

# Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon'ble High Court of Punjab and Haryana from 21.09.2024 to 27.09.2024

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	CWP/19145/2021	i. Providing installation, testing and commissioning of fire fighting system in 1216 small flats in Maloya-I, Chandigarh Gr.-III ii. Providing installation, testing and commissioning of fire fighting system in 1536 small flats in Maloya-I, Chandigarh Gr.-II	M/S HST ENTERPRISES VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ANOTHER	Arguments	23 Sep 2024
2	FAO-CARB/26/2018	Appeal filed by the petitioner under Section 37 of the Arbitration And Conciliation Act, 1996 against the order dated 30.05.2018 passed by Ms Aradhana Sawhney, Additional District Judge, Chandigarh in Arbitration Case No.69 of 2015	PARSVNATH DEVELOPERS LTD VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ANR	Arguments	23 Sep 2024
3	CWP/26403/2019	Civil writ petition under articles 226 and 227 of the constitution of India for issuance of appropriate writ, order or direction especially in the nature of certiorari quashing the impugned order dated 23.10.2018 (annexure P-6) passed by respondent no. 1 and order dated 10.11.2016 passed by the permanent Lok adalat/ respondent no. 3. AND Further issue a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to issue an allotment in lieu of H. No. 1643 -C, Nehru Colony, Kajheri, Sector 52, Chandigarh under Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006 for rehabilitating the jhuggi dwellers as amended on 09.11.2009 vide notification no. 6813, dated 6.11.2006 as amended vide Notification No. 7519 dated 09.11.2009. AND/ OR Issue any other order or direction which this Hon'ble court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the present case.	RAKESH KUMAR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND OTHERS	Arguments	23 Sep 2024
4	CWP/12418/2023	writ petition filed by Sh. Gurinder Pal Singh and 29 others for directing the Respondent No.2 to duly constitute a Registered Agency, being a Residents Welfare Association Sector 51-Ak, Chandigarh 2 BHK Self Financing Housing Scheme 2016, to be formed for the purpose of maintenance of common portions and services, as per the Allotment Letter issued to the Petitioners by Respondent No.2 and as per the provisions of the Chandigarh Housing Board (Allotment, Management and Sale of Tenements), Regulations 1979 and to validly hand over the common area facilities/portions, more particularly the lifts, in a working condition to the Residents Welfare Association and to	GURINDER PAL SINGH AND OTHERS VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION THROUGH ITS ADVISOR TO THE ADMINISTRATOR, UT AND OTHERS	Arguments	23 Sep 2024

		<p>further ensure that the Registered Agency functions with the domain of its powers for protection of the interests of the of the Residents of Sector 51-A, Chandigarh 2BHK Self Financing Housing Scheme; And for quashing the letter dated 23.07.2021 whereby while arbitrarily exercising its powers, Respondents No.2 upholds the elections conducted on 11.07.2021 to constitute Residents Welfare Association, Sector 51-A, Chandigarh, 2 BHK Self-Financing Scheme, 2016 and directs the invalidly constituted Residents Welfare Association to start its functioning, the same being formed in derogation of Chandigarh Housing Board (Allotment, Management and Sale of Tenements), Regulations 1979; And directing the Respondent No.2 take over the administration/management of the affairs of 200 - Two Bed Room Flats under Self Financing Housing Scheme on leasehold basis in Sector 51-A, Chandigarh until a Residents Welfare Association is constituted validly in consonance with the terms of Chandigarh Housing Board Allotment, Management and Sale of Tenements Regulations 1979 issuance of a writ in the nature of MANDAMUS directing Respondent No.4, which despite being constituted invalidly, has been illegally raising demands for payment of maintenance charges/fees which are as such in teeth of the provisions of Chandigarh Housing Board (Allotment, Management and Sale of Tenements), Regulations 1979 as the same and the purpose of collecting the same are required to be determined/decided by Respondent No.2 and are being raised without there being any basis thereof, especially when an amount of Rs.50,000/- was collected from each allottee towards maintenance charges at the time of allotment, to refrain such collection of maintenance fees/charges from the Residents of 200 Two Bed Room Flats allotted under Self Financing Housing Scheme on leasehold basis in Sector 51-A, Chandigarh; AND issuance of a writ in the nature of MANDAMUS directing Respondent No.2 to return the lease rent collected from allottees since 2019, due to non-execution of lease deed, thereby violating the provisions of the Chandigarh Estate Rules, 2007 and also as delineated in the Self Financing Housing Scheme on leasehold basis, in Sector 51-A, Chandigarh, within 30 days from the date of issuance of allotment letter; AND issuance of a writ in the nature of MANDAMUS directing Respondent No.2 to convert the leasehold flats to freehold flats similar to the 55 flats auctioned laterwithout additional charges as within the same scheme, all units must either by on leasehold or freehold basis or in the alternative execute the Lease Deed andregister the same in</p>			
--	--	---	--	--	--

