	PREV. WEEK LIST - HIGH COURT CASES (09.03.2020 To 14.03.2020)											
S. No	Case No.	Petitioner/ Respondent	Brief Subject Matter/Prayer	Nodal Officer / Advocate	Prev. Week	Next Date	Status	Reply Filed				
_	CWP/8316/2018	Pravasi Bhalai Sangathan / Union of INdia & Others	Civil Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for asking the respondents No.5 to 9 to show cause as to how and why they have completely ignored the principles of law laid down with regard to the correct legal process for the issuance of notices by CHB respondent No. 5 to 9 for issuance of notices for eviction/ resumption/ cancellation in complete disregard of the rules and regulation laid down under "The capital of Punjab (Development and Regulation) Act, 1952.	SLO/SHEKHAR VERMA	10 Mar 2020	27.04.2020	On the ground of ill-health of Mr. Rajinder Singla, learnedcounsel for the petitioner(s) in CWP Nos.8311, 8313 and 8360 of 2016, thematter is adjourned.Post on 27.04.2020.	Yes / 14 Feb 2019				
2	CWP/17769/2015	ARSHDEEP SINGH SIVIA AND OTHERS / THE CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD & OTHERS	Writ Petition filed by the subject cited petitioner for quashing of order dated 08.04.2015 endorsed on 16.04.2015 and order dated 11.08.2015 passed by the Respondent No.1 & 2 i.e. CHB and Registrar Cooperative Societies-cum-Deputy Commissioner, U.T., Chandigarh.	SLO/DEEPALI PURI	10 Mar 2020	07.05.2020	No order.	Yes / 21 Sep 2016				

3	CWP/06502/2018	Dinesh Kothiyal / U.T.,	writ petition filed for quashing the	SLO/ASHISH RAWAL	11 Mar	Allowed - Order not uploaded	Yes / 19 Jul 2018
		Chandigarh & Others	impugned order dated 12.09.2017 and for		2020		
			issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus				
			directing the official respondents to allot				
			the small flat under the Chandigarh Small				
			Flat Schem-2006 for which the petitioner is				
			legally entitled but the claim has been				
			rejected on illegal grounds. 3. Further for				
			directions to the respondents to make the				
			allotment of dwelling unit to the petitioner				
			as having been allotted to the similar				
			situated persons.				

4	CWP/5424/2018	Sudhir Kumar Bhakri /	Civil Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for	SLO/Arjun Sharma	12 Mar	01.09.2020	No order.	Yes / 22 Aug
		Chanigarh Housing Board &	quashing the office order dated 28.02.2018		2020			2019
		Others	and Resolution dated 27.02.2018 against					
			Table Agenda Point No.409.1 passed in					
			409th meeting held on 27.02.2018 to the					
			extent that the Chandigarh Housing Board					
			has reso9lved that the extensions in service					
			beyond the age of superannuation to its					
			employees will not be granted extension					
			already granted to its employees will be					
			treated as revoked except those					
			employees, whose extensions are ongoing,					
			who shall be relieved and retired, on					
			attaining the ages of 59 or 60 years as the					
			case may be. AND Further for directions to					
			the respondents to restore the office order					
			dated 12.02.2018 whereby the accepting					
			the Option dated 30.10.2017 submitted by					
			the petitioner to continue in service for first					
			years beyond 28.02.2018 was accepted and					
			the petitioner was allowed to continue in					
			service for a period of one (1) more year i.e.					
			up to 28.02.2019.					

5	CWP/4691/2017	Satbir Singh & Ors /	(Oustees Matter) Writ petition filed by the	SLO/Sanjeev Sharma Sr.	12 Mar	07.05.2020	No order.	Yes / 19 Feb
		Chandigarh Administration &	petitioners for quashing the	Counsel	2020			2018
		Ors. (Oustees Matter)	"Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling Units	5				
			to the Oustees of Chandigarh Scheme,					
			1996†issued by Respondent No.3 i.e. CHB					
			vide which applications have been invited					
			upto 15.03.2017 for allotment of flats to					
			the eligible oustees of U.T., Chandigarh					
			under Self Financing Housing Scheme on					
			lease hold/free hold basis, the same being					
			directly in conflict of the order/judgement					
			dated 05.12.2013 passed by the Hon'ble					
			High Court in CWP No.14300 of 1996 and					
			connected 46 writ petitions. AND also a					
			writ of Mandamus directing the					
			respondents to allot dwelling units to the					
			petitioner's strictly in compliance of the					
			order/judgement dated 05.12.2013 passed					
			by the Hon'ble High Court in CWP					
			No.14300 of 1996 and connected 46 writ					
			petitions.					
6	RSA/3224/2014	Narinder Pal Kaur / Registrar	Consider the name of the appellant for	SLO/Sandeep Singh	12 Mar	No	further date of listing	No - Comments
		of Coop. Societies & others.	allotment of flat in the Chandigarh Tenants		2020			have been
			Coop. H.B.Society					supplied to the
								Counsel
7	CWP/2487/2020	The Custom & Central Excise	Quashing the impugned notification dated	SLO/VISHAL SODHI	13 Mar	11.05.2020	No order.	Final Reply has
		Employees Coop. H.B.First	14.11.2018 and directed the respondents		2020			been handed
		Society Ltd. Chandigarh / UT,	to allow the general bodies of the Societies					over to the
		Chd. & others.	to frame their own rules for transfer of the					Advocate
			share/dwelling units of their members.					

