

## Advance List of court cases pending before Hon'ble High Court of Punjab and Haryana in next 7 days

S.no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	COCP/2307/2015 IN CWP/20578/2011	COCP filed by the petitioner for non compliance of the order dated 23.03.2013 and subsequent order dated 13.12.2013 passed om CM No.9619/2012 in CWPO No.20578 of 2011 decided on 23.03.2012	RESIDENTS WELFARE FEDERATION SEC-45A CHANDIGARH VS SATYA GOPAL AND ORS	Reply filed and case is pending for arguments	06 May 2024
2	CWP/1076/2019	(The Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006) Writ Petition filed for setting aside the order dated 20.04.2018 passed by the appellate authority-cum-CEO, CHB and directing the respondents to allow the petitioner to apply under the Chandigarh Small Flat Scheme 2006 for which he is fully eligible and is legally entitled. And further prayed for directing the respondent No.3 to consider the case of the petitioner for allotment of a small flat under the Small Flat Scheme 2006 within a period of one month.	BALI KARAN VS UT CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	Reply filed and case is pending for arguments	06 May 2024
3	CWP/28958/2018	Civil Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing the order dated 31.10.2018 and further directing the respondents to transfer the D.U.No.3009/1, Sector 47-D, in his name.	KIRPAL SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD THROUGH ITS SECRETARY	Reply filed and case is pending for arguments	06 May 2024
4	CWP/12512/2019	Society Matter.	THE NIRVANA COOPERATIVE HOUSE BUILDING FIRST SOCIETY LTD AND ORS VS UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	Reply filed and case is pending for arguments	06 May 2024
5	CWP/4691/2017	(Oustees Matter) Writ petition filed by the petitioners for quashing the "Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling Units to the Oustees of Chandigarh Scheme, 1996" issued by Respondent No.3 i.e. CHB vide which applications have been invited upto 15.03.2017 for allotment of flats to the eligible oustees of U.T., Chandigarh under Self Financing Housing Scheme on lease hold/free hold basis, the same being directly in conflict of the order/judgement dated 05.12.2013 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in CWP No.14300 of 1996 and connected 46 writ petitions. AND also a writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to allot dwelling	SATBIR SINGH and ORS VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION and ORS	Reply filed and case is pending for arguments	07 May 2024

		units to the petitioner's strictly in compliance of the order/judgement dated 05.12.2013 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in CWP No.14300 of 1996 and connected 46 writ petitions.			
6	CWP/5424/2018	Civil Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing the office order dated 28.02.2018 and Resolution dated 27.02.2018 against Table Agenda Point No.409.1 passed in 409th meeting held on 27.02.2018 to the extent that the Chandigarh Housing Board has resolved that the extensions in service beyond the age of superannuation to its employees will not be granted extension already granted to its employees will be treated as revoked except those employees, whose extensions are ongoing, who shall be relieved and retired, on attaining the ages of 59 or 60 years as the case may be. AND Further for directions to the respondents to restore the office order dated 12.02.2018 whereby the accepting the Option dated 30.10.2017 submitted by the petitioner to continue in service for first years beyond 28.02.2018 was accepted and the petitioner was allowed to continue in service for a period of one (1) more year i.e. up to 28.02.2019.	SUDHIR KUMAR BHAKHRI VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION and ANR	Reply filed and case is pending for arguments	07 May 2024
7	CWP/4769/2021	CWP under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ in the nature of a Certiorari, quashing the impugned letter No.377367 dated 27.08.2020 vide which the Respondent No.3 has rejected the request of the Petitioner for refund of conversion charges of Rs.40,56,000/- in respect of Plot No.368-369, Indl Area, Ph-I, Chandigarh of the Petitioner, being manifestly arbitrary, violative of Article 14 of India and also declare the same as being illegal, improper, unjust, predetermined, malicious, irrational and beyond the provisions of law.	M/S ESSEN DEINKI VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER	Short reply filed	07 May 2024
5	CWP/13840/2019	Civil Writ petition filed by the petitioner for setting aside the order dated 18.02.2019 passed by the respondents CHB. And to issue directions to the respondents to refund the amount of Rs.32,27,864/- paid by the petitioner with interest @24% per annum if he is not agreeing with the proposal put forwarded by the petitioner in his application dated 26.02.2018.(Booth BNo.35Housing Complex, Manimajra)	SANDEEP KUMAR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD, CHANDIGARH AND ORS	Reply filed and case is pending for arguments	08 May 2024
8	CWP/15226/2019	For directing the respondent authorities to allot one flat to the petitioners	VIJAY PAL VERMA AND ANR VS THE	Reply filed and	08 May 2024

