Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon'ble High Court of Punjab and Haryana from 26.10.2024 to 01.11.2024

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	CWP/26186/2017	(Oustees Matter) CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing the impugned order dated 07.11.2017 issued by the Accounts Officer, Chandigarh Housing Board, Respondent No.3 rejecting the application Form No.1101 of the petitioner by the Property Allotment Committee Respondent No.4 for MIG(Two Bed Rooms) Flats under the "263 Flats for oustees of U.T., Chandigarh on lease hold basis/free hold basis under the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling units of the Oustees of Chandigarh, Scheme 1996" and writ of mandamus directing the respondent No.3 and 4 to allot the MIG (Two Bed Rooms) Flats, in Sector 51-A, Chandigarh as action of the of Respondent No.3 and 4 rejecting the application Form No.1101 of the petitioner is illegal, null and void, discriminatory and against the scheme and pass any other direction or order as this Hon'ble Court may deemed fit in the circumstances of the case Also it is further prayed that the during the pendency of the writ petition, one flat of MIG (Two Bed Rooms) Flats may kindly be kept reserved and the same may not be allotted to any person till the matter is decided by this Hon'ble Court.	AJIT SINGH VS UNION TERRITORY, CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	Arguments	28 Oct 2024
2	CWP/20809/2021	Writ for allotment of small flat under Chandigarh small flats scheme-2006	SHEKHPATI DEVI VS UT CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	Arguments	28 Oct 2024
3	CWP/22212/2019	Writ petition filed by the petitioner for quashing impugned order dated 26.06.2006, order in First Appeal Dated 28.05.2010, Order in second appeal dated 19.05.2017, order in Final Revision Petition dated 09.04.2019 Anothers (3281, Dhanas, Chandigarh – Building Violation case)	SOHAN LAL SAINI THROUGH SUB- GPA, SUMAN GABA VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD THROUGH ITS CHAIRMAN, SECTOR 9 CHANDIGARH AND ANOTHER	Arguments	29 Oct 2024
4	CWP/12531/2021	Plaintiff has filed a Civil Writ Petition under Articles 226/227 of Constitution of India for Issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing of the letter dated 29.06.2020 demanding Rs.3,32,88I/- letter dated I7.10.2020	SANTOSH KUMARI DHIMAN	Arguments	29 Oct 2024

		respondents not to implement the letter dated 29.06.2020 and 17 .1 0.2020 as the petitioner has already deposited the requisite amount of conversion charges as well as full payment have already been made in the year of	SECRETARY HOUSING, CHANDIGARH AND ORS	THE OF	
5	CWP/26247/2024	To Be Entered By Nodal (Estate Office)	GHANSHYAM AND ANR ADVISOR ADMINISTRAT UT CHANDIGA AND ORS	'Intenaration of	29 Oct 2024

Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon'ble District Court, UT Chandigarh from 26.10.2024 to 01.11.2024

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	CS CJ/1350/2017	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for cancellation of allotment in respect of # 908/40-A, Chandigarh # 928/40-A, Chandigarh due to filing false affidavit	RAJ KUMAR CHIBBER VS USHA PRASHAR	Evidence	28 Oct 2024
2	CS CJ/2699/2018	Civil Suit filled by plaintiff for specific performancre of the agreement to sell dated 2.3.1989 and for execution and registration of sale deed in term of agreement to sell in respect of DU No. 3940 Sector 47-D, Chandigarh.	RENUKA PAL THROUGH SPA PARITOSH KUMAR PAL VS SURESH KUMAR SHARMA	Reply/ Consideration	28 Oct 2024
3	CS CJ/1379/2021	The applicant filed an application under order 39 Rule 1 and 2 for restraining the defendant from selling, alienating, mortgaging the property i.e. DU No. 5019, MIG, Ground Floor, Sector-38(West), CHD and for interfering in peaceful		Reply/ Consideration	28 Oct 2024

