAL/101/2019</u>	Only summon received Civil Appeal in respect of DU No. 2675, Sector 40-C, Chandigarh.	Vishal Gupta / Rashmi Yadav	SLO/RAJIV SHARMA-18	13 Sep 2019	30 Sep 2019	Arguments.	No need to file reply being appeal matter.

WEEKLY REPORT OF STATE COMMISSION CASES FROM 9-9-2019 TO 14-9-2019

S N o	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter/Prayer	Petitioner/ Respondent	Nodal Officer/ Advocate	Previous Date	Next date	Status	Reply filed
1	APP/75/2019	Appeal filed by the Appellant before State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, UT, Chandigarh under section 15 of the Consumer Protection Act, 1986 for setting aside the impugned orders dated 11.3.2019 and 10.9.2018 passed by the Distt. Forum-II, UT, Chandigarh in CC No. 384/2018 whereby the complaint against CHB/OP-1 is dismissed in respect of DU No. 5099/3, MHC, Manimajra.	Canara Bank / Beant Singh Arora & Ors.	SLO/VISHAL SODHI	12 Sep 2019	30-10-2019	Arguments	Yes 08 Jul 2019
2	APP/103/2019	Appeal filed by the Appellant before State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, UT, Chandigarh under section 15 of the Consumer Protection Act, 1986 against the final order dated 11.3.2019 passed by Distt. Forum-II, Chandigarh and prayed that stay the operation of the impugned order during the pendency of the appeal, call the entire record of consumer forum in respect of DU No. 5099/3, MHC, Manimajra.	ICICI Bank / Beant Singh Arora & Ors.	SLO/VISHAL SODHI	12 Sep 2019	30-10-2019	Arguments	No



WEEKLY REPORT OF CONSUMER COURT CASES FROM 9-9-2019 TO 14-9-2019

S N o	Case No	Brief Subject Matter/Prayer	Petitioner/Respondent	Nodal Officer/ Advocate	Previous Date	Next date	Status	Reply filed
1	CC/178/2019	Complaint under Section 12 of the Consumer Protection Act 1986 filed before Dist. Forum-II, Chandigarh & prayed that OPs be directed to convert the DU No. 5014/3, Cat-III, Manimajra at the circle rates prevalent in the year 2012 & also prayed to pay Rs.3.00 Lakh to the complainant for huge financial & mental loss, stress & agony. Also pay Rs.50,000/- as litigation expenses.	Parvati / Chairman/CEO/Secy., CHB	SLO/ASHISH RAWAL	13 Sep 2019	16 Oct 2019	Rejoinder	Yes 03 Jul 2019

**CASES LISTED BEFORE PLA, UT, CHANDIGARH (09.09.2019 to 14.09.2019)**

S. No	Case No.	Petitioner/ Respondent	Brief Subject Matter/Prayer	Nodal Officer / Advocate	Prev. Date	Next Date	Status	Reply Filed	Concerned Branch
1	APPLICATION /227/2019	Subhash Chand / Secretary, Chandigarh Housing Board	Refund of Rs.6,44,253/- in respect of cancelled dwelling unit no. 131, sector 51-A, Chandigarh of the Self Financing Housing Scheme Sector 51, Chandigarh.	SLO/Rajat Pabbi	09.09.2019	03.10.2019	Affidavit has been filed. Now for rejoinder.	Yes / 10 May 2019	AO-III
2	APPLICATION /197/2019	Punjab National Bank / Bali Ram & others.	Recovery of Rs. 123120/- plus future interest from the respondents no. 1 & 2 against the loan taken from Punjab National Bank.	SLO/RAJIV SHARMA-18	09.09.2019	14.10.2019	Consideration	Yes / 08 Jul 2019	AO-Colony
3	APPLICATION /111/2019	Dr. Aika Singh / Chairman, CHB	Execution Application filed under the provision of State Legal Services Authorities Act 1987 against order dated 13.3.2018 passed by Permanent Lok adalat, Chandigarh regarding excess payment by way of penal interest for delayed payment of instalments received in the auction/sale on lease for Booth No. 8, Sector 48-A, Chandigarh.	SLO/ASHISH RAWAL	12.09.2019	12.12.2019	Stay has been granted by the High Court in CWP/20040/2019 titled as CM, CHB vs. Alka Singh. PLA adjourned the matter to 12.12.2019	Yes / 08 Jul 2019	AO-Commercial

