

PREVIOUS WEEK CASES OF SUPREME COURT (02-12-2019 TO 07-12-2019)

SNo	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter/Prayer	Petitioner/ Respondent	Nodal Officer / Advocate	Prev. Date	Next Date	Status	Reply Filed
1	C.A/1974-75/2012	Civil Appeal filed in SLP/19178-79/2009 (Rate Enhancement Case of Manimajra).	Chandigarh Housing Board & Another / Deepak Rawat & others.	SLO/RACHNA JOSHI ISSAR	03 Dec 2019	13.12.2019	Tentative Date of hearing	Yes
2	SLP(C)/7720/2007	Rate enhancement case in Manimajra	Chandigarh Housing Board / Naresh Kant	SLO/RACHNA JOSHI ISSAR	03 Dec 2019	13.12.2019	Tentative Date of hearing	Yes
3	C.A/2849/2009	Price Enhancement Case of Manimajra.	Chandigarh Housing Board / Naresh Kant	SLO/RACHNA JOSHI ISSAR	03 Dec 2019	13.12.2019	Tentative Date of hearing.	Yes
4	C.A/10748/2016	SLP filed against the orders dated 11.05.2016 passed by the Hon'ble NCDRC, New Delhi in Complaint Case No.19 of 2011 - Surinder Singh Atwal Vs M/s Parsvnath Developers Ltd & Others	Chandigarh Housing Board / Parsvnath Developers Ltd. & Anorther	SLO/RACHNA JOSHI ISSAR	04 Dec 2019	Order Reserved	Heard learned counsel for the parties. Arguments concluded. Judgment reserved. However, we make it clear that respondent no.1 namely, M/s. Parasvanath Developers Pvt. Ltd shall pay its portion of awarded amount i.e. 70% of the principal amount + Rs.2 Lakhs with interest @ 10% per annum thereon upto date to respondent no.2 namely, Surinder Singh Atwal and the acknowledgement thereof shall be filed along with the memo before this Court on or before 12.12.2019.	Not Required / Being CHB filed the SLP/Case
5	SLP(C)/9961/2017	PDL Matter.	PDL / Avtar Singh Hundal & others.	SLO/RACHNA JOSHI ISSAR	04 Dec 2019	20.01.2020	Tentative Date of hearing	Yes

PREVIOUS WEEK CASES OF NATIONAL COMMISSION (02-12-2019 TO 07-12-2019)

SNo	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter/Prayer	Petitioner/ Respondent	Nodal Officer / Advocate	Prev. Date	Next Date	Status	Reply Filed
1	NC/CC/21/2011	Counsel Smt. Rachna Joshi vide her email dated 19.11.2018 has informed that after hearing the matter, the Hon'ble Commission pleased to direct the OP 1 & 2 (PDL) to pay the balance principle amount within two month. First instalment is payable by PDL by 31.12.2018 and second is 30.01.2019. Case adjourned to 12.02.2019	RONSHER SINGH SIDHU / PARSVNATH DEVELOPERS ITD. & ORS	SLO/RACHNA JOSHI ISSAR	05 Dec 2019	15.01.2020	No order	Yes / 02 Jan 2012
2	NC/CC/20/2011	Counsel Smt. Rachna Joshi vide her email dated 19.11.2018 has informed that after hearing the matter, the Hon'ble Commission pleased to direct the OP 1 & 2 (PDL) to pay the balance principle amount within two month. First instalment is payable by PDL by 31.12.2018 and second is 30.01.2019.	Sher Singh Sidhu / Parsvnath Developers Ltd.	LO/RACHNA JOSHI ISSAR	05 Dec 2019	15.01.2020	No order	Yes / 13 Jun 2011
3	FA/626/2015	FIRST APPEAL NO.626/2014 WITH IA NO.5383/2015 FILED Against the order dated 01.07.2015 passed in Complaint No.89/2015 passed by the State Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission, U.T., Chandigarh	PARSVNATH DEVELPOERS LTD / SURINDER KAUR BOPARAI & ORS	SLO/RACHNA JOSHI ISSAR	06 Dec 2019	06.05.2020	The bench directed the appellant PDL to file the proof of service on the un-served respondents.	Yes

PREVIOUS WEEK CASES OF HIGH COURT (02-12-2019 TO 07-12-2019)

S. No	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter/Prayer	Petitioner/ Respondent	Nodal Officer / Advocate	Prev. Date	Next Date	Status	Reply Filed
1	CWP/11549/2015	Civil Writ Petition filed against the award/order dated 18.07.2014 passed by the Industrial Tribunal-cum labour Court in Sonu Vs Chandigarh Housing Board.	Chandigarh Housing Board / Presiding Officer U.T., Chandigarh and others	LO/DEEPALI PURI	02 Dec 2019	02.05.2020	Arguments.	Not Required / Being the case filed by Chandigarh Housing BOard
2	CWP/03302/2019	Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing the letter dated 06.12.2018 and office order vide which the petitioner has been illegal declared ineligible for allotment of One Bed Room (LIG) flat and has been offered a flat in the EWS Category.	Balwinder Kaur / UT, Chandigarh & others	SLO/Arjun Sharma	02 Dec 2019	15.01.2020	No order. Adjourned.	Yes / 20 Aug 2019

