

Previous List of Court Cases pending before Hon’ble High Court of Punjab and Haryana from 14.09.2024 to 20.09.2024

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date	Next date
1	CWP/30181/2018	Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing the proceedings/orders dated 30.08.2018 vide which the refund was deposited in the account of the petitioner by respondents No.3 and 4 while surrendering the dwelling unit illegally and deducting 10% of the amount paid by the petitioner being illegal, null and void as the representations dated 16.8.2018 requesting respondents No.3 and 4 withdrawing the request to surrender the dwelling unit NO.2212/A, Sector 63, Chandigarh vide intimation letter dated 16.08.2018 as well as e-mail sent to them in not considering said presentations withdrawing the application dated 25.07.2018 and order passed thereon in refunding the amount and the intimation letter dated 20.09.2018 vide are liable to be set aside as the request withdrawing the application dated 25.07.2018 was illegally cancelled and intimation letter dated 20.09.2018 is also liable to be set aside and further directing the respondents to handover the possession of the said plot after accepting the amount which was refunded in the account of the petition and pass any order or direction as this Hon’ble Court may fit in the circumstances of the case and further praying that during the pendency of the writ petition dwelling unit No. 2212/A, Sector 63, Chandigarh may not be allotted to any person.	RAM GOPAL VS UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH AND ORS	Arguments	16 Sep 2024	23.01.2025
2	CWP/22212/2019	Writ petition filed by the petitioner for quashing impugned order dated 26.06.2006, order in First Appeal Dated 28.05.2010, Order in second appeal dated 19.05.2017, order in Final Revision Petition dated 09.04.2019 Others (3281, Dhanas, Chandigarh – Building Violation case)	SOHAN LAL SAINI THROUGH SUB- GPA, SUMAN GABA VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD THROUGH ITS CHAIRMAN, SECTOR 9 CHANDIGARH AND ANOTHER	Arguments	17 Sep 2024	29.10.2024
3	CWP/10942/2018	Writ petition filed by the Petitioner for directing the respondents to extend the benefits of Notification dated 18.09.1998 and extend the benefit of Revised Pay Scale on the analogy of Order dated 15.09.2015 passed by the Hon’ble Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh and Office order No.68 dated 18.08.2015 issued by Executive Engineer C.P. Division No.4 U.T., Chandigarh which is applicable in the case of the petitioners as they are governed by the same rules and regulations which are applicable to the U.T. Administration Employees and the fact that the similar benefits have already been granted by the U.T.,	ANMOLAK SINGH AND ANR. VS UT OF CHANDIGARH AND ORS.	Arguments	18 Sep 2024	10.12.2024

		Employees on the basis of Notification P-1 and the U.T. Administration has already taken a conscientious decision to extend the benefit of the aforesaid Notification dated 18.09.1998 (Annexure P-1) and the order for grant of other prayers which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit in peculiar facts and circumstances.				
4	CWP/4452/2019	Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing of the impugned orders/letters issued on 18.05.2018 vide which the Respondent Board has reused to transfer D.U.No.`129/2, Sector 55, Chandigarh to the name of petitioner, in accordance with Regulation No.16 of the Chandigarh Housing Board (Allotment, Management and Sale of Tenements) Regulations, 1979 and amendments made there under time to time. Further with prayer that a writ in the nature of mandamus be issued directing the respondent to transfer the above said dwelling unit in the name of petitioner in accordance with Regulation 16 of the Chandigarh Housing Board (Allotment, Management and Sale of Tenements) Regulations, 1979.	MAKHAN SINGH VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION THROUGH THE SECRETARY	Arguments	18 Sep 2024	16.10.2024
5	CWP/20809/2021	Civil writ petition under article 226/227 of the constitution of India for issuance of a writ especially in the nature of certiorari for setting aside the impugned order dated 04.08.202 (Annexure P-34) passed by the respondent no. 1 and further issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the respondents that the conditional allotment of flat no. 1348-B, Small flats, dhanas, Chandigarh vide letter dated 09.05.2016 made to the petitioner by the respondents may kindly be made absolute, in the interest of justice. AND/OR During the pendency of present writ petition, the operation of impugned order dated 04.08.2021 passed by the respondent no.1 may kindly be stayed and e peaceful possession of the flat no. 1348-B, small flats, Dhansas, Chandigarh of the petitioner may kindly not to be disturbed till the decision of this Hon'ble High Court. AND Further issue any other writ, order, direction which this Hon'ble High Court deem fit and proper, in the peculiar facts and circumstances of the present case, may kindly be also passed.	SHEKHPATI DEVI VS UT CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	Arguments	18 Sep 2024	28.10.2024
6	CWP/270/2022	CWP No 270-2022 filed by Ranjit Singh against the order dated 10.01.2022 passed by Permanent Lok Adalat and Others DU No 1097 Phase-2 Ram Darbar Chandigarh.	RANJIT SINGH VS PERMANENT LOK ADALAT AND ORS	Arguments	18 Sep 2024	22.01.2025
7	CWP/2750/2023	Challenging the order dated 21-10-2021 passed by the Honorable Permanent Lok Adalat - Public Utility Services UT Chandigarh in Application No.217/2019	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD VS VIJAY SINGH AND OTHERS	Arguments	18 Sep 2024	22.01.2025
8	CWP/16061/2024	Civil Writ Petition under Articles 226/227 of the constitution of India praying for the issuance of a writ in the nature of Certiorari to set aside the impugned Final Award dated 06.06.2019 (P/8) as well as the impugned interim order dated 01.03.2019 (P/7) passed by the Ld. Chairman, Permanent Lok Adalat (Public Utility Services), UT, Chandigarh whereby Commercial Electricity Meter has been provided in the name of respondent no. 4 illegally and arbitrarily. AND further for staying the operation of the impugned order during the pendency of the present writ petition. AND Further for issuance of any other appropriate writ, order or direction which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit & proper in the peculiar facts and circumstances of the instant case.	RANJEET SINGH AND ORS VS PERMANENT LOK ADALAT (PUBLIC UTILITY SERVICES), UT CHANDIGARH AND ORS.	Reply received from counsel for verification of facts and signatures, sent to	18 Sep 2024	22.01.2025

