

**ADVANCE LIST OF COURT CASES PENDING BEFORE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA W.E.F. 30.09.2019 TO 05.10.2019**

<b>Sr. No</b>	<b>Case No.</b>	<b>Brief Subject Matter/Prayer</b>	<b>Petitioner/ Respondent</b>	<b>Nodal Officer / Advocate</b>	<b>Next Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Reply Filed</b>	<b>Concerned Branch</b>
1	CWP/4691/2017	Writ petition filed by the petitioners for quashing the "Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling Units to the Oustees of Chandigarh Scheme, 1996" issued by Respondent No.3 i.e. CHB vide which applications have been invited upto 15.03.2017 for allotment of flats to the eligible oustees of U.T., Chandigarh under Self Financing Housing Scheme on lease hold/free hold basis, the same being directly in conflict of the order/judgement dated 05.12.2013 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in CWP No.14300 of 1996 and connected 46 writ petitions. AND also a writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to allot dwelling units to the petitioners strictly in compliance of the order/judgement dated 05.12.2013 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in CWP No.14300 of 1996 and connected 46 writ petitions.	Satbir Sing & Ors / Chandigarh Administration & Ors. (Oustees Matter)	SLO/Sanjeev Sharma Sr. Counsel	30 Sep 2019	Arguments	Yes / 19 Feb 2018	AO-Oustees
2	CWP/04492/2017	Civil Writ Petition under article 226 and 227 of the constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing of order dated 22.12.2016 passed by the permanent Lok Adalat Public Utility Services Union Territory, Chandigarh annexure and A writ in the nature of mandamus for issuance of directions to the respondents for allotment of flat dwelling unit to the petitioner being Kashmiri Migrant as the petitioner is eligible candidate for the allotment of flat dwelling unit.	Meena Arora / Chandigarh Administration & Ors	SLO/Suvir Shegal	30 Sep 2019	Arguments	Yes / 07 May 2018	AO-(Policy)
3	CWP/06502/2018	writ petition filed for quashing the impugned order dated 12.09.2017 and for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus directing the official respondents to allot the small flat under the Chandigarh Small Flat Schem-2006 for which the petitioner is legally entitled but the claim has been rejected on illegal grounds. 3. Further for directions to the respondents to make the allotment of dwelling unit to the petitioner as having been allotted to the similar situated persons.	Dinesh Kothiyal / U.T., Chandigarh & Others	SLO/ASHISH RAWAL	30 Sep 2019	Arguments	Yes / 19 Jul 2018	SO(PA)
4	CWP/036155/2018	Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for setting aside the impugned order dated 25.08.2015 passed by Respondent	Estate Officer, U.T.,	SLO/VAIBHAV PRASHAR	30 Sep 2019	Arguments	Yes / 17 Feb 2019	SO(PA)

		No.2 i.e. Permanent Lok Adalat (Public Utility Services) as illegal Arbitrary, Beyond jurisdiction against the Policy(ies) of Chandigarh Administration And/or such other appropriate writ, order or direction as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the instant case. It is also prayed that during the pendency of the present case the operation of the impugned order and further proceedings in the execution petition may kindly be stayed.	Chandigarh / Santosh Kumar & Others					
5	CWP/30777/2018	Writ Petition filed for quashing the order dated 26.06.2018 passed by the appellate authority-cum-CEO, CHB in appeal vide which the claim of the petitioner for allotment of house under the Chandigarh Small Flat Scheme 2006 has been rejected and further directing the respondents to allot the dwelling unit in lieu of Jhugi No.538, SBS Colony to the petitioner under the Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006.	Varinder / Estate Officer, UT, Chandigarh and Anr	SLO/Vasundhara Dalal Anand	30 Sep 2019	Reply handed over to the counsel on 26.09.2019 for filing..	No	SO(PA)
6	CWP/22636/2013	Petition filed by the subject cited petitioners directing the respondents to implement the Self Financing Housing Scheme 2008 on lease hold basis for period of 99 years for employees of Chandigarh Administration (for short '2008 Scheme') in true letter and spirit and to coordinate with each other and to issue acceptance cum Demand letter to successful applications like the petitioners in a time bound manner and to deliver the possession of the flats which are to be constructed under the scheme and/or further for issuance of such other appropriate writ order or direction to the respondents to initiate action against the officers/officials of Chandigarh Administration and Chandigarh Housing Board who have delayed the execution of scheme for such a long period while allotments made to the General Self Financing Housing Scheme launched during the same time have already ended up in completion of the projects and possession being on the verge of offer while the scheme for the employees has even failed to take off and/or further for issuance of an appropriate direction in the nature of mandamus/prohibition restraining the respondents from	Phool Kumar Saini & Ors. / Chandigarh Housing Board & Anorther	SLO/SHEKHAR VERMA	01 Oct 2019	Arguments	Yes / 10 May 2014	