3	CWP/10346/2019	Civil Writ Petition filed for quashing the action of the respondents whereby, the names of the petitioners have not been included in the list of residents of Notified Industrial Area, Labour Colony No.5 Burail, Chandigarh for allotment of flat under the scheme (The Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006) dated 06.11.2006 as the petitioners are having their valid voter identity card prepared by the Election Department, but despite that their names have not been included in the list of residents of the notified Burail Labour Colony No.5, for allotment of the flats under the above said scheme. Such action of the respondent is discriminatory and in violative of Articles 14 & 16 of the Constitution of India. AND 3. Further for directing the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioners, for allotment of flat, in the light of Clause No.3 & 4 of the Notification No.11/6/106-UTFI(2)-2009/7519 dated 09.11.2009 issued by the respondents and include the names of the petitioners in the list of residents, eligible for allotment of flat in the notified Industrial Area, Labour Colony No.5, Burail, Chandigarh under the above said scheme. AND 4. For directing the respondents to consider and decide the legal notice dated 07.01.2019 in the light of judgement dated 22.12.2014 passed by the Honâ€™ble	Babu Lal & Others / U.T., Chandigarh & Others	SLO/INDRESH GOEL	04 Dec 2019	19.02.2020	Learned counsel for respondent Nos.1 and 3 to 6 seeks time to file reply. Be filed within three weeks with an advance copy to the counsel opposite. Adjourned to 19.02.2020.	Yes / 22 Aug 2019
4	CWP/04492/2017	Civil Writ Petition under article 226 and 227 of the constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing of order dated 22.12.2016 passed by the permanent Lok Adalat Public Utility Services Union Territory, Chandigarh annexure and A writ in the nature of mandamus for issuance of directions to the respondents for allotment of flat dwelling unit to the petitioner being Kashmiri Migrant as the petitioner is eligible candidate for the allotment of flat dwelling unit.	Meena Arora / Chandigarh Administration & Ors	SLO/Survir Shegal	04 Dec 2019	31.03.2020	List on 31.03.2020.	Yes / 07 May 2018

5	FAO/CARB-26/2018	Appeal filed by the petitioner under Section 37 of the Arbitration And Conciliation Act, 1996 against the order dated 30.05.2018 passed by Ms Aradhana Sawhney, Additional District Judge, Chandigarh in Arbitration Case No.69 of 2015	PARSVNATH DEVELOPERS LTD. / CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD & aNOTHER	SLO/SHEKHAR VERMA	04 Dec 2019	28.01.2020	No order.	Yes / 17 Sep 2019
6	CWP/1313/2018	Civil Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing the impugned order dated 19.09.2017 and for issuance of writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the official respondent to allot the Small Flat under the Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006 for which the petitioner is legally entitled but the claim has been rejected on illegal grounds. Further directing to the respondents to make allotment of dwelling unit to the petitioner as having been allotted to similarly situated persons.(Jhuggi No.119-A, Mauli-Jagran, U.T., Chandigarh)	Mohan Lal / U.T., Chandigarh & Others	SLO/PRIYANKA DALAL	05 Dec 2019	05.02.2020	Arguments	Yes / 31 May 2018
7	CM/3782/2015	CM filed in subject cited LPA by the subject cited petitioner for condonation of delay of 1738 days in filing the accompanying LPA NO.1759/2015 - U.T., CHANDIGARH VS THE CITY BEAUTIFUL COOPERATIVE HOUSE BUILDING SOCIETY LTD.	U.T., CHANDIGARH / THE CITY BEAUTIFUL COOPERATIVE HOUSE BUILDING SOCIETY LTD.	SLO/Sanjeev Sharma Sr. Counsel	05 Dec 2019	05.02.2020	No order.	Yes / 09 May 2016
8	CWP/8246/2001	PETITION FILED AGAINST THE ORDER FOR ENHANCEMENT OF LAND COST FROM 750/- TO 2500/- PER SQ. YARD ALLOTTED TO SILVERTON COOP. HOUSE BUILDING SOCIETY.	SUSHIL ARORA & OTHERS / U.T. ADMINISTRATION & OTHERS	LO/Gagandeep Singh Vasu	05 Dec 2019	05.02.2020	No order.	
9	LPA/1861/2012	LPA FILED AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 7.12.2010 PASSED IN CWP NO.13365/1999 ABD IRDER DATED 5.9.2012 PASSED IN REVIEW APPLICATION NO.13 OF 2012	CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION / UNITED SERVICES COOP. HOUSE BUILDING SOCIETY AND OTHERS	LO/Gagandeep Singh Vasu	05 Dec 2019	05.02.2020	No order.	Yes / 13 Dec 2017
10	CWP/07267/2000	WRIT PETITION FILED BY SOCIETY FOR QUASHING ORDER DATED 7.2.2000 PASSED BY F.S. CHD. ADMN. FOR NOT CONDONING THE DELAY IN DEPOSITING THE AMOUNT BY THE PETITIONER AND FOR DIRECTING THE RESPS.TO ACCEPT THE AMOUNT OF RS. 1,33,103.	MODERN BAKRIES COOP. H.B. SOCIETY / CHD. ADMN. & ORS.	LO/INDRESH GOEL	05 Dec 2019	05.02.2020	No order.	

