

ADVANCE LIST OF COURT CASES PENDING BEFORE HONBLE NATIONAL COMMISSION W.E.F. 16.09.2019 TO 21.09.2019

Sr. No	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter/Prayer	Petitioner/ Respondent	Nodal Officer / Advocate	Next Date	Status	Reply Filed
1	<u>NC/CC/21/2011</u>	Counsel Smt. Rachna Joshi vide her email dated 19.11.2018 has informed that after hearing the matter, the Hon'ble Commission pleased to direct the OP 1 & 2 (PDL) to pay the balance principle amount within two month. First instalment is payable by PDL by 31.12.2018 and second is 30.01.2019. Case adjourned to 12.02.2019	RONSHER SINGH SIDHU / PARSVNATH DEVELOPERS LTD. & oRS	SLO/RACHNA JOSHI ISSAR	17 Sep 2019	00	Yes / 02 Jan 2012
2	<u>NC/CC/20/2011</u>	Counsel Smt. Rachna Joshi vide her email dated 19.11.2018 has informed that after hearing the matter, the Hon'ble Commission pleased to direct the OP 1 & 2 (PDL) to pay the balance principle amount within two month. First instalment is payable by PDL by 31.12.2018 and second is 30.01.2019.	Sher Singh Sidhu / Parsvniath Developers Ltd.	LO/RACHNA JOSHI ISSAR	17 Sep 2019	00	Yes / 13 Jun 2011

Indu
19/9/19

Time - 4:40

ADVANCE LIST OF CASES PENDING W.E.F. 16.09.2019 TO 21.09.2019

Sr. No	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter/Prayer	Petitioner/ Respondent	Nodal Officer / Advocate	Next Date	Status	Reply Filed
1	<u>CWP/30181/2018</u>	<p>Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing the proceedings/orders dated 30.08.2018 vide which the refund was deposited in the account of the petitioner by respondents No.3 & 4 while surrendering the dwelling unit illegally and deducting 10% of the amount paid by the petitioner being illegal, null and void as the representations dated 16.8.2018 requesting respondents No.3 & 4 withdrawing the request to surrender the dwelling unit NO.2212/A, Sector 63, Chandigarh vide intimation letter dated 16.08.2018 as well as e-mail sent to them in not considering said presentations withdrawing the application dated 25.07.2018 and order passed thereon in refunding the amount and the intimation letter dated 20.09.2018 vide are liable to be set aside as the request withdrawing the application dated 25.07.2018 was illegally cancelled and intimation letter dated 20.09.2018 is also liable to be set aside and further directing the respondents to handover the possession of the said plot after accepting the amount which was refunded in the account of the petition and pass any order or direction as this Honâ€™ble Court may fit in the circumstances of the case and further praying that during the pendency of the writ petition dwelling unit No. 2212/A, Sector 63, Chandigarh may not be allotted to any person.</p> <p>1. The subject cited Writ Petition was filed by the petitioner for quashing of the orders dated 15.07.2016 passed by the Respondent No.4(CEO,CHB) whereby the allotment of the house of the petitioner has been cancelled, Order dated 26.04.2017 passed by the Respondent No.3(Chairman CHB-cum-Appellate Authority), Order dated 25.09.2017 and order dated 9.10.2017 passed by Respondent No.1(AA, U.T.) and thereafter order dated 21.11.2017 passed by the Respondent No.5(EO-cum-Secretary, CHB) and further the demolition order dated 20.02.2019 passed by the Respondent No.5 and order dated 14.03.2019 passed by Respondent No.8(SSP, U.T.), wherein demolition in DU.No.1667, Sector 29-B, Chandigarh would be initiated on 29.03.2019 without following the principle of Natural Justice, equity and good conscious. 2. Further to directing the respondents to restore and regularize the allotment of the house in favour of the petitioner by calculating and accepting the one time compounding fees by taking into consideration the facts and</p>	<p>Ram Gopal / U.T., Chandigarh & Others</p>	<p>SLO/ASHISH RAWAL</p>	<p>16 Sep 2019</p>	<p>For filing reply</p>	<p>No</p>
2	<u>CWP/8238/2019</u>	<p>1. The subject cited Writ Petition was filed by the petitioner for quashing of the orders dated 15.07.2016 passed by the Respondent No.4(CEO,CHB) whereby the allotment of the house of the petitioner has been cancelled, Order dated 26.04.2017 passed by the Respondent No.3(Chairman CHB-cum-Appellate Authority), Order dated 25.09.2017 and order dated 9.10.2017 passed by Respondent No.1(AA, U.T.) and thereafter order dated 21.11.2017 passed by the Respondent No.5(EO-cum-Secretary, CHB) and further the demolition order dated 20.02.2019 passed by the Respondent No.5 and order dated 14.03.2019 passed by Respondent No.8(SSP, U.T.), wherein demolition in DU.No.1667, Sector 29-B, Chandigarh would be initiated on 29.03.2019 without following the principle of Natural Justice, equity and good conscious. 2. Further to directing the respondents to restore and regularize the allotment of the house in favour of the petitioner by calculating and accepting the one time compounding fees by taking into consideration the facts and</p>	<p>Suhag Rani / U.T., Chandigarh & 7 Others</p>	<p>SLO/Rakesh Sobti</p>	<p>17 Sep 2019</p>	<p>For Filling Reply No</p>	

