

Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon’ble High Court of Punjab and Haryana from 23.11.2024 to 29.11.2024

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	CWP/313/2017	Writ petition FILED against order dated 21/2/2012 passed by the G S Rosha under Clause 2 of Contract Agreement between M/s Dhandi Builders Ltd. respect of construction of 96 Nos. Flats of Cat-II (Group-III) in Sector 51-A, Chandigarh.	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD VS M/S DHANDI BUILDERS LTD	Arguments	25 Nov 2024
2	ARB/304/2019	For appointment of an Arbitrator to Adjudicate Disputes between the Petitioner and the Respondents pertaining to Contract for Construction of 160 2BR Category-II Composite Work	B L MEHTA CONSTRUCTIONS PVT LTD VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ANR	Arguments	25 Nov 2024
3	CWP/29966/2024	Petition filed by the petitioner under Article 226 227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Certiorari for quashing of the notice dated 11-10-2024 issued to the petitioner whereby the cancellation order of allotment of Fiat no.2642-3 Small Flats Sector 49 Chandigarh has been issued and the respondent no 2 is trying to dispossess the petitioner from the said premises being wrong illegal and unjust in the eyes of law, in the interest of justice AND Further issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondent no 2 not to dispossess the petitioner from the said premises as the said flat is the only residential roof on his head AND It is further prayed that issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent no2 to allow the petitioner to pay the balance amount in installments AND Further the proceedings of the impugned notice dated 11-10-2024 issued by the respondent no.2 may kindly be stayed during the pendency of the present petition in the interest of justice	ARJUN KUMAR VS UT CHD. AND ANOTHER	Court has given time to petitioner for depositing the balance amount of the flat	25 Nov 2024
4	CWP/12512/2019	Society Matter.	THE NIRVANA COOPERATIVE HOUSE BUILDING FIRST SOCIETY LTD AND ORS VS UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH	Arguments	26 Nov 2024

			AND OTHERS		
5	CWP/2335/2021	As decided by Worthy CM,CHB to challenge the court orders dated 8.2.2020 passed by the Hon'ble Permanent Lok Adalat, UT, Chandigarh in App/1016/2018 titled as Anand Mishra Vs CHB, (D/Unit No.2211-A, 2BR, Sector 63, Chandigarh) in favour of the petitioner because the Hon'ble PLA Court has taken a view that there is not justified delay of project of Sector 63 and awarded relief to the applicant i.e. One lakh compensation to the applicant for causing delay of possession and also awarded Rs.10,000/- towards mental agony/ harassments and Rs.10,000/- as litigation expenses.	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD CHD VS ANAND MISHRA AND ANR	Arguments	26 Nov 2024
6	CWP/793/2023	Petition filed by one Smt. Parveen Kumari before Honorable High Court of Punjab and Haryana for quashing the order passed by the Property Allotment Committee order dated 07-08-2019 whereby the Appellant Authority has affirmed the order of PAC and order dated 08-04-2022 passed by the Court of the Advisor to the Administrator U T Chandigarh whereby upheld the order passed by the appellate authority being illegal arbitrary unconstitutional and against the cannon of fundamental right of the petitioner Further for directing the respondents to allot the dwelling unit to the petitioner under the Oustees Scheme as the petitioner has been duly authorized by all the legal heirs of the Oustees by way of submitting the relinquishment affidavit as per the specification of the respondents	PARVEEN KUMARI VS UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH AND ORS.	Reply filed/ for arguments	26 Nov 2024
7	CR/3577/2023	The copy of Civil Revision Petition filed by the petitioner under Article 227 of the Constitution of India with the prayer that order dated 05-05-2023 passed by learned Additional Civil Judge Chandigarh in case Civil Suit No CS of 2647 of 2018 titled as Bhim Singh versus Ved Rani and others which suit is now pending for 26-05-2023 vide which order the application filed by the petitioner plaintiff for summoning of witnesses in rebuttal evidence has been dismissed may kindly be set aside the said order being illegal against law and facts of the case arbitrary against the principles on natural justice thus unsustainable and liable to be set aside the application filed by the petitioner plaintiff for summoning the witnesses in evidence dated 18-04-2023 may kindly be ordered to be allowed in the interest of justice AND For issuance of any other orders or directions as this Honorable Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the present case The Civil Suit No.CS/2647/2018 earlier filed by the petitioner before the Addl Civil Judge Chandigarh was defended by the CHB through the Counsel Sh Harinder Kumar Aurora Advocate	BHIM SINGH @ BHIM CHAND VS VED RANI AND OTHERS	Arguments	26 Nov 2024
8	CWP/12706/2015	Writ Petition filed by the subject cited petitioner for quashing the letter dated 28.06.2012 issued by Respondent No.3 whereby respondent No.3 has invited the names of the persons junior to the petitioners for the purpose of regularization of their services and also the letter dated 26.4.2013 whereby the respondents have rejected the claim of the petitioners for considering their names for the purpose of regularization of their services over and above the persons junior to them and further to quash the Office Order No.16 Dated 9.7.2013 as well as Office Order No.6 dated 5.2.2015 passed by the Respondent No.5 whereby persons junior to the petitioners have been brought on Work Charge (Regular) Cadre, as the action of the respondents are totally arbitrary, illegal, discriminatory and in violation of recommendations	MOHINDER SINGH & ANR VS THE CHAIRMAN CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD & ORS	Arguments	27 Nov 2024