		using the land earmarked allocated in Sectors 52, 53 and 56 for the purpose other than for which it was allotted i.e. for construction of flats of different categories under Self Financing Housing Scheme-2008 for the employees of U.T. Administration and/or further for the issuance of such other appropriate order as this Hon'ble Court deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstance of the case and in the interest of justice and fair play.(U.T. EMPLOYEES SCHEME)						
7	CWP/25743/2018	Civil Writ Petition filed for quashing inaction of the authorities in illegally rejecting the claim of the petitioner for the grant of plot being an Oustee in violation of the order passed by the Hon'ble High Court dated 28.09.2015 directing the Chandigarh Housing Board to consider the claim but the same has been rejected by the authority as no fresh application was submitted though there were no orders by the Hon'ble Court for the submitting the fresh application by the petitioner.( Oustees Scheme Case)	Surinder Pal kochar & Another / U.T, Chandigarh & other	SLO/SHEKHAR VERMA	01 Oct 2019	For filing reply	No. Counsel had prepared the reply and sent through email dated 29.09.2019. Put up for vetting, verification of facts, approval and Signatures of Competent Authority	
8	CWP/18927/2019	Writ petitioner filed by the Petitioner for setting aside the public notice whereby the price of units/flats already allotted to the petitioners has been increased from Rs.34.70 lacs to Rs.176 Crore under the implementation of Self Financing Housing Scheme 2008 for the employees of U.T., Chandigarh Administration on lease hold basis for 99 years. AND 3. Further for issuance of a writ for setting aside the decision of the Chandigarh Administration whereby it has been decided to re-fix the price of the land at the rate of Rs.74131 per sq.yds instead of Rs.2820/-, Rs.7920/- and Rs.20200/- (original allotment rate) respectively for construction of flats and for issuance of directions for implementation of "Self Financing Housing Scheme, 2008" for the employees of UT, Chandigarh Administration on lease hold basis for 99 years in letter	Dr. Brahm Parkash Yadav & Other / Union of India & Others	SLO/SHEKHAR VERMA	01 Oct 2019	For filing reply	No	

		and spirit as per Brochure. AND 4.. Further for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to allot flats on the price as mentioned in the brochure as the delay in allotment land was on account of inaction and lack of coordination between the respondents, which cannot be attributed to the petitioners and they cannot be made to suffer for on fault to their. AND 5. Further for directing the respondents not to charge the enhanced price from the petitioners in furtherance to the public notice after eleven years of launching of Scheme. 6. It is also prayed that during the pendency of the present writ petition, charging of the enhanced price in furtherance to the public notice ay kindly be stayed and no coercive action shall be taken against the petitioners adversely affecting their rights accrued pursuant to draw of lots held on 04.112010; 7. It is also prayed that the records of the Housing Scheme 2008 may kindly be summoned and after fixing the responsibility of the erring officials/officers, penal action be taking against them, in the light of order dated 23.02.2017 passed by the Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court.						
9	CWP/18704/2019	Writ petition filed by the petitioners for directions to the respondents to allot the flats to the U.T. Employees Self Financing Scheme of 2008 within a time bound frame in pursuance to draw of lots held on 04.11.2010 and to allot the flats of the Scheme of 2008; and Further for quashing the impugned Public Notice dated 22.06.2019 vide which the respondents have put an excessive and exorbitant rate at current price without any reasonable nexus with the scheme so floated; and Further prayed to pass orders for staying the operation of the impugned Public Notice dated 22.06.2019 qua the part of demand of Exorbitant/Excessive Rate of flats or in the alternative directions be issued to the respondents to accept the decision of the writ petition in the interest of justice (U.T. EMPLOYEES CASE)	Rajan Nanda & Others / Union of India & Others	SLO/SHEKHAR VERMA	01 Oct 2019	For filing reply	No	
10	CWP/21500/2019	petition, there are total no. of 561 petitioners in the matter. Further, through this petition, they prayed that:- 1) Issue of	Abdul Namid & Others / Union	SLO/SHEKHAR VERMA	01 Oct 2019	For filing reply	No	