				enforcement officer.		
9	CWP/13446/2024	Petition under Articles 226 227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ in the nature of Certiorari-Mandamus or such other appropriate writ order or directions quashing the action of the respondents in not considering and granting the petitioner appointment to the post of Sub Divisional Engineer -Electrical in pursuant to recruitment notice dated 02-10-2022 being placed at No1 in the waiting list on account of non joining of the solitary candidate in the merit list namely Sh. Kamran Ashraf, who was offered appointment vide order dated 10-08-2023 as patently wrong illegal arbitrary malafide unconstitutional and violative of the settled law in this regard AND further directing the respondents consider and grant the petitioner appointment on the post of SDE Electrical being placed at No1 in the waiting list due to not joining of the candidate offered appointment against the solitary post advertised vide dated 02-10-2022 and also grant the petitioner all consequential benefits such as deemed date of appointment from the date on which Sh Kamran Ashraf was given appointment with all monetary and other service benefits together with interest	AVINASH KUMAR MISHRA VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ANR	Avinash mishra has joined to the post, intimation sent to the counsel.	18 Sep 2024	04.02.2025
10	CWP/8311/2016	CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing the impugned order dated 31.03.2016 ordering Premature Retirement at the age of 49 years as a measure of punishment , stigmatic and punitive in nature, contrary to law; and for retraining the respondents from compulsory retiring the petitioner, as a measure of punishment, except in due course of law by holding an enquiry and granting an opportunity of hearing to lead his part of the defence evidence in such proceedings, in accordance with law; and further by passing an ad-interim order, the operation of the impugned show cause notice dated 31.03.2016, the virtual orders of premature retirement be stayed, during the pendency of the writ petition.	RAKESH GARG VS CHD HOUSING BOARD & ORS	Arguments	19 Sep 2024	28.11.2024
11	CWP/8313/2016	CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing the impugned order dated 31.03.2016 ordering Premature Retirement at the age of 46 years as a measure of punishment , stigmatic and punitive in nature, contrary to law; and for retraining the respondents from compulsory retiring the petitioner, as a measure of punishment, except in due course of law by holding an enquiry and granting an opportunity of hearing to lead his part of the defence evidence in such proceedings, in accordance with law; and further by passing an ad-interim order, the operation of the impugned show cause notice dated 31.03.2016, the virtual orders of premature retirement be stayed, during the pendency of the writ petition.	VIJAY KUMAR VS CHD HOUSING BOARD & ORS	Arguments	19 Sep 2024	28.11.2024
12	CWP/8360/2016	CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing the impugned show cause notice dated 31.03.2016 ordering Premature Retirement at the age of 54 years as a measure of punishment , stigmatic and punitive in nature, contrary to law; and for retraining the respondents from compulsory retiring the petitioner, as a measure of punishment, except in due course of law by holding an enquiry and granting an opportunity of hearing to lead his part of the defence evidence in such proceedings, in accordance with law; and further by passing an ad-interim order, the operation of the impugned show cause notice dated 31.03.2016, the virtual orders of premature retirement be stayed, during the pendency of the writ petition. (Service	VIJAY PURI VS CHD HOUSING BOARD & ORS	Arguments	19 Sep 2024	28.11.2024

		Matter)				
13	CWP/10283/2016	CWP No.9276/2016 titled as Rajesh Kumar Vs Chandigarh Housing Board, has supplied the copy of CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing the impugned show cause notice dated 31.03.2016, not to prematurely retire the petitioner as a measure of punishment, except in due course of law by holding inquiry and affording opportunity of hearing to her and further by passing an ad-interim order, the operation of the impugned show cause notice dated 31.03.2016, the virtual orders of premature retirement be stayed, during the pendency of the writ petition. (Service Matter)	ANJANA JINDAL VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BD.& ORS.	Arguments	19 Sep 2024	28.11.2024
14	CWP/8316/2016	CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing the impugned order dated 31.03.2016 ordering Premature Retirement at the age of 46 years as a measure of punishment , stigmatic and punitive in nature, contrary to law; and for retraining the respondents from compulsory retiring the petitioner, as a measure of punishment, except in due course of law by holding an enquiry and granting an opportunity of hearing to lead his part of the defence evidence in such proceedings, in accordance with law; and further by passing an ad-interim order, the operation of the impugned show cause notice dated 31.03.2016, the virtual orders of premature retirement be stayed, during the pendency of the writ petition.	URWASHI KOUL VS CHD HOUSING BOARD & ORS	Arguments	19 Sep 2024	28.11.2024
15	LPA/484/2018	LPA filed by the petitioner for setting aside the order dated 16.01.2018 passed by the Hon'ble Single Judge of the Hon'ble High Court in CWP No. 28630 of 2017 titled Rajesh Kumar Vs U.T., Chandigarh and others (Cooperative House Building Society Case)	RAJESH KUMAR VS UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH AND ORS	Reply need not to be filed	19 Sep 2024	20.02.2025
16	CWP/9798/2020	petitioner has file writ petition under article 226/227 of the Constitution of India with the prayer for issuance title/ownership of D/Unit No.1490/2, MIG, Sector 43-B, Chandigarh by executive deed of Conveyance in his favour as the GPA dated 19.04.2001	HARDEV SINGH KHLON VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION UT CHD AND OTHERS	Arguments	19 Sep 2024	28.01.2025
17	CWP/17567/2021	CWP filed to require and direct the respondents to act as per law in the context of conversion charges and to avoid wrongfully burdening the petitioner with post facto revised conversion fee not application to the case of petitioner.	NOTAN DASS MANCHANDA VS THE SECRETARY FINANCE CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION UT CHANDIGARH AND ANOTHER	Arguments	19 Sep 2024	Dismissed
18	CWP/1313/2018	Civil Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing the impugned order dated 19.09.2017 and for issuance of writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the official respondent to allot the Small Flat under	MOHAN LAL VS UT OF CHANDIGARH	Arguments	20 Sep 2024	21.10.2024

