

## Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon’ble Supreme Court from 16.11.2024 to 22.11.2024

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	C.A/594/2024	Civil Appeal filed for arising out of the impugned final order dated 06-09-2023 in Consumer Complaint No237 of 2015 passed by the Honorable National Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission Delhi	Parsvnath Developers Limited VS Ram Swarup Kanda (deceased) through LRs. and ors	Arguments	18 Nov 2024

## Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon’ble High Court of Punjab and Haryana from 16.11.2024 to 22.11.2024

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	CWP/16089/2005	It is, further prayed that during the pendency of the writ petition, the highly exorbitant and arbitrary demands, which are being made, be stayed. And/or any other interim order which Hon ble Lourt may deem fit and proper the facts and circumstances of the case may please be passed.	SATYA PARKASH AND ORS. VS CHD. ADMN AND ORS.	Arguments	18 Nov 2024
2	CWP/1313/2018	Civil Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing the impugned order dated 19.09.2017 and for issuance of writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the official respondent to allot the Small Flat under the Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006 for which the petitioner is legally entitled but the claim has been rejected on illegal grounds. Further directing to the respondents to make allotment of dwelling unit to the petitioner as having been allotted to similarly situated persons.( Jhuggi No.119-A, Mauli-Jagran, U.T., Chandigarh)	MOHAN LAL VS UT OF CHANDIGARH AND ORS.	Arguments	18 Nov 2024
3	RSA/6932/2016	Regular Second Appeal filed by the petitioner for setting aside the order dated 04.08.2015 passed by the Court of Sh. Anil Kaushik, Civil Judge, Junior Division, U.T., Chandigarh thereby ordering for rejection of the plaint and judgement dated 09.08.2016 passed by the court of Sh. Jasbir Singh	CHARANJIT KAUR AND ANR VS CHAIRMAN CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ORS	Arguments	18 Nov 2024

		Sidhu, Addl. District Judge Chandigarh in the interest of justice. 3.Further prayed that filing of certified copies of the order dated 04.08.2015 and judgement dated 09.08.2016 may kindly be exempted in the interest of justice. (2007/1, Sector 40-C, Chandigarh)			
4	<b>CWP/11996/2018</b>	RGARDING TRASNFER	ROMESH CHANDER BATRA SON OF RAM PARKASH BATRA DECEASED THROUGH HIS LR'S DEVINDER SINGH VS UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	Arguments	18 Nov 2024
5	<b>CWP/10299/2022</b>	The Petitioner had filed the subject cited petition before Honorable High Court of Punjab and Haryana at UT Chandigarh for quashing the notice dated 03-05-2022 being illegal arbitrary unreasonable and therefore in violation of Article 14 19 and 21 of Constitution of India, besides in violation of policy of Respondent No1. Further for restraining the respondents 1and 2 for carrying the eviction of the petitioners from their houses, jhuggis in Janta Colony Sector 25 Chandigarh till the adjudication of Writ Petition. Further for directing the respondents to make arrangement for alternate accommodation to the petitioners in view of the policy and In view of Honorable Supreme Court Judgements, as eviction is being done in violation of natural justice and rehabilitation the considerable section of Slum Dwellers and rendering them homeless in violation of Article 14, 19 and 21 of Constitution of India. Any other appropriate writ order or direction which this Honorable Court may deem fit and proper keeping in view the peculiar facts and circumstances of the instant case	DAVINDER AND OTHERS VS UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH AND ORS.	Arguments	18 Nov 2024
6	<b>CWP/3958/2017</b>	Writ petition filed by the petitioners for quashing the order dated 11.02.2013 and all subseque3nt orders passed thereafter and the Last order dated 30.09.2016 whereby the Revision Petition was rejected on ground of limitation and further issuance of writ of mandamus directing the Revisional Authority to consider the appeal on merits in accordance with law file by the petitioner against the cancellation of Dwelling Unit No. 1669, Sector 29-B, Chandigarh.	RAMESH HARIBHAU KATYARMAL VS U.T. ADMINISTRATION THR ADVISOR TO ADMINISTRATOR CHANDIGARH & ANR	Arguments	19 Nov 2024
7	<b>CWP/17382/2017</b>	Civil Writ Petition filed for quashing order No.42 dated 18.02.2016 being illegal and without authority of law and in violation of Section 4 of Capital of Punjab(Development and Regulations) Act, 1952 and further declaring the Notice Annexure P-17 issued to one of the petitioner and similar notices issued to the other petitioners as illegal and without any authority of law.	ARUN KUMAR AND ORS VS UT OF CHANDIGARH AND ORS	Arguments	19 Nov 2024
8	<b>CWP/21779/2017</b>	Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing the show cause notice for resumption of the allotment of D.U. No. 1/1, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh on account of Building Violation.	ASHWANI KUMAR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING	Arguments	19 Nov 2024