11	CWP/07228/2000	WRIT PETITION FILED BY SOCIETY FOR QUASHING ORDER DATED 7.2.2000 PASSED BY F.S. CHD. ADMN. FOR NOT CONDONING THE DELAY IN DEPOSITING THE AMOUNT BY THE PETITIONER AND FOR DIRECTING THE RESPS.TO ACCEPT THE AMOUNT OF RS. 1,33,103.	LEE. CORBUSIER RELEASED DEFENCE OFFICER COOP. H.B. SOCIETY / CHD. ADMN. & ORS.	LO/INDRESH GOEL	05 Dec 2019	05.02.2020	No order.	Yes / 10 Oct 2001
12	CWP/013267/2003	CWP FILED AGAINST THE ENHANCEMENT OF PRICE OF LAND @2500/- PER SQ. YDS. AS DEMANDED BY CHB VIDE LETTER DATED 11.4.2000	THE CHANDIGARH PEPSU COOP. H.B. SOCIETY LTD / U.T.,CHD. & ORS.	LO/INDRESH GOEL	05 Dec 2019	05.02.2020	No order.	Yes / 08 Oct 2004
13	LPA/015/2014	LPA FILED BY THE SUBJECT CITED PETITIONER AGAINST JUDGEMENT/ ORDER DATED 07.12.2010 PASSED IN CWP NO.8443 OF 2000 ALONGWITH CWP NO.13365 OF 1999 AND ORDER DATED 05.09.2012 PASSED IN R.A. NO.13 OF 2012 IN CWP NO.13365 OF 1999 BY THE LD. SINGLE JUDGE OF THIS HONâ€™BLE COURT.	U.T. CHANDIGARH / CITY START COOP HB SOCIETY	LO/ASHISH RAWAL	05 Dec 2019	05.02.2020	No order.	Yes / 01 Apr 2018
14	CWP/25743/2018	Civil Writ Petition filed for quashing inaction of the authorities in illegally rejecting the claim of the petitioner for the grant of plot being an oustee in violation of the order passed by the Honâ€™ble High Court dated 28.09.2015 directing the Chandigarh Housing Board to consider the claim but the same has been rejected by the authority as no fresh application was submitted though there were no orders by the Honâ€™ble Court for the submitting the fresh application by the petitioner.(Oustees Scheme Case)	Surinder Pal kochar & Another / U.T, Chandigarh & other	SLO/SHEKHAR VERMA	05 Dec 2019	19.02.2020.	Reply on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3 has been filed today in the Court today and the same is taken on record. Copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.	Yes
15	LPA/044/2016	For setting aside the order dated 04.12.2010 passed by the Hon'ble High Court, UT, Chandigarh in CWP/7274/2000.	UT, Chandigarh / Chandigarh Ordinance Cable Factory Employees Coop. H.B. Society.	SLO/INDRESH GOEL	05 Dec 2019	05.02.2020	No order. Adjourned.	No
16	LPA/045/2016	For setting aside the order dated 07.12.2010 passed by the Hon'ble High Court, UT, Chandigarh in CWP/7052/2000.	UT, Chandigarh / Chandigarh Sector 16 Coop. H.B.Society & Another.	SLO/INDRESH GOEL	06 Dec 2019	05.02.2020	No order. Adjourned.	No

17	CWP/21451/2018	For quashing the eviction notice dated 05.11.2015 passed by the respondent and subsequent order dated 15.01.2018 against DU No. 2119, Ambadkar Colony, Dhanas, UT, Chandigarh	Satpal / Chandigarh Housing Board.	SLO/YET TO BE APPOINTED	06 Dec 2019	21.01.2020	No order	No
19	CWP/24137/2019	Ousteers Scheme - H.No. 2050-E, Sector 63, Chandigarh.	Satpal Singh / UT, Chandigarh & others.	SLO/Arjun Sharma	06 Dec 2019	19.02.2020	No order	No
20	CWP/14572/2017	Civil Writ petition filed by the petitioners for quashing the advertisement "CHB Ousteers Scheme-2017" qua clause No.1 that the said scheme is the last and final opportunity to such oustees of U.T., Chandigarh for allotment of flats/dwelling units and that no claim of oustees whatsoever shall be entertained thereafter, whereas there were 643 families (at the time of acquisition), whose constructed houses were acquired as part of abadi deh land, during the acquisition of eleven villages for development of Phase-2 of the city of Chandigarh and besides there were families whose land was acquired during the Phase-2 of acquisition. And for directing the respondents to allow all the families of the legal heirs of the oustees to be considered as eligible candidate for the said scheme. Further for directing the respondents to allot flats/dwelling units to the petitioners/LR's of the oustees at per 1965 rates and to frame a comprehensive scheme for rehabilitation of oustees of Chandigarh (Phase-II)/petitioners within a specific time before issuing any other Housing Scheme and for directing the respondents to reserve/allot plots/dwelling units to such oustees/petitioners whose villages i.e. houses/land were acquired for development of Chandigarh at the prices prevailing at the time of acquisition of villages.	GOPAL SINGH & ORS / CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND ORS	SLO/SHEKHAR VERMA	06 Dec 2019	19.02.2020	Adjourned to 19.02.2020.	Yes / 07 May 2018
21	CWP/07440/2017	Ousteers Matter.	Surinder Pal Kochar / Chd. Admn. & Others	LO/SHEKHAR VERMA	06 Dec 2019	19.02.2020	No order. Adjourned.	No