		of the screening committee as well as of Article 14 of the Constitution of India; AND also directing the respondents to place the petitioners as Junior Technician Work Charge (Regular) Cadre keeping in view the recommendation of screening Committee i.e. Annexure P 1 from the date the persons mentioned in Order Annexure P 10 and P 13 has been brought on Work Charge (Regular Cadre; AND Stay the further process of placing the persons junior to the petitioners in Work Charge (Regular) Cadre during the pending of the instant writ petitioner			
9	CWP/26186/2017	(Oustees Matter) CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing the impugned order dated 07.11.2017 issued by the Accounts Officer, Chandigarh Housing Board, Respondent No.3 rejecting the application Form No.1101 of the petitioner by the Property Allotment Committee Respondent No.4 for MIG(Two Bed Rooms) Flats under the “263 Flats for oustees of U.T., Chandigarh on lease hold basis/free hold basis under the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling units of the Oustees of Chandigarh, Scheme 1996” and writ of mandamus directing the respondent No.3 and 4 to allot the MIG (Two Bed Rooms) Flats, in Sector 51-A, Chandigarh as action of the of Respondent No.3 and 4 rejecting the application Form No.1101 of the petitioner is illegal, null and void, discriminatory and against the scheme and pass any other direction or order as this Hon’ble Court may deemed fit in the circumstances of the case.. Also it is further prayed that the during the pendency of the writ petition, one flat of MIG (Two Bed Rooms) Flats may kindly be kept reserved and the same may not be allotted to any person till the matter is decided by this Hon’ble Court.	AJIT SINGH VS UNION TERRITORY, CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	Arguments	27 Nov 2024
10	CWP/6413/2020	Petition filed by the subject cited petitioner for directing the respondents to implement the pension scheme for the petitioners and all other serving and retired employees who joined the service of the Chandigarh Housing Board before 01.01.2004, expeditiously in the time bond manner particularly when it has already resolved in its 345th meeting held on 03.02.2009 and also in its various subsequent meeting to implement the pension scheme at part with the employees of the Chandigarh Administration, who are governed by the Punjab Civil Services Rules and for further directions to immediately release the pensionary benefits to the employees who have already retired from the Chandigarh Housing Board.	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD EMPLOYEES COORDINATION COMMITTEE AND OTHERS VS UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS	Time given to the Chandigarh station for consideration of the grievances of the petitioners.	27 Nov 2024
11	CM/8645-CWP/2023	CM Filed by the petitioner in CWP No.2309 of 2014 for modification and clarification of orders	GROUND FLOOR RESIDENTS IN DUPLEX FLATS WELFARE ASSOCIATION VS CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR UT & ORS	Arguments	27 Nov 2024

12	CWP/8316/2016	CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing the impugned order dated 31.03.2016 ordering Premature Retirement at the age of 46 years as a measure of punishment , stigmatic and punitive in nature, contrary to law; and for retraining the respondents from compulsory retiring the petitioner, as a measure of punishment, except in due course of law by holding an enquiry and granting an opportunity of hearing to lead his part of the defence evidence in such proceedings, in accordance with law; and further by passing an ad-interim order, the operation of the impugned show cause notice dated 31.03.2016, the virtual orders of premature retirement be stayed, during the pendency of the writ petition.	URWASHI KOUL VS CHD HOUSING BOARD & ORS	Arguments	28 Nov 2024
13	CWP/1076/2019	(The Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006) Writ Petition filed for setting aside the order dated 20.04.2018 passed by the appellate authority-cum-CEO, CHB and directing the respondents to allow the petitioner to apply under the Chandigarh Small Flat Scheme 2006 for which he is fully eligible and is legally entitled. And further prayed for directing the respondent No.3 to consider the case of the petitioner for allotment of a small flat under the Small Flat Scheme 2006 within a period of one month.	BALI KARAN VS UT CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	Arguments	28 Nov 2024
14	CWP/12718/2023	Industrial Conversion case	PREET MOHINDER KOHLI VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND OTHERS	Arguments	28 Nov 2024
15	CWP/23923/2023	For Quashing the impugned order date 11.4.2023 passed by the Permanent Lok Adalat.	RAJBIR VS THE CHAIRMAN CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	Arguments	28 Nov 2024
16	CWP/21879/2023	TO ISSUE DIRECTIONS TO ALLOT FLAT UNDER OUSTEES SCHEME	JASBIR SINGH VS UNION TERRITORY CHD AND ORS.	Arguments	28 Nov 2024
17	CWP/4492/2017	Reg. allotment of house/flats to the Kashmiri Migrant who were eligible for allotment of houses/flats	MEENA ARORA VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION THR HOME SECRETARY UT CHD & ORS	Arguments	29 Nov 2024