**STATUS REPORT OF THE CASES PENDING W.E.F. 09.09.2019 14.09.2019**

Sr. No	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter/Prayer	Petitioner/ Respondent	Nodal Officer / Advocate	Previous date & Next Date	Status	Reply Filed
1.	CWP/8246/2001	PETITION FILED AGAINST THE ORDER FOR ENHANCEMENT OF LAND COST FROM 750/- TO 2500/- PER SQ. YARD ALLOTTED TO SILVERTON COOP. HOUSE BUILDING SOCIETY.	SUSHIL ARORA & OTHERS Vs U.T. ADMINISTRATION & OTHERS	SLO/Gagan deep Wasu	09 Sep 2019 10 Sep 2019 01 Nov 2019	Arguments	Yes
2.	LPA/1861/2012	LPA FILED AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 7.12.2010 PASSED IN CWP NO.13365/1999 ABD IRDER DATED 5.9.2012 PASSED IN REVIEW APPLICATION NO.13 OF 2012	CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION Vs UNITED SERVICES COOP. HOUSE BUILDING SOCIETY AND OTHERS	SLO/Gagan deep Wasu	09 Sep 2019 10 Sep 2019 01 Nov 2019	Arguments	Yes
3.	CWP/07267/2000	WRIT PETITION FILED BY SOCIETY FOR QUASHING ORDER DATED 7.2.2000 PASSED BY F.S. CHD. ADMN. FOR NOT CONDONING THE DELAY IN DEPOSITING THE AMOUNT BY THE PETITIONER AND FOR DIRECTING THE RESPS.TO ACCEPT THE AMOUNT OF RS. 1,33,103.	MODERN BAKRIES COOP. H.B. SOCIETY Vs CHD. ADMN. & ORS.	LO/INDRESH GOEL	09 Sep 2019 10 Sep 2019 01 Nov 2019	Arguments	Yes
4.	CWP/07228/2000	WRIT PETITION FILED BY SOCIETY FOR QUASHING ORDER DATED 7.2.2000 PASSED BY F.S. CHD. ADMN. FOR NOT CONDONING THE DELAY IN DEPOSITING THE AMOUNT BY THE PETITIONER AND FOR DIRECTING THE RESPS.TO ACCEPT THE AMOUNT OF RS. 1,33,103.	LEE. CORBUSIER RELEASED DEFENCE OFFICER COOP. H.B. SOCIETY Vs CHD. ADMN. & ORS.	LO/INDRESH GOEL	09 Sep 2019 10 Sep 2019 01 Nov 2019	Arguments	Yes
5.	CWP/013267/2003	CWP FILED AGAINST THE ENHANCEMENT OF PRICE OF LAND @2500/- PER SQ. YDS. AS DEMANDED BY CHB VIDE LETTER DATED 11.4.2000	THE CHANDIGARH PEPSU COOP. H.B. SOCIETY LTD Vs U.T.,CHD. & ORS.	LO/INDRESH GOEL	09 Sep 2019 10 Sep 2019 01 Nov 2019	Arguments	Yes
6.	LPA/015/2014	LPA FILED BY THE SUBJECT CITED PETITIONER AGAINST JUDGEMENT/ ORDER DATED 07.12.2010 PASSED IN CWP NO.8443 OF 2000 ALONGWITH CWP NO.13365 OF 1999 AND ORDER DATED 05.09.2012 PASSED IN R.A. NO.13 OF 2012	U.T. CHANDIGARH Vs CITY START COOP HB SOCIETY	LO/ASHISH RAWAL	09 Sep 2019 10 Sep 2019 01 Nov 2019		Yes

