

## Previous list of court cases pending before high court of Punjab and Haryana from 14.12.2024 to 20.12.2024

Sno	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date	Next date of hearing
1.	CWP/23681/2017	(Oustees Matter) CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing impugned action dated 13.09.2017 holding the petitioner ineligible only on the ground that the land was acquired before 01.11.1966 while this benefit is being extended to all other oustees whose land was acquired and struck down the clause which restrict the benefit only to the oustees whose house was acquired being discriminatory and for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to consider the petitioner application form No.1519 for allotment of flat under Oustees Scheme 2017 (Three Bed Room) for the petitioner whose father's land was acquired for development of Phase-II of Chandigarh in the year 1964.	ANJU MITTAL VS UT OF CHANDIGARH AND ORS.	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	17 Dec 2024	01.05.2025
2.	CWP/15226/2019	For directing the respondent authorities to allot one flat to the petitioners under the Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006.	VIJAY PAL VERMA AND ANR VS THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY-CUM-CEO CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ANR	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	17 Dec 2024	01.05.2025
3.	RSA/459/2020	DU No. 3918, 47-D, Chandigarh (Restore and upheld the Judgements dt. 07.12.2017 passed by Civil Judge and 08.08.2019 passed by District and Session Judge)	HANS RAJ MALHOTRA VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	17 Dec 2024	13.01.2025
4.	CWP/17414/2024	<p>Writ Petition it is found that the petitioner has filed the petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ in the nature of Certiorari quashing the notice orders dated 09-08-2023 -Annexure P-11- and dated 01-12-2023 - Annexure P-13- passed by the Respondent No3 and 4 As also for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents not to demolish the railing above the boundary wall As also for the issuance of any other writ order or direction which this Honorable Court may deem fit and necessary in the facts and circumstances of the present case</p> <p>It is also prayed that during the pendency of the present writ petition the operation of impugned notices-orders -Annexures P-11 and P-13- issued by respondent No3 and 4 may kindly be stayed</p>	RESIDENT WELFARE ASSOCIATION VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD THR CHAIRMAN AND OTHERS	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	17 Dec 2024	26.03.2025

5.	CWP/19145/2021	i. Providing installation, testing and commissioning of fire fighting system in 1216 small flats in Maloya-I, Chandigarh Gr.-III ii. Providing installation, testing and commissioning of fire fighting system in 1536 small flats in Maloya-I, Chandigarh Gr.-II	M/S HST ENTERPRISES VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ANOTHER	ARGUMENTS	18 Dec 2024	25.01.2025
6.	CWP/17229/2022	CWP filed for quashing the Award dated 17 November 2021 passed by Permanent Lok Adalat Public Utility Services Union Territory Chandigarh under Section 22-C-8 of the Legal Service Authority Act 1987 in Application No 67 of 2020 titled as Jagdish Singh Marwaha Versus The Accounts Officer IV Chandigarh Hosing Board and another	THE ACCOUNT OFFICER-IV, CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ANR. VS JAGDISH SINGH MARWAHA AND ANOTHER	ARGUMENTS	18 Dec 2024	09.04.2025
7.	CWP/6294/2024	Civil Writ Petition under Articles 227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of appropriate writ especially in the nature of Certiorari for setting aside the order dated 20-06-2023 passed by the Permanent Lok Adalat - Public Utility Services UT Chandigarh in Respondent no 3 application no 73 of 2021 as the property in question does not relate to the petitioner and comes under the jurisdiction of the Chandigarh Housing Board despite which the Petitioner has been made jointly and severally liable to make a refund of Rupees 252000 along with interest to Respondent no3 AND For issuance of further order - direction or writ especially in the nature of mandamus staying the operation of the impugned order dated 20-06-2023 during the pendency of the present petition before this Honorable Court.	UNION TERRITORY OF CHANDIGARH VS PERMANENT LOK ADALAT AND ORS	FRESH CASE FOR FILING REPLY	18 Dec 2024	26.03.2025
8.	CWP/30741/2024	Civil Writ Petition filed by the petitioner Sh Rachha Ram under article 226 of the constitution of India praying for issue a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the impugned notices dated 21-01.-008 vide which the allotment of dwelling unit/flat no 6586-C Sector-56 Chandigarh was cancelled on the ground of non-payment of monthly licence fee and impugned eviction notice dated 16-08-2024 issued by the respondent which are illegal unjust unfair contrary to the facts and circumstances of the case and as such liable to be set aside in the interest of justice AND It is further prayed that operation of the impugned notices dated 21.01.2008 and 16-08-2024 issued by respondent may kindly be stayed during the pendency of present writ petition before this Honorable Court AND-OR It is further prayed that the petitioner may kindly be allowed to take their belongings which were locked in the flat no 6586-C Sector-56 Chandigarh	RACHHA RAM VS SECRETARY CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	DRAFT REPLY PREPARED BY THE COUNSEL AND SENT TO HIM AFTER SIGNATURES TODAY I.E. ON 17.12.2024	18 Dec 2024	30.01.2025
9.	RSA/872/2018	The Petitioner had filed the subject cited RSA before Honorable High Court of Punjab and Haryana at U.T., Chandigarh for the allotment of a Flat Under Dr. Ambedkar Awas Yojna 2009 and her exclusion from eligible candidates is illegal, null and void. Further she sought relief that respondent be directed to consider the appellant as one of the successful candidate for the said allotment.	ANITA VS THE CHAIRMAN CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	ARGUMENTS	19 Dec 2024	11.02.2025

Previous List of court cases pending before Hon’ble National Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission from 07.12.2024 to 20.12.2024						
SNo..	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date	
1.	NC/CC/No.91/2017	(CC/910/2017) Consumer Case filed by the subject cited petitioner before Hon’ble NCDRC, New Delhi for directions to the Opposite Party to:- 1. Pay Rs..22,77,625/0- amount of refund alongwith interest @18% p.a. from the date of payment due till the realization of the amount; 2. Pay Rs.19,62,119/- which is total of the Pre-EMI* instalments paid to OP No3 till March 2016 without any reason but only at the behest of OP No.1 and 2; 3. Not to demand/deduct any Pre-EMI installments during the pendency of the present lis and to stay its payment as a interim as well as a final relief; 4. Pay Rs.13,00,000/- alongwith @18% interest as holding charges to be paid under Clause 9{c} of the Agreement; 5. Pay a sum of Rs.10,00,000/- on account of mental agony and harassment, traveling expenditure incurred by the complainant and Rs.77,000/- towards costs of litigation or 6. To pass any other orders or directions which this Hon’ble Forum may deem fit and appropriate in the present circumstances of the case.	Prerna Tondon and Anr. VS Parsvnath Developers and Anr	ARGUMENTS	18 Dec 2024	Adjourned