18	CR/1731/2020	Challenge the legality and propriety of the impugned order dt. 17.12.2019 whereby the learned Arbitrator has fixed a separate fee for filing a Counter Claim and order dated 13.02.2020 whereby an amount of Rs.10.00 Lac has additionally been imposed or directed to be deposited by the petitioner due to non-payment of fees assessed for counter-claim.	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD VS M/S B.L. MEHTA CONSTRUCTION PRIVATE LTD AND ANR	Arguments	29 Nov 2024
19	CWP/7806/2022	Regarding payment of Unearned Increase in respect of Flat No1403-1 Category- A Sector 49 - PUSH PAC Coop House Building Societies	VINOD KUMAR SHARMA VS THE CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Arguments	29 Nov 2024
20	CWP/14202/2022	The Petition has been filed by the petitioner for directing the respondents to allot Dwelling Unit-Flat to the petitioners under the Slum Dwellers Rehabilitation Scheme - The Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006 against the demolition of Jhuggi-Hut No 426-2 Colony No 5 Sector 45-C Chandigarh without any further delay	GEETA AND ORS VS STATE OF UT CHD AND ORS	Arguments	29 Nov 2024

Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon’ble District Court, UT Chandigarh from 23.11.2024 to 29.11.2024

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	CS CJ/1937/2016	Civil Suit for allotment/transfer of DU No. 6756-A, Sector 56, Chandigarh in the name of legal heirs under Dr. Ambedkar Awas Yojna-2009.	REKHA RANI VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION	Defence evidence	25 Nov 2024
2	CS CJ/930/2020	The petitioner has filed civil suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendant No.1 from changing the basis structure or making any sort of alteration in the suit property i.e. h.no.2238, sector 40-C (EWS category), Chandigarh and suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant No.2 to dismental the illegal alteration made by tbhe defendant No.1 and also to impose upon penalty for raing illegal construction/alteration in the suit property .	SHIV KUMAR VS INDER KUMAR	Evidence	25 Nov 2024
3	CS CJ/1012/2022	Civil Suit No. CSCJ/1012/ 2022 titled as Krishan Lal vs Chandigarh Housing Board (DU No. 659, Phase-II, Ram Darbar, Chandigarh)	KRISHAN LAL VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	25 Nov 2024

4	CS CJ/4350/2023	CSCJ/4350/2023 Anmol Gill VS General Public H No 5618 Sector 38 West Chandigarh PUC is a summons to appear in the subject cited court case received in this office on 08/01/2024 alongwith the copy of petition copy attached may kindly be perused please The case is fixed for hearing on 15/01/2024 at 10 00 am before the Hon ble Court of Civil Judge Jr Div Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the Suit for declaration to the effect that plaintiffs is the sole and absolute owner-in-possession of H No 5618 Sector 38 West Chandigarh on the basis of natural succession being the only Class1 surviving legal heir of Late Amarbir singh Gil And Suit for Mandatory Injunction directing the defendant No 2 to transfer the 100 share of HNo 5618 Sector 38 West Chandigarh in favour of the Plaintiff on the basis of natural succession being the only class/1 surviving legal heir of Late Amarbir singh Gill allottee of the above stated house	ANMOL GILL VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Defence evidence	25 Nov 2024
5	CS CJ/2006/2016	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff seeking transfer of properties i.e. DU No. 1238, Sector 43-B, Chandigarh 14th share in agriculture land on WILL basis.	INDU MUNJAL VS CHAIRMAN CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Consideration	26 Nov 2024
6	CS CJ/733/2020	Plaintiff is the exclusive owner to the extent 100 share of DUnit No2091 CategoryII Sector 44A Chandigarh as left by her motherSmtInderjeet Kaur now deceased widow of ShMohinder Pal Singh	SUKHDEEP KAUR VS RAMNEEK KAUR	Reply And Consideration	26 Nov 2024
7	CS CJ/843/2018	Civil Suit NoCS8432018 Titled as Rahul Sharma vs Abhishek Sharma Another Transfer of 50 share of DU No 2228 Sector 45C CHD	RAHUL SHARMA VS ABHISHEK SHARMA	Evidence	26 Nov 2024
8	CS CJ/244/2022	Civil Suit No CSCJ 244 2022 Rajesh Dubey Versus Chandigarh Housing Board Another DU No 2635 Rehabilitation Colony Mauli Jagran	RAJESH DUBEY VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Arguments.	26 Nov 2024
9	CS CJ/261/2023	CSCJ/261/2023, Harmesh Goyal VS Chandigarh Housing Board, Booth No 269, Manimajra.	HARMESH GOYAL VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Arguments.	26 Nov 2024
10	CIVIL MISC/489/2022	CM/489/2022, Lakhbir Kaur V Jagdip Kaur without copy of petition	LAKHBIR KAUR VS JAGDIP KAUR	Consideration	26 Nov 2024
11	MCA DJ/95/2023	MCA DJ/95/2023 Jasbir Kaur Vs Chandigarh Housing Board, HU No 5680 MHC Sector 13 Chandigarh PUC is a summons to appear in the subject cited court case received in this office on 16/08/2023 alongwith the copy of petition copy attached in right window may kindly be perused please The case is fixed for hearing on 16/08/2023 in the Hon ble District Court of Sh Rajeev K Beri Additional District Judge Chandigarh The Appellant has filed the Appeal U/s 54 2 of the Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 as extended to Chandigarh read with Section 151 CPC for the stay of the operation of impugned order dated 18/07/2023 A 4 passed by the respondent No2 whereby the eviction of the appellant has been	JASBIR KAUR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Consideration	26 Nov 2024