					Arguments	
		IN CWP NO.13365 OF 1999 BY THE LD. SINGLE JUDGE OF THIS HON'BLE COURT.				
7.	CM/3782/2015	CM filed in subject cited LPA by the subject cited petitioner for condonation of delay of 1738 days in filing the accompanying LPA NO.1759/2015 - U.T., CHANDIGARH VS THE CITY BEAUTIFUL COOPERATIVE HOUSE BUILDING SOCIETY LTD.	U.T., CHANDIGARH Vs THE CITY BEAUTIFUL COOPERATIVE HOUSE BUILDING SOCIETY LTD.	SLO/Sanjeev Sharma Sr. Counsel	09 Sep 2019 10 Sep 2019 01 Nov 2019	Arguments Yes
8.	CWP/016727/2016	writ petition filed by the petitioner for quashing the letter dated 23.6.2016 whereby the respondents have raised the demand of unearned increase in pursuance to the application for revised plan dated 27.04.2016. Withholding of sanction of the revised plan and the demand itself is not only totally illegal, arbitrary and against Rule 17 of the Conversion Scheme of 2007 which totally exempts the charging of unearned profit, but during the pendency of such a dispute with the higher authorities, the revised plan/completion certificate could not have been withheld. Even the demand raised by the Respondents through letter dated 11.1.2013 and all subsequent demands of the respondents for transfer of the plot are also totally illegal, wrong and against their own policies. The said action of the respondents is also against the notification dated 8.8.2003 whereby they have permitted transfer at a nominal rate of Rs.200/- per sq. yard.	Sat Paul Bansal & Others Vs Chandigarh Administration & Apr.	SLO/Akansha Sawhney,	09 Sep 2019 10 Dec 2019	Arguments Yes
9.	CWP/3958/2017	Writ petition filed by the petitioners for quashing the order dated 11.02.2013 and all subsequent orders passed thereafter and the Last order dated 30.09.2016 whereby the Revision Petition was rejected on ground of limitation and further issuance of writ of mandamus directing the Revisional Authority to consider the appeal on merits in accordance with law file by the petitioner against the cancellation of Dwelling Unit No. 1669, Sector 29-B, Chandigarh.	Ramesh Haribhau Katayarmal Vs U.T. Administration and others	SLO/ Banny Thomas	09 Sep 2019	Arguments Yes
10.	CWP/33179/2018	181, Motor Market, Sector 48, Chandigarh.	Vijay Kumar Sabharwal Vs Union of India	SLO/ Puneet Bassi	09 Sep 2019 12 Dec 2019	

