

Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon'ble High Court of Punjab and Haryana from 25.05.2024 to 31.05.2024

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status/reply filed	Hearing date
1	RSA/6932/2016	Regular Second Appeal filed by the petitioner for setting aside the order dated 04.08.2015 passed by the Court of Sh. Anil Kaushik, Civil Judge, Junior Division, U.T., Chandigarh thereby ordering for rejection of the plaint and judgement dated 09.08.2016 passed by the court of Sh. Jasbir Singh Sidhu, Addl. District Judge Chandigarh in the interest of justice. 3.Further prayed that filing of certified copies of the order dated 04.08.2015 and judgement dated 09.08.2016 may kindly be exempted in the interest of justice. (2007/1, Sector 40-C, Chandigarh)	CHARANJIT KAUR AND ANR VS CHAIRMAN CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ORS	Being RSA, no need to file reply	27 May 2024
2	CWP/36447/2019	UT Employees Scheme 2008 (The Petitioner filed the petition with pray i) issue order to the respondents to allot the flats to the petitioners under UT Employees Self Financing Scheme of 2008 within a time bound frame in pursuance to draw of lots held on 04.11.2010 and to allot the flats on the same price as depicted in the scheme II) quashing the public notice dated 22.06.2019 vide which the respondents have put an excessive and exorbitant rate at current price without any reasonable nexus with the scheme so floated III) Pass order for staying the operation of the impugned public notice dated 22.06.2019 qua the part of demand of exorbitant/excessive rate of flats or in the alternative directions be issued to the respondents to accept the old rates subject to final decision of the writ petition in the interest of justice).	RAM KISHAN VS UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS	Reply filed	27 May 2024

3	CWP/11120/2022	<p>the petitioner has filed the subject cited petition for issuing appropriate writ order or direction in the nature of certiorari for quashing the impugned notice dated 03.05.2022 Annexure P being illegal arbitrary unreasonable therefore in violation of Article 14 19 and 21 of constitution of India besides in violation of the policy of respondent o1 Annexure P 3 AND For issuing an appropriate Order or Direction restraining the respondent No 1 and 2 from carrying on the eviction of petitioners from their houses Jhuggis in Janta Colony, Sector 25 Chandigarh till the of this Writ Petition AND For Issuing an appropriate Writ Order or Direction in nature of mandamus directing the Respondents to make arrangements of the alternative accommodations for the petitioners in view of the policy Annexure 3 Annexure 4 Annexure P 7 in view of Honorable Supreme court judgments as the eviction is being done in violation of principle of Natural Justice and without 2 Rehabilitating the Considerable section of the Slum Dwellers and rendering them homeless in Violation of Article 1419 and 21 of Constitution of India And Or Any other order or direction which this Honorable Court deems fit in view of the facts amp circumstances of the case</p>	ACHHE LAL AND ORS. VS UT, CHANDIGARH AND ORS.	A joint affidavit filed by Estate office and other concerned department	27 May 2024
4	CWP/10299/2022	<p>The Petitioner had filed the subject cited petition before Honorable High Court of Punjab and Haryana at UT Chandigarh for quashing the notice dated 03-05-2022 being illegal arbitrary unreasonable and therefore in violation of Article 14 19 and 21 of Constitution of India, besides in violation of policy of Respondent No1</p> <p>Further for restraining the respondents 1and 2 for carrying the eviction of the petitioners from their houses, jhuggis in Janta Colony Sector 25 Chandigarh till the adjudication of Writ Petition</p>	DAVINDER AND OTHERS VS UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH AND ORS.	A joint affidavit filed by estate office and other concerned department	27 May 2024

