

Advance List of Court Cases pending before Central Administrative Tribunal from 17.08.2024 to 23.08.2024

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	OA/142/2023	OA failed by the applicant for Directions to the the respondents A) To quash the Memorandum dated 07.02.2023 (Annexure-5) issued by Respondent No.2 B) To issue directions to the Respondents no to proceed with the Memorandum dated 07.02.2023. Further the applicant has prayed for the stay of memorandum dated 07.02.2023.	RAJESH KUMAR SHARMA VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION	Arguments	19 Aug 2024

Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon'ble Supreme Court from 17.08.2024 to 23.08.2024

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	C.A/594/2024	Civil Appeal filed for arising out of the impugned final order dated 06-09-2023 in Consumer Complaint No237 of 2015 passed by the Honorable National Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission Delhi	Parsvnath Developers Limited VS Ram Swarup Kanda (deceased) through LRs. and ors	Arguments	20 Aug 2024

Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon'ble High Court of Punjab and Haryana from 17.08.2024 to 23.08.2024

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	RA-CW/274/2024	Review Application filed by the applicant under Section 114 CPC praying for reviewing of the impugned order dated 30-04-2024 -Annexure A-1- passed by this Honorable Court AND Prayer to accept the review application AND In view of Order No- 272 dated 29-09-2016 in which the decision has been taken by the respondents in its 399th Meeting held on 28-09-2016 vide Table Agenda Item No 399-04 to formulate a uniform policy to restore all such dwelling units subject to payment of all outstanding dues revival charges etc and penal charges issued vide Annexure A-2 by the respondents AND To restore the possession of the dwelling Unit No 24 Sector 51-A Chandigarh to the applicant being helpless widow shelter less and penniless AND Further to issue any other order or direction which this Honorable Court may deem fit and appropriate in the peculiar facts and circumstances in the present case	CHARANJIT KAUR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND OTHERS	Arguments	20 Aug 2024
2	CWP/11297/1997	PTN. FILED FOR REGULARIZING THE SERVICE PRIOR TO THE PERSONS JUNIOR TO HER AND QUASHING ORDER DT. 21.5.97(P-5) QUA THE PRIVATE RESPONDENT. NO. 5 TO 9, COUNT 50 DAYS OF HER WORKING IN JULY 1990 AND AUGUST 1990 TOWARDS HER TOTAL WORKING DAY WITH A CONSEQUENTIAL RELIEF THERETO, AND RELEASE THE WAGES FOR THE MONTH OF JULY 1990 and AUGUST 1990 WITHHELD BY RESPONDENTS NO. 3 AND TO CONSIDER THE CLAIM OF THE PETITIONER TO BE REGULARIZED FOR THE POST OF CLERK AS 7 REGULAR POSTS OF CLERKS ARE LYING VACANT.	ANJU BALA VS THE CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Arguments	21 Aug 2024
3	CWP/23578/2014	Petition filed for quashing the action of the respondents in not regularizing the service of the petitioner despite the fact that they are continuously working with the respondent Board from the year 1981-1994 onward to the entire satisfaction of the Board on the ground that the matter was referred to the respondent No.1 for according approval for reation of posts in the year 1999 and the same was returned, but thereafter no further steps was	BRIJ PAL & ORS VS UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION & ORS	Arguments	21 Aug 2024

		taken. Further for directions to consider and regularize the services of the petitioners, who are continuously working from the last so many years in term of Govt. instructions issued from time to time.			
4	CWP/8246/2001	Quashing the impugned order dt. 03.03.1999 categorically fixing the land rate arbitrarily @ Rs.2500/- per sq. yard instead of @ Rs.750/- per square yard.	SUSHIL ARORA VS U.T.CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION	Arguments	21 Aug 2024
5	CWP/2335/2021	As decided by Worthy CM,CHB to challenge the court orders dated 8.2.2020 passed by the Hon'ble Permanent Lok Adalat, UT, Chandigarh in App/1016/2018 titled as Anand Mishra Vs CHB, (D/Unit No.2211-A, 2BR, Sector 63, Chandigarh) in favour of the petitioner because the Hon'ble PLA Court has taken a view that there is not justified delay of project of Sector 63 and awarded relief to the applicant i.e. One lakh compensation to the applicant for causing delay of possession and also awarded Rs.10,000/- towards mental agony/ harassments and Rs.10,000/- as litigation expenses.	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD CHD VS ANAND MISHRA AND ANR	Arguments	21 Aug 2024
6	CWP/14572/2017	<p>(Oustees Matter) CWP filed by the petitioners for quashing the advertisement 'CHB Oustees Scheme-2017" qua clause No.1 that the said scheme is the last and final opportunity to such oustees of U.T., Chandigarh for allotment of flats/dwelling units and that no claim of oustees whatsoever shall be entertained thereafter, whereas there were 643 families (at the time of acquisition), whose constructed houses were acquired as part of abadi deh land, during the acquisition of eleven villages for development of Phase-2 of the city of Chandigarh and besides there were families whose land was acquired during the Phase-2 of acquisition.</p> <p>And for directing the respondents to allow all the families of the legal heirs of the oustees to be considered as eligible candidate for the said scheme.</p> <p>Further for directing the respondents to allot flats/dwelling units to the petitioners/LR's of the oustees at per 1965 rates and to frame a comprehensive scheme for rehabilitation of oustees of Chandigarh (Phase-II)/petitioners within a specific time before issuing any other Housing Scheme and for directing the respondents to reserve/allot plots/dwelling units to such oustees/petitioners whose villages i.e. houses/land were acquired for development of Chandigarh at the prices prevailing at the time</p>	GOPAL SINGH AND ORS. VS UNION TERRITORY, CHANDIGARH AND ORS.	Arguments	22 Aug 2024