	<p>an appropriate writ, order or direction in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to complete the construction of the flats in terms of the Self Financing Housing Scheme 2008 on lease hold basis for 99 years for employees of Chandigarh Administration floated on 14.01.2008 as per the rates prescribed in the 2008 scheme; 2) Issue of an appropriate writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus declaring that the letter dated 05.10.2012 sent by the Govt. of India to the UT, Admn. is not binding upon the petitioners and/or on the 2008 scheme since the land in question had already been allotted to the Chandigarh Housing Board in the year 2008 and the aforesaid letter having been issued later in point of time cannot be held applicable retrospectively on the land for which allotment had been made by the Chandigarh Administration to the Chandigarh Housing Board in January 2008; 3) Issue of an appropriate writ, order or direction in the nature of Certiorari quashing the public notice dated 22.06.2019 including the consent form and the public notice dated 13.07.2019 whereby the Chandigarh Administration has issued a fresh letter of allotment for the land at the rate of Rs.74131/- per square yard and is forcing the petitioner to submit the consent/willingness form as per the rates mentioned in the impugned notice dated 22.06.2019 in an absolute illegal, arbitrary, discriminatory, unjust, illogical manner and being without any valid basis and in violation of the principles of natural justice and the well settled law as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India and this Hon'ble Court as detailed in the writ petition. 4) Issue any other appropriate writ, order of direction, which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the fact and circumstances of this case; They further prayed that the respondents be restrained from taking any coercive action of from cancelling the allotment on account of non-payment of the increased land cost during the pendency of the instant petition in the interests of justice, equity, good conscience and fair play.</p>	of India & Others					
--	---	-------------------	--	--	--	--	--

11	CWP/19564/2019	<p>1. Writ petition filed by the Petitioner for directing the respondents to complete the construction of the flats in terms of the Self Financing Housing Scheme 2008 on lease hold basis for 99 years for employees of Chandigarh Administration (for short 2008 Scheme) floated on 14.01.2008 at the cost so prescribed in the scheme; 2. Further for issuance of a writ for issue of order and direction for declaring that the letter dated 05.10.2012 sent by the Government of India to the U.T. Administration is not being on the petitioners and/or the project since the land in question had already been allotted to the Housing Board in the year 2008 and the aforesaid letter having been issued later in point of ;time cannot be held applicable retrospectively against the land for which allotment had been made by the Administration to the Housing Board in January 2003/ AND/OR 3. Further for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to set aside the order whereby the Chandigarh Administration has issued a fresh letter of allotment for the land at the rate of Rs.74131/- per square yards being arbitrary and whimsical and being without any valid basis; AND/OR 4. Further for directing setting aside the Public Notice dated 06.05.2019 whereby the respondent Board has demanded payment of the land cost and has resultantly, increased the price of the flat by more than 5 times its cost originally advertised; and/or 5. Further for issuance of such other appropriate writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to execute the project by revising the cost of land at the price on which allotment of land had been made by the respondent Administration to the Housing Board vide order of allotment dated 10.01.2008 consequent to the decision of the Finance Secretary, Chandigarh Administration conveyed vide memo dated 16.11.2007 @7920/- per square yard; and/or 6. Further for the issuance of such other appropriate orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstance of the case and in the interest of justice and fair play.</p>	Gurmukh Singh & 956 others / Union of India and others	SLO/SHEKHAR VERMA	01 Oct 2019	For filing reply	No	
----	----------------	--	--	-------------------	-------------	------------------	----	--

12	CWP/16770/2018	The Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme-2006.	Suraj Bhan @ Suraj Bhan Yadav / CHB & others	LO/PRIYANKA DALAL	01 Oct 2019	No order. Arguments	Yes / 27 Aug 2018	
13	CWP/28778/2018	Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for the issuance of a writ of certiorari (sending for the records of the case and finding that the Orders of the Estate Officer, U.T., Chandigarh Respondent No.3 dated 06.11.2017 and the order dated 14.08.2018 passed b the Appellate Authority respondent No.2 Annexure P-17 are not sustainable to quash the same. 3. Further directing the respondents to consider the claims of the petitioners, the displaced and uprooted residents of Palsora Colony, demolished b the UT Chandigarh Administration in June 2003 to rehabilitate the petitioners for allotment of alternate accommodation of one room Flat under the Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006 as per the directions of Hon'ble High Court dated 30.05.2017 in CWP NO.12042 of 2017 " Ram Gulam & Others Vs U.T, Chandigarh & Others without taking into consideration the condition of bio metric Survey and finding them eligible, to make allotment of one room flat under the 2006 scheme, as has been done in respect of similarly placed residents of erstwhile Palsora Colony who after the demotion of Palsora Colony had settled in other unauthorized slum colonies of Chandigarh, for which the Administration conducted bio metric survey in 2006, while the petitioner who sought shelter in the authorized areas of Chandigarh for which no bio metric survey was conducted	Ram Gulam & Ors. / Chandigarh Administration & Another	SLO/Nitin Kaushal	01 Oct 2019	For filing reply	No	
14	CWP/029469/2018	Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for the issuance of a writ of certiorari (sending for the records of the case and finding that the Orders of the Estate Officer, U.T., Chandigarh Respondent No.3 dated 06.11.2017 and the order dated 14.08.2018 passed b the Appellate Authority respondent No.2 Annexure P-17 are not sustainable to quash the same. Further directing the respondents to consider the claims of the petitioners, the displaced and uprooted residents of Palsora Colony, demolished b the UT Chandigarh Administration in June 2003 to rehabilitate	Subhash Chand & Others / U.T., Chandigarh and Another	SLO/Nitin Kaushal	01 Oct 2019	For filing reply	No	