		favour of the allottees, which is to be executed and registered mandatrily as per the Chandigarh Estate Rules, 2007 and also as delineated in the Self-Financing Housing Scheme AND issuance of a writ in the nature of MANDAMUS directing the Respondents to grant access to the residents of 200 Two Bed Room Flats allotted under Self Financing Housing Scheme on leasehold basis in Sector 51-A Chandigarh of all common portions and services, particularly lifts in working/functioning condition, without demanding any amount towards purported charges; AND issuance of a writ in the nature of MANDAMUS directing Respondent No.2 to share with the initial 130 allottees			
5	<b>CWP/19994/2018</b>	Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for directing the respondents to Regularize and Transfer of Dwelling Unit No.3324/1, Sector 45-D, in favour of the present petitioner. Also for implementing the order dated 29.05.2017 passed by the Respondent Board in its letter and spirit and given benefits of the compliance of the same to the present petitioner.	RAJ RANI VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ANR	Arguments	23 Sep 2024
6	<b>CWP/13759/2023</b>	The petitioner has been filed by the Petitioner Under Article 226-227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ the nature of certiorari quashing policy order No59 dated 15-02-2019 and policy order dated 03-01-2023 issued by the respondents superseding earlier policies issued by the respondent No-3 from time to time according to which the petitioners have made the need based changes in the Dwelling Units AND Further quashing of notice dated 19-01-2023 AND 20.01.2023 and Speaking order/demolition order dated 15-06-2023 received on 20-06-2023 and all similar notices-orders issued to the petitioners as a consequence of policy-order dated 15-02-2019 and policy-order dated 03-01-2023 superseding earlier policies AND During the pendency of the writ petition status quo regarding the property/dwelling units of the petitioners may kindly be maintained with regard to any such demolition order dated 15-06-2023 and similar notices issued to the petitioners on different dates may kindly be kept in abeyance till the pendency of the writ petition	JAI SINGH SAINI AND OTHERS VS CHIEF ADMINISTRATION UT CHANDIGARH AND ORS	Arguments	23 Sep 2024
7	<b>FAO/2331/2014</b>	FAO FILED AGAINST THE ORDERS OF ADDL. DISTRICT MAGISTERATE, U.T. CHANDIGARH	SHUBINDER SINGH BRAR & ANR VS GEETINDER GREWAL & ORS	Arguments	24 Sep 2024
8	<b>CWP/22154/2024</b>	Civil Writ Petition under Articles 226-227 of the Constitution of India praying for the issuance of a writ in the nature of Certiorari to set aside the impugned order dated 21-08-2019 passed by the Ld Chairman Chandigarh Housing	Kamal Shah and ors VS CHB & Ors	Reply filed, pending for arguments	24 Sep 2024

		Board whereby the appeal filed by the petitioner no-1 has been dismissed as well as the impugned order dated 14-12-2018 passed by the Ld Chief Executive Officer Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh whereby the allotment of the dwelling unit of the petitioner has been cancelled illegally and arbitrarily Further for setting aside the impugned eviction notice dated 28-06-2024 as well as impugned eviction order dated 05-08-2024 passed by the Ld Secretary Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh whereby the petitioners have been asked vacate the premises in the interest of justice Further for restoring and reviving the allotment of the premises in question in the name of the petitioner no-1			
9	<b>CWP/34481/2019</b>	For demolition of chajja at Ist and 2nd Floor of DU No. 1468, Maulijagran, UT, Chandigarh.	MAIFIROJ ALAM VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Arguments	25 Sep 2024
10	<b>CWP/28958/2018</b>	Civil Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing the order dated 31.10.2018 and further directing the respondents to transfer the D.U.No.3009/1, Sector 47-D, in his name.	KIRPAL SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD THROUGH ITS SECRETARY	Arguments	25 Sep 2024
11	<b>CWP/5465/2020</b>	Quashing the eviction order dated 15.01.2020 - DU No. 879, Village Dhanas, UT, Chandigarh - Building Violation Matter.	NASIB CHAND GUPTA VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND OTHERS	Arguments	25 Sep 2024
12	<b>CWP/16089/2005</b>	It is, further prayed that during the pendency of the writ petition, the highly exorbitant and arbitrary demands, which are being made, be stayed. And/or any other interim order which Hon ble Lourt may deem fit and proper the facts and circumstances of the case may please be passed.	SATYA PARKASH AND ORS. VS CHD. ADMN AND ORS.	Arguments	25 Sep 2024
13	<b>CWP/6413/2020</b>	Petition filed by the subject cited petitioner for directing the respondents to implement the pension scheme for the petitioners and all other serving and retired employees who joined the service of the Chandigarh Housing Board before 01.01.2004, expeditiously in the time bond manner particularly when it has already resolved in its 345th meeting held on 03.02.2009 and also in its various subsequent meeting to implement the pension scheme at part with the employees of the Chandigarh Administration, who are governed by the Punjab Civil Services Rules and for further directions to immediately release the pensionary benefits to the employees who have already retired from the Chandigarh Housing Board.	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD EMPLOYEES COORDINATION COMMITTEE AND OTHERS VS UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS	Arguments	26 Sep 2024

14	<b>CWP/1063/2018</b>	CWP Filed for quashing the impugned order dated 19.09.2017 and for issuance of writ in the nature of ;mandamus directing the official respondents to allot the small flat under the Chandigarh Small Flat Scheme 2006 for which the petitioner is legally entitled but the claim has been rejected on illegal ground. AND further for directing the respondents to make allotment of dwelling unit to the petitioner as having been allotted to the similarly situated persons.	SADANAND PASWAN VS UT OF CHANDIGARH AND ORS.	Arguments	26 Sep 2024
15	<b>CWP/28778/2018</b>	Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for the issuance of a writ of certiorari (sending for the records of the case and finding that the Orders of the Estate Officer, U.T., Chandigarh Respondent No.3 dated 06.11.2017 and the order dated 14.08.2018 passed b the Appellate Authority respondent No.2 Annexure P-17 are not sustainable to quash the same. 3.Further directing the respondents to consider the claims of the petitioners, the displaced and uprooted residents of Palsora Colony, demolished b the UT Chandigarh Administration in June 2003 to rehabilitate the petitioners for allotment of alternate accommodation of one room Flat under the Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006 as per the directions of Hon'ble High Court dated 30.05.2017 in CWP NO.12042 of 2017 – Ram Gulam and Others Vs U.T, Chandigarh and Others without taking into consideration the condition of bio metric Survey and finding them eligible, to make allotment of one room flat under the 2006 scheme, as has been done in respect of similarly placed residents of erstwhile Palsora Colony who after the demotion of Palsora Colony had settled in other unauthorized slum colonies of Chandigarh, for which the Administration conducted bio metric survey in 2006, while the petitioner who sought shelter in the authorized areas of Chandigarh for which no bio metric survey was conducted	RAM GULAM AND OTHERS VS U T CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND OTHERS	Arguments	26 Sep 2024
16	<b>CWP/6462/2020</b>	Quashing the impugned order dt. 02.01.2019, order dt. 13.08.2019 and 29.10.2019, Building Violation DU No. 3121/1, 45-D, Chd.	BACHAN SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD THROUGH ITS CHAIRMAN, CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	Arguments	26 Sep 2024
17	<b>CWP/10299/2022</b>	The Petitioner had filed the subject cited petition before Honorable High Court of Punjab and Haryana at UT Chandigarh for quashing the notice dated 03-05-2022 being illegal arbitrary unreasonable and therefore in violation of Article 14 19 and 21 of Constitution of India, besides in violation of policy of	DAVINDER AND OTHERS VS UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH AND	Arguments	26 Sep 2024

