

To

The Chief Executive Officer,
Chandigarh Housing Board,
Chandigarh.

Subject: Committee report.

The Report to be uploaded
on the website of CHB and
we may proceed as per
Observations/recommendations of
the Committee. Also put it up
on file pl.
Secy. CHB
35/10/2020


A committee was constituted vide office order no. 8451 dated 15.10.2020 by CHB to consider the survey list and to settle the disputes and finalize the beneficiaries for allotment under Affordable Rental Housing Complexes Scheme (ARHCs) for U.T., Chandigarh.


Total 102 claims were submitted to the committee. Further, the committee constituted a sub-committee of the following officers to conduct a field survey and to ascertain the present occupants of the pre-fab shelter by verifying documents and witnesses:


- Sh. Yogesh Kumar, Tehsildar, U.T., Chandigarh.
- Sh. Rakesh Garg, SDE, Chandigarh Housing Board.
- Sh. Balraj Chikara, SDE(Building), MC, Chandigarh and Sh. Akhil Dhiman, SDE, MC, Chandigarh.

After that the committee has also accorded opportunity of hearing in such cases wherein double claims were there and where house was found locked during both the surveys. After deliberating the two survey list i.e. the original survey list prepared by the CHB and the list given by sub-committee, the submissions given during the personal hearing and documentary proof of residence in the prefab shelter and the submission of the claimants, the committee has prepared its report which is attached as **Annexure A to F** for consideration and further necessary action by CHB.

The report of the committee is based on the limited mandate to prima facie ascertain the claim of applicants of their physical living in the prefab shelter of Sector 52 and Sector 56, Chandigarh. The committee has considered these cases based on the office note vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 wherein the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter.


(Tilak Raj)
Addl. Commissioner
MC, Chandigarh


(Manish Kumar Lohan)
Assistant Estate Officer
UT, Chandigarh


(Ruchi Singh Bedi)
Secretary
CHB, Chandigarh
29.10.2020

Annexure A

List of pre-fab shelters where occupants during the Original survey and during inspection of the sub-committee in Sector 52, Chandigarh found same.

Prefab Shelter No.	Proceedings
17	<p>The claim of Pre-fab shelter has been received from Sh. Bijender Kumar S/o Sh. Hari Singh vide Dy. No. 54 dt. 19.10.2020 & Dy. No. 448 dt. 22.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB Sh. Yoginder Singh S/o Ilam Singh was found residing there. Site inspection conducted by the sub-committee has also found Sh. Yoginder Singh residing there. Accordingly, both were offered a personal hearing before the committee on 28.10.2020 to substantiate their claim. Both have appeared before the committee and submitted the documents and reiterated their claim of being resident of Pre-fab shelter. However, Sh. Bijender Kumar S/o Hari Singh in his statement before the committee has admitted that he is currently living in Jagatpura and whereas Sh. Yoginder Singh has submitted to be living in this Pre-fab shelter for last 06 years.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Sh. Yoginder Singh is staying at present in the pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the present occupant Sh. Yoginder Singh may be considered by CHB.</p>
11	<p>The claim of Pre-fab shelter has been received from Sh. Inderpal S/o Lt. Surjit Singh vide Dy. No. 105 dt. 19.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB Sh Lalla S/o Sh. Ramajan was found residing there. Site inspection conducted by the sub-committee has also found Sh. Lalla residing there. Accordingly, both were offered a personal hearing before the committee on 28.10.2020 to substantiate their claim. Both have appeared before the committee and submitted the documents and reiterated their claim of being resident of Pre-fab shelter. However, Sh. Inderpal in his statement before the committee has admitted that he is currently living in Sector 29, Chandigarh on rent and whereas Sh. Lalla has submitted to be living in this Pre-fab shelter for last 05 years.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Sh. Lalla is staying at present in the pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the present occupant Sh. Lalla may be considered by CHB.</p>
219	<p>The claim of Pre-fab shelter has been received from Sh. Hazur Singh S/o Sh. Parsa Singh vide Dy. No. 185 dt. 19.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB Sh. Jarnail Singh S/o Sh. Kartar Singh was found residing there. Site inspection conducted by the sub-committee has also found Sh. Jarnail Singh residing there. Accordingly, both were offered a personal hearing before the committee on 28.10.2020 to substantiate their claim. Both have appeared before the committee and submitted the documents and reiterated their claim of being resident of Pre-fab shelter. However, Sh. Hazur Singh in his statement before the committee has admitted that he is currently living in Sector 45,</p>

Annexure A

List of pre-fab shelters where occupants during the Original survey and during inspection of the sub-committee in Sector 52, Chandigarh found same.

	<p>Chandigarh on rent from past 03 years and whereas Sh. Jarnail Singh has submitted to be living in this Pre-fab shelter currently.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Sh. Jarnail Singh is staying at present in the pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the present occupant Sh. Jarnail Singh may be considered by CHB.</p>
285	<p>The claim of Pre-fab shelter has been received from Smt. Neelam Kumari W/o Sh. Om Parkash vide Dy. No. 223 dt. 20.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB Mohd. Farid S/o Ahmed Vakil was found residing there. Site inspection conducted by the sub-committee has also found Mohd. Farid residing there. Accordingly, both were offered a personal hearing before the committee on 28.10.2020 to substantiate their claim. Only Mohd. Farid appeared before the committee and submitted the documents and reiterated their claim of being resident of Pre-fab shelter. Mohd. Farid has submitted to be living in this Pre-fab shelter since 2014.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Mohd. Farid is staying at present in the pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the present occupant Mohd. Farid may be considered by CHB.</p>
969	<p>The claim of Pre-fab shelter has been received from Sh. Sohan Lal S/o Sh. Guli Lal vide Dy. No. 243 dt. 20.10.2020 & Dy. No. 297 dt. 20.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB Sh. Karnail Singh S/o Sh. Malkhan Singh was found residing there. Site inspection conducted by the sub-committee has also found Sh. Karnail Singh residing there. Accordingly, both were offered a personal hearing before the committee on 28.10.2020 to substantiate their claim. Only Karnail Singh appeared before the committee and submitted the documents and reiterated their claim of being resident of Pre-fab shelter. Karnail Singh has submitted to be living in this Pre-fab shelter since 2015.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Sh. Karnail Singh is staying at present in the pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the present occupant Sh. Karnail Singh may be considered by CHB.</p>
1141	<p>The claim of Pre-fab shelter has been received from Smt. Guddi Devi W/o Sh. Ramesh Singh vide Dy. No. 244 dt. 20.10.2020 & Dy. No. 472 dt. 22.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB Sh. Ram Ujagar S/o Sh. Hari Ram was found residing there. Site inspection conducted by the sub-committee has also found Sh. Ram Ujagar residing there. Accordingly, both were offered a personal hearing before the committee on 28.10.2020 to substantiate their claim. Both have appeared before the committee and submitted the documents</p>

Annexure A

List of pre-fab shelters where occupants during the Original survey and during inspection of the sub-committee in Sector 52, Chandigarh found same.

	<p>and reiterated their claim of being resident of Pre-fab shelter. However, Smt. Guddi Devi in her statement before the committee has admitted that she is currently living in #1753, Sector 52, Chandigarh on rent and whereas Sh. Ram Ujagar S/o Sh. Hari Ram has submitted to be living in this Pre-fab shelter currently from last 02 years.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Sh. Ram Ujagar is staying at present in the pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the present occupant Sh. Ram Ujagar may be considered by CHB.</p>
373	<p>Mohd. Harun Khan given opportunity to appear before committee on 28.10.2020 for correction/addition in the the name of family members as per his request vide Dy. No. 245 dt. 20.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB Mohd. Harun Khan was found residing there. Site inspection conducted by the sub-committee has also found Mohd. Harun Khan residing there. Applicant appeared and submitted required documents for correction in name of wife Hamsiran Banu and son Azad. Therefore, in view of above, the name correction/addition may be done in the survey list.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Sh. Mohd. Harun Khan is staying at present in the pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the present occupant Mohd. Harun Khan may be considered by CHB.</p>
728	<p>The claim of Pre-fab shelter has been received from Sh. Ramveer S/o Sh. Name Chand vide Dy. No. 255 dt. 20.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB Sh. Sanjiv Kumar S/o Sh. Sukh Ram was found residing there. Site inspection conducted by the sub-committee has also found Sh. Sanjiv Kumar residing there. Accordingly, both were offered a personal hearing before the committee on 28.10.2020 to substantiate their claim. Only Sh. Sanjiv Kumar appeared before the committee and submitted the documents and reiterated their claim of being resident of Pre-fab shelter. Sh. Sanjiv Kumar has submitted to be living in this Pre-fab shelter from last 06 years.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Sh. Sanjiv Kumar is staying at present in the pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the present occupant Sh. Sanjiv Kumar may be considered by CHB.</p>
548	<p>The claim of Pre-fab shelter has been received from Sh. Rajkaran S/o Sh. Days Ram & Smt. Pooja W/o Sh. Rajkaran vide Dy. No. 263 & 291 of 20.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB Sh. Ragi Lal was found residing there. Site inspection conducted by the sub-committee has also found Sh. Ragi Lal residing there. Accordingly, both were offered a personal hearing before the</p>

Annexure A

List of pre-fab shelters where occupants during the Original survey and during inspection of the sub-committee in Sector 52, Chandigarh found same.