		ordered from the premises ie DU NO 5680 MHC Sector 13 Chandigarh within one month from the date of receiving of the notice dated 18/07/2023 which was pasted at the house of the appellant hence the same be stayed during the pendency of the present appeal and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the said impugned order may also be stayed			
12	CA/119/2024	CA/112/2024 titled as Sushma Vs The Secretary Chandigarh Housing Board HNo 4901 Ground floor sector 38W Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed an Application under Section 54(2) of the Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 as extended to Chandigarh read with Section 151 of CPC for staying the impugned eviction order 17/07/2024 where by the respondent directed to vacate the small flat No 4901 Ground floor sector 38 W Chandigarh which was cancelled on 17/05/2023	SUSHMA VS THE SECRETARY	Reply And Consideration	26 Nov 2024
13	CA/2452/2018	CA/2452/2018 Appeal filed by the Appellant against judgement dated 31.10.2018 passed by Sh. Gurkiran Singh, CJD, Chandigarh whereby suit of the Appellant is partly decreed in respect of payment of flat sold vide Regn. No. 2337 allotted to him for refund of amount alongwith 12% interest - Flat No. 5151, MHC, Cat-I, Manimajra	RAM LAL ARYA VS VIJAY KUMAR	Arguments	27 Nov 2024
14	CS CJ/827/2019	Civil Suit has been filed by the plaintiff for declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is the owner of DU No. 2206, Sector 45-C, Chandigarh and further praying for temporary injunction against resumption order dated 17.10.2017 passed by EO-cum-Secretary, CHB as the allotted not removed the violations and appeal dismissed on 18.3.2019.	TARMINDER SINGH CHAUDHARY VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Arguments	27 Nov 2024
15	CS CJ/400/2021	In this matter the plaintiff had filed suit for declaration to the effect that the plaintiff and the defendant No.2 are co-owners of H.No.2156/1, Sector 40-C, Chandigarh being Class-I legal heirs of deceased Smt.Suridner Kanta who died on 6.12.2020 and for declaration to the effect that the Def.No.1 is not entitled to get any share in above house as he has been disowned by the deceased. And for mandatory injunction directing the defendant No.,1 to vacate and handover the vacant possession of house. And Suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendant No.3, its officials, representatives etc. be restrained from transferring the right/title of said house.	SANTOSH KUMAR VS SHIV KUMAR	Evidence	27 Nov 2024
16	CS CJ/1356/2021	Suit for declaration to the effect that plaintiff be declare owner in possession of house No. 4573, Maloya Colony, UT, Chandigarh on the basis of GPA, Agreement to sell, affidavits and Wills dated 20.08.1990, which was executed by the original allottee in favvour of the plaintiff.	GOONA DEVI @ SARASWATI VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Arguments	27 Nov 2024
17	MCA DJ/27/2021	Appeal u/s 54 of Haryana Housing Board Act, 1971 as extended to Chandigarh against Eviction order dated 04.04.2019 and order dated 19.07.2021 passed by Secretary, Chandigarh Housing Board, Exercising the powers of Competent Authority under Chapter VI of Haryana Housig Board Act, 1971 as Extended to U.T. of Chandigarh vide which appellant was ordered to vacate the said premises	REKHA DEVI VS HOUSING BOARD CHANDIGARH	Arguments	27 Nov 2024
18	MISC DJ/10/2023	MISC DJ/10/2023 Vidya Sagar Vs Angelina Gill HNo 4782/2 Sector 38West Chandigarh PUC is a summons to appear in the subject cited court case received in this office on 21/04/2023 without the copy of	VIDYA SAGAR VS ANGELINA GILL	Notice And Record	27 Nov 2024