circumstances and the provision of Capital of Punjab (Development and Regulations) Act 1952 Chandigarh Housing Board, Allotment Management and Sale of Tenements Regulations, 1979 and Haryana Housing Board Act, 1971 as extended to Chandigarh. 3. Further for prohibition restraining the respondents from continuing with demolition proceedings on the basis of eviction order of the house in question, keeping in view the facts and circumstances which are being narrated in the present petition.

Civil Writ Petition for setting aside the order / Letter dated 01.05.2017 wherein the claim of the petitioner had been declined on the basis that the name of the co applicant wife name is different and further not considered their allotment and their non allotment of the said flat being arbitrary, ultravires discriminatory and against the constitutional rights and is in violation of Article 14 and 16 of the Constitution of India. 3. And for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus for directing the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioner, as the petitioner are duly competent in all respects to get the allotment of the flat under EWS Scheme being having his vote for the year 2006 and biometric survey which are the primary requirements for the said allotment and others sufficient proofs. 4. And further for issuance of directions of stay of allotment proceedings during the pendency of the present writ petition. (Small Flat Scheme)

Contempt petition filed by the subject cited petitioner to punish the respondent-contemnor in respect of the disobedience of the order passed by the Hon'ble High Court vide order dated 17.01.2017 passed in CWP No.595 of 2017.

Oustees Matter.

CWP FILED SEEKING DIRECTIONS FOR DECLARING THE PETITIONER AS DULY ENROLLED NOMINEE OF THE DECEASED MEMBER OF THE SOCIETY & FOR QUASHING BOARD'S LETTER DT.19.4.2001 WHEREBY THE SOCIETY HAS BEEN DIRECTED TO SEEK APPROVAL OF RCS FOR THE TRANSFER OF SHARES TO THE NOMINEES IN CASE OF DECEASED MEMBER OF THE SOCIETY.

CWP filed by the petitioner for setting aside the Allotment Scheme 2017 for the oustees of Chandigarh by the Chandigarh Housing Board whereby the options were called for a total of 263 flats for the

Lal Chand / Union Territory, Chandigarh

SLO/VISHAL SODHI 17 Sep 2019

For Filling Reply No

Atamjit Singh / Maninder Singh IAS & Ors

SLO/INDRESH GOEL 17 Sep 2019

Arguments Yes / 16 Aug 2018

Surinder Pal Kochhar / Chd. Admn. & Others

LO/SHEKHAR VERMA 18 Sep 2019

Arguments Yes

JASWANT SINGH / CHD. ADMN. & ORS.