		under the Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006.	APPELLATE AUTHORITY-CUM-CEO CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ANR	case is pending for arguments	
9	CWP/21227/2020	In the said matter the CHB is a respondent as a party at Sr.No.1 This case related to rental allotment under the Affordable rental housing complexes scheme.	BIJENDER KUMAR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ANR	Reply filed and case is pending for arguments	08 May 2024
10	CWP/22754/2023	Civil Writ Petitioner filed by the petitioner for issuance an appropriate writ order or direction most appropriately in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to count the previous respective Daily wager Work Charge service of the petitioner as mentioned in para 2 of this petition rendered by her with the respondent department as qualifying service for the purpose of retrial benefits such as leave encasement Gratuity etc in terms of Judgement passed by this Honorable Court in Kesar Chand versus State of Punjab and others and further direct the respondents to grant the petitioner the admissible benefits of proficiency step- up-financial up gradation under ACP - MACP Scheme as per Judgement passed by the Apex Court in State of Haryana and Others versus Ravinder Kumar and Others AND-OR Further prayer to direct the respondents to grant pension to the petitioner by implementing the Pension Scheme at par with the employees of Chandigarh Administration particularly when it has already been resolved by the Chandigarh Housing Board in its 345th meeting held on 03-02-2009 and also in various subsequent meetings for implementing the Pension Scheme AND OR For issuance of any other order or direction which this Honorable Court may deem fit and proper under the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case	ANJU BALA VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND OTHERS	Reply filed and case is pending for arguments	08 May 2024
11	ARB/429/2023	The Superintendent has sent the notice of motion in the subject cited matter which is fixed for 24-01-2024 On perusal of the arbitration application it is found that the petitioners have filed the subject cited matter application under Section 11 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act 1996 for appointment of independent person as sole arbitrator to adjudicate the dispute difference between the petitioner and respondents arising out of the execution of work	M/S SUKHMANI MEGASTRUCTURE PVT LTD VS UNION TERRITORY OF CHANDIGARH AND ORS	Reply filed and case is pending for arguments	08 May 2024

		of Providing Storm Water Drainage System for 2108 Flats in Sector 63 at Chandigarh allotted vide Allotment letter bearing Memo No 498 Dated 05-02-2015 The case sent to the Senior Standing counsel for marking to the one of the empanelled counsel The Senior Standing counsel has marked the case to Advocate Sh Edward George Masih Copy of marking of the case is attached			
12	CWP/16727/2016	reg. Industrial Plot no 161, Industrial Area, Phase-II chandigarh.	SAT PAUL BANSAL and ORS VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION and ORS	Reply filed and case is pending for arguments	09 May 2024
13	CWP/30777/2018	Writ Petition filed for quashing the order dated 26.06.2018 passed by the appellate authority-cum-CEO, CHB in appeal vide which the claim of the petitioner for allotment of house under the Chandigarh Small Flat Scheme 2006 has been rejected and further directing the respondents to allot the dwelling unit in lieu of Jhugi No.538, SBS Colony to the petitioner under the Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006.	VARINDER VS ESTATE OFFICER UT CHANDIGARH AND ANR	Reply filed and case is pending for arguments	09 May 2024
14	CWP/29842/2019	Allotment of Flat under the Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006 - J.No. 75, Block-L, Labour Colony No. 4, Industrial Area, Phase-I, Chandigarh.	VINAY KUMAR CHAUHAN VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION ESTATE OFFICE AND ORS	Reply filed and case is pending for arguments	09 May 2024
15	CWP/20749/2019	Petitioner filed by the petitioners for quashing the allotment letters of the Small flats at Dhanas, Chd. to that extent to the petitioners which were allotted on license basis for a period of 20 years as the petitioners being allottee is having no rights over the property in question even after investing the amount on creating the basic amenities such as Electricity and Water Connection etc as the clause of license is in detrimental to their rights over the property in question belongs to EWS category and further prayed that to frame a new policy in lieu of Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme, 2006 dated 06.11.2006 qua the petitioners so that the license fees paid by the petitioners till date be adjusted towards the cost of the flats which will be fixed by the respondents according to the price of the flats chalked out by them when the allotment was made to the petitioners.	VANITA DEVI AND OTHERS VS THE UNION TERRITORY OF CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	Reply filed and case is pending for arguments	09 May 2024
16	CWP/17567/2021	CWP filed to require and direct the respondents to act as per law in the context of conversion charges and to avoid wrongfully burdening the	NOTAN DASS MANCHANDA VS THE SECRETARY FINANCE CHANDIGARH	Adjourned	09 May 2024

