## ADVANCE STATUS OF SUPREME COURT CASES FROM 02-12-2019 TO 07-12-2019

SNo	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter/Prayer	Petitioner/ Respondent	Nodal Officer / Advocate	Next Date	Status
1		Civil Appeal filed in SLP/19178-79/2009 (Rate Enhancement Case of Manimajra).	Chandigarh Housing Board & Another / Deepak Rawat & others.	SLO/RACHNA JOSHI ISSAR	03 Dec 2019	Tentative Date of hearing
2	SLP(C)/7720/ 2007	Rate enhancement case in Manimajra	Chandigarh Housing Board / Naresh Kant	SLO/RACHNA JOSHI ISSAR	03 Dec 2019	Tentative Date of hearing
3	C.A/2849/20 09	Price Enhancement Case of Manimajra.	Chandigarh Housing Board / Naresh Kant	SLO/RACHNA JOSHI ISSAR	03 Dec 2019	Tentative Date of hearing.
4		SLP filed against the orders dated 11.05.2016 passed by the Hon'ble NCDRC, New Delhi in Complaint Case No.19 of 2011 - Surinder Singh Atwal Vs M/s Parsvnath Developers Ltd & Others	Chandigarh Housing Board / Parsvnath Developers Ltd. & Anorther	SLO/RACHNA JOSHI ISSAR	04 Dec 2019	For parties: Mrs. Rachana Joshi Issar, AOR Ms. K.Vaijayanthi,Adv. Mr. Rajesh P.,Adv. Mr. Manoranjan Sharma,Adv. Mr. Jay Kishor Singh, AOR Ms. Vrinda Agarwal,Adv. Mr. Saumya Gupta,Adv Ms. Rukhsana Choudhury, AOR UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following O R D E R At the joint request of the learned counsel, the matter is adjourned to 4.12.2019. (INDU MARWAH) (SUMAN JAIN) COURT MASTER BRANCH OFFICER
5	SLP(C)/9961/ 2017	PDL Matter.	PDL / Avtar Singh Hundal & others.	SLO/RACHNA JOSHI ISSAR	04 Dec 2019	Fixed for 04.12.2019 (Tentative Date)

## ADVANCE STATUS OF NATIONAL COMMISSION CASES FROM 02-12-2019 TO 07-12-2019

SNo	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter/Prayer	Petitioner/ Respondent	Nodal Officer / Advocate	Next Date	Status
1	NC/CC/21/2 011	Counsel Smt. Rachna Joshi vide her email dated 19.11.2018 has informed that after hearing the matter, the Hon'ble Commission pleased to direct the OP 1 & 2 (PDL) to pay the balance principle amount within two month. First instalment is payable by PDL by 31.12.2018 and second is 30.01.2019. Case adjourned to 12.02.2019	RONSHER SINGH SIDHU / PARSVNATH DEVELOPERS ITD. & ors	SLO/RACHNA JOSHI ISSAR	05 Dec 2019	Mr. Prabhakar Tiwari, learned Counsel appearing for Opposite Parties No.1 and 2, states that he will be handing over Demand Draft of ?5.00 Lakhs in Complaint Case No. 20 of 2011 to Mr. Bharat Beriwal, learned Counsel appearing for the Complainants, during the course of the day. So far as the amount of interest is concerned, Mr. Tiwari, learned Counsel for Opposite Parties No.1 and 2, has stated that the said Opposite Parties will explore the possibility of an amicable settlement. List on 05.12.2019.
2	NC/CC/20/2 011	Counsel Smt. Rachna Joshi vide her email dated 19.11.2018 has informed that after hearing the matter, the Hon'ble Commission pleased to direct the OP 1 & 2 (PDL) to pay the balance principle amount within two month. First instalment is payable by PDL by 31.12.2018 and second is 30.01.2019.	Sher Singh Sidhu / Parsvnath Developers Ltd.	LO/RACHNA JOSHI ISSAR	05 Dec 2019	Mr. Prabhakar Tiwari, learned Counsel appearing for Opposite Parties No.1 and 2, states that he will be handing over Demand Draft of ?5.00 Lakhs in Complaint Case No. 20 of 2011 to Mr. Bharat Beriwal, learned Counsel appearing for the Complainants, during the course of the day. So far as the amount of interest is concerned, Mr. Tiwari, learned Counsel for Opposite Parties No.1 and 2, has stated that the said Opposite Parties will explore the possibility of an amicable settlement. List on 05.12.2019.
3	FA/626/201 5	FIRST APPEAL NO.626/2014 WITH IA NO.5383/2015 FILED Against the order dated 01.07.2015 passed in Complaint No.89/2015 passed by the State Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission, U.T., Chandigarh	PARSVNATH DEVELPOERS LTD / SURINDER KAUR BOPARAI & ORS	SLO/RACHNA JOSHI ISSAR	06 Dec 2019	ated: 11 Sep 2019 ORDER Learned counsel for the appellant states that they are still trying to search addresses of respondent nos. 1 to 3. Further six weeksæ™ time is granted for the same. If fresh address if filed, Registry is directed to issue fresh notice to respondent nos. 1 to 3 returnable on 06.12.2019

#### ADVANCE STATUS OF HIGH COURT CASES FROM 02-12-2019 TO 07-12-2019

SNo	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter/Prayer	Petitioner/ Respondent	Nodal Officer / Advocate	Next Date	Status
			•	-		