			BOARD THR ITS CHAIRMAN AND ORS		
9	<b>CWP/3349/2018</b>	CWP filed by the petitioner alongwith 24 others for quashing the orders dated 18.02.2016 and order dated 26.12.2017 and consequently show cause notice for cancellation and Penal Compounding Charges notice regarding building violations in dwelling units of sector 39-B Chandigarh	HARINDER KAUR KHETERPAL AND OTHERS VS U T ADMINISTRATION AND OTHERS	Arguments	19 Nov 2024
10	<b>CWP/5424/2018</b>	Civil Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing the office order dated 28.02.2018 and Resolution dated 27.02.2018 against Table Agenda Point No.409.1 passed in 409th meeting held on 27.02.2018 to the extent that the Chandigarh Housing Board has resolved that the extensions in service beyond the age of superannuation to its employees will not be granted extension already granted to its employees will be treated as revoked except those employees, whose extensions are ongoing, who shall be relieved and retired, on attaining the ages of 59 or 60 years as the case may be. AND Further for directions to the respondents to restore the office order dated 12.02.2018 whereby the accepting the Option dated 30.10.2017 submitted by the petitioner to continue in service for first years beyond 28.02.2018 was accepted and the petitioner was allowed to continue in service for a period of one (1) more year i.e. up to 28.02.2019.	SUDHIR KUMAR BHAKHRI VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION & ANR	Arguments	19 Nov 2024
11	<b>CWP/11650/2018</b>	Residents of CHB dwelling units of various sectors challenged the order no. 342 dt. 26/12/2017 issued regarding imposition of penal charges for encroachments on incidental spaces, Govt. Land and for additional constructions which do not fulfill the conditions mentioned in need based changes order)	T.S CHAUDHARY AND ORS VS UT ADMINISTRATION AND ORS	Arguments	19 Nov 2024
12	<b>CWP/23095/2019</b>	Writ petition filed by the petitioner for quashing impugned order dated 03.11.2016, order in Appeal Dated 06.03.2017, Order in further appeals dated 27.07.2017, order in Revision Petition dated 09.04.2019 and Order dated 12.07.2019.  3.And for issuance of writ of mandamus against the respondents to permit the petitioner to deposit compounding charges in terms of latest policy dated 15.07.2019.  4.And further directions to grant status quo in respect of the property of the petitioner as granted on 25.09.2017 in the connected case CWP No.21780 of 2017 by a Coordinate Bench of this Court. (3124/1, Sector 45-D, Chandigarh – Building Violation case)	SAZID HUSSAIN VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD THROUGH ITS CHAIRMAN, CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	Arguments	19 Nov 2024
13	<b>CWP/37168/2019</b>	(Enforcement) (For quashing the policy/order no. 59 dt. 15.02.2019 issued by the respondents – petition filed by the residents of Sector 41-A, 45-A, 40-C etc. Chandigarh)	PRABHA SHARMA AND OTHERS VS CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR, UT	Arguments	19 Nov 2024