22	CWP/26186/2017	<p>CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing the impugned order dated 07.11.2017 issued by the Accounts Officer, Chandigarh Housing Board, Respondent No.3 rejecting the application Form No.1101 of the petitioner by the Property Allotment Committee Respondent No.4 for MIG(Two Bed Rooms) Flats under the 263 Flats for oustees of U.T., Chandigarh on lease hold basis/free hold basis under the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling units of the Ousteers of Chandigarh, Scheme 1996 and writ of mandamus directing the respondent No.3 & 4 to allot the MIG (Two Bed Rooms) Flats, in Sector 51-A, Chandigarh as action of the of Respondent No.3 & 4 rejecting the application Form No.1101 of the petitioner is illegal, null and void, discriminatory and against the scheme and pass any other direction or order as this Honâ€™ble Court may deemed fit in the circumstances of the case.. Also it is further prayed that the during the pendency of the writ petition, one flat of MIG (Two Bed Rooms) Flats may kindly be kept reserved and the same may not be allotted to any person till the matter is decided by this Honâ€™ble Court.</p>	Ajit Singh / U.T., Chandigarh & Others	SLO/Arjun Sharma	06 Dec 2019	19.02.2020	No order	Yes / 26 Oct 2018
----	----------------	--	--	------------------	-------------	------------	----------	-------------------

23	CWP/27481/2017	CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing Clause (vii) of 263 Flats for Oustees of U.T., Chandigarh on lease hold basis/free hold basis under the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling Units of the Oustees of Chandigarh Scheme 1996 framed on 30.01.2017 being illegal, arbitrary, discriminatory, irrational and has no nexus with the objective to be achieved i.e. resettlement of land owners who have been rendered landless on account of acquisition of their land and every land owner is entitled to allotment of flat as per his/their legibility as each of the co-shares has independent right and title to enjoy the possession and the said clause does not take into consideration the rights of the petitioner as joint owners and violates the right of the petitioner as co-sharer and further declaring that the impugned letter/order dated 07.11.2017 issued by the Accounts Officer, Chandigarh Housing Board, Respondent No.3 rejecting the application Form No.1906 of the petitioner by the Property Allotment Committee Respondent No.4 for MIG(Two Bed Rooms) Flats under the 263 Flats for oustees of U.T., Chandigarh on lease hold basis/free hold basis under the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling units of the Oustees of Chandigarh, Scheme 1996 framed on 30.01.2017 is illegal, null and void and liable to be quashed and writ of	Tarsem Singh / U.T., Chandigarh & Ors	SLO/Arjun Sharma	06 Dec 2019	19.02.2020	No order	Yes / 08 Jan 2019
----	----------------	--	---------------------------------------	------------------	-------------	------------	----------	-------------------

24	CWP/29637/2017	<p>CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing condition/clause III (vii) of the Chandigarh Housing Board Oustees Scheme-2017 and also the letter dated 27.10.2017 holding the petitioners not eligible for the allotment of a flat each under the Oustees Quota, the same being highly illegal, irrational, arbitrary, discriminatory, capricious, violative of Article 14 and 18 of the Constitution of India and right under the teeth of the judgement of Honable Full Bench of this Honable Court in the case of Jarnail Singh and others V State of Punjab and others (2011(1) RCR Civil 915) AND A writ mandamus directing the respondents to consider case of each of the petitioners for allotment of one flat under the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling Units to the Oustees of Chandigarh, Scheme 1996, as per their entitlement i.e. an HIG dwelling unit and till that time, further allotment of left out plots which were floated under the scheme for allotment to the oustees of UT Chandigarh or any allotment under the oustees category may kindly be stayed.</p>	Krishan Kumar / Union Territory, Chandigarh & Others	SLO/Arjun Sharma	06 Dec 2019	19.02.2020	No order	Yes / 16 May 2018
----	----------------	---	--	------------------	-------------	------------	----------	-------------------

25	CWP/10997/2018	CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing the order dated 12.09.2017 passed by the Respondent No.3 whereby the application submitted by the petitioner For Allotment of LIG Flat under "Oustees Scheme 2017" of U.T., Chandigarh on lease hold basis/free hold basis under the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling Units of the Oustees of Chandigarh Scheme, 1996" has been rejected by the Property Allotment Committee/Respondent NO.4 to the effect that the land was acquired under joint khata and the same is illegally arbitrary and in violation of the Judgement dated 01.10.2010 passed by the full bench of this High Court. Further for directing the respondents to consider the application of the petitioner for the allotment of LIG Flat in Chandigarh to the petitioner in respect of application submitted being oustee as per the scheme of U.T., Chandigarh And further prayed that during the pendency of the writ petition, one Flat of LIG Flat may kindly be kept reserved and the same may not be allotted to any person till the matter is decided by this Hon'ble High Court.	Hardeep Singh / Union Territory Chandigarh & Others	SLO/SHEKHAR VERMA	06 Dec 2019	19.02.2020	No order	Yes / 08 Jan 2019
----	----------------	--	---	-------------------	-------------	------------	----------	-------------------

