		CASES LISTED BEFORE V						
Sr. No	Case No.	AST WEEK CASES PENDING BEFORE HON'BLE Brief Subject Matter/Prayer	Petitioner/	Nodal Officer /		9.2019 TO 05.10.202 Next Date	Status	Reply
1	CWD /4 (01 /2017	NAZ-it	Respondent	Advocate	20.00.2010	12 12 2010	A	Filed
1	CWP/4691/2017	Writ petition filed by the petitioners for	Satbir Sing & Ors	, ,	30-09-2019	13.12.2019	Arguments	Yes / 19
		quashing the "Chandigarh Allotment of	/ Chandigarh	Sharma Sr.				Feb
		Dwelling Units to the Oustees of Chandigarh	Administration &	Counsel				2018
		Scheme, 1996" issued by Respondent No.3 i.e.	Ors. (Oustees					
		CHB vide which applications have been invited	Matter)					
		upto 15.03.2017 for allotment of flats to the						
		eligible oustees of U.T., Chandigarh under Self						
		Financing Housing Scheme on lease hold/free						
		hold basis, the same being directly in conflict of						
		the order/judgement dated 05.12.2013 passed						
		by the Hon'ble High Court in CWP No.14300 of						
		1996 and connected 46 writ petitions. AND also						
		a writ of Mandamus directing the respondents						
		to allot dwelling units to the petitioners strictly						
		in compliance of the order/judgement dated						
		05.12.2013 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in						
		CWP No.14300 of 1996 and connected 46 writ						
		petitions.						
		petitions.						

2	CWP/04492/2017	Civil Writ Petition under article 226 and 227 of the constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing of order dated 22.12.2016 passed by the permanent Lok Adalat Public Utility Services Union Territory, Chandigarh annexure and A writ in the nature of mandamus for issuance of directions to the respondents for allotment of flat dwelling unit to the petitioner being Kashmiri Migrant as the petitioner is eligible candidate for the allotment of flat dwelling unit.	Administration & Ors	SLO/Suvir Shegal	30-09-2019	01.11.2019		Yes / 07 May 2018
3	CWP/06502/2018	writ petition filed for quashing the impugned order dated 12.09.2017 and for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus directing the official respondents to allot the small flat under the Chandigarh Small Flat Schem-2006 for which the petitioner is legally entitled but the claim has been rejected on illegal grounds. 3. Further for directions to the respondents to make the allotment of dwelling unit to the petitioner as having been allotted to the similar situated persons.	U.T., Chandigarh	SLO/ASHISH RAWAL	30-09-2019	11.03.2020	Arguments	Yes / 19 Jul 2018

_	CMD /02/155 /201	MATER Desiring Cleding the contribution of	E-1-1- Off:	CLO /VAIDHAY	20.00.2010	10.02.2020		W / 17
4		Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for setting	Estate Officer,	SLO/VAIBHAV	30-09-2019	18.03.2020	Arguments	Yes / 17
		aside the impugned order dated 25.08.2015	, ,	PRASHAR				Feb
		passed by Respondent No.2 i.e. Permanent Lok	/ Santosh Kumar					2019
		Adalat (Public Utility Services) as illegal	& Others					
		Arbitrary, Beyond jurisdiction against the						
		Policy(ies) of Chandigarh Administration						
		And/or such other appropriate writ, order or						
		direction as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit						
		and proper in the facts and circumstances of						
		the instant case. It is also prayed that during						
		the pendency of the present case the operation						
		of the impugned order and further proceedings						
		in the execution petition may kindly be stayed.						
		in the execution petition may kindly be stayed.						
Ŀ	CMID /20555 /2010	TAY IS DOWN OF THE TAY IN THE TAY	**		22 22 2212	00.04.0000	D 1 1 1 1	.
5	CWP/30777/2018	Writ Petition filed for quashing the order dated	· ·	1	30-09-2019	09.01.2020	Reply handed	No
		26.06.2018 passed by the appellate authority-	Officer, UT,	a Dalal Anand			over to the	
		cum-CEO, CHB in appeal vide which the claim of					counsel on	
		the petitioner for allotment of house under the	Anr				26.09.2019 for	
		Chandigarh Small Flat Scheme 2006 has been					filing	
		rejected and further directing the respondents						
		to allot the dwelling unit in lieu of Jhugi No.538,						
		SBS Colony to the petitioner under the						
		Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006.						
1			I	I	I	i	ı	

Yes / 10
May
2014

7	CWP/25743/2018	Civil Writ Petition filed for quashing inaction of	Surinder Pal	SLO/SHEKHAR	01-10-2019	05.12.2019	For filing	No.
		the authorities in illegally rejecting the claim of	kochar & Another	VERMA			reply	Counsel
		the petitioner for the grant of plot being an	/ U.T, Chandigarh					had
		Oustee in violation of the order passed by the	& other					prepared
		Hon'ble High Court dated 28.09.2015 directing						the reply
		the Chandigarh Housing Board to consider the					1	and sent
		claim but the same has been rejected by the						through
		authority as no fresh application was submitted					1	email
		though there were no orders by the Hon'ble					1	dated
		Court for the submitting the fresh application					1	29.09.20
		by the petitioner.(Oustees Scheme Case)					1	19. Put
								up for
								vetting,
							1	verificati
								on of
								facts,
								approval
								and
								Signatur
								es of
								Compete nt
							1	Authorit
								Autiloi It
								У
			l			1	l l	