		petitioner with post facto revised conversion fee not application to the case of petitioner.	ADMINISTRATION UT CHANDIGARH AND ANOTHER		
17	CWP/8316/2016	CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing the impugned order dated 31.03.2016 ordering Premature Retirement at the age of 46 years as a measure of punishment , stigmatic and punitive in nature, contrary to law; and for retraining the respondents from compulsory retiring the petitioner, as a measure of punishment, except in due course of law by holding an enquiry and granting an opportunity of hearing to lead his part of the defence evidence in such proceedings, in accordance with law; and further by passing an ad-interim order, the operation of the impugned show cause notice dated 31.03.2016, the virtual orders of premature retirement be stayed, during the pendency of the writ petition.	URWASHI KOUL VS CHD HOUSING BOARD and ORS	Reply filed in all connected matters i.e. CWP/10283/2016, CWP8311/2016, CWP/8313/2016, CWP/8360/2016	10 May 2024
18	CWP/8292/2019	Civil Writ Petition for setting aside the order / Letter dated 01.05.2017 wherein the claim of the petitioner had been declined on the basis that the name of the co applicant wife name is different an further not considered their allotment.	LAL CHAND VS UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH AND ORS	Reply filed and case is pending for arguments	10 May 2024

## Advance List of court cases pending before Hon’ble District Court in next 7 days

Sno	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	CS CJ/536/2020	Civil Suit seeking transfer of 1-1/5th Share of DU No. 1217/1, Sector 40-B, Chandigarh.	RAVI KUMAR VS KAMALA DEVI	Reply And Consideration	04 May 2024
2	CS CJ/2006/2016	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff seeking transfer of properties i.e. DU No. 1238, Sector 43-B, Chandigarh 14th share in agriculture land on WILL basis.	INDU MUNJAL VS CHAIRMAN CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Civil Evidence	06 May 2024
3	CA/78/2020	Appeal u/s 96 against judgement and decree dated 6.1.2020 passed by Kaushal Singla, CJJD, Chandigarh in respect of DU No. 2051/45-C, Chandigarh for leakage of kitchen and sewerage water from roof.	NIRMAL BHATIA VS SONIA KHULLAR	Arguments	06 May 2024
4	CS CJ/2259/2021	Suit for grant of decree of declaration to the effect that the plaintiffs being Class I legal heirs of Smt. Nirmal Gupta, are absolute owners in possession with respect with respect to House No. 3325, Sector-46/C, Chandigarh, by virtue of Registered Will dated 25.10.1984 executed by Sh Karam Singh son of Sh Kartar Singh in favour of Smt. Nirmal Gupta (now Deceased).	RAKESH GUPTA VS CHARAN KAUR	Civil Evidence	06 May 2024
5	CS CJ/55/2022	In this regard it is submitted that the applicant has filed the subject cited suit for restraining the defendants no. 1 and 3 not to raise any illegal construction i.e. Changing the basic structure of the house in question H.No. 5404, Maloya, UT, CHD.	RAKESH KUMAR VS RAJU	Evidence	06 May 2024
6	CS CJ/662/2022	Suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant no 3 not to transfer the ownership of House No 2035 Sector45C Chandigarh in the name of defendant no 1 and 2 without the NOC from the plaintiff and if the defendant no 3 had already transferred the same then	JASPAL KAUR VS BHARTI MITTAL	Reply And Consideration	06 May 2024