8	CWP/2990/2020	The Super Coop. House Building First Society Ltd. / Chd. Admn. & others.	Quashing impugned notification/scheme dated 14.11.2018 by which the respondents are insisting upon another Conveyance Deed term as sub Conveyance Deed with the members of the Coop. Society after the Conveyance Deed with the Chandigarh Admn. already stand registered	SLO/VISHAL SODHI	13 Mar 2020	11.05.2020	No order.	No - Fresh Matter
9	CWP/36219/2019	Surinder Kumar / UOI & others	UT Employees Scheme 2008 (The Petitioner filed the petition with pray i) issue order to the respondents to allot the flats to the petitioners under UT Employees Self Financing Scheme of 2008 within a time bound frame in pursuance to draw of lots held on 04.11.2010 and to allot the flats on the same price as depicted in the scheme II) quashing the public notice dated 22.06.2019 vide which the respondents have put an excessive and exorbitant rate at current price without any reasonable nexus with the scheme so floated III) Pass order for staying the operation of the impugned public notice dated 22.06.2019 qua the part of demand of exorbitant/excessive rate of flats or in the alternative directions be issued to the respondents to accept the old rates subject to final decision of the writ petition in the interest of justice).		13 Mar 2020	01.04.2020	Case has been called out twice but none has appeared for the petitioner. In the interest of justice, adjourned to 1.4.2020.	over to the

10	CWP/1810/2020	Liaqat Ali Khan / Chd. Admn. &	(Society Matter) Quashing the impugned	SLO/VISHAL SODHI	13 Mar	11.05.2020	To be listed with	No - Comments
		others.	notification dated 14.11.2018 passed by the		2020		CWP/12512/2019 - Learned	have been
			Chd. Admn – Chandigarh Scheme for				counsel appearing for the	supplied to the
			transfer of flats/DUs in the Coop.				U.T. Chandigarh submits that	Counsel
			H.B.Societies 2018 – The Pink Rose Coop.				majority of the members of	
			H.B.First Society Ltd.				Society have already got	
							registered with Union	
							Territory.	
							In these bunch of petition(s)	
							reply has been filed on	
							behalf of the	
							U.T. Administration in most	
							of the cases. Learned	
							counsel appearing for the	
							U.T.	
							Chd., prays for time to file	
							reply in rest of the cases.	
							Learned counsel appearing	
							for the respondent-Housing	
							Board	
							submits that short reply shall	
							be filed in those cases where	
							it has not been filed as	
							yet.	
							Entire pleading be	
							completed within six weeks.	
							To come up on 11.05.2020.	
11	CWP/2599/2020	Neha Sharma & others / Chd.	(Society Matter) Quashing the impugned	SLO/VISHAL SODHI	13 Mar	11.05.2020	Notice of motion for	Final Reply has
	,,	Admn. & others.	notification dated 14.11.2018 and directed	,	2020		11.05.2020.	been handed
			the respondents to allow the general					over to the
			bodies of the Societies to frame their own					Advocate
			rules for transfer of the share/dwelling					
			units of their members					
L					1			L

12	CWP/018452/2019	Brij Mohan Maini / UT, Admn.	CWP filed for quashing the provisions as	SLO/VISHAL SODHI	13 Mar	11.05.2020	To be listed with	Final Reply has
		& others.	contained in para (4) (i) and para 4 (ii) of		2020		CWP/12512/2019 - Learned	been handed
			impugned notification dated 14.11.2018				counsel appearing for the	over to the
			vide which petitioner (Member of Coop.				U.T. Chandigarh submits that	Advocate
			Society) has been asked to pay stamp duty				majority of the members of	
			again for issuing sub-conveyance deed to				Society have already got	
			him by 30.06.2019 being last date for the				registered with Union	
			same.				Territory.	
							In these bunch of petition(s)	
							reply has been filed on	
							behalf of the	
							U.T. Administration in most	
							of the cases. Learned	
							counsel appearing for the	
							U.T.	
							Chd., prays for time to file	
							reply in rest of the cases.	
							Learned counsel appearing	
							for the respondent-Housing	
							Board	
							submits that short reply shall	
							be filed in those cases where	
							it has not been filed as	
							yet.	
							Entire pleading be	
							completed within six weeks.	
							To come up on 11.05.2020.	

13	CWP/030891/2019	The Swati Co-op. House	Society Allotment matter.	SLO/VISHAL SODHI	13 Mar	11.05.2020	To be listed with	Final Reply has
		Building Society Ltd. /			2020		CWP/12512/2019 - Learned	been handed
		Chandigarh Administration &					counsel appearing for the	over to the
		others.					U.T. Chandigarh submits that	Advocate
							majority of the members of	
							Society have already got	
							registered with Union	
							Territory.	
							In these bunch of petition(s)	
							reply has been filed on	
							behalf of the	
							U.T. Administration in most	
							of the cases. Learned	
							counsel appearing for the	
							U.T.	
							Chd., prays for time to file	
							reply in rest of the cases.	
							Learned counsel appearing	
							for the respondent-Housing	
							Board	
							submits that short reply shall	
							be filed in those cases where	
							it has not been filed as	
							yet.	
							Entire pleading be	
							completed within six weeks.	
							To come up on 11.05.2020.	

