

ADVANCSE LIST - SUPREME COURT CASES (17.02.2020 to 22.02.2020)

S. No	Case No.	Petitioner/ Respondent	Brief Subject Matter/Prayer	Nodal Officer / Advocate	Next Date	Status	Reply Filed
1	SLP(C)/24519/2013	ANSHU PALTA / CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARDS AND OTHERS	SLP filed by the petitioner to pass an order for staying the operation of the impugned order dt. 04.03.2013 passed by the Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court, UT, Chandigarh in CWP/3469/2012 and further requested to pass an order to put on hold the allotment of 3 bed room flats to respondents no. 2 to 5 as they are not entitled to the same .	LO/DAVINDER PAL SINGH	20 Feb 2020	Respondent Nos.1 to 5 have already filed counter affidavit. Service of notice is complete qua respondent No.6 but no one has entered appearance on his behalf. To be listed on 20.02.2020	Yes
2	SLP(C)/24704/2013	JITENDER SINGH / CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND OTHERS	SLP filed by the petitioner to pass an order for staying the operation of the impugned order dt. 04.03.2013 passed by the Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court, UT, Chandigarh in CWP/10969/2012 and further requested to pass an order to put on hold the allotment of 3 bed room flats to respondents no. 2 to 5 under the Self Financing Housing scheme 2008 for welfare and benefit of the Employees of Chd. Admn. and of the Punjab & Haryana High Court, in Chandigarh, as they are not entitled to the same.	LO/DAVINDER PAL SINGH	20 Feb 2020	Respondent No.1 has already filed counter affidavit. Service of notice is complete qua respondent No.4 but no one has entered appearance on his behalf. Ld. Counsel for respondent Nos. 2 and 3 have not filed any counter affidavit despite grant of last chance. Ld. Counsel for respondent Nos. 5 to 8 have failed to cure the defects in counter affidavit filed by him. Hence, the counter affidavit be taken off the record. To be listed on 20.02.2020	Yes

ADVANCE LIST - HIGH COURT CASES (17.02.2020 to 22.02.2020)

S. No	Case No.	Petitioner/ Respondent	Brief Subject Matter/Prayer	Nodal Officer / Advocate	Next Date	Status	Reply Filed
1	CWP/3787/2020	Harish Chander Gulati / Chief Administrator, UT, Chd. & others.	Quashing the policy/order no 59 dt. 15.02.2019 issued by the respondents " DU No. 728/1, Sector 41-A, Chd.	SLO/VISHAL SODHI	19 Feb 2020	On request, adjourned to 19.02.2020.	No - First Date
2	CWP/26593/2018	Surinder Kumar / UT, Admn. & others	Building Violations in DU No. 2167, MIG, Sector 45-C, Chd.	SLO/Arjun Sharma	19 Feb 2020	Building Violations in DU No. 2167, MIG, Sector 45-C, Chd. CWP under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India issuance of a writ of certiorari quashing order no. 42 dated 18.02.2016 being illegal and without authority of law and in violation of section 4 of the Capital of Punjab (Development & Regulations) Act, 1952	No - Comments supplied to the Advocate

3	CWP/37168/2019	Prabha Sharma & 194 others / Chief Admn. UT, Chd. & others.	(Enforcement) (For quashing the policy/order no. 59 dt. 15.02.2019 issued by the respondents " petition filed by the residents of Sector 41-A, 45-A, 40-C etc. Chandigarh)	SLO/VISHAL SODHI	19 Feb 2020	CM-752-CWP-2020 This is an application for impleading by the applicant who are residents of the ground floors. A query has been put to the learned counsel for the applicant whether any violation has been committed by the said applicants, he prays for some time to clarify. A short affidavit in this regard be filed well in time before the next date of hearing. Main case To be heard along with CWP-3349-2018 on 19.2.2020.	No - Comments supplied to the Advocate
4	CWP/24137/2019	Satpal Singh / UT, Chandigarh & others.	(Oustees Matter) Petitioner filed by the petitioner for quashing the clause (VII) of "263 Flats for Oustees of UT, Chandigarh on lease hold basis free hold as is under the Chd. Allotment of DUs of the Chandigarh Scheme 1996" framed on 30.01.2017 being illegal, arbitrary, discriminatory, irrational and has no nexus with the objective to be achieved and further requested to allot H.No. 2050-E, Sector 63, Chandigarh, which was allotted to the petitioner in the draw of lots held on 30.10.2017.	SLO/Arjun Sharma	19 Feb 2020	Adjourned to 19.02.2020.	No - Comments supplied to the Advocate
5	CR/2143/2019	Ashwani Kumar Sharma / Sanjay Kumar Sharma & Ors	Civil Revision for setting aside the order dated 09.05.2018 passed by the Court of Sh. Kushal Singla Civil Judge (Jr.Divn.) Chandigarh whereby the application under Section 10 of CPC for stay of the suit has been dismissed on wholly erroneous considerations. Interse dispute between the family and no direct claim has been sought from the Chandigarh Housing Board, Chandigarh being proforma party.(DU No. 956 Dhanas)	SLO/Anhad Singh Miglani	19 Feb 2020	Arguments	Not Required / Being Civil Revision