Previous List of court cases pending before Hon’ble State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission from 07.12.2024 to 20.12.2024						
S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date	
1.	CC/192/2023	Prayed that the opposite party may kindly be directed to pay a sum of Rs 5 Lakh to the complainant - the allottee of house no 237-B Sector 51-A Chandigarh- towards financial loss incurred by the damage of household material repair of frequent seepage litigation expenses for revival of house as well as present one also and compensation for unnecessary harassment physical and mental agony faced by the complainant due to leakage in the house which tantamount to deficiency in service and unfair trade practice	Daljit Kaur VS Chandigarh Housing Board	ARGUMENTS	17 Dec 2024	Adjourned

2.	CC/70/2024	Consumer Complaint filed by the petitioner under section 35 of the Consumer Protection Act 2019	Rajinder Chawla VS Chandigarh Housing Board	ARGUMENTS	20 Dec 2024	Adjourned
----	------------	---	---	-----------	-------------	-----------

**Previous List of Court Cases pending before Hon’ble District Court, UT Chandigarh from 14.12.2024 to 20.12.2024**

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date	
1	CS CJ/438/2018	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff -disputes between the parties regarding allotment DU No. 325 Sector 45-A, Chandigarh.	DES RAJ VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Lok Adalat	14 Dec 2024	Disposed
2	CS CJ/2149/2023	CSCJ/2149/ 2023 Harmeet Kaur Bhatia VS CHB H No 3127/3 Sector 44 D Chandigarh PUC dated 29 11 2023 may kindly be perused Vide PUC Civil Judge Junior Division Chandigarh forwarded the copy of Civil Suit copy attached filed by the plaintiff for the declaration of the plaintiffs as the true and rightful of the owner of the property i e H No 3127/3 Sector 44 D Chandigarh which was transferred to them through Registered General Power of Attorney dated 15/06/2007 along with the sale agreement It is further prayed that direction may kindly be issued to Defendant to transfer the property in the name of plaintiffs It is also further prayed that this Hon ble Court may be pleased to grant any other relief that this Hon ble Court may deem fit and proper in the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case	HARMEET KAUR BHATIA VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Notice And Record	14 Dec 2024	Disposed
3	CS CJ/826/2019	Suit filed by the plaintiff for declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is owner to the extent of 50% share in DU No. 2313, Sector 45-C, Chandigarh with consequential relief directing the def. No. 1 (CHB) to transfer 50% share of the said DU.	MEENU DHAWAN VS THE CHAIRMAN	Evidence	16 Dec 2024	24.02.2025
4	CS CJ/1075/2019	To restore DU No. 230, Sector 55, Chandigarh consonant with order dated 29.9.2006 whereby it was decided to restore the DU of the person who have given false staytment.	PREM KUMAR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Evidence	16 Dec 2024	06.03.2025
5	CA/34/2020	Appeal filed by the Appellant against decree dated 12.12.2019 passed by Ms. Meenakshi Gupta, CJJD, Chandigarh whereby suit of the appellant has been dismissed in respect of allot/tranfer of DU No. 413, sector 43-A, Chandigarh.	GURJEET KAUR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Arguments	16 Dec 2024	10.03.2025

6	<b>CS CJ/2299/2020</b>	The plaintiff has file an application U/s 39 Rule 1 and 2 read with Section 151 CPC for grant of ad-interim injunction by restraining the defendants to transfer the said D/Unit No.2919/1, HIG, Sector 42-C, Chandigarh in the name of any other Operson except the plaintiff during the pendency of the present suit.	LAKHBIR SINGH SETH VS MANJIT KAUR	Evidence	16 Dec 2024	04.02.2025
7	<b>C.S./719/2021</b>	the plaintiff has filed a Suit for a Decree for Possession (Symbolic) by way of the Specific performance of the Agreement to Sell dated 12.09.216 executed by the Defendant No.1 in favour of the Plaintiff, by directing the defendant No.1 to execute and get register the Sale Deed/Transfer Deed qua Buil-Up Booth No.242, RBL No.107, Shastri Market, Sector 22-C, Chandigarh in favour of the Plaintiff. AND for a Decree for Mandatory Injunction directing the Defendant No.2 and 3 to transfer the Built-up Booth No.242 RBL No.107, Shastri Market, Sector 22-C, Chandigarh favour of the Plaintiff by issuing a Re-Allotment letter and by executing Transfer Deed/Lease Deed in favour of the Plaintiff. And suit based on Oral as well as Documentary Evidence. Plaint under Order 7 Rule 1 CPC.	SUKHVINDER SINGH VS JASWINDER PAL SINGH	Reply And Consideration	16 Dec 2024	04.02.2025
8	<b>CS CJ/2223/2022</b>	CSCJ/2223/2022, GAURAV GABA Vs MOHAN GABA copy of petition missing	GAURAV GABA VS MOHAN GABA	Consideration	16 Dec 2024	15.02.2025
9	<b>CS CJ/545/2023</b>	CSCJ/545/2023 Sushma Bajaj vs Pushpa Kakkar@Susheela Kakkar DU No 2280/1, FF, Sector 45-C, Chandigarh	SUSHMA BAJAJ VS PUSHPA KAKKAR @ SUSHEELA KAKKAR	Reply And Consideration	16 Dec 2024	13.02.2025
10	<b>CS CJ/1191/2023</b>	CSCJ/1191/2023 Manjit Singh Vs Verinder Kaur, Du No 2952, Sector 42-C, Chandigarh	MANJIT SINGH VS VERINDER KAUR	Reply And Consideration	16 Dec 2024	19.02.2025
11	<b>CA/192/2024</b>	The Appellant has filed an application for staying the operation of order dated 04-10-2024 received on 09-10-2024 during the pendency of the present appeal.  And filed an appeal under section 54 of the Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 (as extended to UT Chandigarh) against the impugned order passed by respondent vide endst no 905 dated 04-10-2024 received on 09-10-2024 whereby the Respondent illegally and arbitrarily cancelled the allotment of Flat No 251 C, Small Flats, Phase 2, Ramdarbar, Chandigarh	RUPESH KUMAR VS SECRETARY-CUM- COMPETENT AUTHORITY	Reply And Consideration	16 Dec 2024	19.12.2024
12	<b>MCA DJ/66/2024</b>	The Appellant has filed an appeal under section 54 1 of the Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 against the order dated 18.10.2024 passed by the secretary Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh or respondent NO.1 whereby the allotment of small flat no. 2689 floor 3 sector 49 Chandigarh has been cancelled. AND filed a claim in appeal for setting aside the impugned order dated 18.10.2024 passed by the secretary Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh and acceptance of appeal with costs.	Ashok Kumar VS Secretary-cum- competent authority		16 Dec 2024	06.02.2025