14	CWP/12512/2019	The Nirvana Coop. House	Society Matter.	SLO/VISHAL SODHI	13 Mar	11.05.2020	Learned counsel appearing	Final Reply has
		Building First Society Ltd. &			2020		for the U.T. Chandigarh	been handed
		others / UT, Chandigarh &					submits that	over to the
		others					majority of the members of	Advocate
							Society have already got	
							registered with Union	
							Territory.	
							In these bunch of petition(s)	
							reply has been filed on	
							behalf of the	
							U.T. Administration in most	
							of the cases. Learned	
							counsel appearing for the	
							U.T.	
							Chd., prays for time to file	
							reply in rest of the cases.	
							Learned counsel appearing	
							for the respondent-Housing	
							Board	
							submits that short reply shall	
							be filed in those cases where	
							it has not been filed as	
							yet.	
							Entire pleading be	
							completed within six weeks.	
							To come up on 11.05.2020.	
							Entire pleading	hin six weeks.

Chd. Admn. & others.		2020	for the U.T. Chandigarh submits that	been handed
			submits that	
				over to the
			majority of the members of	Advocate
			Society have already got	
			registered with Union	
			Territory.	
			In these bunch of petition(s)	
			reply has been filed on	
			behalf of the	
			U.T. Administration in most	
			of the cases. Learned	
			counsel appearing for the	
			Chd., prays for time to file	
			Learned counsel appearing	
			for the respondent-Housing	
			Board	
			submits that short reply shall	
			be filed in those cases where	
			it has not been filed as	
			yet.	
			·	
				Image: second

16	CWP/36450/2019	Parveen Gupta / Union of	UT Employees Scheme 2008 (The Petitioner	SLO/SHEKHAR VERMA	13 Mar	12.05.2020	To be listed with	Yes
		India & others.	filed the petition with pray i) issue order to		2020		CWP/22636/2013 - Mr.	
			the respondents to allot the flats to the				Chetan Mittal learned senior	
			petitioners under UT Employees Self				counsel for the UOI may	
			Financing Scheme of 2008 within a time				seek instructions from	
			bound frame in pursuance to draw of lots				Secretary Home,	
			held on 04.11.2010 and to allot the flats on				Government of India who	
			the same price as depicted in the scheme II)				may convene a meeting with	
			quashing the public notice dated				the Advisor U.T. Chandigarh	
			22.06.2019 vide which the respondents				and Chairman, Housing	
			have put an excessive and exorbitant rate				Board in order to make	
			at current price without any reasonable				efforts to resolve the issue.	
			nexus with the scheme so floated III) Pass				Three representatives of	
			order for staying the operation of the				petitioner(s) may also be	
			impugned public notice dated 22.06.2019				associated in the	
			qua the part of demand of				proceedings. It is made clear	
			exorbitant/excessive rate of flats or in the				that in case, this court has	
			alternative directions be issued to the				ultimately to adjudicate	
			respondents to accept the old rates subject				upon the matter, it shall also	
			to final decision of the writ petition in the				examine the question	
			interest of justice).				whether responsibility of	
							certain officer(s) has to be	
							fixed for creating the	
							imbroglio. In such	
							eventuality, it would order	
							punitive action. Adjourned	
							to 12.05.2020.	

17	CWP/36108/2019	Sachin Sharma / Union of India	UT Employees Scheme 2008 (The Petitioner	SLO/SHEKHAR VERMA	13 Mar	12.05.2020	To be listed with	Yes
		& others.	filed the petition with pray i) issue order to		2020		CWP/22636/2013 - Mr.	
			the respondents to allot the flats to the				Chetan Mittal learned senior	
			petitioners under UT Employees Self				counsel for the UOI may	
			Financing Scheme of 2008 within a time				seek instructions from	
			bound frame in pursuance to draw of lots				Secretary Home,	
			held on 04.11.2010 and to allot the flats on				Government of India who	
			the same price as depicted in the scheme II)				may convene a meeting with	
			quashing the public notice dated				the Advisor U.T. Chandigarh	
			22.06.2019 vide which the respondents				and Chairman, Housing	
			have put an excessive and exorbitant rate				Board in order to make	
			at current price without any reasonable				efforts to resolve the issue.	
			nexus with the scheme so floated III) Pass				Three representatives of	
			order for staying the operation of the				petitioner(s) may also be	
			impugned public notice dated 22.06.2019				associated in the	
			qua the part of demand of				proceedings. It is made clear	
			exorbitant/excessive rate of flats or in the				that in case, this court has	
			alternative directions be issued to the				ultimately to adjudicate	
			respondents to accept the old rates subject				upon the matter, it shall also	
			to final decision of the writ petition in the				examine the question	
			interest of justice).				whether responsibility of	
							certain officer(s) has to be	
							fixed for creating the	
							imbroglio. In such	
							eventuality, it would order	
							punitive action. Adjourned	
							to 12.05.2020.	