6	CWP/10346/2019	Babu Lal & Others / U.T., Chandigarh & Others	Civil Writ Petition filed for quashing the action of the respondents whereby, the names of the petitioners have not been included in the list of residents of Notified Industrial Area, Labour Colony No.5 Burail, Chandigarh for allotment of flat under the scheme (The Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006) dated 06.11.2006 as the petitioners are having their valid voter identity card prepared by the Election Department, but despite that their names have not been included in the list of residents of the notified Burail Labour Colony No.5, for allotment of the flats under the above said scheme. Such action of the respondent is discriminatory and in violative of Articles 14 & 16 of the Constitution of India. AND 3. Further for directing the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioners, for allotment of flat, in the light of Clause No.3 & 4 of the Notification No.11/6/106-UTFI(2)-2009/7519 dated 09.11.2009 issued by the respondents and include the names of the petitioners in the list of residents, eligible for allotment of flat in the notified Industrial Area, Labour Colony No.5, Burail, Chandigarh under the above said scheme. AND 4. For directing the respondents to consider and decide the legal notice dated 07.01.2019 in the light of judgement dated	SLO/INDRESH GOEL	19 Feb 2020	No order	Yes / 22 Aug 2019
7	CWP/25743/2018	Surinder Pal kochar & Another / U.T, Chandigarh & other	(Oustees Matter) CWP filed for quashing inaction of the authorities in illegally rejecting the claim of the petitioner for the grant of plot being an oustee in violation of the order passed by the Honâ€™ble High Court dated 28.09.2015 directing the Chandigarh Housing Board to consider the claim but the same has been rejected by the authority as no fresh application was submitted though there were no orders by the Honâ€™ble Court for the submitting the fresh application by the petitioner.(Oustees Scheme Case)	SLO/SHEKHAR VERMA	19 Feb 2020	Reply on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3 has been filed today in the Court today and the same is taken on record. Copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner. Adjourned to 19.02.2020.	Yes / 01 Oct 2019

8	CWP/10997/2018	Hardeep Singh / Union Territory Chandigarh & Others	(Oustees Matter) CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing the order dated 12.09.2017 passed by the Respondent No.3 whereby the application submitted by the petitioner For Allotment of LIG Flat under "Oustees Scheme 2017" of U.T., Chandigarh on lease hold basis/free hold basis under the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling Units of the Oustees of Chandigarh Scheme, 1996" has been rejected by the Property Allotment Committee/Respondent NO.4 to the effect that the land was acquired under joint khata and the same is illegally arbitrary and in violation of the Judgement dated 01.10.2010 passed by the full bench of this High Court. Further for directing the respondents to consider the application of the petitioner for the allotment of LIG Flat in Chandigarh to the petitioner in respect of application submitted being oustee as per the scheme of U.T., Chandigarh And further prayed that during the pendency of the writ petition, one Flat of LIG Flat may kindly be kept reserved and the same may not be allotted to any person till the matter is decided by this Hon'ble High Court.	SLO/SHEKHAR VERMA	19 Feb 2020	Adjourned to 19.02.2020. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected cases.	Yes / 08 Jan 2019
---	----------------	---	---	-------------------	-------------	--	-------------------

9	CWP/10992/2018	Surjit Singh / Union Territory, Chandigarh & Others	(Oustees Matter) CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing the order dated 07.11.2017 passed by the Respondent No.3 whereby the application submitted by the petitioner For Allotment of MIG (2 Bed Room) Flat under "Oustees Scheme 2017" of U.T., Chandigarh on lease hold basis/free hold basis under the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling Units of the Oustees of Chandigarh Scheme, 1996" has been rejected by the Property Allotment Committee/Respondent No.4 in violation of the Judgement dated 01.10.2010 passed by the full bench of this High Court. Further for directing the respondents to consider the application of the petitioner for the allotment of MIG (2 Bed Room) Flat in Chandigarh to the petitioner in respect of application submitted being oustee as per the scheme of U.T., Chandigarh And further prayed that during the pendency of the writ petition, one Flat of MIG (2 Bed Room) may kindly be kept reserved and the same may not be allotted to any person till the matter is decided by this Hon'ble High Court.	SLO/SHEKHAR VERMA	19 Feb 2020	Adjourned to 19.02.2020. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected cases.	Yes / 08 Jan 2019
10	CWP/11650/2018	T.S.Chaudhary & others / UT, Chd. & others	Residents of CHB dwelling units of various sectors challenged the order no. 342 dt. 26/12/2017 issued regarding imposition of penal charges for encroachments on incidental spaces, Govt. Land and for additional constructions which do not fulfill the conditions mentioned in need based changes order)	SLO/INDRESH GOEL	19 Feb 2020	No reply is forthcoming on record on behalf of UT Administration despite several adjournments. Respondent No.1 to make its stand clear whether it intends to file any reply. Advisor to UT Administration, Chandigarh shall depute a responsible officer to remain present in Court on the next date of hearing. To come up on 19.2.2020.	Yes / 23 Aug 2018

11	CWP/19472/2018	Balwinder Kumar / Union Territory, Chandigarh & Anr.	<p>(Oustees Matter) Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing the condition No.vii of para No. III of Chandigarh Housing Board Oustees Scheme 2017 dated 30.01.2017 laying down that in case of joint khata, the entitlement shall be on basis of the holding under the joint khata and co-sharers within the khata would not be taking into reckoning for the purpose of allotment of dwelling unit, it being contrary to law laid down by the Honâ€™ble Full Bench of this High Court in Jarnail Singhâ€™s case. Further for quashing the impugned letter dated 05.05.2017 whereby the claim of the petitioner has not been considered under oustee category on the ground that only one application can be considered against one oustee category certificate and only on co-oustee be authorized to apply, being contrary to law laid down in Jarnail Singh Vs State of Punjab. And further for direction to the respondent6s to allot the petitioner independent dwelling unit as per his entitlement in accordance with law as laid down in Jarnail Singh Vs State of Punjab</p>	SLO/SHEKHAR VERMA	19 Feb 2020	Adjourned to 19.02.2020. To be heard along with CWP No.26683 of 2017.	Yes / 12 Sep 2019
----	----------------	--	---	-------------------	-------------	---	-------------------