1	2015	Civil Writ Petition filed against the award/order dated 18.07.2014 passed by the Industrial Tribunal-cum labour Court in Sonu Vs Chandigarh Housing Board.	Chandigarh Housing Board / Presiding Officer U.T., Chandigarh and others	LO/DEEPALI PURI	02 Dec 2019	Arguments.
2	2019	Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing the letter dated 06.12.2018 and office order vide which the petitioner has been illegal declared ineligible for allotment of One Bed Room (LIG) flat and has been offered a flat in the EWS Category.	Balwinder Kaur / UT, Chandigarh & others	SLO/Arjun Sharma	02 Dec 2019	No order. Adjourned.
3	2019	Civil Writ Petition filed for quashing the action of the respondents whereby, the names of the petitioners have not been included in the list of residents of Notified Industrial Area, Labour Colony No.5 Burail, Chandigarh for allotment of flat under the scheme (The Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006) dated 06.11.2006 as the petitioners are having their valid voter identity card prepared by the Election Department, but despite that their names have not been included in the list of residents of the notified Burail Labour Colony No.5, for allotment of the flats under the above said scheme. Such action of the respondent is discriminatory and in violative of Articles 14 & 16 of the Constitution of India. AND 3. Further for directing the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioners, for allotment of flat, in the light of Clause No.3 & 4 of the Notification No.11/6/106-UTFI(2)-2009/7519 dated 09.11.2009 issued by the respondents and include the names of the petitioners in the list of residents, eligible for allotment of flat in the notified Industrial Area, Labour Colony No.5, Burail, Chandigarh under the above said scheme. AND 4. For directing the respondents to consider and decide the legal notice dated 07.01.2019 in the light of judgement dated 22.12.2014 passed by the Honâ €™ble Court as	Babu Lal & Others / U.T., Chandigarh & Others	SLO/INDRESH GOEL	04 Dec 2019	Attached as PDF

4	2017	Civil Writ Petition under article 226 and 227 of the constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing of order dated 22.12.2016 passed by the permanent Lok Adalat Public Utility Services Union Territory, Chandigarh annexure and A writ in the nature of mandamus for issuance of directions to the respondents for allotment of flat dwelling unit to the petitioner being Kashmiri Migrant as the petitioner is eligible candidate for the allotment of flat dwelling unit.	Meena Arora / Chandigarh Administration & Ors	SLO/Survir Shegal	04 Dec 2019	No order
5	26/2018	Appeal filed by the petitioner under Section 37 of the Arbitration And Conciliation Act, 1996 against the order dated 30.05.2018 passed by Ms Aradhana Sawhney, Additionalo District Judge, Chandigarh in Arbitration Case No.69 of 2015	PARSVNATH DEVELOPERS LTD. / CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD & aNOTHER	SLO/SHEKHAR VERMA	04 Dec 2019	No order.
6	018	Civil Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing the impugned order dated 19.09.2017 and for issuance of writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the official respondent to allot the Small Flat under the Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006 for which the petitioner is legally entitled but the claim has been rejected on illegal grounds. Further directing to the respondents to make allotment of dwelling unit to the petitioner as having been allotted to similarly situated persons. (Jhuggi No.119-A, Mauli-Jagran, U.T., Chandigarh)	Mohan Lal / U.T., Chandigarh & Others	SLO/PRIYANKA DALAL	05 Dec 2019	Arguments. Adjourned to 05.12.2019
7	<u>15</u>	CM filed in subject cited LPA by the subject cited petitioner for condonation of delay of 1738 days in filing the accompanying LPA NO.1759/2015 - U.T., CHANDIGARH VS THE CITY BEAUTIFUL COOPERATIVE HOUSE BUILDING SOCIETY LTD.	U.T., CHANDIGARH / THE CITY BEAUTIFUL COOPERATIVE HOUSE BUILDING SOCIETY LTD.	SLO/Sanjeev Sharma Sr. Counsel	05 Dec 2019	No order.