26	CWP/10992/2018	CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing the order dated 07.11.2017 passed by the Respondent No.3 whereby the application submitted by the petitioner For Allotment of MIG (2 Bed Room) Flat under "Oustees Scheme 2017" of U.T., Chandigarh on lease hold basis/free hold basis under the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling Units of the Oustees of Chandigarh Scheme, 1996" has been rejected by the Property Allotment Committee/Respondent No.4 in violation of the Judgement dated 01.10.2010 passed by the full bench of this High Court. Further for directing the respondents to consider the application of the petitioner for the allotment of MIG (2 Bed Room) Flat in Chandigarh to the petitioner in respect of application submitted being oustee as per the scheme of U.T., Chandigarh And further prayed that during the pendency of the writ petition, one Flat of MIG (2 Bed Room) may kindly be kept reserved and the same may not be allotted to any person till the matter is decided by this Hon"ble High Court.	Surjit Singh / Union Territory, Chandigarh & Others	SLO/SHEKHAR VERMA	06 Dec 2019	19.02.2020	No order	Yes / 08 Jan 2019
27	CWP/16945/2018	Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for setting aside of impugned order dated 13.02.2008 passed by the Appellate Authority-cum-Chief Executive Officer, CHB in Appeal No.160 of 2018 through which the appeal of the petitioner for allotment of flat under the Small Flat Scheme has been rejected.(Jhugi No.B-191 in Nehru " Small Flat Scheme)	Nathu Ram / Union Territory Chandigarh and Others	SLO/Akansha Sawhney,	06 Dec 2019	29.01.2020	Adjourned to 29.01.2020 for arguments.	No

28	CWP/27520/2018	Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing the Clause(vii) of '263 Flats for Oustee's of U.T. Chandigarh on lease hold/free hold basis under the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling Units of the Oustees of Chandigarh Scheme 1996" framed on 30.01.2017 being illegal, arbitrary, discriminatory, irrational and has no nexus with the objective e to be achieved i.e. resettlement of land owners who have been rendered landless on account of acquisition of their land and every land owner is entitled to allotment of flat as per his/their legibility as each of the co-shares has independent right and title to enjoy the possession and the said clause does not take into consideration the rights of the petitioner as joint owners and violates the right of the petitioner as co-sharer and further declaring that the impugned letter/order dated 15.12.2017 issued by the joint name of Surinder Singh petitioner and Paramjit Singh S/o of Hazura Sing and the letter dated 11.10.2018 issued by the Respondent No.4 Accounts Officer, Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh is illegal as the same should have been issued in the name of Surinder Singh (Petitioner) alone as the application Form No.1184 was submitted only by the petitioner and further the letter dated 11.10.2018 directing the petitioner to get the dispute sought out in the Civil Court as per law which	Surinder Singh / U.T., Chandigarh & Others	SLO/SHEKHAR VERMA	06 Dec 2019	19.02.2020	No order	Yes / 08 Jan 2019
29	CWP/33028/2018	#2119, Ambedkar Colony, Dhanas.	Satpal / Chandigarh Housing Board	SLO/Vasundhara Dalal Anand	06 Dec 2019	21.01.2020	No order	Yes / 14 Feb 2019

30	CWP/1458/2018	Civil Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing Clause VIII of 263 Flats for Oustees of AU.T., Chandigarh on lease hold basis free4 hold as is under the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling Units of the Chandigarh Scheme 1996 framed on 30.01.2017 being illegal arbitrary, discriminatory, irrational and has no nexus with the objective to be achieved i.e. resettlement of land owners who have been rendered landless on account of acquisition of their land and every land owner is entitled to allotment of float as per his/their legibility as each of the co-shares has independent right and title to enjoy the possession and the said clause does not take into consideration the rights of the petitioner as joint owners and violates the right of the petitioner as co-sharer and further declaring that the impugned letter/ order dated 27.10.,2017 issued by the Accounts Officer Chandigarh Housing Board, Chandigarh, respondent No.3 rejecting the application form No. 1918 of the petitioner by the Property Allotment Committee Respondent No.4 for HIG Three Bed Rooms Flats under the 263 Flats for of UT Chandigarh on lease hold basis free hold basis under the Allotment of Dwelling Units of the Oustee of Chandigarh Scheme 1996 framed on 30.01.2017 is illegal null and void	Rachna Ram / U.T. Chandigarh & Ors	SLO/Arjun Sharma	06 Dec 2019	19.02.2020	No order	Yes / 08 Jan 2019
----	---------------	---	------------------------------------	------------------	-------------	------------	----------	-------------------