13	<b>PROB/34/2023</b>	Probate Case copy attached filed by plaintiff for the declaration that Registered WILL dated 09-02-2018 executed by Late Smt Sudesh Rani Sudesh Sharma W/o Sh Ashok Sharma which was registered with the office of Sub Registrar Chandigarh vide Sr No 520 Book No3 Volume No 322 and Page No 185 Dated 09-02-2018 in favour of petitioners in respect of House No 385 Sector 41 A Chandigarh and further directions to be issued defendant no 3 to transfer the said house in question in the names of the petitioners	RAKESH KUMAR @ RAKESH KUMAR KALIA VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Arguments	16 Dec 2024	13.01.2025
14	<b>CS CJ/2006/2016</b>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff seeking transfer of properties i.e. DU No. 1238, Sector 43-B, Chandigarh 14th share in agriculture land on WILL basis.	INDU MUNJAL VS CHAIRMAN CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Consideration	16 Dec 2024	28.01.2025
15	<b>CSCJ/3002/2018</b>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for specific Performance of Agreement to Sell dated 12.3.2003 in respect of DU No. 3081(GF), Sector 44-D, Chandigarh.	VIJENDER KUMAR NIRMAL VS NANAK SINGH	Reply And Consideration	16 Dec 2024	16.01.2025
16	<b>C.S./443/2021</b>	In this matter, the applicant has filed suit for declaration to the effect that the plaintiffs are the co-owners in equal shartes (1/4th share each) of immovable properties (a) Booth No.317, Sector 15-D, Patel Market, Chandigarh (b) House No.1000, Small Flats, Dhanas, Chandigarh alongwith any other immovable property/ies in the name of deceased-Dharam Pal, if any, so discovered during the pendency of present case and being co-owners are further entitled to receive rent, license fee and/or any other person, as per Section 8 of Hindu Succession Act,1956 being the sole surviving Class-II legal heirs of the deceased- Dharam Pal who died intestate, unmarried issueless on 02.12.2020. Suit for Mandatory injunction directing the defendant No.1 to handover the entire original documents of Booth No.317, Sector 15, Patel Market Chandigarh (RBL-4345) and all original documents relating to House No.1000, Small Flats, Dhanas, Chandigarh to the plaintiffs and any/al other documents relating to the estate of late Sh.Dharam Pal S/o late Sh.Manpool, which are illegally taken and retained by defendant No.1 to the detriments of the plaintiffs. AND Suit for Mandatory Injunction for directing the defendant No.3 to 5 to Transfer the Booth No.317, Sector 15-D, Chandigarh and House No.1000, Small Flats, Dhanas, Chandigarh in the name of all plaintiffs in equal shares. AND for Permanent Injunction restraining the defendant No.1 2 and/or his agents, servants, successors legal heirs from alienating, transferring, letting out or sub-letting, mortgaging or creating any type of charge or third party interest in any manner in respect of said immovable property.	BHAGWAN SINGH VS ANANT RAM	Reply/Consideration	16 Dec 2024	07.02.2025
17	<b>CS CJ/2050/2021</b>	Suit for declaration that the Letter dated 17.02.2021 transferring the ownership rights of dwelling unit no. 3394/2, Second Floor, Category MIG, Sector-40/D, Chandigarh, on the basis of the Will through Court judgement is nullity void, illegal and is inconsequential being based on willful misrepresentation.	ASHOK KUMAR VS MEERA KUMARI	Reply And Consideration	17 Dec 2024	25.02.2025

18	<b>CS CJ/275/2022</b>	Civil Suit No CSCJ 275 2022 titled as Kiran vs Nachatro Devi Flat No 2002 Ground Floor Small Flats Colony Maloya	KIRAN VS NACHATRO DEVI	Reply/Consideration	17 Dec 2024	19.02.2025
19	<b>CS CJ/1671/2022</b>	CS CJ/1671/2022 SHIRANGLI DEVI Vs JOGINDER SINGH H.No 444 LIG U.T. Cat Sector 41-A, CHD	SHIRANGLI DEVI VS JOGINDER SINGH	Notice And Record	17 Dec 2024	13.01.2025
20	<b>CS CJ/254/2023</b>	Kuldeep Kaur vs Jagjit Singh CS CJ/254/2023 Du No 2193-B, Sector 63, Chandigarh	KULDEEP KAUR VS JAGJIT SINGH	Defence evidence	17 Dec 2024	13.01.2025
21	<b>CS CJ/1233/2023</b>	CS CJ/1233/2023, Arvind Vs The Sub Divisional Magistrate, Du No 1096, Phase -2, Ram Darbar, Ind Area, Chandigarh	ARVIND VS THE SUB DIVISIONAL MAGISTRATE	Consideration	17 Dec 2024	27.01.2025
22	<b>CS CJ/89/2024</b>	CSCJ/89/2024 SUSHIL KUMAR VS GENERAL PUBLIC H NO 3372 SECTOR 45 D CHANDIGARH The Plaintiff has filed the Suit for declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is the owner of H No 3372 Sector 45 D Chandigarh by virtue of the WILL Executed by Dr Shankar Nath Sanyal son of Late Sh Provot Kumar Sanyal registered in the office of Sub Registrar Chandigarh at serial No 2369 Book No 3 Volume No 257 Dated 20/11/2001 in favour of the plaintiff along with a Suit for Mandatory Injunction directing the defendant No 3 to transfer the said house in the record of Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh in the name of the plaintiff And Suit for Permanent injunction restraining the Defendant No 2 from Selling Transferring Alienating the property in favour of any other person or the create any charge Lien Interest of any Third party in the above noted property and also restraining the defendant No 3 from entering any Mutation/transferring the property in name of any other person except the Plaintiff	SUSHIL KUMAR VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Notice And Record	17 Dec 2024	03.03.2025
23	<b>CS CJ/1665/2023</b>	CSCJ/1665/2023 titled as Shimla Devi Vs General Public the plaintiff has filed the Suit for declaration to the effect that the plaintiffs are owners in possession of H.No. 534/1 Sector 40/A Chandigarh being the legal heirs of late Sh Suresh Kumar on the basis of general Power of Attorney Will Agreement to sell and affidavit dated 03/05/1989 executed by defendant no 3 in favour of deceased suresh kumar husband annd father of plaintiffs and Suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant to transfer h no 534/1 Sector 40A Chandigarh in the name of the plaintiffs on the basis of general power of attorney will agreement to sell and affidavit dated 03/05/1989 executed by defendant no 3 in favour of deceased Suresh Kumar husband and father of plaintiff	SHIMLA DEVI VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Reply And Consideration	17 Dec 2024	18.02.2025
24	<b>CS CJ/1362/2024</b>	CSCJ/1362/2024 Rajinder Kaur vs General Public HNo 3258/1 Sector 44D Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed an Suit for Declaration to the effect that as the plaintiffs and defendant no 3 are the owners of HNo 3258/1 Sector 44d Chandigarh to the extent of 1/4 th share each on the basis of agreement special power of Attorney General Power of Attorney and Will dated 09/05/1985 AND Suit for Partition of HNo 3258/1 Sector 44d Chandigarh between the plaintiffs and defendants to the extent of 1/4 th share each by metes and bounds and in case the partition is not possible the property be sold by the parties amongst the coowners first and iin case no coowner is ready to purchase the property then the property may be sold in	RAJINDER KAUR VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Reply And Consideration	17 Dec 2024	25.02.2025