		defendant no 3 may be directed to remove the name of defendant no 1 2. And Suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendants no 1 and 2 not to entered into any any sale mortgage exchange disposed off lease out the suit property ie House No 2035 Sector 45C Chandigarh in any manner during the pendency of present suit			
7	CS CJ/688/2022	CSCJ 688 2022 titled as Harmeet Kaur vs Sushma Thapliyal House No 2820 Sector47 C Chandigarh	HARMEET KAUR VS SUSHMA THAPLIYAL	Written Statement/Reply/Replication/Considration	06 May 2024
8	CIVIL MISC/399/2022	Civil Misc/399/2022 Balwinder Singh Bamra Vs Manpreet Singh. petition copy missing	BALWINDER SINGH BAMRA VS MANPREET SINGH	Reply And Consideration	06 May 2024
9	CS CJ/2127/2023	CSCJ/2127/2023 Prabha Pardal VS General Public H.No 2203/2, Sector 45-C Chandigarh PUC dated 29 11 2023 may kindly be perused Vide PUC Civil Judge Junior Division Chandigarh forwarded the copy of Civil Suit copy attached filed by the plaintiff for the declaration that plaintiff no 1 is the owner of 50 Percent share and plaintiff no 2 3 are owner to the extent of 25 percent share of each of House No 2203 2 Sector 45 C Chandigarh and after the death of Late Sh Surinder Mohan Pardal S o Late Sh Sardari Lal Pardal who was allotted this house/dwelling unit i e House No 2203 2 MIG F Category Sector 45 C Chandigarh in a draw held on 14 1 1989 and Sh Surinder Mohan Pardal died on 06 03 2017 at Chandigarh leaving behind plaintiff no 1 as wife and plaintiff no 2 3 as sons and at the time of death mother of Late Sh Surinder Mohan Pardal namely Kulwanti wife of Late Sh Sardari Lal Pardal was alive and she also died on 09-02-2019 by executing a Will dated 14-12-2012 of her share in favour of plaintiff	PRABHA PARDAL VS GENERAL PUBLIC		06 May 2024

		<p>declared in joint possession with plaintiff no 1 to the extent of 25 percent share of each in the house in question and accordingly this dwelling unit may kindly be transferred in the names of plaintiff no 1 to the extent of 50 percent share and in the name of plaintiff no 2 to the extent of 25 percent share each and also suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant no 2 to 4 transfer the dwelling unit/House No 2203 2 Sector 45 C Chandigarh from the name of Late Sh Surinder Mohan Pardal in the name of plaintiff no 1 to 3 in the interest of justice equity and fair play and to grant any other relief which the plaint law or direction or re entitled as per law The next date of hearing of this case is fixed on 19 01 2024 May send the case to Worthy Secretary for necessary approval for the entrustment of this case to Advocate Sh. Anshuman Narula for defending the case on behalf of Chandigarh Housing Board</p> <p>Submitted Please</p>			
10	CS CJ/1075/2019	To restore DU No. 230, Sector 55, Chandigarh consonant with order dated 29.9.2006 whereby it was decided to restore the DU of the person who have given false staytement.	PREM KUMAR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Evidence	07 May 2024
11	CS CJ/47/2020	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of 1/5th share of DU No. 564-A, Sector 41-A, Chandigah on the basis of petitioner is Class-I Legal Heir.	SURESH KUMAR VS NIRMALA DEVI	Notice And Record	07 May 2024
12	CS CJ/843/2018	Civil Suit NoCS8432018 Titled as Rahul Sharma vs Abhishek Sharma Another Transfer of 50 share of DU No 2228 Sector 45C CHD	RAHUL SHARMA VS ABHISHEK SHARMA	Reply And Consideration	07 May 2024
13	CS CJ/49/2023	Pintu Vs Sunita Devi, CSCJ/49/2023, Du No 107-A,	PINTU VS SUNITA DEVI	Notice And Record	07 May 2024