12	CWP/27520/2018	Surinder Singh / U.T., Chandigarh & Others	(Oustees Matter) Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing the Clause(vii) of '263 Flats for Oustee's of U.T. Chandigarh on lease hold/free hold basis under the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling Units of the Oustees of Chandigarh Scheme 1996" framed on 30.01.2017 being illegal, arbitrary, discriminatory, irrational and has no nexus with the objective to be achieved i.e. resettlement of land owners who have been rendered landless on account of acquisition of their land and every land owner is entitled to allotment of flat as per his/their legibility as each of the co-shares has independent right and title to enjoy the possession and the said clause does not take into consideration the rights of the petitioner as joint owners and violates the right of the petitioner as co-sharer and further declaring that the impugned letter/order dated 15.12.2017 issued by the joint name of Surinder Singh petitioner and Paramjit Singh S/o of Hazura Sing and the letter dated 11.10.2018 issued by the Respondent No.4 Accounts Officer, Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh is illegal as the same should have been issued in the name of Surinder Singh (Petitioner) alone as the application Form No.1184 was submitted only by the petitioner and further the letter dated 11.10.2018 directing the petitioner to	SLO/SHEKHAR VERMA	19 Feb 2020	Adjourned to 19.02.2020. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected cases.	Yes / 08 Jan 2019
13	CWP/42895/2018	Puran Mal Saini / UT, Admn. & others	For quashing the order dated 42 dt. 18.02.2016 being illegal and without authority of law and in violation of section 4 of capital of punjab (Development & regulations) Act, 1952 - DU No. 3067, Sector 46-C, Chd.	SLO/Gagandeep Singh Vasu	19 Feb 2020	Mr. H.S. Dhindsa, Advocate, has put in appearance on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3. To be heard along with CWP-3349-2018 on 19.02.2020.	Yes / 26 Jul 2019

14	CWP/3627/2018	Darshan Kumar / State of U.t. Chandigarh	(Oustees Matter) CWP filed for quashing the condition No.VII of para No.III of CHB Oustees Scheme 2017 dated 30.01.2017 laying down that in case of joint khata, the entitlement shall be on basis of the holding under the jhoint khata and co-sherers within the khata would not be taken into reckoning for the purpose of allotment of dwelling unit, being contrary to law laid down in Jarnail Singh case Full Bench of High COurt of Punjab and Haryana.And further for quashing the impugned letter dated 05.05.2017 whereby the claim of the petitioner has not been considered under Oustees Category on the gound that only one application can be considred against one oustee category certificate and only one co-oustee by authorized to apply, being contrary to law laid down in Jarnail Singh Vs State of Punjab.	SLO/SHEKHAR VERMA	19 Feb 2020	Adjourned to 19.02.2020. Reply on behalf of respondent- UT Chandigarh, if any, be filed meanwhile with an advance copy to counsel opposite.	Yes / 16 Jul 2018
----	---------------	--	---	-------------------	-------------	---	-------------------

15	CWP/1458/2018	Rachna Ram / U.T. Chandigarh & Ors	(Oustees Matter) Civil Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing Clause VIII of 263 Flats for Oustees of AU.T., Chandigarh on lease hold basis free4 hold as is under the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling Units of the Chandigarh Scheme 1996 framed on 30.01.2017 being illegal arbitrary, discriminatory, irrational and has no nexus with the objective to be achieved i.e. resettlement of land owners who have been rendered landless on account of acquisition of their land and every land owner is entitled to allotment of float as per his/their legibility as each of the co-shares has independent right and title to enjoy the possession and the said clause does not take into consideration the rights of the petitioner as joint owners and violates the right of the petitioner as co-sharer and further declaring that the impugned letter/ order dated 27.10.,2017 issued by the Accounts Officer Chandigarh Housing Board, Chandigarh, respondent No.3 rejecting the application form No. 1918 of the petitioner by the Property Allotment Committee Respondent No.4 for HIG Three Bed Rooms Flats under the 263 Flats for of UT Chandigarh on lease hold basis free hold basis under the Allotment of Dwelling Units of the Oustee of Chandigarh Scheme 1996 framed on 30.01.2017 is illegal null and void liable to be quashed and writ of mandamus	SLO/Arjun Sharma	19 Feb 2020	Adjourned to 19.02.2020. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected cases.	Yes / 08 Jan 2019
----	---------------	------------------------------------	--	------------------	-------------	--	-------------------