		the Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006 for which the petitioner is legally entitled but the claim has been rejected on illegal grounds. Further directing to the respondents to make allotment of dwelling unit to the petitioner as having been allotted to similarly situated persons.(Jhuggi No.119-A, Mauli-Jagran, U.T., Chandigarh)	AND ORS.			
19	CR/1731/2020	Challenge the legality and propriety of the impugned order dt. 17.12.2019 whereby the learned Arbitrator has fixed a separate fee for filing a Counter Claim and order dated 13.02.2020 whereby an amount of Rs.10.00 Lac has additionally been imposed or directed to be deposited by the petitioner due to non-payment of fees assessed for counter-claim.	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD VS M/S B.L. MEHTA CONSTRUCTION PRIVATE LTD AND ANR	Arguments	20 Sep 2024	29.11.2024
20	RA-CW/274/2024	Review Application filed by the applicant under Section 114 CPC praying for reviewing of the impugned order dated 30-04-2024 -Annexure A-1- passed by this Honorable Court AND Prayer to accept the review application AND In view of Order No- 272 dated 29-09-2016 in which the decision has been taken by the respondents in its 399th Meeting held on 28-09-2016 vide Table Agenda Item No 399-04 to formulate a uniform policy to restore all such dwelling units subject to payment of all outstanding dues revival charges etc and penal charges issued vide Annexure A-2 by the respondents AND To restore the possession of the dwelling Unit No 24 Sector 51-A Chandigarh to the applicant being helpless widow shelter less and penniless AND Further to issue any other order or direction which this Honorable Court may deem fit and appropriate in the peculiar facts and circumstances in the present case	CHARANJIT KAUR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND OTHERS	Arguments	20 Sep 2024	14.10.2024

Previous List of Court Cases pending before Hon’ble Central Administrative Tribunal, UT, Chandigarh from 14.09.2024 to 20.09.2024						
S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date	Next date
1	OA/142/2023	OA failed by the applicant for Directions to the the respondents A) To quash the Memorandum dated 07.02.2023 (Annexure-5) issued by Respondent No.2 B) To issue directions to the Respondents no to proceed with the Memorandum dated 07.02.2023. Further the applicant has prayed for the stay of memorandum dated 07.02.2023.	RAJESH KUMAR SHARMA VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION	PENDING,AFTER NOTICE(i.e. NOT READY FOR HEARING)	20 Sep 2024	06.11.2024

Previous List of Court Cases pending before Hon’ble District Court, UT Chandigarh from 14.09.2024 to 20.09.2024

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date	Next date
1	EXE/58/2017	Execution filed by the Decree Holder not received only attachment order against Judgement/Decree dated 21.12.2016 passed in Civil Appeal No. 388/1.8.2015 in which appeal has been allowed in respect of Self Financing Housing Scheme-2006(OBR) DU No. 2799-C, Sector 49, Chandigarh.	Girija S. Nair VS Chandigarh Housing Board through its Chairman	Awaiting Further Order	14 Sep 2024	Disposed off
2	CS CJ/751/2022	CSCJ/751/2022 - BHUPINDER SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD (5103, SECTOR 38(W) CHANDIGARH	BHUPINDER SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	14 Sep 2024	22.11.2024
3	CS CJ/1937/2016	Civil Suit for allotment/transfer of DU No. 6756-A, Sector 56, Chandigarh in the name of legal heirs under Dr. Ambedkar Awas Yojna-2009.	REKHA RANI VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION	Reply And Consideration	16 Sep 2024	07.10.2024
4	CS CJ/1350/2017	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for cancellation of allotment in respect of # 908/40-A, Chandigarh # 928/40-A, Chandigarh due to filing false affidavit..	RAJ KUMAR CHIBBER VS USHA PRASHAR	Evidence	16 Sep 2024	08.10.2024
5	CS CJ/1781/2017	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for mandatory injunction directing the CHB(Def. No. 1) not to transfer the house No. 3420, Maloya, UT, Chandigarh on the basis of decree dated 28.8.2017 passed by Sh. Imanbir Singh Dhaliwal, CJD, Chandigarh also directing def. No. 1 2 to transfer the DU in favour of plaintiff on the basis of Agreement to sell.	SANJAY KUMAR GARG VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD THROUGH CHAIRMAN	Evidence	16 Sep 2024	05.11.2024
6	CS CJ/438/2018	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff -disputes between the parties regarding allotment DU No. 325 Sector 45-A, Chandigarh.	DES RAJ VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Evidence	16 Sep 2024	08.10.2024
7	CS CJ/2699/2018	Civil Suit filled by plaintiff for specific performancre of the agreement to sell dated 2.3.1989 and for execution and registration of sale deed in term of agreement to sell in respect of DU No. 3940 Sector 47-D, Chandigarh.	RENUKA PAL THROUGH SPA PARITOSH KUMAR PAL VS SURESH KUMAR SHARMA	Reply/ Consideration	16 Sep 2024	28.10.2024

