

WEEKLY REPORT OF DISTRICT COURT CASES PENDING FROM 16-09-2019 TO 21-09-2019

SNo	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter/Prayer	Petitioner/ Respondent	Nodal Officer / Advocate	Previous Date	Next Date	Status	Reply Filed
1	CS/984/2017	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff directing Def. No. 2 (CHB) not to transfer the suit property in the name of any person/s in respect of DU No. 5053-B, Sector 38 Chandigarh on the basis of forged & fabricated document..	Uggar Singh / Daljit Kaur & Anr.	SLO/SEEMA	16 Sep 2019	18 Oct 2019	Replication.	Yes / 11 Sep 2017
2	CS/34/2016	Civil Suit No.1370/2016 filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of equal share of Dwelling Unit on the basis of agreement in respect of DU No. 3424, Sector 46-C, Chandigarh on the death of executant.	Rattan Ram / Sital Kaur & Ors.	SLO/SUVIR KUMAR	16 Sep 2019	24 Sep 2019	Consideration	Yes / 29 Jul 2016
3	CS/560/2019	Suit filed by the plaintiff for permanent injunction restraining the defendants from demolishing the need based changes,constn. in DU No. 3207/1, Sector 44-D, Chandigarh and also regularised the Need Base Changes made in DU in question.	Gurbachan Singh Anand / CHB & Anr.	SLO/SHALINI BAGDI	16 Sep 2019	18 Oct 2019	Consideration.	Yes / 03 Jul 2019
4	PBT/13/2015	Petitioner has been filed the ptn. u/s 264 & 266 of Indian succession Act for the grant of probate WILL dated 17.2.1990 in respect of DU No. 3419, Sector 40D, Chandigarh.	Subhash Chand / GP & Anr.	SLO/DR. SUSHILA BHARDWAJ	16 Sep 2019	24 Sep 2019	Consideration.	Yes / 02 Jul 2019
5	Ex/350/2017	Execution Application filed by the Decree Holder against decree dated 11.8.2005 passed in Civil Suit No. 33 of 1995 titled as Rama Shankar Vs. Mohinder Paul & Ors. in respect of illegal construction raised in H.,No. 471, Sector 40-A, Chandigarh.	Ram Shankar / Mohinder	SLO/Krishan Kumar Dhiman	16 Sep 2019	10 Oct 2019	Consideration.	Yes / 22 Sep 2017
6	EX.APP/32/2015	Execution Application filed by the applicant seeking allotment in lieu of J.No. 427A/ Block E, Indl. Area, Labour Colony No. 4, UT, Chandigarh.	Chandrika Rajbhar / EO & CHB	LO/ANANT PAL SINGH	17 Sep 2019	Dismissed.	Consideration.	Yes / 02 Jul 2019
7	C.S./1090/2015	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiffs in respect of allotment/transfer of DU No. 413, Sector 43-A, Chandigarh qua their share on the basis of WILL dated 17.3.2014.	KARAMJIT KAUR & ANR. / CHB & ANR.	LO/RAJAT NAKRA	17 Sep 2019	23 Sep 2019	Ors. Def. evidence.	Yes / 09 Sep 2015
8	C.S./243/2018	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for mandatory injunction directing CHB for remove/demolish illegal/unauthorized construction in respect of DU No. 796/41-A, Chandigarh.	Sarbjit Singh Hira / CHB & Ors.	SLO/GEETA GULATI	17 Sep 2019	24 Sep 2019	Reply of amended plaint filed by Plaintiff.	Yes / 08 Jul 2019
9	C.S./1195/2019	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for grant decree declaring plaintiffs to be entitled for the transfer of DU No. 303/1, Sector 45-A, Chandigarh in the name of late of Mrs. Santosh Sekhri being the only Ist Class beneficiarie.	B.K.Sekhri & Anr. / GP & Ors.	SLO/RAHUL DEV SINGH	17 Sep 2019	04 Nov 2019	Filing of Reply.	No