			CHANDIGARH AND ORS		
14	<b>CWP/26593/2018</b>	Building Violations in DU No. 2167, MIG, Sector 45-C, Chd.	SURINDER KUMAR VS UT ADMINISTRATION THROUGH ITS ADVISOR AND OTHERS	Arguments	19 Nov 2024
15	<b>CWP/25881/2018</b>	(Enforcement Branch) Issuance of a writ quashing the notice dated 19.07.2018 of demolition and further issuance of a writ directing the respondents not to carryout demolition till formulation of new policy as per the press note published on 06.08.2018 - Sector 41-A, Chandigarh	NATHA SINGH SHARMA AND OTHERS VS CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR UT CHANDIGARH THROUGH FINANCE SECRETARY UT CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	Arguments	19 Nov 2024
16	<b>CWP/26809/2021</b>	Civil Writ petition of subject cited court cases filed by the petitioner for direction quashing demand for unearned increase raised via impugned letter No./CHB/AO-Society/2021/ dated 29.07.2021 by the Chandigarh Housing Board by which the respondents are insisting upon payment of Unearned Increase in respect of Flat No.1358, 1st Floor, the PUSH PAC Cooperative House Building Society, Sector 49-B, Chandigarh.	OM PARKASH SANGWAN VS THE CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD,CHANDIGARH	Arguments	19 Nov 2024
17	<b>CWP/7806/2022</b>	Regarding payment of Unearned Increase in respect of Flat No1403-1 Category- A Sector 49 - PUSH PAC Coop House Building Societies	VINOD KUMAR SHARMA VS THE CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Arguments	19 Nov 2024
18	<b>CM/8645- CWP/2023</b>	CM Filed by the petitioner in CWP No.2309 of 2014 for modification and clarification of orders	GROUND FLOOR RESIDENTS IN DUPLEX FLATS WELFARE ASSOCIATION VS CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR UT & ORS	Arguments	19 Nov 2024
19	<b>CWP/26186/2017</b>	(Oustees Matter) CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing the impugned order dated 07.11.2017 issued by the Accounts Officer, Chandigarh Housing Board, Respondent No.3 rejecting the application Form No.1101 of the petitioner by the Property Allotment Committee Respondent No.4 for MIG(Two Bed Rooms) Flats under the “263 Flats for oustees of U.T., Chandigarh on lease hold basis/free hold basis under the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling units of the Oustees of Chandigarh, Scheme 1996” and writ of mandamus directing the respondent No.3 and 4 to allot the MIG (Two Bed Rooms ) Flats, in Sector 51-A, Chandigarh as action of the of Respondent No.3 and 4 rejecting the application Form No.1101 of the petitioner is illegal, null and void, discriminatory and against the scheme and pass any other direction or order as this Hon’ble Court may deemed fit in the circumstances of the case. Also it is further prayed that the during the pendency of the writ petition, one flat of MIG (Two Bed Rooms) Flats may kindly be kept reserved and the same may not be allotted to any person till the matter is decided by this Hon’ble Court.	AJIT SINGH VS UNION TERRITORY, CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	Arguments	20 Nov 2024

20	<b>CWP/28958/2018</b>	Civil Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing the order dated 31.10.2018 and further directing the respondents to transfer the D.U.No.3009/1, Sector 47-D, in his name.	KIRPAL SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD THROUGH ITS SECRETARY	Arguments	20 Nov 2024
21	<b>CWP/3787/2020</b>	Quashing the policy/order no 59 dt. 15.02.2019 issued by the respondents – DU No. 728/1, Sector 41-A, Chd.	HARISH CHANDER GULATI VS CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR, UT CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	Arguments	20 Nov 2024
22	<b>CWP/36613/2019</b>	(GPA Transfer Policy) Transfer of DU No. 146/2, HIG-I, Sector 45-A, Chandigarh.	MANJU SHARMA VS UT CHANDIGARH AND ANOTHER	Arguments	20 Nov 2024
23	<b>CWP/26150/2019</b>	Discretionary Quota Case - DU No. 235-C, Sector 51-A, Chandigarh.	SUBHASH YADAV VS ADMINISTRATOR, UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH AND ANOTHER	Arguments	21 Nov 2024
24	<b>CWP/25599/2019</b>	(The Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006) Allotment of Flat under the Chandigarh Small flats Scheme 2006, quashing the impugned action of Screening Committee as they have rejected the application of petitioner for allotment of rehabilitation site and for quashing the impugned order dated 12.06.2018 passed by the Ld. Appellate Authority under Clause 17 of Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006 in Appeal no. 44 of 2017 and also to quash the impugned order dated 23.04.2019 passed in Revision Petition no. 11 of 2019 titled as Ram Kishore vs. Estate Officer and others, passed by the Court of the Advisor to the Administrator, UT, Chandigarh.	RAM KISHORE VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND ORS	Arguments	21 Nov 2024

## Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon’ble District Court, UT Chandigarh

