

## Advance list of court cases pending before Hon'ble High Court of Punjab and Haryana from 11.01.2025 to 17.01.2025

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	<b>CWP/10906/2019</b>	Civil Writ Petition filed for quashing the impugned letter dated 08.06.2018 of Chandigarh Housing Board and Legal notice reply dated 18.09.2018 rejecting the application dated 15.03.2016 of the petitioner for transfer of D.U.o. 4813 , Sector 38 (West) on GPA basis, despite the facts in response to CHB letter dated 14.07.2016 the petitioner had already submitted all documents in original alongwith transferee amounting to Rs.1,31,500/- to the CHB vide letter dated 21.07.2016. 3. And for directing the respondents to transfer the above said dwelling unit in the name of petitioner under GPA keeping in view the fact that CHB itself accepted the number of applications for transfer under GPA in 2017 and even 2018 and the same have accepted/cleared in January 2018 and GPA has been transferred. (DU No. 4813 sector 38, Chandigarh)	SUDESH KUMARI VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND OTHERS	Arguments	13 Jan 2025
2	<b>RSA/459/2020</b>	DU No. 3918, 47-D, Chandigarh (Restore and upheld the Judgements dt. 07.12.2017 passed by Civil Judge and 08.08.2019 passed by District and Session Judge)	HANS RAJ MALHOTRA VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Arguments	13 Jan 2025
3	<b>CWP/313/2017</b>	Writ petition FILED against order dated 21/2/2012 passed by the G S Rosha under Clause 2 of Contract Agreement between M/s Dhandi Builders Ltd. respect of construction of 96 Nos. Flats of Cat-II (Group-III) in Sector 51-A, Chandigarh.	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD VS M/S DHANDI BUILDERS LTD	Arguments	14 Jan 2025
4	<b>CWP/3958/2017</b>	Writ petition filed by the petitioners for quashing the order dated 11.02.2013 and all subsequent orders passed thereafter and the Last order dated 30.09.2016 whereby the Revision Petition was rejected on ground of limitation and further issuance of writ of mandamus directing the Revisional Authority to consider the appeal on merits in accordance with law file by the petitioner against the cancellation of Dwelling Unit No. 1669, Sector 29-B, Chandigarh.	RAMESH HARIBHAU KATYARMAL VS U.T. ADMINISTRATION THR ADVISOR TO ADMINISTRATOR CHANDIGARH & ANR	Arguments	14 Jan 2025
5	<b>CWP/2836/2021</b>	CWP under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for an appropriate	POOJA MEHTA	Arguments	14 Jan 2025

		<p>writ order of direction, by way of issuance of and</p> <p>Writ of mandamus directing the respondents to issue re-allotment letter transferring the D/U No.2385-A, Category EWS Sector 63, Chandigarh in favour of the petitioners.</p> <p>Writ of mandamus staying the closing of file during the pendency of the present petition;</p> <p>The Hon'ble Court may also grant any other relief which it may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of present case.</p>	AND ANR VS THE CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ANR		
6	<b>ARB/304/2019</b>	For appointment of an Arbitrator to Adjudicate Disputes between the Petitioner and the Respondents pertaining to Contract for Construction of 160 2BR Category-II Composite Work	B L MEHTA CONSTRUCTIONS PVT LTD VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ANR	Arguments	14 Jan 2025
7	<b>CWP/16320/2023</b>	<p>Petitioner has filed the petition under Article 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate writ order or direction especially in the nature of Certiorari for setting aside the impugned cancellation order dated 27-11-2000 28-06-2017 order dated 25-09-2017 impugned order dated 21-11-2017 impugned eviction notice dated 10-10-2017 and impugned eviction passed by the to 5 whereby the dwelling unit in the question cancelled by the respondent no 4 and the appeals Revision Petition filed by the petitioners were wrongly dismissed by the respondent No 1 2 and 3 and the eviction notice and eviction orders were wrongly passed by the respondents No 5 which are wholly unjust arbitrary illegal and the same are liable to be quashed And For or issuance of writ order direction in the nature of mandamus directing respondent not take any LO coercive measures in pursuance impugned order dated 15-12-2017 with further prayer to stay the operation of the impugned orders passed by the respondents no 1 to 5 or It is further prayed that a writ of mandamus may kindly be issued to respondent no 2 to 5 to revive and restore the allotment of the dwelling unit in question in the name of the petitioner and do not evict the petitioner from the premises in question during the pendency of the writ petition</p>	CATHRINE VS ADVISER TO THE ADMINISTRATOR, UT CHD AND ORS.	Arguments	14 Jan 2025
8	<b>CWP/33028/2018</b>	Civil writ petition under article 226 of the constitution of India for the issuance of a writ of certiorari for quashing order of cancellation of the swelling unit dated 20.08.2015 (annexure P 12) passed by chief executive officer Chandigarh Housing board, the order dated 06.04.2017 (annexure P 14)	SATPAL VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Arguments	15 Jan 2025