9	CWP/8246/2 001 LPA/1861/2 012	PETITION FILED AGAINST THE ORDER FOR ENHANCEMENT OF LAND COST FROM 750/- TO 2500/- PER SQ. YARD ALLOTTED TO SILVERTON COOP. HOUSE BUILDING SOCIETY.  LPA FILED AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 7.12.2010 PASSED IN CWP NO.13365/1999 ABD IRDER DATED 5.9.2012 PASSED IN REVIEW APPLICATION NO.13 OF 2012	SUSHIL ARORA & OTHERS / U.T. ADMINISTRATION & OTHERS  CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION / UNITED SERVICES COOP. HOUSE BUILDING SOCIETY AND OTHERS	LO/Gagandeep Singh Vasu  LO/Gagandeep Singh Vasu	05 Dec 2019 05 Dec 2019	No order.  No order.
10	CWP/07267/ 2000	WRIT PETITION FILED BY SOCIETY FOR QUASHING ORDER DATED 7.2.2000 PASSED BY F.S. CHD. ADMN. FOR NOT CONDONING THE DELAY IN DEPOSITING THE AMOUNT BY THE PETITIONER AND FOR DIRECTING THE RESPS.TO ACCEPT THE AMOUNT OF RS. 1,33,103.	MODERN BAKRIES COOP. H.B. SOCIETY / CHD. ADMN. & ORS.	LO/INDRESH GOEL	05 Dec 2019	No order.
11	CWP/07228/ 2000	WRIT PETITION FILED BY SOCIETY FOR QUASHING ORDER DATED 7.2.2000 PASSED BY F.S. CHD. ADMN. FOR NOT CONDONING THE DELAY IN DEPOSITING THE AMOUNT BY THE PETITIONER AND FOR DIRECTING THE RESPS.TO ACCEPT THE AMOUNT OF RS. 1,33,103.	LEE. CORBUSIER RELEASED DEFENCE OFFICER COOP. H.B. SOCIETY / CHD. ADMN. & ORS.	LO/INDRESH GOEL	05 Dec 2019	No order.
12	CWP/01326 7/2003	CWP FILED AGAINST THE ENHANCEMENT OF PRICE OF LAND @2500/- PER SQ. YDS. AS DEMANDED BY CHB VIDE LETTER DATED 11.4.2000	THE CHANDIGARH PEPSU COOP. H.B. SOCIETY LTD / U.T.,CHD. & ORS.	LO/INDRESH GOEL	05 Dec 2019	No order.
13	LPA/015/20 14	LPA FILED BY THE SUBJECT CITED PETITIONER AGAINST JUDGEMENT/ ORDER DATED 07.12.2010 PASSED IN CWP NO.8443 OF 2000 ALONGWITH CWP NO.13365 OF 1999 AND ORDER DATED 05.09.2012 PASSED IN R.A. NO.13 OF 2012 IN CWP NO.13365 OF 1999 BY THE LD. SINGLE JUDGE OF THIS HON€™BLE COURT.	U.T. CHANDIGARH / CITY START COOP HB SOCIETY	LO/ASHISH RAWAL	05 Dec 2019	No order.

14	2018	Civil Writ Petition filed for quashing inaction of the authorities in illegally rejecting the claim of the petitioner for the grant of plot being an oustee in violation of the order passed by the Honâ €™ble High Court dated 28.09.2015 directing the Chandigarh Housing Board to consider the claim but the same has been rejected by the authority as no fresh application was submitted though there were no orders by the Honâ €™ble Court for the submitting the fresh application by the petitioner.( Oustees Scheme Case)	Surinder Pal kochar & Another / U.T, Chandigarh & other	SLO/SHEKHAR VERMA	05 Dec 2019	Reply filed.
15	<u>16</u>	For setting aside the order dated 04.12.2010 passed by the Hon'ble High Court, UT, Chandigarh in CWP/7274/2000.	UT, Chandigarh / Chandigarh Ordinance Cable Factory Employees Coop. H.B. Society.	SLO/INDRESH GOEL	05 Dec 2019	No order. Adjourned.
16	16	For setting aside the order dated 07.12.2010 passed by the Hon'ble High Court, UT, Chandigarh in CWP/7052/2000	UT, Chandigarh / Chandigarh Sector 16 Coop. H.B.Society & Another.	SLO/INDRESH GOEL	06 Dec 2019	No order. Adjourned.
17	CWP/21451/ 2018	For quashing the eviction notice dated 05.11.2015 passed by the respondent and subsequent order dated 15.01.2018 against DU No. 2119, Ambadkar Colony, Dhanas, UT, Chandigarh	Satpal / Chandigarh Housing Board.	SLO/YET TO BE APPOINTED	06 Dec 2019	Case Filed
18		Allotment of DU No. 2119, Ambedkar Colony, Dhanas, UT, Chandigarh-AO(Colony) matter.	SATPAL / CHB	SLO/Vasundhara Dalal Anand	06 Dec 2019	Case Filed
19	CWP/24137/ 2019	Oustees Scheme - H.No. 2050-E, Sector 63, Chandigarh.	Satpal Singh / UT, Chandigarh & others.	SLO/Arjun Sharma	06 Dec 2019	Case Filed

20	CWP/14572/	Civil Writ petition filed by the petitioners for quashing	GOPAL SINGH & ORS / CHANDIGARH	SLO/SHEKHAR VERMA	06 Dec 2019	No order
		the advertisement æ̃CHB Oustees Scheme-2017â€	ADMINISTRATION AND ORS			
	2017	qua clause No.1 that the said scheme is the last and				
		final opportunity to such oustees of U.T., Chandigarh				
		for allotment of flats/dwelling units and that no claim				
		of oustees whatsoever shall be entertained thereafter,				
		whereas there were 643 families (at the time of				
		acquisition), whose constructed houses were acquired				
		as part of abadi deh land, during the acquisition of				
		eleven villages for development of Phase-2 of the city				
		of Chandigarh and besides there were families whose				
		land was acquired during the Phase-2 of acquisition.				
		And for directing the respondents to allow all the				
		families of the legal heirs of the oustees to be				
		considered as eligible candidate for the said scheme.				
		Further for directing the respondents to allot				
		flats/dwelling units to the petitioners/LR's of the				
		oustees at per 1965 rates and to frame a				
		comprehensive scheme for rehabilitation of oustees of				
		Chandigarh (Phase-II)/petitioners within a specific time				
		before issuing any other Housing Scheme and for				
		directing the respondents to reserve/allot				
		plots/dwelling units to such oustees/petitioners whose				
		villages i.e. houses/land were acquired for development				
		of Chandigarh at the prices prevailing at the time of				
		acquisition of villages.				
21	CWP/07440/	Oustees Matter.	Surinder Pal Kochar / Chd. Admn. &	LO/SHEKHAR VERMA	06 Dec 2019	No order. Adjourned.
	2017		Others			