8	CS CJ/345/2020	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding cancellation of allotment of demised premises of DU No. 2229/1, Sector 45-C, Chandigarh even SCN was issued.	LAKHVIR KAUR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Consideration	16 Sep 2024	19.11.2024
9	CS CJ/1434/2021	Application under Order 39 Rules 1 and 2 read with Section 151 CPC for grant of ad-interim injunction restraining defendant no 1 or its assignees from interfering into the peaceful possession of the plaintiff over the entire ground floor of Dwelling Unit No. 2238, Sector-40/C, CAT EWS, U.T. Chandigarh and further restraining defendant no 1 otr its assignees from illegally and forcibly dispossessing the plaintiff from demised premises and further restraining defendant no 1 from selling, create charge in any form against Dwelling Unit No. 2238, Sector-40/C, CAT EWS, U.T. Chandigarh, during the pendency of the suit and restraining defendant no 2 not to the transfer the flat in question and not to provide permission (NOC) to sell the house in question to defendant no 1, during the pendency of the suit.	INDER KUMAR VS SHIV KUMAR	Evidence	16 Sep 2024	24.09.2024
10	CS CJ/1266/2021	Suit for specific performance of the agreement to sell dated 01-01-2021 by directing the defendant no 1 to execute necessary documents for the transfer deed and execution of sale deed in favour of the plaintiff in respect of 100 percent share of residential house no 368-1 Sector-45-A CHD amounting to Rs 33,00,000/- and construction made thereupon and for possession of 100 percent share in respect of residential house no 368-1 Sector-45 A CHD and in alternative the suit for recovery of Rs 3300000/- (Thirty Three lakhs) on account of liquidated damages along with future interest 12 percent per annum.	PAWAN KUMAR VS RAGHBIR SINGH	Reply And Consideration	16 Sep 2024	Dismissed
11	CS CJ/843/2018	Civil Suit NoCS8432018 Titled as Rahul Sharma vs Abhishek Sharma Another Transfer of 50 share of DU No 2228 Sector 45C CHD	RAHUL SHARMA VS ABHISHEK SHARMA	Reply And Consideration	16 Sep 2024	24.09.2024
12	CS CJ/773/2022	CSCJ/773/2022, Ajit Singh Butter Vs General Public. #3369, Sector 46-C, CHD	AJIT SINGH BUTTER VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Consideration	16 Sep 2024	05.10.2024
13	CS CJ/89/2024	CSCJ/89/2024 SUSHIL KUMAR VS GENERAL PUBLIC H NO 3372 SECTOR 45 D CHANDIGARH The Plaintiff has filed the Suit for declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is the owner of H No 3372 Sector 45 D Chandigarh by virtue of the WILL Executed by Dr Shankar Nath Sanyal son of Late Sh Provot Kumar Sanyal registered in the office of Sub Registrar Chandigarh at serial No 2369 Book No 3 Volume No 257 Dated 20/11/2001 in favour of the plaintiff along with a Suit for Mandatory Injunction directing the defendant No 3 to transfer the said house in the record of Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh in the name of the plaintiff And Suit for Permanent injunction restraining the Defendant No 2 from Selling Transferring Alienating the property in favour of any other person or the create any charge Lien Interest of any Third party in the above noted property and also restraining the defendant No 3 from entering any Mutation/transferring the property in name of any other person except the Plaintiff	SUSHIL KUMAR VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Notice And Record	16 Sep 2024	17.12.2024
14	MCA DJ/27/2018	MCADJ/27/2018 Sona Singh Sona Rani VS Manohar H NO 5371/1 Category IV PhaseII Manimajra UT ChandigarH The Plaintiff has filed the Appeal against the order dated 22/03/2018 passed by the court of Ms. Saloni Gupta Civil Judge Jr Divn Chandigarh in suit titled as Manohar and others Vs Mohinder and	SONA SINGH @ SONA RANI VS MANOHAR	Reply And Consideration	16 Sep 2024	11.11.2024