		<p>Further for directing the respondents to make arrangement for alternate accommodation to the petitioners in view of the policy and In view of Honorable Supreme Court Judgements, as eviction is being done in violation of natural justice and rehabilitation the considerable section of Slum Dwellers and rendering them homeless in violation of Article 14, 19 and 21 of Constitution of India</p> <p>Any other appropriate writ order or direction which this Honorable Court may deem fit and proper keeping in view the peculiar facts and circumstances of the instant case</p>			
5	CWP/12418/2023	<p>writ petition filed by Sh. Gurinder Pal Singh and 29 others for directing the Respondent No.2 to duly constitute a Registered Agency, being a Residents Welfare Association Sector 51-Ak, Chandigarh 2 BHK Self Financing Housing Scheme 2016, to be formed for the purpose of maintenance of common portions and services, as per the Allotment Letter issued to the Petitioners by Respondent No.2 and as per the provisions of the Chandigarh Housing Board (Allotment, Management and Sale of Tenements), Regulations 1979 and to validly hand over the common area facilities/portions, more particularly the lifts, in a working condition to the Residents Welfare Association and to further ensure that the Registered Agency functions with the domain of its powers for protection of the interests of the of the Residents of Sector 51-A, Chandigarh 2BHK Self Financing Housing Scheme; And for quashing the letter dated 23.07.2021 whereby while arbitrarily exercising its powers, Respondents No.2 upholds the elections conducted on 11.07.2021 to constitute Residents Welfare Association, Sector 51-A, Chandigarh, 2 BHK Self-Financing Scheme, 2016 and directs the invalidly</p>	<p>GURINDER PAL SINGH AND OTHERS VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION THROUGH ITS ADVISOR TO THE ADMINISTRATOR, UT AND OTHERS</p>	<p>Reply prepared by the counsel and yet to be signed</p>	<p>27 May 2024</p>

		<p>constituted Residents Welfare Association to start its functioning, the same being formed in derogation of Chandigarh Housing Board (Allotment, Management and Sale of Tenements), Regulations 1979;</p> <p>And directing the Respondent No.2 take over the administration/management of the affairs of 200 - Two Bed Room Flats under Self Financing Housing Scheme on leasehold basis in Sector 51-A, Chandigarh until a Residents Welfare Association is constituted validly in consonance with the terms of Chandigarh Housing Board Allotment, Management and Sale of Tenements Regulations 1979 issuance of a writ in the nature of MANDAMUS directing Respondent No.4, which despite being constituted invalidly, has been illegally raising demands for payment of maintenance charges/fees which are as such in teeth of the provisions of Chandigarh Housing Board (Allotment, Management and Sale of Tenements), Regulations 1979 as the same and the purpose of collecting the same are required to be determined/decided by Respondent No.2 and are being raised without there being any basis thereof, especially when an amount of Rs.50,000/- was collected from each allottee towards maintenance charges at the time of allotment, to refrain such collection of maintenance fees/charges from the Residents of 200 Two Bed Room Flats allotted under Self Financing Housing Scheme on leasehold basis in Sector 51-A, Chandigarh; AND issuance of a writ in the nature of MANDAMUS directing Respondent No.2 to return the lease rent collected from allottees since 2019, due to non-execution of lease deed, thereby violating the provisions of the Chandigarh Estate Rules, 2007 and also as delineated in the Self Financing Housing</p>			
--	--	---	--	--	--

		<p>Scheme on leasehold basis, in Sector 51-A, Chandigarh, within 30 days from the date of issuance of allotment letter; AND issuance of a writ in the nature of MANDAMUS directing Respondent No.2 to convert the leasehold flats to freehold flats similar to the 55 flats auctioned later</p> <p>without additional charges as within the same scheme, all units must either by on leasehold or freehold basis or in the alternative execute the Lease Deed and register the same in favour of the allottees, which is to be executed and registered mandatrily as per the Chandigarh Estate Rules, 2007 and also as delineated in the Self-Financing Housing Scheme AND issuance of a writ in the nature of MANDAMUS directing the Respondents to grant access to the residents of 200 Two Bed Room Flats allotted under Self Financing Housing Scheme on leasehold basis in Sector 51-A, Chandigarh of all common portions and services, particularly lifts in working/functioning condition, without demanding any amount towards purported charges;</p> <p>AND issuance of a writ in the nature of MANDAMUS directing Respondent No.2 to share with the initial 130 allottees</p>			
6	CWP/10808/2022	<p>petitioner has filed the subject cited petition for issuing appropriate writ order or direction in the nature of certiorari for quashing the impugned notice Annexure P being illegal arbitrary unreasonable therefore in violation of Article 14 19 and 21 of constitution of India besides in violation of the policy of respondent o1 Annexure P 3 AND For issuing an appropriate Order or Direction restraining the respondentno1 amp2 from carrying on the eviction of petitioners from their houses Jhuggis in Sanjay Labour Colony Phase1</p>	<p>MOHAN SAHNI AND OTHERS VS UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS</p>	<p>A joint affidavit filed by estate office and other concerned department</p>	<p>27 May 2024</p>