		<p>Respondent No1</p> <p>Further for restraining the respondents 1 and 2 for carrying the eviction of the petitioners from their houses, jhuggis in Janta Colony Sector 25 Chandigarh till the adjudication of Writ Petition</p> <p>Further for directing the respondents to make arrangement for alternate accommodation to the petitioners in view of the policy and In view of Honorable Supreme Court Judgements, as eviction is being done in violation of natural justice and rehabilitation the considerable section of Slum Dwellers and rendering them homeless in violation of Article 14, 19 and 21 of Constitution of India Any other appropriate writ order or direction which this Honorable Court may deem fit and proper keeping in view the peculiar facts and circumstances of the instant case</p>	ORS.		
18	<b>CWP/10808/2022</b>	<p>petitioner has filed the subject cited petition for issuing appropriate writ order or direction in the nature of certiorari for quashing the impugned notice Annexure P being illegal arbitrary unreasonable therefore in violation of Article 14 19 and 21 of constitution of India besides in violation of the policy of respondent o1 Annexure P 3 AND For issuing an appropriate Order or Direction restraining the respondent no1 and 2 from carrying on the eviction of petitioners from their houses Jhuggis in Sanjay Labour Colony Phase 1 Industrial Area Chandigarh till the of this Writ Petition AND For Issuing an appropriate Writ Order or Direction in nature of mandamus directing the Respondents to make arrangements of the alternative accommodations for the petitioners in view of the policy Annexure 3 Annexure 4 Annexure P7 in view of Honorable Supreme court judgments as the eviction is being done in violation of principle of Natural Justice and without 2 Rehabilitating the Considerable section of the Slum Dwellers and rendering them homeless in Violation of Article 14 19 and 21 of Constitution of India And Or Any other order or direction which this Honorable Court deems fit in view of the facts and circumstances of the case</p>	<p>MOHAN SAHNI AND OTHERS VS UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS</p>	Arguments	26 Sep 2024
19	<b>CWP/11120/2022</b>	<p>the petitioner has filed the subject cited petition for issuing appropriate writ order or direction in the nature of certiorari for quashing the impugned notice dated 03.05.2022 Annexure P being illegal arbitrary unreasonable therefore in violation of Article 14 19 and 21 of constitution of India besides in violation of the policy of respondent o1 Annexure P 3 AND For issuing an appropriate Order or Direction restraining the respondent No 1 and 2 from carrying on the eviction of petitioners from their houses Jhuggis in Janta Colony, Sector 25 Chandigarh till the of this Writ</p>	<p>ACHHE LAL AND ORS. VS UT, CHANDIGARH AND ORS.</p>	Arguments	26 Sep 2024

		Petition AND For Issuing an appropriate Writ Order or Direction in nature of mandamus directing the Respondents to make arrangements of the alternative accommodations for the petitioners in view of the policy Annexure 3 Annexure 4 Annexure P 7 in view of Honorable Supreme court judgments as the eviction is being done in violation of principle of Natural Justice and without 2 Rehabilitating the Considerable section of the Slum Dwellers and rendering them homeless in Violation of Article 1419 and 21 of Constitution of India And Or Any other order or direction which this Honorable Court deems fit in view of the facts amp circumstances of the case			
20	<b>CWP/19712/2023</b>	Civil Writ Petition under Article of 226 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of an appropriate writ order or direction especially in the nature of certiorari quashing action of the Respondents in sealing the premises of the petitioner on the very next date i.e. 01-09-2023 on the basis of illegal, arbitrary, order dated 31.08.2023 -Annexure P-28 passed by Respondent No 4 with the approval of Respondent No.3, purportedly under Section 33 -A of the Water -Prevention and Control of Pollution Act, 1974 and under Section 31-A of the Air-Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1981 and Us 5 of Environment -Protection- Act 1986 which on the face of it is arbitrary and abuse of process of law with ulterior motives inspite of the fact that the minor violations which were pointed out by the Respondent-Authorities have already been complied with/removed and further without permitting the petitioner to avail the opportunity-any other remedies simply on the basis of a show cause notice dated 14-07-2023 -Annexure P-9 putting the entire business being run in the premises to halt not only qua the petitioner but qua the other allottees - occupiers causing huge business and monetary losses And For the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the Respondents to de-seal the premises of Plot No 24 Industrial Area Phase-I Chandigarh forthwith and restore its possession to the petitioners and to restore water and electricity connection of the premises Issue any other writ, order or direction which this Honorable Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case in favour of the petitioners It is further prayed that during the pendency of the instant petition in this Honorable Court the operation of the impugned order dated 31-08-2023 -Annexure P-28 may kindly be ordered to be stayed in the interest of justic	RSA MOTORS PVT LTD AND OTHERS VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND OTHERS	Arguments	26 Sep 2024
21	<b>RSA/3412/2023</b>	The appellant has filed the subject cited Regular Second Appeal before the Honorable High Court against the Impugned Judgment and decree dated 17-02-2023 passed by Ld Additional District Judge Chandigarh as well as	ANITA VS SHASHI BALA PATHAK AND OTHERS	Arguments	26 Sep 2024