8	CWP/18927/2019	Writ petitioner filed by the Petitioner for	Dr. Brahm	SLO/SHEKHAR	01-10-2019	30.10.2019	For filing	No
		setting aside the public notice whereby the	Parkash Yadav &	VERMA			reply	
		price of units/flats already allotted to the	Other / Union of					
		petitioners has been increased from Rs.34.70	India & Others					
		lacs to Rs.176 Crore under the implementation						
		of Self Financing Housing Scheme 2008 for the						
		employees of U.T., Chandigarh Administration						
		on lease hold basis for 99 years. AND 3. Further						
		for issuance of a writ for setting aside the						
		decision of the Chandigarh Administration						
		whereby it has been decided to re-fix the price						
		of the land at the rate of Rs.74131 per sq.yds						
		instead of Rs.2820/-, Rs.7920/- and Rs.20200/-						
		(original allotment rate) respectively for						
		construction of flats and for issuance of						
		directions for implementation of "Self						
		Financing Housing Scheme, 2008" for the						
		employees of UT, Chandigarh Administration						
		on lease hold basis for 99 years in letter and						
		spirit as per Brochure. AND 4 Further for						
		issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus						
		directing the respondents to allot flats on the						
		price as mentioned in the brochure as the delay						
		in allotment land was on account of inaction						
		and lack of coordination between the						
		respondents, which cannot be attributed to the						
	I	l 1.1 .1 1cc	I	I	1	i	i	1

9	CWP/18704/2019	Writ petition filed by the petitioners for	Rajan Nanda &	SLO/SHEKHAR	01-10-2019	30.10.2019	For filing	No
		directions to the respondents to allot the flats	Others / Union of	VERMA			reply	
		to the U.T. Employees Self Financing Scheme of	India & Others					
		2008 within a time bound frame in pursuance						
		to draw of lots held on 04.11.2010 and to allot						
		the flats of the Scheme of 2008; and Further for						
		quashing the impugned Public Notice dated						
		22.06.2019 vide which the respondents have						
		put an excessive and exorbitant rate at current						
		price without any reasonable nexus with the						
		scheme so floated; and Further prayed to pass						
		orders for staying the operation of the						
		impugned Public Notice dated 22.06.2019 qua						
		the part of demand of Exorbitant/Excessive						
		Rate of flats or in the alternative directions be						
		issued to the respondents to accept the						
		decision of the writ petition in the interest of						
		justice (U.T. EMPLOYEES CASE)						

