

## Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon'ble High Court of Punjab and Haryana from 24.08.2024 to 30.08.2024

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	<a href="#">CWP/4691/2017</a>	(Oustees Matter) Writ petition filed by the petitioners for quashing the "Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling Units to the Oustees of Chandigarh Scheme, 1996" issued by Respondent No.3 i.e. CHB vide which applications have been invited upto 15.03.2017 for allotment of flats to the eligible oustees of U.T., Chandigarh under Self Financing Housing Scheme on lease hold/free hold basis, the same being directly in conflict of the order/judgement dated 05.12.2013 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in CWP No.14300 of 1996 and connected 46 writ petitions. AND also a writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to allot dwelling units to the petitioner's strictly in compliance of the order/judgement dated 05.12.2013 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in CWP No.14300 of 1996 and connected 46 writ petitions.	SATBIR SINGH & ORS VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION & ORS	Argument	27 Aug 2024
2	<a href="#">FAO-CARB/12/2023</a>	Application under Order 41 Rule 5 read with Section 151 of the CPC for staying the operation of the impugned award dated 11-11-2027 passed by the respondent No 2 and the impugned order dated 16-01-2023 passed by the Learned Additional District Judge Chandigarh during the pendency of the present	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD VS SATISH KUMAR CONTRACTORS LTD. AND ANR.	Argument	27 Aug 2024
3	<a href="#">CWP/22533/2023</a>	Petitioner has filed the subject cited petition under Civil Writ Petition Under Article 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a Writ in the nature of Certiorari for quashing the impugned order dated 11-04-2023 passed by respondent No 2 whereby application u-s 22-C of Legal Services Authority Acts 1987 as Amended up to date for settlement of dispute between petitioner and respondent No 1 for transferring of Dwelling Unit No 1101 Sector-40B Chandigarh wholly on untenable unsustainable grounds and also illegal wrong cryptic wanton and unconstitutional and further issuance of writ in the nature of Mandamus after setting-aside the impugned order	MANJEET KAUR VS THE CHAIRMAN AND ANOTHER	Argument	27 Aug 2024

		dated 11-04-2023 directing respondent No 1 to transfer the Dwelling Unit No 1101 Sector-40B Chandigarh in the name of petitioner in the interest of justice equity and fair play AND-OR For issuance of any other writ order or direction which this Honorable Court may deem fit and proper in the peculiar facts and circumstances of the present case			
4	<a href="#">CWP/32016/2019</a>	Civil Writ Petition was filed by the petitioner for quashing order dated 19.12.2017 and order dated 10.01.2018 and further directing the respondents to supply the application form for transfer of the house No.5492/2, Modern Housing Complex, Manimajra, U.T., Chandigarh on the basis of sale transaction documents and the same may be transferred in her name from the original allottee by issuance of fresh allotment letter.	KAMLESH SHARMA VS U.T CHANDIGARH AND ANOTHER	Argument	28 Aug 2024
5	<a href="#">CWP/28958/2018</a>	Civil Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing the order dated 31.10.2018 and further directing the respondents to transfer the D.U.No.3009/1, Sector 47-D, in his name.	KIRPAL SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD THROUGH ITS SECRETARY	Argument	28 Aug 2024
6	<a href="#">CWP/18452/2019</a>	CWP filed for quashing the provisions as contained in para (4) (i) and para 4 (ii) of impugned notification dated 14.11.2018 vide which petitioner (Member of Coop. Society) has been asked to pay stamp duty again for issuing sub-conveyance deed to him by 30.06.2019 being last date for the same.	BRIJ MOHAN MAINI VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND OTHERS	Argument	28 Aug 2024
7	<a href="#">CWP/12512/2019</a>	Civil writ petition under articles 226/227 of the constitution of India praying for a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the impugned notification dated 14.11.2018, (Annexure P-10) being absolutely illegal, against the provisions of law, conditions of allotment of and, the schemes and the rules framed by the Chandigarh Administration; AND further directions to the respondents to allow the general bodies of the societies, being supreme authority, to frame their own rules for transfer of the share/ Dwelling units of their members.	THE NIRVANA COOPERATIVE HOUSE BUILDING FIRST SOCIETY LTD AND ORS VS UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	Argument	28 Aug 2024
8	<a href="#">CWP/35495/2019</a>	Civil writ petition under article 226/227 of the constitution of India for issuance of writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing clause	CHAMAN LAL GUPTA AND	Argument	28 Aug 2024

