

Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon'ble High Court of Punjab and Haryana from 07.09.2024 to 13.09.2024

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	CWP/10808/2019	Civil writ petition under articles 226/227 of constitution of India for issuance of writ especially in nature of mandamus directing the respondents to remove the encroachment in the shape of gardens with hedges/ grills made by the flat owners whose flats are situated on the ground floor in modern housing complex, manimajra, Chandigarh on the government land which is in violation of condition no. 19 of the order dated 07.01.2010 (Anexure P-1) passed by finance Secretary-cu-chief administrator, UT, Chandigarh and also causing inconvenience to the residents of the modern housing complex, manimajra, Chandigarh who are residing on first, second and third floors for parking of their cars and for smooth running of traffic in the locality; AND any other appropriate order or directions which this Hon'ble court deems suitable under the facts and circumstances of the case may kindly be granted in favour of petitioners.	VIBHA DHIMAN AND OTHERS VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND OTHERS	Arguments	09 Sep 2024
2	CR/3573/2019	For setting aside the order dated 12/2/19 passed by the Ld CJJD UT Chandigarh vide which the application under section 10 of CPC filed by the present petitioner/ defendant on the ground that earlier the similar/ identical suit filed by the respondent no. 1 was rejected on 9/11/15 and the counter claim filed by the present petitioner regarding the same issue/ property/ registered will in that very main suit has been decreed after leading of detail evidence by the both the parties and the court decided that the registered will dated 25/1/2013 is genuine and found in favor of the present petitioner and party, now again the present respondent no. 1 filed the identical suit regarding identical issue, in which the present petitioner and party, now again the present respondent no 1 filed the identical suit regarding identical issue, in which the present petitioner filed an application under section 10 of CPC by saying that the same very issue has already been settled and the identical	RAJINDER VERMA VS SURINDER VERMA AND OTHERS	Arguments	09 Sep 2024

		suit regarding identical issue filled by the respondent no. 1 has been rejected and the counter claim of the present petitioner has been decreed, but the Ld trial court wrongly dismissed the said application filled by the present petitioner, hence the present revision petition.			
3	CWP/2230/2021	The case is related to cancellation of allotment of D.U.No. 5146/1, Manimajra on the grounds of misuse and violations. The Chief Executive Officer, CEO, has canceled the allotment of said D.U. The applicant has filed an application before the Chairman, CHB. Since the violations and leakages have been removed, the allotment of D.U. was restored subject to payment of restoration charges and other dues, if any, within 10 days of the issue of this communication. In case the restoration charges have not been deposited, the orders passed by CEI shall remain operational. The department has intimated the applicant to deposit a sum of Rs.3,74,579/- as the revival charges including GST. The applicant has not deposited the revival charges and filed revision petition before the Adviser to Administrator UT, Chandigarh. The same was decided and disposed off vide order dated 4th December, 2020 and upheld the decision of the Board. The petitioner has filed the present CWP No. 2230/2021. The Hon'ble High Court has issued notice of motion for 23.02.2021.	VINOD KUMAR BANSAL VS THE ADVISED TO THE ADMINISTRATOR U.T SECRETARIAT AND OTHERS	Arguments	09 Sep 2024
4	CWP/4769/2021	CWP under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ in the nature of a Certiorari, quashing the impugned letter No.377367 dated 27.08.2020 vide which the Respondent No.3 has rejected the request of the Petitioner for refund of conversion charges of Rs.40,56,000/- in respect of Plot No.368-369, Indl Area, Ph-I, Chandigarh of the Petitioner, being manifestly arbitrary, violative of Article 14 of India and also declare the same as being illegal, improper, unjust, predetermined, malicious, irrational and beyond the provisions of law.	M/S ESSEN DEINKI VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER	Arguments	09 Sep 2024
5	CWP/17414/2024	Writ Petition it is found that the petitioner has filed the petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ in the nature of Certiorari quashing the notice orders dated 09-08-2023 - Annexure P-11- and dated 01-12-2023 -Annexure P-13- passed by the Respondent No3 and 4 As also for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents not to demolish the railing above	RESIDENT WELFARE ASSOCIATION VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD THR CHAIRMAN	Reply filed and sent to the counsel	09 Sep 2024