31	CWP/1516/2018	Civil Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing Clause VIII of 263 Flats for Oustees of AU.T., Chandigarh on lease hold basis free4 hold as is under the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling Units of the Chandigarh Scheme 1996 framed on 30.01.2017 being illegal arbitrary, discriminatory, irrational and has no nexus with the objective to be achieved i.e. resettlement of land owners who have been rendered landless on account of acquisition of their land and every land owner is entitled to allotment of float as per his/their legibility as each of the co-shares has independent right and title to enjoy the possession and the said clause does not take into consideration the rights of the petitioner as joint owners and violates the right of the petitioner as co-sharer and further declaring that the impugned letter/ order dated 27.10.,2017 issued by the Accounts Officer Chandigarh Housing Board, Chandigarh, respondent No.3 rejecting the application form No. 1918 of the petitioner by the Property Allotment Committee Respondent No.4 for HIG Three Bed Rooms Flats under the 263 Flats for of UT Chandigarh on lease hold basis free hold basis under the Allotment of Dwelling Units of the Oustee of Chandigarh Scheme 1996 framed on 30.01.2017 is illegal null and void	Ranga Ram / U.T., Chandigarh & Ors	SLO/Arjun Sharma	06 Dec 2019	19.02.2020	No order	Yes / 08 Jan 2019
----	---------------	---	------------------------------------	------------------	-------------	------------	----------	-------------------

PREVIOUS WEEK DISTRICT COURT CASES (02-12-2019 TO 07-12-2019)

SNo	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter/Prayer	Petitioner/ Respondent	Nodal Officer / Advocate	Previous Date	Next Date	Status	Reply Filed
1	EX.APP/245/2018	Execution Petition U/o 21 Rule 11 read with Section 36 of the Arbitration & Conciliation Act 1996 for award dated 11.11.2017 passed by Sh. H.R.Gangar, IAS(Rt.) Sole Arbitrator in respect of providing water supply lines, sewer lines, storm water drainage system Boosting Station and Rain Harvesting Structures for 8448, Small Flats at Dhanas, Chandigarh.	Jai Bhushan Malik / CHB	SLO/GEETA GULATI	02 Dec 2019	08-Jan-20	Consideration.	Yes / 23 Feb 2018
2	C.S./827/2015	Regarding allotment of flat (PDL matter)	Dr. Vasdev Singh / Inderdev Singh & Ors.	LO/PREETI NIGAM	02 Dec 2019	11-Dec-19	Ors. Defendant evidence.	Yes / 04 Oct 2017

3	C.S./24/2016	Civil Suit filed by plaintiff for mandatory injunction for Defendant No. 4 (CHB, seeking transfer of DU No. 4954, Maloya Colony, UT, Chandigarh.	Dhannu / Bikram & Ors.	LO/SUSHILA BHARDWAJ DR.	02 Dec 2019	10-Dec-19	Ors. Def. evidence	Yes / 02 Mar 2016
4	C.S./1421/2016	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff seeking transfer of 1/4th share in respect of H.No. 4782/B, Sector 38(W), Chandigarh.	Angelina Gill & Ors. / Vidya Sagar & Ors.	LO/Rajinder Ghai	02 Dec 2019	11-Dec-19	Arguments.	Yes / 15 Oct 2018
5	EX.APP/350/2017	Execution Application filed by the Decree Holder against decree dated 11.8.2005 passed in Civil Suit No. 33 of 1995 titled as Rama Shankar Vs. Mohinder Paul & Ors. in respect of illegal construction raised in H.,No. 471, Sector 40-A, Chandigarh.	Ram Shankar / Mohinder	LO/Krishan Kumar Dhiman	02 Dec 2019	13-Dec-19	Consideration.	Yes / 22 Sep 2017
6	C.S./560/2019	Suit filed by the plaintiff for permanent injunction restraining the defendants from demolishing the need based changes, constn. in DU No. 3207/1, Sector 44-D, Chandigarh and also regularised the Need Base Changes made in DU in question.	Gurbachan Singh Anand / CHB & Anr.	SLO/Shalini Bagdi, Adv/CHB	02 Dec 2019	27-Jan-20	Consideration.	Yes / 03 Jul 2019
7	EX.APP/220/2019	Civil Misc. Application filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of flat on the basis of WILL in respect of DU No. 5171/3, MHC, Manimajra.	MANDEEP MAND / GP & ORS.	SLO/SUSHILA BHARDWAJ DR.	02 Dec 2019	17-Dec-19	Plaintiff reply.	No
8	REVISION C/O ADVISOR/46/2019	Revision Petition filed by the plaintiff before Advisor to the Administrator, UT for cancellation due to violation in respect of DU No. 442, Phase-II, Ram Darbar, Chandigarh against order dated 14.8.2019 passed by Chandigarh Housing Board.	AMAR SINGH / CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD & ORS.	SLO/SHIV PARTAP SING MANN	03 Dec 2019	21-Jan-20	Report.	No
9	C.S./6/2019	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding recovery of amount of Rs.61,00,000/- in lieu of DU No. 398/2, Sector 44-A, Chandigarh.	Mukesh Mittal & Ors. / Dinesh Kumar & Ors.	SLO/Harinder Kumar Aurora	03 Dec 2019	27-Jan-20	Arguments on stay application.	Yes / 11 Jul 2019
10	C.S./101/2018	Civil Suit filed by the Plaintiff regarding Transfer of DU No. 292/2, Sector 45-A, Chandigarh.	Rajinder Kaur / Kamlesh Kumar Sharma	SLO/VIKAS JAIN	03 Dec 2019	04-Feb-20	Plaintiff Reply & Consideration. Board counsel filed an application for Section 67 on 8.8.2018. Plaintiff reply is required.	Yes / 08 Oct 2018