		of acquisition of villages.			
7	CWP/26186/2017	<p>(Oustees Matter) CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing the impugned order dated 07.11.2017 issued by the Accounts Officer, Chandigarh Housing Board, Respondent No.3 rejecting the application Form No.1101 of the petitioner by the Property Allotment Committee Respondent No.4 for MIG(Two Bed Rooms) Flats under the “263 Flats for oustees of U.T., Chandigarh on lease hold basis/free hold basis under the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling units of the Oustees of Chandigarh, Scheme 1996” and writ of mandamus directing the respondent No.3 and 4 to allot the MIG (Two Bed Rooms) Flats, in Sector 51-A, Chandigarh as action of the of Respondent No.3 and 4 rejecting the application Form No.1101 of the petitioner is illegal, null and void, discriminatory and against the scheme and pass any other direction or order as this Hon’ble Court may deemed fit in the circumstances of the case..</p> <p>Also it is further prayed that the during the pendency of the writ petition, one flat of MIG (Two Bed Rooms) Flats may kindly be kept reserved and the same may not be allotted to any person till the matter is decided by this Hon’ble Court.</p>	AJIT SINGH VS UNION TERRITORY, CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	Arguments	22 Aug 2024
8	CWP/27481/2017	<p>(Oustees Matter) CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing Clause (vii) of “263 Flats for Oustees of U.T., Chandigarh on lease hold basis/free hold basis under the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling Units of the Oustees of Chandigarh Scheme 1996” framed on 30.01.2017 being illegal, arbitrary, discriminatory, irrational and has no nexus with the objective to be achieved i.e. resettlement of land owners who have been rendered landless on account of acquisition of their land and every land owner is entitled to allotment of flat as per his/their legibility as each of the co-shares has independent right and title to enjoy the possession and the said clause does not take into consideration the rights of the petitioner as joint owners and violates the right of the petitioner as co-sharer and further declaring that the impugned letter/order dated 07.11.2017 issued by the Accounts Officer, Chandigarh Housing Board, Respondent No.3 rejecting the application Form No.1906 of the petitioner by the Property Allotment Committee Respondent No.4 for MIG(Two Bed Rooms) Flats under the “263 Flats for oustees of U.T., Chandigarh on lease hold basis/free hold basis under the</p>	TARSEM SINGH VS UT OF CHANDIGARH AND ORS.	Arguments	22 Aug 2024

		Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling units of the Oustees of Chandigarh, Scheme 1996” framed on 30.01.2017 is illegal, null and void and liable to be quashed and writ of mandamus directing the respondents No.3 and 4 to allot the MIG (Two Bed Rooms) Flats, Chandigarh. Also further prayed that the during the pendency of the writ petition, one flat of MIG (Two Bed Rooms) Flats may kindly be kept reserved and the same may not be allotted to any person till the matter is decided by this Hon’ble Court.			
9	CWP/26683/2017	(Oustees Matter) CWP filed by the petitioner for directing the respondents to consider the petitioner as ‘Oustee’ as per Eligibility and Procedure Clause III of the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling Units to the oustees of Chandigarh Scheme 1996. Further for quashing the eligibility and procedure clause III (vii) of the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling units to the Oustees of Chandigarh Scheme 1996. Further for quashing the impugned letters dated 03.08.2017 and 31.10.2017 and further for directing the respondents to forthwith allot flat to the petitioner as per the Scheme in ‘Oustee’ quota.	SOM NATH VS THE UNION TERRITORY, CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION, CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	Arguments	22 Aug 2024
10	CWP/29637/2017	(Oustees Matter) CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing condition/clause III (vii) of the ‘Chandigarh Housing Board Oustees Scheme-2017 and also the letter dated 27.10.2017 holding the petitioners not eligible for the allotment of a flat each under the Oustees Quota, the same being highly illegal, irrational, arbitrary, discriminatory, capricious, violative of Article 14 and 18 of the Constitution of India and right under the teeth of the judgement of Hon’ble Full Bench of this Hon’ble Court in the case of Jarnail Sigh and others V State of Punjab and others (2011(1) RCR Civil 915) AND A writ mandamus directing the respondents to consider case of each of the petitioners for allotment of one flat under the “Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling Units to the Oustees of Chandigarh, Scheme 1996”, as per their entitlement i.e. an HIG dwelling unit and till that time, further allotment of left out plots which were floated under the scheme for allotment to the oustees of UT Chandigarh or any allotment under the oustees category may kindly be stayed.	KRISHAN KUMAR AND ORS. VS U.T. ADMINISTRATION CHANDIGARH AND ORS.	Arguments	22 Aug 2024
11	CWP/1458/2018	(Oustees Matter) Civil Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing	RACHNA RAM VS	Arguments	22 Aug 2024