## from 16.11.2024 to 22.11.2024

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	<b>CS CJ/126/2023</b>	CSCJ/126/2023, Ashok Kumar Marwaha Vs CHB Du No 514, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh	ASHOK KUMAR MARWAHA VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Consideration	16 Nov 2024
2	<b>CS CJ/1925/2023</b>	CSCJ/1925/2023 Charanjeet Kaur Vs Jagmohan Singh and others, H.No 446, Sector 44-A, Chandigarh	CHARANJEET KAUR VS JAGMOHAN SINGH	Reply And Consideration	16 Nov 2024
3	<b>CA/109/2024</b>	CA/109/2024 Nanhakau Vs The Secretary of Chandigarh Housing Board Dwelling unit no 36/3 Sector 39 Maloya Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed an APPEAL against the impugned eviction order dated 26/06/2024 dispatched on 27/06/2024 passed by Secretary, Chandigarh Housing Board vide which small flat hno 36/3 Sector 39 maloya Chandigarh belonging to appellant has been cancelled and eviction of the appellant has been ordered, in most illegal and arbitrary manner And CLAIM IN APPEAL for setting aside the order dated 02/07/2024 passed by Secretary Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh and acceptance of appeal with costs	NANHAKAU VS SECRETARY OF CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	16 Nov 2024
4	<b>CS CJ/2058/2019</b>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of DU No. 12, Dadu Majra Colony, Chandigarh on the basis of intestate death.	ASHA RANI VS THE CHAIRMAN	Evidence	18 Nov 2024
5	<b>CS CJ/1795/2021</b>	Suit for Declaration to the effect that the plaintiffs are owners to the extent of 1/5th share each of House No. 3215, Sector-45/D, Chandigarh being Class 1 leal heirs entitled to inherit the property of deceased Ram Singh S/o Matoo Ram R/o H.No. 3215, Sector-45-D, UT, Chandigarh with consequential relief of permanent injunction relief of permanent injunction restraining the defendant no.1 and 2 and their agents to dispossess the plaintiffs from the demised premises illegally and forcibly, selling, mortgaging, transferring, exchanging, encumbering and changing the nature of the suit property in any manner more than their shareand specific part thereof to any person, creating any type of hindrance and restraining to disconnect the electricity and water connection of the premises.	MANJEET KAUR VS BALJIT SINGH	Evidence	18 Nov 2024
6	<b>CS CJ/1860/2021</b>	Application U/o 39 Rule 1 and 2 CPC for temporary injunction restraining the defendant no. 1 and 2 from alienating the suit property by way of sale, mortgage, gift, exchange and changing the nature by any other mode of the property in question, during the pendency of the main suit. ( DU NO. 118/1, Sector-55, CHD)	SHANTI RANI VS MAJOR I. J. S. BAMBRHA	Evidence	18 Nov 2024
7	<b>CS CJ/55/2022</b>	In this regard it is submitted that the applicant has filed the subject cited suit for restraining the defendants no. 1 and 3 not to raise any illegal construction i.e. Changing the basic structure of the house in question H.No. 5404, Maloya, UT, CHD.	RAKESH KUMAR VS RAJU	Defence evidence	18 Nov 2024

8	CS CJ/642/2022	CSCj 642 2022 titled as Neelam Mehra vs Naresh Kumar House No 2765 Dadumajra Colony Chandigarh	NEELAM MEHRA VS NARESH KUMAR	Consideration	18 Nov 2024
9	CS CJ/509/2024	CSCJ/509/2024 Sushma Manrai Vs The Union of India H No 1667Ground Floor Sector 40/B Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the SUIT for specific performance of the sale agreement dated 23/08/1984 executed between defendat No 3 and Plaintiff whereby the LIG/U House No 1667Ground Floor Sector 40B Chandigarh allotted by defendant No 2 in favour of defendant No 3 was sold to the Plaintiff at a total sale consideration money of Rs 95000/being the full and final sale consideration money AND/OR Suit for declaration by passing a declaratory deceee in favour of plaintiff to the effect that she is purchaser of lease hold right in LIG/U House No1667 Ground Floor Sector 40B Chandigarh allotted to defendant no 3 bt virue of agreement to sell delivery of actual physical possession of premises and full and final payment of sale consideration OR Alternatively defendant no 3 ie Anand Parkash Bhandari S/o Sh Dina nath Bhandari be declared dead because the has not been heard of for last more than 7 years by those who would naturally have heard of him if he had been aliveConsequently the plaintiff may kindly be declared entitled to inherit LIG/U house no 1667 Ground Floor Sector 40/ Chandigarh on the bsis of Will dated 23/08/1984 which was executed nd registered in the office of the Sub-registrar UT Chandigarh at SrNo 786 Book No3 Vol105 Page 45/46 dated 2 nd September 1984 in favour of the plaintiff AND Suit for mandatory injuncion directing defendant No 3 to complete all applications affidavits documents for transfer of ownership of HN LIG/U House No 1667Ground Floor Sector 40/B Chandigarh in the records of defendant No 2 and direction to defendant no 2 for transferring/mutating the said house/property in favour of the plaintiff and execute Hire Purchase Agreement and Lease Deed in favour of the plaintiff And Any other relief which this Hon ble Court may deem appropriate may be granted in favour of the Plaintiff and against the defendants And Filed and Application under order 39 Rule 1 2 for restraining the defendants from selling alienating mortgaging the property ie LIG/U House no 1667 Ground Floor Sector 40B Chandigarh and for interfering in peaceful possession of the property Earlier the case CSCJ/1776/2023 Sushma Manrai Vs The Union Bank of India had been defended by Advocate Sh Vikas Jain and according to the final order of this stated that I want to withdraw the present suit due to technical/format defect with liberty to file fresh notice u/s 67 of Haryana Board act applicable to Chandigarh Housing BoardCourt fee may be refunded as per rules And reply Notice Under section 67 of the code of civil procedure on behalf of my client Smt Sushma Manrai Aged 72 years Senior Citizen R/o of House No 159 Sector 40/A Chandigarh regarding LIG Hosue No 1667 Ground Floor Sector 40/B, Chandigarh Vide letter N HB/AOII/2024/2167 has been sent by concerned branch Copy attached	SUSHMA MANRAI VS THE UNION OF INDIA	Notice And Record	18 Nov 2024
10	CS CJ/1844/2023	The Petitioner has filed the Suit for issuing necessary direction to the defendant no 1 to realLOT/transfer the Dwelling Unit No 3171/1 of MIG F category in the Sector 41d Chandigarh on the basis of Sub GPA executed in favor of the plaintiff which got registered in the office of Sub/Registrar Chandigarh at Serial	PARAMJIT KAUR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Evidence	18 Nov 2024