	<p>committee on 28.10.2020 to substantiate their claim. Both remain absent.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Sh. Ragi Lal is staying at present in the pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the present occupant Sh. Ragi Lal may be considered by CHB.</p>
1525	<p>The claim of Pre-fab shelter has been received from Smt. Kaushalya Devi W/o Sh. Bani Ram vide Dy. No. 303 dt. 20.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB Smt. Omwati W/o Sh. Munna Lal was found residing there. Site inspection conducted by the sub-committee has also found Smt. Omwati residing there. Accordingly, both were offered a personal hearing before the committee on 28.10.2020 to substantiate their claim. Both have appeared before the committee and submitted the documents and reiterated their claim of being resident of Pre-fab shelter. However, Smt. Kaushalya Devi in her statement before the committee has admitted that she is currently living in Maloya, Chandigarh on rent and whereas Sh. Omwati has submitted to be living in this Pre-fab shelter currently from last 06 years.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Smt. Omwati is staying at present in the pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the present occupant Smt. Omwati may be considered by CHB.</p>
1287	<p>The claim of Pre-fab shelter has been received from Smt. Kamla Devi W/o Sh. Phool Chand vide Dy. No. 325 & 399 dt. 21.10.2020 (Reception Dy. No. 28203 dt. 12.10.2020). In original survey conducted by CHB Sh. Ashok Kumar S/o Sh. Idda was found residing there. Site inspection conducted by the sub-committee has also found Sh. Ashok Kumar residing there. Accordingly, both were offered a personal hearing before the committee on 28.10.2020 to substantiate their claim. Both have appeared before the committee and submitted the documents and reiterated their claim of being resident of Pre-fab shelter. However, Smt. Kamla Devi in her statement before the committee has admitted that she is currently living in #324, Sec 51, Chandigarh on rent since 02 years and whereas Sh. Ashok Kumar has submitted to be living in this Pre-fab shelter currently from last 06 years.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Sh. Ashok Kumar is staying at present in the pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the present occupant Sh. Ashok Kumar may be considered by CHB.</p>
842	<p>The claim of Pre-fab shelter has been received from Sh. Nanhe Lal S/o Sh. Baboo Lal vide Dy. No. 326 dt. 21.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB Sh. Pardeep Singh S/o Sh. Krishan Singh was found residing there. Site</p>

Annexure A

List of pre-fab shelters where occupants during the Original survey and during inspection of the sub-committee in Sector 52, Chandigarh found same.

	<p>inspection conducted by the sub-committee has also found Sh. Pradeep Singh residing there. Accordingly, both were offered a personal hearing before the committee on 28.10.2020 to substantiate their claim. Both have appeared before the committee and submitted the documents and reiterated their claim of being resident of Pre-fab shelter. However, Sh. Nanhe Lal in his statement before the committee has admitted that he is currently living in #471, Dhanas, Chandigarh and whereas Sh. Pradeep Singh has submitted to be living in this Pre-fab shelter currently since 2010.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Sh. Pradeep Singh is staying at present in the pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the present occupant Sh. Pradeep Singh may be considered by CHB.</p>
1201	<p>Rahim S/o Sh. Raja Husain & Smt. Munni was given opportunity to appear before committee on 28.10.2020 for correction/addition in the name of family members as per his request vide Dy. No. 368 dt. 21.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB Smt. Munni was found residing there. Site inspection conducted by the sub-committee has also found Smt. Munni residing there. Applicant appeared and submitted required documents for correction/addition in name of family members as Rahim is S/o Smt. Munni. Therefore, in view of above, the name correction/addition may be done in the survey list.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Smt. Munni is staying at present in the pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the present occupant Smt. Munni may be considered by CHB.</p>
738	<p>Shimla Devi was given opportunity to appear before committee on 28.10.2020 for correction/addition in the name of family members as per his request vide Dy. No. 372 dt. 21.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB Smt. Shimla Devi was found residing there. Site inspection conducted by the sub-committee has also found Smt. Shimla Devi residing there. Applicant appeared and submitted required documents for correction/addition in name of family members. Therefore, in view of above, the name correction/addition may be done in the survey list.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Smt. Shimla Devi is staying at present in the pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the present occupant Smt. Shimla Devi may be considered by CHB.</p>
1469	<p>Naseem Ahmed was given opportunity to appear before committee on 28.10.2020 for correction/addition in the name of family members as per his request vide Dy. No. 388 dt. 21.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB</p>

Annexure A

List of pre-fab shelters where occupants during the Original survey and during inspection of the sub-committee in Sector 52, Chandigarh found same.

	<p>Naseem Ahmed was found residing there. Site inspection conducted by the sub-committee has also found Naseem Ahmed residing there. Applicant appeared and submitted required documents for correction/addition in name of family members. Therefore, in view of above, the name correction/addition may be done in the survey list.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Naseem Ahmed is staying at present in the pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the present occupant Naseem Ahmed may be considered by CHB.</p>
1635	<p>The claim of Pre-fab shelter has been received from Smt. Anu W/o Sh. Rajesh vide Dy. No. 398 dt. 21.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB Sh Madan Pal S/o Sh. Ram Bahadur was found residing there. Site inspection conducted by the sub-committee has also found Sh. Madan Pal residing there. Accordingly, both were offered a personal hearing before the committee on 28.10.2020 to substantiate their claim. Both have appeared before the committee and submitted the documents and reiterated their claim of being resident of Pre-fab shelter. However, Smt. Anu in her statement before the committee has admitted that she is currently living in a Jhuggi at Sec 52, Chandigarh and whereas Sh. Madan Pal has submitted to be living in this Pre-fab shelter from last 04 years.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Sh. Madan Pal is staying at present in the pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the present occupant Sh. Madan Pal may be considered by CHB.</p>
229	<p>The claim of Pre-fab shelter has been received from Sh. Suresh Kumar S/o Sh. Ram Sunder vide Dy. No. 401 dt. 21.10.2020 (Reception Dy. No. 28007 dt. 06.10.2020). In original survey conducted by CHB Sh. Ram Saran S/o Sh. Chohal Singh was found residing there. Site inspection conducted by the sub-committee has also found Sh. Ram Saran residing there. Accordingly, both were offered a personal hearing before the committee on 28.10.2020 to substantiate their claim. Both have appeared before the committee and submitted the documents and reiterated their claim of being resident of Pre-fab shelter. However, Sh. Suresh Kumar in his statement before the committee has admitted that he is currently living in #2558, Sector 52, Chandigarh on rent and whereas Sh. Ram Saran has submitted to be living in this Pre-fab shelter since 2015.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Sh. Ram Saran is staying at present in the pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the present occupant Sh. Ram Saran may be considered by CHB.</p>

Annexure A

List of pre-fab shelters where occupants during the Original survey and during inspection of the sub-committee in Sector 52, Chandigarh found same.