		<p>4(ii), 4(ix) and 5(a) of Chandigarh scheme for transfer of flats/dwelling unit in the cooperative house building scheme, 2018 notified vide notification dated 14/11/2018 (annexure P-31) being against the provisions of law and being unconstitutional; AND for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing that the conveyance deed of the allotted land executed between Chandigarh Housing Board and Societies on payment of requisite stamp duty is deemed to be mutated in favour of the original members of the societies as per lists (Annexure P-3 P-8 and P-100 which may be directed to be annexed with the respective conveyance deeds; AND for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus restraining the respondents from imposition of stamp duty on the original members at the time of execution of sub conveyance deed in respect of the allotted flats particularly after the payment of stamp duty on the conveyance of land allotted to the societies which amounts to payment of double stamp duty; AND For issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing that Societies have no legal capacity/ entitlement to convey dwelling units/ flats to the petitioners and that allocation of dwelling units/ flats to the original members by way of any document/ instrument cannot entail the levy of stamp duty' AND For issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent to device a mechanism to maintain record of members and their shares and the change of shares by natural succession, testamentary succession or any other eventuality; AND For issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus declaring that since the allotment of land to the Chandigarh PEPSU Co-operative House Building First Society Ltd., The progressive Co-operative House Building First society Ltd. And Jeewan adhar Co-operative House Building society Ltd. Was not made at concessional rate, therefore, the respondent cannot charge any unearned increase from the petitioners under any circumstance; AND For issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus declaring that since the Jeewan adhar co-operative house building first society Ltd. Had converted form leasehold to freehold under Chandigarh conversion of Residential</p>	<p>OTHERS VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND OTHERS</p>		
--	--	---	---	--	--

		leasehold land tenure into freehold land tenure rules, 1996 (annexure P-5), therefore, the original members of Jeewan adhar co-operative house building first society ltd. i.e. petitioner no. 15 to 18 have been absolved of any unearned increase by virtue of clause 4(d) of the said rules.			
9	<b>CWP/36219/2019</b>	UT Employees Scheme 2008 (The Petitioner filed the petition with pray i) issue order to the respondents to allot the flats to the petitioners under UT Employees Self Financing Scheme of 2008 within a time bound frame in pursuance to draw of lots held on 04.11.2010 and to allot the flats on the same price as depicted in the scheme II) quashing the public notice dated 22.06.2019 vide which the respondents have put an excessive and exorbitant rate at current price without any reasonable nexus with the scheme so floated III) Pass order for staying the operation of the impugned public notice dated 22.06.2019 qua the part of demand of exorbitant/excessive rate of flats or in the alternative directions be issued to the respondents to accept the old rates subject to final decision of the writ petition in the interest of justice).	SURINDER KUMAR VS UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS	Argument	28 Aug 2024
10	<b>CWP/1810/2020</b>	(Society Matter) Quashing the impugned notification dated 14.11.2018 passed by the Chd. Admn – Chandigarh Scheme for transfer of flats/DUs in the Coop. H.B.Societies 2018 – The Pink Rose Coop. H.B.First Society Ltd.	LIAQAT ALI KHAN VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND OTHERS	Argument	28 Aug 2024
11	<b>CWP/2599/2020</b>	(Society Matter) Quashing the impugned notification dated 14.11.2018 and directed the respondents to allow the general bodies of the Societies to frame their own rules for transfer of the share/dwelling units of their members	NEHA SHARMA AND ORS VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND ORS	Argument	28 Aug 2024
12	<b>CWP/2487/2020</b>	Quashing the impugned notification dated 14.11.2018 and directed the respondents to allow the general bodies of the Societies to frame their own rules for transfer of the share/dwelling units of their members.	THE CUSTOM AND CENTRAL EXCISE EMPLOYEES COOPERATIVE HOUSE BUILDING FIRST SOCIETY LTD VS UNION	Argument	28 Aug 2024