18	CWP/36447/2019	Ram Kishan / Union of India &	UT Employees Scheme 2008 (The Petitioner	SLO/SHEKHAR VERMA	13 Mar	12.05.2020	To be listed with	Yes
		others	filed the petition with pray i) issue order to		2020		CWP/22636/2013 - Mr.	
			the respondents to allot the flats to the				Chetan Mittal learned senior	
			petitioners under UT Employees Self				counsel for the UOI may	
			Financing Scheme of 2008 within a time				seek instructions from	
			bound frame in pursuance to draw of lots				Secretary Home,	
			held on 04.11.2010 and to allot the flats on				Government of India who	
			the same price as depicted in the scheme II)				may convene a meeting with	
			quashing the public notice dated				the Advisor U.T. Chandigarh	
			22.06.2019 vide which the respondents				and Chairman, Housing	
			have put an excessive and exorbitant rate				Board in order to make	
			at current price without any reasonable				efforts to resolve the issue.	
			nexus with the scheme so floated III) Pass				Three representatives of	
			order for staying the operation of the				petitioner(s) may also be	
			impugned public notice dated 22.06.2019				associated in the	
			qua the part of demand of				proceedings. It is made clear	
			exorbitant/excessive rate of flats or in the				that in case, this court has	
			alternative directions be issued to the				ultimately to adjudicate	
			respondents to accept the old rates subject				upon the matter, it shall also	
			to final decision of the writ petition in the				examine the question	
			interest of justice).				whether responsibility of	
							certain officer(s) has to be	
							fixed for creating the	
							imbroglio. In such	
							eventuality, it would order	
							punitive action. Adjourned	
							to 12.05.2020.	

19	CWP/016877/2019	PUSHPAC i.e. The Punjab	Writ petitioner filed by the Petitioner	SLO/VISHAL SODHI	13 Mar	11.05.2020	To be listed with	Yes / 16 Oct 2019
		University Staff Home	Society for quashing impugned		2020		CWP/12512/2019 - Learned	
		Provision Associates	notification/scheme dated 14.11.2018 and				counsel appearing for the	
		Cooperative House Building	order dated 05.12.2018 by which the				U.T. Chandigarh submits that	
		Society Ltd. / Chandigarh	respondents are insisting upon another				majority of the members of	
		Administration & Others	lease terms as sub lease with the members				Society have already got	
			of the cooperative society after the lease				registered with Union	
			with the cooperative society after the lease				Territory.	
			with the Chandigarh Administration already				In these bunch of petition(s)	
			stand registered with payment of all				reply has been filed on	
			statutory taxes , registration fee and				behalf of the	
			property tax including estate duty so that				U.T. Administration in most	
			each member of the house building				of the cases. Learned	
			cooperative society has to pay double taxes				counsel appearing for the	
			even though they had purchased the				U.T.	
			property collectively as part of the				Chd., prays for time to file	
			cooperative society. Such an insistence and				reply in rest of the cases.	
			scheme framed thereafter for further				Learned counsel appearing	
			extracting money from the members of the				for the respondent-Housing	
			cooperative society is not only arbitrary and				Board	
			against the statute but also causes				submits that short reply shall	
			discrimination between other lease holders				be filed in those cases where	
			of the property in the city of Chandigarh				it has not been filed as	
			and those who had formed the cooperative				yet.	
			society to build up their flats. 3. Further				Entire pleading be	
			such interference through different kind of				completed within six weeks.	
			schemes which are against the Capital of				To come up on 11.05.2020.	

20	CWP/18927/2019	Dr. Brahm Parkash Yadav &	Writ petitioner filed by the Petitioner for	SLO/SHEKHAR VERMA	13 Mar	12.05.2020	To be listed with	Yes / 01 Oct 2019
		Other / Union of India &	setting aside the public notice whereby the		2020		CWP/22636/2013 - Mr.	
		Others	price of units/flats already allotted to the				Chetan Mittal learned senior	
			petitioners has been increased from				counsel for the UOI may	
			Rs.34.70 lacs to Rs.176 Crore under the				seek instructions from	
			implementation of Self Financing Housing				Secretary Home,	
			Scheme 2008 for the employees of U.T.,				Government of India who	
			Chandigarh Administration on lease hold				may convene a meeting with	
			basis for 99 years. AND 3. Further for				the Advisor U.T. Chandigarh	
			issuance of a writ for setting aside the				and Chairman, Housing	
			decision of the Chandigarh Administration				Board in order to make	
			whereby it has been decided to re-fix the				efforts to resolve the issue.	
			price of the land at the rate of Rs.74131 per				Three representatives of	
			sq.yds instead of Rs.2820/-, Rs.7920/- and				petitioner(s) may also be	
			Rs.20200/- (original allotment rate)				associated in the	
			respectively for construction of flats and for				proceedings. It is made clear	
			issuance of directions for implementation				that in case, this court has	
			of â€~Self Financing Housing Scheme, 2008				ultimately to adjudicate	
			for the employees of UT, Chandigarh				upon the matter, it shall also	
			Administration on lease hold basis for 99				examine the question	
			years' in letter and spirit as per				whether responsibility of	
			Brochure. AND 4 Further for issuance of				certain officer(s) has to be	
			writ in the nature of mandamus directing				fixed for creating the	
			the respondents to allot flats on the price				imbroglio. In such	
			as mentioned in the brochure as the delay				eventuality, it would order	
			in allotment land was on account of				punitive action. Adjourned	
			inaction and lack of coordination between				to 12.05.2020.	
21	CWP/16541/2019	Vikram Singh / Chairman, CHB	Writ petition filed by the petitioner for	SLO/Rakesh Sobti	13 Mar	Dis	missed as withdrawn	Yes / 13 Dec
	· · ·		direction to Respondent No.1 (CM/CHB) to		2020			2019
			consider the application dated 28.09.2017					
			vide reference No.186645 dated					
			28.09.2017 and to decide the					
			representations dated 29.01.2018 and					
			21.01.2019.(For allotment of house under					
			PMAY Scheme)					
. /			· · ·		1	1		