16	CWP/1516/2018	Ranga Ram / U.T., Chandigarh & Ors	(Oustees Matter) Civil Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing Clause VIII of 263 Flats for Oustees of AU.T., Chandigarh on lease hold basis free4 hold as is under the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling Units of the Chandigarh Scheme 1996 framed on 30.01.2017 being illegal arbitrary, discriminatory, irrational and has no nexus with the objective to be achieved i.e. resettlement of land owners who have been rendered landless on account of acquisition of their land and every land owner is entitled to allotment of float as per his/their legibility as each of the co-shares has independent right and title to enjoy the possession and the said clause does not take into consideration the rights of the petitioner as joint owners and violates the right of the petitioner as co-sharer and further declaring that the impugned letter/ order dated 27.10.,2017 issued by the Accounts Officer Chandigarh Housing Board, Chandigarh, respondent No.3 rejecting the application form No. 1918 of the petitioner by the Property Allotment Committee Respondent No.4 for HIG Three Bed Rooms Flats under the 263 Flats for of UT Chandigarh on lease hold basis free hold basis under the Allotment of Dwelling Units of the Oustee of Chandigarh Scheme 1996 framed on 30.01.2017 is illegal null and void liable to be quashed and writ of mandamus	SLO/Arjun Sharma	19 Feb 2020	Adjourned to 19.02.2020. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected cases.	Yes / 08 Jan 2019
17	CWP/3349/2018	Lt. Col. Harinder Kaur Kheterpal & Ors. / U.T., Chandigarh & Anothers	CWP filed by the petitioner alongwith 24 others for quashing the orders dated 18.02.2016 and order dated 26.12.2017 and consequently show cause notice for cancellation and Penal Compounding Charges notice regarding building violations in dwlling units of sector 39-B Chandigarh	SLO/INDRESH GOEL	19 Feb 2020	No reply is forthcoming on record on behalf of UT Administration despite several adjournments. Respondent No.1 to make its stand clear whether it intends to file any reply. Advisor to UT Administration, Chandigarh shall depute a responsible officer to remain present in Court on the next date of hearing. To come up on 19.2.2020.	Yes / 18 Apr 2018

18	CWP/25681/2017	Pritam Chand Dogra / U.T, Chandigarh & Ors	CWP filed by the petitioner for setting aside the impugned order dated 12.05.2016 containing directions to the petitioner purporting to be issued on the basis of impugned official order dated 30.03.2016 as both the above impugned orders are illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional being discriminatory and violation of Article 14 of the Constitution of India and result of colorable exercise of power since the same are against the notification dated 23.04.2014 published by respondent No.1 and also in violation of the order dated 16.05.2013 passed by the Honâ€™ble Division Bench of this Honâ€™ble Court in CWP No. 1331 of 2013 in case titled as Kamal Kumar Vs Union Territory, Chandigarh and another as well as also against the well settled law on probate/letter of Administration i.e. Civil Revision No.7513 of 2014 titled as Avtar Sigh Bains Vs Gurdev Kaur alias Debo Kaur and others wherein it has been held that the law relating to probate is not applicable to the Wills made by Hindus of Punjab and property situated in Punjab. 3. Further for issuing direction(s) to the respondent No.2 and 3 to transfer the ownership/title of the property bearing No.944, Housing Board Colony, Dhanas, Chandigarh in favour of the petitioner on the basis of un-registered Will dated 17.08.2012 executed in favour of the petitioner by deceased	SLO/Arjun Sharma	19 Feb 2020	Reply of respondents No.2 and 3 is already on record. Adjourned to 19.02.2020 for arguments.	Yes / 25 Apr 2018
----	----------------	---	---	------------------	-------------	--	-------------------

19	CWP/29637/2017	Krishan Kumar / Union Territory, Chandigarh & Others	(Oustees Matter) CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing condition/clause III (vii) of the "Chandigarh Housing Board Oustees Scheme-2017 and also the letter dated 27.10.2017 holding the petitioners not eligible for the allotment of a flat each under the Oustees Quota, the same being highly illegal, irrational, arbitrary, discriminatory, capricious, violative of Article 14 and 18 of the Constitution of India and right under the teeth of the judgement of Honâ€™ble Full Bench of this Honâ€™ble Court in the case of Jarnail Singh and others V State of Punjab and others (2011(1) RCR Civil 915) AND A writ mandamus directing the respondents to consider case of each of the petitioners for allotment of one flat under the "Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling Units to the Oustees of Chandigarh, Scheme 1996", as per their entitlement i.e. an HIG dwelling unit and till that time, further allotment of left out plots which were floated under the scheme for allotment to the oustees of UT Chandigarh or any allotment under the oustees category may kindly be stayed.	SLO/Arjun Sharma	19 Feb 2020	Adjourned to 19.02.2020. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected cases.	Yes / 16 May 2018
20	CWP/23681/2017	Anju Mittal / Union Territory Chandigarh & Others	(Oustees Matter) CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing impugned action dated 13.09.2017 holding the petitioner ineligible only on the ground that the land was acquired before 01.11.1966 while this benefit is being extended to all other oustees whose land was acquired and struck down the clause which restrict the benefit only to the oustees whose house was acquired being discriminatory and for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to consider the petitioner application form No.1519 for allotment of flat under Oustees Scheme 2017 (Three Bed Room) for the petitioner whose fatherâ€™s land was acquired for development of Phase-II of Chandigarh in the year 1964.	SLO/Arjun Sharma	19 Feb 2020	Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present case has wrongly been attached with other cases whereas there is no relevancy. Adjourned to 19.02.2020.	Yes / 26 Mar 2018

21	CWP/07440/2017	Surinder Pal Kochar / Chd. Admn. & Others	(Oustees Matter) Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing the scheme dated 30.01.2017 passed by CHB inviting applications for allotments of flat to the Oustees of UT, Chd. Under Self Financing Housing Schemes on Lease hold/Free hold basis under "Chandigarh Allotment of dwelling units to the Oustees of Chandigarh, Scheme 1996 in compliance of orders of High Court dt. 06.09.2012 and 05.12.2013 passed in various CWPs filed by Oustees of UT, Chd.	LO/SHEKHAR VERMA	19 Feb 2020	Written Statement on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 has been filed in the Court today and the same is taken on record. A copy thereof has been supplied to learned opposite counsel. Adjourned to 19.02.2020.	Yes / 05 May 2018
22	CWP/017382/2017	Wg.Cdr.Arun Kumar (Retd.) & Others / U.T., Chandigarh through its Advisor, Chandigarh & Ors	Civil Writ Petition filed for quashing order No.42 dated 18.02.2016 being illegal and without authority of law and in violation of Section 4 of Capital of Punjab(Development and Regulations) Act, 1952 and further declaring the Notice Annexure P-17 issued to one of the petitioner and similar notices issued to the other petitioners as illegal and without any authority of law.	SLO/Arjun Sharma	19 Feb 2020	No reply is forthcoming on record on behalf of UT Administration despite several adjournments. Respondent No.1 to make its stand clear whether it intends to file any reply. Advisor to UT Administration, Chandigarh shall depute a responsible officer to remain present in Court on the next date of hearing. To come up on 19.2.2020.	Yes / 03 Apr 2018