			TERRITORY CHANDIGARH AND ORS		
13	<b>CWP/2990/2020</b>	Quashing impugned notification/scheme dated 14.11.2018 by which the respondents are insisting upon another Conveyance Deed term as sub Conveyance Deed with the members of the Coop. Society after the Conveyance Deed with the Chandigarh Admn. already stand registered	THE SUPER COOPERATIVE HOUSE BUILDING FIRST (1) SOCIETY LIMITED VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND ORS	Argument	28 Aug 2024
14	<b>CWP/12515/2019</b>	Petition filed for quashing the impugned notification dated 14.11.2018 framed by Chd. Admn., (The Pink Rose Coop. Society)	BHAG SINGH AND ORS VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND ORS	Argument	28 Aug 2024
15	<b>CWP/8238/2019</b>	1.The subject cited Writ Petition was filed by the petitioner for quashing of the orders dated 15.07.2016 passed by the Respondent No.4(CEO,CHB) whereby the allotment of the house of the petitioner has been cancelled, Order dated 26.04.2017 passed by the Respondent No.3(Chairman CHB-cum-Appellate Authority), Order dated 25.09.2017 and order dated 9.10.2017 passed by Respondent No.1(AA, U.T.) and thereafter order dated 21.11.2017 passed by the Respondent No.5(EO-cum-Secretary, CHB) and further the demolition order dated 20.02.2019 passed by the Respondent No.5 and order dated 14.03.2019 passed by Respondent No.8(SSP, U.T.), wherein demolition in DU.No.1667, Sector 29-B, Chandigarh would be initiated on 29.03.2019 without following the principle of Natural Justice, equity and good conscious. 2.Further to directing the respondents to restore and regularize the allotment of the house in favour of the petitioner by calculating and accepting the one time compounding fees by taking into consideration the facts and circumstances and the provision of Capital of Punjab(Development and Regulations) Act 1952	SUHAG RANI VS UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH AND ORS	Argument	29 Aug 2024

		Chandigarh Housing Board, Allotment Management and Sale of Tenements Regulations, 1979 and Haryana Housing Board Act, 1971 as extended to Chandigarh. 3.Further for prohibition restraining the respondents from continuing with demolition proceedings on the basis of eviction order of the house in question, keeping in view the facts and circumstances which are being narrated in the present petition.			
16	<a href="#">CWP/15226/2019</a>	For directing the respondent authorities to allot one flat to the petitioners under the Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006.	VIJAY PAL VERMA AND ANR VS THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY-CUM-CEO CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ANR	Argument	29 Aug 2024
17	<a href="#">CWP/20749/2019</a>	Petitioner filed by the petitioners for quashing the allotment letters of the Small flats at Dhanas, Chd. to that extent to the petitioners which were allotted on license basis for a period of 20 years as the petitioners being allottee is having no rights over the property in question even after investing the amount on creating the basic amenities such as Electricity and Water Connection etc as the clause of license is in detrimental to their rights over the property in question belongs to EWS category and further prayed that to frame a new policy in lieu of Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme, 2006 dated 06.11.2006 qua the petitioners so that the license fees paid by the petitioners till date be adjusted towards the cost of the flats which will be fixed by the respondents according to the price of the flats chalked out by them when the allotment was made to the petitioners.	VANITA DEVI AND OTHERS VS THE UNION TERRITORY OF CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	Argument	29 Aug 2024
18	<a href="#">CWP/16320/2023</a>	Petitioner has filed the petition under Article 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate writ order or direction especially in the nature of Certiorari for setting aside the impugned cancellation order dated 27-11-2000 28-06-2017 order dated 25-09-2017 impugned order dated 21-11-2017 impugned eviction notice dated 10-10-2017 and impugned eviction passed by	CATHRINE VS ADVISER TO THE ADMINISTRATOR, UT CHD AND ORS.	Argument	29 Aug 2024