		the boundary wall As also for the issuance of any other writ order or direction which this Honorable Court may deem fit and necessary in the facts and circumstances of the present case It is also prayed that during the pendency of the present writ petition the operation of impugned notices-orders -Annexures P-11 and P-13- issued by respondent No3 and 4 may kindly be stayed	AND OTHERS		
6	CWP/16727/2016	reg. Industrial Plot no 161, Industrial Area, Phase-II chandigarh.	SAT PAUL BANSAL & ORS VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION & ORS	Arguments	10 Sep 2024
7	CWP/22212/2019	Writ petition filed by the petitioner for quashing impugned order dated 26.06.2006, order in First Appeal Dated 28.05.2010, Order in second appeal dated 19.05.2017, order in Final Revision Petition dated 09.04.2019 Another (3281, Dhanas, Chandigarh – Building Violation case)	SOHAN LAL SAINI THROUGH SUB-GPA, SUMAN GABA VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD THROUGH ITS CHAIRMAN, SECTOR 9 CHANDIGARH AND ANOTHER	Arguments	10 Sep 2024
8	CWP/17229/2022	CWP filed for quashing the Award dated 17 November 2021 passed by Permanent Lok Adalat Public Utility Services Union Territory Chandigarh under Section 22-C-8 of the Legal Service Authority Act 1987 in Application No 67 of 2020 titled as Jagdish Singh Marwaha Versus The Accounts Officer IV Chandigarh Housing Board and another	THE ACCOUNT OFFICER-IV, CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ANR. VS JAGDISH SINGH MARWAHA AND ANOTHER	Arguments	10 Sep 2024
9	CWP/9739/2023	The CWP filed by the Petitioner under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ of certiorari or an appropriate order or direction for quashing the impugned notice cum order cum order antedated 28.04.2023 -Annexure P-8 issued under Section 15 of the	RESIDENT WELFARE ASSOCIATION - 2BHK VS	Parawsie comments sent to the counsel on 11.08.2023,	10 Sep 2024

		Capital of Punjab (Development and Regulation) Act, 1952 by the respondent no.4, served upon the petitioner, on 02.05.2023, whereby the respondent no.4 has directed the petitioner to remove construction of temporary Office constructed at Block No.8 near Lift No.83 and temporary store constructed at Block No.9, near Lift No.80, in RWA-2 BHK, Sector 63, Chandigarh, within a period of 3 days, failing which, the said construction will be removed by the respondent no.4 at the risk and cost of the petitioner without any further notice, being wrong, illegal, arbitrary, violative of Article 14 of the Constitution of India and against the principle of natural justice. Further to issue a writ of mandamus for issuance of directions to the respondents for providing alternative place for office and store for the petitioner Association for performing the mandatory functions as provided under the Chandigarh Housing Board (Allotment, Management and Sale of Tenements) Regulations, 1979 and the scheme namely General Self Finance Housing Scheme 2008 formulated under above said Regulations 1979 and/or in alternative till the appropriate place for office and store is not provided by the respondents, the Possession Office situated at Flat No.2212/A be handed over to the petitioner Association, which is still wrongly and illegally retained by the respondents even after allotment and handing over possession of all the flats to the members of the petitioner Society. With further prayer that during the pendency of the present writ petition, the operation of the impugned notice cum order ante dated 28.04.2023 (Annexure P/8) along with all consequential proceedings may kindly be stayed in the interest of justice.	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND OTHERS	but draft reply from the counsel.	
10	CWP/11297/1997	PTN. FILED FOR REGULARIZING THE SERVICE PRIOR TO THE PERSONS JUNIOR TO HER AND QUASHING ORDER DT. 21.5.97(P-5) QUA THE PRIVATE RESPONDENT. NO. 5 TO 9, COUNT 50 DAYS OF HER WORKING IN JULY 1990 AND AUGUST 1990 TOWARDS HER TOTAL WORKING DAY WITH A CONSEQUENTIAL RELIEF THERETO, AND RELEASE THE WAGES FOR THE MONTH OF JULY 1990 and AUGUST 1990 WITHHELD BY RESPONDENTS NO. 3 AND TO CONSIDER THE CLAIM OF THE PETITIONER TO BE REGULARIZED FOR THE POST OF CLERK AS 7 REGULAR POSTS OF CLERKS ARE LYING VACANT.	ANJU BALA VS THE CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	The Hon'ble High court vide order dated 21.08.2024 has directed the CHB to clarify if the amount of 50 days salary plus along with	11 Sep 2024