		Industrial Area Chandigarh till the of this Writ Petition AND For Issuing an appropriate Writ Order or Direction in nature of mandamus directing the Respondents to make arrangements of the alternative accommodations for the petitioners in view of the policy Annexure 3 Annexure 4 Annexure P7 in view of Honorable Supreme court judgments as the eviction is being done in violation of principle of Natural Justice and without 2 Rehabilitating the Considerable section of the Slum Dwellers and rendering them homeless in Violation of Article 1419 and 21 of Constitution of India And Or Any other order or direction which this Honorable Court deems fit in view of the facts and circumstances of the case			
7	CWP/10942/2018	Writ petition filed by the Petitioner for directing the respondents to extend the benefits of Notification dated 18.09.1998 and extend the benefit of Revised Pay Scale on the analogy of Order dated 15.09.2015 passed by the Hon'ble Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh and Office order No.68 dated 18.08.2015 issued by Executive Engineer C.P. Division No.4 U.T., Chandigarh which is applicable in the case of the petitioners as they are governed by the same rules and regulations which are applicable to the U.T. Administration Employees and the fact that the similar benefits have already been granted by the U.T., Employees on the basis of Notification P-1 and the U.T. Administration has already taken a conscientious decision to extend the benefit of the aforesaid Notification dated 18.09.1998 (Annexure P-1) and the order for grant of other prayers which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit in peculiar facts and circumstances.	ANMOLAK SINGH AND ANR. VS UT OF CHANDIGARH AND ORS.	Reply filed	28 May 2024

8	CWP/28958/2018	Civil Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing the order dated 31.10.2018 and further directing the respondents to transfer the D.U.No.3009/1, Sector 47-D, in his name.	KIRPAL SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD THROUGH ITS SECRETARY	Adjourned	28 May 2024
9	CWP/6413/2020	Petition filed by the subject cited petitioner for directing the respondents to implement the pension scheme for the petitioners and all other serving and retired employees who joined the service of the Chandigarh Housing Board before 01.01.2004, expeditiously in the time bond manner particularly when it has already resolved in its 345 th meeting held on 03.02.2009 and also in its various subsequent meeting to implement the pension scheme at part with the employees of the Chandigarh Administration, who are governed by the Punjab Civil Services Rules and for further directions to immediately release the pensionary benefits to the employees who have already retired from the Chandigarh Housing Board.	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD EMPLOYEES COORDINATION COMMITTEE AND OTHERS VS UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS	Reply filed	29 May 2024
10	CWP/23578/2014	Petition filed for quashing the action of the respondents in not regularizing the service of the petitioner despite the fact that they are continuously working with the respondent Board from the year 1981-1994 onward to the entire satisfaction of the Board on the ground that the matter was referred to the respondent No.1 for according approval for reation of posts in the year 1999 and the same was returned, but thereafter no further steps was taken. Further for directions to consider and regularize the services of the petitioners, who are continuously working from the last so many years in term of Govt. instructions issued from time to time.	BRIJ PAL & ORS VS UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION & ORS	Reply filed	30 May 2024