22	CWP/21500/2019	Abdul Namid & Others / Union	petition, there are total no. of 561	SLO/SHEKHAR VERMA	13 Mar	12.05.2020	To be listed with	Yes / 30 Oct 2019
		of India & Others	petitioners in the matter. Further, through		2020		CWP/22636/2013 - Mr.	
			this petition, they prayed that:- 1) Issue of				Chetan Mittal learned senior	
			an appropriate writ, order or direction in				counsel for the UOI may	
			the nature of Mandamus directing the				seek instructions from	
			respondents to complete the construction				Secretary Home,	
			of the flats in terms of the Self Financing				Government of India who	
			Housing Scheme 2008 on lease hold basis				may convene a meeting with	
			for 99 years for employees of Chandigarh				the Advisor U.T. Chandigarh	
			Administration floated on 14.01.2008 as				and Chairman, Housing	
			per the rates prescribed in the 2008				Board in order to make	
			scheme; 2) Issue of an appropriate writ,				efforts to resolve the issue.	
			order or direction in the nature of				Three representatives of	
			mandamus declaring that the letter dated				petitioner(s) may also be	
			05.10.2012 sent by the Govt. of India to the				associated in the	
			UT, Admn. is not binding upon the				proceedings. It is made clear	
			petitioners and/or on the 2008 scheme				that in case, this court has	
			since the land in question had already been				ultimately to adjudicate	
			allotted to the Chandigarh Housing Board in				upon the matter, it shall also	
			the year 2008 and the aforesaid letter				examine the question	
			having been issued later in point of time				whether responsibility of	
			cannot be held applicable retrospectively				certain officer(s) has to be	
			on the land for which allotment had been				fixed for creating the	
			made by the Chandigarh Administration to				imbroglio. In such	
			the Chandigarh Housing Board in January				eventuality, it would order	
			2008; 3) Issue of an appropriate writ, order				punitive action. Adjourned	
			or direction in the nature of Certiorari				to 12.05.2020.	

23	CWP/19564/2019	Gurmukh Singh & 956 others /	1. Writ petition filed by the Petitioner for	SLO/SHEKHAR VERMA	13 Mar	12.05.2020	To be listed with	Yes / 01 Oct 2019
		Union of India and others	directing the respondents to complete the		2020		CWP/22636/2013 - Mr.	
			construction of the flats in terms of the Self				Chetan Mittal learned senior	
			Financing Housing Scheme 2008 on lease				counsel for the UOI may	
			hold basis for 99 years for employees of				seek instructions from	
			Chandigarh Administration (for short				Secretary Home,	
			"2008 Schemeâ€) floated on 14.01.2008				Government of India who	
			at the cost so prescribed in the scheme; 2.				may convene a meeting with	
			Further for issuance of a writ for issue of				the Advisor U.T. Chandigarh	
			order and direction for declaring that the				and Chairman, Housing	
			letter dated 05.10.2012 sent by the				Board in order to make	
			Government of India to the U.T.				efforts to resolve the issue.	
			Administration is not being on the				Three representatives of	
			petitioners and/or the project since the				petitioner(s) may also be	
			land in question had already been allotted				associated in the	
			to the Housing Board in the year 2008 and				proceedings. It is made clear	
			the aforesaid letter having been issued later				that in case, this court has	
			in point of ;time cannot be held applicable				ultimately to adjudicate	
			retrospectively against the land for which				upon the matter, it shall also	
			allotment had been made by the				examine the question	
			Administration to the Housing Board in				whether responsibility of	
			January 2003/ AND/OR 3. Further for				certain officer(s) has to be	
			issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus				fixed for creating the	
			directing the respondents to set aside the				imbroglio. In such	
			order whereby the Chandigarh				eventuality, it would order	
			Administration has issued a fresh letter of				punitive action. Adjourned	
			allotment for the land at the rate of				to 12.05.2020.	