		the to 5 whereby the dwelling unit in the question cancelled by the respondent no 4 and the appeals Revision Petition filed by the petitioners were wrongly dismissed by the respondent No 1 2 and 3 and the eviction notice and eviction orders were wrongly passed by the respondents No 5 which are wholly unjust arbitrary illegal and the same are liable to be quashed And For or issuance of writ order direction in the nature of mandamus directing respondent not take any LO coercive measures in pursuance impugned order dated 15-12-2017 with further prayer to stay the operation of the impugned orders passed by the respondents no 1 to 5 or It is further prayed that a writ of mandamus may kindly be issued to respondent no 2 to 5 to revive and restore the allotment of the dwelling unit in question in the name of the petitioner and do not evict the petitioner from the premises in question during the pendency of the writ petition			
19	<a href="#">CWP/18584/2024</a>	CIVIL WRIT PETITION under Articles 226 227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ in nature of Certiorari for quashing impugned letter dated 22-05-2024 issued by respondent No 5 vide which the claim of the petitioner for transfer of site-dwelling unit No 376 Ramdarbar Phase-2 UT Chandigarh under the name of the petitioner on the basis of registered Will dated 10-05-2022 executed by mother of the petitioner has been erroneously rejected. And or Further issue a writ in the nature of MANDAMUS directing the respondents to transfer of transit site/dwelling unit No376 Ramdarbar Phase-2 UT Chandigarh under the name of the petitioner on the basis of registered Will dated 10-05-2022 executed by mother of the petitioner And or Further prayed that during the pendency of the present writ petition the status quo regarding property of the petitioner mortgaged with the bank may kindly be maintained	TEHAL SINGH VS U T CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	Reply signed and sent to the counsel for filing before the court	29 Aug 2024
20	<a href="#">CWP/28778/2018</a>	Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for the issuance of a writ of certiorari (sending for the records of the case and finding that the Orders of the Estate Officer, U.T., Chandigarh Respondent No.3 dated 06.11.2017 and the order dated 14.08.2018 passed b the Appellate Authority respondent No.2 Annexure P-17 are not sustainable to quash the same. 3.Further directing the respondents to consider the	RAM GULAM AND OTHERS VS U T CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND OTHERS	Argument	30 Aug 2024

		claims of the petitioners, the displaced and uprooted residents of Palsora Colony, demolished b the UT Chandigarh Administration in June 2003 to rehabilitate the petitioners for allotment of alternate accommodation of one room Flat under the Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006 as per the directions of Hon'ble High Court dated 30.05.2017 in CWP NO.12042 of 2017 – Ram Gulam and Others Vs U.T, Chandigarh and Others without taking into consideration the condition of bio metric Survey and finding them eligible, to make allotment of one room flat under the 2006 scheme, as has been done in respect of similarly placed residents of erstwhile Palsora Colony who after the demotion of Palsora Colony had settled in other unauthorized slum colonies of Chandigarh, for which the Administration conducted bio metric survey in 2006, while the petitioner who sought shelter in the authorized areas of Chandigarh for which no bio metric survey was conducted			
21	<b>CWP/4709/2019</b>	Writ Petition filed for quashing the order dated 15.06.2017 passed by the appellate authority-cum-CEO, CHB and impugned order dated 7.9.2015 passed by the Permanent Lok Adalat/Respondent No.2 whereby the Appellate Authority has rejected the claim of the petitioner as prayed for the petitioner in his appeal bearing No.167 of 2017 and further prayed for directions the respondents for early allotment of a small flat to the petitioner under Small Flat Scheme 2006 as the petitioner is entitled and eligible for the same.	HARINDER KUMAR VS ESTATE OFFICE , UT CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	Argument	30 Aug 2024
22	<b>CWP/27932/2018</b>	CIVIL WRIT PETITION filed by the petitioner alongwith 15 others under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to allot the alternative sites accommodations to rehabilitate the petitioner who have been uprooted and thrown out of their homes after demolition of Palsora Colony way back in June 2003 keeping in view the facts and circumstances and the provisions of the Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006 issued by UT Chandigarh Administration respondents to provide alternate sites-accommodation to the displaced residents on demotion of their colony	RAJ KUMAR AND OTHERS VS STATE OF UNION TERRITORY U T CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	Argument	30 Aug 2024



## Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon'ble District Court, UT Chandigarh from 24.08.2024 to 30.08.2024

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	<a href="#">CS CJ/285/2017</a>	Civil Suit for mandatory injunction directing Def. No. 1 and 2 to transfer H.No. 3100, HB Colony, Dhanas in the name of plaintiff on the basis of WILL dated 24.7.2015 and permanent Injunction restraining from transferring in the name of Def. No. 3 on the basis of WILL dated 7.6.2016 or in the name of any person.	RAVI KAMAL VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Consideration	27 Aug 2024
2	<a href="#">CS CJ/243/2018</a>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for mandatory injunction directing CHB for remove/demolish illegal/unauthorized construction in respect of DU No. 796/41-A, Chandigarh.	SARBJIT SINGH HIRA VS SECRETARY, CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Defence evidence	27 Aug 2024
3	<a href="#">CS CJ/32/2022</a>	Civil Suit for Declaration for declaring the plaintiffs owners of House No. 3441, Sector-46/C, Chandigarh. Further suit for Mandatory Injunction directing the defendant to transfer the lease of House No. 3441, Sector-46/C, Chandigarh on the name of plaintiffs after fulfilling the required formalities.	NEETI VASHISHT VS HOUSING BOARD CHANDIGARH	Defence evidence	27 Aug 2024
4	<a href="#">CS CJ/1350/2017</a>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for cancellation of allotment in respect of # 908/40-A, Chandigarh # 928/40-A, Chandigarh due to filing false affidavit..	RAJ KUMAR CHIBBER VS USHA PRASHAR	Evidence	28 Aug 2024
5	<a href="#">C.S./2212/2019</a>	Civil suit filed by the plaintiff restraining to block common passage/kuchha rasta by raising constn. Of boundary wall in village Maloya, UT, Chandigarh.	RAJESH KUMAR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Arguments.	28 Aug 2024
6	<a href="#">CS CJ/2067/2021</a>	Suit for specific performance of the agreement to sell dated 31.10.1990 entered into between Defendant no. 4 and the plaintiff and wherein House No. 3240/2, Sector-40/D, Chandigarh, was sold to the plaintiff at total sale consideration money of Rs. 1,20,000/-. The said amount was paid and full and final receipt dated 31.0.1990 was executed.	LALITA SALUJA VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Evidence	28 Aug 2024
7	<a href="#">CS CJ/1964/2021</a>	Suit for declaration and permanent injunction to declare the	RAJNI BALA VS	Reply and	28 Aug 2024

		<p>plaintiff as lawful owner and in possession of House No. 694, LIG (D), Sector-41/A, U.T. Chandigarh and transfer this house in the name of present plaintiff, inter alia on the basis of Registered Special Power of Attorney dated 01.03.1995 executed in the office of Sub-Registrar, Chandigarh in favour of late husband of plaintiff namely Sh Dheera Singh son of Sh Gyan Singh, executed by the Original Allottee of this House i.e. Late Malika Rani Vohra W/o Late Balraj Vohra, R/o H.No. 694, Setor-41, Chandigarh vide Allotment No. 1505, Registration Letter No. 11463 dated 26.04.1985, who after clearing all the dues of CHB, cleared vide Letter Memo No. HB-CAO-AO-III-AC IIII 6523 dated 13.03.1995, sold this house to the late husband of plaintiff become the absolute owner and in possession of this house being legally wedded wife of Dheera Singh in the interest of justice, equity and fair play.</p>	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Considration	
8	<a href="#">CS CJ/662/2022</a>	<p>Suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant no 3 not to transfer the ownership of House No 2035 Sector45C Chandigarh in the name of defendant no 1 and 2 without the NOC from the plaintiff and if the defendant no 3 had already transferred the same then defendant no 3 may be directed to remove the name of defendant no 1 2. And Suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendants no 1 and 2 not to entered into any any sale mortgage exchange disposed off lease out the suit property ie House No 2035 Sector 45C Chandigarh in any manner during the pendency of present suit</p>	JASPAL KAUR VS BHARTI MITTAL	Consideration..	28 Aug 2024
9	<a href="#">CS CJ/2232/2022</a>	CSCJ/2232/2022, Nisha Vs Chandigarh Housing Board, House No 235-P, Village Dhanas, Chandigarh	NISHA VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	28 Aug 2024
10	<a href="#">CS CJ/659/2023</a>	CSCJ/659/2023, Kedari Vs Chandigarh Housing Board,DU No 3733, Mauli Jagran, Chandigarh	KEDARI VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	28 Aug 2024
11	<a href="#">CM/132/2024</a>	CM/132/2024 Jaskirat Kaur Vs Jasmen Dyal 414 Sector 44 A Chandigarh PUC is a summons to appear in the subject cited court case received in this office on 04/04/2024 the copy of application for condonation of delay of 141 days in filing the present appeal	JASKIRAT KAUR VS JASMEEN DYAL	Appearance	28 Aug 2024