		Dhanas, Chandigarh			
14	MCA DJ/95/2023	MCA DJ/95/2023 Jasbir Kaur Vs Chandigarh Housing Board, HU No 5680 MHC Sector 13 Chandigarh PUC is a summons to appear in the subject cited court case received in this office on 16/08/2023 alongwith the copy of petition copy attached in right window may kindly be perused please The case is fixed for hearing on 16/08/2023 in the Hon ble District Court of Sh Rajeev K Beri Additional District Judge Chandigarh The Appellant has filed the Appeal U/s 54 2 of the Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 as extended to Chandigarh read with Section 151 CPC for the stay of the operation of impugned order dated 18/07/2023 A 4 passed by the respondent No2 whereby the eviction of the appellant has been ordered from the premises ie DU NO 5680 MHC Sector 13 Chandigarh within one month from the date of receiving of the notice dated 18/07/2023 which was pasted at the house of the appellant hence the same be stayed during the pendency of the present appeal and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the said impugned order may also be stayed	JASBIR KAUR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD		07 May 2024
15	CS CJ/2178/2018	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding recovery of Rs.648337/- as gratuity amount and interest on delay payment.	SURJAN LAL VS CHAIRMAN, CHANDIGARH HOUSHING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	08 May 2024
16	EX.APP/993/2018	Execution Application filed by the Decree Holder seeking allotment of alternate site in lieu of J.No. B-147/1, Nehru Colony, Kajheri, Sector 52, Chandigarh under Self Finance Scheme-2006/2009.	SANTOSH KUMAR VS THE SECRETARY ESTATE	adjourned	08 May 2024
17	CS CJ/559/2019	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff restraining CHB from demolishing the need based changes/constn. raised in DU No. 3208/2, Sector 44-D, Chandigarh.	GURINDER SINGH VS THE CHAIRMAN	Reply And Consideration	08 May 2024

18	CS CJ/577/2020	Civil Suit No.577/2020 has filed by the petitioner for possession by way of partition of the residential property house no.1107, Dadu Majra Colony, Sector 38, Chandigarh.	TUSHAR VS SANJAY	Reply And Consideration	08 May 2024
19	CS CJ/786/2022	CSCJ/786/ 2022 titled as Col M.K. Khorana (Retd) vs Kulbhushan Aggarwal.( Regarding Dwelling unit 5115-5120, Second Floor, Mordern Housing Complex, Sector 13, Chandigarh.	COL M K KHORANA (RETD) VS KULBHUSHAN AGGARWAL	Consideration.	08 May 2024
20	CS CJ/1627/2022	CSCJ/1627/2022 Sharda Soni Vs CHB (Booth No 26, Sector 46 (Rahri Market), Chandigarh)	SHARDA SONI VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply/Consideration	08 May 2024
21	APPEAL/074/2022	Civil Suit No CA/74/2022, Ashok Kumar vs Chandigarh Housing Board. (Illegal occupation of Du No. 2822, Dadumajra)	ASHOK KUMAR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Arguments	08 May 2024
22	CS CJ/824/2023	CSCJ/824/2023 Neelu Vs Chandigarh Housing Board DU No 555, Dhanas, Chandigarh	NEELU VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply/Consideration	08 May 2024
23	CIVIL MISC/285/2023	Civil Misc/285/2023, Kanwar Ashwani Kumar Vs The Chairman, Chandigarh Housing Board, DU No 460, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh	KANWAR ASHWANI KUMAR VS THE CHAIRMAN CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD		08 May 2024
24	CS CJ/407/2024	CSCJ/407/2024 Suman Lata Vs Vinod Kumar DU No 1665/1 Sector 29 B First Floor and DU no 1667 Sector 29 B Ground Floor U T Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the Suit for Permanent Injunction restraining the defendants relatives and their agents from interfering into peaceful possession of the plaintiff and her famly members at house no 1665/1 Sector 29 B First Floor UT Chandigarh And Suit for Permanent injunction restraining the defendants from raising construction/violation at the first floor in the courtyard of house no 1667 Sector 29 B Second Floor UT Chandigarh of which caused hurdles in the easement right of the plaintiff like air, sunlight etc and gross	SUMAN LATA VS VINOD KUMAR		08 May 2024