10	APPEAL/232/2019	Appeal filed by the Appellant against order dated 15.5.2019 passed by Sh. Inderjeet Singh, CJJD, Chandigarh regarding dismissal of suit in respect of transfer of full share in respect of DU No. 6263-C, Sector 56, Chandigarh.	Meena Devi / Dharamvir & Ors.	SLO/RAJIV SHARMA-18	17 Sep 2019	11 Nov 2019	Arguments	No need to file the reply being appeal matter.
11	C.S./2903/2018	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of DU No. 3214/2, Sector 44-D, Chandigarh on the basis of WILL.	Rakesh Kumar Sharma / Chandigarh Housing Board.	SLO/SARABJIT KAUR	18 Sep 2019	Dismissed as withdrawn.	Replication.	Yes / 08 Jul 2019
12	C.S./284/2013	(Civil Suit 3070/2013) Allotment/Transfer of entire share of DU No. 282/1, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh due to death of original allottee under Hire purchase Basis for UT employees Scheme allotted by CHB on 2.4.1985	Satwinder Singh / Prabhjot Kaur & Ors.	LO/ANSHUMAN NARULA	18 Sep 2019	04 Oct 2019	Arguments.	Yes / 29 Jul 2016
13	C.S./2369/2018	Civil suit has been filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer/mutation of DU No.2580, Sector 44-C, Chandigarh.	Prem Kumari / Onkar Devi	SLO/SURINDER PAL KAUR	18 Sep 2019	11 Nov 2019	Evidence.	Yes / 08 Oct 2018
14	C.S./826/2019	Suit filed by the plaintiff for declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is owner to the extent of 50% share in DU No. 2313, Sector 45-C, Chandigarh with consequential relief directing the def. No. 1 (CHB) to transfer 50% share of the said DU.	Meenu Dhawan / Chairman, CHB, Chandigarh & Anr.	SLO/Shalini Bagdi,Adv/CHB	18 Sep 2019	22 Nov 2019	Notice & Record.	Yes/18 Sep 2019
15	C.S./642/2017	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of 50% share in respect of DU No. 2768/2, Sector 49, Chandigarh.	Anu Verma / EO & CHB	LO/SHIV PARTAP SING MANN	18 Sep 2019	01 Oct 2019	Argument	Yes / 06 Sep 2017
16	EX.APP/904/2017	Execution Application filed against order dated 15.7.2013 for non compliance of order of PLA. The applicant is held eligible for allotment of alternate site under the scheme of 2006 & the JDs are required to follow up necessary action for alternate in lieu of J.No. 155, Block-K, Indl. Area, Labour Colony No. 4, Chandigarh.	LAKSHMAN DASS / EO/CHB	SLO/KANWAL S, WALIA,	18 Sep 2019	15 Nov 2019	Consideration.	Yes / 29 May 2018
17	C.S./xxxxx/2018	Civil Suit No. CSCJ/129/2018 filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of suit property DU No. 780/1, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh	Nasreen Begum / Mohd. Ishaq	SLO/VIKAS JAIN	18 Sep 2019	29 Oct 2019	Replication.	Yes / 23 Apr 2018
18	C.S./827/2015	Regarding allotment of flat (PDL matter)	Dr. Vasdev Singh / Inderdev Singh & Ors.	LO/PREETI NIGAM	18 Sep 2019	25 Sep 2019	Ors. Defendant evidence.	Yes / 04 Oct 2017
19	C.S./555/2016	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for mandatory injunction directing CHB not to transfer 1/3rd share out of full in respect of DU No. 986, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh.	Surinder Verma / Rajinder Verma & Ors.	LO/RITESH KUMAR BANSAL	18 Sep 2019	10 Oct 2019	Defendant evidence.	Yes / 10 Oct 2016