				arrears has paid to the petitioner or not. If paid the copy of payment documents be produced on the next date of hearing. The said order was sent to the administrative officer on 03.09.2024 for necessary compliance. Reply is still awaited	
11	CWP/17769/2015	Writ Petition filed by the subject cited petitioner for quashing of order dated 08.04.2015 endorsed on 16.04.2015 and order dated 11.08.2015 passed by the Respondent No.1 and 2 i.e. CHB and Registrar Cooperative Societies-cum-Deputy Commissioner, U.T., Chandigarh.	ARSHDEEP SINGH SIVIA AND ORS VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ORS	Arguments	11 Sep 2024
12	CWP/8316/2018	Civil Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for asking the respondents No.5 to 9 to show cause as to how and why they have completely ignored the principles of law laid down with regard to the correct legal process for the issuance of notices by CHB respondent No. 5 to 9 for issuance of notices for eviction/resumption/cancellation in complete disregard of the rules and regulation laid down under "The capital of Punjab (Development and Regulation) Act, 1952" of section 15 i.e. Annexure P-1/2 and fully confirmed and reaffirmed by this Hon'ble Courts full bench judgement which was decided by the Hon'ble Full Bench of this Hon'ble Court in 1993 reported as AIR 1994 P and H 1 vide Annexure P-17 at page	PRAVASI BHALAI SANGATHAN VS UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.	CM filed by the petitioner which was sent to the policy branch, reply awaited. But the case is pending for arguments.	11 Sep 2024

		no. of the paper book which clearly lays down in paras 3, 4 and 5 in LPA 371 of 1989 decided on 25.09.1990 as well as in para 12, 13 and 17. For issuance of the writ of mandamus directing the CHB/respondents No.5 to 9 to explain as to who they have created the schemes between the intervening period from 23 March 2010 (Annexure P-4 to 16th March 2018 (Annexure P-5) as the clear-cut directions with regard to need-based changes so allowed on 23.03.2010 were given a total disregard to and even the mentioned below like "Tatkal" were brought into effect and even the threat of eviction/resumption/cancellation levy of illegal fines in the form of yearly ground rent from free hold dwelling units in utter disregard of the full bench judgement mentioned above.			
13	CWP/12531/2021	Plaintiff has filed a Civil Writ Petition under Articles 226/227 of Constitution of India for Issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing of the letter dated 29.06.2020 demanding Rs.3,32,881/- letter dated 17.10.2020 demanded by the respondents from the petitioner with regard to conversion of land from lease hold to free hold. And issuance of a Writ in the nature of Mandamus and any other appropriate writ petition for giving direction to the respondents not to implement the letter dated 29.06.2020 and 17.10.2020 as the petitioner has already deposited the requisite amount of conversion charges as well as full payment have already been made in the year of 2008 and made an application for converting from lease hold to free hold, the dwelling unit no. 5291-A, Sector 38 West, Chandigarh. Further directing the respondents to do the needful for converting the above said property with immediate effect as the requisite fees has already been deposited since 2008 onwards and made request for conversion in the year of 2012. Any other writ, order or direction which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case may kindly be issued in favour of the petitioners against the respondents .	SANTOSH KUMARI DHIMAN VS THE SECRETARY OF HOUSING, CHANDIGARH AND ORS	Arguments	11 Sep 2024
14	CWP/14202/2022	The Petition has been filed by the petitioner for directing the respondents to allot Dwelling Unit-Flat to the petitioners under the Slum Dwellers Rehabilitation Scheme - The Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006 against the demolition of Jhuggi-Hut No 426-2 Colony No 5 Sector 45-C Chandigarh without any further delay	GEETA AND ORS VS STATE OF UT CHD AND ORS	Arguments	13 Sep 2024

Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon'ble District Court, UT Chandigarh from 07.09.2024 to 13.09.2024

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	CS CJ/2040/2020	The plaintiff has filed suit for declaration as she is the only class-II legal heir of deceased Sh. Faqir Chand allottee of flat No.2553, Ram Darbar, Chd. for transferring of said flat in her name	SHAKUNTLA DEVI VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Arguments	09 Sep 2024
2	CS CJ/141/2021	In this matter plaintiff has filed suit for permanent injunction for restraining the defendants No.1 to 3 or their agents, assignees, representative, attorney holders etc. to interfere/disturb or make invasion, encroachment, nuisance or trespass in any manner in the exclusive peaceful/lawful, possession of the plaintiff on House No.3398, Sector 45-D, Chandigarh.	SUDIP KAUR VS AMIT KUMAR	Reply And Consideration	09 Sep 2024
3	CA/34/2020	Appeal filed by the Appellant against decree dated 12.12.2019 passed by Ms. Meenakshi Gupta, CJJD, Chandigarh whereby suit of the appellant has been dismissed in respect of allot/tranfer of DU No. 413, sector 43-A, Chandigarh.	GURJEET KAUR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Arguments	09 Sep 2024
4	CS CJ/2115/2022	CSCJ/2115/2022 Labh Singh vs Soni DU No 3125 Housing Board Colony Dhanas, Chandigarh	LABH SINGH VS SONI	Reply and Consideration	09 Sep 2024
5	CS CJ/4350/2023	CSCJ/4350/2023 Anmol Gill VS General Public H No 5618 Sector 38 West Chandigarh PUC is a summons to appear in the subject cited court case received in this office on 08/01/2024 alongwith the copy of petition copy attached may kindly be perused please The case is fixed for hearing on 15/01/2024 at 10 00 am before the Hon ble Court of Civil Judge Jr Div Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the Suit for declaration to the effect that plaintiffs is the sole and absolute owner-in-possession of H No 5618 Sector 38 West Chandigarh on the basis of natural succession being the only Class1 surviving legal heir of Late Amarbir singh Gil And Suit for Mandatory Injunction directing the defendant No 2 to transfer the 100 share of HNo 5618 Sector 38 West Chandigarh in favour of the Plaintiff on	ANMOL GILL VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Evidence	09 Sep 2024