		the open market by way of auction And Suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant nO 3 to pay the mesne profit to be assessed by this Honble court from the date of present suit till the actual realization And Suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant no 2 to transfer the suit property in the name of plaintiffs and defendant no 3 to the extent of 1/4 th share each and to make necessary changes in the register of ownership and records maintained by them And Suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendants no 3 their agents nominees attorneys heirs relatives employees etc from selling alienating transferring any portion of the suit property to any person or creating charge or encumbrance over the suit property and from dispossessing the plaintiff and interfering in peaceful possession of the suit property				
25	<b>CS CJ/176/2019</b>	CSCJ1762019 titled as Roma vs Prem Devi Suit for declaration that plaintiff No 1 2 defendant no 1 are owner of one fourth share each in equal share and Plaintiff no 3 and Performa defendants who are legal heirs of Pardeep Kumar are owners one fourth share of the House No 2660 Ground Floor Sector44C Chandigarh	ROMA VS PREM DEVI	Reply And Consideration		19.12.2024
26	<b>CS CJ/1860/2021</b>	Application U/o 39 Rule 1 and 2 CPC for temporary injunction restraining the defendant no. 1 and 2 from alienating the suit property by way of sale, mortgage, gift, exchange and changing the nature by any other mode of the property in question, during the pendency of the main suit. ( DU NO. 118/1, Sector-55, CHD)	SHANTI RANI VS MAJOR I. J. S. BAMBRHA	Evidence	17 Dec 2024	04.02.2025
27	<b>CS/354/2021</b>	CS/354/2021 titled as Usha Mehra Vs Vishal Mehra and others Dispute regarding sale/transfer/Share of different properties between defendants and out of which only one property ie HNo5126 Ground Floor MHC Manimajra ChandigarhTransfer of properties In this regard it is submitted that the petitioner has filed a Suit for a decree of declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is owner in possession of 1/4 th share in the estate left by Late Shri Ashwani Kumar Mehra being widow ie i A/48Kendriya Vihar Sector 14 Panchkula ii HNo5126 Ground floor MHC Manimajra Chandigarh and another properties are at New Delhi and Faridabad and further declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is also entitled for 1/4 th share in Gold/silver/amount lying in Saving Bank account FDR RD PPF share etc pertaining to deceased Shri Ashwani Kumar Mehra and further declaration to the effect that the change of ownership qua the said properties in the record of respective authorities in the name of the defendants if any after the demise of Late Shri Ashwani Kumar Mehra on the basis of false frivolous and manipulated documents liable to be cancelled	Usha Mehra VS Vishal Mehra	FILING OF WRITTEN REPLY	17 Dec 2024	20.02.2025
28	<b>CS CJ/32/2022</b>	Civil Suit for Declaration for declaring the plaintiffs owners of House No. 3441, Sector-46/C, Chandigarh. Further suit for Mandatory Injunction directing the defendant to transfer the lease of House No. 3441, Sector-46/C, Chandigarh on the name of plaintiffs after fulfilling the required formalities.	NEETI VASHISHT VS HOUSING BOARD CHANDIGARH	Defence evidence	17 Dec 2024	17.01.2025