		the petitioners for allotment of alternate accommodation of one room Flat under the Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006 as per the directions of Hon'ble High Court dated 30.05.2017 in CWP NO.12042 of 2017 " Ram Gulam & Others Vs U.T, Chandigarh & Others without taking into consideration the condition of bio metric Survey and finding them eligible, to make allotment of one room flat under the 2006 scheme, as has been done in respect of similarly placed residents of erstwhile Palsora Colony who after the demotion of Palsora Colony had settled in other unauthorized slum colonies of Chandigarh, for which the Administration conducted bio metric survey in 2006, while the petitioner who sought shelter in the authorized areas of Chandigarh for which no bio metric survey was conducted.						
15	CWP/030133/2018	Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for the issuance of a writ of certiorari (sending for the records of the case and finding that the Orders of the Estate Officer, U.T., Chandigarh Respondent No.3 dated 06.11.2017 and the order dated 14.08.2018 passed b the Appellate Authority respondent No.2 Annexure P-17 are not sustainable to quash the same. Further directing the respondents to consider the claims of the petitioners, the displaced and uprooted residents of Palsora Colony, demolished b the UT Chandigarh Administration in June 2003 to rehabilitate the petitioners for allotment of alternate accommodation of one room Flat under the Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006 as per the directions of Hon'ble High Court dated 30.05.2017 in CWP NO.12042 of 2017 " Ram Gulam & Others Vs U.T, Chandigarh & Others" without taking into consideration the condition of bio metric Survey and finding them eligible, to make allotment of one room flat under the 2006 scheme, as has been done in respect of similarly placed residents of erstwhile Palsora Colony who after the demotion of Palsora Colony had settled in other unauthorized slum colonies of Chandigarh, for which the Administration conducted bio metric survey in 2006, while the petitioner who sought shelter in the authorized areas of Chandigarh for which no bio metric survey was conducted	Bal Mukand & Ors / U.T., Chandigarh & Another	SLO/Rakesh Sobti	01 Oct 2019	Arguments	Yes / 08 Jul 2019	



16	CWP/02862/2018	petition was filed by the petitioner for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus and certiorari for quashing the order Annexure P-3 as the same is passed in ignorance of the Civil Writ Petitioner No.15130 of 2016 passed by order dated 17.04.2017 (Annexure P-1) and further directing the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioner, as the petitioner is duly competent in all respects to get the allotment of the flat under EWS Scheme being having sufficient proofs however, the claim of the petitioner had declined and his non allotment of the said flat is discriminatory and is in violation of Article 14 and 16 of the Constitution of India.(25, Sector 52, Col. No.5, Chandigarh)	Lakhwinder Singh / U.T., Chandigarh & Others.	SLO/AJAY PAL REHAN	03 Oct 2019	Arguments	Yes / 06 Aug 2018	
17	CR/2143/2019	Civil Revision for setting aside the order dated 09.05.2018 passed by the Court of Sh. Kushal Singla Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.) Chandigarh whereby the application under Section 10 of CPC for stay of the suit has been dismissed on wholly erroneous considerations. Interse dispute between the family and no direct claim has been sought from the Chandigarh Housing Board, Chandigarh being proforma party.(DU No. 956 Dhanas)	Ashwani Kumar Sharma / Sanjay Kumar Sharma & Ors	SLO/Anhad Singh Miglani	03 Oct 2019	Arguments	Not Required / Being Civil Revision	
18	CWP/09259/2017	Writ petition filed by the subject cited petitioner for quashing the order dated 28.04.2017 passed by the Chairman, CHB whereby petitioner has been superannuated from service while sitting over the irrevocable option exercised by petitioner for 2nd extension of service beyond 30.04.2017 in terms of notification dated 08.10.2012 adopted by the Chandigarh Administration vide letter dated 31.07.2013 further adopted by Chandigarh Housing Board vide letter dated 20.08.2013 pursuant to which extensions have been granted in service to other similarly situated employees. And for direction to the respondents to extend the benefit of 2nd extension in service to petitioner on completion of the period of first extension to one year as on 30.04.2017 in terms of notification dated 08.10.2012 adopted by the Chandigarh Housing Board vide letter dated 20.08.2013 pursuant to which extensions have been granted in service	Saroj / Chairman Chandigarh Housing Board & Others	SLO/Suvir Shegal	04 Oct 2019	Arguments	Yes / 24 May 2017	