		passed by the chairman dismissing the appeal by a non speaking order, the order dated 13.11.2017 (annexure P 16) passed by the board by a non-speaking order and for quashing the final order dated 09.1.02018 (annexure P 18) passed by the advisor to administrator, UT Chandigarh AND for setting aside the resumption proceedings illegally initiated by the respondents, and as the resumption proceedings have been initiated after a period of 10 years, by issuing show cause notice dated 30.11.2009 seeking cancellation of the allotment letter dated 07.01.1991, whereas the restriction was against alienation of the property for a period of 10 years, AND for quashing the illegal action of the respondents in cancelling the allotment of the dwelling unit allotted to the petitioner and the subsequent act of sealing the dwelling unit of the petitioner, AND for the issuance of writ of mandamus directing the respondent to restore the allotment made in favor of the petitioner vide letter of allotment dated 07.01.1991 and to remove the sealing, illegally made, AND directing the respondent to forever from enforcing the order of resumption or the order of eviction, AND for the issuance of any other appropriate writ, order for directions.			
9	<b>CWP/13512/2023</b>	Civil Writ Petition filed by the petitioner under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner as per Order No 342 dated 26-12-2017-Annexure P-9 issued by the Chandigarh Housing Board vide which the penal charges and revival fees have already been deposited by the petitioner with the respondents by treasury receipt -Annexure P-11 and in addition to above the petitioner had also removed all the violations in the House No 51 Ram Darbar Phase- II UT Chandigarh Or issue a writ, order or direction especially in the nature of Certiorari to quash the eviction proceedings vide eviction notice -Annexure P-20 has been initiated by the respondent despite the fact there is no violation/misuse at the site ie House No 51 Ram Darbar Phase-II UT Chandigarh in the interest of justice	RAJU VS THE CHAIRMAN, CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD, UT CHD. AND ANR.	Arguments	15 Jan 2025
10	<b>CR/1731/2020</b>	Challenge the legality and propriety of the impugned order dt. 17.12.2019 whereby the learned Arbitrator has fixed a separate fee for filing a Counter Claim and order dated 13.02.2020 whereby an amount of Rs.10.00 Lac has additionally been imposed or directed to be deposited by the petitioner due to non-payment of fees assessed for counter-claim.	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD VS M/S B.L. MEHTA CONSTRUCTION PRIVATE LTD AND ANR	Arguments	16 Jan 2025

11	<b>CWP/9739/2023</b>	<p>The CWP filed by the Petitioner under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ of certiorari or an appropriate order or direction for quashing the impugned notice cum order cum order antedated 28.04.2023 - Annexure P-8 issued under Section 15 of the Capital of Punjab (Development and Regulation) Act, 1952 by the respondent no.4, served upon the petitioner, on 02.05.2023, whereby the respondent no.4 has directed the petitioner to remove construction of temporary Office constructed at Block No.8 near Lift No.83 and temporary store constructed at Block No.9, near Lift No.80, in RWA-2 BHK, Sector 63, Chandigarh, within a period of 3 days, failing which, the said construction will be removed by the respondent no.4 at the risk and cost of the petitioner without any further notice, being wrong, illegal, arbitrary, violative of Article 14 of the Constitution of India and against the principle of natural justice. Further to issue a writ of mandamus for issuance of directions to the respondents for providing alternative place for office and store for the petitioner Association for performing the mandatory functions as provided under the Chandigarh Housing Board (Allotment, Management and Sale of Tenements) Regulations, 1979 and the scheme namely General Self Finance Housing Scheme 2008 formulated under above said Regulations 1979 and/or in alternative till the appropriate place for office and store is not provided by the respondents, the Possession Office situated at Flat No.2212/A be handed over to the petitioner Association, which is still wrongly and illegally retained by the respondents even after allotment and handing over possession of all the flats to the members of the petitioner Society. With further prayer that during the pendency of the present writ petition, the operation of the impugned notice cum order ante dated 28.04.2023 (Annexure P/8) along with all consequential proceedings may kindly be stayed in the interest of justice.</p>	RESIDENT WELFARE ASSOCIATION - 2BHK VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND OTHERS	Arguments	16 Jan 2025
----	----------------------	--	--	-----------	-------------