29	<b>CS CJ/843/2018</b>	Civil Suit NoCS8432018 Titled as Rahul Sharma vs Abhishek Sharma Another Transfer of 50 share of DU No 2228 Sector 45C CHD	RAHUL SHARMA VS ABHISHEK SHARMA	Evidence	17 Dec 2024	23.12.2024
30	<b>CS CJ/2067/2021</b>	Suit for specific performance of the agreement to sell dated 31.10.1990 entered into between Defendant no. 4 and the plaintiff and wherein House No. 3240/2, Sector-40/D, Chandigarh, was sold to the plaintiff at total sale consideration money of Rs. 1,20,000/-. The said amount was paid and full and final receipt dated 31.0.1990 was executed.	LALITA SALUJA VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Evidence	18 Dec 2024	Disposed
31	<b>CS CJ/2381/2018</b>	Civil Suit No. CSCJ/2381/ 2018 titled as Anju Sharma vs Rajiv Sharma, 342-2, Sector 45-A, CHD	ANJU SHARMA VS RAJIV SHARMA	Reply And Consideration	18 Dec 2024	19.02.2025
32	<b>CS CJ/2149/2023</b>	CSCJ/2149/ 2023 Harmeet Kaur Bhatia VS CHB H No 3127/3 Sector 44 D Chandigarh PUC dated 29 11 2023 may kindly be perused Vide PUC Civil Judge Junior Division Chandigarh forwarded the copy of Civil Suit copy attached filed by the plaintiff for the declaration of the plaintiffs as the true and rightful of the owner of the property i e H No 3127/3 Sector 44 D Chandigarh which was transferred to them through Registered General Power of Attorney dated 15/06/2007 along with the sale agreement It is further prayed that direction may kindly be issued to Defendant to transfer the property in the name of plaintiffs It is also further prayed that this Hon ble Court may be pleased to grant any other relief that this Hon ble Court may deem fit and proper in the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case	HARMEET KAUR BHATIA VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Notice And Record	18 Dec 2024	19.02.2025
33	<b>CS CJ/1545/2024</b>	CSCJ/1545/2024 Meera Rawat vs Navneet Dhillon Brij Lal vs Chandigarh Housing Board HNo 2876 Sector 40/C Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed Civil Suit for Declaration to the effect that Plaintiffs are the owners in possession of House No 2876 Sector 40/C Chandigarh to the extent of 25 each respectively totaling to the extent of 75 they being the only surviving legal Heirs of Santan Singh Negi noted registered owner in records of Chandigarh Housing Board and defendant no 1 to 3 are entitled to get 25 share transferred in their name being the sole legal heirs of late Sh Brijesh Negi 4 th Legal Heir of Late Sh Santan Singh Negi in view of the averments made in the Civil suit in the interest of justice AND mandatory Injunction be issued restraining Defendant no 1 to 3 their agents and servants not to interfere and enter in the peaceful possession of respective share of Plaintiffs constituting 75 percent in House no 2876 Sector 40/C Chandigarh And Permanent injunction be issued restraining Defendant no 1 to 3 their agents and servants from sale Mortgage Alienate change the nature of property and to create third party to the extent of respective share of the Plaintiffs constituting 75percent in House no 2876 Sector 40/C Chandigarh in any manner in the interest of justice AND Suit for Permanent Injunction Restraining the defendants no 1 to 3 or their agents Representative Successors Servants Legal Heirs etc from alienating, creating any chare or change the construction and nature of the House in any manner it being un partitioned house in view of the averments made in the civil suit in the interest of justice AND Mandatory injunction be issued to defendant no 1 directing her to give 75 percent share of Rent proceeds to the	MEERA RAWAT VS NAVNEET DHILLON	Reply/Consideration	18 Dec 2024	21.01.2025

		<p>plaintiffs on account of receipt of rent from the tenant of 2 nd floor of house no 2876 Sector 40/C Chandigarh from date of filing of present suit in view of the peculiar facts and circumstances of the present case in the interest of justice AND It is further prayed that this Hon ble Court may be pleased to pass any other order in favour of the Plaintiffs and against the Defendants as it may deem fit in view of the peculiar facts and circumstances of the present case in the interest of justice And filed an Application under order 39 rule 1 and 2 read with Section 151 CPC with a prayer that this Hon ble Court may be pleased to issue ad interim injunction directing defendant no 1 to 3 not to alienate or create third party rights qua the respective share of Plaintiffs in the interest of justice Ad interim injunction be ordered restraining defendant no 4 from taking any action on the request or application of Defendant no 1 to 3 regarding transfer of any part in their name during the pendency of the accompanied suit in the interest of justice And also filed an Application under section 80 2 read with Section 151 CPC with a prayer that this Hon ble Court may be pleased to exempt the Plaintiffs from serving the Respondent no 4 prior to filing of civil suit with advance notice as required under Section 80 1 in view of the averments made in the application in the interest of justice</p>				
34	<p><b>CS</b> <b>CJ/1576/2024</b></p>	<p>CSCJ 1674 2024 Ranjit Kaur Boparai vs Surinder Singh RWA 2 BHK Sector 63 Chandigarh The Plaintiffs have filed SUIT for declaration to the effect that governing body consists of defendant no 4 to 11 is illegal null and void abinitio who is managing the affairs of the RWA 2BHK Regd defendant no 3 since 19 09 2021 without any legal authority in violation of the provisions of Constitution Bye laws and statutory provisions of the Societies Registration Act 1860 as well as further to declare that election due on 19 09 2024 can not be postponed in illegal manner by defendant no 3 to 11 and declare that resolution dated 09 06 2024 is null and void abinitio and passed without agenda and in violation of statutory provision Bye laws governing the RWA 2BHK that too without giving any notice to the plaintiffs and other 880 Members Flat owners through e mail registered post or newspaper publication and further to declare that 4 resolution dated 09 06 2024 is passed without any chair Presiding officer as the resignation of the President dated 06 03 2023 was accepted the meeting comes to an end no further resolution could have been passed And further to declare that the defendant no 4 to 11 has no legal authority to spend money on Development work like installation of Access Control Devise in all 43 lifts and White Wash on outer walls of the plaintiffs flat owners without their written consent and any such thing done by them is illegal null and void and beyond the jurisdiction power as well as against the Aims and Object of the formation of RWA Society And OR Declaration to the effect that respondent no 3 11 are personally liable for the expenses they have illegally incurred without ay authority power vested in them to do so that</p>	<p>HARI GARG VS THE REGISTRAR</p>		18 Dec 2024	18.02.2025

		<p>also against the aims and objectives of defendant no 3 RWA narrated in parano 24 li to vi even without the mandatory approval for the Chandigarh Housing Board</p> <p>And further to declare that after 19 09 2024 the defendant no 2 to 9 has no legal entity in the eyes of law and cannot collect and spend public money lying the Bank Accounts of Defendant no 3 maintained with the defendant no 12 and 13 till new governing Body come into existence</p> <p>And for issuance of mandatory injunction directing the 1 and 2 to appoint Administrator to look after the day to day affairs of the RWA 2 BHK 5 Sector 63 till validity elected Managing Committee comes into existence and the Administrator should get conducted election as per Bye Laws of RWA and statutory provision of the Societies Registration Act 1860</p> <p>And for further issuance of permanent injunction restraining the defendant no 3 to 11 to represent them as office bearers of the RWA 2 BHK Sector 63 Chandigarh after 19 09 2024 and restrain them to operate Bank Accounts of RWA 2 BHK maintained with defendant no 12 and 13 till new Governing Body comes into existence</p> <p>AND OR Any other order or direction which this Hon ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case be also issued in favour of the plaintiffs</p>				
35	<b>CA/2452/2018</b>	CA/2452/2018 Appeal filed by the Appellant against judgement dated 31.10.2018 passed by Sh. Gurkiran Singh, CJD, Chandigarh whereby suit of the Appellant is partly decreed in respect of payment of flat sold vide Regn. No. 2337 allotted to him for refund of amount alongwith 12% interest - Flat No. 5151, MHC, Cat-I, Manimajra	RAM LAL ARYA VS VIJAY KUMAR	Arguments	18 Dec 2024	14.01.2025
36	<b>CA/78/2020</b>	Appeal u/s 96 against judgement and decree dated 6.1.2020 passed by Kaushal Singla, CJD, Chandigarh in respect of DU No. 2051/45-C, Chandigarh for leakage of kitchen and sewerage water from roof.	NIRMAL BHATIA VS SONIA KHULLAR	Arguments	19 Dec 2024	21.04.2025
37	<b>CS CJ/141/2021</b>	In this matter plaintiff has filed suit for permanent injunction for restraining the defendants No.1 to 3 or their agents, assignees, representative, attorney holders etc. to interfere/disturb or make invasion, encroachment, nuisance or trespass in any manner in the exclusive peaceful/lawful, possession of the plaintiff on House No.3398, Sector 45-D,Chandigarh.	SUDIP KAUR VS AMIT KUMAR	Reply And Consideration	19 Dec 2024	Disposed
38	<b>CS CJ/226/2022</b>	Suit for possession by way of specific performance of the Oral agreement to sell dated 30.09.2021 executed by defendant no.1 in favour of plaintiff in respect of property i.e. Booth No. 260, Sector-15/D, U.T., Chandigarh and further directing the defendant no.1, to execute and get the sale deed/lease deed registered in the office of Sub-Registrar, Chandigarh in the name of plaintiff.	MOHD. ELYAS VS SATPAL	Reply And Consideration	19 Dec 2024	28.03.2025
39	<b>CS CJ/283/2023</b>	CSCJ/283/2023, Gurnoor Singh Vs Chandigarh Housing Board without petition	GURNOOR SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	19 Dec 2024	07.02.2025