- |     |                |  |  |                            |                            |
|-----|----------------|--|--|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| 11. | CWP/28958/2017 | Civil Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing the order dated 31.10.2018 and further directing the respondents to transfer the D.U.No.3009/1, Sector 47-D, in his name.   | Kirpal Singh Vs<br>Chandigarh Housing Board through its Secretary & Others | SLO/SHEKHA<br>R VERMA      | 09 Sep 2019<br>27 Sep 2019 |
| 12. | CWP/16541/2019 | Writ petition filed by the petitioner for direction to Respondent No.1 (CM/CHB) to consider the application dated 28.09.2017 vide reference No.186645 dated 28.09.2017 and to decide the representations dated 29.01.2018 and 21.01.2019.(For allotment of house under PMAY Scheme)<br><br>CWP filed by the petitioner for setting aside the impugned order dated 12.05.2016 containing directions to the petitioner purporting to be issued on the basis of impugned official order dated 30.03.2016 as both the above impugned orders are illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional being discriminatory and violation of Article 14 of the Constitution of India and result of colorable exercise of power since the same are against the notification dated 23.04.2014 published by respondent No.1 and also in violation of the order dated 16.05.2013 passed by the Hon'ble Division Bench of this Hon'ble Court in CWP No. 1331 of 2013 in case titled as Kamal Kumar Vs Union Territory, Chandigarh and another as well as also against the well settled law on probate/letter of Administration i.e. Civil Revision No.7513 of 2014 titled as Avtar Sigh Bains Vs Gurdev Kaur alias Debo Kaur and others wherein it has been held that the law relating to probate is not applicable to the Wills made by Hindus of Punjab and property situated in Punjab. 3. Further for issuing direction(s) to the respondent No.2 and 3 to transfer the ownership/title of the property bearing No.944, Housing Board Colony, Dhanas, Chandigarh in favour of the petitioner on the basis of un-registered Will dated 17.08.2012 executed in favour of the petitioner by deceased Ajaib Singh. AND 4. Further it is prayed that the respondent authorities are liable for directions to take strict lawful action against the concerned official who has willfully ad knowingly violated the order 16.05.2013 as the petitioner has apprised the law on the subject vide its legal notice date4d 27.06.2016 and reply dated 28.07.2016 to the said notice has been given acknowledging therein the judgement. | Vikram Singh Vs<br>Chairman, CHB   | SLO/<br>Rakesh<br>Sobti    | 09 Sep 2019<br>13 Dec 2019 |
| 13. | CWP/25681/2017 | Pritam Chand Dogra Vs U.T, Chandigarh & Ors  | SLO/<br>Arjun<br>Sharma  | 10 Sep 2019<br>16 Dec 2019 | Arguments<br><br>Yes       |

14.	<u>CWP/19994/2018</u>	Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for directing the respondents to Regularize and Transfer of Dwelling Unit No.3324/1, Sector 45-D, in favour of the present petitioner. Also for implementing the order dated 29.05.2017 passed by the Respondent Board in its letter and spirit and given benefits of the compliance of the same to the present petitioner. Civil Writ Petition has been filed by the petitioner under 226 & 227 of Constitution of India for issuance a writ, order or direction specially in the nature of Certiorari for setting aside/modifying of impugned order dated 08.05.2018 passed by Respondent No. 2, being a Appellate Authority under Clause 17 of the Chandigarh Small Flat Scheme 2006, through which the petitioner has been given joint allotment alongwith his mother- Nemo and brother Prem AND for issue any other writ, order or direction which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the present case, in the interest of justice.	Raj Rani Vs Chandigarh Housing Board & Ors	SLO/Arjun Sharma	10 Sep 2019 16 Dec 2019	Arguments	Yes
15.	<u>CWP/27532/2018</u>	Civil Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for setting aside orders dated 06.02.2018 passed by respondent No.4 (CEO, CHB) vide which the petitioner have decline allotment of tenement despite the fate the name/particular of the petitioner finds mentioned in Bio-Metric Survey at Sr. No.26281 of the list. Further for directing the respondents to consider and allot the petitioner the tenement, as per the Scheme named as Chandigarh Small Flat Scheme 2006" and Amended Scheme of 2009.	Ramesh Kumar / Chandigarh Administration & Ors.	SLO/ RAHUL DEV SINGH	11 Sep 2019 17 Dec 2019	Arguments	Yes
16.	<u>CWP/11906/2018</u>	Civil writ petition filed for quashing the impugned order dated 06.012.2017 passed by Respondent No.3 i.e. Chief Engineer Officer, (Chief Executive Officer) the Appellate Authority, vide which the bonafide claim of the petitioner for allotment of a tenements on under, aC The Chandigarh Small Flat Scheme-2006aC for rehabilitation of slum dwellers have been declined. 3. Further for directions to the respondents to	Shyam Sunder / U.T., Chandigarh & Others	SLO/Gagan dcep Singh Vasu	11 Sep 2019 17 Dec 2019	For filing reply	No
17.	<u>CWP/05944/2018</u>		Uma Shankar & Anr. / U.T., Chandigarh & Others	SLO/ AJAY BHARDWA J	11 Sep 2019 11 Dec 2019	Arguments	Yes

consider and allot the petitioner a tenement, as per Scheme called make the allotment of dwelling unit to the petitioner as "The Chandigarh Small Flat Scheme-2006"

CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing the order dated 13.10.2017 passed by the Department Promotional Committee whereby respondent NO.5 has been promoted to the post of Executive Engineer (Public Health) despite the fact that the respondent NO.5 was much junior to the petitioner in service as the action of the respondents is totally illegal, arbitrary, discriminatory, malafide on the part of respondent No.3 & 4 who was the member of the DPC and violative of Article 14 of the Constitution of India. Also for direction to the respondents to consider the petitioner for promotion to the post of Executive Engineer (Public Health) from the date person junior to the petitioner has been promoted as the petitioner not only fulfills all the eligible criteria, but is the senior most Sub Divisional Engineer in the respondent board and the one post of Executive Engineer in Public Health is lying vacant in the respondent Board as the respondent have failed to take any action despite the representation dated 01.11.2017 moved by the petitioner.

Kailash Garg /  
Chairman,  
Chandigarh Housing  
Board

SLO/  
SHEKHAR  
VERMA

11 Sep 2019  
27 Mar 2020

No order,  
Pending for  
Argument Yes

18. CWP/29541/2017

Writ Petition filed for directing the respondents to allot an alternate house to the petitioner under the Small Flat Housing Scheme 2006. Further quashing the impugned order dated 16.01.2018 vide which the claim of the petitioner was rejected by the appellate authority under Clause-17 of the Chandigarh Small Flat Scheme. Further for quashing the impugned order dated 21/04/2016 whereby as per orders passed by the Hon'ble Court in CWP No. 3761 of 2016 decided on 25.02.2016 wherein the respondents were directed to consider the case of the petitioner a fresh in terms of case titled as Dinesh Kumar

Nek Ram /  
Chairman,  
Chandigarh Housing  
Board & Anr.

SLO/  
Vasundhar  
a  
Dalal  
Anand

11 Sep 2019  
11 Dec 2019

No order.  
Adjourned  
for filing  
reply. Yes

19. CWP/10343/2019

Vs Chd. Administration and without considering the petitioner in terms of Judgement passed by this Hon'ble Court in CWP No.2317 of 2014 - Dinesh Kumar Vs Chandigarh Administration and others and have straight away rejected the claim of the petitioner which is liable to be set aside and respondents are liable to allot an alternate house as per Chandigarh Small Flat Scheme, 2006 to the petitioner. And further prayed for directing the respondent No.3 to consider the case of the petitioner for allotment of a small flat under the Small Flat Scheme 2006 within a period of one month.

Writ Petition filed for quashing the order dated, 15.06.2017 passed by the appellate authority-cum-CEO, CHB and impugned order dated 7.9.2015 passed by the Permanent Lok Adalat/Respondent No.2 whereby the Appellate Authority has rejected the claim of the petitioner as prayed for the petitioner in his appeal bearing No.167 of 2017 and further prayed for directions the respondents for early allotment of a small flat to the petitioner under Small Flat Scheme 2006 as the petitioner is entitled and eligible for the same.