## Advance list of court cases pending before Hon'ble Central Administrative Tribunal from 11.01.2025 to 17.01.2025

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	<b>OA/142/2023</b>	OA failed by the applicant for Directions to the the respondents A) To quash the Memorandum dated 07.02.2023 (Annexure-5) issued by Respondent No.2 B) To issue directions to the Respondents no to proceed with the Memorandum dated 07.02.2023. Further the applicant has prayed for the stay of memorandum dated 07.02.2023.	RAJESH KUMAR SHARMA VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION	Arguments	16 Jan 2025

## Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon'ble District Court, UT Chandigarh from 11.01.2025 to 17.01.2025

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	<b>CS CJ/1224/2021</b>	In this regard, it is submitted that the said application under Order 39 Rule 1 and 2 read with Section 151 CPC for grant of ad-interim injunction restraining the defendant No.1 not to part with, rent out, change the structure, lease out to any body and not to create charge in any form against Flat No.454 Sector 61, Chandigarh, during the pendency of the suit and further restraining defendant no 3 not to the transfer the flat in question during the pendency of the suit in the name of any other person.	JITENDER UPPAL VS ASHWANI KUMAR	Evidence	13 Jan 2025
2	<b>EXE/278/2023</b>	EXE/278/2023 Angelina Gill Vs Vidya Sagar, House No 729, Sector 20, Panchkula, CHB Property no 4782-B, Sector 38-W	ANGELINA GILL VS VIDYA SAGAR	Consideration..	13 Jan 2025
3	<b>CS CJ/844/2024</b>	CSCJ/844/2024 Ruchi Arora Vs Chandigarh Housing Board HNo 5457 Modern Housing Complex Sector 13 Manimajra Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the SUIT for declaration to the effect that the impugned Challan dated 12/04/2024 Annexure P/1 and impuged Notice/cum/domiltion order dated 25/04/2024 Annexure P/2 issued by defendants no 3/4 is quite illegal un constitutional arbitrary one discriminatory unilateral and even in utter violation of principles of natural justice hence liable to be set/aside AND SUIT for permanent injunction restraining the defendants through their Agents	RUCHI ARORA VS THE CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	13 Jan 2025

		Representatives Employees Servants/Assignees or through police etc causing any sort of interference in peaceful lawful and continuous possession of the plaintiff over DU No 5457 MHC Sector /13 Manimajra Chandigarh hereinafter referred to as suit property and further restraining the defendants from demolishing any part of the above said DU of the plaintiff under the garb of NoticecumDemolition order dated 25/04/2024 and further restraining the defendants from committing any such tortuous act in future till the pendency of the present suit, in the interest of Justice AND/OR Pass any other order or direction which this Hon ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the present case in the interest of Justice			
4	<b>CS CJ/1502/2021</b>	Suit for declaration to the effect that the plaintiffs are co-owner in joint possession, to the extent of 1/4th share of all the properties i.e House No. 406, (The Amrita Shergil Coop. HB 1st Society Ltd.,) A.O.T Complex, Sector-48/A, Chandigarh and House No. 116-B, Sector-51, CHD and House in village and land comprising in khewat No. 20/20 Khatoni No. 24, in Khasra No. 104(3-16), 107 (4-0) total kitte 2, measuring 7 bigha 16 bisw, situated in the revenue limitd of Vill Negawan, Tehsil and District Patiala as per Jamabandi for the year 2017-2018, and any other liquidated amout in any bank or in hands, owne by Late Sh. Baldev Singh, being wife and children of Predeceased son ( late Sh. Harpreet Singh) of late Sh. Baldev Singh.	RAMJOT KAUR VS GURNAM KAUR	Reply And Consideration	14 Jan 2025
5	<b>CS CJ/1022/2022</b>	CSCJ/1022/2022, Aseem Parkash Vs Dr. Alka Singh booth no 8, sector 48-A	ASEEM PARKASH VS DR. ALKA SINGH	Civil Evidence	14 Jan 2025
6	<b>CIVIL MISC/234/2019</b>	CM/234/2019 Jasbir Singh (Deceased) through Legal Heirs Vs General Public and Ors (Du No 60 , Sector 41-A , Chandigarh)	JASBIR SINGH(DECEASED) THROUGH LIEGAL HEIRS VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Consideration..	14 Jan 2025
7	<b>CIVIL MISC/399/2022</b>	Civil Misc/399/2022 Balwinder Singh Bamra Vs Manpreet Singh. petition copy missing	BALWINDER SINGH BAMRA VS MANPREET SINGH	Consideration	14 Jan 2025
8	<b>CS CJ/2155/2022</b>	CSCJ/2155/2022 Banto Devi vs Ashwani Kumar Sharma House no 956, Dhanas UT	BANTO DEVI VS ASHWANI KUMAR SHARMA	Reply And Consideration	14 Jan 2025
9	<b>CS CJ/935/2024</b>	CSCJ/935/2024 Rajinder Singh Vs Jai Bhagwan Chhachhia Dwelling unit no 5552/1 Manimajra ChandigarH PUC dated 25/06/2024 is a summons to	RAJINDER SINGH VS JAI BHAGWAN	Notice And Record	14 Jan 2025