40	<b>CS CJ/1658/2022</b>	CSCJ/1658/2022 Kam Ram Kohli Vs R.K.Dass, # 3686 Sector 46-C, CHD	KAM RAM KOHLI VS R.K.DASS	Evidence	19 Dec 2024	21.02.2025
42	<b>CS CJ/1047/2023</b>	CSCJ/1047/2023 Tejinder Singh Vs Abdul Shaheed Usmani. Du No 431 MIG, Sector 45-A, Chandigarh	TEJINDER SINGH VS ABDUL SHAHEED USMANI	Appearance	19 Dec 2024	19.03.2025
43	<b>CS CJ/4351/2023</b>	CSCJ/4351/2023 Amit Dhayani Vs Parveen Dhayani H NO 3264/2 Sector 41 Chandigarh PUC is a summons to appear in the subject cited court case received in this office on 12/01/2024 alongwith the copy of petition copy attached may kindly be perused please The case is fixed for hearing on 15/01/2024 at 10 00 am before the Hon ble Court of Civil Judge Jr Div Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the Suit for declaration for declaring the transfer of dwelling Unit/Flat no 3264 Sector 41 Chandigrah as null and Void as the same has been transferred in the name defendant no 1 by influencing his mentally sick father namely Sh Sathish Chander Dhyani to transfer the name in the name of defendant no 1 being illegal unconstitutional and fraudulent And Suit for Permanent Injunction restraining the defendant No 1 his agents servant and his assignees from selling alienating mortgaging entering into sny agreement to Sell creating any third party interest to any third person in the suit property during the pendency of present suit and Suit for Mandatory Injunction directing the defendant no 3 to not to transfer the suit property ie the DU No 3264/2 Sector 41 Chandigarh in the name of any person during the pendency of the suit	AMIT DHAYANI VS PARVEEN DHAYANI	Reply And Consideration	19 Dec 2024	19.02.2025
44	<b>CA/113/2024</b>	CA/113/2024 Upinder Prashad vs The Secretary of Chandigarh Housing Board HNo 5860 Sector 56 Palsora UT Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed an Application U/s 54 2 of Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 as extended to Chandigarh read with Section 151 of CPC for staying the impugned eviction order 27/06/2024 where by the respondent was directed to Vacate the DU No 5860 Sector 56 Palsora UT Chandigarh directed to vacate the which was cancelled on 28/01/2019 And Filed an Appeal U/s 54 of Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 as extended to Chandigarh read with Section 151 of CPC against the impugned eviction order 27/06/2024 where by the respondent directed the appellant to vacate the DU NO 5860 Sector 56 Palsora UT Chandigarh which was cancelled on 28/01/2019	UPINDER PRASHAD VS THE SECRETARY		19 Dec 2024	23.01.2025
45	<b>CS CJ/2115/2022</b>	CSCJ/2115/2022 Labh Singh vs Soni DU No 3125 Housing Board Colony Dhanas, Chandigarh	LABH SINGH VS SONI	Reply And Consideration	19 Dec 2024	11.02.2025
46	<b>CS/557/2023</b>	CS/557/2023, Monika Kanwar Vs Gitika DU No 3111/2 Sector 41/D Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed Suit for declaration to the effect that the plaintiffs and defendant No 1 are owners in joint possession to extent of 1/3 share each in respect of the immovable properties left by Lachman Dass Sharma and Smt Santosh Kumari D/o Village Daun Tehsil Distt SAS Nagar Mohali on account of inheritance being their class I legal heirs which includes property No 1 Residential House situated within the revenue estate of village daun tehsil distt SAS Nagar	MONIKA KANWAR VS GITIKA	Replication/Issues	19 Dec 2024	15.01.2025