		to other similarly situated employees and grant her all the consequential benefits flowing therefrom viz. seniority, continuity of service, arrears of pay, interest thereon etc., And further for stay of order dated 28.04.2017 service upon petitioner on 28.04.2017 and for grant of other relief's as prayed for.						
19	CWP/07466/2017	Writ petition filed by the subject cited petitioner for quashing the order dated 03.04.2017 passed by the Chairman, CHB whereby petitioner has been superannuated from service while sitting over the irrevocable option exercised by petitioner for extension of service beyond 31.03.2017 in terms of notification dated 08.10.2012 adopted by the Chandigarh Administration vide letter dated 31.07.2013 further adopted by Chandigarh Housing Board vide letter dated 20.08.2013 pursuant to which extensions have been granted in service to other similarly situated employees. And for direction to the respondents to extend the benefit of extension in service of 1 year to petitioner on her attaining the age of superannuation as on 31.03.2017 in terms of notification dated 08.10.2012 adopted by the Chandigarh Housing Board vide letter dated 31.07.2013 further adopted by CHB vide letter dated 20.08.2013 pursuant to which extensions have been granted in service to other similarly situated employees and grant her all the consequential benefits flowing therefrom viz. seniority, continuity of service, arrears of pay, interest thereon etc., And further for stay of order dated 30.04.2017 service upon petitioner on 05.04.2017 and for grant of other relief's as prayed for.	Sangeeta Bahl / Chairman, Chandigarh Housing Board & Ors	SLO/Suvir Shegal/	04 Oct 2019	Arguments	Yes / 17 Apr 2017	

**ADVANCE LIST OF PENDING STATUS FROM 30-09-2019 TO 05-10-2019 OF DISTT. COURT CASES & SECY. ESTATE, UT, CHD**

<b>S. No</b>	<b>Case No.</b>	<b>Brief Subject Matter/Prayer</b>	<b>Petitioner/ Respondent</b>	<b>Nodal Officer / Advocate</b>	<b>Next Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Reply Filed</b>	<b>Concerned Branch.</b>
1	C.S./2302/2015	Civil Suit filed by the petitioner regarding transfer of allotment of DU No. 431, Sector 45-A, Chandigarh.	Sairab Usmani & Ors. / Abdul Shaheed Usmani & Ors.	LO/SAJAL KOSAR	30 Sep 2019	Defendant Evidence.	Yes / 08 Jul 2019	AO-II
2	C.S./2006/2016	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff seeking transfer of properties i.e. DU No. 1238, Sector 43-B, Chandigarh & 14th share in agriculture land on WILL basis.	Indu Munjal / Chandigarh Housing Board & Ors.	LO/SEEMA	30 Sep 2019	Consideration.	Yes / 11 Jul 2019	AO-III
3	C.S./2133/2018	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding removal of leakage/seepage of DU No. 2051, Sector 45-C, Chandigarh.	Nirmal Bhatia / CHB	SLO/Harinder Kumar Aurora	30 Sep 2019	Plaintiff Evidence.	Yes / 03 Jul 2018	Enforcement Officer
4	APPEAL/54/2018	Appeal filed by the Appellant against order dated 19.12.2017 passed by Gaurav Dutta, CJD, Chandigarh dismissed and prayed to set aside the same in respect of DU No. 2675, Sector 40-C, Chandigarh-regarding transfer of ownership.	Vishav Gupta / Rashmi Yadav	SLO/RAJIV SHARMA-18	30 Sep 2019	Arguments.	No need to file reply being appeal matter.	AO-II
5	APPEAL/624/2018	Only summon received in misc. application of DU No. 2675, Sector 40-C, Chandigarh.	Rashmi Yadav / Vishal Gupta.	SLO/RAJIV SHARMA-18	30 Sep 2019	Arguments.	No need to file reply being appeal matter.	AO-II
6	C.S./109/2019	Civil Suit filed by the Plaintiff seeking direction to Def. No. 1 to demolish illegal Constn. of DU No. 3770, Ambedkar Awas Yojna, Sector 56, Chandigarh & further directing Def. No. 2 (CHB) for necessary action in respect of Govt. land encroached by Defendant No. 1.	Malti Devi / Ahmed Ali & Anr.	SLO/GEETA GULATI	30 Sep 2019	Notice & Record.	No	Enforcement Officer
7	C.S./837/2014	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding strict compliance of the award dated 10.12.1996 read with decree dated 4.9.2008.	Santosh Rani / Silverton Society & Anr.	SLO/VIKAS JAIN	30 Sep 2019	Consideration.	Yes / 11 Jul 2018	AO(Society)