		violation of plan proved by the defendant. No 2 And Suit for mandatory injunction for directing the defendants to remove the illegal construction which they have already done/erect without the consent of defendant no 2 from the roof of the construction at courtyard of the house no. 1667 Sector 29 B UT Chandigarh Earlier the APPEAL/75/2016 and APPEAL/203/2016 of the subject cited court had been attended by Advocate Sh Rajiv Sharma So the Counsel is well aware about the subject cited court case			
25	CS CJ/6/2019	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding recovery of amou nyt of Rs.61,00,000/- in lieu of DU No. 398/2, Sector 44-A, Chandigarh.	MUKESH MITTAL VS DINESH KUMAR	Civil Evidence	09 May 2024
26	CS CJ/1019/2019	Civil suit filed in the court of Ms. Meenakshi Gupta, CJJD, Chd. by the plaintiff regarding transfer of ownership of DU No. 3404/2, Sector 40-D, Chandigarh on the basis of Regd. WILL under GPA transfer policy.	PREM NATH SHARMA VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Evidence	09 May 2024
27	CA/295/2019	Appeal filed by the Appellant u/s 96 read with order 41 CPC against the judgement and decree dated 22.8.2019 passed by Ms. Mamta Kakkar, CJJD, Chandigarh in Civil Suit No. 1857 of 7.8.2014 titled as Ashok Kumar Vs. Devi Dyal and Anr. (Allotment/Transfer of DU No. 972, Dhanas, UT, Chandigarh)	DEVI DAYAL VS ASHOK KUMAR	Arguments	09 May 2024
28	CS CJ/2067/2021	Suit for specific performance of the agreement to sell dated 31.10.1990 entered into between Defendant no. 4 and the plaintiff and wherein House No. 3240/2, Sector-40/D, Chandigarh, was sold to the plaintiff at total sale consideration money of Rs. 1,20,000/-. The said amount was paid and full and final receipt dated 31.0.1990 was executed.	LALITA SALUJA VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Written Statement/Reply/Replication/ Considration	09 May 2024

29	CS CJ/1266/2021	Suit for specific performance of the agreement to sell dated 01-01-2021 by directing the defendant no 1 to execute necessary documents for the transfer deed and execution of sale deed in favour of the plaintiff in respect of 100 percent share of residential house no 368-1 Sector-45-A CHD amounting to Rs 33,00,000/- and construction made thereupon and for possession of 100 percent share in respect of residential house no 368-1 Sector-45 A CHD and in alternative the suit for recovery of Rs 3300000/- (Thirty Three lakhs) on account of liquidated damages along with future interest 12 percent per annum.	PAWAN KUMAR VS RAGHBIR SINGH	Reply And Consideration	09 May 2024
30	CS CJ/1697/2022	CSCJ-1697-2022, Kusum Kuthiala vs CHB, DU NO 273-C, Third Floor, Sector 51-A	KUSUM KUTHIALA VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Notice And Record	09 May 2024
31	CS CJ/1022/2022	CSCJ/1022/2022, Aseem Parkash Vs Dr. Alka Singh booth no 8, sector 48-A	ASEEM PARKASH VS DR. ALKA SINGH	Civil Evidence	09 May 2024
32	CS CJ/2115/2022	CSCJ/2115/2022 Labh Singh vs Soni DU No 3125 Housing Board Colony Dhanas, Chandigarh	LABH SINGH VS SONI	Written Statement/Reply/Replication/Considration	09 May 2024
33	CS CJ/1658/2022	CSCJ/1658/2022 Kam Ram Kohli Vs R.K.Dass, # 3686 Sector 46-C, CHD	KAM RAM KOHLI VS R.K.DASS	Notice And Record	09 May 2024
34	CS CJ/1548/2023	CSCJ/1548/2023 SOM PAL Vs Rinku, DU No 1597, Dadu Majra Colony, U.T. Chandigarh	SOM PAL VS RINKU		09 May 2024
35	CS CJ/1345/2023	CSCJ/1345/2023, Anmol Rattan Pupneja Vs Rajbir Singh without copy of petition.	ANMOL RATTAN PUPNEJA VS RAJBIR SINGH		09 May 2024
36	CS CJ/1047/2023	CSCJ/1047/2023 Tejinder Singh Vs Abdul Shaheed Usmani. Du No 431 MIG, Sector 45-A, Chandigarh	TEJINDER SINGH VS ABDUL SHAHEED USMANI		09 May 2024
37	CS CJ/1991/2023	CSCJ/1991/2023 Madhumatia Bhaskarwar VS General Public H No 2230/2 Sector 45 C Chandigarh the petitioner has filed the civil suit for declaration to the effect that plaintiffs be declare owner in possession of	MADHUMATI A BHASKARWAR VS GENERAL PUBLIC		09 May 2024