20	C.S./2006/2016	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff seeking transfer of properties i.e. DU No. 1238, Sector 43-B, Chandigarh & 14th share in agriculture land on WILL basis.	Indu Munjal / Chandigarh Housing Board & Ors.	LO/SEEMA	18 Sep 2019	30 Sep 2019	Consideration.	Yes / 11 Jul 2019
21	C.S./1987/2016	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff seeking possession in lieu of tin shed No. 7000, Sector 56, Chandigarh.	Geeta / EO & Anr.	LO/SARABJIT KAUR	19 Sep 2019	10 Oct 2019	Consideration.	Yes / 01 Oct 2018
22	C.S./1421/2016	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff seeking transfer of 1/4th share in respect of H.No. 4782/B, Sector 38(W), Chandigarh.	Angelina Gill & Ors. / Vidya Sagar & Ors.	LO/Rajinder Ghai	19 Sep 2019	04 Oct 2019	Evidence.	Yes / 15 Oct 2018
23	C.S./1931-/2015	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff seeking mandatory injunction for directing not to transfer of DU No., 3073, Sector 45-D, Chandigarh on the basis of forged & fabricated WILL dated 28.12.2006.	Nirmal Kaur & Ors. / Geeta Rani & Anr.	LO/DEEPALI PURI	19 Sep 2019	14 Oct 2019	Evidence.	Yes / 15 Feb 2016
24	APPEAL/495/2018	Appeal filed by the appellant against order dated 8.5.2018 passed by Ms. Saloni Gupta, CJJD, Chandigarh (Regn. No. 1517/2014) regarding transfer of ownership of DU No. 5371/1, Cat-IV, Phase-II, Manimajra, UT, Chandigarh..	Sona Singh / CHB & Ors.	SLO/GEETA GULATI	19 Sep 2019	11 Oct 2019	Arguments.	Yes / 29 Mar 2019
25	C.S./992/2018	Civil Suit has been filed by the plaintiff seeking allotment of small flat in lieu of J.No. 108, Gursagar Colony, Maloya, Chandigarh under Self Finance Scheme-2006.	Mohan Lal @ Mohan Ram & Anr. / EO/CHB	SLO/SEEMA	19 Sep 2019	23 Sep 2019	Evidence.	Yes / 01 Oct 2018
26	C.S./2178/2018	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding recovery of Rs.648337/- as gratuity amount & interest on delay payment.	Surjan Lal / Chandigarh Housing Board.	SLO/VIKAS JAIN	19 Sep 2019	06 Nov 2019	Plaintiff reply.	Yes / 06 Aug 2018
27	C.S./425/2019	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for mandatory injunction to remove & demolish the violations & illegal constn. raised by Def. No. 1 to 5 of DU No. 4525, Dr. Ambedkar Colony, Maulijagran, Chandigarh in which CHB is defendant No. 6.	Surinder Kumar / Krishna Devi & Ors	SLO/SEEMA	19 Sep 2019	26 Sep 2019	Consideration	Yes/19 Sep 2019
28	C.S./24/2019	Petition No. GW/24/2019 filed by the petitioner for Hindu Minority & Guardianship Act 1956 seeking permission to sell the share of minor in respect of DU No. 2289/3, EWS, Sector 45-C, Chandigarh owned by minor daughter of petitioner.	Sunil Singh / CHB	SLO/RAHUL DEV SINGH	19 Sep 2019	16 Oct 2019	Arguments.	Yes/05 Jul 2019
29	APPLICATION/15/2018	Petition u/s 276 of Indian succession Act for grant of Probate in respect of unregistered WILL dated 11.8.1986 in repect of transfer of DU No. 2567, sector 40-C, Chandigarh.	Amba Charan Kalra / General Public & Ors.	SLO/RAHUL DEV SINGH	19 Sep 2019	16 Oct 2019	Evidence.	Yes/13 Mar 2019
30	C.S./395/2019	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of DU No. 2244/1, Sector 45-C, Chandigarh on the basis of Sale/Transfer Deed.	Indu Bharti / Satish Kumar Bhardwaj & Ors.	SLO/PREETI NIGAM	20 Sep 2019	14.11.2019	Replication if any.	Yes/30 Jul 2019