		filed by petitioner copy attached may kindly be perused please The Case was fixed for hearing on 24/04/2024 The Civil Suit No CSCJ/608/2022 Jaskirat Kaur Vs Jasmen Dyal 414 Sector 44/AChandigarh is pending before the Honble Civil Courts SAS Nagar Mohali in which the Counsel Sh Maninder Badwal has been appearing before the Honble Court on behalf of Chandigarh Housing Board since the entrustment of case dated 12/09/2022 So the same Counsel is well informed about this case			
12	<a href="#">CA/2452/2018</a>	CA/2452/2018 Appeal filed by the Appellant against judgement dated 31.10.2018 passed by Sh. Gurkiran Singh, CJJD, Chandigarh whereby suit of the Appellant is partly decreed in respect of payment of flat sold vide Regn. No. 2337 allotted to him for refund of amount alongwith 12% interest - Flat No. 5151, MHC, Cat-I, Manimajra	RAM LAL ARYA VS VIJAY KUMAR	Arguments	29 Aug 2024
13	<a href="#">CS CJ/189/2021</a>	In this matter plaintiff has filed civil suit for permanent injunction restraining the Defendant and their concerned officials from demolishing the staircase and second floor of H.No.3770, Ambedkar Awas Yojna, Sector 56, Chandigarh illegally forcibly. AND Suit for declaration to the effect that the notice-cum-demolition order dated 31.12.2020 and challan are illegal arbitrary and against the law be declared null and void.	MALTI DEVI VS CHD HOUSING BOARD	Consideration	29 Aug 2024
14	<a href="#">CS CJ/1718/2021</a>	Suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendants to consider the claim of plaintiff for the allotment of site either under the rehabilitation scheme framed by Chandigarh Administration for poor labourer residing in slum area or under the Prime Minister Awas Yojna Scheme, launched by the Government of India and also for getting the benefits of other schemes launched by the Government of India for the welfare of poor persons.	HARISH MIYAN VS UNION OF INDIA	Rebuttal/Arguments	29 Aug 2024
15	<a href="#">CS CJ/2050/2021</a>	Suit for declaration that the Letter dated 17.02.2021 transferring the ownership rights of dwelling unit no. 3394/2, Second Floor, Category MIG, Sector-40/D, Chandigarh, on the basis of the Will through Court judgement is nullity void, illegal and is inconsequential being based on willful misrepresentation.	ASHOK KUMAR VS MEERA KUMARI	Reply And Consideration	29 Aug 2024
16	<a href="#">CS CJ/874/2021</a>	Suit for Possession (symbolic) by way of Specific Performance of	SANDEEP SINGH	Arguments.	29 Aug 2024