		<p>Clause VIII of 263 Flats for Oustees of AU.T., Chandigarh on lease hold basis free4 hold as is under the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling Units of the Chandigarh Scheme 1996” framed on 30.01.2017 being illegal arbitrary, discriminatory, irrational and has no nexus with the objective to be achieved i.e. resettlement of land owners who have been rendered landless on account of acquisition of their land and every land owner is entitled to allotment of float as per his/their legibility as each of the co-shares has independent right and title to enjoy the possession and the said clause does not take into consideration the rights of the petitioner as joint owners and violates the right of the petitioner as co-sharer and further declaring that the impugned letter/ order dated 27.10.,2017 issued by the Accounts Officer Chandigarh Housing Board, Chandigarh, respondent No.3 rejecting the application form No. 1918 of the petitioner by the Property Allotment Committee Respondent No.4 for HIG Three Bed Rooms Flats under the 263 Flats for of UT Chandigarh on lease hold basis free hold basis under the Allotment of Dwelling Units of the Oustee of Chandigarh Scheme 1996 framed on 30.01.2017 is illegal null and void liable to be quashed and writ of mandamus directing the respondent Nos. 3 and 4 to allot HIG (three Bed Rooms) Flats, Chandigarh. AND OR</p> <p>Directions to respondents to consider the legal and valid claim of the petitioner for the allotment of a dwelling unit to the petitioner under the “Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling Units to the Oustees of Chandigarh Scheme, 1996” on “No Profit No Loss” Basis against 263 dwelling units which are ready for occupation AND</p> <p>Further prayed that during the pendency of writ petition, one flat of HIG (Three Bed Room) Flat may kindly be kept reserved and the same may not be allotted to any person till the matter is decided by the Hon’ble Court.</p>	UT OF CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS		
12	CWP/1516/2018	<p>(Oustees Matter) Civil Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing Clause VIII of 263 Flats for Oustees of UT, Chandigarh on lease hold basis free hold as is under the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling Units of the Chandigarh Scheme 1996 framed on 30-01-2017 being illegal arbitrary discriminatory irrational and has no nexus with the objective to be achieved i.e. resettlement of land owners who have been rendered landless on account of acquisition of their land and every land owner is entitled to</p>	RANGA RAM VS UNION TERRITORY, CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	Arguments	22 Aug 2024

		allotment of float as per his or their legibility as each of the co-shares has independent right and title to enjoy the possession and the said clause does not take into consideration the rights of the petitioner as joint owners and violates the right of the petitioner as co-sharer and further declaring that the impugned letter/ order dated 27-10-2017 issued by the Accounts Officer Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh respondent No.3 rejecting the application form No 1918 of the petitioner by the Property Allotment Committee Respondent No4 for HIG Three Bed Rooms Flats under the 263 Flats for of UT Chandigarh on lease hold basis free hold basis under the Allotment of Dwelling Units of the Oustee of Chandigarh Scheme 1996 framed on 30-01-2017 is illegal null and void liable to be quashed and writ of mandamus directing the respondent Nos. 3 and 4 to allot HIG -three Bed Rooms Flats, Chandigarh.			
13	CWP/3627/2018	(Oustees Matter) CWP filed for quashing the condition No.VII of para No.III of CHB Oustees Scheme 2017 dated 30.01.2017 laying down that in case of joint khata, the entitlement shall be on basis of the holding under the joint khata and co-sherers within the khata would not be taken into reckoning for the purpose of allotment of dwelling unit, being contrary to law laid down in Jarnail Singh case Full Bench of High Court of Punjab and Haryana.And further for quashing the impugned letter dated 05.05.2017 whereby the claim of the petitioner has not been considered under Oustees Category on the ground that only one application can be considered against one oustee category certificate and only one co-oustee by authorized to apply, being contrary to law laid down in Jarnail Singh Vs State of Punjab.	DARSHAN KUMAR VS STATE OF UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH AND ORS	Arguments	22 Aug 2024
14	CWP/8316/2018	Civil Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for asking the respondents No.5 to 9 to show cause as to how and why they have completely ignored the principles of law laid down with regard to the correct legal process for the issuance of notices by CHB respondent No. 5 to 9 for issuance of notices for eviction/resumption/cancellation in complete disregard of the rules and regulation laid down under "The capital of Punjab (Development and Regulation) Act, 1952" of section 15 i.e. Annexure P-1/2 and fully confirmed and reaffirmed by this Hon'ble Courts full bench judgement which was decided by the Hon'ble Full Bench of this Hon'ble Court in 1993 reported as AIR 1994 P and H 1 vide Annexure P-17 at page no. of the paper book which	PRAVASI BHALAI SANGATHAN VS UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.	Arguments	22 Aug 2024