54	<p>The claim of Pre-fab shelter has been received from Smt. Parveen Kumari W/o Sh. Sanjiv vide Dy. No. 402 dt. 21.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB Sh. Amit S/o Sh. Ramesh Chand was found residing there. Site inspection conducted by the sub-committee has also found Sh. Amit residing there. Accordingly, both were offered a personal hearing before the committee on 28.10.2020 to substantiate their claim. Both have appeared before the committee and submitted the documents and reiterated their claim of being resident of Pre-fab shelter. However, Smt. Parveen Kumari in her statement before the committee has admitted that she is currently living in Dadumajra. Whereas Sh. Amit has submitted to be living in this Pre-fab shelter from last 07 years.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Sh. Amit is staying at present in the pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the present occupant Sh. Amit may be considered by CHB.</p>
1478	<p>The claim of Pre-fab shelter has been received from Sh. Raj Kali vide Dy. No. 411 dt. 21.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB Smt. Aashma Begum W/o Mohd. Samim was found residing there. Site inspection conducted by the sub-committee has also found Smt. Aashma Begum residing there. Accordingly, both were offered a personal hearing before the committee on 28.10.2020 to substantiate their claim. Only Aashma Begum appeared before the committee and reiterated their claim of being resident of Pre-fab shelter. Smt. Aashma Begum has submitted to be living in this Pre-fab shelter from last 04 years. Sub-committee has also verified the same from neighbours that Aashma Begum is residing in this pre-fab shelter.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Aashma Begum is staying at present in the pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the present occupant Aashma Begum may be considered by CHB.</p>
919	<p>Rita Devi W/o Sh. Devendra Kumar was given opportunity to appear before committee on 28.10.2020 for correction/addition in the name of family members as per his request vide Dy. No. 414 dt. 21.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB Devendra Kumar was found residing there. Site inspection conducted by the sub-committee has also found Devendra Kumar residing there. Applicant appeared and submitted required documents for correction/addition in name of family members. Therefore, in view of above, the name correction/addition may be done in the survey list.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Sh. Devendra Kumar is staying at present in the pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore,</p>

Annexure A

List of pre-fab shelters where occupants during the Original survey and during inspection of the sub-committee in Sector 52, Chandigarh found same.

	the claim of the present occupant Sh. Devendra Kumar may be considered by CHB.
884	<p>The claim of Pre-fab shelter has been received from Afsana W/o Dilshad vide Reception Dy. No. 28418 dt. 16.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB Sh. Mintu S/o Sh. Balwant Singh was found residing there. Site inspection conducted by the sub-committee has also found Sh. Mintu residing there. Accordingly, both were offered a personal hearing before the committee on 28.10.2020 to substantiate their claim. Both have appeared before the committee and submitted the documents and reiterated their claim of being resident of Pre-fab shelter. However, Afsana in her statement before the committee has admitted that she is currently living in #278, Sector 45, Chandigarh and whereas Sh. Mintu has submitted to be living in this Pre-fab shelter from last 06 years.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Sh. Mintu is staying at present in the pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the present occupant Sh. Mintu may be considered by CHB.</p>
1309	<p>The claim of Pre-fab shelter has been received from Sh. Lakhan S/o Sh. Net Ram vide Reception Dy. No. 28446 dt. 16.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB Sh. Laltu Halder S/o Sh. Bholu Halder was found residing there. Site inspection conducted by the sub-committee has also found Sh. Laltu Halder residing there. Accordingly, both were offered a personal hearing before the committee on 28.10.2020 to substantiate their claim. Both have appeared before the committee and submitted the documents and reiterated their claim of being resident of Pre-fab shelter. However, Sh. Lakhan in his statement before the committee has admitted that he is currently living at Labour Chowk and whereas Sh. Laltu Halder has submitted to be living in this Pre-fab shelter from last 06 years.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Sh. Laltu Halder is staying at present in the pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the present occupant Sh. Laltu Halder may be considered by CHB.</p>
1622	<p>The claim of Pre-fab shelter has been received from Smt. Neeta W/o Sh. Teera vide Dy. No. 444 dt. 22.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB Dwarika Parsad S/o Sh. Daya Ram was found residing there. Site inspection conducted by the sub-committee has also found Sh. Dwarika Parsad residing there. Accordingly, both were offered a personal hearing before the committee on 28.10.2020 to substantiate their claim. Both have appeared before the committee and submitted the documents and reiterated their claim of being resident of Pre-fab shelter. However, Smt. Neeta in her statement before the committee has admitted that she is currently living in Dhanas on rent from past 02 years and whereas Sh. Dwarika Parsad has submitted to be living in this</p>

Annexure A

List of pre-fab shelters where occupants during the Original survey and during inspection of the sub-committee in Sector 52, Chandigarh found same.

	<p>Pre-fab shelter from last 07 years.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Sh. Dwarika Parsad is staying at present in the pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the present occupant Sh. Dwarika Parsad may be considered by CHB.</p>
159	<p>The claim of Pre-fab shelter has been received from Sh. Achhe Lal S/o Sh. Ram Tahal vide Dy. No. 461 dt. 22.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB Sh. Chote Lal was found residing there. Site inspection conducted by the sub-committee has also found Sh. Chote Lal residing there. Accordingly, both were offered a personal hearing before the committee on 28.10.2020 to substantiate their claim. Smt. Kusum Devi W/o Sh. Chote Lal and Sh. Achhe Lal appeared before the committee and submitted the documents and reiterated their claim of being resident of Pre-fab shelter. However, Achhe Lal in his statement before the committee has admitted that he is currently living in #2051, Dhanas from past 02 years and whereas Smt. Kusum Devi W/o Sh. Chote Lal has submitted to be living in this Pre-fab shelter from last 06 years.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Sh. Chote Lal is staying at present in the pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the present occupant Sh. Chote Lal may be considered by CHB.</p>
1023	<p>The claim of Pre-fab shelter has been received from Sh. Jaswant Singh S/o Sh. Lakhmi Chand vide Dy. No. 103-A dt. 19.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB Smt. Sunita W/o Sh. Prem Prakash was found residing there. Site inspection conducted by the sub-committee has also found Smt. Sunita residing there. Accordingly, both were offered a personal hearing before the committee on 28.10.2020 to substantiate their claim. Both have appeared before the committee and submitted the documents and reiterated their claim of being resident of Pre-fab shelter. However, Sh. Jaswant Singh in his statement before the committee has admitted that he is currently living at Dadumajra from past 02 years and whereas Smt. Sunita has submitted to be living in this Pre-fab shelter from last 06 years.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Smt. Sunita is staying at present in the pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the present occupant Smt. Sunita may be considered by CHB.</p>
15	<p>The claim of Pre-fab shelter has been received from Smt. Kamlesh W/o Sh. Puran Chand vide Dy. No. 474 dt. 22.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB Sh. Narinder Kumar S/o Sh. Mahabir Singh was found residing there. Site inspection conducted by the sub-committee has also found Sh. Narinder Kumar</p>

Annexure A

List of pre-fab shelters where occupants during the Original survey and during inspection of the sub-committee in Sector 52, Chandigarh found same.

residing there. Accordingly, both were offered a personal hearing before the committee on 28.10.2020 to substantiate their claim. Both have appeared before the committee and submitted the documents and reiterated their claim of being resident of Pre-fab shelter. However, Smt. Kamlesh in her statement before the committee has admitted that she is currently living at Derrabassi and whereas Sh. Narinder Kumar has submitted to be living in this Pre-fab shelter from last 06 years.

In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Sh. Narinder Kumar is staying at present in the pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the present occupant Sh. Narinder Kumar may be considered by CHB.

By
29.10.2020.


hs
29/10/2020


llk
29/10/2020


ANNEXURE -B

List of pre-fab shelters where pre-fab shelter was found locked during original survey and single claimant found residing during the inspection of the Sub-Committee.