CWP/21500/2019	petition, there are total no. of 561 petitioners in	Abdul Namid &	SLO/SHEKHAR	01-10-2019	30.10.2019	For filing	No
	the matter. Further, through this petition, they	Others / Union of	VERMA			reply	
	prayed that:- 1) Issue of an appropriate writ,	India & Others					
	order or direction in the nature of Mandamus						
	directing the respondents to complete the						
	construction of the flats in terms of the Self						
	Financing Housing Scheme 2008 on lease hold						
	basis for 99 years for employees of Chandigarh						
	Administration floated on 14.01.2008 as per						
	the rates prescribed in the 2008 scheme; 2)						
	Issue of an appropriate writ, order or direction						
	in the nature of mandamus declaring that the						
	letter dated 05.10.2012 sent by the Govt. of						
	India to the UT, Admn. is not binding upon the						
	petitioners and/or on the 2008 scheme since						
	to the Chandigarh Housing Board in the year						
	<u> </u>						
	issued later in point of time cannot be held						
	applicable retrospectively on the land for which						
	allotment had been made by the Chandigarh						
	Administration to the Chandigarh Housing						
	nature of Certiorari quashing the public notice						
	dated 22.06.2019 including the consent form						
		the matter. Further, through this petition, they prayed that:- 1) Issue of an appropriate writ, order or direction in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to complete the construction of the flats in terms of the Self Financing Housing Scheme 2008 on lease hold basis for 99 years for employees of Chandigarh Administration floated on 14.01.2008 as per the rates prescribed in the 2008 scheme; 2) Issue of an appropriate writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus declaring that the letter dated 05.10.2012 sent by the Govt. of India to the UT, Admn. is not binding upon the petitioners and/or on the 2008 scheme since the land in question had already been allotted to the Chandigarh Housing Board in the year 2008 and the aforesaid letter having been issued later in point of time cannot be held applicable retrospectively on the land for which allotment had been made by the Chandigarh	the matter. Further, through this petition, they prayed that:- 1) Issue of an appropriate writ, order or direction in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to complete the construction of the flats in terms of the Self Financing Housing Scheme 2008 on lease hold basis for 99 years for employees of Chandigarh Administration floated on 14.01.2008 as per the rates prescribed in the 2008 scheme; 2) Issue of an appropriate writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus declaring that the letter dated 05.10.2012 sent by the Govt. of India to the UT, Admn. is not binding upon the petitioners and/or on the 2008 scheme since the land in question had already been allotted to the Chandigarh Housing Board in the year 2008 and the aforesaid letter having been issued later in point of time cannot be held applicable retrospectively on the land for which allotment had been made by the Chandigarh Administration to the Chandigarh Housing Board in January 2008; 3) Issue of an appropriate writ, order or direction in the nature of Certiorari quashing the public notice	the matter. Further, through this petition, they prayed that:- 1) Issue of an appropriate writ, order or direction in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to complete the construction of the flats in terms of the Self Financing Housing Scheme 2008 on lease hold basis for 99 years for employees of Chandigarh Administration floated on 14.01.2008 as per the rates prescribed in the 2008 scheme; 2) Issue of an appropriate writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus declaring that the letter dated 05.10.2012 sent by the Govt. of India to the UT, Admn. is not binding upon the petitioners and/or on the 2008 scheme since the land in question had already been allotted to the Chandigarh Housing Board in the year 2008 and the aforesaid letter having been issued later in point of time cannot be held applicable retrospectively on the land for which allotment had been made by the Chandigarh Housing Board in January 2008; 3) Issue of an appropriate writ, order or direction in the nature of Certiorari quashing the public notice	the matter. Further, through this petition, they prayed that:- 1) Issue of an appropriate writ, order or direction in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to complete the construction of the flats in terms of the Self Financing Housing Scheme 2008 on lease hold basis for 99 years for employees of Chandigarh Administration floated on 14.01.2008 as per the rates prescribed in the 2008 scheme; 2) Issue of an appropriate writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus declaring that the letter dated 05.10.2012 sent by the Govt. of India to the UT, Admn. is not binding upon the petitioners and/or on the 2008 scheme since the land in question had already been allotted to the Chandigarh Housing Board in the year 2008 and the aforesaid letter having been issued later in point of time cannot be held applicable retrospectively on the land for which allotment had been made by the Chandigarh Administration to the Chandigarh Housing Board in January 2008; 3) Issue of an appropriate writ, order or direction in the nature of Certiorari quashing the public notice	the matter. Further, through this petition, they prayed that:- 1) Issue of an appropriate writ, order or direction in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to complete the construction of the flats in terms of the Self Financing Housing Scheme 2008 on lease hold basis for 99 years for employees of Chandigarh Administration floated on 14.01.2008 as per the rates prescribed in the 2008 scheme; 2) Issue of an appropriate writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus declaring that the letter dated 05.10.2012 sent by the Govt. of India to the UT, Admn. is not binding upon the petitioners and/or on the 2008 scheme since the land in question had already been allotted to the Chandigarh Housing Board in January 2008; 3) Issue of an appropriate writ, order or direction in the nature of Certiorari quashing the public notice	the matter. Further, through this petition, they prayed that:- 1) Issue of an appropriate writ, order or direction in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to complete the construction of the flats in terms of the Self Financing Housing Scheme 2008 on lease hold basis for 99 years for employees of Chandigarh Administration floated on 14.01.2008 as per the rates prescribed in the 2008 scheme; 2) Issue of an appropriate writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus declaring that the letter dated 05.10.2012 sent by the Govt. of India to the UT, Admn. is not binding upon the petitioners and/or on the 2008 scheme since the land in question had already been allotted to the Chandigarh Housing Board in the year 2008 and the aforesaid letter having been issued later in point of time cannot be held applicable retrospectively on the land for which allotment had been made by the Chandigarh Administration to the Chandigarh Housing Board in January 2008; 3) Issue of an appropriate writ, order or direction in the nature of Certiorari quashing the public notice

11	CWP/19564/2019	1. Writ petition filed by the Petitioner for	Gurmukh Singh &	SLO/SHEKHAR	01-10-2019	30.10.2019	For filing	No
	, ,	directing the respondents to complete the		VERMA			reply	
		construction of the flats in terms of the Self	Union of India					
		Financing Housing Scheme 2008 on lease hold	and others					
		basis for 99 years for employees of Chandigarh						
		Administration (for short 2008 Scheme) floated						
		on 14.01.2008 at the cost so prescribed in the						
		scheme; 2. Further for issuance of a writ for						
		issue of order and direction for declaring that						
		the letter dated 05.10.2012 sent by the						
		Government of India to the U.T. Administration						
		is not being on the petitioners and/or the						
		project since the land in question had already						
		been allotted to the Housing Board in the year						
		2008 and the aforesaid letter having been						
		issued later in point of ;time cannot be held						
		applicable retrospectively against the land for						
		which allotment had been made by the						
		Administration to the Housing Board in January						
		2003/ AND/OR 3. Further for issuance of writ						
		in the nature of mandamus directing the						
		respondents to set aside the order whereby the						
		Chandigarh Administration has issued a fresh						
		letter of allotment for the land at the rate of						
		Rs.74131/- per square yards being arbitrary						
		and whimsical and being without any valid						
12	CWP/16770/2018	The Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme-2006.	Suraj Bhan @	LO/PRIYANKA	01-10-2019	28.11.2019	No order.	Yes / 27
		- -	Suraj Bhan Yadav	DALAL			Arguments	Aug
			/ CHB & others					2018