11	CWP/17382/2017	Civil Writ Petition filed for quashing order No.42 dated 18.02.2016 being illegal and without authority of law and in violation of Section 4 of Capital of Punjab(Development and Regulations) Act, 1952 and further declaring the Notice Annexure P-17 issued to one of the petitioner and similar notices issued to the other petitioners as illegal and without any authority of law.	ARUN KUMAR AND ORS VS UT OF CHANDIGARH AND ORS	Status Affidavit prepared by the counsel and sent for approval and signature	30 May 2024
12	CWP/11996/2018	<p>Civil Writ Petition to issue a writ of Certiorari to quash the order dated 17.04.2018 (Annexure P-13) upholding the order 16.01.2009 (Annexure P-2) and the order dated 25.01.2005 (Annexure P-1) regarding expulsion of Shri Romesh Chander Batra, since deceased, being illegal, unlawful, arbitrary regarding flat No. 3268, category B, Sector 49-D, Chandigarh in possession of the petitioner being legatee in terms of registered will dated 31.08.2004, probate of which granted in Probate petition No. 8 of 2009 decided on 16.12.2012 by the learned Additional District Judge, Chandigarh.</p> <p>And/ Or</p> <p>Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to complete the proceedings regarding transfer of flat no. 3268, sector 49-D, Chandigarh in favor of the legatees in terms of probate case dated 16.10.2012 passed in probate petition no. 8 of 2009 by the court of Id. Additional district judge, Chandigarh in view of the notifications dated 07.02.2008 and 21.08.2008 issued by the respondent No. 1.</p> <p>Issue any other writ, order or direction which this Hon'ble court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.</p> <p>It is further prayed that the dispossession of the petitioner from flat no. 3268, Sector 49, Chandigarh and its further allotment in any manner may kindly be stayed, during the pendency of the writ petition.</p>	ROMESH CHANDER BATRA SON OF RAM PARKASH BATRA DECEASED THROUGH HIS LR'S DEVINDER SINGH VS UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	Reply filed	30 May 2024

13	CWP/22212/2019	Writ petition filed by the petitioner for quashing impugned order dated 26.06.2006, order in First Appeal Dated 28.05.2010, Order in second appeal dated 19.05.2017, order in Final Revision Petition dated 09.04.2019 Another (3281, Dhanas, Chandigarh – Building Violation case)	SOHAN LAL SAINI THROUGH SUB-GPA, SUMAN GABA VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD THROUGH ITS CHAIRMAN, SECTOR 9 CHANDIGARH AND ANOTHER	Reply filed	30 May 2024
14	RSA/459/2020	DU No. 3918, 47-D, Chandigarh (Restore and upheld the Judgements dt. 07.12.2017 passed by Civil Judge and 08.08.2019 passed by District and Session Judge)	HANS RAJ MALHOTRA VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	No need to file reply being RSA	30 May 2024

Advance List of court cases pending before Hon'ble District Court from 25.05.2024 to 31.05.2024

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	C.S./2212/2019	Civil suit filed by the plaintiff restraining to block common passage/kuchha rasta by raising constn. Of boundary wall in village Maloya, UT, Chandigarh.	RAJESH KUMAR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Argument	27 May 2024
2	MCA DJ/46/2021	MCA/DJ/46 Civil Appeal against the order dated 23.09.2021 passed by the court of Sh. Puneet Mohinia, Ld. Civil Judge, (Jr. Div.) CHD, whereby application under order 39 Rule 1 and 2 read with Section 151 CPC for grant of ad-interim injunction filed by appellant has been dismissed.	SURINDER KUMAR VS KRISHNA DEVI	Notice record and	27 May 2024
3	CIVIL MISC/20/2022	Civil Miscellaneous 20 2022 titled as Aruna Parashar vs Parveen Kumar Sandal DU No 6611 Sector 41 A Chandigarh	ARUNA PARASHAR VS PARVEEN KUMAR SANDAL	Reply And Consideration	27 May 2024
4	MCA DJ/14/2023	MCA DJ/14/2023 Teejo Vs Chandigarh Housing Board Du No Site No 43 Karsan Colony, Phase-II, Chandigarh	TEEJO VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	27 May 2024
5	CS CJ/1279/2023	CSCJ/1279/2023, Gireesh Kapoor Vs General Public, DU No 3702, HIG Lower, Sector 46-C, Chandigarh	GIREESH KAPOOR VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Appearance	27 May 2024