		no 810 Book No 4 Dated 08/05/20 OR Any other relief this court may deem fit and proper in the interest of justice And also filed an Application under Section 809 CPC for grant of leave to file the present suit without serving notice under section 80 2 CPC upon the defendant no 1			
11	<b>CS CJ/285/2017</b>	Civil Suit for mandatory injunction directing Def. No. 1 and 2 to transfer H.No. 3100, HB Colony, Dhanas in the name of plaintiff on the basis of WILL dated 24.7.2015 and permanent Injunction restraining from transferring in the name of Def. No. 3 on the basis of WILL dated 7.6.2016 or in the name of any person.	RAVI KAMAL VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Evidence	19 Nov 2024
12	<b>CS CJ/1350/2017</b>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for cancellation of allotment in respect of # 908/40-A, Chandigarh # 928/40-A, Chandigarh due to filing false affidavit..	RAJ KUMAR CHIBBER VS USHA PRASHAR	Evidence	19 Nov 2024
13	<b>CS CJ/2339/2018</b>	Civil Suit has been filed by the plaintiff for transfer of DU No. 355/2, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh on the basis of WILL.	BALWINDER KAUR VS KASHMIR KAUR	Reply And Consideration	19 Nov 2024
14	<b>COUNTER CLAIM-CROSS /2/2019</b>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for specific Performance of Agreement to Sell dated 12.3.2003 in respect of DU No. 3081(GF), Sector 44-D, Chandigarh.	VIJENDER KUMAR NIRMAL VS NANAK SINGH	Reply And Consideration	19 Nov 2024
15	<b>CS CJ/345/2020</b>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding cancellation of allotment of demised premises of DU No. 2229/1, Sector 45-C, Chandigarh even SCN was issued.	LAKHVIR KAUR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Consideration	19 Nov 2024
16	<b>CS CJ/612/2020</b>	Plaintiff for restraining the defendant no.1 to 3 from alienating, mortgaging, selling, sub-letting or creating any third party interest in DU No.1478/1, Sector-29/B, UT, CHD.	KULDEEP SHARMA VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Evidence	19 Nov 2024
17	<b>CS CJ/176/2019</b>	CSCJ1762019 titled as Roma vs Prem Devi Suit for declaration that plaintiff No 1 2 defendant no 1 are owner of one fourth share each in equal share and Plaintiff no 3 and Performa defendants who are legal heirs of Pardeep Kumar are owners one fourth share of the House No 2660 Ground Floor Sector44C Chandigarh	ROMA VS PREM DEVI	Reply And Consideration	19 Nov 2024
18	<b>CS CJ/1978/2022</b>	CSCJ/1978/2022 SANDEEP MARWAHA VS SUDESH MARWAHA DU NO 5520-1 MHC Manimajra	SANDEEP MARWAHA VS SUDESH MARWAHA	Arguments.	19 Nov 2024
19	<b>MCA DJ/14/2023</b>	MCA DJ/14/2023 Teejo Vs Chandigarh Housing Board Du No Site No 43 Karsan Colony, Phase-II, Chandigarh	TEEJO VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Consideration	19 Nov 2024
20	<b>CS CJ/628/2023</b>	CSCJ/628/2023 Anjali Vs Sukesh Inder Sadiura 5274 Ground Floor MIG Category, Sector 38-W, CHD	ANJALI VS SUKESH INDER SADIURA	Notice And Record	19 Nov 2024
21	<b>CA/73/2023</b>	CA/73/2023, Balbir Singh Vs General Public, #435/1, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the Civil Appeal against the order, judgment and decree dated 01.12.2022 passed by the court of Sh. Puneet Mohinia, Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Chandigarh in the Civil Suit No. 3067 of 2018 whereby the Suit for Declaration and Mandatory Injunction of the Appellants/Plaintiffs has been dismissed. To set aside the impugned order, judgment and decree dated 01.12.2022 under appeal passed by the court of Sh. Puneet	BALBIR SINGH VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Arguments	19 Nov 2024