		Mohali property no 2 house no 3111/2 Sector 41 D Chandigarh And Suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendant no 1 her agents attorneys representatives from alienating gift mortgage transfer or changing the nature of the suit property in any other manner till the same is not partitioned and further restraining the defendant no 2 to transfer the property no 2 to any person during the pendency of suit And Grant any other relief which this Hon ble Court may deems fit and proper in favour of the plaintiffs				
47	<b>CS CJ/1941/2019</b>	Civil suit filed by the plaintiff for declaration the effect that the plaintiff is entitled to transfer the Booth No. 83, Maloya, Chandigarh on the basis of GPA under GPA Transfer Policy and also Mandatory/Permanent Injunction.	ASHOK KUMAR VS THE CHAIRMAN	Reply/Consideration	20 Dec 2024	24.03.2025
48	<b>CS CJ/1439/2021</b>	Suit for Declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is entitled to get ownership of Dwelling Unit No 445/B, Sector-61, Chandigarh	BALVINDER KAUR VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Reply And Consideration	20 Dec 2024	26.02.2025
49	<b>CS CJ/1415/2021</b>	Suit for declaration to the effect that memo letter dated 07.01.2020, 07.06.2019, 05.12.2018, 14.06.2018, 18.06.2013 etc. and any or all actions of the defendants thereby declining the case of the plaintiff for conversion of his dwelling unit from lease hold tenure to free hold tenure are all wrong, illegal, void ab-initio, unjust, unreasonable, arbitrary and malafide and are without jurisdiction, in excess of authority conferred by the statute and are an abuse and misuse of powers and have no binding effects on the plaintiffs and are liable to be set aside.	YASHVIR SINGH MALIK VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Civil Evidence	20 Dec 2024	20.03.2025
50	<b>CS CJ/1159/2022</b>	Civil Suit No. CSCJ/1159/ 2022 titled as Santosh Sehgal vs Chanchal Sehgal (DU No. 1076, Cat-A (GF) The Customs and Central Excise Employees Coop. House Building Society, Sector 51-B, Chandigarh)	SANTOSH SEHGAL VS CHANCHAL SEHGAL	Reply And Consideration	20 Dec 2024	14.02.2025
51	<b>CS CJ/2242/2022</b>	CSCJ/2242/2022-Rajinder Singh Vs Mohinder Singh. DU No. 4578, Maloya Colony, Chandigarh	RAJINDER SINGH VS MOHINDER SINGH	Reply And Consideration	20 Dec 2024	18.02.2025
52	<b>MISC DJ/644/2023</b>	Application for Restoration of Case CA/32/2021 Titled as Ashwani Kumar Vs Jatinder Uppal Du No 454, Sector 61, CHD	ASHWANI KUAMR VS JITENDER UPPAL	Reply And Consideration	20 Dec 2024	20.02.2025
53	<b>EXE/625/2023</b>	EXE/625/2023 Rajesh Goyal Vs General Public H No 2223-E Sector 63The plaintiff has filed an application for execution of the judgement dated 30-05-2023 passed by this honble Court in Civil Suit No 1440/2022 decided on 30-05-2023	RAJESH GOYAL VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Consideration	20 Dec 2024	23.01.2025
54	<b>CS CJ/867/2024</b>	CSCJ/867/2024 Harpal Singh Vs Jaswant Kaur Dwelling unit no2057/C Category 3BR Sector 63 Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the SUIT for Declaration on behalf of plaintiffs that Will dated 15/09/2020 executed by Late Sh Harminster Singh in respect of his 1/3 rd share in DU No 2057/C Category 3BR Sector 63 Chandigarh is legal valid and genuine document by virtue of which both the plaintiffs had inherited 1/3 rd share held by him and became co/owners in possession of the above said dwelling unit to the exclusion of other legal heirs of Late Sh Harminster Singh as mentioned in Will dated 5/09/2020 on the basis or oral as well as documentary evidence under order 7 Rule 1 of CPC AND Suit for permanent injunction restraining defendants No 1 to3 from selling alienate mortgaging or to change the nature of	HARPAL SINGH VS JASWANT KAUR	Reply And Consideration	20 Dec 2024	20.02.2025

		property in respect to 1/3 rd share held by late Sh Harminder Singh in dwelling unit no 2057/C Category 3BR Sector 63 Chandigarh in any manner to any third person as they have no right title or interest with regard to the property in question till the decision took place between the parties AND Suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant no 4 to transfer 1/3 rd share in dwelling unit No 2057/c Category 3BR Sector 63 Chandigarh into the name of plaintiffs on the basis of Will dated 15/09/2020 and as per rules and byelaws framed by the Chandigarh Housing Board at the costs and expenditure of the plaintiffs as their request for transfer had been declined without any reason and both of them have acquired 1/3 rd share held by Late Sh Harminder Singh after his death by virtue of his last Will dated 15/09/2020 so executed by him during his lifetime And filed an Application on behalf of plaintiffs under order 39 rule 1 and 2 of CPC restraining defendants No 1 to 3 from selling alienating, mortgaging or to change the nature of property in respect to 1/3 rd share held by Late Sh Harminder Singh in DU No 2057/C Category 3BR Sector 63 Chandigarh in any manner to any third person a they have no right title or interest with regard to the property in question till the decision took place between the parties				
55	<b>CS CJ/1421/2024</b>	CSCJ/1421/2024 Geeta VS The Chairman CHB DU No 2221 Small flats Maloya UT Chandigarh The Appellant has filed an Application under section 67 of Haryana Housing Board Rules read with section 802 CPC for grant of leave to file the present suit without serving notice under section 802 CPC upon the defendants And filed a suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant no 1 not to dispossess the plaintiff from the premises ie H No 2221 Small flats Maloya UT Chandigarh where the plaintiff is residing and in possession of said house and also issued direction to the defendant no1 that in the event of vacation of said premises alternative site may kindly be allotted to the plaintiff for her residence And filed a suit for mandatory injunction directing defendant no 2 to return the household articles ie washing machine fridge TV and other household articles on 20/08/2024 which has been forcibly taken by them without giving any notice to the plaintiff or showing any order of any authority/ Court Any other order of directions which this Honble Court may deem fit be passed in favour of the plaintiff and against the defendants	GEETA VS THE CHAIRMAN	Reply Consideration And	20 Dec 2024	11.02.2025
56	<b>CA/135/2024</b>	CA/135/2024 Datar Singh vs Chandigarh Housing Board DU No 4315 Sector 39W Maloya Colony Chandigarh The Appellant has filed an Application under section 151 CPC seeking permission from this Hon ble Court for placing on record Annexures A1 to A11 and further seeking exemption from filing certified copies of the same however the photostat and downloaded copies of the same may very kindly be allowed to be placed on record in the interest of justice And filed an application u/s 54 2 of the Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 as extended to Chandigarh read with section 151 CPC for the stay of the operation of impugned order dated 12/07/2024 ANNEXURE P10 passed by the respondent o 2 whereby the eviction	DATAR SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply/Consideration	20 Dec 2024	17.02.2025