31	C.S./1234/2019	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff to record the ownership of the plaintiff to transfer the extent of 8.33% share in respect of DU No. 1014, Sector 43-B, Chandigarh.	Pranav Sikri / CHB & Anr.	SLO/RAJIV SHARMA-18	20 Sep 2019	21.11.2019	Reply.	No
32	C.S./2803/2018	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer the suit property of DU No. 2042/3, Sector 45-C, Chandigarh.	Kanchan Dilip Kulkarni / Dilip Ganesh Kulkarni & Ors.	SLO/RAHUL DEV SINGH	20 Sep 2019	10.10.2019	Consideration.	Yes / 22 Nov 2018
33	C.S./931/2016	Civil Suit regarding transfer of DU No. 3321 and illegal/unauthorised construction of DU No. 3321/1, Housing Board Colony, Dhanas, UT, Chandigarh (CS/393/2016).	Pradeep Kumar Sharma / Saroj Kohli & Anr.	LO/RAVI PARKASH PARUTHI	20 Sep 2019	04.10.2019	Consideration.	Yes / 29 Jul 2016
34	Civil Suit of 2015	Civil Suit for allotment/transfer of DU No. 6756-A, Sector 56, Chandigarh in the name of legal heirs under Dr. Ambedkar Awas Yojna-2009.	Rekha Rani & Anr. / Chandigarh Admn. & Ors.	SLO/HARINDER KUMAR AUORA	20 Sep 2019	04.10.2019	Consideration.	Yes / 26 May 2015
35	C.S./1781/2017	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for mandatory injunction directing the CHB(Def. No. 1) not to transfer the house No. 3420, Maloya, UT, Chandigarh on the basis of decree dated 28.8.2017 passed by Sh. Imanbir Singh Dhaliwal, CJJD, Chandigarh & also directing def. No. 1 & 2 to transfer the DU in favour of plaintiff on the basis of Agreement to sell.	SANJAY KUMAR GARG / CHB & ORS.	SLO/SEEMA	21 Sep 2019	01.10.2019	Consideration.	Yes / 06 Sep 2017

WEEKLY REPORT OF CONSUMER COURT CASES FROM 16-09-2019 TO 21-09-2019

S. No	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter/Prayer	Petitioner/ Respondent	Nodal Officer / Advocate	Previous Date	Next Date	Status	Reply Filed.
1	<u>CC/283/2019</u>	Complaint under Section 12 of the Consumer Protection Act filed before Distt. Forum-II, Chandigarh for transfer of DU No. 296/2, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh on the basis of mutual transfer policy & also Rs.33114/- alongwith 12% intt. from the date of deposit, compensation for mental pain & harassment Rs.1,00,000/-& for litigation cost of Rs.35000/-.	Suresh Kumar / CM, CHB	SLO/Harinder Kumar Aurora	19 Sep 2019	01.11.2019	Rejoinder	Yes / 15 Jul 2019

LAST WEEK CASES LISTED BEFORE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH W.E.F. 16.09.2019 TO 21.09.2019

Sr. No.	Court case number	Description	Name of court cases	Name of Nodal Officer/Counsel	Previous date & Next date	Status	Reply Filed
1	CWP/30181/2018	Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing the proceedings/orders dated 30.08.2018 vide which the refund was deposited in the account of the petitioner by respondents No.3 & 4 while surrendering the dwelling unit illegally and deducting 10% of the amount paid by the petitioner being illegal, null and void as the representations dated 16.8.2018 requesting respondents No.3 & 4 withdrawing the request to surrender the dwelling unit NO.2212/A, Sector 63, Chandigarh vide intimation letter dated 16.08.2018 as well as e-mail sent to them in not considering said presentations withdrawing the application dated 25.07.2018 and order passed thereon in refunding the amount and the intimation letter dated 20.09.2018 vide are liable to be set aside as the request withdrawing the application dated 25.07.2018 was illegally cancelled and intimation letter dated 20.09.2018 is also liable to be set aside and further directing the respondents to handover the possession of the said plot after accepting the amount which was refunded in the account of the petition and pass any order or direction as this Hon'ble Court may fit in the circumstances of the case and further praying that during the pendency of the writ petition dwelling unit No. 2212/A, Sector 63, Chandigarh may not be allotted to any person.	Ram Gopal / U.T., Chandigarh & Others	SLO/ASHISH RAWAL	16.09.2016 18.10.2019	For filing Reply	No/Counsel assured to prepare the reply upto 28.09.2019
2	CWP/8238/2019	1. The subject cited Writ Petition was filed by the petitioner for quashing of the orders dated 15.07.2016 passed by the Respondent No.4(CEO,CHB) whereby the allotment of the house of the petitioner has been cancelled, Order dated 26.04.2017 passed by the Respondent No.3(Chairman CHB-cum-Appellate Authority), Order dated 25.09.2017 and order dated 9.10.2017 passed by Respondent No.1(AA, U.T.) and thereafter order dated 21.11.2017 passed by the	Suhag Rani / U.T., Chandigarh & 7 Others	SLO/Rakesh Sobti	17.09.2019 19.12.2019	For filing Reply	No/Parawise comments prepared by the concerned branch