		<p>clearly lays down in paras 3, 4 and 5 in LPA 371 of 1989 decided on 25.09.1990 as well as in para 12, 13 and 17.</p> <p>For issuance of the writ of mandamus directing the CHB/respondents No.5 to 9 to explain as to who they have created the schemes between the intervening period from 23 March 2010 (Annexure P-4 to 16th March 2018 (Annexure P-5) as the clear-cut directions with regard to need-based changes so allowed on 23.03.2010 were given a total disregard to and even the mentioned below like "Tatkal" were brought into effect and even the threat of eviction/resumption/cancellation levy of illegal fines in the form of yearly ground rent from free hold dwelling units in utter disregard of the full bench judgement mentioned above.</p>			
15	CWP/10992/2018	<p>(Oustees Matter) CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing the order dated 07.11.2017 passed by the Respondent No.3 whereby the application submitted by the petitioner For Allotment of MIG (2 Bed Room) Flat under "Oustees Scheme 2017" of U.T., Chandigarh on lease hold basis/free hold basis under the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling Units of the Oustees of Chandigarh Scheme, 1996" has been rejected by the Property Allotment Committee/Respondent No.4 in violation of the Judgement dated 01.10.2010 passed by the full bench of this High Court. Further for directing the respondents to consider the application of the petitioner for the allotment of MIG (2 Bed Room) Flat in Chandigarh to the petitioner in respect of application submitted being oustee as per the scheme of U.T., Chandigarh And further prayed that during the pendency of the writ petition, one Flat of MIG (2 Bed Room) may kindly be kept reserved and the same may not be allotted to any person till the matter is decided by this Hon'ble High Court.</p>	SURJIT SINGH VS UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH AND ORS	Arguments	22 Aug 2024
16	CWP/19472/2018	<p>(Oustees Matter) Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing the condition No.vii of para No. III of Chandigarh Housing Board Oustees Scheme 2017 dated 30.01.2017 laying down that in case of joint khata, the entitlement shall be on basis of the holding under the joint khata and co-sharers within the khata would not be taking into reckoning for the purpose of allotment of dwelling unit, it being contrary to law laid down by the Hon'ble Full Bench of this High Court in Jarnail Singh's case. Further for</p>	BALWINDER KUMAR VS UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH AND ORS	Arguments	22 Aug 2024

		quashing the impugned letter dated 05.05.2017 whereby the claim of the petitioner has not been considered under oustee category on the ground that only one application can be considered against one oustee category certificate and only on co-oustee be authorized to apply, being contrary to law laid down in Jarnail Singh Vs State of Punjab. And further for direction to the respondent6s to allot the petitioner independent dwelling unit as per his entitlement in accordance with law as laid down in Jarnail Singh Vs State of Punjab			
17	CWP/27520/2018	(Oustees Matter) Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing the Clause(vii) of '263 Flats for Oustee's of U.T. Chandigarh on lease hold/free hold basis under the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling Units of the Oustees of Chandigarh Scheme 1996" framed on 30.01.2017 being illegal, arbitrary, discriminatory, irrational and has no nexus with the objective to be achieved i.e. resettlement of land owners who have been rendered landless on account of acquisition of their land and every land owner is entitled to allotment of flat as per his/their legibility as each of the co-shares has independent right and title to enjoy the possession and the said clause does not take into consideration the rights of the petitioner as joint owners and violates the right of the petitioner as co-sharer and further declaring that the impugned letter/order dated 15.12.2017 issued by the joint name of Surinder Singh petitioner and Paramjit Singh S/o of Hazura Sing and the letter dated 11.10.2018 issued by the Respondent No.4 Accounts Officer, Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh is illegal as the same should have been issued in the name of Surinder Singh (Petitioner) alone as the application Form No.1184 was submitted only by the petitioner and further the letter dated 11.10.2018 directing the petitioner to get the dispute sought out in the Civil Court as per law which is illegal as the objectors i.e. Respondent No.6 and 7 never applied for the said dwelling unit under the same scheme and further directing the respondent No.3 and 4 to handover the possession to the petitioner of the said dwelling unit bearing 2075/E, Sector 63, Chandigarh only to the petitioner as per allotment letter dated 15.12.2017 and delete the name of Paramjit Singh in the said allotment letter.	SURINDER SINGH VS UNION TERRITORY, CHANDIGARH & ORS	Arguments	22 Aug 2024
18	CWP/42895/2018	For quashing the order dated 42 dt. 18.02.2016 being illegal and without	PURAN MAL SAINI	Arguments	22 Aug 2024