		the basis of natural succession being the only class/1 surviving legal heir of Late Amarbir singh Gill allottee of the above stated house			
6	MCA DJ/45/2024	MCA DJ/45/2024 Vikram vs Chandigarh Housing Board HNO 6547/B Sector 56 small flats Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed an APPEAL under section 54 1 of the Haryana Housing Bord, Act 1971 As extended to Chandigarh against the orders dated 27/06/2024 passed by the secretary Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh under Section 51 Sub/section 1 of the Haryana Housing Board Act Excerising the Powers of copetent authority ordering the Eviction of the appellant from small flat no 6547/B Sector 56 Chandigarh	VIKRAM VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Vakalatnama yet to be filed	09 Sep 2024
7	MISC DJ/416/2024	MISC DJ/416/2024 titled as Surinder Kumar vs Krishna Devi Earlier CSCJ/425/2019 and MCA DJ/46/2024 was defended by Advocate Sh Vikas Jain on behalf of Chandigah Housing Board So he is well informed with the facts of the subject cited court case	SURINDER KUMAR VS KRISHNA DEVI	Vakalatnama yet to be filed	09 Sep 2024
8	CA/109/2024	CA/109/2024 Nanhakau Vs The Secretary of Chandigarh Housing Board Dwelling unit no 36/3 Sector 39 Maloya Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed an APPEAL against the impugned eviction order dated 26/06/2024 dispatched on 27/06/2024 passed by Secretary, Chandigarh Housing Board vide which small flat hno 36/3 Sector 39 maloya Chandigarh belonging to appellant has been cancelled and eviction of the appellant has been ordered, in most illegal and arbitrary manner And CLAIM IN APPEAL for setting aside the order dated 02/07/2024 passed by Secretary Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh and acceptance of appeal with costs	NANHAKAU VS SECRETARY OF CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	09 Sep 2024
9	CS CJ/2006/2016	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff seeking transfer of properties i.e. DU No. 1238, Sector 43-B, Chandigarh 14th share in agriculture land on WILL basis.	INDU MUNJAL VS CHAIRMAN CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply and Consideration	10 Sep 2024
10	EXE/58/2017	Execution filed by the Decree Holder not received only attachment order against Judgement/Decree dated 21.12.2016 passed in Civil Appeal No. 388/1.8.2015 in which appeal has been allowed in respect of Self Financing Housing Scheme-2006(OBR) DU No. 2799-C, Sector 49, Chandigarh.	Girija S. Nair VS Chandigarh Housing Board through its Chairman	Awaiting Further Order	10 Sep 2024
11	CS CJ/733/2020	Plaintiff is the exclusive owner to the extent 100 share of DUnit No2091 CategoryII Sector 44A Chandigarh as left by her motherSmtInderjeet Kaur	SUKHDEEP KAUR VS RAMNEEK	Defence evidence	10 Sep 2024