22	CWP/26186/	CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing the impugned	Ajit Singh / U.T., Chandigarh & Others	SLO/Arjun Sharma	06 Dec 2019	No order
	2017	order dated 07.11.2017 issued by the Accounts				
		Officer, Chandigarh Housing Board, Respondent No.3				
		rejecting the application Form No.1101 of the				
		petitioner by the Property Allotment Committee				
		Respondent No.4 for MIG(Two Bed Rooms) Flats under				
		the "263 Flats for oustees of U.T., Chandigarh on				
		lease hold basis/free hold basis under the Chandigarh				
		Allotment of Dwelling units of the Oustees of				
		Chandigarh, Scheme 1996†and writ of mandamus				
		directing the respondent No.3 & 4 to allot the MIG				
		(Two Bed Rooms ) Flats, in Sector 51-A, Chandigarh as				
		action of the of Respondent No.3 & 4 rejecting the				
		application Form No.1101 of the petitioner is illegal,				
		null and void, discriminatory and against the scheme				
		and pass any other direction or order as this				
		Hon'ble Court may deemed fit in the circumstances				
		of the case Also it is further prayed that the during the				
		pendency of the writ petition, one flat of MIG (Two Bed				
		Rooms) Flats may kindly be kept reserved and the same				
		may not be allotted to any person till the matter is				
		decided by this Honâ €™ble Court.				

23	CWP/27481/	CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing Clause (vii) of	Tarsem Singh / U.T., Chandigarh & Ors	SLO/Arjun Sharma	06 Dec 2019	No order
	2017	"263 Flats for Oustees of U.T., Chandigarh on lease				
		hold basis/free hold basis under the Chandigarh				
		Allotment of Dwelling Units of the Oustees of				
		Chandigarh Scheme 1996†framed on 30.01.2017				
		being illegal, arbitrary, discriminatory, irrational and has				
		no nexus with the objective to be achieved i.e.				
		resettlement of land owners who have been rendered				
		landless on account of acquisition of their land and				
		every land owner is entitled to allotment of flat as per				
		his/their legibility as each of the co-shares has				
		independent right and title to enjoy the possession and				
		the said clause does not take into consideration the				
		rights of the petitioner as joint owners and violates the				
		right of the petitioner as co-sharer and further				
		declaring that the impugned letter/order dated				
		07.11.2017 issued by the Accounts Officer,				
		Chandigarh Housing Board, Respondent No.3 rejecting				
		the application Form No.1906 of the petitioner by the				
		Property Allotment Committee Respondent No.4 for				
		MIG(Two Bed Rooms) Flats under the "263 Flats for				
		oustees of U.T., Chandigarh on lease hold basis/free				
		hold basis under the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling				
		units of the Oustees of Chandigarh, Scheme 1996â €				
		framed on 30.01.2017 is illegal, null and void and liable				
		to be quashed and writ of mandamus directing the				

24	CWP/29637/	CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing	Krishan Kumar / Union Territory,	SLO/Arjun Sharma	06 Dec 2019	No order
	2017	condition/clause III (vii) of the â€~Chandigarh Housing	Chandigarh & Others			
		Board Oustees Scheme-2017 and also the letter dated				
		27.10.2017 holding the petitioners not eligible for the				
		allotment of a flat each under the Oustees Quota, the				
		same being highly illegal, irrational, arbitrary,				
		discriminatory, capricious, violative of Article 14 and 18				
		of the Constitution of India and right under the teeth				
		of the judgement of Hon'ble Full Bench of this				
		Hon'ble Court in the case of Jarnail Sigh and others				
		V State of Punjab and others (2011(1) RCR Civil 915)				
		AND A writ mandamus directing the respondents to				
		consider case of each of the petitioners for allotment				
		of one flat under the "Chandigarh Allotment of				
		Dwelling Units to the Oustees of Chandigarh, Scheme				
		1996â €, as per their entitlement i.e. an HIG dwelling				
		unit and till that time, further allotment of left out plots				
		which were floated under the scheme for allotment to				
		the oustees of UT Chandigarh or any allotment under				
		the oustees category may kindly be stayed.				

25	CWP/10997/	CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing the order	Hardeep Singh / Union Territory	SLO/SHEKHAR VERMA	06 Dec 2019	No order
	2018	dated 12.09.2017 passed by the Respondent No.3	Chandigarh & Others			
		whereby the application submitted by the petitioner				
		For Allotment of LIG Flat under "Oustees Scheme				
		2017â € of U.T., Chandigarh on lease hold basis/free				
		hold basis under the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling				
		Units of the Oustees of Chandigarh Scheme, 1996â €				
		has been rejected by the Property Allotment				
		Committee/Respondent NO.4 to the effect that the				
		land was acquired under joint khata and the same is				
		illegally arbitrary and in violation of the Judgement				
		dated 01.10.2010 passed by the full bench of this High				
		Court. Further for directing the respondents to				
		consider the application of the petitioner for the				
		allotment of LIG Flat in Chandigarh to the petitioner in				
		respect of application submitted being oustee as per				
		the scheme of U.T., Chandigarh And further prayed that				
		during the pendency of the writ petition, one Flat of LIG				
		Flat may kindly be kept reserved and the same may not				
		be allotted to any person till the matter is decided by				
		this Honâ €™ble High Court.				