LO/INDRESH GOEL 18 Sep 2019

Arguments Yes / 12 Jun 2001

Narinder Kumar Panju / U.T.,

SLO/SHEKHAR VERMA 18 Sep 2019

Argument. Yes / 03 Aug 2018

3 CWP/8292/2019

4 COCP/1998/2017

5 CWP/07440/2017

6 CWP/07095/2001

7 CWP/024968/2017

oustees of U.T., Chandigarh on lease hold basis/free hold basis under the "Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling Units to the oustees of Chandigarh, Scheme 1996". Further directing the respondents to frame a scheme for rehabilitation of oustees of Chandigarh (Phase-II)/petitioners in accordance with the latest policies of the Government of India, the State of Punjab and the State of Haryana and the same scheme should be according to the prevailing times/situations of the oustees/petitioners whose land were acquired in the year 1966.

Chandigarh & Ors

Appeal filed by the petitioner under Section 37 of the Arbitration And Conciliation Act, 1996 against the order dated 30.05.2018 passed by Ms Aradhana Sawhney, Additional District Judge, Chandigarh in Arbitration Case No.69 of 2015

PARSVNATH DEVELOPERS LTD. / CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD & ANOTHER

SLO/SHEKHAR VERMA 18 Sep 2019

Arguments Yes / 17 Sep 2019

PETITIONER HAS PRAYED FOR QUASHING ANNEXURE P-10, VIDE WHICH HIS REQUEST FOR GRANT OF HIGHER SCALE OF RS.4020-6200 HAS BEEN REJECTED.

KRISHAN KUMAR / U.T. CHD. & ORS.

SLO/INDRESH GOEL 19 Sep 2019

Arguments Yes / 12 Jun 2006

(CWP/26065/2016) Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing the order dated 21.09.2015 passed by the respondent No.2 "PLA (Public Utility Services) allowing the application filed by the Respondent No.1 - Kapil Dev and holding him entitled to the allotment of alternate site and further issuing directions to CHB to include the name of the applicant/Respondent No.1 in the seniority list being prepared by them for allotment and delivery of possession of an alternate site, being legally not sustainable as he was not found residing at the spot at the time of demolition of the colony and distribution of the Form-A and the person residing there has already been allotted a flat. (H.No.107, LBS Burail, Chandigarh)

ESTATE OFFICER, U.T. CHANDIGARH / KAPIL DEV & ORS.

SLO/SUVIR KUMAR 19 Sep 2019

Arguments Yes / 02 Jan 2017

CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing the impugned order dated 31.03.2016 ordering Premature Retirement at the age of 49 years as a measure of punishment, stigmatic and punitive in nature, contrary to law; and for retraining the respondents from compulsory retiring the petitioner, as a measure of punishment, except in due course of law by holding an enquiry and granting an opportunity of hearing to lead his part of the defence evidence in such proceedings, in accordance with law; and further by passing an ad-interim order, the operation of the impugned show cause notice dated 31.03.2016, the virtual orders of premature retirement be stayed, during the

Rakesh Garg / Chandigarh Housing Board

SLO/Sanjeev Sharma Sr. Counsel 20 Sep 2019

Argument. Yes / 06 Jun 2016

8 PAO/CARB-26/2018

9 CWP/11818/2005

10 CWP/25005/2015

11 CWP/8311/2016

pendency of the writ petition.

CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing the impugned order dated 31.03.2016 ordering Premature Retirement at the age of 46 years as a measure of punishment, stigmatic and punitive in nature, contrary to law; and for retraining the respondents from compulsory retiring the petitioner, as a measure of punishment, except in due course of law by holding an enquiry and granting an opportunity of hearing to lead his part of the defense evidence in such proceedings, in accordance with law; and further by passing an ad-interim order, the operation of the impugned show cause notice dated 31.03.2016, the virtual orders of premature retirement be stayed, during the pendency of the writ petition.

CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing the impugned show cause notice dated 31.03.2016 ordering Premature Retirement at the age of 54 years as a measure of punishment, stigmatic and punitive in nature, contrary to law; and for retraining the respondents from compulsory retiring the petitioner, as a measure of punishment, except in due course of law by holding an enquiry and granting an opportunity of hearing to lead his part of the defense evidence in such proceedings, in accordance with law; and further by passing an ad-interim order, the operation of the impugned show cause notice dated 31.03.2016, the virtual orders of premature retirement be stayed, during the pendency of the writ petition. (Service Matter)

CWP No.9276/2016 titled as Rajesh Kumar Vs Chandigarh Housing Board, has supplied the copy of CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing the impugned show cause notice dated 31.03.2016, not to prematurely retire the petitioner as a measure of punishment, except in due course of law by holding inquiry and affording opportunity of hearing to her and further by passing an ad-interim order, the operation of the impugned show cause notice dated 31.03.2016, the virtual orders of premature retirement be stayed, during the pendency of the writ petition. (Service Matter)

CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing the impugned order dated 31.03.2016 ordering Premature Retirement at the age of 46 years as a measure of punishment, stigmatic and punitive in nature, contrary to law; and for retraining the respondents from compulsory retiring the petitioner, as a measure of punishment, except in due course of law by holding an enquiry and granting an opportunity of hearing to lead his part of the defense evidence in such proceedings, in accordance with law; and further by passing an ad-interim order, the

1 CWP/8313/2016

Vijay Kumar /
Chandigarh
Housing
Board &
Others

SLO/Sanjeev
Sharma Sr.
Counsel

20 Sep
2019

Arguments.

Yes / 06 Jun
2016

3 CWP/8360/2016

Vijay Puri /
Chandigarh
Housing
Board

SLO/Sanjeev
Sharma Sr.
Counsel

20 Sep
2019

Arguments.

Yes / 06 Jun
2016

4 CWP/10283/2016

Anjana Jindal
/ Chandigarh
Housing
Board

SLO/SHEKHAR
VERMA

20 Sep
2019

Arguments.

Yes / 06 Jun
2016

5 CWP/8316/2016

Urwashi Kaul
/ Chandigarh
Housing
Board and
others

SLO/Sanjeev
Sharma Sr.
Counsel

20 Sep
2019

Arguments

Yes / 23 Sep
2016

operation of the impugned show cause notice dated 31.03.2016, the virtual orders of premature retirement be stayed, during the pendency of the writ petition.

Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing the order dated 05.04.2017 and thereafter resumption of allotment of D.U. No. 1 (G/F), Sector 41-A, Chandigarh vide order dated 29.08.2017 passed by Respondent No.3 i.e. Executive Engineer & cum- Estate Officer-Secretary, CHB on account of Building Violation.

16 CWP/21780/2017

Poonam
Bhandari /
Chandigarh
Housing
Board

SLO/Arjun
Sharma

20 Sep
2019

Arguments

Yes / 18 Dec
2017

Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing the show cause notice for resumption of the allotment of D.U. No. 1/1, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh on account of Building Violation.

17 CWP/21779/2017

Ashwani
Kumar
Sharma &
Anrs. /
Chandigarh
Housing
Board

SLO/Arjun
Sharma

20 Sep
2019

Arguments

Yes / 18 Dec
2017

ADVANCE DISTRICT COURT CASES LIST FROM 16-09-2019 TO 21-09-2019

S N o	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter/Prayer	Petitioner/ Respondent	Nodal Officer / Advocate	Next Date	Status	Reply Filed	Concer ned Branch
1	<u>C.S./PBT/1 3/2015</u>	Petitioner has been filed the ptn. u/s 264 & 266 of Indian succession Act for the grant of probate WILL dated 17.2.1990 in respect of DU No. 3419, Sector 40D, Chandigarh.	Subhash Chand / GP & Anr.	LO/SUSHILA BHARDWAJ DR.	16 Sep 2019	Consideration.	Yes / 02 Jul 2019	AO-II
2	<u>C.S./34/201 6</u>	Civil Suit No.1370/2016 filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of equal share of Dwelling Unit on the basis of agreement in respect of DU No. 3424, Sector 46-C, Chandigarh on the death of executant.	Rattan Ram / Sital Kaur & Ors.	LO/SUVIR KUMAR	16 Sep 2019	Consideration.	Yes / 29 Jul 2016	AO-IV
3	<u>C.S./984/2 017</u>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff directing Def. No. 2 (CHB) not to transfer the suit property in the name of any person/s in respect of DU No. 5053-B, Sector 38 Chandigarh on the basis of forged & fabricated document.:	Uggar Singh / Daljit Kaur & Anr.	LO/SEEMA	16 Sep 2019	Replication.	Yes / 11 Sep 2017	AO-II
4	<u>CS/560/201 9</u>	Suit filed by the plaintiff for permanent injunction restraining the defendants from demolishing the need based changes,constn. in DU No. 3207/1, Sector 44-D, Chandigarh and also regularised the Need Base Changes made in DU in question.	Gurbachan Singh Anand / CHB & Anr.	SLO/Shalini Bagdi,Adv/CHB	16 Sep 2019	Consideration.	Yes/3 Jul 2019	Enforce ment Officer