23	CWP/14572/2017	GOPAL SINGH & ORS / CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND ORS	(Oustees Matter) CWP filed by the petitioners for quashing the advertisement of CHB Oustees Scheme-2017 qua clause No.1 that the said scheme is the last and final opportunity to such oustees of U.T., Chandigarh for allotment of flats/dwelling units and that no claim of oustees whatsoever shall be entertained thereafter, whereas there were 643 families (at the time of acquisition), whose constructed houses were acquired as part of abadi deh land, during the acquisition of eleven villages for development of Phase-2 of the city of Chandigarh and besides there were families whose land was acquired during the Phase-2 of acquisition. And for directing the respondents to allow all the families of the legal heirs of the oustees to be considered as eligible candidate for the said scheme. Further for directing the respondents to allot flats/dwelling units to the petitioners/LRs of the oustees at per 1965 rates and to frame a comprehensive scheme for rehabilitation of oustees of Chandigarh (Phase-II)/petitioners within a specific time before issuing any other Housing Scheme and for directing the respondents to reserve/allot plots/dwelling units to such oustees/petitioners whose villages i.e. houses/land were acquired for development of Chandigarh at the prices prevailing at the time of	SLO/SHEKHAR VERMA	19 Feb 2020	Adjourned to 19.02.2020.	Yes / 07 May 2018
24	RSA/1773/2017	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD / GIRIJA S. NAIR	Regular Second Appeal before Hon'ble High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh against order dated 21.12.2016 passed by the Court of Sh. Balbir Singh, Distt. Judge, Chandigarh in the Civil Appeal No.388/2015 titled as Girija S. Nair Vs Chandigarh Housing Board. (One Bedroom Flat under Self Financing Housing Scheme-2006, Sector 49, Chandigarh.).	SLO/Akansha Sawhney,	19 Feb 2020	At the request of learned counsel for the respondent, adjourned to 19.02.2020.	Not Required / CHB has filed the Appeal so reply not required to be filed

25	CWP/26186/2017	Ajit Singh / U.T., Chandigarh & Others	<p>(Oustees Matter) CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing the impugned order dated 07.11.2017 issued by the Accounts Officer, Chandigarh Housing Board, Respondent No.3 rejecting the application Form No.1101 of the petitioner by the Property Allotment Committee Respondent No.4 for MIG(Two Bed Rooms) Flats under the ₹263 Flats for oustees of U.T., Chandigarh on lease hold basis/free hold basis under the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling units of the Oustees of Chandigarh, Scheme 1996 and writ of mandamus directing the respondent No.3 & 4 to allot the MIG (Two Bed Rooms) Flats, in Sector 51-A, Chandigarh as action of the of Respondent No.3 & 4 rejecting the application Form No.1101 of the petitioner is illegal, null and void, discriminatory and against the scheme and pass any other direction or order as this Honâ€™ble Court may deemed fit in the circumstances of the case.. Also it is further prayed that the during the pendency of the writ petition, one flat of MIG (Two Bed Rooms) Flats may kindly be kept reserved and the same may not be allotted to any person till the matter is decided by this Honâ€™ble Court.</p>	SLO/Arjun Sharma	19 Feb 2020	Adjourned to 19.02.2020. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected cases.	Yes / 26 Oct 2018
----	----------------	--	---	------------------	-------------	--	-------------------

26	CWP/27481/2017	Tarsem Singh / U.T., Chandigarh & Ors	(Oustees Matter) CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing Clause (vii) of 263 Flats for Oustees of U.T., Chandigarh on lease hold basis/free hold basis under the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling Units of the Oustees of Chandigarh Scheme 1996 framed on 30.01.2017 being illegal, arbitrary, discriminatory, irrational and has no nexus with the objective to be achieved i.e. resettlement of land owners who have been rendered landless on account of acquisition of their land and every land owner is entitled to allotment of flat as per his/their legibility as each of the co-shares has independent right and title to enjoy the possession and the said clause does not take into consideration the rights of the petitioner as joint owners and violates the right of the petitioner as co-sharer and further declaring that the impugned letter/order dated 07.11.2017 issued by the Accounts Officer, Chandigarh Housing Board, Respondent No.3 rejecting the application Form No.1906 of the petitioner by the Property Allotment Committee Respondent No.4 for MIG(Two Bed Rooms) Flats under the 263 Flats for oustees of U.T., Chandigarh on lease hold basis/free hold basis under the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling units of the Oustees of Chandigarh, Scheme 1996 framed on 30.01.2017 is illegal, null and void and liable to be quashed and writ of mandamus directing the respondents No.3 &	SLO/Arjun Sharma	19 Feb 2020	Adjourned to 19.02.2020. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected cases.	Yes / 08 Jan 2019
27	CWP/26683/2017	Som Nath / U.T., Chandigarh & Others	(Oustees Matter) CWP filed by the petitioner for directing the respondents to consider the petitioner as "Oustee" as per Eligibility and Procedure Clause III of the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling Units to the oustees of Chandigarh Scheme 1996. Further for quashing the eligibility and procedure clause III (vii) of the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling units to the Oustees of Chandigarh Scheme 1996. Further for quashing the impugned letters dated 03.08.2017 and 31.10.2017 and further for directing the respondents to forthwith allot flat to the petitioner as per the Scheme in "Oustee" quota.	SLO/Arjun Sharma	19 Feb 2020	Adjourned to 19.02.2020. Reply on behalf of respondent- UT Chandigarh, if any, be filed meanwhile with an advance copy to counsel opposite.	Yes / 30 Jul 2018