24	CWP/18701/2019	Rajan Nanda & others / UOI &	Writ petition filed by the petitioners for	SLO/SHEKHAR VERMA	13 Mar	12.05.2020	To be listed with	Yes / 01 Oct 2019
		others.	directions to the respondents to allot the		2020		CWP/22636/2013 - Mr.	
			flats to the U.T. Employees Self Financing				Chetan Mittal learned senior	
			Scheme of 2008 within a time bound frame				counsel for the UOI may	
			in pursuance to draw of lots held on				seek instructions from	
			04.11.2010 and to allot the flats of the				Secretary Home,	
			Scheme of 2008; and Further for quashing				Government of India who	
			the impugned Public Notice dated				may convene a meeting with	
			22.06.2019 vide which the respondents				the Advisor U.T. Chandigarh	
			have put an excessive and exorbitant rate				and Chairman, Housing	
			at current price without any reasonable				Board in order to make	
			nexus with the scheme so floated; and				efforts to resolve the issue.	
			Further prayed to pass orders for staying				Three representatives of	
			the operation of the impugned Public				petitioner(s) may also be	
			Notice dated 22.06.2019 qua the part of				associated in the	
			demand of Exorbitant/Excessive Rate of				proceedings. It is made clear	
			flats or in the alternative directions be				that in case, this court has	
			issued to the respondents to accept the				ultimately to adjudicate	
			decision of the writ petition in the interest				upon the matter, it shall also	
			of justice (U.T. EMPLOYEES CASE)				examine the question	
							whether responsibility of	
							certain officer(s) has to be	
							fixed for creating the	
							imbroglio. In such	
							eventuality, it would order	
							punitive action. Adjourned	
							to 12.05.2020.	

25	CWP/22636/2013	Phool Kumar Saini & Ors. /	Petition filed by the subject cited	SLO/SHEKHAR VERMA	13 Mar	12.05.2020	Mr. Chetan Mittal learned	Yes / 10 May
		Chandigarh Housing Board &	petitioners directing the respondents to		2020		senior counsel for the UOI	2014
		Anorther	implement the Self Financing Housing				may seek instructions from	
			Scheme 2008 on lease hold basis for period				Secretary Home,	
			of 99 years for employees of Chandigarh				Government of India who	
			Administration (for short "2008				may convene a meeting with	
			Schemeâ€) in true letter and spirit and to				the Advisor U.T. Chandigarh	
			coordinate with each other and to issue				and Chairman, Housing	
			acceptance cum Demand letter to				Board in order to make	
			successful applications like the petitioners				efforts to resolve the issue.	
			in a time bound manner and to deliver the				Three representatives of	
			possession of the flats which are to be				petitioner(s) may also be	
			constructed under the scheme and/or				associated in the	
			further for issuance of such other				proceedings. It is made clear	
			appropriate writ order or direction to the				that in case, this court has	
			respondents to initiate action against the				ultimately to adjudicate	
			officers/officials of Chandigarh				upon the matter, it shall also	
			Administration and Chandigarh Housing				examine the question	
			Board who have delayed the execution of				whether responsibility of	
			scheme for such a long period while				certain officer(s) has to be	
			allotments made to the General Self				fixed for creating the	
			Financing Housing Scheme launched during				imbroglio. In such	
			the same time have already ended up in				eventuality, it would order	
			completion of the projects and possession				punitive action. Adjourned	
			being on the verge of offer while the				to 12.05.2020.	
			scheme for the employees has even failed					
			to take off and/or further for issuance of an					
		PREV. WEEk	LIST -STATE COMMISSION, UT	. CHD. CASES (09.03	.2020 T	o 14.03.2	.020)	1
S. No	Case No.	Petitioner/ Respondent	Brief Subject Matter/Prayer	Nodal Officer / Advocate	Prev.		Status	Reply Filed
					Week			

1	CC/45/2020	Ajay Kumar Batta / Chandigarh Housing Board & Ors.	Complaint Case seeking compensation for demolishing the portion of DU No. 5548, Sector 38(W), Chandigarh and for inconvenience, mental harassment and damages due to deficiency in service on the part of OP)	SLO/RAHUL DEV SINGH	11 Mar 2020	03.04.2020	Reply	No
		PREV. WEEK	LIST - CONSUMER FORUM, UT	, CHD, CASES (09.0	3.2020 1	o 14.03.2	2020)	
S. No	Case No.	Petitioner/ Respondent	Brief Subject Matter/Prayer	Nodal Officer / Advocate	Prev. Week	Next Date	Status	Reply Filed
1	CC/283/2019	Suresh Kumar / CM, CHB	Complaint under Section 12 of the Consumer Protection Act filed before Distt. Forum-II, Chandigarh for transfer of DU No. 296/2, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh on the basis of mutual transfer policy & also Rs.33114/- alongwith 12% intt. from the date of deposit, compensation for mental pain & harassment Rs.1,00,000/-& for litigation cost of Rs.35000/		13 Mar 2020	30.03.2020	Arguments	Yes / 15 Jul 2019
	1	PREV. WE	EK LIST - DISTRICT COURT, UT, (- 2020 To	14.03.20	20)	
S. No	Case No.	Petitioner/ Respondent	Brief Subject Matter/Prayer	Nodal Officer / Advocate	Prev. Week	Next Date	Status	Reply Filed
1	APPLICATION/152/2 016	Babli ailas Kamlesh / Chandigarh Admn.	Applicationof 2016 in Civil Suit No. 11806/2013 in respect of transfer of DU No. 169, Indira Colony, Manimajra.	LO/DEEPAK BAJAJ	09 Mar 2020	27 Apl 2020	Notice.	No reply required as CHB not a party & reply will be filed as & when CHB proceeded as party.