Prefab No.	Proceedings
1461	<p>The claim of prefab shelter has been received from Sh. Rakesh vide DY. No. 122 dated 19.10.2020. In the original survey conducted by CHB this prefab shelter was found locked. Site inspection conducted by the sub-committee found the claimant residing there. The sub-committee has verified the aadhar and also enquired from the neighbours regarding present occupant and verified the same.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Sh. Rakesh is staying at present in the pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the present occupant Sh. Rakesh may be considered by CHB.</p>
890	<p>The claim of prefab shelter has been received from Smt. Baby Kumari vide Dy. No. RC28443 dated 22.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB this prefab shelter was found locked. Site inspection conducted by the sub-committee found the claimant residing there. The sub-committee has verified the aadhar and also enquired from the neighbours.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Smt. Baby Kumari is staying at present in the pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the present occupant Smt. Baby Kumari may be considered by CHB.</p>
1125	<p>The claim of prefab shelter has been received from Sh. Sambhu vide Dy. No. RC28455 dated 22.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB this prefab shelter was found locked. Site inspection conducted by the sub-committee found the claimant residing there. The sub-committee has verified the aadhar and also enquired from the neighbours.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Sh. Sambhu is staying at present in the pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the present occupant Sh. Sambhu may be considered by CHB.</p>
1169	<p>The claim of prefab shelter has been received from Smt. Guddi Devi and Sh. Rakesh Kumar vide DY. No. 8 & RC28466 dated 22.10.2020 & 19.10.2020 respectively. In original survey conducted by CHB this prefab shelter was</p>



 [1]



ANNEXURE - B

List of pre-fab shelters where pre-fab shelter was found locked during original survey and single claimant found residing during the inspection of the Sub-Committee.

	<p>found locked. Site inspection conducted by the sub-committee found the claimant residing there. The sub-committee has verified the aadhar and also enquired from the neighbours.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Smt. Guddi Devi is staying at present in the pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the present occupant Smt. Guddi Devi may be considered by CHB.</p>
870	<p>The claim of prefab shelter has been received from Sh. Lakhminder vide Dy. No. RC28466 dated 22.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB this prefab shelter was found locked. Site inspection conducted by the sub-committee found the claimant residing there. The sub-committee has verified the aadhar and also enquired from the neighbours.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Sh. Lakhminder is staying at present in the pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the present occupant Sh. Lakhminder may be considered by CHB.</p>
864	<p>The claim of prefab shelter has been received from Sh. Ram Kali vide Dy. No. 430 dated 22.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB, this prefab shelter was found locked. Site inspection conducted by the sub-committee found the claimant residing there. The sub-committee has verified from the neighbours.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Smt. Ram Kali is staying at present in the pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the present occupant Smt. Ram Kali may be considered by CHB.</p>

[Signature]
29/10/2020

[Signature]
29/10/2020

[Signature]
29/10/2020

Annexure C

List of the pre-fab shelters where shelter was found locked during the first survey and also during the inspection of Sub-Committee

Prefab Shelter No.	Proceedings
910	<p>The claim of Pre-fab shelter has been received from Sh. Ramesh Chander S/o Sh. Asha Ram vide Dy. No. 80 dt. 19.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB the said pre-fab shelter was found locked. Site inspection conducted by the sub-committee has also found the Prefab shelter locked. Accordingly, Sh. Ramesh Chander offered a personal hearing before the committee on 27.10.2020 to substantiate his claim. The applicant appeared before the committee and reiterated his claim of being resident of Pre-fab shelter. Sh. Ramesh Chander has submitted resident documents of #813-b, Nehru Colony, Kajheri, Sector 53, Chandigarh. No residence proof of being residing in Pre-fab shelter No. 910 was submitted by Sh. Ramesh Chander in support of his claim.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Sh. Ramesh Chander is not the occupant of the said pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the claimant may not be considered.</p>
461	<p>The claim of Pre-fab shelter has been received from Sh. Ravi S/o Sh. Dharampal vide Dy. No. 384 dt. 21.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB the said pre-fab shelter was found locked. Site inspection conducted by the sub-committee has also found the Prefab shelter locked. Accordingly, Sh. Ravi offered a personal hearing before the committee on 27.10.2020 to substantiate his claim. But Sh. Ravi did not appeared before the committee . No residence proof of being residing in Pre-fab shelter No. 461 was submitted by Sh. Ravi in support of his claim. However, Sh. Ravi has submitted residence proof of #1723, Sector 52-A, Chandigarh.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Sh. Ravi is not the occupant of the said pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the claimant may not be considered.</p>
598	<p>The claim of Pre-fab shelter has been received from Sh. Alakh Dev Yadav S/o Sh. Bishan Nath Yadav vide Reception Dy. No. 28421 & 28449 dt. 16.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB the said pre-fab shelter was found locked. Site inspection conducted by the sub-committee has also found the Prefab shelter locked. Accordingly, Sh. Alakh Dev Yadav offered a</p>

gin

29/10/2020 [1]

ill


Annexure C

List of the pre-fab shelters where shelter was found locked during the first survey and also during the inspection of Sub-Committee

	<p>personal hearing before the committee on 27.10.2020 to substantiate his claim. The applicant appeared before the committee and submitted documents and reiterated his claim of being resident of Pre-fab shelter. Sh. Alakh Dev Yadav has submitted resident documents of Prefab Shelter No. 598, Sector 52, Chandigarh. However, a complaint against Sh. Alakh Dev Yadav has been received from Sh. Narinder during hearing granted to him on 28.10.2020 for claiming rights of Prefab Shelter No. 598. Further, Sh. Alakh Dev Yadav also himself submitted in his statement that he is not present occupant of this above pre-fab shelter and it is occupied by some Sh. Arvind.</p> <p>Sh. Narinder has also claimed vide dy. No. 123 dated 19.10.2020 against Pre-fab shelter No. 598. The claimant is residing with their parents at Prefab shelter no. 893 and as per report of sub-committee the Sh. Narinder was not found in the prefab shelter no.598.</p> <p>The claim of Sh. Arvinder Kumar has also been received vide dy. No. 155 dated 19.10.2020. As per Aadhar card of Sh. Arvinder Kumar, his residential address is of Hardoi, Uttar Pradesh.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Sh. Alakh Dev Yadav, Sh. Narinder and Sh. Arvinder Kumar are not the occupant of the said pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the claimants may not be considered.</p>
1400	<p>Two Claims of Pre-fab shelter has been received from Sh. Jasbir S/o Sh. Raj Singh vide Dy. No. 215 dt. 20.10.2020 and Mohamad Azeem S/o Mohamad Hanif vide Dy. No. 382 dt. 21.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB the said pre-fab shelter was found locked. Site inspection conducted by the sub-committee has also found the Prefab shelter locked. Accordingly, both were offered a personal hearing before the committee on 27.10.2020 to substantiate their claim. Sh. Jasbir remained absent and Mohamad Azeem appeared before the committee and reiterated his claim of being resident of Pre-fab shelter. However, Mohamad Azeem does not have any residence proof of the claimed Pre-fab shelter and in his statement before the committee has admitted that he is currently residing in #1859, Sector 52, Chandigarh from last 03 years. Also on perusal of the documents submitted by Jasbir vide his application it has been observed that the residence address on adhar of Jasbir is of 119, Colony No. 5, Sector 45, Chandigarh, whereas, the residence proof of Smt.</p>



 [2]



Annexure C

List of the pre-fab shelters where shelter was found locked during the first survey and also during the inspection of Sub-Committee

	<p>Priyanka W/o Sh. Jasbir Singh and resident proof of children of Priyanka are of prefab shelter no. 1400, Sector 52, Chandigarh.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Sh. Jasbir and Mohamad Azeem are not the occupant of the said pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the claimants may not be considered.</p>
502	<p>Two Claims of Pre-fab shelter has been received from Sh. Sushil Kumar S/o Sh. Ram Singh vide Dy. No. 429 dt. 22.10.2020 and Sh. Sandeep Singh S/o Sh. Laxman Singh vide Dy. No. 466 dt. 22.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB the said pre-fab shelter was found locked. Site inspection conducted by the sub-committee has also found the Prefab shelter locked. Accordingly, both were offered a personal hearing before the committee on 27.10.2020 to substantiate their claim. Sh. Sandeep Singh remained absent and Sh. Sushil Kumar appeared before the committee and submitted documents and reiterated his claim of being resident of Pre-fab shelter. However, perusal of the documents submitted by Sh. Sushil Kumar that he registered his residence address of #369, EWS Colony, Dhanas in a police complaint dated 03.07.2019.</p> <p>The claim of Sh. Pradeep Kumar has also been received vide dy. No. 130 dated 19.10.2020. The claimant is resident of Sector 45, (Burail) Chandigarh as per his Adhar Card.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Sh. Sushil Kumar, Sh. Sandeep Singh and Sh. Pradeep Kumar are not the occupant of the said pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the claimants may not be considered.</p>