		now deceased widow of ShMohinder Pal Singh	KAUR		
12	CS CJ/2246/2020	In this matter, the applicant has filed suit for mandatory for issuance direction to the defendant no.1 to demolish the unauthorized constructed/illegal encroachment in the house defendant No.2 and 3 i.e. house No.2229, Sector 45-C, Chandigarh and further direction may also be issued to defendant no.1 to penalized the owner/occupant of H.No.2229 for illegal encroachment of violation Chandigarh Housing Board rules and regulation. Suit for mandatory injunction for issuance directions to the defendant no.2 and 3 to pay the compensation for the dragging the plaintiff into this unwanted litigation and further they also may be directed to pay compensation for the mental harassment and physical harassment in his old age.	DES RAJ VS CHD HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	10 Sep 2024
13	CS CJ/1795/2021	Suit for Declaration to the effect that the plaintiffs are owners to the extent of 1/5th share each of House No. 3215, Sector-45/D, Chandigarh being Class 1 leal heirs entitled to inherit the property of deceased Ram Singh S/o Matoo Ram R/o H.No. 3215, Sector-45-D, UT, Chandigarh with consequential relief of permanent injunction relief of permanent injunction restraining the defendant no.1 and 2 and their agents to dispossess the plaintiffs from the demised premises illegally and forcibly, selling, mortgaging, transferring, exchanging, encumbering and changing the nature of the suit property in any manner more than their share and specific part thereof to any person, creating any type of hindrance and restraining to disconnect the electricity and water connection of the premises.	MANJEET KAUR VS BALJIT SINGH	Evidence	10 Sep 2024
14	CS CJ/32/2022	Civil Suit for Declaration for declaring the plaintiffs owners of House No. 3441, Sector-46/C, Chandigarh. Further suit for Mandatory Injunction directing the defendant to transfer the lease of House No. 3441, Sector-46/C, Chandigarh on the name of plaintiffs after fulfilling the required formalities.	NEETI VASHISHT VS HOUSING BOARD CHANDIGARH	Defence evidence	10 Sep 2024
15	CS CJ/170/2022	Suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendants their agents, representatives, etc. with regard to asking of additional amount of Rs. 4,92,268/- in respect of Booth No. 9, Sector-46, Chandigarh, as on 17.08.2021 from the plaintiff.	JASPAL SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply and Consideration	10 Sep 2024
16	CS CJ/55/2022	In this regard it is submitted that the applicant has filed the subject cited	RAKESH KUMAR	Defence	10 Sep 2024

		suit for restraining the defendants no. 1 and 3 not to raise any illegal construction i.e. Changing the basic structure of the house in question H.No. 5404, Maloya, UT, CHD.	VS RAJU	evidence	
17	CS CJ/2082/2023	CSCJ/2082/2023 Jaspal Kaur VS General Public H No 2177/1 Sector 45 C Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the Suit for declaration to the effect that plaintiffs be declare owner in possession of House No 2177/1 Sector 45C Chandigarh as they are having the peaceful possession of house in question since last 33 years in view of the sale-purchase documents such as registered GPA registered SPA Agreement to sell registered Will and Affidavit of dated 24 04 1997 which was executed by the allottee/transferee namely Kamaljit Singh son of Late Sh Kesar Singh in favor of the plaintiff Suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant no2 to transfer the ownership right of the House No 2177/1 Sector 45c Chandigarh in favor of the plaintiff in view of the purchase documents such as registered GPA SPA registered Will Agreement to sell and affidavit and all dues installment and taxes of the house in question was cleared by plaintiff with the office of the defendant no 2 And Suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendants from interfering in peaceful possession of plaintiff and restrain the defendants from transferring the ownership rights of the House No 2177/1 Sector 45C Chandigarh to anybody else except plaintiff during the pendency of present suit And any other relief this Hon ble court may deem fit	JASPAL KAUR VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Reply and Consideration	10 Sep 2024
18	PROB/5/2024	PROB/5/2024 BB Khanna Vs General Public H No 300/2 MIG L Sector 41A ChandiGARH PUC is a summons to appear in the subject cited court case received in this office sent by AO IV on 19/03/2024 without the copy of petition (copy attached) may kindly be perused please The Plaintiff has filed the petition under Section 372 of the Indian Succession Act, 1925 for Probatre of irrevocable Will dated 09/07/1985, Annexure P/1 Registered vide Wasika/ Registration serial No 822 Book No 3 Volume 132 Page No 173/177 Registered Before the then Sub Registrar before the then Sub Registrar Chandigarh on 10/07/1985.	B.B. KHANNA VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Reply and Consideration	10 Sep 2024
19	CS CJ/2339/2018	Civil Suit has been filed by the plaintiff for transfer of DU No. 355/2, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh on the basis of WILL.	BALWINDER KAUR VS KASHMIR KAUR	Reply and Consideration	11 Sep 2024