13	CWP/28778/2018	Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for the	Ram Gulam &	SLO/Nitin	01-10-2019	28.11.2019	For filing	No
		issuance of a writ of certiorari (sending for the	Ors. / Chandigarh	Kaushal			reply	
		records of the case and finding that the Orders	Administration &					
		of the Estate Officer, U.T., Chandigarh	Another					
		Respondent No.3 dated 06.11.2017 and the						
		order dated 14.08.2018 passed b the Appellate						
		Authority respondent No.2 Annexure P-17 are						
		not sustainable to quash the same. 3. Further						
		directing the respondents to consider the						
		claims of the petitioners, the displaced and						
		uprooted residents of Palsora Colony,						
		demolished b the UT Chandigarh						
		Administration in June 2003 to rehabilitate the						
		petitioners for allotment of alternate						
		accommodation of one room Flat under the						
		Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006 as per the						
		directions of Hon'ble High Court dated						
		30.05.2017 in CWP NO.12042 of 2017 " Ram						
		Gulam & Others Vs U.T, Chandigarh & Others						
		without taking into consideration the condition						
		of bio metric Survey and finding them eligible,						
		to make allotment of one room flat under the						
		2006 scheme, as has been done in respect of						
		similarly placed residents of erstwhile Palsora						
		Colony who after the demotion of Palsora						
		Colony had settled in other unauthorized slum						
				I	1		1	

14	CWP/029469/201	Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for the	Subhash Chand &	SLO/Nitin	01-10-2019	28.11.2019	For filing	No
	8	issuance of a writ of certiorari (sending for the	Others / U.T.,	Kaushal			reply	
		records of the case and finding that the Orders	Chandigarh and					
		of the Estate Officer, U.T., Chandigarh	Another					
		Respondent No.3 dated 06.11.2017 and the						
		order dated 14.08.2018 passed b the Appellate						
		Authority respondent No.2 Annexure P-17 are						
		not sustainable to quash the same. Further						
		directing the respondents to consider the						
		claims of the petitioners, the displaced and						
		uprooted residents of Palsora Colony,						
		demolished b the UT Chandigarh						
		Administration in June 2003 to rehabilitate the						
		petitioners for allotment of alternate						
		accommodation of one room Flat under the						
		Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006 as per the						
		directions of Hon'ble High Court dated						
		30.05.2017 in CWP NO.12042 of 2017 " Ram						
		Gulam & Others Vs U.T, Chandigarh & Others						
		without taking into consideration the condition						
		of bio metric Survey and finding them eligible,						
		to make allotment of one room flat under the						
		2006 scheme, as has been done in respect of						
		similarly placed residents of erstwhile Palsora						
		Colony who after the demotion of Palsora						
		Colony had settled in other unauthorized slum						
				1	1		1	

15	CWP/030133/201	Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for the	Bal Mukand &	SLO/Rakesh	01-10-2019	28.11.2019	Arguments	Yes / 08
	8	issuance of a writ of certiorari (sending for the	Ors / U.T.,	Sobti				Jul 2019
		records of the case and finding that the Orders	Chandigarh &					
		of the Estate Officer, U.T., Chandigarh	Another					
		Respondent No.3 dated 06.11.2017 and the						
		order dated 14.08.2018 passed b the Appellate						
		Authority respondent No.2 Annexure P-17 are						
		not sustainable to quash the same. Further						
		directing the respondents to consider the						
		claims of the petitioners, the displaced and						
		uprooted residents of Palsora Colony,						
		demolished b the UT Chandigarh						
		Administration in June 2003 to rehabilitate the						
		petitioners for allotment of alternate						
		accommodation of one room Flat under the						
		Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006 as per the						
		directions of Hon'ble High Court dated						
		30.05.2017 in CWP NO.12042 of 2017 " Ram						
		Gulam & Others Vs U.T, Chandigarh & Others"						
		without taking into consideration the condition						
		of bio metric Survey and finding them eligible,						
		to make allotment of one room flat under the						
		2006 scheme, as has been done in respect of						
		similarly placed residents of erstwhile Palsora						
		Colony who after the demotion of Palsora						
		Colony had settled in other unauthorized slum						
		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1	1		1	1	