26	2018	CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing the order dated 07.11.2017 passed by the Respondent No.3 whereby the application submitted by the petitioner For Allotment of MIG ( 2 Bed Room) Flat under "Oustees Scheme 2017†of U.T., Chandigarh on lease hold basis/free hold basis under the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling Units of the Oustees of Chandigarh Scheme, 1996†has been rejected by the Property Allotment Committee/Respondent No.4 in violation of the Judgement dated 01.10.2010 passed by the full bench of this High Court. Further for directing the respondents to consider the application of the petitioner for the allotment of MIG (2 Bed Room) Flat in Chandigarh to the petitioner in respect of application submitted being oustee as per the scheme of U.T., Chandigarh And further prayed that during the pendency of the writ petition, one Flat of MIG (2 Bed Room) may kindly be kept reserved and the same may not be allotted to any person till the matter is decided by this Honâ €™ble High Court.	Surjit SIngh / Union Territory, Chandigarh & Others			No order
27	2018	Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for setting aside of impugned order dated 13.02.2008 passed by the Appellate Authority-cum-Chief Executive Officer, CHB in Appeal No.160 of 2018 through which the appeal of the petitioner for allotment of flat under the Small Flat Scheme has been rejected.(Jhugi No.B-191 in Nehru â€" Small Flat Scheme)	Nathu Ram / Union Territory Chandigarh and Others	SLO/Akansha Sawhney,	06 Dec 2019	No order

28	CWP/27520/	Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing the	Surinder Singh / U.T., Chandigarh &	SLO/SHEKHAR VERMA	06 Dec 2019	No order
	2018	Clause(vii) of '263 Flats for Oustee's of U.T. Chandigarh	Others			
		on lease hold/free hold basis under the Chandigarh				
		Allotment of Dwelling Units of the Oustees of				
		Chandigarh Scheme 1996" framed on 30.01.2017				
		being illegal, arbitratry, discriminatory, irrational and				
		has no nexus with the objective e to be achieved i.e.				
		resettlement of land owners who have been rendered				
		landless on account of acquisition of their land and				
		every land owner is entitled to allotment of flat as per				
		his/their legibility as each of the co-shares has				
		independent right and title to enjoy the possession and				
		the said clause does not take into consideration the				
		rights of the petitioner as joint owners and violates the				
		right of the petitioner as co-sharer and further				
		declaring that the impugned letter/order dated				
		15.12.2017 issued by the joint name of Surinder Singh				
		petitioner and Paramjit Singh S/o of Hazura Sing and				
		the letter dated 11.10.2018 issued by the Respondent				
		No.4 Accounts Officer, Chandigarh Housing Board				
		Chandigarh is illegal as the same should have been				
		issued in the name of Surinder Singh (Petitioner) alone				
		as the application Form No.1184 was submitted only				
		by the petitioner and further the letter dated				
		11.10.2018 directing the petitioner to get the dispute				
29	CWP/33028/	#2119, Ambedkar Colony, Dhanas.	Satpal / Chandigarh Housing Board	SLO/Vasundhara Dalal Anand	06 Dec 2019	Learned counsel for respondents No.1 and 2 is directed
	2018					to comply with order dated 17.12.2018. Adjourned to
						06.12.2019. (DAYA CHAUDHARY) JUDGE (SUDHIR
						MITTAL) JUDGE September 23, 2019

30	CWP/1458/2	Civil Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing	Rachna Ram / U.T. Chandigarh & Ors	SLO/Arjun Sharma	06 Dec 2019	No order
	018	Clause VIII of 263 Flats for Oustees of AU.T.,				
		Chandigarh on lease hold basis free4 hold as is under				
		the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling Units of the				
		Chandigarh Scheme 1996†framed on 30.01.2017				
		being illegal arbitrary, discriminatory, irrational and has				
		no nexus with the objective to be achieved i.e.				
		resettlement of land owners who have been rendered				
		landless on account of acquisition of their land and				
		every land owner is entitled to allotment of float as per				
		his/their legibility as each of the co-shares has				
		independent right and title to enjoy the possession and				
		the said clause does not take into consideration the				
		rights of the petitioner as joint owners and violates the				
		right of the petitioner as co-sharer and further				
		declaring that the impugned letter/ order dated				
		27.10.,2017 issued by the Accounts Officer				
		Chandigarh Housing Board, Chandigarh, respondent				
		No.3 rejecting the application form No. 1918 of the				
		petitioner by the Property Allotment Committee				
		Respondent No.4 for HIG Three Bed Rooms Flats under				
		the 263 Flats for of UT Chandigarh on lease hold basis				
		free hold basis under the Allotment of Dwelling Units				
		of the Oustee of Chandigarh Scheme 1996 framed on				
		30.01.2017 is illegal null and void liable to be quashed				