Petition filed by the petitioner for directing respondents to allot an alternative site/flat to the petitioner under the Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006 forthwith.(H.No.27-A, Block K, Labour Colony No.4, Industrial Area, Chandigarh)

Regular Second Appeal filed by the petitioner for setting aside the order dated 04.08.2015 passed by the Court of Sh. Anil Kaushik, Civil Judge, Junior Division, U.T., Chandigarh thereby ordering for rejection of the plaint and judgement dated 09.08.2016 passed by the court of Sh. Jasbir Singh Sidhu, Addl. District Judge Chandigarh in the interest of justice. 3. Further prayed that filing of certified copies of the order dated 04.08.2015 and judgement dated 09.08.2016 may

20

CWP/4709/2019

Harinder Kumar /  
Estate Officer, U.T., SLO/ Nitin 11 Sep 2019  
Chandigarh & Kaushal 17 Dec 2019  
Another

**Arguments** Yes

21

CWP/04229/2017

Ramesh / SLO/  
Chandigarh Housing Dinesh 12 Sep 2019  
Board, Chandigarh Malhotra 17 Dec 2019

**Arguments** Yes / 19 Apr 2018

22

RSA/6932/2016

Charanjit Kaur &  
Another / SLO/  
Chairman, Charanjit 12 Sep 2019  
Chandigarh Housing Sharma 17 Mar 2020  
Board & Others

**Arguments** No. Need being RSA matter



kindly be exempted in the interest of justice. (2007/1, Sector 40-C, Chandigarh)

23.	<u>CWP/19472/2018</u>	<p>Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing the condition No.vii of para No. III of Chandigarh Housing Board Oustees Scheme 2017 dated 30.01.2017 laying down that in case of joint khata, the entitlement shall be on basis of the holding under the joint khata and co-sharers within the khata would not be taking into reckoning for the purpose of allotment of dwelling unit, it being contrary to law laid down by the Hon'ble Full Bench of this High Court in Jarnail Singh's case. Further for quashing the impugned letter dated 05.05.2017 whereby the claim of the petitioner has not been considered under oustee category on the ground that only one application can be considered against one oustee category certificate and only on co-oustee be authorized to apply, being contrary to law laid down in Jarnail Singh Vs State of Punjab. And further for direction to the respondent6s to allot the petitioner independent dwelling unit as per his entitlement in accordance with law as laid down in Jarnail Singh Vs State of Punjab</p>	Balwinder Kumar / Union Territory, Chandigarh & Anr.	SLO/ SHEKHAR VERMA	12 Sep 2019 16 Dec 2019	Arguments Yes
24.	<u>CWP/3627/2018</u>	<p>CWP filed for quashing the condition No.VII of para No.III of CHB Oustees Scheme 2017 dated 30.01.2017 laying down that in case of joint khata, the entitlement shall be on basis of the holding under the joint khata and co-sharers within the khata would not be taken into reckoning for the purpose of allotment of dwelling unit, being contrary to law laid down in Jarnail Singh case Full Bench of High COURT of Punjab and Haryana. And further for quashing the impugned letter dated 05.05.2017 whereby the claim of the petitioner has not been considered under Oustees Category on the ground that only one application can be considered against one oustee category</p>	Darshan Kumar / State of U.t. Chandigarh	SLO/ SHEKHAR VERMA	12 Sep 2019 16 Dec 2019	Arguments Yes

certificate and only one co-oustee by authorized to apply, being contrary to law laid down in Jarnail Singh Vs State of Punjab.

Petition filed for quashing the action of the respondents in not regularizing the service of he petitioner despite the fact that they are continuously working with the respondent Board from the year 1981-1994 onward to the entire satisfaction of the Board on the ground that the matter was referred to the respondent No.1 for according approval for creation of posts in the year 1999 and the same was returned, but thereafter no further steps was taken. Further for directions to consider and regularize the services of the petitioners, who are continuously working from the last so many years in term of Govt. instructions issued from time to time.

25.	<u>CWP/23578/2014</u>	Brij Pal & Others / U.T., Chandigarh and others	LO/Arjun Sharma	12 Sep 2019 09 Apr 2020	Arguments	Yes
-----	-----------------------	---	--------------------	----------------------------	-----------	-----

Civil Misc. filed under Section 151 of the code of Civil Procedure in CWP-16089-2005 praying for direction to the respondents to accept the installments/part payments from the petitioners, as the respondents are not accepting the installments/part payments from the petitioners in respect of their respective booths.