		Mohinia, Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Chandigarh whereby the suit for Declaration and Mandatory Injunction of the Appellants/Plaintiffs has been dismissed by way of acceptance of this appeal throughout and decreeing of the suit of the Plaintiffs/Appellants.			
22	<b>CS CJ/773/2022</b>	CSCJ/773/2022, Ajit Singh Butter Vs General Public. #3369, Sector 46-C, CHD	AJIT SINGH BUTTER VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Consideration	19 Nov 2024
23	<b>CS CJ/1753/2024</b>	<p>CSCJ 1753 2024 Rakesh Kumar Sharma vs Chandigarh Housing Board H No 5419 2 Category HIG I MHC Manimajra Chandigarh</p> <p>The Plaintiff has filed SUIT for declaration to the effect that the Plaintiff is the Rightful owner in possession of Flat DU No 5419 2 Category HIG I MHC Manimajra Chandigarh</p> <p>AND further for mandatory injunction directing the defendant to cancel the fraudulent transfer of the said flat in favor of third party Ashok kumar Chadha</p> <p>AND further for passing a decree of permanent injunction restraining the defendant from creating any third-party rights in the said flat</p> <p>The Suit is based upon oral as well as Documentry evidence under order 7 Rule 1 CPC</p> <p>AND filed an Application under order 39 rule 1 AND 2 of the code of civil procedure 1908 for grant of ad interim stay</p>	<p>RAKESH KUMAR SHARMA VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD</p>	Notice And Record	19 Nov 2024
24	<b>CS CJ/827/2015</b>	Regarding allotment of flat (PDL matter)	VASDEV SINGH VS INDERDEV SINGH	Arguments	19 Nov 2024
25	<b>CS CJ/1781/2017</b>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for mandatory injunction directing the CHB(Def. No. 1) not to transfer the house No. 3420, Maloya, UT, Chandigarh on the basis of decree dated 28.8.2017 passed by Sh. Imanbir Singh Dhaliwal, CJJD, Chandigarh also directing def. No. 1 2 to transfer the DU in favour of plaintiff on the basis of Agreement to sell.	SANJAY KUMAR GARG VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD THROUGH CHAIRMAN	Evidence	20 Nov 2024
26	<b>CS CJ/6/2019</b>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding recovery of amou nyt of Rs.61,00,000/- in lieu of DU No. 398/2, Sector 44-A, Chandigarh.	MUKESH MITTAL VS DINESH KUMAR	Civil Evidence	20 Nov 2024
27	<b>CS CJ/2188/2020</b>	The plaintiff has filed application U/S 80(2) CPC seeking exemption from serving two months prior notice of filing the suit. The said application for grant of interim injunction, rstraining the Defendant No.1 and 2 not to sell, alienate,mortgage, transfer of suit property i.e. D/Unit No.4517, Maloya Colony, Chandigarh	MALKIAT KAUR VS MOHAN SINGH	Evidence	20 Nov 2024
28	<b>CS CJ/1210/2021</b>	In the said matter the CHB is a respondent as a party at Sr.No.5. (Copy attached). The plaintiff has filed application U/S 80(2) CPC seeking exemption from serving two month prior notice of filing the suit. The said application for grant of interim injunction, restraining the Defendant No.1 and 2 not to sell,	MOHAN SINGH VS MALKIAT KAUR	Evidence	20 Nov 2024