		others whereby the application for setting aside the exparte proceedings passed against the appellant has been dismissed For acceptance of the appeal setting aside the order under appeal and for allowing the application for setting aside the exparte proceedings filed by the appellant and for allowing the appellant/defendant no 2 to join the proceedings of the suit and to contest the same The case was fixed for hearing on 08/02/2024 before the Hon ble Court of Civil Judge Jr Div Chandigarh The Civil Suit and Civil Appeal has been defended on behalf of Chandigarh Housing Board by Ms Geeta Gulati and CA/495/2018 is Currently Attended by the Counsel Ms Geeta Gulati So the Same counsel is well informed about this case				
15	CS CJ/612/2024	CSCJ/612/2024 Isher Singh Vs General Public H No 14 Sector 41A UT Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the SUIT for declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is owner in possession of the HNo 14 Sector 41A UT Chandigarh and further Civil Suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant no 2 to transfer the House No 14 Sector 41 A UT Chandigarh owner in possession on the basis of bonafide purchaser and documents ie Power of Attorney Will etc executed in the favour of plaintiff And/Or Any other relief which this Hon ble Court may deem fit and proper in the light of facts and circumstances of the case also be passed in favour of the plaintiff and against the defendants	ISHER SINGH VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Notice And Record	16 Sep 2024	02.12.2024
16	CS CJ/285/2017	Civil Suit for mandatory injunction directing Def. No. 1 and 2 to transfer H.No. 3100, HB Colony, Dhanas in the name of plaintiff on the basis of WILL dated 24.7.2015 and permanent Injunction restraining from transferring in the name of Def. No. 3 on the basis of WILL dated 7.6.2016 or in the name of any person.	RAVI KAMAL VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Consideration	17 Sep 2024	07.10.2024
17	CA/495/2018	Appeal filed by the appellant against order dated 8.5.2018 passed by Ms. Saloni Gupta, CJD, Chandigarh (Regn. No. 1517/2014) regarding transfer of ownership of DU No. 5371/1, Cat-IV, Phase-II, Manimajra, UT, Chandigarh..	SONA SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Arguments	17 Sep 2024	24.10.2024
18	CS CJ/1941/2019	Civil suit filed by the plaintiff for declaration the effect that the plaintiff is entitled to transfer the Booth No. 83, Maloya, Chandigarh on the basis of GPA under GPA Transfer Policy and also Mandatory/Permanent Injunction.	ASHOK KUMAR VS THE CHAIRMAN	Arguments	17 Sep 2024	25.10.2024
19	MCA DJ/7/2020	MCA DJ/7/2020 - Appeal filed by the Appellant against dated 5.12.2019 in respect of cancellation of allotment on account of alienation of physical possession of DU No. 931-A, Small Flat Dhanas	RAM MURAT VS SECRETARY	Arguments	17 Sep 2024	20.09.2024
20	CS CJ/2040/2020	The plaintiff has filed suit for declaration as she is the only class-II legal heir of deceased Sh.Faqir Chand allottee of flat No.2553, Ram Darbar,Chd. for transferring of said flat in her name	SHAKUNTLA DEVI VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Arguments	17 Sep 2024	27.09.2024
21	CS CJ/2299/2020	The plaintiff has file an application U/s 39 Rule 1 and 2 read with Section 151 CPC for grant of ad-interim injunction by restraining the defendants to transfer the said D/Unit No.2919/1, HIG, Sector 42-C, Chandigarh in the name of any other 0person except the plaintiff during the pendency of the present suit.	LAKHBIR SINGH SETH VS MANJIT KAUR	Evidence	17 Sep 2024	07.11.2024
22	CS CJ/1356/2021	Suit for declaration to the effect that plaintiff be declare owner in possession of house No. 4573, Maloya Colony, UT, Chandigarh on the basis of GPA, Agreement to sell, affidavits and Wills dated 20.08.1990,	GOONA DEVI @ SARASWATI VS	Arguments.	17 Sep 2024	29.10.2024

		which was executed by the original allottee in favvour of the plaintiff.	GENERAL PUBLIC			
23	CS CJ/1159/2022	Civil Suit No. CSCJ/1159/ 2022 titled as Santosh Sehgal vs Chanchal Sehgal (DU No. 1076, Cat-A (GF) The Customs and Central Excise Employees Coop. House Building Society, Sector 51-B, Chandigarh)	SANTOSH SEHGAL VS CHANCHAL SEHGAL	Reply And Consideration	17 Sep 2024	29.10.2024
24	CS CJ/642/2022	CSCj 642 2022 titled as Neelam Mehra vs Naresh Kumar House No 2765 Dadumajra Colony Chandigarh	NEELAM MEHRA VS NARESH KUMAR	Consideration	17 Sep 2024	18.11.2024
25	EXE/625/2023	EXE/625/2023 Rajesh Goyal Vs General Public H No 2223-E Sector 63The plaintiff has filed an application for execution of the judgement dated 30-05-2023 passed by this honble Court in Civil Suit No 1440/2022 decided on 30-05-2023	RAJESH GOYAL VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Reply/ Consideration	17 Sep 2024	29.10.2024
26	CS CJ/844/2024	CSCJ/844/2024 Ruchi Arora Vs Chandigarh Housing Board HNo 5457 Modern Housing Complex Sector 13 Manimajra Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the SUIT for declaration to the effect that the impugned Challan dated 12/04/2024 Annexure P/1 and impuged Notice/cum/domiltion order dated 25/04/2024 Annexure P/2 issued by defendants no 3/4 is quite illegal un constitutional arbitrary one discriminatory unilateral and even in utter violation of principles of natural justice hence liable to be set/aside AND SUIT for permanent injunction restraining the defendants through their Agents Representatives Employees Servants/Assignees or through police etc causing any sort of interference in peaceful lawful and continuous possession of the plaintiff over DU No 5457 MHC Sector /13 Manimajra Chandigarh hereinafter referred to as suit property and further restraining the defendants from emolishing any part of the above said DU of the plaintiff under the garb of NoticecumDemolition order dated 25/04/2024 and further restraining the defendants from committing any such tortuous act in future till the pendency of the present suit, in the interest of JusticEe AND/OR Pass any other or direction which this Hon ble Court may deems fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the present case in the interest of Justice	RUCHI ARORA VS THE CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Appearance	17 Sep 2024	18.11.2024
27	CA/108/2024	CA/108/2024 Kamrus Bai vs The Secretary of Chandigarh Housing Board HNo 72/A Dhanas Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed an Application for stay of the order daTed 11/07/2024 passed by the Secretary of Chandigarh Housing Board under the Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 Chandigarh Housing Board And Filed an Appeal against the impugned eviction order dated 10/07/2024 dispatched on 11/07/2024 passed by Secretary, Chandigarh housing Board vide which DU No 72/A Dhanas Chandigarh belonging to appellant has been cancelled and eviction of the appellant has been ordered in most illegal and arbitrary manner	KAMRUS BAI VS SECRETARY OF CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	17 Sep 2024	18.10.2024
28	CS CJ/1956/2021	Application under section 80(2) of the cod of Civil Procedure 1908, for seeking exemption for sending the notice under section 80 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908.	KAILASH GARG VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Reply/ Consideration	18 Sep 2024	09.10.2024
29	CS CJ/168/2022	Suit for declaration to the effect that the Plaintiff is legal heirs of late Kanti Lal who had purchased the House No. 2301/1, Sector-45/C, Chandigarh from defendant no. 3. And Suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant no. 1 to execute all the necessary documents for the transfer of said house in favour of the plaintiff being legal heir of late Kanti Lal and	BHABANI GANGULY VS KUSUM RANI	Defence evidence	18 Sep 2024	23.09.2024