26.	<u>CM/03291/2015</u>	Satya Parkash / Chandigarh Administration & Others	LO/ Akansha Sawhney,	12 Sep 2019 17 Dec 2019	Arguments	Yes
-----	----------------------	---	----------------------------	----------------------------	-----------	-----

Civil Misc. filed under Section 151 of the code of Civil Procedure praying for direction to the respondents to accept the installments/part payments from the petitioners, as the respondents are not accepting the installments/part payments from the petitioners in respect of their respective booths.

27.	<u>CM/03297/2015</u>	Avtar Singh & Others / Chandigarh Administration & Others	LO/ Akansha Sawhney,	12 Sep 2019 17 Dec 2019	Arguments	Yes
-----	----------------------	---	----------------------------	----------------------------	-----------	-----

Civil Misc. filed under Section 151 of the code of Civil Procedure praying for direction to the respondents to accept the installments/part payments from the petitioners, as the respondents are not accepting the installments/part payments from the petitioners in respect of their respective booths.

28.	<u>CM/03287/2015</u>	Suresh Kumar & Others / Chandigarh Administration & Others	LO/ Akansha Sawhney,	12 Sep 2019 17 Dec 2019	Arguments	Yes
-----	----------------------	--	----------------------------	----------------------------	-----------	-----

29.	<u>CWP/08838/2015</u>	Petition filed by the subject cited petitioner for quashing the order dated 07.07.2010 passed by Respondent No.3 impugned order dated 23.03.2011, passed by Respondent No.2, impugned order dated 07.06.2011, passed by the Respondent No. 4, impugned order dated 29.07.2013 passed by the Respondent No.1, and impugned order dated 30.01.2015 passed by Ld. Addl. District Judge, Chandigarh whereby appeal of the petitioner under Section 9 of the Public Premises Act, 1971 has been dismissed being illegal, arbitrary and unsustainable. And further for directing the respondents not to initiate eviction proceedings against the petitioner on the basis of the impugned order in any manner. Booth No.23, Sector 9, Chandigarh. The Hon'ble High Court has vide its order dated 06.05.2015 issued notice of motion regarding stay and in the meantime, the status quo shall be maintained.	Sansar Ram / Chairman, Chandigarh Housing Board & Anrs.	LO/ INDRESH GOEL	12 Sep 2019 <b>Arguments</b> 17 Dec 2019	Yes
30.	<u>CWP/010773/2015</u>	Petition filed by the subject cited petitioner for quashing the order dated 16.04.2015 passed by appellant authority under the Public Premises (Eviction of Unauthorised Occupants) Act, 1971, Chandigarh by which appeal referred by petitioner has been dismissed without taking into consideration material, facts and circumstances of the cases.	Sukhwinder Singh / Chandigarh Administration & others	SLO/ INDRESH GOEL	12 Sep 2019 <b>Arguments</b> 17 Dec 2019	Not Required / Being connected matter with CWP No.16154 of 2005
31.	<u>CWP/12232/2015</u>	Writ Petition filed by the subject cited petitioner for quashing the impugned order dated 11.10.2013 passed by the respondent No.4 since the appeal filed by the petitioner under provisions of Allotment/Transfer of Built-up booths in any sector on lease/hire purchase basis in Chandigarh Rules 1991 before Chief Administrator i.e. respondent No.3 and impugned order dated 16.04.2015 passed by appellant authority under the Public Premises (Eviction of Unauthorised Occupants) Act, 1971, Chandigarh by which appeal referred by the petitioner has been dismissed without taking into consideration material,	Hari Ram / Chandigarh Administration and others	LO/ Gautam Mittal	12 Sep 2019 17 Dec 2019	<b>Arguments</b> Yes

the petitioner has been dismissed without taking into consideration material, facts and circumstances of the case.