6	ARB/474/2023	To challenge the arbitration award dated 30-04-2023 In the matter of Arbitration between Ms BLMehta Construction Pvt Ltd and Chandigarh Housing Board for the work of Construction of 260 Two Bed Room Flats GR-II Composite Wok at Sector-63, Gr-II CHD	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD VS M/S B. L. MEHTA CONSTRUCTIONS P LTD	Reply filed not	27 May 2024
7	EXE/661/2023	EXE/661/2023 Nand Lal Thakur VS Dilbagh Singh H No 3288/2 Sector 45 D Chandigarh PUC is a summons to appear in the subject cited court case received in this office on 27 12 2023 alongwith the copy of petition copy attached may kindly be perused please The case is fixed for hearing on 06 01 2024 at 10 00 am before the Hon ble Court of Civil Judge Jr Div Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the Application under Order 39 Rules 1 2 read with Section 151 of Civil Procedure Code 1908 for the grant of an ad-interim Injunction against the JD No 1 for not selling or alienating the property in question and for passing the directions to JD No 3 not to transfer the title of the property in question i e House No 3288/2 Second Floor Sector 45 D Chandigarh and passing an order of status quo not do further alteration or in mutation/ transfer process be stop during the pendency of present execution proceeding be adjecuted or satisfaction of present execution	NAND LAL THAKUR VS DILBAGH SINGH	Appearance	27 May 2024
8	PROB/5/2024	PROB/5/2024 BB Khanna Vs General Public H No 300/2 MIG L Sector 41A ChandiGARH PUC is a summons to appear in the subject cited court case received in this office sent by AO IV on 19/03/2024 without the copy of petition (copy attached) may kindly be perused please The Plaintiff has filed the petition under Section 372 of the Indian Succession Act, 1925 for Probatre of irrevocable Will dated 09/07/1985, Annexure P/1 Registered vide Wasika/ Registration serial No 822 Book No 3 Volume 132 Page No 173/177 Registered Before the then Sub Registrar before the then Sub Registrar Chandigarh on 10/07/1985.	B.B. KHANNA VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Notice record and	27 May 2024
9	CS CJ/1066/2020	The application under section 80(2) CPC for grant of exemption for sending the advancing legal notice to defendants. The applicant prayed the present suit of the plaintiff be allowed and the decree of declaration be passed in favour of the plaintiff to entitle and to allot one booth in the Rehri Market, Sector 20-D, Chandigarh on the basis of this hand cart license No.45, Site No.179, Sector 20-D, Chandigarh.	OM PARKASH VS UNION OF INDIA	Evidence	28 May 2024

10	CS CJ/2246/2020	In this matter, the applicant has filed suit for mandatory for issuance direction to the defendant no.1 to demolish the unauthorized constructed/illegal encroachment in the house defendant No.2 and 3 i.e. house No.2229, Sector 45-C, Chandigarh and further direction may also be issued to defendant no.1 to penalized the owner/occupant of H.No.2229 for illegal encroachment of violation Chandigarh Housing Board rules and regulation. Suit for mandatory injunction for issuance directions to the defendant no.2 and 3 to pay the compensation for the dragging the plaintiff into this unwanted litigation and further they also may be directed to pay compensation for the mental harassment and physical harassment in his old age.	DES RAJ VS CHD HOUSING BOARD	Replication not filed. Now the case stands adjourned to 11.07.2023 for filing replication qua written statement filed by defendant No.1 subject to last opportunity	28 May 2024
11	CS CJ/1224/2021	In this regard, it is submitted that the said application under Order 39 Rule 1 and 2 read with Section 151 CPC for grant of ad-interim injunction restraining the defendant No.1 not to part with, rent out, change the structure, lease out to any body and not to create charge in any form against Flat No.454 Sector 61, Chandigarh, during the pendency of the suit and further restraining defendant no 3 not to the transfer the flat in question during the pendency of the suit in the name of any other person.	JITENDER UPPAL VS ASHWANI KUMAR	Consideration	28 May 2024
12	CS CJ/261/2023	CSCJ/261/2023, Harmesh Goyal VS Chandigarh Housing Board, Booth No 269, Manimajra.	HARMESH GOYAL VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Written Statement/Reply/Replication / Consideration	28 May 2024
13	CS CJ/948/2023	CSCJ/948/2023 Mohinder Singh Sood Vs Munne DU No 2626/1 Sector 44-C, CHD	MOHINDER SINGH SOOD VS MUNNE	Case Filed	28 May 2024
14	CA/45/2024	CA/45/2024 in Civil Suit No CSCJ/2324/2019 titled as Vijay Kumari vs Secy CHB Anr Detailed report regarding violations in respect of LIG Flats Sector 47/C CHD Allotment of DU No 2905/1 S/47/C CHD The Plaintiff has filed the Civil Appeal under section 96 of CPC Against the impugned judgment and decree dated 31/01/2024 passed by Shri Parmod Kumar, HCS Civil Judge Jr Div Chandigarh in Civil Suit No 2324 of 2019 titled as Vijay Kumair Vs Chandigarh Housing Board and Anr thereby dismissed the Civil Suit for declaration, permanent Injunction and Mandatory	VIJAY KUMARI VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Notice record and	28 May 2024