		authority of law and and in violation of section 4 of capital of punjab (Development and regulations) Act, 1952 - DU No. 3067, Sector 46-C, Chd.	VS UT ADMINISTRATION THROUGH ADVISORS AND ORS		
19	CWP/1076/2019	(The Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006) Writ Petition filed for setting aside the order dated 20.04.2018 passed by the appellate authority-cum-CEO, CHB and directing the respondents to allow the petitioner to apply under the Chandigarh Small Flat Scheme 2006 for which he is fully eligible and is legally entitled. And further prayed for directing the respondent No.3 to consider the case of the petitioner for allotment of a small flat under the Small Flat Scheme 2006 within a period of one month.	BALI KARAN VS UT CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	Arguments	22 Aug 2024
20	CWP/29842/2019	Allotment of Flat under the Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006 - J.No. 75, Block-L, Labour Colony No. 4, Industrial Area, Phase-I, Chandigarh.	VINAY KUMAR CHAUHAN VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION ESTATE OFFICE AND ORS	Arguments	22 Aug 2024
21	CWP/24137/2019	(Oustees Matter) Petitioner filed by the petitioner for quashing the clause (VII) of "263 Flats for Oustees of UT, Chandigarh on lease hold basis free hold as is under the Chd. Allotment of DUs of the Chandigarh Scheme 1996" framed on 30.01.2017 being illegal, arbitrary, discriminatory, irrational and has no nexus with the objective to be achieved and further requested to allot H.No. 2050-E, Sector 63, Chandigarh, which was allotted to the petitioner in the draw of lots held on 30.10.2017.	SATPAL SINGH VS UNION TERRITORY, CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	Arguments	22 Aug 2024
22	CWP/12609/2017	(Oustees matter) Directing the respondents to allot flat/dwelling unit to the petitioners/LRs' of the Oustees at pre1966 rates and to frame a comprehensive scheme for rehabilitation of Oustees of Chandigarh..	MOHAN LAL AND ORS. VS UNION TERRITORY, CHANDIGARH AND ORS.	Arguments	22 Aug 2024
23	CWP/36450/2019	UT Employees Scheme 2008 (The Petitioner filed the petition with pray i) issue order to the respondents to allot the flats to the petitioners under UT Employees Self Financing Scheme of 2008 within a time bound frame in pursuance to draw of lots held on 04.11.2010 and to allot the flats on the	PARVEEN GUPTA VS UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS	Arguments	22 Aug 2024

		same price as depicted in the scheme II) quashing the public notice dated 22.06.2019 vide which the respondents have put an excessive and exorbitant rate at current price without any reasonable nexus with the scheme so floated III) Pass order for staying the operation of the impugned public notice dated 22.06.2019 qua the part of demand of exorbitant/excessive rate of flats or in the alternative directions be issued to the respondents to accept the old rates subject to final decision of the writ petition in the interest of justice).			
24	CWP/21227/2020	In the said matter the CHB is a respondent as a party at Sr.No.1 This case related to rental allotment under the Affordable rental housing complexes scheme.	BIJENDER KUMAR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ANR	Arguments	22 Aug 2024

Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon'ble District Court, UT Chandigarh from 17.08.2024 to 23.08.2024

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	CS CJ/55/2022	In this regard it is submitted that the applicant has filed the subject cited suit for restraining the defendants no. 1 and 3 not to raise any illegal construction i.e. Changing the basic structure of the house in question H.No. 5404, Maloya, UT, CHD.	RAKESH KUMAR VS RAJU	Defence evidence	17 Aug 2024
2	MCA DJ/14/2024	MCADJ/14/2024 Anand Kumar Vs Advisor to the Administrator HNo 4662 2 Sector 38 W Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the Appeal under Section 54 of the Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 As extended to the Union Territory of Chandigarh against the eviction order dated 11/1/2024 passed by Respondent No 3 And Further praying that during the pendency of the appeal the operation of the impugned order dated 11/01/2024 may kindly be stayed Or Any other relief which this Hon ble Court may deem fit in the facts and circumstances of the case And filed	ANAND KUMAR VS ADVISER TO THE ADMINISTRATOR	Consideration	17 Aug 2024

		an Application for staying the operation of the impugned eviction order dated 11/01/2024 during the pendency of the accompanying appeal			
3	CS CJ/4314/2023	<p>CSCJ/4314/2023 Swarna Rani Vs Vijay Shera H No 5108/3 MHC Manimajra UT Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the Suit for declaration to the effect that the suit property ie House number the House No 5108/3 Modern Housing Complex Manimajra UT Chandigarh is coowned by plaintiff No 1 to 5 and defendant No 1 to the extent of equal shares each being legal heir of Late Sh Ajit Singh son of late Shri Guttu Ram and further for declaration to the effect that the alleged Will of Late Shri Ajit Singh son of late Shri Guttu Ram is a forged fabricated and manipulated document which is also surrounded by suspicious circumstances and is illegal null and void and thus is not binding upon the parties and as well as for declaration to the effect that the alleged Will which is being impugned by way of present suit does not entitle the defendant No 1 to proclaim the ownership by her to the extent of 50 along with plaintiff No 5 consequently thus entitles the plaintiffs and defendant No 1 to co own the suit property to the extent of equal share each And for partition and exclusive possession of in respect of House No 5108/3 Modern Housing Complex Manimajra UT Chandigarh ie 2 BHK alongwith latrine bath and scooter garage by meets and bounds among the plaintiff no 1 to 5 and defendant no 1 as per their respective equal share and if the partition of the above said house is not possible by meets and bounds due to any legal implication or otherwise among the plaintiff No 1 to 5 and defendant no 1 then partition of the House/ sale of the House by auction amongst the plaintiffs and defendant no1 and if the partition of the above house is not possible by way of auction among co owners sale of the house i e amongst the plaintiff no 1 to 5 and defendant no 1 then by auction of the above house in public and the sale proceeds of the same may be distributed among the plaintiff no 1 to 5 and defendant no 1 as per their respective equal shares And for a recovery of Rs 10 15 560 towards mesne profit Rs 650 per day along with interest 12 from the date of death of the mother i e Smt Lajwanti who passed away on 19 02 2020 w e f 20 02 2020 i e a sum of Rs 9 06 750 and interest Rs 1 08 810 till the date of decree and from the date of</p>	SWARNA RANI VS VIJAY SHERA	Reply Consideration And	17 Aug 2024