31	CWP/1516/2	Civil Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing	Ranga Ram / U.T., Chandigarh & Ors	SLO/Arjun Sharma	06 Dec 2019	No order
	018	Clause VIII of 263 Flats for Oustees of AU.T.,				
		Chandigarh on lease hold basis free4 hold as is under				
		the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling Units of the				
		Chandigarh Scheme 1996†framed on 30.01.2017				
		being illegal arbitrary, discriminatory, irrational and has				
		no nexus with the objective to be achieved i.e.				
		resettlement of land owners who have been rendered				
		landless on account of acquisition of their land and				
		every land owner is entitled to allotment of float as per				
		his/their legibility as each of the co-shares has				
		independent right and title to enjoy the possession and				
		the said clause does not take into consideration the				
		rights of the petitioner as joint owners and violates the				
		right of the petitioner as co-sharer and further				
		declaring that the impugned letter/ order dated				
		27.10.,2017 issued by the Accounts Officer				
		Chandigarh Housing Board, Chandigarh, respondent				
		No.3 rejecting the application form No. 1918 of the				
		petitioner by the Property Allotment Committee				
		Respondent No.4 for HIG Three Bed Rooms Flats under				
		the 263 Flats for of UT Chandigarh on lease hold basis				
		free hold basis under the Allotment of Dwelling Units				
		of the Oustee of Chandigarh Scheme 1996 framed on				
		30.01.2017 is illegal null and void liable to be quashed				

# ADVANCE STATUS OF DISTRICT COURT CASES FROM 02-12-2019 TO 07-12-2019

SNo	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter/Prayer	Petitioner/ Respondent	Nodal Officer / Advocate	Next Date	Status
1	EX.APP/245/	Execution Petition U/o 21 Rule 11 read with Section 36	Jai Bhushan Malik / CHB	SLO/GEETA GULATI	02 Dec 2019	Consideration.
	2018	of the Arbitration & Concilliation Act 1996 for award				
		dated 11.11.2017 passed by Sh. H.R.Gangar, IAS(Rt.)				
		Sole Arbitrator in respect of providing water supply				
		lines, sewer lines, storm water drainage system				
		Boosting Station and Rain Harvesting Structures for				
		8448, Small Flats at Dhanas, Chandigarh.				
2	C.S./1090/2	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiffs in respect of	KARAMJIT KAUR & ANR. / CHB &	LO/RAJAT NAKRA	02 Dec 2019	Arguments.
	015	allotment/transfer of DU No. 413, Sector 43-A,	ANR.			
		Chandigarh qua their share on the basis of WILL dated				
		17 3 2014				

3	<u>C.S./827/20</u> <u>15</u>	Regarding allotment of flat (PDL matter)	Dr. Vasdev Singh / Inderdev Singh & Ors.	LO/PREETI NIGAM	02 Dec 2019	Ors. Defendant evidence.
4	C.S./24/201 6	Civil Suit filed by plaintiff for mandatory injunction for Defendant No. 4 (CHB, seeking transfer of DU No. 4954, Maloya Colony, UT, Chandigarh.	Dhannu / Bikram & Ors.	LO/SUSHILA BHARDWAJ DR.	02 Dec 2019	Ors. Def. evidence
5	C.S./1421/2 016	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff seeking transfer of 1/4th share in respect of H.No. 4782/B, Sector 38(W),	Angelina Gill & Ors. / Vidya Sagar & Ors.	LO/Rajinder Ghai	02 Dec 2019	Arguments.
6	EX.APP/350/ 2017	Execution Application filed by the Decree Holder against decree dated 11.8.2005 passed in Civil Suit No. 33 of 1995 titled as Rama Shankar Vs. Mohinder Paul & Ors. in respect of illegal construction raised in H.,No. 471, Sector 40-A, Chandigarh.	Ram Shankar / Mohinder	LO/Krishan Kumar Dhiman	02 Dec 2019	Consideration.
7	C.S./560/20 19	Suit filed by the plaintiff for permanent injunction restraining the defendants from demolishing the need based changes,constn. in DU No. 3207/1, Sector 44-D, Chandigarh and also regularised the Need Base Changes made in DU in question.	Gurbachan Singh Anand / CHB & Anr.	SLO/Shalini Bagdi,Adv/CHB	02 Dec 2019	Consideration.
8	EX.APP/220/ 2019	Civil Misc. Application filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of flat on the basis of WILL in respect of DU No. 5171/3, MHC, Manimajra.	MANDEEP MAND / GP & ORS.	SLO/SUSHILA BHARDWAJ DR.	02 Dec 2019	Case Filed
9	REVISION C/O ADVISOR/46/ 2019	Revision Petition filed by the plaintiff before Advisor to the Administrator, UT for canellation due to violation in respect of DU No. 442, Phase-II, Ram Darbar, Chandigarh against order dated 14.8.2019 passed by Chandigarh Housing Board.	AMAR SINGH / CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD & ORS.	SLO/SHIV PARTAP SING MANN	03 Dec 2019	Report.
10	C.S./6/2019	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding recovery of amou nyt of Rs.61,00,000/- in lieu of DU No. 398/2, Sector 44-A, Chandigarh.	Mukesh Mittal & Ors. / Dinesh Kumar & Ors.	SLO/Harinder Kumar Aurora	03 Dec 2019	Arguments on stay application.
11	C.S./101/20 18	Civil Suit filed by the Plaintiff regarding Transfer of DU No. 292/2, Sector 45-A, Chandigarh.	Rajinder Kaur / Kamlesh Kumar Sharma	SLO/VIKAS JAIN	03 Dec 2019	Plaintiff Reply & Consideration. Board counsel filed an application for Section 67 on 8.8.2018. Plaintiff reply is required.