		Judgment and Decree dated 31-03-2017 passed by Ld Civil Judge Chandigarh as vide the judgments as referred herein above, both the Ld Courts below had committed material illegality while decreeing the suit as preferred by respondents No 1 to 3 seeking declaring as well as injunction and both the Courts did not appreciate the facts and material evidence before passing the above referred judgment and the reasons as herein the Impugned Judgments and Decrees passed by the Ld Lower Courts are to be set aside			
22	<b>CWP/11549/2015</b>	Civil Writ Petition filed against the award/order dated 18.07.2014 passed by the Industrial Tribunal-cum labour Court in Sonu Vs Chandigarh Housing Board.	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD VS PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT CHANDIGARH AND ORS.	Arguments	27 Sep 2024
23	<b>CWP/17229/2022</b>	CWP filed for quashing the Award dated 17 November 2021 passed by Permanent Lok Adalat Public Utility Services Union Territory Chandigarh under Section 22-C-8 of the Legal Service Authority Act 1987 in Application No 67 of 2020 titled as Jagdish Singh Marwaha Versus The Accounts Officer IV Chandigarh Hosing Board and another	THE ACCOUNT OFFICER-IV, CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ANR. VS JAGDISH SINGH MARWAHA AND ANOTHER	Arguments	27 Sep 2024

## Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon'ble District Court, UT Chandigarh From 21.09.2024 to 27.09.2024

S. No.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	<b>CS CJ/2083/2019</b>	Civil suit filed by the plintiff regarding transfer of DU No. 417/1, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh on the basis of WILL.	KIRPAL KAUR VS THE CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION	Rebuttal/ Arguments	21 Sep 2024
2	<b>ARB/65/2021</b>	To challenge the arbitration award 17-05-2021 has been challenged by CHB in the Honble District court and Sessions Court Jalandhar for providing water supply for 2108 flats in Sector 63 Chandigarh in Arbitration case M/S RG Industries Arvinder Pal Singh and District Level Micro and Small Enterprises Facilitation Council	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD VS RG INDUSTRIES	Arguments	21 Sep 2024



3	<b>EXE/136/2024</b>	The plaintiff has filed the Application for Execution Under Section 36 of Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 for the enforcement of the arbitral award dated 17-05-2021 amounting to Rs 1,61,22,417/- as on 30-04-2021 plus Rs 97,14,473/- (Calculated upto 29-02-2024) totaling amount of Rs 2,58,36,890/- plus future interest passed by District Level Micro and Small Enterprises Facilitation Council Jalandhar Punjab (Constituted under the Micro Small and Medium enterprises Development Act 2006)	R.G INDUSTRIES VS EXECUTIVE ENGINEER CHANDIGARH	Appearance	21 Sep 2024
4	<b>CA/295/2019</b>	Appeal filed by the Appellant u/s 96 read with order 41 CPC against the judgement and decree dated 22.8.2019 passed by Ms. Mamta Kakkar, CJJD, Chandigarh in Civil Suit No. 1857 of 7.8.2014 titled as Ashok Kumar Vs. Devi Dyal and Anr. (Allotment/Transfer of DU No. 972, Dhanas, UT, Chandigarh)	DEVI DAYAL VS ASHOK KUMAR	Arguments	23 Sep 2024
5	<b>CS CJ/2008/2019</b>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for the transfer of DU No. 547, Indira Colony Manimajra on the basis of WILL dated 23.3.2018 plaintiff is grand son of original allottee.	AJAY PARTAP SINGH VS THE CHAIRMAN	Evidence	23 Sep 2024
6	<b>CS CJ/168/2022</b>	Suit for declaration to the effect that the Plaintiff is legal heirs of late Kanti Lal who had purchased the House No. 2301/1, Sector-45/C, Chandigarh from defendant no. 3. And Suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant no. 1 to execute all the necessary documents for the transfer of said house in favour of the plaintiff being legal heir of late Kanti Lal and further directing the defendant no. 2 to transfer the said house in favour of plaintiff.	BHABANI GANGULY VS KUSUM RANI	Arguments.	23 Sep 2024
7	<b>CS CJ/1502/2021</b>	Suit for declaration to the effect that the plaintiffs are co-owner in joint possession, to the extent of 1/4th share of all the properties i.e House No. 406, (The Amrita Shergil Coop. HB 1st Society Ltd.,) A.O.T Complex, Sector-48/A, Chandigarh and House No. 116-B, Sector-51, CHD and House in village and land comprising in khewat No. 20/20 Khatoni No. 24, in Khasra No. 104(3-16), 107 (4-0) total kitte 2, measuring 7 bigha 16 bisw, situated in the revenue limit of Vill Negawan, Tehsil and District Patiala as per Jamabandi for the year 2017-2018, and any other liquidated amount in any bank or in hands, owned by Late Sh. Baldev Singh, being wife and children of Predeceased son (late Sh. Harpreet Singh) of late Sh. Baldev Singh.	RAMJOT KAUR VS GURNAM KAUR	Reply And Consideration	23 Sep 2024
8	<b>CIVIL MISC/399/2022</b>	Civil Misc/399/2022 Balwinder Singh Bamra Vs Manpreet Singh. petition copy missing	BALWINDER SINGH BAMRA VS MANPREET SINGH	Consideration	23 Sep 2024
9	<b>CS CJ/49/2023</b>	Pintu Vs Sunita Devi, CSCJ/49/2023, Du No 107-A, Dhanas, Chandigarh	PINTU VS SUNITA DEVI	Reply And Consideration	23 Sep 2024