Sh
29.10.2020

h
29/10/2020

ill
29/10/2020

Annexure D

List of the pre-fab shelters where occupants during the 1st survey and during the inspection of sub-committee were different

Prefab Shelter No.	Proceedings
968	<p>The claim of Pre-fab shelter has been received from Sh. Dharamraj S/o Sh. Prithvee Pal vide Dy. No. 46 dt. 19.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB Sh. Ram Verma was found residing there. However, during site inspection conducted by the sub-committee Sh. Dharamraj was found residing there. The sub-committee has also verified the same from two witnesses and Adhar Card. Accordingly, both were offered a personal hearing before the committee on 27.10.2020 to substantiate their claim. Only Dharamraj appeared before the committee and submitted the documents and reiterated his claim of being resident of Pre-fab shelter.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Sh. Dharamraj is occupant/staying at present in the pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the present occupant may be considered by CHB.</p>
1453	<p>The claim of Pre-fab shelter has been received from Sh. Sant Ram S/o Sh. Bachan Dass vide Dy. No. 55 dt. 19.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB Smt. Gyan Mati was found residing there. However, during site inspection conducted by the sub-committee Sh. Sant Ram was found residing there. The sub-committee has also verified the same from two witnesses and Adhar Card. Accordingly, both were offered a personal hearing before the committee on 27.10.2020 to substantiate their claim. Only Sh. Sant Ram appeared before the committee and submitted the documents and reiterated his claim of being resident of Pre-fab shelter. Sh. Sant Ram has submitted to be living in this Pre-fab shelter. The name of Sh. Pawan Kumar S/o Sh. Sant Ram is already listed in the family detail in the original survey against Pre-fab Shelter No. 1453.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Sh. Sant Ram is occupant/staying at present in the pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the present occupant may be considered by CHB.</p>
06	<p>The claim of Pre-fab shelter has been received from Sh. John Bhatti S/o Sh. Jamesh Bhatti vide Dy. No. 68 dt. 19.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB Sh. Akshay Kumar S/o Sh. Ramesh was found residing there. However, during site inspection conducted by the sub-committee Sh. John Bhatti was found residing there. The sub-committee has also verified the same from two witnesses and Adhar Card. Accordingly, both were offered a personal hearing before the committee on 27.10.2020 to substantiate their claim. Both have appeared before the committee. Sh. Akshay Kumar during his personal hearing before the committee has withdrawn his claim against Pre-fab Shelter No. 6 and whereas Sh. John Bhatti has submitted the documents and</p>





Annexure D

List of the pre-fab shelters where occupants during the 1st survey and during the inspection of sub-committee were different

	<p>reiterated his claim of being resident of Pre-fab shelter for last 05 years. In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Sh. John Bhatti is occupant/staying at present in the pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the present occupant may be considered by CHB.</p>
1339	<p>The claim of Pre-fab shelter has been received from Sh. Prem Nath Yadav S/o Sh. Bhagat Yadav vide Dy. No. 73 dt. 19.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB Smt. Pushpa was found residing there. However, during site inspection conducted by the sub-committee Sh. Prem Nath Yadav S/o Sh. Bhagat Yadav was found residing there. The sub-committee has also verified the same from two witnesses and Adhar Card. Accordingly, both were offered a personal hearing before the committee on 27.10.2020 to substantiate their claim. None of them appeared before the committee. In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Sh. Prem Nath Yadav is occupant/staying at present in the pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the present occupant may be considered by CHB.</p>
460	<p>The claim of Pre-fab shelter has been received from Smt. Prerana Devi W/o Sh. Pankaj Mandal vide Dy. No. 83 dt. 19.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB Sh. Ramesh Gupta was found residing there. However, during site inspection conducted by the sub-committee Smt. Prerana Devi was found residing there. The sub-committee has also verified the same from two witnesses and Adhar Card. Accordingly, both were offered a personal hearing before the committee on 27.10.2020 to substantiate their claim. Only Smt. Prerana Devi appeared before the committee and submitted the documents and reiterated his claim of being resident of Pre-fab shelter. Smt. Prerana Devi has submitted to be living in this Pre-fab shelter since 2017. In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Sh. Prerana Devi is occupant/staying at present in the pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the present occupant may be considered by CHB.</p>
521	<p>The claim of Pre-fab shelter has been received from Sh. Sarwan Kumar S/o Sh. Harihar Parsad vide Dy. No. 91 dt. 19.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB Sh. Suresh Kumar was found residing there. However, during site inspection conducted by the sub-committee Sh. Sarwan Kumar was found residing there. The sub-committee has also verified the same from two witnesses and Adhar Card. Accordingly, both were offered a personal hearing before the committee on 27.10.2020 to substantiate their claim. Both have appeared before the committee and submitted the documents and reiterated their claim of being resident of Pre-fab shelter.</p>



 [2]



Annexure D

List of the pre-fab shelters where occupants during the 1st survey and during the inspection of sub-committee were different

	<p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Sh. Sarwan Kumar is occupant/staying at present in the pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the present occupant may be considered by CHB.</p>
1154	<p>The claim of Pre-fab shelter has been received from Sh. Ganga Ram S/o Sh. Dev Nath vide Dy. No. 182 dt. 19.10.2020. Sh. Gautam Kishore S/o Sh. Ved ram has also requested vide Dy. No. 97 dt. 19.10.2020 for addition of his name in family members of Sh. Jitender Kumar against Pre-fab shelter No. 1154 as he is real brother of Sh. Jitender Kumar. In original survey conducted by CHB Sh. Jitender Kumar was found residing there. However, during site inspection conducted by the sub-committee Sh. Gautam Kishore was found residing there. The sub-committee has also verified the same from two witnesses and Adhar Card. Accordingly, all were offered a personal hearing before the committee on 27.10.2020 to substantiate their claim. Both have appeared before the committee and submitted the documents and reiterated their claim of being resident of Pre-fab shelter. However, from the documents submitted by Sh. Ganga Ram revealed one of his address of #1691, Sector 45, Buraill and whereas Sh. Jitender Kumar has submitted to be living in this Pre-fab shelter for last 05 years and also he has no objection over addition his brother Sh. Gautam Kishore name as brother in family members.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Sh. Jitender Kumar is occupant/staying at present in the pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the present occupant may be considered by CHB.</p>
353	<p>The claim of Pre-fab shelter has been received from Sh. Dinesh Kumar S/o Sh. Ram Dhan vide Dy. No. 110 dt. 19.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB Sh. Satish Chand S/o Sh. Ram Dhan was found residing there. However, during site inspection conducted by the sub-committee Sh. Dinesh Kumar S/o Sh. Ram Dhan was found residing there. The sub-committee has also verified the same from two witnesses and Adhar Card. Accordingly, both were offered a personal hearing before the committee on 27.10.2020 to substantiate their claim. Both have appeared before the committee. However, Sh. Dinesh Kumar during his personal hearing before the committee claimed to be brother of Sh. Satish Chand and has withdrawn his claim against Pre-fab Shelter No. 353 and whereas Sh. Satish Chand has submitted that he is residing in this Pre-fab shelter currently.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Sh. Satish Chand is occupant/staying at present in the pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the present occupant may be considered by CHB.</p>

Annexure D

List of the pre-fab shelters where occupants during the 1st survey and during the inspection of sub-committee were different