12	C.S./1781/2 017	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for mandatory injunction directing the CHB(Def. No. 1) not to transfer the house No. 3420, Maloya, UT, Chandigarh on the basis of decree dated 28.8.2017 passed by Sh. Imanbir Singh Dhaliwal, CJJD, Chandigarh & also directing def. No. 1 & 2 to transfer the DU in favour of plaintiff on the basis of Agreement to sell.	SANJAY KUMAR GARG / CHB & ORS.	SLO/SEEMA	04 Dec 2019	Appearance
13	C.S./205/20 17	Civil Suit filed by the petitioner regarding transfer of the basis of Regd. WILL in respect of DU No. 460, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh.		LO/SAJAL KOSAR	04 Dec 2019	Consideration.
14	<u>APPLICATION</u> /152/2016	Applicationof 2016 in Civil Suit No. 11806/2013 in respect of transfer of DU No. 169, Indira Colony, Manimajra.	Babli ailas Kamlesh / Chandigarh Admn.	LO/DEEPAK BAJAJ	04 Dec 2019	Notice. No reply required as CHB not a party & reply will be filed as & when CHB proceeded as party.
15	C.S./953/20 16	Civil Suit has been filed by the plaintiff regarding allotment of flat/tenement site in lieu of J.No. 314, Kumhar Colony, Sector 25, Chandigarh.	Anil Kumar / Secretary, Chandigarh Admn. & Ors.	LO/ANANT PAL SINGH	04 Dec 2019	Consideration.
16	017	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for declaration that the purchase of DU No. 4151, Maulijagran is illegal as the house were allotted to jhuggi dwellers under rehabilitation scheme & suit also for mandatory injunction to cancel & possession be taken over being illegal property.	Vijay Kumar / Pardeep Kumar Shukla & Anr.	LO/Palvinder Singh Sarna	04 Dec 2019	Plaintiff reply & Consideration.
17	C.S./2339/2 018	Civil Suit has been filed by the plaintiff for transfer of DU No. 355/2, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh on the basis of WILL	Balwinder Kaur / Kashmir Kaur & Ors.	SLO/RAJIV SHARMA-18	04 Dec 2019	Arguments
18	C.S./1019/2 019	Civil suit filed in the court of Ms. Meenakshi Gupta, CJJD, Chd. by the plaintiff regarding transfer of ownership of DU No. 3404/2, Sector 40-D, Chandigarh on the basis of Regd. WILL under GPA transfer policy.	Prem Nath Sharma / Chandigarh Housing Board & Ors.	SLO/RAHUL DEV SINGH	04 Dec 2019	Filing reply. Reply given to counsel.
19	C.S./693/20 19	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff seeking retirement benefit i.e. GP Gund, Gratuity etc. In respect of Sh. Nachattar Singh employees of CHB now expired.	Kishan Kaur / GP & Anr.	SLO/VISHAL SODHI	05 Dec 2019	Filing of POA.
20	C.S./1779/2 019	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding cancellation due to unauthorised construction in respect of DU No. 2060/3, Sector 45-C, Chandigarh.	JASWANT KAUR / CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD & ORS.	SLO/ISHAN DOGRA	05 Dec 2019	Appearance.

21	2019	Execution Application filed by the Decree Holder seeking allotment of alternate site in lieu of J.No. 64-B, Block â€~E', Indl. Area, Ph-I, Chandigarh.	Akhilesh Maurya / EO & Anr.	SLO/GEETA GULATI	05 Dec 2019	Consideration. CHB filed its objection on 1.10.2019
22	2018	Appeal filed by the Appellant U/S 54 of Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 against order dated 31.8.2005 & 14.8.2018 passed by Chairman, CHB vide which appeal of the appellant was dismissed in respect of DU No. 3039, Sector 55, Palsora allowing the appeal & setting aside the cancellation order dated 13.1.2001 due to building violation.	Paramjit Kaur / CEO/CHB	SLO/RAJIV SHARMA-18	05 Dec 2019	Consideration & Reply to the Appn. but application not received as informed by the counsel.
23	C.S./3002/2 019	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for specific Performance of Agreement to Sell dated 12.3.2003 in respect of DU No. 3081(GF), Sector 44-D, Chandigarh.	Vijender Kumar Nirmal / Nanak Singh & Ors.	SLO/Rajat Pabbi	05 Dec 2019	Plaintiff reply. Reply filed by CHB on 11.7.2019
24	C.S./348/20 19	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for declaration that the plaintiff is in possession of free old property of DU No. 5104/2, MHC, Manimajra.	Neetu Jakhar / CHB & Ors.	SLO/DEEPAK BAJAJ	05 Dec 2019	Service of Ors. defendant. CHB filed application forreje tion of plaint.
25	C.S./1453/2 015	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff seeking allotment of tenament site under Self Financing Scheme 2006 for the residents in Vijay Colony, Dera Pir Baba, DMC, UT, Chandigarh.	Ramesh Narwal & Ors. / Chandigarh Admn. & Ors.	LO/VIKAS JAIN	05 Dec 2019	Arguments.
26	C.S./1931- /2015	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff seeking mandatory injunction for directing not to transfer of DU No., 3073, Sector 45-D, Chandigarh on the basis of forged & fabricated WILL dated 28.12.2006.	Nirmal Kaur & Ors. / Geeta Rani & Anr.	LO/DEEPALI PURI	05 Dec 2019	Arguments.
27	C.S./2302/2 015	Civil Suit filed by the petitioner regarding transfer of allotment of DU No. 431, Sector 45-A, Chandigarh.	Sairab Usmani & Ors. / Abdul Shaheed Usmani & Ors.	LO/SAJAL KOSAR	05 Dec 2019	Remaining Defendant Evidence.
28	C.S./2133/2 018	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding removal of leakage/seepage of DU No. 2051, Sector 45-C, Chandigarh.	Nirmal Bhatia / CHB	SLO/Harinder Kumar Aurora	05 Dec 2019	Cross by Mohd. Sitar.