10	<b>CS CJ/1345/2023</b>	CSCJ/1345/2023, Anmol Rattan Pupneja Vs Rajbir Singh without copy of petition.	ANMOL RATTAN PUPNEJA VS RAJBIR SINGH	Consideration	23 Sep 2024
11	<b>CS CJ/1075/2019</b>	To restore DU No. 230, Sector 55, Chandigarh consonant with order dated 29.9.2006 whereby it was decided to restore the DU of the person who have given false staytment.	PREM KUMAR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Evidence	24 Sep 2024
12	<b>CS CJ/47/2020</b>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of 1/5th share of DU No. 564-A, Sector 41-A, Chandigah on the basis of petitioner is Class-I Legal Heir.	SURESH KUMAR VS NIRMALA DEVI	Notice And Record	24 Sep 2024
13	<b>CS CJ/375/2020</b>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of share in respect of DU No. 3623, Maulijagran, Chandigarh.	PARMOD KUMAR VS SATDEV KUMAR	Reply And Consideration	24 Sep 2024
14	<b>CS CJ/612/2020</b>	Plantiff for restraining the defendant no.1 to 3 from alienating, mortgaging, selling, sub-letting or creating any third party interest in DU No.1478/1, Sector-29/B, UT, CHD.	KULDEEP SHARMA VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Evidence	24 Sep 2024
15	<b>CS CJ/400/2021</b>	In this matter the plaintiff had filed suit for declaration to the effect that the plaintiff and the defendant No.2 are co-owners of H.No.2156/1, Sector 40-C, Chandigarh being Class-I legal heirs of deceased Smt.Suridner Kanta who died on 6.12.2020 and for declaration to the effect that the Def.No.1 is not entitled to get any share in above house as he has been disowned by the deceased. And for mandatory injunction directing the defendant No.,1 to vacate and handover the vacant possession of house. And Suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendant No.3, its officials, representatives etc. be restrained from transferring the right/title of said house.	SANTOSH KUMAR VS SHIV KUMAR	Evidence	24 Sep 2024
16	<b>CS CJ/1224/2021</b>	In this regard, it is submitted that the said application under Order 39 Rule 1 and 2 read with Section 151 CPC for grant of ad-interim injunction restraining the defendant No.1 not to part with, rent out, change the structure, lease out to any body and not to create charge in any form against Flat No.454 Sector 61, Chandigarh, during the pendency of the suit and further restraining defendant no 3 not to the transfer the flat in question during the pendency of the suit in the name of any other person.	JITENDER UPPAL VS ASHWANI KUMAR	Evidence	24 Sep 2024
17	<b>CS CJ/1434/2021</b>	Application under Order 39 Rules 1 and 2 read with Section 151 CPC for grant of ad-interim injunction restraining defendant no 1 or its assignees from interfering into the peaceful possession of the plaintiff over the entire ground floor of Dwelling Unit No. 2238, Sector-40/C, CAT EWS, U.T. Chandigarh and further restraining defendant no 1 otr its assignees from illegally and forcibly dispossessing the plaintiff from demised premises and further restraining defendant no 1 from selling, create charge in any form	INDER KUMAR VS SHIV KUMAR	Evidence	24 Sep 2024

		against Dwelling Unit No. 2238, Sector-40/C, CAT EWS, U.T. Chandigarh, during the pendency of the suit and restraining defendant no 2 not to the transfer the flat in question and not to provide permission (NOC) to sell the house in question to defendant no 1, during the pendency of the suit.			
18	<b>CS CJ/176/2019</b>	CSCJ1762019 titled as Roma vs Prem Devi Suit for declaration that plaintiff No 1 2 defendant no 1 are owner of one fourth share each in equal share and Plaintiff no 3 and Performa defendants who are legal heirs of Pardeep Kumar are owners one fourth share of the House No 2660 Ground Floor Sector44C Chandigarh	ROMA VS PREM DEVI	Reply And Consideration	24 Sep 2024
19	<b>CS CJ/843/2018</b>	Civil Suit NoCS8432018 Titled as Rahul Sharma vs Abhishek Sharma Another Transfer of 50 share of DU No 2228 Sector 45C CHD	RAHUL SHARMA VS ABHISHEK SHARMA	Reply And Consideration	24 Sep 2024
20	<b>CIVIL MISC/234/2019</b>	CM/234/2019 Jasbir Singh (Deceased) through Legal Heirs Vs General Public and Ors (Du No 60 , Sector 41-A , Chandigarh)	JASBIR SINGH(DECEASED) THROUGH LIEGAL HEIRS VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Consideration..	24 Sep 2024
21	<b>CS CJ/254/2023</b>	Kuldeep Kaur vs Jagjit Singh CS CJ/254/2023 Du No 2193-B, Sector 63, Chandigarh	KULDEEP KAUR VS JAGJIT SINGH	Evidence	24 Sep 2024
22	<b>CS CJ/1658/2022</b>	CSCJ/1658/2022 Kam Ram Kohli Vs R.K.Dass, # 3686 Sector 46-C, CHD	KAM RAM KOHLI VS R.K.DASS	Reply And Consideration	24 Sep 2024
23	<b>CS CJ/566/2024</b>	CSCJ/566/2024 Phula Devi Vs Union of India Jhuggi No 452 LBS Colony Sector 56 Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the SUIT for Permanent Injunction restraining the defendants and their officials from allotment of built up house dwelling unit constructed for allotment under rehabilitation EWS Scheme lying vacant in Maloya Coloy and Dhanas Chandigarh to any person without the allotment of house/flat to the plaintiffs And Suit for Mandatory injunction directing the defendants to allot the Dwelling Unit to the plaintiffs under the Chandigarh Small Flat Scheme 2006 as Suit for declaration to the effect that the plaintiffs are entitled for allotment of Dwelling Unit under the Chandigarh Small Flat Scheme 2006 as the plaintiff were living in slum area and are entitle for Dwelling Unit on the basis of Form No 17671 issued by defendant no 3 for allotment of flat as per Scheme of 2006 Possession by way of ejectment of the defendants No 1 to 3 from the premises	PHULA DEVI VS UNION BANK OF INDIA	Written Statement	24 Sep 2024
24	<b>CA/110/2024</b>	CA/110/2024 Ram Ajor vs The Secretary of Chandigarh Housing Board HNo 1398 Sector 38 West Dadumajra Colony Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed an APPEAL against the impugned eviction order dated 15/07/2024	RAM AJOR VS SECRETARY CHANDIGARH	Reply And Consideration	24 Sep 2024