		decree till the realization of the decretal amount in favour of the plaintiffs and against the defendant No 1 And a decree for permanent injunction restraining the defendant No 1 or his / their representative from alienating selling transferring or parting with possession entire or any portion or share of the house in question directly or indirectly to any other person including any third person or from creating any kind of charge or person or from creating any kind of charge or encumbrances or from making any alterations over the above said property and further restraining defendant No 2 to allow sale transfer etc of House No 5108/3 Modern Housing Complex Manimajra U T Chandigarh in favour of defendant No 1 or her authorized representative etc in its record on the basis of oral and documentary be passed in favour of the plaintiffs and against the defendants on the basis of oral and documentary			
4	CS CJ/2699/2018	Civil Suit filled by plaintiff for specific performancere of the agreement to sell dated 2.3.1989 and for execution and registration of sale deed in term of agreement to sell in respect of DU No. 3940 Sector 47-D, Chandigarh.	RENUKA PAL THROUGH SPA PARITOSH KUMAR PAL VS SURESH KUMAR SHARMA	Reply/Consideration	20 Aug 2024
5	CS CJ/536/2020	Civil Suit seeking transfer of 1-1/5th Share of DU No. 1217/1, Sector 40-B, Chandigarh.	RAVI KUMAR VS KAMALA DEVI	Reply And Consideration	20 Aug 2024
6	C.S./719/2021	the plaintiff has filed a Suit for a Decree for Possession (Symbolic) by way of the Specific performance of the Agreement to Sell dated 12.09.216 executed by the Defendant No.1 in favour of the Plaintiff, by directing the defendant No.1 to execute and get register the Sale Deed/Transfer Deed qua Buil-Up Booth No.242, RBL No.107, Shastri Market, Sector 22-C, Chandigarh in favour of the Plaintiff. AND for a Decree for Mandatory Injunction directing the Defendant No.2 and 3 to transfer the Built-up Booth No.242 RBL No.107, Shastri Market, Sector 22-C, Chandigarh favour of the Plaintiff by issuing a Re-Allotment letter and by executing Transfer Deed/Lease Deed in favour of the Plaintiff. And suit based on Oral as well as Documentary Evidence. Plaint under Order 7 Rule 1 CPC.	SUKHVINDER SINGH VS JASWINDER PAL SINGH	Reply And Consideration	20 Aug 2024
7	CS CJ/1054/2021	In the said matter, the Application under order order 39 Rule 1 and 2	GURDEEP SINGH	Notice And Record	20 Aug 2024

		read with Section 151 Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 as amended upto date for grant of interim injunction restraining the defendant and his nominees from selling, mortgaging of alienating the suit property i.e, House No.5735. Sector 56, Chandigarh during the pendency of the present suit.	VS HARBANS SINGH		
8	CS CJ/165/2023	Sandeep Kaushal Vs Chandigarh Housing Board, CSCJ/165/2023, Du No 2951, EWS, CHB Flats, Sector 49-D, CHD	SANDEEP KAUSHAL VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Evidence	20 Aug 2024
9	CS CJ/628/2023	CSCJ/628/2023 Anjali Vs Sukesh Inder Sadiura 5274 Ground Floor MIG Category, Sector 38-W, CHD	ANJALI VS SUKESH INDER SADIURA	Notice And Record	20 Aug 2024
10	CS CJ/1268/2023	CSCJ/1268/2023 Urmila Yadav Vs Savita Yadav, DU No 3530, Sector 46, Chandigarh	URMILA YADAV VS SAVITA YADAV	Notice And Record	20 Aug 2024
11	ARB/67/2024	Application under Section 39 (2) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act 1996 for giving direction to the respondent No 1 Chandigarh Arbitration Centre for delivering the Arbitral Award pronounced by Sole Arbitrator Sh Jagdish Singh Khushdil on 25-05-2023 in the interest of Justicesd3ed4	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD VS CHANDIGARH ARBITRATION CENTRE	Notice And Record	20 Aug 2024
12	ARB/482/2023	To Challenge the Arbitration Award dated 08-05-2023 of Aggarwal and Aggarwal Vs Chandigarh Housing Board before the Ld District Court U/s 34 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act 1996 related to work of Providing Comprehensive Consultancy Services for construction of Block B in CHB Office Complex Sector-9 D Chandigarh as Intelligent and green building	Chandigarh Housing Board VS M/s Aggarwal and Aggarwal	Notice And Record	20 Aug 2024
13	CS CJ/727/2024	CSCJ/727/2024 Rajat Sharma Vs Suresh Kumar HNo 948/1 Sector 40/A Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the SUIT for Declaration to the effect that the plaintiffs being the sole surviving Class I Legal Heirs of Late Sh Mangat Rai their father who died intestate on 05 May 2018 are entitled to succeed to the estate of their father late Sh Mangat Rai And Suit for specific performance of the agreement to sell dated 10/10/1991 in	RAJAT SHARMA VS SURESH KUMAR	Reply And Consideration	20 Aug 2024