2	C.S./984/2017	Uggar Singh / Daljit Kaur & Anr.	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff directing Def. No. 2 (CHB) not to transfer the suit property in the name of any person/s in respect of DU No. 5053-B, Sector 38 Chandigarh on the basis of forged & fabricated document	LO/SEEMA	09 Mar 2020	09 Apl 2020	Consideration	Yes / 11 Sep 2017
3	C.S./425/2019	Surinder Kumar / Krishna Devi & Ors	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for mandatory injunction to remove & demolish the violations & illegal constn. raised by Def. No. 1 to 5 of DU No. 4525, Dr. Ambedkar Colony, Maulijagran, Chandigarh in which CHB is defendant No. 6.	SLO/SEEMA	09 Mar 2020	28 Apl 2020	Consideration	Yes / 19 Sep 2019
4	C.S./1075/2019	Prem Kumar / CHB & Anr.	To restore DU No. 230, Sector 55, Chandigarh consonant with order dated 29.9.2006 whereby it was decided to restore the DU of the person who have given false staytement.	SLO/SUNIL KUMAR	09 Mar 2020	24 Apl 2020	Evidence.	Yes/09 Mar 2020
5	C.S./1581/2019	SAPERA / GP & ORS.	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding allotment of Small Flat/Dwelling Unit in lieu of J.No. 29-A, Colony No. 4, Indl. Area, Chandigarh.	SLO/SARBJIT KAUR	09 Mar 2020	21 Apl 2020	Replication	Yes/09 Mar 2020
6	C.S./1578/2019	MADAN LAL / GP & ORS.	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding allotment of Small Flat/Dwelling Unit in lieu of J.No. 707-A, Block-K Colony No. 4, Indl. Area, Chandigarh.	SLO/SARBJIT KAUR	09 Mar 2020	21 Apl 2020	Replication	Yes/09 Mar 2020
7	APPEAL/202/2019	Manju Tiyagi / CHB	Appeal filed by the Appellant against order dated 7.5.2019 of Ms. Saloni Gupta, CJJD, Chandigarh seeking transfer of House under GPA transfer Policy in respect of DU No. 177/1, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh.		11 Mar 2020	03 Apl 2020	Notice & Record.	No reply required being appeal matter.

8	C.S./541/2019	Vijay Kumar / Chandigarh Housing Board	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding illegal Construction of DU No. 3544, Maulijagran, Chandigarh.	SLO/SHASHI KATARIA	12 Mar 2020	21 Apl 2020	Replication	Yes / 01 Aug 2019
9	C.S./2212/2019	RAJESH KUMAR & ORS. / CHB & ORS.	Civil suit filed by the plaintiff restraining to block common passage/kuchha rasta by raising constn. Of boundary wall in village Maloya, UT, Chandigarh.	SLO/DEEPAK BAJAJ	12 Mar 2020	22 Apl 2020	Replication	Yes / 13 Feb 2020
10	C.S./1350/2017	Raj Kumar Chibber / Usha Prashar & Ors.	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for cancellation of allotment in respect of # 908/40-A, Chandigarh & # 928/40-A, Chandigarh due to filing false affidavit	LO/SEEMA	12 Mar 2020	20.04.2020	Evidence.	Yes / 06 Feb 2018
11	APPEAL/194/2017	Ram Milan / Chairman, CHB	Civil Appeal filed by the Appellant seeking acceptance the payment & delivery of possession of one room tenement/site No. 811, Indl. Area, Phase-I, Colony No. 4, Chandigarh.	LO/Rajni Narula	12 Mar 2020		Dismissed	Yes / 04 Oct 2017
12	C.S./1937/2016	Rekha Rani & Anr. / Chandigarh Admn. & Ors.	Civil Suit for allotment/transfer of DU No. 6756-A, Sector 56, Chandigarh in the name of legal heirs under Dr. Ambedkar Awas Yojna-2009.	LO/Harinder Kumar Aurora	12 Mar 2020	09 Apl 2020	Consideration.	Yes / 26 May 2015
13	C.S./2369/2018	Prem Kumari / Onkar Devi	Civil suit has been filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer/mutation of DU No.2580, Sector 44-C, Chandigarh.	SLO/SURINDER PAL KAUR	12 Mar 2020	20 Apl 2020	Evidence.	Yes / 08 Oct 2018
14	C.S./1629/2017	Lakhbir Kaur & Anr. / Jagdip Kaur & Ors.	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of share of DU No. 1447-B, Sector 61, Chandigarh & also suit for permanent Injunction restraining defendants from alienating by way of sale, exchange gift, mortgage or any other mode of any specific portion partition.	SLO/SEEMA	12 Mar 2020	24 Mar 2020	Arguments	Yes / 08 Jul 2019