		petition copy attached may kindly be perused please The case is fixed for hearing on 12/04/2024 before the Honble Court of Additional District Judge Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the Application for restoration of Appeal CIS NO CA/75/2020 Titled as Vidya Sagar Vs Angelina Gill Ors Dismissed in default vide order dated 07/12/2022 by the Court of Sh Dr Rajneesh A D J Chandigarh and also filed Appeal against judgment and decree dated 20/01/2020 of Smt Saloni Gupta HCS Civil Judge Jr Division Chandigarh to set aside the decree and judgment dated 20/01/2020 and dismiss the suit of the plaintiffs respondents The copy of petition has been sent by the Counsel Sh Rajat Pabbi vide email dated 23/01/2024 copy attached The Counsel Sh Rajat Pabbi has filed the Memo of Appearance on the last date before the Hon ble Court			
19	CS CJ/1234/2019	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff to record the ownership of the plaintiff to transfer the extent of 8.33% share in respect of DU No. 1014, Sector 43-B, Chandigarh.	PRANAV SIKRI VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	28 Nov 2024
20	CS CJ/2040/2020	The plaintiff has filed suit for declaration as she is the only class-II legal heir of deceased Sh.Faqir Chand allottee of flat No.2553, Ram Darbar,Chd. for transferring of said flat in her name	SHAKUNTLA DEVI VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Arguments	28 Nov 2024
21	MCA DJ/27/2018	MCADJ/27/2018 Sona Singh Sona Rani VS Manohar H NO 5371/1 Category IV PhaseII Manimajra UT ChandigarH The Plaintiff has filed the Appeal against the order dated 22/03/2018 passed by the court of Ms. Saloni Gupta Civil Judge Jr Divn Chandigarh in suit titled as Manohar and others Vs Mohinder and others whereby the application for setting aside the exparte proceedings passed against the appellant has been dismissed For acceptance of the appeal setting aside the order under appeal and for allowing the application for setting aside the exparte proceedings filed by the appellant and for allowing the appellant/defendant no 2 to join the proceedings of the suit and to contest the same The case was fixed for hearing on 08/02/2024 before the Hon ble Court of Civil Judge Jr Div Chandigarh The Civil Suit and Civil Appeal has been defended on behalf of Chandigarh Housing Board by Ms Geeta Gulati and CA/495/2018 is Currently Attended by the Counsel Ms Geeta Gulati So the Same counsel is well informed about this case	SONA SINGH @ SONA RANI VS MANOHAR	Notice And Record	28 Nov 2024
22	CS CJ/32/2022	Civil Suit for Declaration for declaring the plaintiffs owners of House No. 3441, Sector-46/C, Chandigarh. Further suit for Mandatory Injunction directing the defendant to transfer the lease of House No. 3441, Sector-46/C, Chandigarh on the name of plaintiffs after fulfilling the required formalities.	NEETI VASHISHT VS HOUSING BOARD CHANDIGARH	Defence evidence	28 Nov 2024
23	CS CJ/243/2018	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for mandatory injunction directing CHB for remove/demolish illegal/unauthorized construction in respect of DU No. 796/41-A, Chandigarh.	SARBJIT SINGH HIRA VS SECRETARY, CHANDIGARH	Defence evidence	29 Nov 2024