		alienate, mortgage, transfer of suit property i.e. D/Unit No.4517, Maloya Colony, Chandigarh.			
29	<b>CS CJ/555/2016</b>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for mandatory injunction directing CHB not to transfer 1/3rd share out of full in respect of DU No. 986, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh.	SURINDER VERMA VS RAJINDER VERMA	Awaiting Further Order	21 Nov 2024
30	<b>CS CJ/736/2018</b>	Civil Suit has been filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of DU No. 3194/46-C, Chandigarh on fraud documents.	RAJWANT KAUR VS SUKHDEEP SINGH	Civil Evidence	21 Nov 2024
31	<b>MCA DJ/7/2020</b>	MCA DJ/7/2020 - Appeal filed by the Appellant against dated 5.12.2019 in respect of cancellation of allotment on account of alienation of physical possession of DU No. 931-A, Small Flat Dhanas	RAM MURAT VS SECRETARY	Arguments	21 Nov 2024
32	<b>CS CJ/47/2020</b>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of 1/5th share of DU No. 564-A, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh on the basis of petitioner is Class-I Legal Heir.	SURESH KUMAR VS NIRMALA DEVI	Notice And Record	21 Nov 2024
33	<b>CS/557/2023</b>	CS/557/2023, Monika Kanwar Vs Gitika DU No 3111/2 Sector 41/D Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed Suit for declaration to the effect that the plaintiffs and defendant No 1 are owners in joint possession to extent of 1/3 share each in respect of the immovable properties left by Lachman Dass Sharma and Smt Santosh Kumari D/o Village Daun Tehsil Distt SAS Nagar Mohali on account of inheritance being their class I legal heirs which includes property No 1 Residential House situated within the revenue estate of village daun tehsil distt SAS Nagar Mohali property no 2 house no 3111/2 Sector 41 D Chandigarh And Suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendant no 1 her agents attorneys representatives from alienating gift mortgage transfer or changing the nature of the suit property in any other manner till the same is not partitioned and further restraining the defendant no 2 to transfer the property no 2 to any person during the pendency of suit And Grant any other relief which this Hon ble Court may deem fit and proper in favour of the plaintiffs	MONIKA KANWAR VS GITIKA	Replication/ Issues	21 Nov 2024
34	<b>CS CJ/3121/2018</b>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer the ownership of flat No. 2198-D, Sector 63, Chandigarh on the basis of WILL.	VEDWATI VS LAKHBIR KAUR	Rebuttal/ Arguments	22 Nov 2024
35	<b>CS CJ/375/2020</b>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of share in respect of DU No. 3623, Maulijagan, Chandigarh.	PARMOD KUMAR VS SATDEV KUMAR	Reply And Consideration	22 Nov 2024
36	<b>CS CJ/129/2021</b>	In this matter plaintiff has filed suit for Mandatory injunction directing the defendants No.1-4 to remove the illegal optical shop running in the name and style of M/s Vijay Optical on the ground floor of the dwelling unit No.1096, Ram Darbar, Chandigarh which amounts to cancellation of registration/allotment as well as forfeiture of the amount deposited with the defendant No.6.	ARVIND SINGH VS VIJAY SINGH	Evidence	22 Nov 2024
37	<b>CS CJ/751/2022</b>	CSCJ/751/2022 - BHUPINDER SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD (5103, SECTOR 38(W) CHANDIGARH	BHUPINDER SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	22 Nov 2024
38	<b>CS CJ/2083/2022</b>	CSCJ/2083/2022 Vijay Pal Singh vs Chandigarh Housing Board petition missing	VIJAY PAL SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Notice Record And	22 Nov 2024