1511	<p>The claim of Pre-fab shelter has been received from Sh. Ram Parkash S/o Sh Sita Ram vide Dy. No. 113 dt. 19.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB Smt. Parveen W/o Lyakat Ali was found residing there. However, during site inspection conducted by the sub-committee Sh. Ram Parkash was found residing there. The sub-committee has also verified the same from two witnesses and Adhar Card. Accordingly, both were offered a personal hearing before the committee on 27.10.2020 to substantiate their claim. Both have appeared before the committee and submitted the documents and reiterated their claim of being resident of Pre-fab shelter. Smt. Parveen in her statement before the committee has admitted that she is currently living in this pre-fab shelter since 2015 and whereas Ram Parkash has submitted to be living in this Pre-fab shelter for last 20 years. A copy of the applicant slip of the police complaint made by Sh. Ram Parkash address, 1511, Sector 52, Chandigarh dated 19.05.2017 has been attached by Sh. Ram Parkash.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems appropriate to get a police verification in this case. Therefore, the appropriate decision may be taken by the CHB regarding the said claims.</p>
29	<p>The claim of Pre-fab shelter has been received from Smt. Sunita Devi vide Dy. No. 115 dt. 19.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB Sh. Ram Kailash S/o Sh. Ram Dular was found residing there. However, during site inspection conducted by the sub-committee Smt. Sunita Devi W/o Sh. Ram Dular was found residing there. The sub-committee has also verified the same from two witnesses and Adhar Card. Accordingly, both were offered a personal hearing before the committee on 27.10.2020 to substantiate their claim. Both have appeared before the committee. Sh. Ram Kailash during his personal hearing before the committee has withdrawn his claim against Pre-fab Shelter No. 29 and is agreed to allot the same to Smt. Sunita Devi his mother and to add him as family member in the details.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Sh. Sunita Devi is staying at present in the pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the present occupant may be considered by CHB.</p>
1507	<p>The claim of Pre-fab shelter has been received from Rabia W/o Moheen Khan vide Dy. No. 137 dt. 19.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB Nasrin W/o Mohammad Tasleem was found residing there. Nasrin has also submitted documentary proof of residing in Prefab shelter No. 1507 vide Dy. No. 473 dated 22.10.2020. However, during site inspection conducted by the sub-committee Rabia was found residing there. The sub-committee has also verified the same from two witnesses and Adhar Card. Accordingly, both were offered a personal hearing before the committee on 27.10.2020 to substantiate their claim. Both have appeared before the committee. Rabia during his personal hearing before the committee has withdrawn his claim against Pre-fab Shelter No. 1507 and submitted affidavit in this regard and whereas Nasrin has submitted to be living in this Pre-fab shelter for last 06</p>

Annexure D

List of the pre-fab shelters where occupants during the 1st survey and during the inspection of sub-committee were different

	<p>years.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Ms. Nasrin is occupant/staying at present in the pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the present occupant may be considered by CHB.</p>
719	<p>The claim of Pre-fab shelter has been received from Smt. Kanchan Devi W/o Sh. Shiv Kumar vide Dy. No. 138 dt. 19.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB Sh. Rajinder Singh was found residing there. Sh. Rajinder Singh has also requested for deletion of name of Smt. Kanchan Devi vide reception Dy. No. 28432 dated 16.10.2020. However, during site inspection conducted by the sub-committee Smt. Kanchan Devi was found residing there. The sub-committee has also verified the same from two witnesses and Adhar Card. Accordingly, both were offered a personal hearing before the committee on 27.10.2020 to substantiate their claim. Both have appeared before the committee and submitted the documents having address of 719 transit house Sector 52, Chandigarh and reiterated their claim of being resident of Pre-fab shelter.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems appropriate to get a police verification in this case. Therefore, the appropriate decision may be taken by the CHB.</p>
1620	<p>The claim of Pre-fab shelter has been received from Sh. Pradip Sah S/o Ramvilash Sah vide Dy. No. 159 dt. 19.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB Smt. Manju was found residing there. However, during site inspection conducted by the sub-committee Sh. Pradip Sah was found residing there. The sub-committee has also verified the same from two witnesses and Adhar Card. Accordingly, both were offered a personal hearing before the committee on 27.10.2020 to substantiate their claim. Sh. Prakash H/o Smt Manju and Sh. Pradip Sah have appeared before the committee and submitted the documents and reiterated their claim of being resident of Pre-fab shelter. Sh. Prakash stated to be residing in the said prefab since 2014 and whereas Sh. Pradip Sah claims to be residing in the said prefab since 2018.</p> <p>Further, an application has also been received from Smt. Manju vide Dy. No. 443, dated 22.10.2020 regarding allotment under CSFS-2006. This application does not fall under the purview of the committee.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Sh. Pradip Sah is occupant/staying at present in the pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the present occupant may be considered by CHB.</p>
1621	<p>The claim of Pre-fab shelter has been received from Sh. Shambu Gupta vide Dy. No. 178 dt. 19.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB Sh. Chunni Lal was found residing there. However, during site inspection conducted by the</p>

B/n

[5]

sh.

Annexure D

List of the pre-fab shelters where occupants during the 1st survey and during the inspection of sub-committee were different

	<p>sub-committee Sh. Shambu Gupta was found residing there. The sub-committee has also verified the same from two witnesses and Adhar Card. Accordingly , both were offered a personal hearing before the committee on 27.10.2020 to substantiate their claim. Smt. Savita W/o Chunni Lal and Sh. Shambu Gupta were appeared before the committee and submitted the documents and reiterated their claim of being resident of Pre-fab shelter. Smt. Savita stated that she was resident of the said prefab & she was thrown out by Shambu Gupta forcibly and whereas Shambu Gupta claims that he is resident of the said prefab currently.</p> <p>Further, an application has also been received from Sh. Chunni Lal vide Dy. No. 447, dated 20.10.2020 regarding allotment under CSFS-2006. This application does not fall under the purview of the committee.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Sh. Shambu Gupta is occupant/staying at present in the pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the present occupant may be considered by CHB.</p>
228	<p>The claim of Pre-fab shelter has been received from Smt. Amoliya Devi W/o Sh. Bonu Dass vide Dy. No. 453 dt. 22.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB Smt. Sunita was found residing there. However, during site inspection conducted by the sub-committee Smt. Sunita was again found residing there but with no residence proof nor any witness verified the same. Accordingly, both were offered a personal hearing before the committee on 27.10.2020 to substantiate their claim. Only Smt Amoliya Devi appeared before the committee and submitted the documents and reiterated her claim of being resident of Pre-fab shelter. Smt. Amoliya Devi stated to be residing in the said prefab shelter since 2014.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems appropriate to get a police verification in this case. Therefore, the appropriate decision may be taken by the CHB.</p>
849	<p>Sh. Avdesh Singh was given opportunity to appear before committee on 27.10.2020 for correction/addition in the name of family members as per his request vide Dy. No. 332 dt. 21.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB Sheela Singh W/o Avdesh Singh was found residing there. Site inspection conducted by the sub-committee has found Avdesh Singh residing there. Applicants appeared and submitted required documents for correction/addition in name of family members. Therefore, in view of above, the name correction may be done in the survey list.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Sh. Avdesh Singh is occupant/staying at present in the pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the present occupant may be considered by CHB.</p>

Bin

[Signature]

[Signature]

Annexure D

List of the pre-fab shelters where occupants during the 1st survey and during the inspection of sub-committee were different

978	<p>The claim of Pre-fab shelter has been received from Sh. Chakki Chauhan S/o Sh. Jahu Chauhan vide reception Dy. No. 28424 & 28439 dt. 16.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB Sh. Raj Kumar S/o Sh. Ram Baran was found residing there. However, during site inspection conducted by the sub-committee Smt. Pooja W/o Raj Kumar was found residing there. But no residence proof has been provided by the Pooja nor verified by the witness. Accordingly, both were offered a personal hearing before the committee on 27.10.2020 to substantiate their claim. Only Chakki Chauhan appeared before the committee and submitted the documents and reiterated his claim of being resident of Pre-fab shelter. Chakki Chauhan has submitted to be living in this Pre-fab shelter from last 04 years.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems appropriate to get a police verification in this case. Therefore, the appropriate decision may be taken by the CHB.</p>
1660	<p>The claim of Pre-fab shelter has been received from Sh. Pradeep Kumar and Radha vide Reception Dy. No. 28442 dt. 22.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB Smt. Kukki was found residing there. However, during site inspection conducted by the sub-committee Sh. Pradeep Kumar was found residing there. The sub-committee has also verified the same from two witnesses and Adhar Card. Accordingly, both were offered a personal hearing before the committee on 27.10.2020 to substantiate their claim. Both have appeared before the committee and submitted the documents and reiterated their claim of being resident of Pre-fab shelter. Smt. Kukki stated to be residing in the said prefab since 2014 and whereas Sh. Pradeep Kumar claims to be residing in the said prefab currently since 2018.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Sh. Pradeep Kumar is occupant/staying at present in the pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the present occupant may be considered by CHB.</p>
540	<p>The claim of Pre-fab shelter has been received from Sh. Sukhdev vide Dy. No. 427 dt. 22.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB Sh. Chander Pal was found residing there. However, during site inspection conducted by the sub-committee Sh. Mast Ram S/o Sh. Sukhdev was residing there. The sub-committee has also verified the same from two witnesses and Adhar Card. Accordingly, both were offered a personal hearing before the committee on 27.10.2020 to substantiate their claim. Only Sh. Sukhdev appeared before the committee and submitted the documents and reiterated her claim of being resident of Pre-fab shelter. Sh. Sukhdev stated to be residing in the said prefab shelter since 2014.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Sh. Sukhdev is occupant/staying at present in the pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore,</p>