			HOUSING BOARD		
24	CS CJ/1858/2017	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer the ownership of DU No. 3334, Sector 47-D, Chandigarh on the basis of GPA of Def. No. 2 (Attar Singh) thereby entering into an agreement to sell.	HARISH GUPTA VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Arguments	29 Nov 2024
25	CS CJ/2083/2019	Civil suit filed by the plintiff regarding transfer of DU No. 417/1, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh on the basis of WILL.	KIRPAL KAUR VS THE CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION	Rebuttall/ Arguments	29 Nov 2024
26	CS CJ/170/2022	Suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendants their agents, representatives, etc. with regard to asking of additional amount of Rs. 4,92,268/- in respect of Booth No. 9, Sector-46, Chandigarh, as on 17.08.2021 from the plantiff.	JASPAL SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	29 Nov 2024
27	CS CJ/786/2022	CSCJ/786/ 2022 titled as Col M.K. Khorana (Retd) vs Kulbhushan Aggarwal.(Regarding Dwelling unit 5115-5120, Second Floor, Mordern Housing Complex, Sector 13, Chandigarh.	COL M K KHORANA (RETD) VS KULBHUSHAN AGGARWAL	Reply And Consideration	29 Nov 2024
28	CS CJ/1421/2023	CSCJ/1421/2023 Mamta Vs The Secretary CHB, DU No 2818/1, Sector 47-C, Chandigarh	MAMTA VS THE SECRETARY	Reply And Consideration	29 Nov 2024
29	EXE/436/2023	B L MEHTA CONSTRUCTIONS P LTD vs CHANIDGARH HOUSING BOARD for the work construction of 1600 small flats Group-IV at Dhanas, Chandigarh	B L MEHTA CONSTRUCTIONS P LTD VS CHANIDGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	29 Nov 2024
30	CS CJ/783/2024	CSCJ/783/2024 Sonia Parmar Vs Ajay Kumar H No3261/1 Sector 45/D Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the SUIT for DECLARATION to the effect that Will dated 21/07/2014 allegedly executed by late Baldev Singh Son of late Sh Onkar Chand, (entered at Serial No 625 Book no 3 Volume No 312 Page No 189 on 21/07/2014 in the office of Sub Registrar UT Chandigarh is a forged fabricated and fictitious document with consequential relief that consequent transfer if any has taken place in favour of defendant No 1 in respect of suit properties ie booth No 2 Sadar Bazar Sector 19 Chandigarh and House No 3261/1 Sector 45/D Chandigarh in the records of defendant No 2 or defendant No3 respectively on the basis of above forged fabricated and fictitious Will dated 21/07/2014 are invalid and noest And Suit for permanent injunction restraining defendant no 1 from creating any third party interest in any manner in respect of suit properties ie Booth No2 Sadar Bazar Sector 19 Chandigarh and House no 3261/1 Sector 45d Chandigarh on the basis of above forged fabricated and fictitious Will dated 21/07/2014 And Suit for permanent injunction restraining defendant No 2 and 3 from changing record of rights in respect of suit	SONIA PARMAR VS AJAY KUMAR	Written Statement	29 Nov 2024

		<p>properties ie Booth No2 Sadar Bazar Sector 19 Chandigarh and House No 3261/1 Sector 45D Chandigarh in favour of defendant no1 on the basis of forged and fabricated Will dated 21/07/2014 allegedly executed by late Baldev Singh entered at Serial No 625 Book No 3 Volume No 312 Page No 189 on 21/07/2014 in the office of Sub Registrar UT Chandigarh if transfer in favour of defendant no 1 has not yet taken place And Suit for Declaration to the effect that plaintiff no1 plaintiff no2 and defendant No1 are equally entitled to succeed to 100 share of late Sh Baldev Singh son of Onkar Chand in suit properties ie Booth No2 Sadar Bazar Sector19 Chandigarh nd House No 3261/1 Sector 45D Chandigarh on account of intestate demise of late Sh Baldev Singh son of Onjar chand with consequential relied of Decree of Mandatory injunction directing defendant No2 and defendant No3 to enter and record equal entitlement of plaintiff no 1 plaintiff no 2 with defendant no 1 to the extent of 1/3 rd share each in respect of 100 share of late Sh Baldev Singh son of Onkar Chand in suit properties ie Booth No 2 Sadar Bazar Sector 19 Chandigarh and House No 3261/1 Sector 45/D Chandigarh in their records of rights with further consequential relief for partition and separate possession of suit properties ie Booth No 2 Sadar Bazar Sector 19 Chandigarh and House No 3261/1 Sector 45D Chandigarh by meets and bounds in favour of plaintiffs and defendant No 1 as per their share and in case partition is not feasible or permissible the suit properties i.e. Booth No2 Sadar Bazar Sector 19 Chandigarh and House No 3261/1 Sector 45D Chandigarh may be put to open auction and sale proceeds may be distributed amongst plaintiffs and defendant No 1 as per their sharesAnd Suit for recovery of damages/mense profit 60,000 per month wef 01/04/2024 to till dated of partition of suit properties or its sale in open auction on account of user/occupation of suit properties by defendant No1 Earlier the case fixed before the Hon ble District Court on 18/05/2024 and memo of appearance was given by Advocate Sh Harpreet Singh Sudan on behalf of Chandigarh Housing Board</p>			
31	CS CJ/727/2024	<p>CSCJ/727/2024 Rajat Sharma Vs Suresh Kumar HNo 948/1 Sector 40/A Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the SUIT for Declaration to the effect that the plaintiffs being the sole survivinig Classl Legal Heirs of Late Sh Mangat Rai their father who died intestate on 05 May 2018 are entitled to succeed to the estate of their father late Sh Mangat RaiAnd Suit for specific performance of the agreement to sell dated 10/10/1991 in respect of House No 948/1 Sector 40/A Chandigarh as executed by Sh Mangat Rai since deceased father of the plaintiffs with defendant no1 being General Power of Attorney of Narender Pal Singh Under 7 Rule 1 CPC</p> <p>Suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant no 2 to execute and transfer the lease rights in respect of House No 948/1 Sector 40/A Chandigarh in favour of the plaintiffs being the sole surviving legal heirs of Late Sh Mangat Rai</p>	<p>RAJAT SHARMA VS SURESH KUMAR</p>	<p>Reply And Consideration</p>	<p>29 Nov 2024</p>
32	CA/108/2024	CA/108/2024 Kamrus Bai vs The Secretary of Chandigarh Housing Board HNo 72/A Dhanas Chandigarh	KAMRUS BAI VS	Reply And	29 Nov 2024