16	CWP/02862/2018	petition was filed by the petitioner for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus and certiorari for quashing the order Annexure P-3 as the same is passed in ignorance of the Civil Writ Petitioner No.15130 of 2016 passed by order dated 17.04.2017 (Annexure P-1) and further directing the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioner, as the petitioner is duly competent in all respects to get the allotment of the flat under EWS Scheme being having sufficient proofs however, the claim of the petitioner had declined and his non allotment of the said flat is discriminatory and is in violation of Article 14 and 16 of the	Lakhwinder Singh / U.T., Chandigarh & Others.	SLO/AJAY PAL REHAN	03-10-2019	09.01.2020	Arguments	Yes / 06 Aug 2018
17	CR/2143/2019	Civil Revision for setting aside the order dated 09.05.2018 passed by the Court of Sh. Kushal Singla Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.) Chandigarh whereby the application under Section 10 of CPC for stay of the suit has been dismissed on wholly erroneous considerations. Interse dispute between the family and no direct claim has been sought from the Chandigarh Housing Board, Chandigarh being proforma party.(DU No. 956 Dhanas)	Ashwani Kumar Sharma / Sanjay Kumar Sharma & Ors	SLO/Anhad Singh Miglani	03-10-2019	19.02.2020	Arguments	Not Required / Being Civil Revision

18	CWP/09259/2017	Writ petition filed by the subject cited	Saroj / Chairman	SLO/Suvir	04-10-2019	12.02.2020	Arguments. At	Yes / 24
		petitioner for quashing the order dated	Chandigarh	Shegal			the	May
		28.04.2017 passed by the Chairman, CHB	Housing Board &				unopposed	2017
		whereby petitioner has been superannuated	Others				request of	
		from service while sitting over the irrevocable					learned	
		option exercised by petitioner for 2nd					counsel for	
		extension of service beyond 30.04.2017 in					the	
		terms of notification dated 08.10.2012 adopted					respondent-	
		by the Chandigarh Administration vide letter					СНВ,	
		dated 31.07.2013 further adopted by					adjourned to	
		Chandigarh Housing Board vide letter dated					12.02.2020.	
		20.08.2013 pursuant to which extensions have					Photocopy of	
		been granted in service to other similarly					this order be	
		situated employees. And for direction to the					placed on the	
		respondents to extend the benefit of 2nd					files of other	
		extension in service to petitioner on					connected	
		completion of the period of first extension to				1	cases. (ARUN	
		one year as on 30.04.2017 in terms of					MONGA)	
		notification dated 08.10.2012 adopted by the					JUDGE	
		Chandigarh Housing Board vide letter dated					OCTOBER 04,	
		20.08.2013 pursuant to which extensions have					2019	
		been granted in service to other similarly						
		situated employees and grant her all the						
		consequential benefits flowing therefrom viz.						
		seniority, continuity of service, arrears of pay,						
		interest thereon etc., And further for stay of						

19	CWP/07466/2017	Writ petition filed by the subject cited	Sangeeta Bahl /	SLO/Suvir	04-10-2019	12.02.2020	Arguments.	Yes / 17
		petitioner for quashing the order dated	Chairman,	Shegal/				Apr
		03.04.2017 passed by the Chairman, CHB	Chandigarh					2017
		whereby petitioner has been superannuated	Housing Board &					
		from service while sitting over the irrevocable	Ors					
		option exercised by petitioner for extension of						
		service beyond 31.03.2017 in terms of						
		notification dated 08.10.2012 adopted by the						
		Chandigarh Administration vide letter dated						
		31.07.2013 further adopted by Chandigarh						
		Housing Board vide letter dated 20.08.2013						
		pursuant to which extensions have been						
		granted in service to other similarly situated						
		employees. And for direction to the						
		respondents to extend the benefit of extension						
		in service of 1 year to petitioner on her						
		attaining the age of superannuation as on						
		31.03.2017 in terms of notification dated						
		08.10.2012 adopted by the Chandigarh Housing						
		Board vide letter dated 31.07.2013 further						
		adopted by CHB vide letter dated 20.08.2013						
		pursuant to which extensions have been						
		granted in service to other similarly situated						
		employees and grant her all the consequential						
		benefits flowing therefrom viz. seniority,						
		continuity of service, arrears of pay, interest						
	1	Cases listed before District C	ourt, UT, Chan	digarh w.e.f 30	0.09.2019 to 05.1	0.2019	•	-
SNo	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter/Prayer	Petitioner/		Previous Date	Next Date	Status	Reply
	0.0.40000.4004.5		Respondent	Advocate	20.0	100.0000	D C 1 .	Filed
1	C.S./2302/2015	Civil Suit filed by the petitioner regarding transfer	Sairab Usmani &	LO/SAJAL KOSAR	30 Sep 2019	10 Oct 2019	Defendant	Yes / 08
		of allotment of DU No. 431, Sector 45-A, Chandigarh.	Ors. / Abdul Shaheed Usmani &				Evidence.	Jul 2019
		Gilanuigai II.	Ors.					