		further directing the defendant no. 2 to transfer the said house I favour of plaintiff.				
30	CS CJ/2259/2021	Suit for grant of decree of declaration to the effect that the plaintiffs being Class I legal heirs of Smt. Nirmal Gupta, are absolute owners in possession with respect with respect to House No. 3325, Sector-46/C, Chandigarh, by virtue of Registered Will dated 25.10.1984 executed by Sh Karam Singh son of Sh Kartar Singh in favour of Smt. Nirmal Gupta (now Deceased).	RAKESH GUPTA VS CHARAN KAUR	Rebuttal/ Arguments	18 Sep 2024	Disposed off, CHB is no more party in this case
31	CS CJ/55/2022	In this regard it is submitted that the applicant has filed the subject cited suit for restraining the defendants no. 1 and 3 not to raise any illegal construction i.e. Changing the basic structure of the house in question H.No. 5404, Maloya, UT, CHD.	RAKESH KUMAR VS RAJU	Defence evidence	18 Sep 2024	20.09.2024
32	CS CJ/1925/2023	CSCJ/1925/2023 Charanjeet Kaur Vs Jagmohan Singh and others, H.No 446, Sector 44-A, Chandigarh	CHARANJEET KAUR VS JAGMOHAN SINGH	Reply And Consideration	18 Sep 2024	16.11.2024
33	CS CJ/407/2024	CSCJ/407/2024 Suman Lata Vs Vinod Kumar DU No 1665/1 Sector 29 B First Floor and DU no 1667 Sector 29 B Ground Floor U T Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the Suit for Permanent Injunction restraining the defendants relatives and their agents from interfering into peaceful possession of the plaintiff and her family members at house no 1665/1 Sector 29 B First Floor UT Chandigarh And Suit for Permanent injunction restraining the defendants from raising construction/violation at the first floor in the courtyard of house no 1667 Sector 29 B Second Floor UT Chandigarh of which caused hurdles in the easement right of the plaintiff like air, sunlight etc and gross violation of plan proved by the defendant. No 2 And Suit for mandatory injunction for directing the defendants to remove the illegal construction which they have already done/erect without the consent of defendant no 2 from the roof of the construction at courtyard of the house no. 1667 Sector 29 B UT Chandigarh Earlier the APPEAL/75/2016 and APPEAL/203/2016 of the subject cited court had been attended by Advocate Sh Rajiv Sharma So the Counsel is well aware about the subject cited court case	SUMAN LATA VS VINOD KUMAR	Reply And Consideration	18 Sep 2024	04.10.2024
34	ARB/9/2024	To challenge the arbitration award dated 06-10-2023 for the construction of 240 one bedroom flats composite work GR-VI in Sector 63 chandigarh (Arbitration case BL Mehta projects pvt ltd vs chairman CHB)	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD VS B.L MEHTA PROJECTS PVT. LTD	Reply And Consideration	18 Sep 2024	10.12.2024
35	CS CJ/244/2022	Civil Suit No CSCJ 244 2022 Rajesh Dubey Versus Chandigarh Housing Board Another DU No 2635 Rehabilitation Colony Mauli Jagran	RAJESH DUBEY VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Arguments.	19 Sep 2024	26.11.2024
36	CS CJ/1926/2022	Rajan Joshi vs CHB CS/1926/2022 Du No 5264-A, Sector 38-West, Chandigarh.	RAJAN JOSHI VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Consideration	19 Sep 2024	04.12.2024