		<p>respect of House No 948/1 Sector 40/A Chandigarh as executed by Sh Mangat Rai since deceased father of the plaintiffs with defendant no1 being General Power of Attorney of Narender Pal Singh Under 7 Rule 1 CPC</p> <p>Suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant no 2 to execute and transfer the lease rights in respect of House No 948/1 Sector 40/A Chandigarh in favour of the plaintiffs being the sole surviving legal heirs of Late Sh Mangat Rai</p>			
14	CS CJ/827/2015	Regarding allotment of flat (PDL matter)	VASDEV SINGH VS INDERDEV SINGH	Arguments.	21 Aug 2024
15	CS CJ/1860/2021	Application U/o 39 Rule 1 and 2 CPC for temporary injunction restraining the defendant no. 1 and 2 from alienating the suit property by way of sale, mortgage, gift, exchange and changing the nature by any other mode of the property in question, during the pendency of the main suit. (DU NO. 118/1, Sector-55, CHD)	SHANTI RANI VS MAJOR I. J. S. BAMBRHA	Evidence	21 Aug 2024
16	CS CJ/1858/2017	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer the ownership of DU No. 3334, Sector 47-D, Chandigarh on the basis of GPA of Def. No. 2 (Attar Singh) thereby entering into an agreement to sell.	HARISH GUPTA VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Arguments	22 Aug 2024
17	CS CJ/2312/2019	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for mandatory injunction directing to issue NDC and also restraining from recovering Rs.411707/- of GR in respect of DU No. 5230, MHC, Manimajra.	J.L. VERMA VS THE CHAIRMAN CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Rebuttal/Arguments	22 Aug 2024
18	CS CJ/1184/2022	Civil Suit No CSCJ/1184/2022 Vishwanath Pathak vs Ayodhya Prasad(DU No 383, Phase-II, Ramdarbar)	VISHWANATH PATHAK VS AYODHYA PRASAD	Arguments.	22 Aug 2024
19	CS CJ/531/2023	CSCJ/531/2023 Shankuntla Devi vs Chandigarh Housing Board DU No 247-2, Sector 41-A, CHD The Plaintiff has filed the CIVIL SUIT for Declaration to the effect that the Plaintiff alongwith Performa defendant No. 3 to 5 are the exclusive owner of H.No. 247/2, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh on the basis of the registered Will duly executed by	SHAKUNTALA DEVI VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	22 Aug 2024

		husband of respondent No.2 Late Sh. Budh Ram S/o Sh. Saran Dass being the allottee of H.No. 247/2, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh vide allotment letter No. R-1224- U.T.BO(AA.V)83/1327 dated 28.12.1982 in favour of the husband and father of plaintiff, defendant No.3 to 5 respectively with Mandatory Injunction directing the defendant No. 1 to transfer the same in favour of plaintiff, defendant No.3 to 5 alongwith consequential relief of restraining defendant No.2 from interfering in the peaceful possession of the said house and further Permanent Injunction restraining the defendant No.2 from interfering, selling, mortgaging, hypothecating the said house to any other person except the plaintiff and defendant No.3 to 5 except with due process of law.			
20	CIVIL SUIT/994/2023	CSCJ/994/2023 Meera Rani Vs Chandigarh Housing Board, 491-1, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the application under order 39 rule 1 and 2 read with section 151 of cpc praying the ad-intreim injunction restraining the defendants from selling, alienating, transferring, mortgaging the flat no.491/1, sector 41-a, Chandigarh during - the pendency of the suit.	MEERA RANI VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	22 Aug 2024
21	CS CJ/1205/2023	CSCJ/1205/2023 Bal Krishan Vs Chandigarh Housing Board, Du No 2629 Small Flats Sector 49, Chandigarh	BAL KRISHAN VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	22 Aug 2024
22	CA/12/2023	CA/12/2023, Sushma Bhai and Ors. Vs Ranjana Suri and Ors. DU No. 1504/1, Sector 29-B, Chandigarh. The Plaintiff has filed the CIVIL APPEAL UNDER SECTION 96 of CPC for setting aside the Judgment and decree dated 29.11.2022, passed by the Court of Sh. Bharat, HCS(J), Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Chandigarh in Civil Suit No. 524 of 08.03.2016, whereby the suit of the Plaintiffs was dismissed with costs. Claim in Appeal for setting aside the judgment and decree dated 29.11.2022 and for decreeing the suit of the Plaintiffs with costs.	SUSHMA BHAI VS RANJANA SURI	Notice And Record	22 Aug 2024
23	CS CJ/1991/2023	CSCJ/1991/2023 Madhumatia Bhaskarwar VS General Public H No 2230/2 Sector 45 C Chandigarh the petitioner has filed the civil suit for declaration to the effect that plaintiffs be declare owner in possession of house no 2230/2 Sector 45 C Chandigarh as they are having the peaceful possession of house in question since last 37 years in view of	MADHUMATI A BHASKARWAR VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Appearance	22 Aug 2024