		<p>Respondent No.5(EO-cum-Secretary, CHB) and further the demolition order dated 20.02.2019 passed by the Respondent No.5 and order dated 14.03.2019 passed by Respondent No.8(SSP, U.T.), wherein demolition in DU.No.1667, Sector 29-B, Chandigarh would be initiated on 29.03.2019 without following the principle of Natural Justice, equity and good conscious. 2. Further to directing the respondents to restore and regularize the allotment of the house in favour of the petitioner by calculating and accepting the one time compounding fees by taking into consideration the facts and circumstances and the provision of Capital of Punjab(Development and Regulations) Act 1952 Chandigarh Housing Board, Allotment Management and Sale of Tenements Regulations, 1979 and Haryana Housing Board Act, 1971 as extended to Chandigarh. 3. Further for prohibition restraining the respondents from continuing with demolition proceedings on the basis of eviction order of the house in question, keeping in view the facts and circumstances which are being narrated in the present petition.</p>					
		<p>Civil Writ Petition for setting aside the order / Letter dated 01.05.2017 wherein the claim of the petitioner had been declined on the basis that the name of the co applicant wife name is different an further not considered their allotment and their non allotment of the said flat being arbitrary, ultravires discriminatory an against the constitutional rights and is in violation of Article 14 and 16 of the Constitution of India 3. And for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus for directing the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioner, as the petitioner are duly competent in all respects to get the allotment of the flat under EWS Scheme being having his vote for the year 2006 and biometric survey which are the primary requirements for the said allotment and others sufficient proofs. 4. And further for issuance of directions of stay of allotment proceedings during the pendency of the present writ petition.(Small Flat Scheme)</p>					
3	CWP/8292/2019		Lal Chand / Union Territory, Chandigarh	SLO/VISHAL SODHI	17.09.2019	For Filling Reply	No/Parawise comments sent by SO (PA) to Counsel and SLO on 09.09.2019 Counsel ensured for drafting reply within 3 days.
					19.12.2019		

4	COCP/1998/2017	Contempt petition filed by the subject cited petitioner to punish the respondent-contemnor in respect of the disobedience of the order passed by the Hon'ble High Court vide order dated 17.01.2017 passed in CWP No.595 of 2017.	Atamjit Singh / Maninder Singh IAS & Ors	SLO/INDRESH GOEL	17.09.2019	Disposed of as withdrawn.	Yes / 16 Aug 2018
5	CWP/07095/2001	CWP FILED SEEKING DIRECTIONS FOR DECLARING THE PETITIONER AS DULY ENROLLED NOMINEE OF THE DECEASED MEMBER OF THE SOCIETY & FOR QUASHING BOARD'S LETTER DT.19.4.2001 WHEREBY THE SOCIETY HAS BEEN DIRECTED TO SEEK APPROVAL OF RCS FOR THE TRANSFER OF SHARES TO THE NOMINEES IN CASE OF DECEASED MEMBER OF THE SOCIETY.	JASWANT SINGH Vs CHD. ADMN. & ORS.	SLO/INDRESH GOEL	18.09.2019	Arguments	Yes
					15.10.2019		
6	CWP/07440/2017	Oustees Matter.	Surinder Pal Kochar Vs Chd. Admn. & Others	SLO/Gagan Deep Siingh Wasu	18.09.2019	Arguments	Yes
					19.11.2019		
7	CWP/024968/2017	CWP filed by the petitioner for setting aside the Allotment Scheme 2017 for the oustees of Chandigarh by the Chandigarh Housing Board whereby the options were called for a total of 263 flats for the oustees of U.T., Chandigarh on lease hold basis/free hold basis under the "Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling Units to the oustees of Chandigarh, Scheme 1996". Further directing the respondents to frame a scheme for rehabilitation of oustees of Chandigarh (Phase-II)/petitioners in accordance with the latest policies of the Government of India, the State of Punjab and the State of Haryana and the same scheme should be according to the prevailing times/situations of the oustees/petitioners whose land were acquired in the year 1966.	Narinder Kumar Panju Vs U.T., Chandigarh & Ors	SLO/ Shekhar Verma	18.09.2019	Arguments	Yes
					19.12.2019		
8	FAO/CARB-26/2018	Appeal filed by the petitioner under Section 37 of the Arbitration And Conciliation Act, 1996 against the order dated 30.05.2018 passed by Ms. Aradhana Sawhney, Additional District Judge, Chandigarh in Arbitration Case No.69 of 2015	PARSVNATH DEVELOPERS LTD. Vs CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD & ANOTHER	SLO/ Shekhar Verma	18.09.2019	Arguments	Yes
					15.10.2019		