20	CS CJ/2064/2021	Suit for Declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is the sole and absolute owner of Dwelling Unit No 2198-D Sector-63 Chandigarh by the virtue of registered documents I e GPA Will Affidavit Indemnity Bond and Agreement to Sell all executed on 22-01-2010 by the Late Daljeet Singh S/o Sh. Mukhtiar Singh in favour of Late Balbir Kaur and plaintiff and further that the defendant no 1 to 3 have no right, title or interest to claim the ownership and possession of the Dwelling Unit No 2198-D Sector-63 Chandigarh.	GAGANDEEP SINGH AHLUWALIA VS LAKHBIR KAUR	Consideration	11 Sep 2024
21	CS CJ/751/2022	CSCJ/751/2022 - BHUPINDER SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD (5103, SECTOR 38(W) CHANDIGARH	BHUPINDER SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	11 Sep 2024
22	CS CJ/1525/2022	CSCJ/1525/2022, SANIA Vs CHAIRMAN, 3042, Sector 47-D,CHD	SANIA VS CHAIRMAN	Notice And Record	11 Sep 2024
23	CS CJ/2083/2022	CSCJ/2083/2022 Vijay Pal Singh vs Chandigarh Housing Board petition missing	VIJAY PAL SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	11 Sep 2024
24	MCA DJ/14/2023	MCA DJ/14/2023 Teejo Vs Chandigarh Housing Board Du No Site No 43 Karsan Colony, Phase-II, Chandigarh	TEEJO VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Consideration	11 Sep 2024
25	CIVIL SUIT/608/2022	CSCJ/608/2022 - Jaskirat Kaur Vs Jasmen Dyal 414, Sector 44-A, Chandigarh The plaintiff has filed the subject cited suit to transfer Dwelling Unit NO.418, Sector 44-A, Chandigarh in the name of plaintiff.	JASKIRAT KAUR VS JASMEN DYAL	Reply	11 Sep 2024
26	CS CJ/827/2015	Regarding allotment of flat (PDL matter)	VASDEV SINGH VS INDERDEV SINGH	Arguments.	12 Sep 2024
27	CA/78/2020	Appeal u/s 96 against judgement and decree dated 6.1.2020 passed by Kaushal Singla, CJJD, Chandigarh in respect of DU No. 2051/45-C, Chandigarh for leakage of kitchen and sewerage water from roof.	NIRMAL BHATIA VS SONIA KHULLAR	Arguments	12 Sep 2024
28	CS CJ/516/2022	CSCJ/516/2022 SHABNAM HUSSAIN VS SHAHID HUSSAIN, 3349, Sector 46-C, Chandigarh	SHABNAM HUSSAIN VS SHAHID HUSSAIN	Notice And Record	12 Sep 2024
29	CS CJ/1325/2023	CSCJ/1325/2023 Ranjit Singh Vs Vijay Singh Du No 1097, Phase-2, Ram Darbar, Chandigarh	RANJIT SINGH VS VIJAY SINGH	Reply And Consideration	12 Sep 2024
30	MISC DJ/10/2023	MISC DJ/10/2023 Vidya Sagar Vs Angelina Gill HNo 4782/2 Sector 38West	VIDYA SAGAR VS	Notice And	12 Sep 2024

		Chandigarh PUC is a summons to appear in the subject cited court case received in this office on 21/04/2023 without the copy of petition copy attached may kindly be perused please The case is fixed for hearing on 12/04/2024 before the Honble Court of Additional District Judge Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the Application for restoration of Appeal CIS NO CA/75/2020 Titled as Vidya Sagar Vs Angelina Gill Ors Dismissed in default vide order dated 07/12/2022 by the Court of Sh Dr Rajneesh A D J Chandigarh and also filed Appeal against judgment and decree dated 20/01/2020 of Smt Saloni Gupta HCS Civil Judge Jr Division Chandigarh to set aside the decree and judgment dated 20/01/2020 and dismiss the suit of the plaintiffs respondents The copy of petition has been sent by the Counsel Sh Rajat Pabbi vide email dated 23/01/2024 copy attached The Counsel Sh Rajat Pabbi has filed the Memo of Appearance on the last date before the Hon ble Court	ANGELINA GILL	Record	
31	CS CJ/1364/2024	CSCJ/1364/2024 Sahil Kansal Vs Narinder Kumar & Others HNo 5797/A Category HIG U Sector 38W Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed an Suit for Declaration that the transfer deed dated 02/07/2024 registered at serial No 1910 Book No 1 in the office of Sub registrar UT Chandigarh with regard to 1/3 rd share of the plaintiff in favour of defendant no2 is the result of fraud committed by defendants 1 and 2 with the plaintiff with consequential relief that said deed of transfer is void non/est and inspite of execution and registration of the same the plaintiff is still the owner in the possession of 1/3 rd share of the house in question whereas defendant no 2 cannot get the 1/3 rd share of the house of the plaintiff transferred in his name by approaching defendant no 3 And also suit for permanent injunction for restraining defendant no. 3 from transferring in any way 1/3 rd share of the plaintiff to any person or party including defendant no2 during the pendency of suitAnd filed an Application under Order 39 Rule 1 and 2 for grant of temporary injunction during the pendency of suit and Application under Section 151 CPC for dispensing of giving notice of defendant no 3 before filing the suit	SAHIL KANSAL VS NARINDER KUMAR	Vakaltnama yet to be filed	12 Sep 2024
32	MCA/DJ/63/2023	MCA/DJ/63/2023 Kishore vs Estate Officer 234 motor market, sector 48, Chandigarh. The plaintiff has filed the application for stay of operation of order dated 07.10.2022, passed by the respondent no. 1 under sub section	Kishore vs Estate Officer	Arguments	12 Sep 2024