5	<u>EX.APP/35</u> <u>0/2017</u>	Execution Application filed by the Decree Holder against decree dated 11.8.2005 passed in Civil Suit No. 33 of 1995 titled as Rama Shankar Vs. Mohinder Paul & Ors. in respect of illegal construction raised in H.,No. 471, Sector 40-A, Chandigarh.	Ram Shankar / Mohinder	LO/Krishan Kumar Dhiman	16 Sep 2019	Consideration.	Yes / 22 Sep 2017	AO-II
6	<u>EX.APP/32</u> <u>/2015</u>	Execution Application filed by the applicant seeking allotment in lieu of J.No. 427A/ Block E, Indl. Area, Labour Colony No. 4, UT, Chandigarh.	Chandrika Rajbhar / EO & CHB	LO/ANANT PAL SINGH	17 Sep 2019	Consideration.	Yes / 02 Jul 2019	SOPA
7	<u>C.S./1090/2</u> <u>015</u>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiffs in respect of allotment/transfer of DU No. 413, Sector 43-A, Chandigarh qua their share on the basis of WILL dated 17.3.2014.	KARAMJIT KAUR & ANR. / CHB & ANR.	LO/RAJAT NAKRA	17 Sep 2019	Ors. Def. evidence.	Yes / 09 Sep 2015	AO-III
8	<u>C.S./243/20</u> <u>18</u>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for mandatory injunction directing CHB for remove/demolish illegal/unauthorized construction in respect of DU No. 796/41-A, Chandigarh.	Sarbjit Singh Hira / CHB & Ors.	SLO/GEETA GULATI	17 Sep 2019	Reply of amended plaint filed by Plaintiff.	Yes / 08 Jul 2019	Enforce ment Officer
9	<u>C.S./1195/2</u> <u>019</u>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for grant decree declaring plaintiffs to be entitled for the transfer of DU No. 303/1, Sector 45-A, Chandigarh in the name of late of Mrs. Santosh Sekhri being the only 1st Class beneficiarie.	B.K.Sekhri & Anr. / GP & Ors.	SLO/RAHUL DEV SINGH	17 Sep 2019	Filing of POA	No	AO-II

10	<u>APPEAL/232/2019</u>	Appeal filed by the Appellant against order dated 15.5.2019 passed by Sh. Inderjeet Singh, CJJD, Chandigarh regarding dismissal of suit in respect of transfer of full share in respect of DU No. 6263-C, Sector 56, Chandigarh.	Meena Devi / Dharamvir & Ors.	SLO/RAJIV SHARMA-18	17 Sep 2019	Appearance	No	AO(Colony)
11	<u>C.S./826/2019</u>	Suit filed by the plaintiff for declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is owner to the extent of 50% share in DU No. 2313, Sector 45-C, Chandigarh with consequential relief directing the def. No. 1 (CHB) to transfer 50% share of the said DU.	Meenu Dhawan / Chairman, CHB, Chandigarh & Anr.	SLO/Shalini Bagdi, Adv/CHB	18 Sep 2019	Notice & Record.	No	AO-II
12	<u>CS/2903/2018</u>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of DU No. 3214/2, Sector 44-D, Chandigarh on the basis of WILL.	Rakesh Kumar Sharma / Chandigarh Housing Board.	SLO/SARABJIT KAUR	18 Sep 2019	Consideration.	Yes / 08 Jul 2019	AO-IV
13	<u>C.S./642/2017</u>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of DU No. 3214/2, Sector 44-D, Chandigarh on the basis of WILL.	Rakesh Kumar Sharma / Chandigarh Housing Board.	SLO/SARABJIT KAUR	18 Sep 2019	Consideration.	Yes / 08 Jul 2019	AO-IV
14	<u>C.S./2369/2018</u>	Civil suit has been filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer/mutation of DU No.2580, Sector 44-C, Chandigarh.	Prem Kumari / Onkar Devi	SLO/SURINDER PAL KAUR	18 Sep 2019	Evidence.	Yes / 08 Oct 2018	AO-IV
15	<u>C.S./827/2015</u>	Regarding allotment of flat (PDL matter).	Dr. Vasdev Singh / Inderdev Singh & Ors.	LO/PREETI NIGAM	18 Sep 2019	Ors. Defendant evidence.	Yes / 04 Oct 2017	SO(PDL)