2	C.S./2006/2016	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff seeking transfer of properties i.e. DU No. 1238, Sector 43-B, Chandigarh & 14th share in agriculture land on WILL basis.	Indu Munjal / Chandigarh Housing Board & Ors.	LO/SEEMA	30 Sep 2019	23 Oct 2019	Consideration.	Yes / 11 Jul 2019
3	C.S./2133/2018	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding removal of leakage/seepage of DU No. 2051, Sector 45-C, Chandigarh.	Nirmal Bhatia / CHB	SLO/Harinder Kumar Aurora	30 Sep 2019	21 Oct 2019	Plaintiff Evidence.	Yes / 03 Jul 2018
4	APPEAL/54/2018	Appeal filed by the Appellant against order dated 19.12.2017 passed by Gaurav Dutta, CJJD, Chandigarh dismissed and prayed to set aside the same in respect of DU No. 2675, Sector 40-C, Chandigarh- regarding transfer of ownership.	Vishav Gupta / Rashmi Yadav	SLO/RAJIV SHARMA-18	30 Sep 2019	08 Apl 2020	Arguments.	No need to file reply being appeal matter.
5	APPEAL/624/2018	Only summon received in misc. application of DU No. 2675, Sector 40-C, Chandigarh.	Rashmi Yadav / Vishal Gupta.	SLO/RAJIV SHARMA-18	30 Sep 2019	08 Apl 2020	Arguments.	No need to file reply being appeal matter.
6	C.S./109/2019	Civil Suit filed by the Plaintiff seeking direction to Def. No. 1 to demolish illegal Constn. of DU No. 3770, Ambedkar Awas Yojna, Sector 56, Chandigarh & further directing Def. No. 2 (CHB) for necessary action in respect of Govt. land encroached by Defendant No. 1.	Malti Devi / Ahmed Ali & Anr.	SLO/GEETA GULATI	30 Sep 2019	22 Oct 2019	Plaintiff reply.	Yes/30 Sep 2019
7	APPEAL/101/2019	Only summon received Civil Appeal in respect of DU No. 2675, Sector 40-C, Chandigarh.	Vishal Gupta / Rashmi Yadav	SLO/RAJIV SHARMA-18	30 Sep 2019	08 Apl 2020	Arguments.	No need to file reply being appeal matter.

8	C.S./827/2019	Civil Suit has been filed by the plaintiff for declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is the owner of DU No. 2206, Sector 45-C, Chandigarh & further praying for temporary injunction against resumption order dated 17.10.2017 passed by E0-cum-Secretary, CHB as the allotted not removed the violations & appeal dismissed on 18.3.2019.	Tarminder Singh Chaudhary / CHB & Ors.	SLO/RAJIV SHARMA-18	01 Oct 2019	14 Oct 2019	Plaintiff reply.	Yes / 11 Jul 2019
9	EX.APP/475/2019	Execution Application filed by the Decree Holder seeking allotment of alternate site in lieu of J.No. 64-B, Indl. Area, Ph-I, Chandigarh.	Akhilesh Maurya / EO & Anr.	SLO/GEETA GULATI	01 Oct 2019	05 Nov 2019	Consideration	Yes/01 Oct 2019
10	REVISION C/O ADVISOR/42/2019	Revision Petition filed before AA, UT, Chandigarh against order dated 16.7.2019 for restoration & regularisation of possession of cancelled flat No. 3898/1, Sector 47-D,Chandigarh.	Harjit Singh / CHB & Anr.	SLO/VAIBHAV PRASHAR	01 Oct 2019	26 Nov 2019	Filing reply.	No
11	C.S./541/2019	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding illegal Construction of DU No. 3544, Maulijagran, Chandigarh.	Vijay Kumar / Chandigarh Housing Board	SLO/SHASHI KATARIA	01 Oct 2019	13 Nov 2019	Ors. Defendant Reply.	Yes / 01 Aug 2019
12	EX.APP/1074/201 8	Execution filed by Decree Holder against order dated 31.7.12014 in Civil Suit No. 530 of 13.1.2012 in respect of allotment of flat under Self Financing Scheme 2008 in Sector 63, Chandigarh.	Surinder Singh Rana / Chandigarh Housing Board,Chandigarh.	l '	01 Oct 2019	28 Nov 2019	Notice & record.	Yes/23 Apl 2019
13	C.S./992/2018	Civil Suit has been filed by the plaintiff seeking allotment of small flat in lieu of J.No. 108, Gursagar Colony, Maloya, Chandigarh under Self Finance Scheme-2006.	Mohan Lal @ Mohan Ram & Anr. / EO/CHB	SLO/SEEMA	01 Oct 2019	16 Oct 2019	Arguments.	Yes / 01 Oct 2018
14	C.S./567/2014	Civil Suit No. 2226/2014 seeking transfer of DU No. 454, Sector 61, Chandigarh on account of unregistered Will.	Ashwani / Jitender Uppal & Others.	LO/GEETA GULATI	01 Oct 2019	10 Oct 2019	Def. evidence.	Yes / 11 Jul 2019
15	C.S./642/2017	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of 50% share in respect of DU No. 2768/2, Sector 49, Chandigarh.	Anu Verma / EO & CHB	LO/SHIV PARTAP SING MANN	01 Oct 2019	10 Oct 2019	Argument	Yes / 06 Sep 2017