9		PETITIONER HAS PRAYED FOR QUASHING ANNEXURE P-10, VIDE WHICH HIS REQUEST FOR GRANT OF HIGHER SCALE OF RS.4020-6200 HAS BEEN REJECTED.	KRISHAN KUMAR Vs U.T. CHD. & ORS.	SLO/Gagandeep Singh Wasu	19.09.2019	Arguments	Yes
	CWP/11818/2005				20.11.2019		
10		(CWP/26065/2016) Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing the order dated 21.09.2015 passed by the respondent No.2 – PLA (Public Utility Services) allowing the application filed by the Respondent No.1 - Kapil Dev and holding him entitled to the allotment of alternate site and further issuing directions to CHB to include the name of the applicant/Respondent No.1 in the seniority list being prepared by them for allotment and delivery of possession of an alternate site, being legally not sustainable as he was not found residing at the spot at the time of demolition of the colony and distribution of the Form-A and the person residing there has already been allotted a flat. (H.No.107, LBS Burail, Chandigarh)	ESTATE OFFICER, U.T. CHANDIGARH Vs KAPIL DEV & ORS.	SLO/Suvir Kumar	19.09.2019	Allowed by High Court	Yes
	CWP/25065/2015						
11		CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing the impugned order dated 31.03.2016 ordering Premature Retirement at the age of 49 years as a measure of punishment , stigmatic and punitive in nature, contrary to law; and for retraining the respondents from compulsory retiring the petitioner, as a measure of punishment, except in due course of law by holding an enquiry and granting an opportunity of hearing to lead his part of the defense evidence in such proceedings, in accordance with law; and further by passing an ad-interim order, the operation of the impugned show cause notice dated 31.03.2016, the virtual orders of premature retirement be stayed, during the pendency of the writ petition.	Rakesh Garg Vs Chandigarh Housing Board	SLO/ Shekhar Verma	20.09.2019	Arguments	Yes
	CWP/8311/2016				10.01.2020		
12		CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing the impugned order dated 31.03.2016 ordering Premature Retirement at the age of 46 years as a measure of punishment , stigmatic and punitive in nature, contrary to law; and for retraining the respondents from compulsory retiring the petitioner, as a measure of punishment, except in due course of law by holding an enquiry and granting an opportunity of hearing to lead his part of the defense evidence in such proceedings, in accordance with law; and further by passing an ad-interim order, the operation of	Vijay Kumar Vs Chandigarh Housing Board & Others	SLO/ Shekhar Verma	20.09.2019	Arguments	Yes
	CWP/8313/2016				10.01.2020		