		Agreement to Sell (Contract) date 20.06.1986, with direction to defendant no. 1 to execute and get registered sale deed in respect of House No. 3708, HIG (Lower), Sector-46-C, Chandigarh.	BHASIN VS MAJOR M.L. MEHTA		
17	<b>CS CJ/518/2022</b>	CSCJ 518 2022 titled as Veena Kohli vs Amit Kumar Anand House No 3332 Sector 46 C Chandigarh	VEENA KOHLI VS AMIT KUMAR ANAND	Civil Evidence	29 Aug 2024
18	<b>CS CJ/2242/2022</b>	CSCJ/2242/2022-Rajinder Singh Vs Mohinder Singh. DU No. 4578, Maloya Colony, Chandigarh	RAJINDER SINGH VS MOHINDER SINGH	Arguments.	29 Aug 2024
19	<b>CS CJ/486/2023</b>	Seema Sood Vs Sanjay Sood, CSCJ/486/2023, Du No 2149/2, Sector 45-C, CHD	SEEMA SOOD VS SANJAY SOOD	Consideration	29 Aug 2024
20	<b>CIVIL MISC/299/2023</b>	CM/299/2023 Rajesh Goyal Vs General Public, Du No .2223-E, Sector 63, Chandigarh	RAJESH GOYAL VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Appearance	29 Aug 2024
21	<b>EXE/436/2023</b>	B L MEHTA CONSTRUCTIONS P LTD vs CHANIDGARH HOUSING BOARD for the work construction of 1600 small flats Group-IV at Dhanas, Chandigarh	B L MEHTA CONSTRUCTIONS P LTD VS CHANIDGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	29 Aug 2024
22	<b>PROB/5/2024</b>	PROB/5/2024 BB Khanna Vs General Public H No 300/2 MIG L Sector 41A ChandiGARH PUC is a summons to appear in the subject cited court case received in this office sent by AO IV on 19/03/2024 without the copy of petition (copy attached) may kindly be perused please The Plaintiff has filed the petition under Section 372 of the Indian Succession Act, 1925 for Probate of irrevocable Will dated 09/07/1985, Annexure P/1 Registered vide Wasika/ Registration serial No 822 Book No 3 Volume 132 Page No 173/177 Registered Before the then Sub Registrar before the then Sub Registrar Chandigarh on 10/07/1985.	B.B. KHANNA VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Notice And Record	29 Aug 2024
23	<b>CS CJ/232/2024</b>	CSCJ/232/2024 Rajeshwar Kumar Vs General Public H No 4426 EWS Maloya Colony Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the SUIT for declaration to the effect that the Plaintiffs are the legal heirs of late Sh Dharam Pal alias Dharam Chand son of Sh Chhadi Lal alias Chhedi Lal to the extent of 50 share each of House no 4426 EWS Maloya Colony Chandigarh And Suit for mandatory injunction	RAJESHWAR KUMAR VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Reply and Consideration	29 Aug 2024

		directing the defendant No 2 to transfer the 50 share each of House no 4426 EWS Maloya colony Chandigarh in favour of the plaintiffs and directing the defendant No 2 not to transfer the house in the name of any persons except the plaintiffs And also filed an Application under section 67 of Chandigarh Housing Board Act 1971 As amended read with section 80 of CPC for leave to institute the suit without service of notice over the defendant no 2			
24	<b>COUNTER CLAIM-CROSS /2/2019</b>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for specific Performance of Agreement to Sell dated 12.3.2003 in respect of DU No. 3081(GF), Sector 44-D, Chandigarh.	VIJENDER KUMAR NIRMAL VS NANAK SINGH	Reply and Consideration	30 Aug 2024
25	<b>CS CJ/2083/2019</b>	Civil suit filed by the plintiff regarding transfer of DU No. 417/1, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh on the basis of WILL.	KIRPAL KAUR VS THE CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION	Rebuttal/Arguments	30 Aug 2024
26	<b>CS CJ/168/2022</b>	Suit for declaration to the effect that the Plaintiff is legal heirs of late Kanti Lal who had purchased the House No. 2301/1, Sector-45/C, Chandigarh from defendant no. 3. And Suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant no. 1 to execute all the necessary documents for the transfer of said house in favour of the plaintiff being legal heir of late Kanti Lal and further directing the defendant no. 2 to transfer the said house I favour of plaintiff.	BHABANI GANGULY VS KUSUM RANI	Defence evidence	30 Aug 2024

## Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon'ble National Dispute Redressal Commission from 24.08.2024 to 30.08.2024

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	<b>RP/1235/2024</b>	First Appeal filed by Chandigarh Housing Board before Honorable National Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission New Delhi for challenging the order dated 30-01-2024 passed by Honorable State Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission UT Chandigarh in Appeal No103 of 2023 in Ashok Bembey Vs Chairman CHB and Others	Chandigarh Housing Board, Chandigarh VS Ashok Kumar Bembey & Another	Case filed by CHB	28 Aug 2024
2	<b>RP/1524/2024</b>	Revision Petition filed by the Municipal Corporation against the order dated	Municipal	Case filed	28 Aug 2024

		30-01-2023 in Appeal No-A-103-2023 of the State Commission Chandigarh	Corporation Chandigarh VS Ashok Bembey	by CHB	
--	--	---	--	--------	--

## Advance List of Court Cases pending before Permanent Lok Adalat PUS, Chandigarh from 24.08.2024 to 30.08.2024

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	<a href="#">APP/21/2024</a>	The Plaintiff has filed the application for temporary injunction restraining the Respondents from conducting any inspection of dwelling unit of applicant as regularization/additional construction is lawful in terms of order/policy dated 03-01-2023 and the applicant has already applied for the same till the decision of this Honourable court (House No 5572, Sector 38-West Chandigarh)	Bhupinder Puri VS Chandigarh Housing Board and Others (House No. 5572, Sector 38-West, Chandigarh)	Reply yet to be filed. Parawise comments sent to the counsel	27 Aug 2024
2	<a href="#">APP/20/2024</a>	The Plaintiff has filed the application for temporary injunction restraining the Respondents from conducting any inspection of dwelling unit of applicant as regularization/additional construction is lawful in terms of order/policy dated 03-01-2023 and the applicant has already applied for the same, till the decision of this Honourable court (House No 5550 Sector 38-West Chandigarh)	Maninder Singh Patti VS Chandigarh Housing Board and Others (House No. 5550, Sector 38-West, Chandigarh)	Reply yet to be filed. Parawise comments sent to the counsel	27 Aug 2024
3	<a href="#">APP/25/2024</a>	The Plaintiff has filed the Misc application for restraining the Respondent authorities from taking any coercive action against the applicant on the basis of the notices dated 17-05-2024 and 05-06-2024 and for issuing any further similar notices for inspection of the dwelling unit house No 5469 Sector 38-West Chandigarh of the applicant during the pendency of the present Petition And also filed the Application w/s 22-C of Legal Services Authorities Act 1987 as amended Up-To-Date for the settlement of dispute between the parties to the application and to direct the respondent-Department to refrain from issuing notices for inspection and for conducting inspection thereto of the dwelling house of the applicant for any need based changes done by the applicant as the notification dated 03-01-2023 has already been issued thereby allowing the	Kulwant Singh Rana VS Chandigarh Housing Board and Others	Reply yet to be filed	27 Aug 2024

		additional construction and need based changes of the dwelling units And Further prayer that the respondents be directed to immediately implement the order/ notification dated 03-01-2023 and to allow / permit the applicant to get his additional construction and need based changes regularized after getting the requisite fee to be calculated as per clause by of order dated 03-01-2023			
4	<b>APP/18/2023</b>	For directing respondents to transfer Small flat DU No 123-C Dhanas UT Chandigarh in the name of applicant	Daya Shankar VS The Chairman CHB	Reply filed	28 Aug 2024
5	<b>APP/02/2024</b>	The petitioner has filed the complaint U/S 22 of State Legal Services Authority Act against the respondents for transferring House No. 2165 (G) Block 7 sector 63 Chandigarh	Desh Deepak Verma VS Chandigarh	Reply filed	29 Aug 2024
6	<b>APP/16/2023</b>	(Chandigarh The plaintiff has filed the application for issue mandatory direction to respondents to issue possession letter and physical possession of Flat No 549 Dhanas UT Chandigarh to application under Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006	Mani Ram and Ors VS Chandigarh Housing Board and Ors.	Reply filed	30 Aug 2024