16	C.S./1781/2017	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for mandatory injunction directing the CHB(Def. No. 1) not to transfer the house No. 3420, Maloya, UT, Chandigarh on the basis of decree dated 28.8.2017 passed by Sh. Imanbir Singh Dhaliwal, CJJD, Chandigarh & also directing def. No. 1 & 2 to transfer the DU in favour of plaintiff on the basis of Agreement to sell.	SANJAY KUMAR GARG / CHB & ORS.	SLO/SEEMA	01 Oct 2019	19 Oct 2019	Consideration.	Yes / 06 Sep 2017
17	APPEAL NO./0103/	Appeal under para 17-A filed before Secretary Estate, UT, Chandigarh regarding Chandigarh Small flat Scheme-2006 against the order dated 5.3.2018 passed by Secy., CHB cancelling the allotment of DU No. 6577/56, Chandigarh on account of non payment.	MALTI DEVI / CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD.	SLO/VIKAS JAIN	02-Oct-19	09-0ct-19	For filing reply.	No
18	C.S./101/2018	Regarding Transfer of DU No. 292/2, Sector 45-A, Chandigarh.	Rajinder Kaur / Kamlesh Kumar Sharma	SLO/VIKAS JAIN	03 Oct 2019	03 Dec 2019	Plaintiff Reply & Consideration.	Yes / 08 Oct 2018
19	C.S./1350/2017	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for cancellation of allotment in respect of # 908/40-A, Chandigarh & # 928/40-A, Chandigarh due to filing false affidavit	Raj Kumar Chibber / Usha Prashar & Ors.	LO/SEEMA	03 Oct 2019	20 Nov 2019	Consideration. CHB filed reply.	Yes / 06 Feb 2018
20	C.S./1090/2015	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiffs in respect of allotment/transfer of DU No. 413, Sector 43-A, Chandigarh qua their share on the basis of WILL dated 17.3.2014.	KARAMJIT KAUR & ANR. / CHB & ANR.	1 ' '	03 Oct 2019	14 Oct 2019	Ors. Def. evidence.	Yes / 09 Sep 2015
21	C.S./205/2017	Civil Suit filed by the petitioner regarding transfer of the basis of Regd. WILL in respect of DU No. 460, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh.	Kanwar Ashwani Kumar / Chandigarh Housing Board	LO/SAJAL KOSAR	03 Oct 2019	04 Dec 2019	Consideration.	Yes / 06 Sep 2017
22	C.S./2702/2018	Civil Suit has been filed by the plaintiff regarding mandatory injunction not to issue NOC of sale etc. in respect of DU No.1096, Phase-II, Ram Darbar, Chandigarh.	Ranjit Singh / Vijay Singh & Ors.	SLO/REENA CHAUDHARY	03 Oct 2019	08 Nov 2019	For Mediation.	Yes / 06 Nov 2018

23	EX.APP/993/2018	Execution Application filed by the Decree Holder seeking allotment of alternate site in lieu of J.No. B-147/1, Nehru Colony, Kajheri, Sector 52, Chandigarh under Self Finance Scheme-2006/2009.	Santosh Kumar / Secretary Estate, Chandigarh Admn. & Ors.	SLO/DEEPAK BAJAJ	04 Oct 2019	13 Dec 2019	Replication.	Yes/12 Oct 2018
24	C.S./3260/2018	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for mandatory injunction directing the defendanyt No. 1 to transfer the ownership of DU No. 2556, Sector 44-C, Chandigarh on the basis of WILL.	Neelam @ Kuldip Kaur / CHB & Ors.	SLO/SAJAL KOSAR	04 Oct 2019	20 Nov 2019	Notice & Record.	Yes / 03 Jul 2019
25	C.S./3302/2018	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff No. 1 & 2 are owners in possession of free hold DU No. 5299/3, MHC, Manimajra on the basis of Sale Deed & transfer of DU in the name of Plaintiff No. 1 & 2.	Rakesh Kumar & Ors. / CHB & Anr.	SLO/Navneet Jindal,	04 Oct 2019	20 Nov 2019	Plaintiff evidence.	Yes / 01 Mar 2019
26	C.S./128/2019	Civil suit filed by the plaintiff for mandatory injunction directing CHB(Def. No. 2) to transfer the ownership of DU No. 3267/2, Sector 40-D, Chandigarh in his name.	Pushpa James / G.P. & Anr.	SLO/Rajat Pabbi	04 Oct 2019	30 Oct 2019	Petitioners evidence.	Yes 31 May 2019
27	C.S./PBT/13/2015	Petitioner has been filed the ptn. u/s 264 & 266 of	Subhash Chand / GP & Anr.	LO/SUSHILA BHARDWAJ DR.	04 Oct 2019	04 Nov 2019	Consideration.	Yes / 02 Jul 2019
28	C.S./931/2016	Civil Suit regarding transfer of DU No. 3321 and illegal/unauthorised construction of DU No. 3321/1, Housing Board Colony, Dhanas, UT, Chandigarh (CS/393/2016).	Pradeep Kumar Sharma / Saroj Kohli & Anr.	LO/RAVI PARKASH PARUTHI	04 Oct 2019	15 Oct 2019	Consideration.	Yes / 29 Jul 2016
29	C.S./1308/2016	Civil Suit No. 524/2016 has been filed by the plaintiff for mandatory injunction directing CHB to transfer the suit property of DU No. 1504/1, Sector 29-B, Chandigarh.	Sushma Bhai & Ors. / Ranjana Suri & Ors.	LO/RAJIV SHARMA-18	04 Oct 2019	06 Jan 2020	Ors. Defendant evidence.	Yes / 24 Aug 2016
30	C.S./1421/2016	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff seeking transfer of 1/4th share in respect of H.No. 4782/B, Sector 38(W), Chandigarh.	Angelina Gill & Ors. / Vidya Sagar & Ors.	LO/Rajinder Ghai	04 Oct 2019	29 Oct 2019	Evidence.	Yes / 15 Oct 2018
31	C.S./749/2017	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of DU No. 3123/1, Sector 47-D, Chandigarh on the basis of registered WILL.	Prabhjit Singh / Kulwant Kaur	LO/Siddharth Gulati	04 Oct 2019	08 Nov 2019	Evidence.	Yes / 15 Feb 2018