		1 of section 5 of the public market during sector 48 chandigarh, the pendency of present appeal.			
33	CS CJ/129/2021	In this matter plaintiff has filed suit for Mandatory injunction directing the defendants No.1-4 to remove the illegal optical shop running in the name and style of M/s Vijay Optical on the ground floor of the dwelling unit No.1096, Ram Darbar, Chandigarh which amounts to cancellation of registration/allotment as well as forfeiture of the amount deposited with the defendant No.6.	ARVIND SINGH VS VIJAY SINGH	Evidence	13 Sep 2024
34	CS CJ/1801/2021	Suit for specific performance of the agreement to sell dated 01.05.2006 entered into between Defendant No. 4 and Chote Lal Soni being the husband of plaintiff no.1 and father of plantif no. 2 to 4 wherein H. No. 275, Sector-44-A, Chandigarh was sold to Shhote Lal Soni at total sale consideration money of Rs. 1,50,000/-. The said amount was paid and full final receipt dated 01.05.2006.	PADMA SONI VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Consideration	13 Sep 2024
35	CS CJ/2381/2018	Civil Suit No. CSCJ/2381/ 2018 titled as Anju Sharma vs Rajiv Sharma, 342-2, Sector 45-A, CHD	ANJU SHARMA VS RAJIV SHARMA	Reply And Consideration	13 Sep 2024
36	EXE/359/2023	EXE/359/2023 Vijay Kumar Vs Chandigarh Housing Board, Du no 2671, Sector 40-C, Chandigarh- without petition	VIJAY KUMAR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply and Consideration	13 Sep 2024
37	CA/503/2018	CA/503/2018, Sandeep Kumar Vs Union of India, without copy of petition	SANDEEP KUMAR VS UNION OF INDIA	Arguments	13 Sep 2024
38	CS CJ/2149/2023	CSCJ/2149/ 2023 Harmeet Kaur Bhatia VS CHB H No 3127/3 Sector 44 D Chandigarh PUC dated 29 11 2023 may kindly be perused Vide PUC Civil Judge Junior Division Chandigarh forwarded the copy of Civil Suit copy attached filed by the plaintiff for the declaration of the plaintiffs as the true and rightful of the owner of the property i e H No 3127/3 Sector 44 D Chandigarh which was transferred to them through Registered General Power of Attorney dated 15/06/2007 along with the sale agreement It is further prayed that direction may kindly be issued to Defendant to transfer the property in the name of plaintiffs It is also further prayed that this Hon ble Court may be pleased to grant any other relief that this Hon ble Court may deem fit and proper in the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case	HARMEET KAUR BHATIA VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Notice And Record	13 Sep 2024

39	MCA DJ/95/2023	MCA DJ/95/2023 Jasbir Kaur Vs Chandigarh Housing Board, HU No 5680 MHC Sector 13 Chandigarh PUC is a summons to appear in the subject cited court case received in this office on 16/08/2023 alongwith the copy of petition copy attached in right window may kindly be perused please The case is fixed for hearing on 16/08/2023 in the Hon ble District Court of Sh Rajeev K Beri Additional District Judge Chandigarh The Appellant has filed the Appeal U/s 54 2 of the Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 as extended to Chandigarh read with Section 151 CPC for the stay of the operation of impugned order dated 18/07/2023 A 4 passed by the respondent No2 whereby the eviction of the appellant has been ordered from the premises ie DU NO 5680 MHC Sector 13 Chandigarh within one month from the date of receiving of the notice dated 18/07/2023 which was pasted at the house of the appellant hence the same be stayed during the pendency of the present appeal and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the said impugned order may also be stayed	JASBIR KAUR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Consideration	13 Sep 2024
40	CS CJ/411/2024	CSCJ/411/2024 Sushil Kumar VS Chandigarh Housing Board DU NO 2194 Sector 40/C Chandigarh PUC is a summons to appear in the subject cited court case received in this office on 20/03/2024 with the copy of petition which is fixed before Hon ble District Court Chandigarh on 22/03/2024 copy attached may kindly be perused please The Plaintiff has filed the Suit for Permanent Injunction restraining the defendant No 1 from transferring Dwelling Unit No 2194 Sector 40/C Chandigarh in favour of defendant No 2 And Pass such other and further order s as may be deemed fit and proper on the facts and in the circumstances of this case	SUSHIL KUMAR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	13 Sep 2024
41	CS CJ/1844/2023	The Petitioner has filed the Suit for issuing necessary direction to the defendant no 1 to reallo/transfer the Dwelling Unit No 3171/1 of MIG F category in the Sector 41d Chandigarh on the basis of Sub GPA executed in favor of the plaintiff which got registered in the office of Sub/Registrar Chandigarh at Sr no 810 Book No 4 Dated 08/05/20 OR Any other relief this court may deem fit and proper in the interest of justice And also filed an Application under Section 809 CPC for grant of leave to file the present suit without serving notice under section 80 2 CPC upon the defendant no 1	PARAMJIT KAUR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Evidence	13 Sep 2024
42	CS CJ/354/2021	CS/354/2021 titled as Usha Mehra Vs Vishal Mehra and others Dispute regarding sale/transfer/Share of different properties between defendants	Usha Mehra vs Vishal Mehra	Arguments	13 Sep 2024