39	<b>CS CJ/2115/2022</b>	CSCJ/2115/2022 Labh Singh vs Soni DU No 3125 Housing Board Colony Dhanas, Chandigarh	LABH SINGH VS SONI	Reply Consideration	And	22 Nov 2024
40	<b>CS CJ/1268/2023</b>	CSCJ/1268/2023 Urmila Yadav Vs Savita Yadav, DU No 3530, Sector 46, Chandigarh	URMILA YADAV VS SAVITA YADAV	Notice Record	And	22 Nov 2024
41	<b>ARB/140/2024</b>	To challenge the Arbitration Award dated 07.11.2023 for the work of construction of 216 three bedroom flats Group-III in Sector-63 Chandigarh in Arbitration case M/s BL Mehta Construction Pvt Ltd Vs Chandigarh Housing Board	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD VS M/S B.L MEHTA CONSTRUCTIONS PVT. LTD	Notice Record	And	22 Nov 2024
42	<b>ARB/142/2024</b>	To Challenge the Arbitration Award dated 08-11-2023 in district court for the work of construction of 240 Two Bedroom Flats Group-IV in Sector 63 Chandigarh in Arbitration Case M/s BL Mehta Construction Pvt Ltd Vs Chandigarh Housing Board	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD VS M/S B.L MEHTA PROJECTS PVT. LTD.	Notice Record	And	22 Nov 2024
43	<b>EXE/89/2024</b>	The plaintiff has filed the Execution Application Under Section 36 of Arbitration and Conciliation Act 1996 read with order 21 and Section 151 of CPC for the Enforcement and Execution of the Arbitral Award dated 07-11-2023 passed by the Ld Arbitrator Sh Ramesh Kumar Singla regarding construction of 216 Nos Three Bed Room Flats- (GROUP-III) Composite Work in Sector-63 Chandigarh	M/S B.L MEHTA CONSTRUCTIONS PVT. LTD VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Notice Record	And	22 Nov 2024
44	<b>EXE/87/2024</b>	The plaintiff has filed the Execution Application Under Section 36 of Arbitration and Conciliation Act 1996 (Amended) read with order 21 and Section 151 of CPC for the Enforcement and Execution of the Arbitral Award dated 08-11-2023 passed by the Ld. Arbitrator Sh Hari Singh regarding construction of 240 Two Bedroom Flats GROUP-IV (Composite Work) in Sector-63 Chandigarh	M/S B. L MEHTA PROJECTS PVT. LTD VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Notice Record	And	22 Nov 2024
45	<b>EXE/88/2024</b>	The plaintiff has filed the Execution Application Under Section 36 of Arbitration and Conciliation Act 1996 Read with order 21 and Section 151 of CPC for the Enforcement and Execution of the Arbitral Award dated 06-10-2023 passed by the Ld Arbitrator Sh Ramesh Kumar Singla regarding construction of 240 Nos One Bed Room Flats- (GROUP-VI) Composite Work in Sector-63 Chandigarh	M/S B.L MEHTA PROJECTS PVT. LTD VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Notice Record	And	22 Nov 2024
46	<b>EXE/90/2024</b>	The plaintiff has filed the Execution Application Under Section 36 of Arbitration and Conciliation Act 1996 (Amended) read with order 21 and Section 151 of CPC for the Enforcement and Execution of the Arbitral Award dated 07-11-2023 passed by the Ld Arbitrator Sh Ramesh Kumar Singla regarding construction of 1120 Nos Small Flats (Two Rooms) GROUP-VI (Composite Work) in Maloya-I Chandigarh	M/S B.L MEHTA CONSTRUCTIONS PVT. LTD VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Notice Record	And	22 Nov 2024
47	<b>ARB/141/2024</b>	To Challenge the arbitration award dated 07-11-2023 for the construction of 1120 small flats 2 room (composite work) GR-VI in Maloya-I chandigarh (Arbitration cases MS BL mehta constructions pvt ltd vs Chandigarh Housing Board	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD VS B.L MEHTA CONSTRUCTION PVT. LTD	Notice Record	And	22 Nov 2024

# Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon’ble Permanent Lok Adalat PUS, Chandigarh from 16.11.2024 to 22.11.2024

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	APP./19/2023	PLA Case Application No 19 of 2023 Prabha Sabikhi others VS CHB DU No 3079 1 Sector 47 D Chandigarh PUC is a summons to appear in the subject cited court case received in this office on 28 11 2023 alongwith the copy of petition copy attached may kindly be perused please The case is fixed for hearing on 05 2 2023 at 11 00 am in the Honble Permanent Lok Adalat Public Utility Services Sector 43 Chandigarh The Petitioner has filed the Petition under Section 22 C of Legal Service Authority Act 1987 for settlement of dispute between the parties	Prabha Sabikhi @ Prabha Bajaj and another VS The Chairman, Chandigarh Housing Board	Reply filed	18 Nov 2024
2	APP/EX 04/2024	The Plaintiff has filed the application under the Legal Services Authorities Act 1987 for Execution of the order dated 20-06-2023 Application No 73 of 2021	Bal Kishan Sharma VS The estate office UT Chd and others	Vakalatnama filed by the counsel	18 Nov 2024
3	APP/22/2024	The Plaintiff has filed the application for transfer of dwelling unit i e House No P-1649 Sector-52 Chandigarh in the name of applicants being the legal heirs allottee to the extent of 1/3rd each.	Julie and others VS Chandigarh Housing Board and others (House No. P-1649, Sector-52 Chandigarh)	Reply filed	22 Nov 2024