		posseession of the property	PARDEEP SALUJA		
4	CS CJ/659/2023	CSCJ/659/2023, Kedari Vs Chandigarh Housing Board,DU No 3733, Mauli Jagran, Chandigarh	KEDARI VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	28 Oct 2024
5	CA/503/2018	CA/503/2018, Sandeep Kumar Vs Union of India, without copy of petition	SANDEEP KUMAR VS UNION OF INDIA	Arguments	28 Oct 2024
6	EXE/661/2023	EXE/661/2023 Nand Lal Thakur VS Dilbagh Singh H No 3288/2 Sector 45 D Chandigarh PUC is a summons to appear in the subject cited court case received in this office on 27 12 2023 alongwith the copy of petition copy attached may kindly be perused please The case is fixed for hearing on 06 01 2024 at 10 00 am before the Hon ble Court of Civil Judge Jr Div Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the Application under Order 39 Rules 1 2 read with Section 151 of Civil Procedure Code 1908 for the grant of an ad-interim Injunction against the JD No 1 for not selling or alienating the property in question and for passing the directions to JD No 3 not to transfer the title of the property in question i e House No 3288/2 Second Floor Sector 45 D Chandigarh and passing an order of status quo not do further alteration or in mutation/ ransfer process be stop during the pendency of present execution proceeding be adjecuted or satisfaction of present execution		Appearance	28 Oct 2024
7	PROB/5/2024	PROB/5/2024 BB Khanna Vs General Public H No 300/2 MIG L Sector 41A ChandiGARH PUC is a summons to appear in the subject cited court case received in this office sent by AO IV on 19/03/2024 without the copy of petition (copy attached) may kindly be perused please The Plaintiff has filed the petition under Section 372 of the Indian Succession Act, 1925 for Probatre of irrevocable Will dated 09/07/1985, Annexure P/1 Registered vide Wasika/ Registration serial No 822 Book No 3 Volume 132 Page No 173/177 Registered Before the then Sub Registrar before the then Sub Registrar Chandigarh on 10/07/1985.	B.B. KHANNA VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Reply And Consideration	28 Oct 2024
8	CS CJ/509/2024	CSCJ/509/2024 Sushma Manrai Vs The Union of India H No 1667Ground Floor Sector 40/B Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the SUIT for specific performance of the sale agreement dated 23/08/1984 executed between defendat No 3 and Plaintiff whereby the LIG/U House No 1667Ground Floor Sector 40B Chandigarh allotted by defendant No 2 in favour of defendant No 3 was sold to the Plaintiff at a total sale consideration money of Rs 95000/being the full and final sale consideration money AND/OR Suit for declaration by passing a declaratory deceee in favour of plaintiff to the effect that she is purchaser of lease hold right in LIG/U House No1667 Ground Floor Sector 40B Chandigarh allotted to defendant no 3 bt virue of agreement to sell delivery of actual physical possession of premises and full and final payment of sale consideration OR Alternatively defendant no 3 ie Anand Parkash Bhandari S/o Sh Dina nath Bhandari be declared dead because the has not been heard of for last more than 7 years by those who would naturally have heard of him if he had been aliveConsequently the plaintiff may kindly be declared entitled to inherit LIG/U house no 1667 Ground Floor Sector 40/ Chandigarh on the bsis of Will dated 23/08/1984 which was executed nd registered in the office of the Sub-registrar UT Chandigarh at SrNo 786 Book No3 Vol105 Page 45/46 dated 2 nd	SUSHMA MANRAI VS THE UNION OF INDIA	Notice And Record	28 Oct 2024