		Injunction is illegal nonest perverse as the same was not properly decided and the same is liable to be set aside To accept the appeal, to set aside the impugned judgment and decree dated 31/01/2024 and to allow the relief claimed in the Civil Suit with cost throughout to meet the end of justice And also filed Application for staying the operation of judgment and decree dated 31/01/2024 passed by Shri Parmod Kumar HCS Civil Judge JR Div. Chandigarh in Civil Suit No 2324 of 2019 titled as Vijay Kumari vs Chandigarh Housing Board and Anr And also for staying the operation of order dated 26/11/2019 issued by the office of defendant no 2 till the decision of the appeal			
15	CS CJ/2020/2019	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for recovery of Rs.5,68,000/- alongwith interest @ 18% per annum in respect of D.U No. 5894/MHC, Manimajra, Chandigarh, under Scheme 'A' for N.R.I under Manimajra Housing Scheme 1993	DALBIR SINGH SANDHU VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Evidence	29 May 2024
16	CS CJ/2064/2021	Suit for Declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is the sole and absolute owner of Dwelling Unit No 2198-D Sector-63 Chandigarh by the virtue of registered documents I e GPA Will Affidavit Indemnity Bond and Agreement to Sell all executed on 22-01-2010 by the Late Daljeet Singh S/o Sh. Mukhtiar Singh in favour of Late Balbir Kaur and plaintiff and further that the defendant no 1 to 3 have no right, title or interest to claim the ownership and possession of the Dwelling Unit No 2198-D Sector-63 Chandigarh.	GAGANDEEP SINGH AHLUWALIA VS LAKHBIR KAUR	Notice And Record	29 May 2024
17	MISC DJ/591/2023	MISC DJ/591/2023 Satish Kumar Wadhawa Vs General Public Du No 511-2, Sector 45-A, Chandigarh he Plaintiff has filed the Application under section 5 of Limitation Act for condonation of delay of 60 days.	SATISH KUMAR WADHAWA VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Reply and consideration	29 May 2024
18	EXE/625/2023	EXE/625/2023 Rajesh Goyal Vs General Public H No 2223-E Sector 63The plaintiff has filed an application for execution of the judgement dated 30-05-2023 passed by this honble Court in Civil Suit No 1440/2022 decided on 30-05-2023	RAJESH GOYAL VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Reply and consideration	29 May 2024
19	CS CJ/89/2024	CSCJ/89/2024 SUSHIL KUMAR VS GENERAL PUBLIC H NO 3372 SECTOR 45 D CHANDIGARH The Plaintiff has filed the Suit for declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is the owner of H No 3372 Sector 45 D Chandigarh by virtue of the WILL Executed by Dr Shankar Nath Sanyal son of Late Sh Provot Kumar Sanyal registered in the office of Sub Registrar Chandigarh at serial No 2369 Book No 3 Volume No 257 Dated 20/11/2001 in favour of	SUSHIL KUMAR VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Notice and record	29 May 2024