16	<u>C.S./284/2013</u>	(Civil Suit 3070/2013) Allotment/Transfer of entire share of DU No. 282/1, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh due to death of original allottee under Hire purchase Basis for UT employees Scheme allotted by CHB on 2.4.1985	Satwinder Singh / Prabhjot Kaur & Ors.	LO/ANSHUMA N NARULA	18 Sep 2019	Arguments.	Yes / 29 Jul 2016	AO-IV
17	<u>C.S./555/2016</u>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for mandatory injunction directing CHB not to transfer 1/3rd share out of full in respect of DU No. 986, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh.	Surinder Verma / Rajinder Verma & Ors.	LO/RITESH KUMAR BANSAL	18 Sep 2019	Defendant evidence.	Yes / 10 Oct 2016	AO-IV
18	<u>C.S./2006/2016</u>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff seeking transfer of properties i.e. DU No. 1238, Sector 43-B, Chandigarh & 14th share in agriculture land on WILL basis.	Indu Munjal / Chandigarh Housing Board & Ors.	LO/SEEMA	18 Sep 2019	Consideration.	Yes / 11 Jul 2019	AO-III
19	<u>EX.APP/904/2017</u>	Execution Application filed against order dated 15.7.2013 for non compliance of order of PLA. The applicant is held eligible for allotment of alternate site under the scheme of 2006 & the JDs are required to follow up necessary action for alternate in lieu of J.No. 155, Block-K, Indl. Area, Labour Colony No. 4, Chandigarh.	LAKSHMAN DASS / EO/CHB,	SLO/KANWAL S. WALIA,	18 Sep 2019	Consideration.	Yes / 29 May 2018	SOPA
20	<u>C.S./XXXXX/2018</u>	Civil Suit No. CSCJ/129/2018 filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of suit property DU No. 780/1, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh	Nasreen Begum / Mohd. Ishaq	SLO/VIKAS JAIN	18 Sep 2019	Replication.	Yes / 23 Apr 2018	AO-IV