28	CWP/29346/2019	Mohammad Nazir Hussain / Chandigarh Housing Board & others.	Allotment of Flat in lieu of J.No. 695, Colony No. 5, Burail, Sector 45, Chandigarh.	SLO/RAHUL DEV SINGH	20 Feb 2020	No order.	Reply hand over to the Advocate
29	CWP/8311/2016	Rakesh Garg / Chandigarh Housing Board	CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing the impugned order dated 31.03.2016 ordering Premature Retirement at the age of 49 years as a measure of punishment , stigmatic and punitive in nature, contrary to law; and for retraining the respondents from compulsory retiring the petitioner, as a measure of punishment, except in due course of law by holding an enquiry and granting an opportunity of hearing to lead his part of the defence evidence in such proceedings, in accordance with law; and further by passing an ad-interim order, the operation of the impugned show cause notice dated 31.03.2016, the virtual orders of premature retirement be stayed, during the pendency of the writ petition.	SLO/Sanjeev Sharma Sr. Counsel	22 Feb 2020	List on 22.02.2020. A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of other connected cases.	Yes / 06 Jun 2016
30	CWP/8313/2016	Vijay Kumar / Chandigarh Housing Board & Others	CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing the impugned order dated 31.03.2016 ordering Premature Retirement at the age of 46 years as a measure of punishment , stigmatic and punitive in nature, contrary to law; and for retraining the respondents from compulsory retiring the petitioner, as a measure of punishment, except in due course of law by holding an enquiry and granting an opportunity of hearing to lead his part of the defence evidence in such proceedings, in accordance with law; and further by passing an ad-interim order, the operation of the impugned show cause notice dated 31.03.2016, the virtual orders of premature retirement be stayed, during the pendency of the writ petition.	SLO/Sanjeev Sharma Sr. Counsel	22 Feb 2020	List on 22.02.2020. A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of other connected cases.	Yes / 06 Jun 2016

31	CWP/8360/2016	Vijay Puri / Chandigarh Housing Board	CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing the impugned show cause notice dated 31.03.2016 ordering Premature Retirement at the age of 54 years as a measure of punishment , stigmatic and punitive in nature, contrary to law; and for retraining the respondents from compulsory retiring the petitioner, as a measure of punishment, except in due course of law by holding an enquiry and granting an opportunity of hearing to lead his part of the defence evidence in such proceedings, in accordance with law; and further by passing an ad-interim order, the operation of the impugned show cause notice dated 31.03.2016, the virtual orders of premature retirement be stayed, during the pendency of the writ petition. (Service Matter)	SLO/Sanjeev Sharma Sr. Counsel	22 Feb 2020	List on 22.02.2020. A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of other connected cases.	Yes / 06 Jun 2016
32	CWP/10283/2016	Anjana Jindal / Chandigarh Housing Board	CWP No.9276/2016 titled as Rajesh Kumar Vs Chandigarh Housing Board, has supplied the copy of CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing the impugned show cause notice dated 31.03.2016, not to prematurely retire the petitioner as a measure of punishment, except in due course of law by holding inquiry and affording opportunity of hearing to her and further by passing an ad-interim order, the operation of the impugned show cause notice dated 31.03.2016, the virtual orders of premature retirement be stayed, during the pendency of the writ petition. (Service Matter)	SLO/SHEKHAR VERMA	22 Feb 2020	List on 22.02.2020. A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of other connected cases.	Yes / 06 Jun 2016

33	CWP/8316/2016	Urwashi Kaul / Chandigarh Housing Board and others	CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing the impugned order dated 31.03.2016 ordering Premature Retirement at the age of 46 years as a measure of punishment , stigmatic and punitive in nature, contrary to law; and for retraining the respondents from compulsory retiring the petitioner, as a measure of punishment, except in due course of law by holding an enquiry and granting an opportunity of hearing to lead his part of the defence evidence in such proceedings, in accordance with law; and further by passing an ad-interim order, the operation of the impugned show cause notice dated 31.03.2016, the virtual orders of premature retirement be stayed, during the pendency of the writ petition.	SLO/Sanjeev Sharma Sr. Counsel	22 Feb 2020	List on 22.02.2020. A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of other connected cases.	Yes / 23 Sep 2016
----	---------------	--	--	--------------------------------	-------------	--	-------------------

ADVANCE STATUS OF STATE COMMISSION CASES FROM 17.02.2020 TO 22.02.2020

SNo	Case No.	Petitioner/ Respondent	Brief Subject Matter/Prayer	Nodal Officer / Advocate	Next Date	Status	Reply Filed
1	APP/158/2019	Vijay Kumar / Ministry of Home Affair & Ors.	Civil Appeal filed against judgment dated 10.5.2019 passed by State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, Chandigarh and prayed in the interest of justice regarding refund of GPA transfer fee under Tatkal Service in respect of DU No. 1022, HIG-I, Sector 39-B, Chandigarh.)	SLO/RAHUL DEV SINGH	20 Feb 2020	Arguments	Not Required / No reply required being an appeal matter or at this stage.