37	CA/177/2022	CA/177/2022 Deepika Singh vs Satwinder Singh Du No 282/1, sector 41-A, Chandigarh The appellant the filed the appeal against findings under issue no 2A 2B 2C 2D 2E in judgement and decree dated 28/10/2022 passed by the court of Shri Chetesh Gupta Civil Judge Jr Div Chandigarh in Civil suit no 3070 of 2013 titled Satwinder Singh Vs Prabhjot Singh and others and Claim for acceptance of appeal and after setting aside findings under issue 2A 2B 2C 2D 2E in judgemnt and decree dated 28/10/2022 passed by the Court of Shri Chetesh Gupta Civil Judge Jr Div Chandigarh for decreetal of the counter claim filed by the appellants/defendants no 1 to 3 against the respondents in civil suit no 3070 of 2013 titled as Satwinder Singh Vs prabhjot kaur and others with costs through out.	DEEPIKA SINGH VS SATWINDER SINGH	Reply/ Consideration	19 Sep 2024	11.11.2024
38	CA/187/2022	CA/187/2022 Satwinder Singh Vs Prabjot Kaur Du no 282-1 sector 41-A, Chandigarh The appellant the filed the appeal against impugned order dated 28/10/2022 passed by the Civil Judge, Junior Division chandigarh and Claim in appeal to accept the appeal and the impugned order deserved to be set aside.	SATWINDER SINGH VS PRABJOT KAUR	Notice And Record	19 Sep 2024	11.11.2024
39	CS CJ/824/2023	CSCJ/824/2023 Neelu Vs Chandigarh Housing Board DU No 555, Dhanas, Chandigarh	NEELU VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Arguments	19 Sep 2024	22.10.2024
40	CS CJ/1548/2023	CSCJ/1548/2023 SOM PAL Vs Rinku, DU No 1597, Dadu Majra Colony, U.T. Chandigarh	SOM PAL VS RINKU	Reply And Consideration	19 Sep 2024	06.01.2025
41	CIVIL MISC/567/2023	PUC is a summons to appear in the subject cited court case received in this office without the copy of petition attached may kindly be perused please The next date of Subject Cited Court Case has been fixed for 13/08/2024 Earlier Civil Suit no CS/2114/2020 titled as Shamsher Singh others Vs The Estate Officer Chandigarh another which was dismissed by the Hon ble District on 03/04/2023	SHAMSHER SINGH VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION	Appearance	19 Sep 2024	14.11.2024
42	CS CJ/1246/2024	CSCJ/1246/2024 titled as Raghbir Singh Vs Joginder Singh HNo 5361/1 Modern Housing Complex Manimajra Sector 13 Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed an Civil Suit for Declaration to the effect that the will dated 24/02/.223 as mentioned in petition alleged to be executed by late Smt Raj Rani registered at SNo 1920 Book No 3 Vol No I with Sub/Registrar Chandigarh in favouR of defendant NO 1 is a fabricated document and is outcome of fraud and misrepresentation therefore same may be declared as illegal document and further declaration that the properties left by late Smt Raj Rani moveable and immovable have been inherited by the plaintiff and defendants no 1 to 3 in equal share all being the class I legal heirs of late Smt. Raj Rani Further Suit for Permanent Injunction restraining the defendant no. 1 from transferring and parting with the possession of the HNo 5361/1 Modern Housing Complex Manimajra Section 13 Chandigarh and gold in the possession of the defendant no.1 to third person by way of sale mortgage and gift and not to withdraw and misappropriate the money laying in the banks in the name of late Smt Raj Rani Further Civil Suit for partition of the H.No 5361/1 Modern Housing Complex Manimajra Chandigarh amounts of FDRs laying wit SBi Sector 30 Chandigarh and gold in the possession of the defendant no 1 amongst plaintiff and defendants no 1 to 3 in equal share If partition is not Opossible the house in dispute be ordered to be sold in public auction and proceed be divided amongst the plaintiff and	RAGHBIR SINGH VS JOGINDER SINGH	Appearance	19 Sep 2024	13.11.2024

		defendant no1 to 3 in equal share				
43	CS CJ/899/2024	<p>CSCJ/899/2024 titled as Tajinder Pal Singh vs Ghan Sham lal & Others House no 3304 Ground Floor Sector 45/D Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed an Application under order 39 rule 1 and 2 read with Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure 1908 for Grant of Temporary Injunction Restraining the Defendants No 1 and her agents Representative Successors Servants Legal heirs etC from disposing off the suit property in question by way of sale mortgage renting out etc and from dispossessing the plaintiffs from the suit property ie Dwelling unit no 3304 Ground floor Sector 45/d Chandigarh till the pendency of the suit/plaint And Interim Stay may also be granted in favor of the plaintiffs till the disposal of the present application And Filed an Suit for specific performance of the Agreement to Sell dated 10/09/2004 executed by defendant no 1 SH Ghan Sham Lal now deceased Whereby he entered into agreement to sell, in respect of Dwelling unit No. 3304 LIG Flat Ground Floor Sector 45/D Chandigarh in favor of Sh Manjit Singh son of Gulab Singh resident of HNo 3025 Sector 27d Chandigarh which included their heirs assignees executors successors legal representatives and administrations to the extent of 100 share with a total consideration price of Rs 350000 as full and final settlement to the said agreement to sell dated 10/09/2004 which was duly paid by Sh Manjit Singh against the receipt dated 10/04/1991 and Sh Ghan Sham Lal also executed a registered General Power of Attorney dated 10/09/2004 registered at serial number 4559 Book no 4 volume No 267 pm 10/09/2004 and a registered Will dated 10/09/2004 registered at serial no 2777 Book no 3 volume no 274 on 10/09/2004 and an affidavit dated 10/09/2004 acknowledging the execution of aforementioned document And file an Suit for declaration to the effect that the plaintiffs are owner in possession in property ie Dwelling unit no 3304 LIG Flat Ground Floor Sector 45/d is liable to be transferred lease hold right of property in favor of plaintiffs on the basis of an agreement to sell a registered will a registered GPA and an affidavit executed by Sh Ghan Sham Lal in favour of Sh Manjit Singh Which included plaintiffs being his sons /heirs assigness executors Successors legal representatives and administrators along with other supporting documents on account of the intestate demise of Sh Manjit Sngh And Suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant no 2 to transfer the lease hold rights of the said dwelling unit no 3304 LIG FlaT Ground Floor Sector 45/d in favour of plaintiffs on the basis of documents mentioned above And Suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendant no 1 legal heirs of late Sh Ghan Sham Lal their associates Legal representatives agents successors etc from alienating and mortgaging the suit property and further restraining them from interfering into peaceful possession of plaintiffs over the suit property and from forcibly dispossessing the plaintiffs from the premises in question till the final disposal of the present suit And also directing the defendant no. 2 Chandigarh Housing Board to issue NOC and further transferring the lease hold right of property in question in favour of plaintiffs on the basis of the aforementioned Agreement to sell registered will registered GPA an affidavit and other supporting documents executing by late Sh Ghan Sham Lal in favour of Sh Manjit Singh which included plaintiffs being his son shei</p>	TAJINDER PAL SINGH VS GHAN SHAM LAL	Notice And Record	19 Sep 2024	14.11.2024