		the plaintiff along with a Suit for Mandatory Injunction directing the defendant No 3 to transfer the said house in the record of Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh in the name of the plaintiff And Suit for Permanent injunction restraining the Defendant No 2 from Selling Transferring Alienating the property in favour of any other person or the create any charge Lien Interest of any Third party in the above noted property and also restraining the defendant No 3 from entering any Mutation/transferring the property in name of any other person except the Plaintiff			
20	CS CJ/612/2024	CSCJ/612/2024 Isher Singh Vs General Public H No 14 Sector 41A UT Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the SUIT for declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is owner in possession of the HNo 14 Sector 41A UT Chandigarh and further Civil Suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant no 2 to transfer the House No 14 Sector 41 A UT Chandigarh owner in possession on the basis of bonafide purchaser and documents ie Power of Attorney Will etc executed in the favour of plaintiff And/Or Any other relief which this Hon ble Court may deem fit and proper in the light of facts and circumstances of the case also be passed in favour of the plaintiff and against the defendants	ISHER SINGH VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Notice and record	29 May 2024
21	CS CJ/243/2018	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for mandatory injunction directing CHB for remove/demolish illegal/unauthorized construction in respect of DU No. 796/41-A, Chandigarh.	SARBJIT SINGH HIRA VS SECRETARY, CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Evidence	30 May 2024
22	CS CJ/1858/2017	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer the ownership of DU No. 3334, Sector 47-D, Chandigarh on the basis of GPA of Def. No. 2 (Attar Singh) thereby entering into an agreement to sell.	HARISH GUPTA VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Notice and Record	30 May 2024
23	CIVIL SUIT/994/2023	CSCJ/994/2023 Meera Rani Vs Chandigarh Housing Board, 491-1, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the application under order 39 rule 1 and 2 read with section 151 of cpc praying the ad-interim injunction restraining the defendants from selling, alienating, transferring, mortgaging the flat no.491/1, sector 41-a, Chandigarh during - the pendency of the suit.	MEERA RANI VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply and consideration	30 May 2024
24	CS CJ/1205/2023	CSCJ/1205/2023 Bal Krishan Vs Chandigarh Housing Board, Du No 2629 Small Flats Sector 49, Chandigarh	BAL KRISHAN VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply and consideration	30 May 2024
25	CS CJ/4314/2023	CSCJ/4314/2023 Swarna Rani Vs Vijay Shera H No 5108/3 MHC Manimajra UT Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the Suit for	SWARNA RANI VS VIJAY SHERA	Reply and consideration	30 May 2024

		<p>declaration to the effect that the suit property ie House number the House No 5108/3 Modern Housing Complex Manimajra UT Chandigarh is coowned by plaintiff No 1 to 5 and defendant No 1 to the extent of equal shares each being legal heir of Late Sh Ajit Singh son of late Shri Guttu Ram and further for declaration to the effect that the alleged Will of Late Shri Ajit Singh son of late Shri Guttu Ram is a forged fabricated and manipulated document which is also surrounded by suspicious circumstances and is illegal null and void and thus is not binding upon the parties and as well as for declaration to the effect that the alleged Will which is being impugned by way of present suit does not entitle the defendant No 1 to proclaim the ownership by her to the extent of 50 along with plaintiff No 5 consequently thus entitles the plaintiffs and defendant No 1 to co own the suit property to the extent of equal share each And for partition and exclusive possession of in respect of House No 5108/3 Modern Housing Complex Manimajra UT Chandigarh ie 2 BHK alongwith latrine bath and scooter garage by meets and bounds among the plaintiff no 1 to 5 and defendant no 1 as per their respective equal share and if the partition of the above said house is not possible by meets and bounds due to any legal implication or otherwise among the plaintiff No 1 to 5 and defendant no 1 then partition of the House/ sale of the House by auction amongst the plaintiffs and defendant no1 and if the partition of the above house is not possible by way of auction among co owners sale of the house i e amongst the plaintiff no 1 to 5 and defendant no 1 then by auction of the above house in public and the sale proceeds of the same may be distributed among the plaintiff no 1 to 5 and defendant no 1 as per their respective equal shares And for a recovery of Rs 10 15 560 towards mesne profit Rs 650 per day along with interest 12 from the date of death of the mother i e Smt Lajwanti who passed away on 19 02 2020 w e f 20 02 2020 i e a sum of Rs 9 06 750 and interest Rs 1 08 810 till the date of decree and from the date of decree till the realization of the decreetal amount in favour of the plaintiffs and against the defendant No 1 And a decree for permanent injunction restraining the defendant No 1 or his / their representative from alienating selling transferring or parting with possession entire or any portion or share of the house in question directly or indirectly to</p>			
--	--	---	--	--	--