		house no 2230/2 Sector 45 C Chandigarh as they are having the peaceful possession of house in question since last 37 years in view of the sale purchase documents such as GPA SPA Agreement to sell will and affidavit of dates 24-10-1985 which was executed by the original allottee i e defendant no 2 in favor of the plaintiff parents			
38	CS CJ/2299/2020	The plaintiff has file an application U/s 39 Rule 1 and 2 read with Section 151 CPC for grant of ad-interim injunction by restraining the defendants to transfer the said D/Unit No.2919/1, HIG, Sector 42-C, Chandigarh in the name of any other 0person except the plaintiff during the pendency of the present suit.	LAKHBIR SINGH SETH VS MANJIT KAUR	Evidence	10 May 2024
39	CS CJ/642/2022	CSCj 642 2022 titled as Neelam Mehra vs Naresh Kumar House No 2765 Dadumajra Colony Chandigarh	NEELAM MEHRA VS NARESH KUMAR	Written Statement/Reply/Replication/Considration	10 May 2024
40	CS CJ/1669/2022	CS CJ 1669 2022 Pind Vasao Committee Vs Advisor	PIND VASAO COMMITTEE VS ADVISOR	Consideration	10 May 2024
41	CS CJ/283/2023	CSCJ/283/2023, Gurnoor Singh Vs Chandigarh Housing Board without petition	GURNOOR SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Notice And Record	10 May 2024
42	CIVIL MISC/489/2022	CM/489/2022, Lakhbir Kaur V Jagdip Kaur without copy of petition	LAKHBIR KAUR VS JAGDIP KAUR	Reply And Consideration	10 May 2024
43	CS CJ/496/2023	CSCJ/496/2023, Rajdeep Singh Vs Chandigarh Housing Board DU No 4987, Maloya Colony, Chandigarh	RAJDEEP SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Notice And Record	10 May 2024
44	CS CJ/773/2022	CSCJ/773/2022, Ajit Singh Butter Vs General Public. #3369, Sector 46-C, CHD	AJIT SINGH BUTTER VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Reply And Consideration	10 May 2024
45	CS CJ/4350/2023	CSCJ/4350/2023 Anmol Gill VS General Public H No 5618 Sector 38 West Chandigarh PUC is a summons to appear in the subject cited court case received in this office on 08/01/2024 alongwith the copy of petition copy attached may kindly be perused please The case is fixed for hearing on 15/01/2024 at 10 00 am before	ANMOL GILL VS GENERAL PUBLIC		10 May 2024

		the Hon ble Court of Civil Judge Jr Div Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the Suit for declaration to the effect that plaintiffs is the sole and absolute owner-in-possession of H No 5618 Sector 38 West Chandigarh on the basis of natural succession being the only Class1 surviving legal heir of Late Amarbir singh Gil And Suit for Mandatory Injunction directing the defendant No 2 to transfer the 100 share of HNo 5618 Sector 38 West Chandigarh in favour of the Plaintiff on the basis of natural succession being the only class/1 surviving legal heir of Late Amarbir singh Gill allottee of the above stated house			
46	CS CJ/1088/2023	CSCJ/1088/2023 Punam Rani Vs Amarnath with out petition	PUNAM RANI VS AMARNATH	Reply filed	20 May 2024

Advance List of court cases pending before Hon’ble Advisor to administrator, UT, Chandigarh in next 7 days					
Sno	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	REVISION/00000000/2023	Kusam Vs Chandigarh Housing Board, Revision Petition in the court of Honable Advisor to the Adminisrator, UT CHD DU no 577, Ram Darbar, Phase-II, Chandigarh	Kusam VS Chandigarh Housing Board	Case Filed	09 May 2024