		of the appellant has been ordered from the premises ie 4315 sector 39 west Maloya Colony Chandigarh within one month from the date of receiving of the notice dated 12/07/2024 hence the same be stayed during the pendency of the present appeal and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the said impugned order may also be stayed And filed an appeal us 54 1 of the Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 as extended to Chandigarh against the order dated 12/07/2024 Annexure A10 passed by respondent number 2 whereby eviction of the appellant has been ordered from the premises ie DU No 4315 sector 39 west Maloya Colony Chandigarh within one month from the date of service of the notice Earlier the case was fixed on 21/08/2024 before the Hon ble District Court Chandigarh Advocate Sh Manoj Kumar was instructed to attend/defend the court case on behalf of Chandigarh Housing Board				
57	CA/108/2024	CA/108/2024 Kamrus Bai vs The Secretary of Chandigarh Housing Board HNo 72/A Dhanas Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed an Application for stay of the order daTed 11/07/2024 passed by the Secretary of Chandigarh Housing Board under the Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 Chandigarh Housing Board And Filed an Appeal against the impugned eviction order dated 10/07/2024 dispatched on 11/07/2024 passed by Secretary, Chandigarh housing Board vide which DU No 72/A Dhanas Chandigarh belonging to appellant has been cancelled and eviction of the appellant has been ordered in most illegal and arbitrary manner	KAMRUS BAI VS SECRETARY OF CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	20 Dec 2024	18.03.2025
58	MCA DJ/70/2022	MCA DJ 70 2022 Gandharv Sain Vs Secretary Chandigarh Housing DUNo 1021 Sector 14 West Dhanas Chandigarh	GANDHRAV SAIN VS SECRETARY	Reply And Consideration	20 Dec 2024	15.01.2025

Previous List of Court Cases pending before Hon’ble Permanent Lok Adalat PUS, Chandigarh from 14.12.2024 to 20.12.2024						
S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date	
1	APPLICATION/22/2024	The Plaintiff has filed the application for transfer of dwelling unit i e House No P-1649 Sector-52 Chandigarh in the name of applicants being the legal heirs allottee to the extent of 1/3rd each.	Julie and others VS Chandigarh Housing Board and others (House No. P-1649, Sector-52 Chandigarh)	Reply filed	16 Dec 2024	10 Jan. 2025

2	<a href="#">APPLICATION/25/2024</a>	The Plaintiff has filed the Misc application for restraining the Respondent authorities from taking any coercive action against the applicant on the basis of the notices dated 17-05-2024 and 05-06-2024 and for issuing any further similar notices for inspection of the dwelling unit house No 5469 Sector 38-West Chandigarh of the applicant during the pendency of the present Petition And also filed the Application w/s 22-C of Legal Services Authorities Act 1987 as amended Up-To-Date for the settlement of dispute between the parties to the application and to direct the respondent-Department to refrain from issuing notices for inspection and for conducting inspection thereto of the dwelling house of the applicant for any need based changes done by the applicant as the notification dated 03-01-2023 has already been issued thereby allowing the additional construction and need based changes of the dwelling units And Further prayer that the respondents be directed to immediately implement the order/ notification dated 03-01-2023 and to allow / permit the applicant to get his additional construction and need based changes regularized after getting the requisite fee to be calculated as per clause by of order dated 03-01-2023	Kulwant Singh Rana VS Chandigarh Housing Board and Others	Reply yet to be filed	19 Dec 2024	16.01.2025
3	<a href="#">APPLICATION/21/2024</a>	The Plaintiff has filed the application for temporary injunction restraining the Respondents from conducting any inspection of dwelling unit of applicant as regularization/additional construction is lawful in terms of order/policy dated 03-01-2023 and the applicant has already applied for the same till the decision of this Honourable court (House No 5572, Sector 38-West Chandigarh)	Bhupinder Puri VS Chandigarh Housing Board and Others (House No. 5572, Sector 38-West, Chandigarh)	Reply yet to be filed	19 Dec 2024	16.01.2025
4	<a href="#">APPLICATION/20/2024</a>	The Plaintiff has filed the application for temporary injunction restraining the Respondents from conducting any inspection of dwelling unit of applicant as regularization/additional construction is lawful in terms of order/policy dated 03-01-2023 and the applicant has already applied for the same, till the decision of this Honourable court (House No 5550 Sector 38-West Chandigarh)	Manider Singh Patti VS Chandigarh Housing Board and Others (House No. 5550, Sector 38-West, Chandigarh)	Reply yet to be filed	19 Dec 2024	16.01.2025
5	<a href="#">APPLICATION/34/2024</a>	The Plaintiff has filed the Application under Section 22-C of the Legal Services Authority Act 1987 for restraining the respondent authorities from taking any coercive action against the applicant on the basis of notice dated 09-10-2024 and for issuing any further similar notice pertaining to dwelling unit no 2118 Sector 45-C Chandigarh The Plaintiff has also filed the Application for interim injunction restraining the Respondent from proceeding further and taking any further action above notice.	Inder Kaur VS Chandigarh Housing Board (DU No. 2118, Sector 45-C, Chandigarh)	Reply filed	20 Dec 2024	08.01.2025



# Previous List of court cases pending before Hon’ble Advisor to the Administrator, UT Chandigarh From 14.12.2024 to 20.12.2024

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date	
1	REVISION/-----/2024	The petitioner has filed the Revision Petition under Section 72-B of Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 against the order dated 27-03-2019 passed by the Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh in respect of House No 4327 Sector 56 Palsora Colony Chandigarh.	Sh. Faqir Chand through his GPA Sh Arvind Bhatia VS Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh and Others	Reply yet to be filed	19 Dec 2024	08 May 2025
2	REVISION/-...../2024	The Plaintiff has filed the Revision petition under Section 72-B of the Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 against the order dated 09-08-2019 passed by the Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh in respect of Dwelling Unit No 3283/1 Dhanas Chandigarh and The Plaintiff has filed the Application Under Section 151 CPC for grant of stay of operation of impugned order dated 09-08-2019 passed by the Chandigarh Housing Board order dated 22-01-2019 passed by the Chairman Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh and order dated 11-10-2017 passed by the Chief Executive Officer Chandigarh Housing Board respectively and further The Plaintiff has filed the Revision petition under Section 72-B of the Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 against the order dated 09-08-2019 passed by the Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh vide which he had wrongly dismissed the appeal filed by the petitioner against the order dated 22-01-2019 passed by the Chairman Chandigarh vide which appeal filed by the petitioner was wrongly dismissed filed against the order dated 11-10-2017 passed by the Chief Executive Officer Chandigarh Housing Board vide which he has wrongly cancelled the dwelling unit No 3283/1 Dhanas Chandigarh on the ground of building violations	Sh. Atlaf Ahmed through Sh. Ashok Kumar s/o of Sh. Krishan Lal VS Chandigarh Housing Board and others (DU No. 3283/1, Dhanas, Chandigarh)	Reply yet to be filed	19 Dec 2024	Adjourned, next date will be intimated shortly by the Counsel