29	017	Civil Suit has been filed by the plaintiff regarding cancellation of DU No. 334, Maulijagran due to false & fabricated documents.	JINIS RAI / CHD. ADMN. & ORS.	SLO/ABSHEYSHER SINGH	05 Dec 2019	Appearance.
30	2017	Only summon received for allotment of alternative site/flat in lieu of J.No. 866, LBS Colony, Palsora vs. Chandigarh.	Ram Lal / Chandigarh Administration & Ors.	LO/VIKAS JAIN	06 Dec 2019	Consideration.
31	017	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of share of DU No. 1447-B, Sector 61, Chandigarh & also suit for permanent Injunction restraining defendants from alienating by way of sale, exchange gift, mortgage or any other mode of any specific portion partition.	Ors.	SLO/SEEMA	06 Dec 2019	Arguments
32	/400/2016	Application for restoration of civil suit No. 2044/2015 in its original number in respect of possession of Flat No. 1447-B, Sector 61, Chandigarh on the basis of registered WILL dated 4.3.2011	Jagdeep Kaur / Lakhbir Kaur & Ors.	LO/RAJAT NAKRA	06 Dec 2019	Evidence.
33	19	Civil Suit has been filed by the plaintiff allotment of MIG(F) under Chandigarh Allotment Scheme 1996 of Dwelling Units to the Oustees.	Manjit Kaur Dhillon / CHB & Anr.	SLO/RAJIV SHARMA-18	06 Dec 2019	Consideration/Reply.
34	14	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding strict compliance of the award dated 10.12.1996 read with decree dated 4.9.2008.	Santosh Rani / Silverton Society & Anr.	SLO/VIKAS JAIN	06 Dec 2019	Consideration.

# ADVANCE STATUS OF LOK ADALAT CASES FROM 02-12-2019 TO 07-12-2019

SN	lo	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter/Prayer	Petitioner/ Respondent	Nodal Officer / Advocate	Next Date	Status
1		<b>APPLICATION</b>	Refund of Rs.6,44,253/- in respect of cancelled	Subhash Chand / Secretary,	SLO/Rajat Pabbi	29 Nov 2019	Notice issued to the Original Allottee to make him/her
		/227/2019	dwelling unit no. 131, sector 51-A, Chandigarh of the	Chandigarh Housing Board			party in the matter.
			Self Financing Housing Scheme Sector 51, Chandigarh.				

2	<b>APPLICATION</b>	Refund of Excess amount deposited by the applicant	Krishan Kumar / Chairman, CHB	SLO/RAJIV SHARMA-18	06 Dec 2019	Replication.
	/465/2019	alongwith interest in R/o DU No. 2031-C, 3rd Floor,				
		Sector, Chd. (Oustees Quota).				
1						

Reply Filed No

No

No

Not Required / Being CHB filed the SLP/Case

No

**Reply Filed** 

Yes / 02 Jan 2012

Yes / 13 Jun 2011

Nο

Not Required / Being the case filed by Chandigarh Housing BOard

Yes / 20 Aug 2019

Yes / 22 Aug 2019 Yes / 07 May 2018

Yes / 17 Sep 2019

Yes / 31 May 2018

Yes / 09 May 2016 Yes / 13 Dec 2017

Yes / 10 Oct 2001

Yes / 08 Oct 2004

Yes / 01 Apr 2018

No	
No	

Yes / 07 May 2018

No

Yes / 26 Oct 2018

Yes / 16 May 2018

Yes / 08 Jan 2019 No

Yes / 14 Feb 2019

#### Reply Filed

Yes / 23 Feb 2018

Yes / 09 Sep 2015 Yes / 04 Oct 2017

Yes / 02 Mar 2016

Yes / 15 Oct 2018

Yes / 22 Sep 2017

Yes / 03 Jul 2019

No

No

Yes / 11 Jul 2019

Yes / 08 Oct 2018

Yes / 06 Sep 2017 Yes / 06 Sep 2017 No Yes / 22 Oct 2019 No Yes / 20 Sep 2018 No No No

No

No

No

Yes / 23 Apr 2019

Yes / 08 Jul 2019

Yes / 15 Feb 2016

Yes / 08 Jul 2019

Yes / 03 Jul 2018

Yes / 28 Aug 2017

Yes / 08 Jul 2019

Yes / 08 Jul 2019

No

Yes / 11 Jul 2018

Reply Filed Yes / 10 May 2019

Yes / 30 Oct 2019