		dispatched on 17/07/2024 passed by Secretary Chandigarh Housing Board vide which DU No 1398 Sector 38 West Dadumarja colony Chandigarh belonging to appellant has been cancelled and eviction of the appellant has been ordered in most illegal and arbitrary manner.	HOUSING BOARD		
25	<b>CS CJ/6/2019</b>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding recovery of amou nyt of Rs.61,00,000/- in lieu of DU No. 398/2, Sector 44-A, Chandigarh.	MUKESH MITTAL VS DINESH KUMAR	Civil Evidence	25 Sep 2024
26	<b>CIVIL MISC/511/2019</b>	Application for recalling the order dated 26.7.2019 whereby application for restoration was dismissed in default and further restored the suit in its original stage in respect of DU No. 6435-C, Sector 56, Chandigarh.	STATE BANK OF INDIA VS SATYAWAN SINGH	Reply And Consideration	25 Sep 2024
27	<b>CS CJ/2170/2020</b>	The Appellant has filed a suit for declaration to the effect that the challan dated 10.09.2020 and consequential Notice-cum-Demolition Order dated 22.09.2020 passed by the Secretary, CHB against D/Unit No.528, Sector 56, Chandigarh	DEVA NAND VS CHD HOUSING BOARD	Evidence	25 Sep 2024
28	<b>CA/112/2024</b>	CA/121/2024 titled as Pappu vs Chandigarh Housing Board Flat no 14/C Small Flats Scheme in Dhanas UT Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed an Application u/s 54/2 of the Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 as extended to the Union Territory of Chandigarh for grant of stay of the impugned eviction/enforcement order dated 27/06/2024 of the competent authority	PAPPU VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply yet to be filed	25 Sep 2024
29	<b>CS CJ/243/2018</b>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for mandatory injunction directing CHB for remove/demolish illegal/unauthorized construction in respect of DU No. 796/41-A, Chandigarh.	SARBJIT SINGH HIRA VS SECRETARY, CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Defence evidence	26 Sep 2024
30	<b>CS CJ/826/2019</b>	Suit filed by the plaintiff for declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is owner to the extent of 50% share in DU No. 2313, Sector 45-C, Chandigarh with consequential relief directing the def. No. 1 (CHB) to transfer 50% share of the said DU.	MEENU DHAWAN VS THE CHAIRMAN	Evidence	26 Sep 2024
31	<b>CS CJ/941/2021</b>	Application under order 39 rule 1 and 2 for restraining the defendant from selling, alienating, mortgaging the property i.e. DU No 842-1, Sector-40-A, CHD and for interfering in peaceful possession of the property	PAWAN KUMAR VS KAMAL KUMAR	Consideration	26 Sep 2024
32	<b>CS CJ/55/2022</b>	In this regard it is submitted that the applicant has filed the subject cited suit for restraining the defendants no. 1 and 3 not to raise any illegal construction i.e. Changing the basic structure of the house in question H.No. 5404, Maloya, UT, CHD.	RAKESH KUMAR VS RAJU	Defene evidence	26 Sep 2024
33	<b>CS CJ/1012/2022</b>	Civil Suit No. CSCJ/1012/ 2022 titled as Krishan Lal vs Chandigarh Housing Board (DU No. 659, Phase-II, Ram Darbar, Chandigarh)	KRISHAN LAL VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	26 Sep 2024
34	<b>CS CJ/688/2022</b>	CSCJ 688 2022 titled as Harmeet Kaur vs Sushma Thapliyal House No 2820	HARMEET KAUR VS	Consideration	26 Sep 2024

		Sector 47 C Chandigarh	SUSHMA THAPLIYAL		
35	<b>CS CJ/2381/2018</b>	Civil Suit No. CSCJ/2381/ 2018 titled as Anju Sharma vs Rajiv Sharma, 342-2, Sector 45-A, CHD	ANJU SHARMA VS RAJIV SHARMA	Reply And Consideration	26 Sep 2024
36	<b>CS CJ/1978/2022</b>	CSCJ/1978/2022 SANDEEP MARWAHA VS SUDESH MARWAHA DU NO 5520-1 MHC Manimajra	SANDEEP MARWAHA VS SUDESH MARWAHA	Arguments.	26 Sep 2024
37	<b>CIVIL MISC/489/2022</b>	CM/489/2022, Lakhbir Kaur V Jagdip Kaur without copy of petition	LAKHBIR KAUR VS JAGDIP KAUR	Consideration	26 Sep 2024
38	<b>CS CJ/1980/2023</b>	<p>Civil Suit (copy attached) filed by the plaintiff for the declaration that the unregistered WILL dated 14th August 1991 alleged to have been executed by the late Ivir Pritpal Singh son of the late Mr Labh Singh is outcome of forgery. Consequently the said WILL together with ex-parte judgment and decree dated 03-03-2012 is void ab initio and not legally enforceable. Additionally a civil suit for the declaration that the ex-parte judgment and decree dated 03-03-2012 was obtained by fraud. Hence the judgment and decree dated 03-03-2012 are not enforceable, binding, void and null. Consequently the flat transfer letter dated 01-04-2016 issued by Defendant No 4 in pursuance to the judgment and decree dated 03-03-2012 with subsequent changes in the title of Flat No 3123-1 Sector 47 D Chandigarh is ab initio and is not binding upon the parties. Thus the same may be set aside-quashed.</p> <p>And further for a permanent injunction may be issued to restrain Defendant No 1 from alienating, transferring, mortgaging or selling or sharing either partial or full possession of the flat No 3123-1 Sector 47 D Chandigarh in any manner.</p> <p>Furthermore a civil suit for the partition of Flat No 3123-1 situated in Sector 47 D Chandigarh with precise demarcations and an equal distribution of shares among the co-owners, specifically the Plaintiff and Defendant No 1 is also being pursued. The Plaintiff and Defendant No 1 should receive possession of the aforementioned flat in accordance with their respective entitlements. In the event that partitioning the flat is not deemed feasible, an alternative course of action is being considered. This involves the sale of the aforementioned flat, preferably within the family, with the sale proceeds being equally divided between the Plaintiff and Defendant No-1. And any other orders or decrees that may be issued in connection with the present civil suit may be subject to cost considerations.</p>	PRABHJIT SINGH VS KULWANT KAUR	Reply And Consideration	26 Sep 2024
39	<b>CIVIL</b>	Civil Misc/453/2023 in Civil Suit No. CSCJ/1346/2021 titled as Roshan Lal vs	ROSHAN LAL VS THE	Petition not	26 Sep 2024