		The Plaintiff has filed an Application for stay of the order daTed 11/07/2024 passed by the Secretary of Chandigarh Housing Board under the Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 Chandigarh Housing Board And Filed an Appeal against the impugned eviction order dated 10/07/2024 dispatched on 11/07/2024 passed by Secretary, Chandigarh housing Board vide which DU No 72/A Dhanas Chandigarh belonging to appellant has been cancelled and eviction of the appellant has been ordered in most illegal and arbitrary manner	SECRETARY OF CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Consideration	
33	MISC DJ/418/2024	Misc DJ/418/2024 titled as Brijpal Vs Shashi Bala Pathak HNo 2702/1 Sector 44/C ChandigarH The Plaintiff has filed an Appeal u/s 104 read with order XLVII 1 d of CPC against dated 19/03/2024 passed by the Ld Court of Sh Ajay PCS Civil Judge Jr Division Chandigarh Dismissing the application order 9 rule 13 read with section 151 of CPC praying for setting aside of the exparte judgment& decree passed in civil suit on 31/03/2017	BRIJPAL VS SHASHI BALA PATHAK	Notice And Record	29 Nov 2024
34	CS CJ/741/2024	CSCJ/741/2024 Varinder Sharma vs Vinod Kumar others HNo.3955 Sector 47/D Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed an Suit for declaration to the effect that transfer of property ie 100 share of House no 3955 Sector 47/d Chandigarh on the basis of Will dated 05/12/2006 executed by Late Sh Tirath Ram grant father of plaintiffs wherebt the grand father of plaintiffs declared that the plaintiffs are the owner of the properties acquired and owned by him And Suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendant no 1 from further salem alienate mortgage third party interest over the property ie House no 3955 Sector 47/D Chandigarh to any third person in any manner during the pendency of present suit AND Suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant no 2 to transfer the owner ship of the House no 3955 Sector 47/d Chandigarh in the name of plaintiffs in equal shares on the basis of Will as mentioned above Any other order or direction which this Hon ble Court may deem fit be passed in favor of the plaintiff	VARINDER SHARMA VS VINOD KUMAR	Reply And Consideration	29 Nov 2024
35	CS CJ/1523/2024	CSCJ/1523/2024 Gurnam Singh vs Rajesh Kumar Gupta HNo 3220 Sector 40 D Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed an Suit for declaration to the effect that the GPA executed by Sh Raghubir Singh registered at Sr No 3620 Book No 4 Volume No 157 Page No 58 on 28 July 1994 in the office of Sub Registrar Chandigarh in favour of Sh Rajesh Kumar Gupta is a Legal valid and genuine GPA and thereafter Sh Rajesh Kumar Gupta further executed Sub General Power of Attorney in favour of Sh Gurnam Singh registered at Sr No 86 Book No 4 Volume No 274 on 11 April 2005 in the office of Sub Registrar Chandigarh is a legal valid and genuine Sub GPA and on the basis of said SubGPA dated 11/04/2005 Sh Gurnam Singh has become the sole and exclusive owner of Cheap House no 3220 Sector 40 D Chandigarh wef 11/04/2005 AND Suit for Mandatory Injunction directing the defendants No 3 4 to transfer the ownership of Cheap House No 3220 Sector 40D Chandigarh in favour of plaintiff And filed an Application under Section 80(2) CPC for exemption from issuance of advance Notice to the defendant no 3 4	GURNAM SINGH VS RAJESH KUMAR GUPTA	Notice and record	29 Nov 2024
36	CA/109/2024	CA/109/2024 Nanhakau Vs The Secretary of Chandigarh Housing Board Dwelling unit no 36/3 Sector 39 Maloya Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed an APPEAL against the impugned eviction order dated	NANHAKAU VS SECRETARY OF	Arguments	29 Nov 2024