8	APPEAL/101/2019	Only summon received Civil Appeal in respect of DU No. 2675, Sector 40-C, Chandigarh.	Vishal Gupta / Rashmi Yadav	SLO/RAJIV SHARMA-18	30 Sep 2019	Arguments.	No need to file reply being appeal matter.	AO-II
9	C.S./827/2019	Civil Suit has been filed by the plaintiff for declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is the owner of DU No. 2206, Sector 45-C, Chandigarh & further praying for temporary injunction against resumption order dated 17.10.2017 passed by EO-cum-Secretary, CHB as the allotted not removed the violations & appeal dismissed on 18.3.2019.	Tarminder Singh Chaudhary / CHB & Ors.	SLO/RAJIV SHARMA-18	01 Oct 2019	Plaintiff reply.	Yes / 11 Jul 2019	Enforcement Officer
10	EX.APP/475/2019	Execution Application filed by the Decree Holder seeking allotment of alternate site in lieu of J.No. 64-B, Inld. Area, Ph-I, Chandigarh.	Akhilesh Maurya / EO & Anr.	SLO/GEETA GULATI	01 Oct 2019	Compliance or filing objection if any.	No	SOPA
11	REVISION C/O ADVISOR/42/2019	Revision Petition filed before AA, UT, Chandigarh against order dated 16.7.2019 for restoration & regularisation of possession of cancelled flat No. 3898/1, Sector 47-D, Chandigarh.	Harjit Singh / CHB & Anr.	SLO/VAIBHAV PRASHAR	01 Oct 2019	Fresh	No	AO-III
12	C.S./541/2019	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding illegal Construction of DU No. 3544, Maulijagan, Chandigarh.	Vijay Kumar / Chandigarh Housing Board	SLO/SHASHI KATARIA	01 Oct 2019	Ors. Defendant Reply.	Yes / 01 Aug 2019	Enforcement Officer
13	EX.APP/1074/2018	Execution filed by Decree Holder against order dated 31.7.12014 in Civil Suit No. 530 of 13.1.2012 in respect of allotment of flat under Self Financing Scheme 2008 in Sector 63, Chandigarh.	Surinder Singh Rana / Chandigarh Housing Board, Chandigarh.	SLO/SUSHILA BHARDWAJ DR.	01 Oct 2019	Notice & record.	Yes/23 Apl 2019	AO-III
14	C.S./992/2018	Civil Suit has been filed by the plaintiff seeking allotment of small flat in lieu of J.No. 108, Gursagar Colony, Maloya, Chandigarh under Self Finance Scheme-2006.	Mohan Lal @ Mohan Ram & Anr. / EO/CHB	SLO/SEEMA	01 Oct 2019	Arguments.	Yes / 01 Oct 2018	SOPA