applications affidavits documents for transfer of ownership of HN LIG/U House No 1667Ground Floor Sector 40/B Chandigarh in the records of defendant No 2 and direction to defendant no 2 for transferring/mutating the said house/property in favour of the plaintiff and execute Hire Purchase Agreement and Lease Deed in favour of the plaintiff And Any other relief which this Hon ble Court may deem appropriate may be granted in favour of the Plaintiff and against the defendants And Filed and Application under order 39 Rule 1 2 for restraining the defendants from selling alienating mortaging the property ie LIG/U House no 1667 Ground Floor Sector 408 Chandigarh and for interfering in peaceful possession of the property. Earlier the case CSCI/1776/2023 Sushma Manrai Vs The Union Bank of India had been defended by Advocate Sh Vikas Jain and according to the final order of this stated that I want to withdraw the present suit due to technical/format defect with liberty to file fresh notice u/s 67 of Haryana Board act applicable to Chandigarh Housing BoardCourt fee may be refunded as per rules And reply Notice Under section 67 of the code of civil procedure on behalf of my client Smt Sushma Manrai Aged 72 years Senior Citizen R/o of House No 159 Sector 40/A Chandigarh regarding LIG Hosue No 1667 Ground Floor Sector 40/B, Chandigarh Vide letter NROSHAN LAL VS THE ESTATE OFFICERReply And Consideration9CIVIL MISC/453/2023Civil Misc/453/2023 in Civil Suit No. CSCI/1346/2021 titled as Roshan Lal vs Estate Officer & CHBROSHAN LAL VS THE ESTATE OFFICERReply And Consideration	
9 CIVIL MISC/453/2023 Civil Misc/453/2023 in Civil Suit No. CSCJ/1346/2021 titled as Roshan Lal vs Estate Officer & CHB ROSHAN LAL VS THE ESTATE OFFICER Reply And Consideration	
CA/121/2024 titled as Pappu vs Chandigarh Housing Board Flat no 14/C Small Flats Scheme in Dhanas UT Chandigarh	28 Oct 2024
The Plaintift has tiled an Application 1/s 54/2 of the Harvana Housing Roard Act 1971 as extended to the Union	28 Oct 2024
11 CS CJ/827/2015 Regarding allotment of flat (PDL matter) VASDEV SINGH VS INDERDEV SINGH Arguments.	29 Oct 2024
12CS CJ/2702/2018Civil Suit has been filed by the plaintiff regarding mandatory injunction not to issue NOC of sale etc. in respect of DU No.1096, Phase-II, Ram Darbar, Chandigarh.Written StatementWritten Statement	29 Oct 2024
13 CS CJ/612/2020 Plantiff for restraining the defendant no.1 to 3 from alienating, mortgaging, selling, sub-letting or creating any third party interest in DU No.1478/1, Secttor-29/B, UT, CHD. KULDEEP SHARMA VS Evidence HOUSING BOARD CHANDIGARH KULDEEP SHARMA VS Evidence Evidence	29 Oct 2024
14CS CJ/189/2021In this matter plaintiff has filed civil suit for permanent injunction restraining the Defendant and their concerned officials from demolishing the staircase and second floor of H.No.3770, Ambedkar Awas Yojna, Sector 56, Chandigarh illegally forcibly. AND Suit for declaration to the effect that the notice-cum-demolition order dated 31.12.2020 and challan are illegal arbitrary and against the law be declared null and void.MALTI DEVI VS CHD 	29 Oct 2024
15 CS CJ/55/2022 In this regard it is submitted that the applicant has filed the subject cited suit for restraining the defendants no. 1 and RAKESH KUMAR Defence	