		any other person including any third person or from creating any kind of charge or person or from creating any kind of charge or encumbrances or from making any alterations over the above said property and further restraining defendant No 2 to allow sale transfer etc of House No 5108/3 Modern Housing Complex Manimajra U T Chandigarh in favour of defendant No 1 or her authorized representative etc in its record on the basis of oral and documentary be passed in favour of the plaintiffs and against the defendants on the basis of oral and documentary			
26	CS CJ/1964/2021	Suit for declaration and permanent injunction to declare the plaintiff as lawful owner and in possession of House No. 694, LIG (D), Sector-41/A, U.T. Chandigarh and transfer this house in the name of present plaintiff, inter alia on the basis of Registered Special Power of Attorney dated 01.03.1995 executed in the office of Sub-Registrar, Chandigarh in favour of late husband of plaintiff namely Sh Dheera Singh son of Sh Gyan Singh, executed by the Original Allottee of this House i.e. Late Malika Rani Vohra W/o Late Balraj Vohra, R/o H.No. 694, Setor-41, Chandigarh vide Allotment No. 1505, Registration Letter No. 11463 dated 26.04.1985, who after clearing all the dues of CHB, cleared vide Letter Memo No. HB-CAO-AO-III-AC IIII 6523 dated 13.03.1995, sold this house to the late husband of plaintiff become the absolute owner and in possession of this house being legally wedded wife of Dheera Singh in the interest of justice, equity and fair play.	RAJNI BALA VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Written Statement/Rep ly/Replication / Consideration	31 May 2024
27	CS CJ/874/2021	Suit for Possession (symbolic) by way of Specific Performance of Agreement to Sell (Contract) date 20.06.1986, with direction to defendant no. 1 to execute and get registered sale deed in respect of House No. 3708, HIG (Lower), Sector-46-C, Chandigarh.	SANDEEP SINGH BHASIN VS MAJOR M.L. MEHTA	Arguments	31 May 2024

Advance List of court cases pending before Permanent Lok Adalat PUS, Chandigarh From 25.05.2024 to 31.05.2024

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	APPLICATION/Rev 03/2024	The Plaintiff has filed the application for the review of the order dated 01-08-2023 passed by this Honourable Court The Application No 16 of 2022 titled as Usha Bansal Vs CHB dismissed vide order dated 01-08-2023 of the subject cited matter	Usha Bansal and others Vs Chandigarh Housing Board	Para wise comments sent, reply yet to be filed	29 April 2024
2	APPLICATION/10/2024	The petitioner has filed the application for issuance of necessary direction to the respondents to allot an accommodation under the Pradhan Mantri Awas Yojna Scheme (PMAY) Scheme as floated by the Government being the eligible applicant	Pawan Kumar VS Chandigarh Housing Board and others	Para wise comments sent, reply yet to be filed	30 May 2024
3	APPLICATION/17/2023	Application No 17 of 2023 Raju Verma Vs Chandigarh Housing Board and Others. Small Flat DU No 4707/2 Sector 38-West, Chandigarh	Raju Verma Vs Chandigarh Housing Board and Others	Reply filed	30 May 2024

Advance List of court cases pending before Hon'ble Advisor to Administrator, UT, Chandigarh From 25.05.2024 to 31.05.2024

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	REVISION/37/2019	Cancellation on account of building violation in respect of DU No. 3289/1, Dhanas, Chandigarh	Laxmi Devi VS CHB	Reply filed	30 May 2024
2	REVISION/32/2023	(Advisor Court Case) RP 32 of 2023 Kirti Singh S/o Kesar Singh through its GPA Gautam Singh VS Chandigarh Housing Board. Du No 781, Ram Darbar, Phase-II, Chandigarh	Kirti Singh S/o Kesar Singh through its GPA Gautam Singh VS Chandigarh Housing Board.	Reply not filed	30 May 2024
3	REVISION/20/2023	Revision Petition/21/2023 Ramesh Kumar Vs Chandigarh Housing Board, DU No 2430, Sector 40-C, Chandigarh	Ramesh Kumar VS Chandigarh Housing Board	Reply filed	30 May 2024
4	REVISION/1/2021	Paras Ram vs Chandigarh Housing Board and others Du No 421, sector 56, Palsora Colony, Chandigarh	Paras Ram VS Chandigarh Housing Board	Draft reply sent by the counsel	30 May 2024

Advance List of court cases pending before Hon'ble District Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission II, UT Chandigarh From 25.05.2024 to 31.05.2024

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	CC/355/2020	Complaint under section 34 of the consumer Protection Act has been filed. The CHB has transferred the property Both No.07, Sector 61, Chandigarh with a condition to execute the lease hold transfer deed with CHB within 6 months failing which the allotment of booth shall be liable to be cancelled.	Mandeep Kumar Jasuja VS CHB	Argument	27 May 2024