		and out of which only one property ie HNo5126 Ground Floor MHC Manimajra ChandigarhTransfer of properties In this regard it is submitted that the petitioner has filed a Suit for a decree of declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is owner in possession of 1/4 th share in the estate left by Late Shri Ashwani Kumar Mehra being widow ie i A/48Kendriya Vihar Sector 14 Panchkula ii HNo5126 Ground floor MHC Manimajra Chandigarh and another properties are at New Delhi and Faridabad and further declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is also entitled for 1/4 th share in Gold/silver/amount lying in Saving Bank account FDR RD PPF share etc pertaining to deceased Shri Ashwani Kumar Mehra and further declaration to the effect that the change of ownership qua the said properties in the record of respective authorities in the name of the defendants if any after the demise of Late Shri Ashwani Kumar Mehra on the basis of false frivolous and manipulated documents liable to be cancelled			
--	--	--	--	--	--

Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon'ble District Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission II From 07.09.2024 to 13.09.2024

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	CC/358/2021	Complaint filed by the Petitioner/Complainant before Hon"ble District Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission-II, Chandigarh, through its attorney Sh. Davinder Pal Singh Dhaliwal for issuing directions for revalidation/reissue of the Cheques/Bank Demand Drafts and refund of the amount alongwith the interest @ 12% w.e.f. 2015.	Kiki Brar VS Parshivnath Developers Ltd.	Arguments	09 Sep 2024

Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon'ble National Dispute Redressal Commission From 07.09.2024 to 13.09.2024

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	EA/19/2023	The applicant herein had filed a complaint against the respondent being Original Petition no 253 of 2010 That the complaint of the applicant was disposed off by this Honorable commission vide order dated 22-03-2013 read with order dated 10-05-2013 corrigendum dated 5-7-2013 read with order dated 5-3-2013 in RP no 396 of 2011	Surbhi Garg VS Parsavnath Developers Ltd. and Anr	Arguments	11 Sep 2024

Advance List of Court Cases pending before Permanent Lok Adalat PUS, Chandigarh From 07.09.2024 to 13.09.2024

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	APPEAL/19/2023	PLA Case Application No 19 of 2023 Prabha Sabikhi others VS CHB DU No 3079 1 Sector 47 D Chandigarh PUC is a summons to appear in the subject cited court case received in this office on 28 11 2023 alongwith the copy of petition copy attached may kindly be perused please The case is fixed for hearing on 05 2 2023 at 11 00 am in the Honble Permanent Lok Adalat Public Utility Services Sector 43 Chandigarh The Petitioner has filed the Petition under Section 22 C of Legal Service Authority Act 1987 for settlement of dispute between the parties	Prabha Sabikhi @ Prabha Bajaj and another VS The Chairman, Chandigarh Housing Board	Reply filed	10 Sep 2024
2	APPL/17/2024	The Plaintiff has filed the application for directing the respondents to transfer Dwelling Unit /Flat 2113-C 3rd Floor Sector - 63 Chandigarh in the name of applicant	Yogul Kapur VS Chandigarh Housing Board and others	Reply filed	11 Sep 2024
3	APPL/16/2023	(Chandigarh The plaintiff has filed the application for issue mandatory direction to respondents to issue possession letter and physical possession of Flat No 549 Dhanas UT Chandigarh to application under Chandigarh	Mani Ram and Others VS Chandigarh	Reply filed	12 Sep 2024

		Small Flats Scheme 2006	Housing Board and Others		
4	APPL/18/2023	For directing respondents to transfer Small flat DU No 123-C Dhanas UT Chandigarh in the name of applicant	Daya Shankar VS The Chairman CHB	Reply filed	12 Sep 2024
5	APPL/07/2024	The Plaintiff has filed the application under Section 22-C of the Legal Services Authority Act 1987 for the settlement of the dispute (Booth No 8 Sector 48-A Chandigarh)	Dr Alka Singh VS Chairman, CHB	Reply filed	12 Sep 2024