Annexure D

List of the pre-fab shelters where occupants during the 1st survey and during the inspection of sub-committee were different

	the claim of the present occupant may be considered by CHB.
925	<p>The claim of Pre-fab shelter has been received from Sh. Sonoo Yadav vide Dy. No. 432 dt. 19.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB Smt. Pushpa was found residing there. However, during site inspection conducted by the sub-committee Sh. Sonoo Yadav was found residing there. The sub-committee has also verified the same from two witnesses and Adhar Card. Accordingly, both were offered a personal hearing before the committee on 27.10.2020 to substantiate their claim. None of them appeared before the committee.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Sh. Sonoo Yadav is occupant/staying at present in the pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the present occupant may be considered by CHB.</p>
655	<p>The claim of Pre-fab shelter has been received from Smt. Usha Devi vide Dy. No. 445 dt. 22.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB Sh. Mukhandra was found residing there. However, during site inspection conducted by the sub-committee Shri Mati was found residing there without any residence proof nor any witnesses verified the same. Accordingly, both were offered a personal hearing before the committee on 27.10.2020 to substantiate their claim. Only Smt. Usha Devi appeared before the committee and reiterated her claim of being resident of Pre-fab shelter. Smt. Usha devi's documents reveals his resident proof of Post Sakha, Fatehpur, U.P. and whereas Late Sh. Ashok Kumar H/o Usha Devi bears voter icard of prefab shelter No. 655 issued in the year 2006. As per statement of Usha Devi, she is not presently occupying prefab shelter no. 655. Further, in two surveys the different occupant has been shown and no proof by both of them has been submitted.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it is difficult to determine the present occupant and police verification may be conducted to ascertain the present occupant.</p>
455	<p>The claim of Pre-fab shelter has been received from Sh. Ram Asre S/o Sh. Sardar vide Dy. No. 18 dt. 19.10.2020 & No. 454 dt. 22.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB both Sh. Bimal Kumar S/o Sh. Swami Nath and Sh. Ram Asre S/o Sh. Sardar were found residing there. Sh. Bimal Kumar has also claimed the prefab shelter No. 455 vide Dy. No. 378 dt. 21.10.2020 However, during site inspection conducted by the sub-committee Sh. Ram Asre was found residing there. The sub-committee has also verified the same from two witnesses and Adhar Card. Accordingly, both were offered a personal hearing before the committee on 27.10.2020 to substantiate their claim. Only Sh. Ram Asre appeared before the committee and submitted the documents and reiterated her claim of being resident of Pre-fab shelter. Sh. Ram Asre claims to be resident of the said prefab shelter since 2019.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Sh. Ram Asre is occupant/staying at present in the pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no.</p>

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]

Annexure D


List of the pre-fab shelters where occupants during the 1st survey and during the inspection of sub-committee were different

	<p>PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the present occupant may be considered by CHB.</p>
1192	<p>The claim of Pre-fab shelter has been received from Sh. Kamal Kumar vide Dy. No. 44 dt. 19.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB both Smt. Jagdei and Sh. Kamal Kumar was found residing there. However, during site inspection conducted by the sub-committee Smt. Jagdei was found residing there. The sub-committee has also verified the same from two witnesses and Adhar Card. Accordingly, both were offered a personal hearing before the committee on 27.10.2020 to substantiate their claim. None of them appeared before the committee.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Smt. Jagdei is occupant/staying at present in the pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the present occupant may be considered by CHB.</p>
57	<p>The claim of Pre-fab shelter has been received from Ms. Pooja. In original survey conducted by CHB parents expired and son is residing without any residence proof. However, during site inspection conducted by the sub-committee Ms. Pooja & Sh. Vicky brother of Pooja was found residing there. The sub-committee has also verified the same from two witnesses and Adhar Card. Accordingly, they were offered a personal hearing before the committee on 27.10.2020 to substantiate their claim. Pooja & Vicky appeared before the committee and submits documentary proof of residing at prefab shelter No. 57. They are the only claimants of the said Pre-fab shelter.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Ms. Pooja and Sh. Vicky are occupant/staying at present in the pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the present occupants may be considered by CHB.</p>
1349	<p>The claim of Pre-fab shelter has been received from Sh. Sada Shiv S/o Sh. Siya Ram vide Dy. No. 226 dt. 20.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB Sh. Mewa Lal Yadav S/o Sh. Sita Ram was found residing there. However, during site inspection conducted by the sub-committee no one was available. Accordingly, both were offered a personal hearing before the committee on 27.10.2020 to substantiate their claim. Both have appeared before the committee. Sh. Sada Shiv during his personal hearing before the committee has withdrawn his claim against Pre-fab Shelter No. 1349 & has no objection over Sh. Mewa Lal Yadav for claiming the same.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Sh. Mewa Lal Yadav is occupant/staying at present in the pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the</p>

Annexure D

List of the pre-fab shelters where occupants during the 1st survey and during the inspection of sub-committee were different

	<p>eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the present occupant may be considered by CHB.</p>
153	<p>The claim of Pre-fab shelter has been received from Sh. Durga Dass S/o Sh. Nanku Ram vide Dy. No. 272 dt. 20.10.2020 & No. 400 dt. 21.10.2020 (Reception Dy. No. 28008 dated 06.10.2020). In original survey conducted by CHB both Sh. Durga Dass S/o Sh. Nanku Ram and Sh. Sanjay were found residing there. However, during site inspection conducted by the sub-committee Sh. Durga Dass was found residing there. The sub-committee has also verified the same from two witnesses and Adhar Card. Accordingly, both were offered a personal hearing before the committee on 27.10.2020 to substantiate their claim. Only Sh. Durga Dass appeared before the committee and submitted the documents and reiterated his claim of being resident of Pre-fab shelter. Sh. Durga Dass claims to be resident of the said prefab shelter since 2017.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Sh. Durga Dass is occupant/staying at present in the pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the present occupant may be considered by CHB.</p>
76	<p>The claim of Pre-fab shelter has been received from Sh. Darshan Singh S/o Sh. Jagir Singh vide Dy. No. 277 dt. 20.10.2020 & No. 436 dt. 22.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB Sh. Ravi Kumar was found residing there. However, during site inspection conducted by the sub-committee the family members of Late Sh. Darshan Singh were found residing, but with no residence proof nor any witness verified the same. Accordingly, Smt. Kirti Devi W/o Late Sh. Darshan Singh and Sh. Ravi Kumar were offered a personal hearing before the committee on 27.10.2020 to substantiate their claim. Both have appeared before the committee. Sh. Ravi Kumar during his personal hearing before the committee has withdrawn his claim against Pre-fab Shelter No. 76 and whereas Smt. Kirti Devi W/o Late Sh. Darshan Singh has submitted to be living in this Pre-fab shelter for last 06 years.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Smt. Kirti Devi W/o Late Sh. Darshan Singh is occupant/staying at present in the pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the present occupant may be considered by CHB.</p>
1109	<p>The claim of Pre-fab shelter has been received from Sh. Raj Kumar Yadav vide Dy. No. 293 dt. 20.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB Smt. Guddi Devi was found residing there. However, on the request of Smt. Guddi Devi vide Dy. No. 08 dt. 19.10.2020 and reception Dy. No. 28460 dated 16.10.2020, her Pre-fab shelter No. has been corrected from 1109 to 1169 based on documents provided by her. Now, during site inspection conducted by the sub-committee No one was found residing there. Accordingly, Sh. Raj</p>