		3 not to raise any illegal construction i.e. Changing the basic structure of the house in question H.No. 5404, Maloya, UT, CHD.	VS RAJU	evidence	
16	CS CJ/1356/2021	Suit for declaration to the effect that plantiff be declare owner in possession of house No. 4573, Maloya Colony, UT, Chandigarh on the basis of GPA, Agreement to sell, affidavits and Wills dated 20.08.1990, which was executed by the original allottee in favvour of the plantiff.	GOONA DEVI @ SARASWATI VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Arguments	29 Oct 2024
17	CS CJ/1434/2021	Application under Order 39 Rules 1 and 2 read with Section 151 CPC for grant of ad-interim injunction restraining defendant no 1 or its assignees from interfering into the peaceful possession of the plantiff over the entire ground floor of Dwelling Unit No. 2238, Sector-40/C, CAT EWS, U.T. Chandigarh and further restraining defendant no 1 otr its assignees from illegally and forcibly dispossessing the plantiff from demised premises and further restraining defendant no 1 from selling, create charge in any form against Dwelling Unit No. 2238, Sector-40/C, CAT EWS, U.T. Chandigarh, during the pendency of the suit and restraining defendant no 2 not to the transfer the flat in question and not to provide permission (NOC) to sell the house in question to defendant no 1, during the pendency of the suit.	INDER KUMAR VS SHIV KUMAR	Evidence	29 Oct 2024
18	CS CJ/1159/2022	Civil Suit No. CSCJ/1159/ 2022 titled as Santosh Sehgal vs Chanchal Sehgal (DU No. 1076, Cat-A (GF) The Customs and Central Excise Employees Coop. House Building Society, Sector 51-B, Chandigarh)	SANTOSH SEHGAL VS CHANCHAL SEHGAL	Reply And Consideration	29 Oct 2024
19	CS CJ/176/2019	CSCJ1762019 titled as Roma vs Prem Devi Suit for declaration that plantiff No 1 2 defendant no 1 are owner of one fourth share each in equal share and Plantiff no 3 and Performa defendants who are legal heirs of Pardeep Kumar are owners one fourth share of the House No 2660 Ground Floor Sector44C Chandigarh	ROMA VS PREM DEVI	Reply And Consideration	29 Oct 2024
20	CS CJ/315/2022	Suit for mandatory injunction for issuance of direction to the Defendenta1 and 2 for issuance of possession letter and provide physical possession on allotted Dwelling Unit No. 6254-B, Sector-56, Chandigarh, with immediate effect with the name of plantiff.	HEERAWAN VS ESTATE OFFICER	Evidence	29 Oct 2024
21	CS CJ/2381/2018	Civil Suit No. CSCJ/2381/ 2018 titled as Anju Sharma vs Rajiv Sharma, 342-2, Sector 45-A, CHD	ANJU SHARMA VS RAJIV SHARMA	Reply And Consideration	29 Oct 2024
22	CS CJ/2242/2022	CSCJ/2242/2022-Rajinder Singh Vs Mohinder Singh. DU No. 4578, Maloya Colony, Chandigarh	RAJINDER SINGH VS MOHINDER SINGH	Reply And Consideration	29 Oct 2024
23	EXE/625/2023	EXE/625/2023 Rajesh Goyal Vs General Public H No 2223-E Sector 63The plaintiff has filed an application for execution of the judgement dated 30-05-2023 passed by this honble Court in Civil Suit No 1440/2022 decided on 30-05-2023	RAJESH GOYAL VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Consideration	29 Oct 2024
24	CS CJ/1860/2021	Application U/o 39 Rule 1 and 2 CPC for temporary injunction restraining the defendant no. 1 and 2 from alienating the suit property by way of sale, mortgage, gift, exchange and changing the nature by any other mode of the property in question, during the pendency of the main suit. (DU NO. 118/1, Sector-55, CHD)	SHANTI RANI VS MAJOR I. J. S. BAMBRHA	Evidence	30 Oct 2024
25	PROB/34/2023	Probate Case copy attached filed by plaintiff for the declaration that Registered WILL dated 09-02-2018 executed by Late Smt Sudesh Rani Sudesh Sharma W/o Sh Ashok Sharma which was registered with the office of Sub Registrar	RAKESH KUMAR @ RAKESH	Arguments	30 Oct 2024

		Chandigarh vide Sr No 520 Book No3 Volume No 322 and Page No 185 Dated 09-02-2018 in favour of petitioners in respect of House No 385 Sector 41 A Chandigarh and further directions to be issued defendant no 3 to transfer the said house in question in the names of the petitioners	KUMAR KALIA VS GENERAL PUBLIC		
26	MCA DJ/14/2024	MCADJ/14/2024 Anand Kumar Vs Advisor to the Administrator HNo 4662 2 Sector 38 W Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the Appeal under Section 54 of the Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 As extended to the Union Territory of Chandigarh against the eviction order dated 11/1/2024 passed by Respondent No 3 And Further praying that during the pendency of the appeal the operation of the impugned order dated 11/01/2024 may kindly be stayed Or Any other relief which this Hon ble Court may deem fit in the facts and circumstances of the case And filed an Application for staying the operation of the impugned eviction order dated 11/01/2024 during the pendency of the accompanying appeal	ANAND KUMAR VS ADVISER TO	Consideration	30 Oct 2024

Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon'ble State Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission Forum I from 26.10.2024 to 01.11.2024

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1		The Subject cited Execution Application has been filed by the complainant Sh Ramesh Kumar under section 71 and 72 of Consumer Protection Act 2019 before the Honorable State Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission UT Chandigarh for the enforcement of order dated 29-09-2022 A		Arguments	28 Oct 2024