11	C.S./1781/2017	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for mandatory injunction directing the CHB(Def. No. 1) not to transfer the house No. 3420, Maloya, UT, Chandigarh on the basis of decree dated 28.8.2017 passed by Sh. Imanbir Singh Dhaliwal, CJD, Chandigarh & also directing def. No. 1 & 2 to transfer the DU in favour of plaintiff on the basis of Agreement to sell.	SANJAY KUMAR GARG / CHB & ORS.	SLO/SEEMA	04 Dec 2019	14-Jan-20	Appearance	Yes / 06 Sep 2017
12	C.S./205/2017	Civil Suit filed by the petitioner regarding transfer of the basis of Regd. WILL in respect of DU No. 460, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh.	Kanwar Ashwani Kumar / Chandigarh Housing Board	LO/SAJAL KOSAR	04 Dec 2019	31-Jan-20	Consideration.	Yes / 06 Sep 2017
13	APPLICATION/152/2016	Application_____ of 2016 in Civil Suit No. 11806/2013 in respect of transfer of DU No. 169, Indira Colony, Manimajra.	Babli ailas Kamlesh / Chandigarh Admn.	LO/DEEPAK BAJAJ	04 Dec 2019	30-Jan-20	Notice. No reply required as CHB not a party & reply will be filed as & when CHB proceeded as party.	No
14	C.S./953/2016	Civil Suit has been filed by the plaintiff regarding allotment of flat/tenement site in lieu of J.No. 314, Kumhar Colony, Sector 25, Chandigarh.	Anil Kumar / Secretary, Chandigarh Admn. & Ors.	LO/ANANT PAL SINGH	04 Dec 2019	20-Dec-19	Consideration.	Yes / 22 Oct 2019
15	C.S./1844/2017	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for declaration that the purchase of DU No. 4151, Maulijagran is illegal as the house were allotted to jhuggi dwellers under rehabilitation scheme & suit also for mandatory injunction to cancel & possession be taken over being illegal property.	Vijay Kumar / Pardeep Kumar Shukla & Anr.	LO/Palvinder Singh Sarna	04 Dec 2019	28-Jan-20	Plaintiff reply & Consideration.	No reply is to be filed at this stage
16	C.S./2339/2018	Civil Suit has been filed by the plaintiff for transfer of DU No. 355/2, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh on the basis of WILL.	Balwinder Kaur / Kashmir Kaur & Ors.	SLO/RAJIV SHARMA-18	04 Dec 2019	05-Feb-20	Arguments	Yes / 20 Sep 2018
17	C.S./1019/2019	Civil suit filed in the court of Ms. Meenakshi Gupta, CJD, Chd. by the plaintiff regarding transfer of ownership of DU No. 3404/2, Sector 40-D, Chandigarh on the basis of Regd. WILL under GPA transfer policy.	Prem Nath Sharma / Chandigarh Housing Board & Ors.	SLO/RAHUL DEV SINGH	04 Dec 2019	04-Feb-20	Filing reply. Reply given to counsel.	No
18	C.S./693/2019	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff seeking retirement benefit i.e. GP Gund, Gratuity etc. In respect of Sh. Nachattar Singh employees of CHB now expired.	Kishan Kaur / GP & Anr.	SLO/VISHAL SODHI	05 Dec 2019	30-Jan-20	Filing of reply.	No