15	C.S./567/2014	Civil Suit No. 2226/2014 seeking transfer of DU No. 454, Sector 61, Chandigarh on account of unregistered Will.	Ashwani / Jitender Uppal & Others.	LO/GEETA GULATI	01 Oct 2019	Def. evidence.	Yes / 11 Jul 2019	AO-II
16	C.S./642/2017	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of 50% share in respect of DU No. 2768/2, Sector 49, Chandigarh.	Anu Verma / EO & CHB	LO/SHIV PARTAP SING MANN	01 Oct 2019	Argument	Yes / 06 Sep 2017	AO-III
17	C.S./1781/2017	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for mandatory injunction directing the CHB(Def. No. 1) not to transfer the house No. 3420, Maloya, UT, Chandigarh on the basis of decree dated 28.8.2017 passed by Sh. Imanbir Singh Dhaliwal, CJJD, Chandigarh & also directing def. No. 1 & 2 to transfer the DU in favour of plaintiff on the basis of Agreement to sell.	SANJAY KUMAR GARG / CHB & ORS.	SLO/SEEMA	01 Oct 2019	Consideration.	Yes / 06 Sep 2017	AO(Colony)
18	C.S./101/2018	Regarding Transfer of DU No. 292/2, Sector 45-A, Chandigarh.	Rajinder Kaur / Kamlesh Kumar Sharma	SLO/VIKAS JAIN	03 Oct 2019	Plaintiff Reply & Consideration.	Yes / 08 Oct 2018	AO-II
19	C.S./1350/2017	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for cancellation of allotment in respect of # 908/40-A, Chandigarh & # 928/40-A, Chandigarh due to filing false affidavit..	Raj Kumar Chibber / Usha Prashar & Ors.	LO/SEEMA	03 Oct 2019	Consideration. CHB filed reply.	Yes / 06 Feb 2018	AO-II
20	C.S./1090/2015	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiffs in respect of allotment/transfer of DU No. 413, Sector 43-A, Chandigarh qua their share on the basis of WILL dated 17.3.2014.	KARAMJIT KAUR & ANR. / CHB & ANR.	LO/RAJAT NAKRA	03 Oct 2019	Ors. Def. evidence.	Yes / 09 Sep 2015	AO-III
21	C.S./205/2017	Civil Suit filed by the petitioner regarding transfer of the basis of Regd. WILL in respect of DU No. 460, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh.	Kanwar Ashwani Kumar / Chandigarh Housing Board	LO/SAJAL KOSAR	03 Oct 2019	Consideration.	Yes / 06 Sep 2017	AO-IV
22	C.S./2702/2018	Civil Suit has been filed by the plaintiff regarding mandatory injunction not to issue NOC of sale etc. in respect of DU No.1096, Phase-II, Ram Darbar, Chandigarh.	Ranjit Singh / Vijay Singh & Ors.	SLO/REENA CHAUDHARY	03 Oct 2019	For Mediation.	Yes / 06 Nov 2018	AO(Colony)

23	EX.APP/993/2018	Execution Application filed by the Decree Holder seeking allotment of alternate site in lieu of J.No. B-147/1, Nehru Colony, Kajheri, Sector 52, Chandigarh under Self Finance Scheme-2006/2009.	Santosh Kumar / Secretary Estate, Chandigarh Admn. & Ors.	SLO/DEEPAK BAJAJ	04 Oct 2019	Replication.	Yes/12 Oct 2018	SOPA
24	C.S./3260/2018	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for mandatory injunction directing the defendanyt No. 1 to transfer the ownership of DU No. 2556, Sector 44-C, Chandigarh on the basis of WILL.	Neelam @ Kuldeep Kaur / CHB & Ors.	SLO/SAJAL KOSAR	04 Oct 2019	Notice & Record.	Yes / 03 Jul 2019	AO-IV
25	C.S./3302/2018	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff No. 1 & 2 are owners in possession of free hold DU No. 5299/3, MHC, Manimajra on the basis of Sale Deed & transfer of DU in the name of Plaintiff No. 1 & 2.	Rakesh Kumar & Ors. / CHB & Anr.	SLO/Navneet Jindal,	04 Oct 2019	Plaintiff evidence.	Yes / 01 Mar 2019	AO-IV
26	C.S./128/2019	Civil suit filed by the plaintiff for mandatory injunction directing CHB(Def. No. 2) to transfer the ownership of DU No. 3267/2, Sector 40-D, Chandigarh in his name.	Pushpa James / G.P. & Anr.	SLO/Rajat Pabbi	04 Oct 2019	Petitioners evidence.	Yes 31 May 2019	AO-II
27	C.S./PBT/13/2015	Petitioner has been filed the ptn. u/s 264 & 266 of Indian succession Act for the grant of probate WILL dated 17.2.1990 in respect of DU No. 3419, Sector 40D, Chandigarh.	Subhash Chand / GP & Anr.	LO/SUSHILA BHARDWAJ DR.	04 Oct 2019	Consideration.	Yes / 02 Jul 2019	AO-II
28	C.S./931/2016	Civil Suit regarding transfer of DU No. 3321 and illegal/unauthorised construction of DU No. 3321/1, Housing Board Colony, Dhanas, UT, Chandigarh (CS/393/2016).	Pradeep Kumar Sharma / Saroj Kohli & Anr.	LO/RAVI PARKASH PARUTHI	04 Oct 2019	Consideration.	Yes / 29 Jul 2016	Enforcement Officer
29	C.S./1308/2016	Civil Suit No. 524/2016 has been filed by the plaintiff for mandatory injunction directing CHB to transfer the suit property of DU No. 1504/1, Sector 29-B, Chandigarh.	Sushma Bhai & Ors. / Ranjana Suri & Ors.	LO/RAJIV SHARMA-18	04 Oct 2019	Ors. Defendant evidence.	Yes / 24 Aug 2016	AO-II