	<b>MISC/453/2023</b>	Estate Officer & CHB	ESTATE OFFICER	received yet from the counsel	
40	<b>CS CJ/827/2015</b>	Regarding allotment of flat (PDL matter)	VASDEV SINGH VS INDERDEV SINGH	Arguments.	27 Sep 2024
41	<b>CS CJ/3121/2018</b>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer the ownership of flat No. 2198-D, Sector 63, Chandigarh on the basis of WILL.	VEDWATI VS LAKHBIR KAUR	Defense evidence	27 Sep 2024
42	<b>CS CJ/2040/2020</b>	The plaintiff has filed suit for declaration as she is the only class-II legal heir of deceased Sh.Faqir Chand allottee of flat No.2553, Ram Darbar,Chd. for transferring of said flat in her name	SHAKUNTALA DEVI VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Arguments	27 Sep 2024
43	<b>CS CJ/2408/2020</b>	In this matter plaintiff has file an application under section 80(2)CPC for exemption from the service of statutory legal notice U/S 80 CPC. Application under order 39 Rule 1 and 2 read with section 151 CPC restraining the defendant No.4 not to transfer the house in question i.e. H.No.862/1, LIG,Sector 40-A, Chandigarh in the name of defendant No.1 on the basis of alleged fake and fictitious Will during the pendency of the present suit.	PARDEEP SAXENA VS SAVITRI SAXENA	Consideration	27 Sep 2024
44	<b>CS CJ/1379/2021</b>	The applicant filed an application under order 39 Rule 1 and 2 for restraining the defendant from selling, alienating, mortgaging the property i.e. DU No. 5019, MIG, Ground Floor, Sector-38(West), CHD and for interfering in peaceful possession of the property	RAJ KUMAR SALUJA VS PARDEEP SALUJA	Reply/ Consideration	27 Sep 2024
45	<b>CS CJ/1958/2021</b>	Application under order 39 Rule 1 and 2 for restraining the defendant from selling, alienating, mortgaging the property i.e. H.No. 3349, Sector-45/D, CHD and for interfering in peaceful possession of the property	GOPAL SINGH VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Reply And Consideration	27 Sep 2024
46	<b>CS CJ/1191/2023</b>	CSCJ/1191/2023 Manjit Singh Vs Verinder Kaur, Du No 2952, Sector 42-C, Chandigarh	MANJIT SINGH VS VERINDER KAUR	Reply And Consideration	27 Sep 2024
47	<b>CS CJ/2127/2023</b>	CSCJ/2127/2023 Prabha Pardal VS General Public H.No 2203/2, Sector 45-C Chandigarh PUC dated 29 11 2023 may kindly be perused Vide PUC Civil Judge Junior Division Chandigarh forwarded the copy of Civil Suit copy attached filed by the plaintiff for the declaration that plaintiff no 1 is the owner of 50 Percent share and plaintiff no 2 3 are owner to the extent of 25 percent share of each of House No 2203 2 Sector 45 C Chandigarh and after the death of Late Sh Surinder Mohan Pardal S o Late Sh Sardari Lal Pardal who was allotted this house/dwelling unit i e House No 2203 2 MIG F Category Sector 45 C Chandigarh in a draw held on 14 1 1989 and Sh Surinder Mohan Pardal died on 06 03 2017 at Chandigarh leaving behind plaintiff no 1 as wife and plaintiff no 2 3 as sons and at the time of death mother of Late Sh Surinder Mohan Pardal namely Kulwanti wife of Late Sh	PRABHA PARDAL VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Reply And Consideration	27 Sep 2024



		<p>Sardari Lal Pardal was alive and she also died on 09-02-2019 by executing a Will dated 14-12-2012 of her share in favour of plaintiff declared in joint possession with plaintiff no 1 to the extent of 25 percent share of each in the house in question and accordingly this dwelling unit may kindly be transferred in the names of plaintiff no 1 to the extent of 50 percent share and in the name of plaintiff no 2 to the extent of 25 percent share each and also suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant no 2 to 4 transfer the dwelling unit/House No 2203 2 Sector 45 C Chandigarh from the name of Late Sh Surinder Mohan Pardal in the name of plaintiff no 1 to 3 in the interest of justice equity and fair play and to grant any other relief which the plaintiff law or direction or re entitled as per law The next date of hearing of this case is fixed on 19 01 2024 May send the case to Worthy Secretary for necessary approval for the entrustment of this case to Advocate Sh. Anshuman Narula for defending the case on behalf of Chandigarh Housing Board</p> <p>Submitted Please</p>			
48	<b>CS CJ/695/2024</b>	<p>CSCJ/695/2024 Ripudaman Singh Vs Chandigarh Housing Board Booth No 15 Sector 49B Chandigarh PUC is a summons to appear in the subject cited court case received in this office on 08/05/2024 with the copy of petition copy attached may kindly be perused please. The next date of Subject Cited Court Case has been fixed for 21/05/2024 The Plaintiff has filed the SUIT for Mandatory Injunction directing defendant No 1 to restore the No Objection Certificate NOC issued vide Letter/Endst. No 17167/68 dated 26/10/2023 for sale of lease hold rights in respect of Booth No 15 Sector 49/B Chandigarh And Suit for Permanent Injunction restraining defendant No 1 and 2 from transferring the Booth No 15 Sector 49B Chandigarh in favour of anybody else except the plaintiff And filed an Application under Order 39 rule 1 and 2 CPC for grant of ad-interim injunction restraining the defendants from transferring the Booth No 15 Sector 49/B Chandigarh in favour of anybody else except the plaintiff during the pendency of the suit</p>	RIPUDAMAN SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	27 Sep 2024
49	<b>CA/109/2024</b>	<p>CA/109/2024 Nanhakau Vs The Secretary of Chandigarh Housing Board Dwelling unit no 36/3 Sector 39 Maloya Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed an APPEAL against the impugned eviction order dated 26/06/2024 dispatched on 27/06/2024 passed by Secretary, Chandigarh Housing Board vide which small flat hno 36/3 Sector 39 maloya Chandigarh belonging to appellant has been cancelled and eviction of the appellant has been ordered, in most</p>	NANHAKAU VS SECRETARY OF CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	27 Sep 2024