21	<u>APPEAL/49</u> <u>5/2018</u>	Appeal filed by the appellant against order dated 8.5.2018 passed by Ms. Saloni Gupta, CJJD, Chandigarh (Regn. No. 1517/2014) regarding transfer of ownership of DU No. 5371/1, Cat-IV, Phase-II, Manimajra, UT, Chandigarh..	Sona Singh / CHB & Ors.	SLO/GEETA GULATI	19 Sep 2019	Arguments.	Yes / 29 Mar 2019	AO-IV
22	<u>C.S./992/2</u> <u>018</u>	Civil Suit has been filed by the plaintiff seeking allotment of small flat in lieu of J.No. 108, Gursagar Colony, Maloya, Chandigarh under Self Finance Scheme-2006.	Mohan Lal @ Mohan Ram & Anr. / EO/CHB	SLO/SEEMA	19 Sep 2019	Evidence.	Yes / 01 Oct 2018	SOPA
23	<u>C.S./1987/2</u> <u>016</u>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff seeking possession in lieu of tin shed No. 7000, Sector 56, Chandigarh.	Geeta / EO & Anr.	LO/SARABJIT KAUR	19 Sep 2019	Consideration.	Yes / 01 Oct 2018	SOPA
24	<u>C.S./1421/2</u> <u>016</u>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff seeking transfer of 1/4th share in respect of H.Nc. 4782/B, Sector 38(W), Chandigarh.	Angelina Gill & Ors. / Vidya Sagar & Ors.	LO/Rajinder Ghai	19 Sep 2019	Evidence.	Yes / 15 Oct 2018	AOIII
25	<u>C.S./1931-</u> <u>/2015</u>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff seeking mandatory injunction for directing not to transfer of DU No., 3073, Sector 45-D, Chandigarh on the basis of forged & fabricated WILL dated 28.12.2006.	Nirmal Kaur & Ors. / Geeta Rani & Anr.	LO/DEEPALI PURI	19 Sep 2019	Evidence.	Yes / 15 Feb 2016	AO-III
26	<u>APPLICATI</u> <u>ON/15/201</u> <u>8</u>	Petition u/s 276 of Indian succession Act for grant of Probate in respect of unregistered WILL dated 11.8.1986 in respect of transfer of DU No. 2567, sector 40-C, Chandigarh.	Amba Charan Kalra / General Public & Ors.	SLO/RAHUL DEV SINGH	19 Sep 2019	Evidence.	Yes 13 Mar 2019	AO-II

27	<u>C.S./24/2019</u>	Petition No. GW/24/2019 filed by the petitioner for Hindu Minority & Guardianship Act 1956 seeking permission to sell the share of minor in respect of DU No. 2289/3, EWS, Sector 45-C, Chandigarh owned by minor daughter of petitioner.	Sunil Singh / CHB	SLO/RAHUL DEV SINGH	19 Sep 2019	Arguments.	Yes/5 Jul 2019	AO-II
28	<u>C.S./2178/2018</u>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding recovery of Rs.648337/- as gratuity amount & interest on delay payment.	Surjan Lal / Chandigarh Housing Board.	SLO/VIKAS JAIN	19 Sep 2019	Reply & Consideration. Application for dismissal of suit filed by the counsel on 6.8.2018.	Yes / 06 Aug 2018	CAO
29	<u>C.S./425/2019</u>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for mandatory injunction to remove & demolish the violations & illegal constn. raised by Def. No. 1 to 5 of DU No. 4525, Dr. Ambedkar Colony, Maulijagan, Chandigarh in which CHB is defendant No. 6.	Surinder Kumar / Krishna Devi & Ors	SLO/SEEMA	19 Sep 2019	Filing of Reply.	No	Enforce ment Officer
30	<u>C.S./1234/2019</u>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff to record the ownership of the plaintiff to transfer the extent of 8.33% share in respect of DU No. 1014, Sector 43-B, Chandigarh.	Pranav Sikri / CHB & Anr.	SLO/RAJIV SHARMA-18	20 Sep 2019	Reply.	No	AO-III
31	<u>C.S./2803/2018</u>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer the suit property of DU No. 2042/3, Sector 45-C, Chandigarh.	Kanchan Dilip Kulkarni / Dilip Ganesh Kulkarni & Ors.	SLO/RAHUL DEV SINGH	20 Sep 2019	Consideration.	Yes / 22 Nov 2018	AO-II