30	C.S./1421/2016	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff seeking transfer of 1/4th share in respect of H.No. 4782/B, Sector 38(W), Chandigarh.	Angelina Gill & Ors. / Vidya Sagar & Ors.	LO/Rajinder Ghai	04 Oct 2019	Evidence.	Yes / 15 Oct 2018	AO-III
31	C.S./749/2017	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of DU No. 3123/1, Sector 47-D, Chandigarh on the basis of registered WILL.	Prabhjit Singh / Kulwant Kaur	LO/Siddharth Gulati	04 Oct 2019	Evidence.	Yes / 15 Feb 2018	AO-III
32	C.S./284/2013	(Civil Suit 3070/2013) Allotment/Transfer of entire share of DU No. 282/1, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh due to death of original allottee under Hire purchase Basis for UT employees Scheme allotted by CHB on 2.4.1985	Satwinder Singh / Prabhjot Kaur & Ors.	LO/ANSHUMAN NARULA	04 Oct 2019	Arguments.	Yes / 29 Jul 2016	AO-II
33	C.S./385/2018	Filing of Civil Suit & stay the judgement dated 1.2.2008 passed by Sh. Mahesh Kumar, JMIC, Chandigarh in respect of unauthorized occupant of H.No. 2822 in Rehabilitation Colony, Sector 38(W), Chandigarh.	CHB / Ashok Kumar & Ors.	LO/VIKAS JAIN	04 Oct 2019	Civil Evidence. Civil Suit filed by CHB.	Yes / 03 Jul 2018	AO(Colony)
34	C.S./1937/2016	Civil Suit for allotment/transfer of DU No. 6756-A, Sector 56, Chandigarh in the name of legal heirs under Dr. Ambedkar Awas Yojna-2009.	Rekha Rani & Anr. / Chandigarh Admn. & Ors.	LO/Harinder Kumar Aurora	4 Oct 2019	Consideration.	Yes / 26 May 2015	AO(Colony)
35	EX.APP/202/2016	Application No. 202 of 2016 in Civil Suit No. 10328 of 2013 filed by the plaintiff for restoration of the case earlier dismissed as withdrawn on 24.7.2015 by Dr. Sukhda Pritam, CJJD, Chandigarh regarding transfer of DU No. 1231, Sector 43-B, Chandigarh.	Balwinder Singh Bamra / Manpreet Singh & Anr.	LO/RAVI P. PRUTHI	05 Oct 2019	Consideration.	Yes / 08 May 2018	AO-III
36	EX.APP/764/2017	Execution Application u/o 21 Rule 11 Read with Section 151 of CPC in respect of illegal construction of DU No. 3829, Sector 56, Palsora against judgement dated 28.7.2017. Nothing has been claimed against CHB (Def. No. 3)	Jai Nath / Jagdish & Ors.	LO/SEEMA	05 Oct 2019	Notice & record.	Yes / 01 Feb 2018	Enforcement Officer

37	APPEAL NO./0103/2019	Appeal under para 17-A filed before Secretary Estate, UT, Chandigarh regarding Chandigarh Small flat Scheme-2006 against the order dated 5.3.2018 passed by Secy., CHB cancelling the allotment of DU No. 6577/56, Chandigarh on account of non payment.	MALTI DEVI / CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD.	SLO/VIKAS JAIN	02-Oct- 19	For filing reply.	No	AO(Colony
----	-------------------------	--	--	-------------------	---------------	----------------------	----	-----------



**ADVANCE LIST - CASES PENDING BEFORE PLA, UT, CHANDIGARH (30.09.2019 to 05.10.2019)**

<b>S. No</b>	<b>Case No.</b>	<b>Petitioner/ Respondent</b>	<b>Brief Subject Matter/Prayer</b>	<b>Nodal Officer / Advocate</b>	<b>Next date of hearing</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Reply Filed</b>	<b>Concerned Branch</b>
1	APP/465/2019	Krishan Kumar / Chairman, CHB	Refund of Excess amount deposited by the applicant alongwith interest in R/o DU No. 2031-C, 3rd Floor, Sector, Chd. (Oustees Quota).	SLO/Rajiv Sharma	01.10.2019	As the refund of amount has been made by CHB but Applicant is not satisfied with the refunded amount as per him less amount has been refunded. The presiding officer has asked for appearance of the concerned accounts official to explain how the CHB has calculated the refunded amount.	No need at this time as iformed by the Counsel.	AO-III/ AO-Oustees
2	APP/227/2019	Subhash Chand / Secretary, Chandigarh Housing Board	Refund of Rs.6,44,253/- in respect of cancelled dwelling unit no. 131, sector 51-A, Chandigarh of the Self Financing Housing Scheme Sector 51, Chandigarh.	SLO/Rajat Pabbi	03.10.2019	Rejoinder to be filed by the Applicant.	Yes	AO-III