19	C.S./1779/2019	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding cancellation due to unauthorised construction in respect of DU No. 2060/3, Sector 45-C, Chandigarh.	JASWANT KAUR / CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD & ORS.	SLO/ISHAN DOGRA	05 Dec 2019	24-Jan-20	Filing of reply.	No
20	EX.APP/475/2019	Execution Application filed by the Decree Holder seeking allotment of alternate site in lieu of J.No. 64-B, Block "E", Indl. Area, Ph-I, Chandigarh.	Akhilesh Maurya / EO & Anr.	SLO/GEETA GULATI	05 Dec 2019	29-Jan-20	Consideration. CHB filed its objection on 1.10.2019	Yes/01 Oct 2019
21	APPEAL/712/2018	Appeal filed by the Appellant U/S 54 of Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 against order dated 31.8.2005 & 14.8.2018 passed by Chairman, CHB vide which appeal of the appellant was dismissed in respect of DU No. 3039, Sector 55, Palsora allowing the appeal & setting aside the cancellation order dated 13.1.2001 due to building violation.	Paramjit Kaur / CEO/CHB	SLO/RAJIV SHARMA-18	05 Dec 2019	04-Feb-20	Consideration & Reply to the Appn. but application not received as informed by the counsel.	No need to file the reply being appeal matter or at this stage.
22	C.S./3002/2019	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for specific Performance of Agreement to Sell dated 12.3.2003 in respect of DU No. 3081(GF), Sector 44-D, Chandigarh.	Vijender Kumar Nirmal / Nanak Singh & Ors.	SLO/Rajat Pabbi	05 Dec 2019	17-Jan-20	Plaintiff reply.	Yes/11 Jul 2019
23	C.S./348/2019	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for declaration that the plaintiff is in possession of free old property of DU No. 5104/2, MHC, Manimajra.	Neetu Jakhar / CHB & Ors.	SLO/DEEPAK BAJAJ	05 Dec 2019	14-Feb-20	Service of Ors. defendant. CHB filed application for rejection of plaint.	Yes / 23 Apr 2019
24	C.S./1453/2015	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff seeking allotment of tenement site under Self Financing Scheme 2006 for the residents in Vijay Colony, Dera Pir Baba, DMC, UT, Chandigarh.	Ramesh Narwal & Ors. / Chandigarh Admn. & Ors.	LO/VIKAS JAIN	05 Dec 2019	16-Dec-19	Arguments.	Yes / 08 Jul 2019
25	C.S./1931-/2015	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff seeking mandatory injunction for directing not to transfer of DU No., 3073, Sector 45-D, Chandigarh on the basis of forged & fabricated WILL dated 28.12.2006.	Nirmal Kaur & Ors. / Geeta Rani & Anr.	LO/DEEPALI PURI	05 Dec 2019	16-Dec-19	Arguments.	Yes / 15 Feb 2016
26	C.S./2302/2015	Civil Suit filed by the petitioner regarding transfer of allotment of DU No. 431, Sector 45-A, Chandigarh.	Sairab Usmani & Ors. / Abdul Shaheed Usmani & Ors.	LO/SAJAL KOSAR	05 Dec 2019	16-Dec-19	Remaining Defendant Evidence.	Yes / 08 Jul 2019
27	C.S./2133/2018	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding removal of leakage/seepage of DU No. 2051, Sector 45-C, Chandigarh.	Nirmal Bhatia / CHB	SLO/Harinder Kumar Aurora	05 Dec 2019	12-Dec-19	Arguments	Yes / 03 Jul 2018

28	C.S./1253/2017	Civil Suit has been filed by the plaintiff regarding cancellation of DU No. 334, Maulijagran due to false & fabricated documents.	JINIS RAI / CHD. ADMN. & ORS.	SLO/ABSHEYSHER SINGH	05 Dec 2019	17-Jan-20	Appearance.	Yes / 09 Jan 2018
29	EX.APP/183/2017	Only summon received for allotment of alternative site/flat in lieu of J.No. 866, LBS Colony, Palsora vs. Chandigarh.	Ram Lal / Chandigarh Administration & Ors.	LO/VIKAS JAIN	06 Dec 2019	19-Dec-19	Consideration.	Yes / 28 Aug 2017
30	C.S./1629/2017	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of share of DU No. 1447-B, Sector 61, Chandigarh & also suit for permanent Injunction restraining defendants from alienating by way of sale, exchange gift, mortgage or any other mode of any specific portion partition.	Lakhbir Kaur & Anr. / Jagdip Kaur & Ors.	SLO/SEEMA	06 Dec 2019	16-Dec-19	Arguments	Yes / 08 Jul 2019
31	APPLICATION/400/2016	Application for restoration of civil suit No. 2044/2015 in its original number in respect of possession of Flat No. 1447-B, Sector 61, Chandigarh on the basis of registered WILL dated 4.3.2011	Jagdeep Kaur / Lakhbir Kaur & Ors.	LO/RAJAT NAKRA	06 Dec 2019	16-Dec-19	Evidence.	Yes / 08 Jul 2019
32	C.S./723/2019	Civil Suit has been filed by the plaintiff allotment of MIG(F) under Chandigarh Allotment Scheme 1996 of Dwelling Units to the Oustees.	Manjit Kaur Dhillon / CHB & Anr.	SLO/RAJIV SHARMA-18	06 Dec 2019	08-Jan-20	Consideration/Reply.	No reply is to be filed at this stage
33	C.S./837/2014	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding strict compliance of the award dated 10.12.1996 read with decree dated 4.9.2008.	Santosh Rani / Silverton Society & Anr.	SLO/VIKAS JAIN	06 Dec 2019	09-Jan-20	Consideration.	Yes / 11 Jul 2018
34	C.S./1090/2015	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiffs in respect of allotment/transfer of DU No. 413, Sector 43-A, Chandigarh qua their share on the basis of WILL dated 17.3.2014.	KARAMJIT KAUR & ANR. / CHB & ANR.	LO/RAJAT NAKRA	06-Dec-19	12-Dec-19	Arguments.	Yes / 09 Sep 2015

PREVIOUS WEEK CASES OF PERMANENT LOK ADALAT (02-12-2019 TO 07-12-2019)

S. No	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter/Prayer	Petitioner/ Respondent	Nodal Officer / Advocate	Prev. Date	Next Date	Status	Reply Filed
1	APPLICATION /465/2019	Refund of Excess amount deposited by the applicant alongwith interest in R/o DU No. 2031-C, 3rd Floor, Sector, Chd. (Oustees Quota).	Krishan Kumar / Chairman, CHB	SLO/RAJIV SHARMA-18	06 Dec 2019	09 Dec 2019	Replication.	Yes / 30 Oct 2019