32	<u>C.S./395/2019</u>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of DU No. 2244/1, Sector 45-C, Chandigarh on the basis of Sale/Transfer Deed.	Indu Bharti / Satish Kumar Bhardwaj & Ors.	SLO/PREETI NIGAM	20 Sep. 2019	Replication if any.	Yes/30 Jul 2019	AO-II
33	<u>C.S./1937/2016</u>	Civil Suit for allotment/transfer of DU No. 6756-A, Sector 56, Chandigarh in the name of legal heirs under Dr. Ambedkar Awas Yojna-2009.	Rekha Rani & Anr. / Chandigarh Admn. & Ors.	LO/Harinder Kumar Aurora	27 Aug 2019	Consideration.	Yes / 26 May 2015	AO-Colony
34	<u>C.S./931/2016</u>	Civil Suit regarding transfer of DU No. 3321 and illegal/unauthorised construction of DU No. 3321/1, Housing Board Colony, Dhanas, UT, Chandigarh (CS/393/2016).	Pradeep Kumar Sharma / Saroj Kohli & Anr.	LO/RAVI PARKASH PARUTHI	20 Sep 2019	Consideration.	Yes / 29 Jul 2016	Enforce ment Officer
35	<u>C.S./1781/2017</u>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for mandatory injunction directing the CHB(Def. No. i) not to transfer the house No. 3420, Maloya, UT, Chandigarh on the basis of decree dated 28.8.2017 passed by Sh. Imanbir Singh Dhaliwal, CJJD, Chandigarh & also directing def. No. 1 & 2 to transfer the DU in favour of plaintiff on the basis of Agreement to sell.	SANJAY KUMAR GARG / CHB & ORS.	SLO/SEEMA	21 Sep 2019	Consideration.	Yes / 06 Sep 2017	AO(Colony)

ADVANCE

STATE COMMISSION CASES

USF FROM 16/9/2019 to 21/9/2019

S N o	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter/Prayer	Petitioner/ Respondent	Nodal Officer/ Advocate	Next Date	Status	Reply Filed	Concerned Branch
1	CC/82/2019	Complaint under Section 17 of the Consumer Protection Act filed before State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, UT, Chandigarh prayed that to summon for record & direct OP to transfer the ownership of Booth No. 12, sector 61, Kajheri & also to pay the compensation on account of mental harassment & also to take action against the official concerned responsible for delay & further to pay the cost of litigation Rs.55,000/-	Gulsnowar / CHB & Ors.	SLO/VISHAL SODHI	16 Sep 2019	Seek instructions.	Yes 12 Jul 2019	AO(Commer cial)

ADVANCE CONSUMER COURT CASES LIST FROM 16-09-2019 TO 21-09-2019

S N o	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter/Prayer	Petitioner/ Respondent	Nodal Officer/ Advocate	Next Date	Status	Reply Filed	Conc erne d Bran ch.
1	CC/178/2019	Complaint under Section 12 of the Consumer Protection Act 1986 filed before Distt. Forum-II, Chandigarh & prayed that OPs be directed to convert the DU No. 5014/3, Cat-III, Manimajra at the circle rates prevalent in the year 2012 & also prayed to pay Rs.3.00 Lakh to the complainant for huge financial & mental loss, stress & agony. Also pay Rs.50,000/- as litigation expenses.	Parvati / Chairman/CEO/Secy., CHB	SLO/ASHISH.RAWAL	16 Oct 2019	Rejoind er.	Yes / 03 Jul 2019	AO-IV
2	CC/283/2019	Complaint under Section 12 of the Consumer Protection Act filed before Distt. Forum-II, Chandigarh for transfer of DU No. 296/2, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh on the basis of mutual transfer policy & also Rs.33114/- alongwith 12% intt. from the date of deposit, compensation for mental pain & harassment Rs.1,00,000/- & for litigation cost of Rs.35000/-.	Suresh Kumar / CM, CHB	SLO/Harinder Kumar Aurora	19 Sep 2019	Rejoind er	Yes / 15 Jul 2019	AO-IV

CASES PENDING BEFORE PLA, UT, CHANDIGARH (16.09.2019 to 21.09.2019)

S. No.	Case No.	Petitioner/ Respondent	Brief Subject Matter/Prayer	Nodal Officer / Advocate	Date of hearing	Status	Reply Filed	Concerned Branch
1	APPLICATION /465/2019	Krishan Kumar / Chairman, CHB	Refund of Excess amount deposited by the applicant alongwith interest in R/o DU No. 2031-C, 3rd Floor, Sector, Chd. (Oustees Quota).	SLO/RAJIV SHARMA-18	18.09.2019	As informed by the branch, amount has been refunded to the Applicant.	No	AO-III