ADVANCE STATUS OF DISTRICT COURT CASES FROM 17-02-2020 to 22-02-2020

SNo	Case No.	Petitioner/ Respondent	Brief Subject Matter/Prayer	Nodal Officer / Advocate	Next Date	Status	Reply Filed
1	C.S./1670/2017	Ram Sukh / Sarju Parsad & Ors.	Civil Suit has been filed by the plaintiff regarding removal of violations of H.No. 1057, Indira Colony, Manimajra.	SLO/ANANT PAL SINGH	17 Feb 2020	Argument.	Yes / 30 Jul 2018
2	C.S./2054/2017	Rajinder Kumar & Ors. / Shobna & Ors.	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff restraining transfer of DU No. 5189-B, LIG, Sector 38(W), Chandigarh.	SLO/SARBjit KAUR	17 Feb 2020	Consideration.	Yes / 06 Nov 2018
3	C.S./1579/2019	HARI NATH / GP & ORS.	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding allotment of Small Flat/Dwelling Unit in lieu of J.No. 55, Block-F Colony No. 4, Indl. Area, Chandigarh.	SLO/SARBjit KAUR	17 Feb 2020	Filing of reply.	No

4	C.S./1580/2019	SIMRAN SINGH / GP & ORS.	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding allotment of Small Flat/Dwelling Unit in lieu of J.No. 81, Block-E Colony No. 4, Indl. Area, Chandigarh.	SLO/SARBJIT KAUR	17 Feb 2020	Filing of reply.	No
5	EX.APP/220/2019	MANDEEP MAND / GP & ORS.	Civil Misc. Application filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of flat on the basis of WILL in respect of DU No. 5171/3, MHC, Manimajra.	SLO/SUSHILA BHARDWAJ DR.	17 Feb 2020	Consideration.	No
6	C.S./826/2019	Meenu Dhawan / Chairman, CHB, Chandigarh & Anr.	Suit filed by the plaintiff for declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is owner to the extent of 50% share in DU No. 2313, Sector 45-C, Chandigarh with consequential relief directing the def. No. 1 (CHB) to transfer 50% share of the said DU.	SLO/Shalini Bagdi,Adv/CHB	17 Feb 2020	Replication.	Yes / 18 Sep 2019
7	C.S./1272/2019	Lajo & Anr. / Lachhmi Devi & Ors.	Civil Suit filed by the seeking not to issue NDC in respect of transfer of DU No. 1722 Site & Services, Daddu Majra Colony, Chandigarh.	SLO/Harinder Kumar Aurora	17 Feb 2020	Notice & reply by Ors. Defendants.	Yes / 21 Nov 2019
8	C.S./191/2020	Naresh Kumar / Satish Kumar & Anr.	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of DU No.3282/1, HB Colony, Dhanas.	SLO/ISHAAN DOGRA	17 Feb 2020	Case Filed	No
9	C.S./837/2014	Santosh Rani / Silverton Society & Anr.	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding strict compliance of the award dated 10.12.1996 read with decree dated 4.9.2008.	SLO/VIKAS JAIN	18 Feb 2020	Consideration.	Yes / 11 Jul 2018
10	C.S./2324/2019	Vijay Kumari / Secy.CHB & Anr.	Detailed report regarding Violations in respect of LIG Flats, Sector 47-C, Chandigarh - Allotment of DU No. 2905/1, S/47-C, Chandigarh.	SLO/Siddharth Gulati	18 Feb 2020	Filing of reply.	No
11	C.S./2302/2015	Sairab Usmani & Ors. / Abdul Shaheed Usmani & Ors.	Civil Suit filed by the petitioner regarding transfer of allotment of DU No. 431, Sector 45-A, Chandigarh.	LO/SAJAL KOSAR	18 Feb 2020	Arguments	Yes / 08 Jul 2019
12	C.S./642/2017	Anu Verma / EO & CHB	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of 50% share in respect of DU No. 2768/2, Sector 49, Chandigarh.	LO/SHIV PARTAP SINGH MANN	18 Feb 2020	Consideration.	Yes / 06 Sep 2017
13	APPEAL/194/2017	Ram Milan / Chairman, CHB	Civil Appeal filed by the Appellant seeking acceptance the payment & delivery of possession of one room tenement/site No. 811, Indl. Area, Phase-I, Colony No. 4, Chandigarh.	LO/Rajni Narula	18 Feb 2020	Arguments.	Yes / 04 Oct 2017