15	016	Ors.	2044/2015 in its original number in respect of possession of Flat No. 1447-B, Sector 61, Chandigarh on the basis of registered WILL dated 4.3.2011		12 Mar 2020	2020	Arguments.	Yes / 08 Jul 2019
16	C.S./1858/2017	Harish Gupta / General Public & Ors.	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer the ownership of DU No. 3334, Sector 47-D, Chandigarh on the basis of GPA of Def. No. 2 (Attar Singh) thereby entering into an agreement to sell.	SLO/VIKAS JAIN	13 Mar 2020	01 May 2020	Consideration.	Yes / 20 Feb 2019
17	APPEAL/712/2018	Paramjit Kaur / CEO/CHB	Appeal filed by the Appellant U/S 54 of Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 against order dated 31.8.2005 & 14.8.2018 passed by Chairman, CHB vide which appeal of the appellant was dismissed in respect of DU No. 3039, Sector 55, Palsora allowing the appeal & setting aside the cancellation order dated 13.1.2001 due to building violation.	SLO/RAJIV SHARMA-18	13 Mar 2020	20 Apl 2020	Consideration & Reply to the Appn. but application not received as informed by the counsel.	No reply required being appeal matter.
18	C.S./138/2019	Ram Payara & Ors. / Chandigarh Admn. , UT, Chd. & Ors.	ivil Suit filed by the plaintiff seeking allotment of flat under small flat scheme- 2006 Legal rep.of mother of Lt. Ram Pyari W/o Lt. Sh. Punna Lal & also permanent injuncrtion restraining for dispossession inprooting remarking the plaintiff from H.No. 29, Block â€~C' Colony No. 4, Indl. Area, Chandigarh.	SLO/VIKAS JAIN	13 Mar 2020	03 Apl 2020	Consideration. Plaintiff reply on application u/s 67 for Dismissal of suit filed on 29.3.2019	Yes / 29 Mar 2019
19	C.S./1670/2017	Ram Sukh / Sarju Parsad & Ors.	Civil Suit has been filed by the plaintiff regarding removal of violations of H.No. 1057, Indira Colony, Manimajra.	SLO/ANANT PAL SINGH	13 Mar 2020	24 Apl 2020	Argument.	Yes / 30 Jul 2018

			H.R.Gangar, IAS(Rt.) Providing water supply lines, sewer lines, storm water drainage system Boosting Station and Rain Harvesting Structures for 8448, Small Flats at Dhanas, Chandigarh(Arb/796/2018).			2020		
21	EX.APP/245/2018	Jai Bhushan Malik / CHB	Execution Petition U/o 21 Rule 11 read with Section 36 of the Arbitration & Concilliation Act 1996 for award dated 11.11.2017 passed by Sh. H.R.Gangar, IAS(Rt.) Sole Arbitrator in respect of providing water supply lines, sewer lines, storm water drainage system Boosting Station and Rain Harvesting Structures for 8448, Small Flats at Dhanas, Chandigarh.		13 Mar 2020	12 May 2020	Consideration.	Yes / 23 Feb 2018
22	APPEAL/295/2019	Devi Dyal / Ashok Kumar & Anr.	Appeal filed by the Appellant u/s 96 read with order 41 CPC against the judgement & decree dated 22.8.2019 passed by Ms. Mamta Kakkar, CJJD, Chandigarh in Civil Suit No. 1857 of 7.8.2014 titled as Ashok Kumar Vs. Devi Dyal & Anr. (Allotment/Transfer of DU No. 972, Dhanas, UT, Chandigarh)		13 Mar 2020	09 Apl 2020	Consideration.	No reply required being appeal matter.
23	C.S./1272/2019	Lajo & Anr. / Lachhmi Devi & Ors.	Civil Suit filed by the seeking not to issue NDC in respect of transfer of DU No. 1722 Site & Services, Daddu Majra Colony, Chandigarh.	SLO/Harinder Kumar Aurora	13 Mar 2020	09 Apl 2020	Notice & reply by Ors. Defendants.	Yes / 21 Nov 2019
24	C.S./837/2014	Santosh Rani / Silverton Society & Anr.	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding strict compliance of the award dated 10.12.1996 read with decree dated 4.9.2008.	SLO/VIKAS JAIN	13 Mar 2020	06 Apl 2020	Conideration	Yes / 11 Jul 2018

S. No	Case No.	Petitioner/ Respondent	Brief Subject Matter/Prayer	Nodal Officer / Advocate	Prev. Week	Next Date	Status	Reply Filed
1	APPLICATION /649/2019	JANAK RANI & ANR. / CHB & ANR.	Application filed by the Applicant before PLA seeking transfer of Booth No. 44, MHC, Manimajra & Compensation also.	SLO/SUSHILA BHARDWAJ DR.	09 Mar 2020	23 Apl 2020	Replication.	Yes / 05 Feb 2020
2		Vijay Singh / Electricity Deptt. & Anr.	Application filed before PLA regarding issurance of direction to the respopndent No. 1(CHB) for allotment of site under Small Flats Scheme 2006 in lieu of J.No. 107, Block H Colony No. 4, Ph-I, Industrial Area, UT, Chandigarh.	SLO/VAIBHAV PARASHAR	12 Mar 2020	31 Mar 2020	Arguments & Reply.	No Reply required to be filed at this stage.
3	APPLICATION /11/2019	-	Execution Application filed under the provision of State Legal Services Authorities Act 1987 against order dated 13.3.2018 passed by Permanent Lok adalat, Chandigarh regarding excess payment by way of penal interest for delayed payment of instalments received in the auction/sale on lease for Booth No. 8, Sector 48-A, Chandigarh.	SLO/ASHISH RAWAL	12 Mar 2020	04 Aug 2020	For further proceedings. Stay has been granted in CWP.20040/2019 in CHB vs. Alka Singh.	Yes / 08 Jul 2019