Annexure D

List of the pre-fab shelters where occupants during the 1st survey and during the inspection of sub-committee were different

	<p>Kumar Yadav was offered a personal hearing before the committee on 27.10.2020 to substantiate their claim. Sh. Raj Kumar Yadav appeared before the committee. Sh. Raj Kumar during his personal reiterated his claim of being resident of Pre-fab shelter. However, on perusal of documents submitted by Sh. Raj Kumar it has been found out that his address on Aadhar is of Prefab Shelter No. 1141.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that claimant doesn't reside in the pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the claimant may not be considered by CHB.</p>
1582	<p>The claim of Pre-fab shelter has been received from Sh. Sant Ram S/o Sh. Ram Balak vide Dy. No. 393 dt. 21.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB both Sh. Siya Ram and Sh. Sant Ram were found residing there. However, during site inspection conducted by the sub-committee Sh. Sant Ram was found residing there. The sub-committee has also verified the same from two witnesses and Adhar Card. Accordingly, both were offered a personal hearing before the committee on 27.10.2020 to substantiate their claim. Only Sh. Sant Ram appeared before the committee and submitted the documents and reiterated his claim of being resident of Pre-fab shelter. However, the issuance date of the only resident proof i.e. Aadhar Card submitted by Sh. Sant Ram is 01.10.2020 i.e. after the first survey.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Sh. Sant Ram is occupant/staying at present in the pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the present occupant may be considered by CHB.</p>
20	<p>The claim of Pre-fab shelter has been received from Smt. Pooja W/o Sh. Anil Kumar vide reception Dy. No. 28441 dt. 16.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB Unknown person was found residing there. However, during site inspection conducted by the sub-committee the Pre-fab shelter was found locked. Accordingly, Smt. Pooja was offered a personal hearing before the committee on 27.10.2020 to substantiate her claim. Smt. Pooja W/o Sh. Anil Kumar appeared before the committee and submitted the documents and reiterated his claim of being resident of Pre-fab shelter. However, the issuance date of the only resident proof i.e. Aadhar Card submitted by Smt. Pooja is 01.10.2020 i.e. after the first survey and the residence address on Aadhar card of Sh. Anil Kumar H/o Smt. Pooja is of Vill. Kheri Matarwa, Kaithal, Haryana.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that the claimant is not the occupant/staying in the said pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the claimant may not be considered by CHB.</p>

SM
29.10.2020.

[Signature]
29/10/2020 [11]

[Signature]
29/10/2020

ANNEXURE -E

List of claims received for allotment under CSFS-2006 and where physical site not reported in original survey list.

Prefab No.	Proceedings
1766 sector 52	<p>The claim of prefab shelter has been received from Smt. Pinki, Dy. No. RC28475 dated 22.10.2020. In the original survey list conducted by CHB this prefab shelter is not enlisted and the sub-committee also reported in the report that this prefab shelter does not exist.</p> <p>In view of above facts it seems prima facie that the claimant is not the occupant/staying at present in the prefab shelter. Further as per directions given in the note issued by CEO,CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the claimant may not be considered.</p>
6988	<p>The claim of prefab shelter has been received from Sh. Lali, Vide Dy. No. 469, dated 22.10.2020 and Jasbir vide Dy. No. 397, dated 21.10.2020. This prefab shelter is not enlisted in the original survey conducted by CHB. Whereas, the sub-committee reported in the inspection report that this prefab shelter is locked and vacant.</p> <p>In view of above facts it seems prima facie that the claimant is not the occupant/staying at present in the prefab shelter. Further as per directions given in the note issued by CEO,CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of both claimants may not be considered.</p>
7013	<p>The claim of prefab shelter has been received from Sh. Suresh Kumar, Vide Dy. No. 446. This prefab shelter is not enlisted in the original survey conducted by CHB. Whereas, the sub-committee reported in the inspection report that this prefab shelter is locked and vacant.</p> <p>In view of above facts it seems prima facie that the claimant is not the occupant/staying at present in the prefab shelter. Further as per directions given in the note issued by CEO,CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the claimant may not be considered.</p>
7031	<p>The claim of prefab shelter has been received from Smt. Phool Wati, Vide Dy. No. 468, dated 22.10.2020. This prefab shelter is not enlisted in the original survey conducted by CHB. Whereas, the sub-committee reported</p>

ANNEXURE -E

List of claims received for allotment under CSFS-2006 and where physical site not reported in original survey list.

	<p>in the inspection report that Phool Wati is residing there and the sub-committee has also verified adhar of the claimant and also verified from the neighbours.</p> <p>In view of above facts it seems prima facie that Ms. Phool Wati is occupant/staying at present in the prefab shelter. Further as per directions given in the note issued by CEO,CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of claimant may be considered.</p>
7000	<p>The claim of prefab shelter has been received from Smt. Geeta vide Dy. No. 470, dated 22.10.2020. This prefab shelter is not enlisted in the original survey conducted by CHB. Whereas, the sub-committee reported in the inspection report that this prefab shelter is locked and vacant.</p> <p>In view of above facts it seems prima facie that the claimant is not the occupant/staying at present in the prefab shelter. Further as per directions given in the note issued by CEO,CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of claimant may not be considered.</p>

By
29/10/2020.

[Signature]
29/10/2020

[Signature]
29/10/2020

Annexure F

List of the claimants of pre-fab shelters where claim may not be considered except prefab no. 974

Prefab No.	Proceedings
-	<p>The claim of Smt. Munni has been received vide DY. No. 4 dated 19.10.2020 wherein she has requested for allotment of rental house under the ARHC scheme and the claimant is the resident of Sector 45, Chandigarh.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Smt Munni is not the occupant of any pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the claimant may not be considered.</p>
1594	<p>The claim of Sh. Uday Veer Singh has been received vide dy. No. 139 dated 19.10.2020. The claimant is residing at Village Faidan Nizampur, Sector 47, Chandigarh. As per the original survey the prefab was locked whereas, as per report of sub-committee Sh. Uday Veer Singh was not found in the prefab shelter no. 1594 and some other person was found residing there.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Sh. Uday Veer Singh is not the occupant of pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the claimant may not be considered.</p>
974	<p>The claim of prefab shelter has been received from Sh. Kewal, Vide Dy. No. 302, dated 20.10.2020. In original survey conducted by CHB Sh. Kewal was found residing there. The Claim of claimant is received for allotment of flat under CSFS-2006.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Sh. Kewal is the occupant of pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the claimant may be considered under ARHC Scheme. Further, appropriate decision may be taken by the CHB with regard to claim received under CSFS 2006.</p>

Bin

h
29/10/2020

T

Annexure F

List of the claimants of pre-fab shelters where claim may not be considered except prefab no. 974

1584	<p>The claim of Mohammad Faruk has been received vide DY. No. 385 dated 22.10.2020. As per the original survey the prefab was locked whereas, as per report of sub-committee father of Mohammad Faruk was found there but Mohammad Faruk was not found there. Further, as per Adhar Card the claimant is the resident of Sector 45, Chandigarh.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Mohammad Faruk is not the occupant of pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the claimant may not be considered.</p>
16	<p>The claim of Sh. Siya Ram has been received vide DY. No. 386 dated 22.10.2020. As per the original survey unknown person was residing in the prefab. Further, as per Adhar Card the claimant is the resident of Small Flats Dhanas, Chandigarh.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Sh. Siya Ram is not the occupant of pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the claimant may not be considered.</p>
948	<p>The claim of Sh. Ram Adhar has been received vide DY. No. 412 dated 22.10.2020, whereas in the original survey list the name of Sh. Surya Narayan is existing against Pre-fab Shelter No. 948. However, Sh. Ram Adhar applied for afresh Adhar on 21-10-2020 against the said pre-fab shelter. Further, Sh. Ram Adhar doesn't have any other address proof of the said P-Shelter.</p> <p>In view of the above facts, it seems prima facie that Sh. Ram Adhar is not the occupant of pre-fab shelter. Further, as per directions given in the note issued by CEO, CHB vide no. PA/CEO/CHB/2020/205 dated 29.10.2020 "the prime criteria of the eligibility is being present occupant of the prefab shelter". Therefore, the claim of the claimant may not be considered.</p>

SH
29.10.2020.

M
29.10.2020

ill
29/10/2020