		illegal and arbitrary manner And CLAIM IN APPEAL for setting aside the order dated 02/07/2024 passed by Secretary Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh and acceptance of appeal with costs			
--	--	---	--	--	--

## Advance List of Court Cases pending before Permanent Lok Adalat PUS, Chandigarh From 21.09.2024 to 27.09.2024

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	<b>APP/24/2024</b>	The Plaintiff has filed the application under Section 22 (A) legal services Authority Act (1987) The plaintiff has filed the application to give the direction to the Respondent to give possession of allotted small flat under Chandigarh small flats scheme 2009 to applicant Mr Raj Kumar	Raj Kumar VS Estate Office and Chandigarh Housing Board (Jhuggi No 2744, Colony No. 5, Chandigarh)	Reply filed	23 Sep 2024
2	<b>APP/18/2023</b>	For directing respondents to transfer Small flat DU No 123-C Dhanas UT Chandigarh in the name of applicant	Daya Shankar VS The Chairman CHB	Reply filed	25.09.2024

## Advance List of court cases pending before Hon'ble Advisor to Administrator, UT, Chandigarh From 21.09.2024 to 27.09.2024

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	<b>REVISION/46/2019</b>	Revision Petition filed by the plaintiff before Advisor to the Administrator, UT for cancellation due to violation in respect of DU No. 442, Phase-II, Ram Darbar, Chandigarh against order dated 14.8.2019 passed by Chandigarh Housing Board.	AMAR SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD and ORS.	Reply not filed	26 Sep 2024
2	<b>REVISION/20/2023</b>	Revision Petition/21/2023 Ramesh Kumar Vs Chandigarh Housing Board, DU No 2430, Sector 40-C, Chandigarh	Ramesh Kumar VS Chandigarh Housing Board	Reply filed	26 Sep 2024
3	<b>REVISION/1/2021</b>	Paras Ram vs Chandigarh Housing Board and others Du No 421, sector 56, Palsora Colony, Chandigarh	Paras Ram VS Chandigarh Housing Board	Reply filed	26 Sep 2024

4	<b>REVISION/32/2023</b>	(Advisor Court Case) RP 32 of 2023 Kirti Singh S/o Kesar Singh through its GPA Gautam Singh VS Chandigarh Housing Board. Du No 781, Ram Darbar, Phase-II, Chandigarh	Kirti Singh S/o Kesar Singh through its GPA Gautam Singh VS Chandigarh Housing Board.	Reply filed	26 Sep 2024
5	<b>REVISION/38/2023</b>	Revision Petition under section 72-B of Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 (as applicable to UT Chandigarh) against the order dated 15-4-2021 passed by the Chandigarh Housing Board, Chandigarh in respect of House No 3286/2 Sector 45-D Chandigarh	Lalit Chopra and Another VS Chandigarh Housing Board and others	Reply filed	26 Sep 2024

## Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon'ble State Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission From 21.09.2024 to 27.09.2024

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	<b>EA/57/2023</b>	Jasdev Singh has filed the subject cited Execution Application for execution of order dated 13-09-2012 passed by the Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission UT in CC No33-2012 and claimed the payment of compensation amount	Jasdev Singh Mattu VS Parsavnath Developers Ltd and others	Arguments	23 Sep 2024

## Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon'ble District Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission Forum II From 21.09.2024 to 27.09.2024

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	<b>CC/810/2022</b>	The Complainant has filed the subject cited complaint under Section 35 of Consumer Protection Act 2019 and prayed to direct the respondents to refund an amount of Rupees 1088231 which has been charged illegally by the OPs, to Direct the OPs to pay the interest on the aforesaid amount at the rate 20percent per annum that is the rate which the OPs themselves charged from the general public when the received the delayed payment, To	Kewal Krishan VS Chairman, Chandigarh Housing Board	Arguments	26 Sep 2024

		direct the OPs to pay an amount of Rs10 lacs as compensation on account of mental agony harassment and depriving the complainant of this hard earned money and further complainant has been made as a pendulum between the department of the OPs and to direct the OPs to pay an amount of Rs110000 on account of cost of litigation			
2	<b>CC/355/2020</b>	Complaint under section 34 of the consumer Protection Act has been filed. The CHB has transferred the property Both No.07, Sector 61, Chandigarh with a condition to execute the lease hold transfer deed with CHB within 6 months failing which the allotment of booth shall be liable to be cancelled.	Mandeep Kumar Jasuja VS CHB	For filing written arguments	27 Sep 2024
3	<b>CC/609/2022</b>	Consumer Complaint under Section34 and 35 of the CPA 2019 against the opposite parties as they failed to provide No Due Certificate to the complainant in respect of the entire payment made towards the booth No108 Sector 46 Chandigarh.	Varinder Kumar VS Estate Office, Chd. Administration and others	For consideration on the application for stay and remaining arguments in the main complaint	27 Sep 2024