		26/06/2024 dispatched on 27/06/2024 passed by Secretary, Chandigarh Housing Board vide which small flat hno 36/3 Sector 39 maloya Chandigarh belonging to appellant has been cancelled and eviction of the appellant has been ordered, in most illegal and arbitrary manner And CLAIM IN APPEAL for setting aside the order dated 02/07/2024 passed by Secretary Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh and acceptance of appeal with costs	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD		
37	CS CJ/176/2019	CSCJ1762019 titled as Roma vs Prem Devi Suit for declaration that plaintiff No 1 2 defendant no 1 are owner of one fourth share each in equal share and Plaintiff no 3 and Performa defendants who are legal heirs of Pardeep Kumar are owners one fourth share of the House No 2660 Ground Floor Sector44C Chandigarh	ROMA VS PREM DEVI	Reply And Consideration	29 Nov 2024
38	MISC DJ/740/2024	The Plaintiffs have filed an appeal under Section 9 of the Public Premises Act of 1971 Eviction of Unauthorised Occupants Act of 1971 for setting aside order dated 11/10/2024 passed by the respondent and further directed the respondent to re-allot Flat No 2618/2 Sector 49 Chandigarh which has been rejected/ cancelled (due to non-deposit of license fee It is further prayed that the appellants be permitted to deposit the due license fee Claim in Appeal To accept the appeal and to set aside the order passed by the respondent	RAM KISHAN VS THE SECRETARY	Reply And Consideration	29 Nov 2024

Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon’ble Permanent Lok Adalat PUS, Chandigarh from 23.11.2024 to 29.11.2024

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	APPLICATION/17/2024	The Plaintiff has filed the application for directing the respondents to transfer Dwelling Unit /Flat 2113-C 3rd Floor Sector - 63 Chandigarh in the name of applicant	Yogul Kapur VS Chandigarh Housing Board and others	Reply filed	25 Nov 2024
2	APPEAL/22/2023	Application no 22 of 2023 Rajbeer Vs CHB Others H No A-576 Nehru Colony Sector 53 Kajheri U T Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the Application U s 22-C of State Legal Services Authority Act 1987 for directing the respondents to allot the residential dwelling unit under the Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006 Chandigarh Small Flats amendment Scheme 2009 in lieu of Jhuggi House No A-276 Nehru Colony Sector 53 Kajheri UT Chandigarh as the applicant is fully eligible and entitled to the allotment of the dwelling units as per the above said scheme	Rajbeer VS CHB and others	Reply filed	26 Nov 2024
3	APPLICATION/25/2024	The Plaintiff has filed the Misc application for restraining the Respondent authorities from taking any	Kulwant Singh	Reply yet to be	29 Nov 2024

		coercive action against the applicant on the basis of the notices dated 17-05-2024 and 05-06-2024 and for issuing any further similar notices for inspection of the dwelling unit house No 5469 Sector 38-West Chandigarh of the applicant during the pendency of the present Petition And also filed the Application w/s 22-C of Legal Services Authorities Act 1987 as amended Up-To-Date for the settlement of dispute between the parties to the application and to direct the respondent-Department to refrain from issuing notices for inspection and for conducting inspection thereto of the dwelling house of the applicant for any need based changes done by the applicant as the notification dated 03-01-2023 has already been issued thereby allowing the additional construction and need based changes of the dwelling units And Further prayer that the respondents be directed to immediately implement the order/ notification dated 03-01-2023 and to allow / permit the applicant to get his additional construction and need based changes regularized after getting the requisite fee to be calculated as per clause by of order dated 03-01-2023	Rana VS Chandigarh Housing Board and Others	filed	
4	APPLICATION/21/2024	The Plaintiff has filed the application for temporary injunction restraining the Respondents from conducting any inspection of dwelling unit of applicant as regularization/additional construction is lawful in terms of order/policy dated 03-01-2023 and the applicant has already applied for the same till the decision of this Honourable court (House No 5572, Sector 38-West Chandigarh)	Bhupinder Puri VS Chandigarh Housing Board and Others (House No. 5572, Sector 38-West, Chandigarh)	Reply yet to be filed	29 Nov 2024
5	APPLICATION/20/2024	The Plaintiff has filed the application for temporary injunction restraining the Respondents from conducting any inspection of dwelling unit of applicant as regularization/additional construction is lawful in terms of order/policy dated 03-01-2023 and the applicant has already applied for the same, till the decision of this Honourable court (House No 5550 Sector 38-West Chandigarh)	Manider Singh Patti VS Chandigarh Housing Board and Others (House No. 5550, Sector 38-West, Chandigarh)	Reply yet to be filed	29 Nov 2024