		the sale purchase documents such as GPA SPA Agreement to sell will and affidavit of dates 24-10-1985 which was executed by the original allottee i e defendant no 2 in favor of the plaintiff parents			
24	CS CJ/867/2024	<p>CSCJ/867/2024 Harpal Singh Vs Jaswant Kaur Dwelling unit no2057/C Category 3BR Sector 63 Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the SUI for Declaration on behalf of plaintiffs that Will dated 15/09/2020 executed by Late Sh Harminder Singh in respect of his 1/3 rd share in DU No 2057/C Category 3BR Sector 63 Chandgiarh is legal valid and genuine document by virtue of which both the plaintiffs had inherited 1/3 rd share held by him and became co/owners in possession of the above said dwelling unit to the exclusion of other legal heirs of Late Sh Harminder Singh as mentioned in Will dated 5/09/2020 on the basis or oral as well as documentary evidence under order 7 Rule 1 of CPC AND Suit for permanent injunction restraining defendants No 1 to3 from selling alienate mortgaging or to change the nature of property in respect to 1/3 rd share held by late Sh Harminder Singh in dwelling unit no 2057/C Category 3BR Sector 63 Chandigarh in any manner to any third person as they have no right title or interest with regard to the property in question till the decision took place between the parties AND Suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant no 4 to transfer 1/3 rd share in dwelling unit No 2057/c Category 3BR Sector 63 Chandigarh into the name of plaintiffs on the basis of Will dated 15/09/2020 and as per rules and byelaws framed by the Chandigarh Housing Board at the costs and expenditure of the plaintiffs as their request for transfer had been declined without any reason and both of them have acquired 1/3 rd share held by Late Sh Harminder Singh after his death by virtue of his last Will dated 15/09/2020 so executed by him during his lifetime And filed an Application on behalf of plaintiffs under order 39 rule 1 and 2 of CPC restraining defendants No 1 to 3 from selling alienating, mortgaging or to change the nature of property in respect to 1/3 rd share held by Late Sh Harminder Singh in DU No 2057/C Category 3BR Sector 63 Chandigarh in any manner to any third person a they have no right title or interest with regard to the property in question till the decision took place between the parties</p>	HARPAL SINGH VS JASWANT KAUR	Appearance	22 Aug 2024

25	CS CJ/438/2018	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff -disputes between the parties regarding allotment DU No. 325 Sector 45-A, Chandigarh.	DES RAJ VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Evidence	23 Aug 2024
26	CS CJ/2339/2018	Civil Suit has been filed by the plaintiff for transfer of DU No. 355/2, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh on the basis of WILL.	BALWINDER KAUR VS KASHMIR KAUR	Reply/Consideration	23 Aug 2024
27	CS CJ/109/2019	Civil Suit filed by the Plaintiff seeking direction to Def. No. 1 to demolish illegal Constn. of DU No. 3770, Ambedkar Awas Yojna, Sector 56, Chandigarh and further directing Def. No. 2 (CHB) for necessary action in respect of Govt. land encroached by Defendant No. 1.	MALTI DEVI VS AHMAD ALI	Consideration	23 Aug 2024
28	CIVIL MISC/399/2022	Civil Misc/399/2022 Balwinder Singh Bamra Vs Manpreet Singh. petition copy missing	BALWINDER SINGH BAMRA VS MANPREET SINGH	Consideration	23 Aug 2024
29	CS CJ/98/2023	CSCJ/98/2023 Daleep Rattan vs Prem Lata without petition	DALEEP RATTAN VS PREM LATA	Reply And Consideration	23 Aug 2024
30	CIVIL MISC/180/2024	Civil Misc/180/2024 in Civil Misc 372/2023 in PROB/14/2021 titled as Neha Masi vs General Public HNo 748 Dadu MajraColony Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed an Application on behalf of petitioner for restoration of the application for clarification of the order in the probate petition which was dismissed on 28/03/2024	NEHA MASI VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Notice And Record	23 Aug 2024

Advance List of Court Cases pending before Permanent Lok Adalat PUS, Chandigarh from 17.08.2024 to 23.08.2024

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	APP/17/2024	The Plaintiff has filed the application for directing the respondents to transfer Dwelling Unit /Flat 2113-C 3rd Floor Sector - 63 Chandigarh in the name of applicant	Yogul Kapur VS Chandigarh Housing Board and others	Reply yet to be filed	21 Aug 2024
2	APP/07/2024	The Plaintiff has filed the application under Section 22-C of the Legal Services Authority Act 1987 for the settlement of the dispute (Booth No 8 Sector 48-A Chandigarh)	Dr Alka Singh VS Chairman, CHB	Reply filed	23 Aug 2024
3	APP/22/2024	The Plaintiff has filed the application for transfer of dwelling unit i.e. House No P-1649 Sector-52 Chandigarh in the name of applicants being the legal heirs allottee to the extent of 1/3rd each.	Julie and others VS Chandigarh Housing Board and others (House No. P-1649, Sector-52 Chandigarh)	Reply yet to be filed	23 Aug 2024