3. NU	case Nu.	i entioner/ respondent	Matter/Prayer	Advocate	hearing	Next Date	Status	Reply Filed
S. No	Case No.	CASES LISTED BEFORE PLA Petitioner/ Respondent	A, UT, CHANDI Brief Subject	IGARH (30.0 Nodal Officer /	9.2019 to 05 Prev. date of	.10.2019) Next Date	Status	Donly
37	CS/837/2014	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding strict compliance of the award dated 10.12.1996 read with decree dated 4.9.2008.	Santosh Rani / Silverton Society & Anr.		05 Oct 2019	05 Nov 2019	Consideration.	Yes / 11 Jul 2018
36	EX.APP/764/2017	Execution Application u/o 21 Rule 11 Read with Section 151 of CPC in respect of illegal construction of DU No. 3829, Sector 56, Palsora against judgement dated 28.7.2017. Nothing has been claimed against CHB (Def. No. 3)	Jai Nath / Jagdish & Ors.	LO/SEEMA	05 Oct 2019	Dismissed	Notice & record.	Yes / 01 Feb 2018
35	EX.APP/202/2016	Application No. 202 of 2016 in Civil Suit No. 10328 of 2013 filed by the plaintiff for restoration of the case earlier dismissed as withdrawn on 24.7.2015 by Dr. Sukhda Pritam, CJJD, Chandigarh regarding transfer of DU No. 1231, Sector 43-B, Chandigarh.	Balwinder Singh Bamra / Manpreet Singh & Anr.	LO/RAVI P. PRUTHI	05 Oct 2019	30 Oct 2019	Consideration.	Yes / 08 May 2018
34	C.S./1937/2016	Civil Suit for allotment/transfer of DU No. 6756-A, Sector 56, Chandigarh in the name of legal heirs under Dr. Ambedkar Awas Yojna-2009.	Rekha Rani & Anr. / Chandigarh Admn. & Ors.	LO/Harinder Kumar Aurora	4 Oct 2019	30 Oct 2019	Consideration.	Yes / 26 May 2015
33	C.S./385/2018	Filing of Civil Suit & stay the judgement dated 1.2.2008 passed by Sh. Mahesh Kumar, JMIC, Chandigarh in respect of unauthorized occupant of H.No. 2822 in Rehabilitation Colony, Sector 38(W), Chandigarh.	CHB / Ashok Kumar & Ors.	LO/VIKAS JAIN	04 Oct 2019	05 Nov 2019	Civil Evidence. Civil Suit filed by CHB.	Yes / 03 Jul 2018
32	C.S./284/2013	(Civil Suit 3070/2013) Allotment/Transfer of entire share of DU No. 282/1, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh due to death of original allottee under Hire purchase Basis for UT employees Scheme allotted by CHB on 2.4.1985		LO/ANSHUMAN NARULA	04 Oct 2019	24 Oct 2019	Arguments.	Yes / 29 Jul 2016

1	APP/465/2019	Krishan Kumar / Chairman, CHB	Refund of Excess	SLO/Rajiv	01.10.2019	30.10.2019	Neither	No need
			amount deposited	Sharma			applicant nor	at this
			by the applicant				his Advocate	time as
			alongwith interest				was present in	ifrormed
			in R/o DU No.				the Court on	by the
			2031-C, 3rd Floor,				previous date	Counsel.
			Sector, Chd.				of hearing - As	
			(Oustees Quota).				the refund of	
							amount has	
							been made by	
							CHB but	
							Applicant is not	
							satisfied with	
							the refunded	
							amount as per	
							him less	
							amount has	
							been refunded.	
							The presiding	
							officer has	
							asked for	
							appearance of	
							the concerned	
							accounts	
							official to	
							explain how the	
							CHB has	
							calculated the	
							refunded	

2	APP/227/2019	Subhash Chand / Secretary, Chandigarh Housing	Refund of	SLO/Rajat Pabbi	03.10.2019	31.10.2019	Consideration	Yes
		Board	Rs.6,44,253/- in					
			respect of					
			cancelled dwelling					
			unit no. 131, sector					
			51-A, Chandigarh					
			of the Self					
			Financing Housing					
			Scheme Sector 51,					
			Chandigarh.					