		the impugned show cause notice dated 31.03.2016, the virtual orders of premature retirement be stayed, during the pendency of the writ petition.						
13	CWP/8360/2016	CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing the impugned show cause notice dated 31.03.2016 ordering Premature Retirement at the age of 54 years as a measure of punishment , stigmatic and punitive in nature, contrary to law; and for retraining the respondents from compulsory retiring the petitioner, as a measure of punishment, except in due course of law by holding an enquiry and granting an opportunity of hearing to lead his part of the defense evidence in such proceedings, in accordance with law; and further by passing an ad-interim order, the operation of the impugned show cause notice dated 31.03.2016, the virtual orders of premature retirement be stayed, during the pendency of the writ petition. (Service Matter)	Vijay Puri Vs Chandigarh Housing Board	SLO/ Shekhar Verma	20.09.2019 10.01.2019	Arguments	Yes	
14	CWP/10283/2016	CWP No.9276/2016 titled as Rajesh Kumar Vs Chandigarh Housing Board, has supplied the copy of CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing the impugned show cause notice dated 31.03.2016, not to prematurely retire the petitioner as a measure of punishment, except in due course of law by holding inquiry and affording opportunity of hearing to her and further by passing an ad-interim order, the operation of the impugned show cause notice dated 31.03.2016, the virtual orders of premature retirement be stayed, during the pendency of the writ petition. (Service Matter)	Anjana Jindal Vs Chandigarh Housing Board	SLO/ Shekhar Verma	20.09.2019 10.01.2020	Arguments	Yes	
15	CWP/8316/2016	CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing the impugned order dated 31.03.2016 ordering Premature Retirement at the age of 46 years as a measure of punishment , stigmatic and punitive in nature, contrary to law; and for retraining the respondents from compulsory retiring the petitioner, as a measure of punishment, except in due course of law by holding an enquiry and granting an opportunity of hearing to lead his part of the defense evidence in such proceedings, in accordance with law; and further by passing an ad-interim order, the operation of the impugned show cause notice dated 31.03.2016, the virtual orders of premature retirement be stayed, during the pendency of the writ petition.	Urwashi Kaul Vs Chandigarh Housing Board and others	SLO/ Shekhar Verma	20.09.2019 10.01.2020	Arguments	Yes	

16		Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing the order dated 05.04.2017 and thereafter resumption of allotment of D.U. No. 1 (G/F), Sector 41-A, Chandigarh vide order dated 29.08.2017 passed by Respondent No.3 i.e. Executive Engineer –cum- Estate Officer-Secretary, CHB on account of Building Violation.	Poonam Bhandari Vs Chandigarh Housing Board				
	CWP/21780/2017			SLO/Arjun Sharma	20.09.2019	Arguments	Yes
					27.11.2019		
17		Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing the show cause notice for resumption of the allotment of D.U. No. 1/1, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh on account of Building Violation.	Ashwani Kumar Sharma & Anrs. Vs Chandigarh Housing Board				
	CWP/21779/2017			SLO/Arjun Sharma	20.09.2019	Arguments	Yes
					27.11.2019		
18		CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing the impugned order dated 31.03.2016 ordering Premature Retirement at the age of 49 years as a measure of punishment , stigmatic and punitive in nature, contrary to law; and for retraining the respondents from compulsory retiring the petitioner, as a measure of punishment, except in due course of law by holding an enquiry and granting an opportunity of hearing to lead his part of the defense evidence in such proceedings, in accordance with law; and further by passing an ad-interim order, the operation of the impugned show cause notice dated 31.03.2016, the virtual orders of premature retirement be stayed, during the pendency of the writ petition.					
	CWP/8311/2016		Rakesh Garg Vs Chandigarh Housing Board	SLO/ Shekhar Verma	20.09.2019	Arguments	Yes
					10.01.2020		

--

CASES LISTED BEFORE PLA, UT, CHANDIGARH (16.09.2019 to 21.09.2019)									
S. No	Case No.	Petitioner/ Respondent	Brief Subject Matter/Prayer	Nodal Officer / Advocate	Prev. Date	Next Date	Status	Reply Filed	Concerned Branch
1	APPLICATION /465/2019	Krishan Kumar / Chairman, CHB	Refund of Excess amount deposited by the applicant alongwith interest in R/o DU No. 2031-C, 3rd Floor, Sector, Chd. (Oustees Quota).	SLO/RAJIV SHARMA-18	18.09.2019	01.10.2019	As informed by the branch, amount has been refunded to the Applicant. Applicant seking more refund	To be file	AO-III

STATE COMMISSION CASES (16.09.2019 to 21.09.2019)

SNo	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter/Prayer	Petitioner/ Respondent	Nodal Officer / Advocate	Previous Date	Next Date	Status	Reply Filed
1	<u>CC/82/2019</u>	Complaint under Section 17 of the Consumer Protection Act filed before State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, UT, Chandigarh prayed that to summonfor record & direct OP to transfer the ownership of Booth No. 12, sector 61, Kajheri & also to pay the compensation on account of mental harassment & also to take action against the official concerned responsible for delay & further to pay the cost of litigation Rs.55,000/-	Gulsnowar / CHB & Ors.	SLO/VISHAL SODHI	16-Sep-19	31 Oct 2019	Arguments.	Yes 12 Jul 2019