14	APPEAL/575/2018	CHB / Jai Bhushan Malik	Application filed by CHB against award dated 11.11.2017 passed by Sh. H.R.Gangar, IAS(Rt.) Providing water supply lines, sewer lines, storm water drainage system Boosting Station and Rain Harvesting Structures for 8448, Small Flats at Dhanas, Chandigarh(Arb/796/2018).	LO/GEETA GULATI	18 Feb 2020	Consideration	Yes / 03 Jul 2018
15	EX.APP/245/2018	Jai Bhushan Malik / CHB	Execution Petition U/o 21 Rule 11 read with Section 36 of the Arbitration & Conciliation Act 1996 for award dated 11.11.2017 passed by Sh. H.R.Gangar, IAS(Rt.) Sole Arbitrator in respect of providing water supply lines, sewer lines, storm water drainage system Boosting Station and Rain Harvesting Structures for 8448, Small Flats at Dhanas, Chandigarh.	SLO/GEETA GULATI	18 Feb 2020	Consideration.	Yes / 23 Feb 2018
16	C.S./736/2018	Rajwant Kaur / Sukhdeep Singh & Ors.	Civil Suit has been filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of DU No. 3194/46-C, Chandigarh on fraud documents.	SLO/SEEMA	18 Feb 2020	Arguments.	Yes / 03 Jul 2019
17	C.S./438/2018	Des Raj / CHB & Anr.	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff -disputes between the parties regarding allotment DU No. 325 Sector 45-A, Chandigarh.	SLO/SEEMA	19 Feb 2020	Consideration.	Yes / 03 Jul 2018
18	C.S./1629/2017	Lakhbir Kaur & Anr. / Jagdip Kaur & Ors.	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of share of DU No. 1447-B, Sector 61, Chandigarh & also suit for permanent Injunction restraining defendants from alienating by way of sale, exchange gift, mortgage or any other mode of any specific portion partition.	SLO/SEEMA	19 Feb 2020	Arguments	Yes / 08 Jul 2019
19	APPLICATION/400/2016	Jagdeep Kaur / Lakhbir Kaur & Ors.	Application for restoration of civil suit No. 2044/2015 in its original number in respect of possession of Flat No. 1447-B, Sector 61, Chandigarh on the basis of registered WILL dated 4.3.2011	LO/RAJAT NAKRA	19 Feb 2020	Arguments.	Yes / 08 Jul 2019
20	C.S./559/2019	Gurinder Singh / Chandigarh Housing Board	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff restraining CHB from demolishing the need based changes/constn. raised in DU No. 3208/2, Sector 44-D, Chandigarh.	SLO/SUSHILA BHARDWAJ DR.	19 Feb 2020	Consideration.	Yes / 09 May 2019
21	APPEAL/154/2017	Onkar Sharma / Leelawati & Ors.	Civil Appeal against the judgment and decree dated 8.2.2017 passed by Sh. Palwinder Singh, CJJD whereby suit filed by the appellant has been dismissed in respect of transfer on the basis of Agreement to Sell in respect of DU No. 1677, EWS, Sector 29-B, Chandigarh.	SLO/Vinay Kashyap	19 Feb 2020	Arguments	No

22	C.S./47/2020	Suresh Kumar / Nirmala Devi & Ors.	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of 1/5th share of DU No. 564-A, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh on the basis of petitioner is Class-I Legal Heir.	SLO/DEEPAK BAJAJ	19 Feb 2020	Case Filed	No
23	C.S./30/2020	Varun Gupta / Nishi Tuli & Ors.	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer on the basis of agreement to Sell in respect of DU No. 2233-A,2 BHK, Sub Scheme-B, Sector 63, Chandigarh.	SLO/GEETA GULATI	20 Feb 2020	Case Filed	No
24	ARBITRATION COURT /1728/2019	B.L.Mehta Constn. Pvt. Ltd. / CHB	Arbitration case filed by M/s B.L.Mehta Constn. Pvt. Ltd. against Chandigarh Housing Board before Sh. Rakesh Kumar Garg, Sole Arbitrator in respect of Constn. of 1728 Small Flat Group-II, Dhanas.	SLO/VISHAL SODHI	20 Feb 2020	Consideration	Yes / 14 Nov 2019
25	C.S./2777/2018	Shiv Shankar Singh / Hargovind Singh & Anr. .	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff restraining defendants from selling, alienating, transferring and from further letting out or parting with the possession directly or indirectly for further creating any kind of charge, encumbrance on the suit property of DU No. 3424, Sector 47-D, Chandigarh.	SLO/SARBJIT KAUR	20 Feb 2020	Consideration.	Yes / 27 Nov 2018
26	ARBITRATION COURT /1600/2019	M/s B.L.Mehta Constn. Pvt. Ltd / CHB	Arbitration case filed by M/s B.L.Mehta Constn. Pvt. Ltd. against Chandigarh Housing Board before Ms. Raj Rahul Garg, Sole Arbitrator in respect of Constn. of 1600 Small Flat Group-IV, Dhanas.	SLO/VISHAL SODHI	20 Feb 2020	Admission & Denial.	Yes / 24 Jan 2020
27	C.S./1987/2016	Geeta / EO & Anr.	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff seeking possession in lieu of tin shed No. 7000, Sector 56, Chandigarh.	LO/SARBJIT KAUR	20 Feb 2020	Consideration.	Yes / 01 Oct 2018
28	C.S./827/2015	Dr. Vasdev Singh / Inderdev Singh & Ors.	Regarding allotment of flat (PDL matter)	LO/PREETI NIGAM	20 Feb 2020	Ors. Def. evidence.	Yes / 04 Oct 2017
29	C.S./1991/2019	Kirpal Singh Bedi / Jaspal Kaur	No detail. Only summon recd.	SLO/SHIV PARTAP SINGH MANN	21 Feb 2020	Fresh	No

ADVANCE STATUS OF LOK ADALAT CASES FROM 17-02-2020 to 22-02-2020

SNo	Case No.	Petitioner/ Respondent	Brief Subject Matter/Prayer	Nodal Officer / Advocate	Next Date	Status	Reply Filed
1	APPLICATION /1610/2018	Dr. Nirban Singh / CM, CHB & others	Transfer of Dwelling Unit No. 1469/2, HIG, Sector 43-B, Chandigarh, in the name of applicant Dr. Nirban Singh.	SLO/Alankar Narula	17 Feb 2020	Consideration.	Yes / 14 Dec 2018
2	APPLICATION /454/2019	Smt. Tripta Rani / Chairman, Chandigarh Housing Board & others.	Complaint against the respondents for rendering deficient services by issuing resumption notice - DU No. 5905, MHC, Manimajra, UT, Chandigarh.	SLO/Harinder Kumar Aurora	19 Feb 2020	Detail.	Yes / 15 Nov 2019