44	CS CJ/786/2022	CSCJ/786/ 2022 titled as Col M.K. Khorana (Retd) vs Kulbhushan Aggarwal.(Regarding Dwelling unit 5115-5120, Second Floor, Mordern Housing Complex, Sector 13, Chandigarh.	COL M K KHORANA (RETD) VS KULBHUSHAN AGGARWAL	Reply/ Consideration	20 Sep 2024	29.11.2024
45	CS CJ/155/2023	CSCJ/155/2023, Dilbag Singh vs Chandigarh Housing Board, DU No 4839-C (Third Floor) of EWS Category, Sector 38-W, Chandigarh.	DILBAG SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Appearance	20 Sep 2024	01.10.2024
46	CS CJ/1047/2023	CSCJ/1047/2023 Tejinder Singh Vs Abdul Shaheed Usmani. Du No 431 MIG, Sector 45-A, Chandigarh	TEJINDER SINGH VS ABDUL SHAHEED USMANI	Appearance	20 Sep 2024	19.12.2024
47	CS CJ/445/2024	CSCJ/445/2024 Manjit Singh Vs Kuldeep Kaur and Anr. HNo 295 Sector 51/A Chandigarh THe Plaintiff has filed the SUIT for declaration to the effect that the Plaintiff is the actual owner in possession of House No 295 Sector 51A Chandigarh having purchased the same from his own funds and defendant No 1 is its Benami owner only, having no legal right to deal with the same in any manner and is not competent to create third party right over the same by way of sale mortgage gift etc and for permanent injunction restraining defendant no 1 from alienating disposing the said house I any manner ie by way of sale mortgage gift etc and further restraining from creating any charge upon the said house in any manner AND For Mandatory injunction for directing defendant no 2 to transfer the House No295 Sector 51A Chandigarh in its record in the name of the plaintiff on the basis of oral and documentary evidence And also filed APPLICATION under order 39 Rules 1 2 read with section 151 of CPC for grant of Ad-interim mandatory injunction	MANJIT SINGH VS KULDEEP KAUR	Reply/ Consideration	20 Sep 2024	30.11.2024

Previous List of Court Cases pending before Permanent Lok Adalat PUS, Chandigarh From 14.09.2024 to 20.09.2024						
S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date	Next date
1	APP/144/2015	Seeking allotment of an alternate site in lieu of J No #B 147/1 Nehru Colony Sector-52 Kajheri Chd CWP No 36155 of 2018 O&M Estate Officer UT Chandigarh vs Santosh Kumar and Others was listed before the Honourable High Court on 01-08-2024 and the case was disposed of vide order dated 01-08-2024 by the Hon'ble Court and As per orders dated 01-08-2024 the Hon'ble High Court has set aside the orders of PLA dated 25-08-2015 passed in Application No144 of 2015 and has remanded back the PLA case to the Permanent Lok Adalat Public Utility Services UT Chandigarh for adjudication in accordance with the	Santosh Kumar s/o Ram Dulare / EO/Secy CHB	Appearance	14.09.2024	Adjourned, next date will be intimated shortly

		procedure prescribed in law Further Honourable High Court has also directed to the parties to appear before the Hon’ble PLA on 20-08-2024.				
2	APP/02/2024	The petitioner has filed the complaint U/S 22 of State Legal Services Authority Act against the respondents for transferring House No. 2165 (G) Block 7 sector 63 Chandigarh	Desh Deepak Verma VS Chandigarh	Reply Filed	17 Sep 2024	14.10.2024
3	APP/22/2024	The Plaintiff has filed the application for transfer of dwelling unit i e House No P-1649 Sector-52 Chandigarh in the name of applicants being the legal heirs allottee to the extent of 1/3rd each.	Julie and others VS Chandigarh Housing Board and others (House No. P-1649, Sector-52 Chandigarh)	Reply filed	18 Sep 2024	09.10.2024