		appear in the subject cited court case received in this office on 25/06/2024 without the copy of petition may kindly be perused please And the copy of petition is obtained from the counsel of Plaintiff dated 05/07/2024 copy attached The next date of Subject Cited Court Case has been fixed for 19/07/2024 The Plaintiff has filed Suit under section 34 of specific Relief Act for grant of decree for specific performance of Agreement to Sell dated 13/10/1995 entered into between the plaintiff and defendant no 1 2 seeking directions be given to defendants to execute sale deed/transfer of lease rights by way of sale in favour of plaintiff with regard to house no 5552/1 Cat IV Modern Housing Complex manimajra chandigarh And Suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendants their agents restraining the defendants their agents or servant or any other person on their behalf from alienating the suit property ie House no 5552/1 Cat/IV Modern Housing complex Manimajra Chandigarh to any other third party except the plaintiff and further from interfering into the peaceful possession of the plaintiff in the suit property occupied by him being a owner in possession for the last more than 28 years And also filed an application under section 80 2 CPC for grant of leave to file the present suit without serving prior notice upon the defendant no3	CHHACHHIA		
10	<b>CIVIL MISC/453/2023</b>	Civil Misc/453/2023 in Civil Suit No. CSCJ/1346/2021 titled as Roshan Lal vs Estate Officer & CHB	ROSHAN LAL VS THE ESTATE OFFICER	Reply And Consideration	14 Jan 2025
11	<b>CS CJ/1019/2019</b>	Civil suit filed in the court of Ms. Meenakshi Gupta, CJD, Chd. by the plaintiff regarding transfer of ownership of DU No. 3404/2, Sector 40-D, Chandigarh on the basis of Regd. WILL under GPA transfer policy.	PREM NATH SHARMA VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Evidence	15 Jan 2025
12	<b>CS CJ/536/2020</b>	Civil Suit seeking transfer of 1-1/5th Share of DU No. 1217/1, Sector 40-B, Chandigarh.	RAVI KUMAR VS KAMALA DEVI	Evidence	15 Jan 2025
13	<b>CS CJ/531/2023</b>	CSCJ/531/2023 Shankuntla Devi vs Chandigarh Housing Board DU No 247-2, Sector 41-A, CHD The Plaintiff has filed the CIVIL SUIT for Declaration to the effect that the Plaintiff alongwith Performa defendant No. 3 to 5 are the exclusive owner of H.No. 247/2, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh on the basis of the registered Will duly executed by husband of respondent No.2 Late Sh. Budh Ram S/o Sh. Saran Dass being the allottee of H.No. 247/2, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh vide allotment letter No. R-1224- U.T.BO(AA.V)83/1327 dated 28.12.1982 in favour of the husband and father of plaintiff, defendant No.3 to 5 respectively with Mandatory Injunction directing the defendant No. 1 to	SHAKUNTLA DEVI VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	15 Jan 2025

		transfer the same in favour of plaintiff, defendant No.3 to 5 alongwith consequential relief of restraining defendant No.2 from interfering in the peaceful possession of the said house and further Permanent Injunction restraining the defendant No.2 from interfering, selling, mortgaging, hypothecating the said house to any other person except the plaintiff and defendant No.3 to 5 except with due process of law.			
14	<b>CS CJ/352/2024</b>	CSCJ/352/2024 Rajesh Kumar Sadana Vs General Public Dwelling unit no 2696/2 Sector 44C Chandigarh PUC dated 25/06/2024 is a summons to appear in the subject cited court case received in this office on 25/06/2024 without the copy of petition may kindly be perused please And the copy of petition is obtained from the counsel of Plaintiff dated 05/07/2024 copy attached The next date of Subject Cited Court Case has been fixed for 02/09/2024 The Plaintiff has filed Civil Suit for Specific performance of Agreement to sell dated 19/10/1993 entered into between Defendant no3 and the Plaintiffs wherein House No 2696/2 Sector 44C Chandigarh was sold to the plaintiffs at a total sale consideration money of Rs 40,000/ the said amount was paid and full and final receipt dated 19/10/1993 was executed And filed the Suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant no 2 for transferring the Lease hold Rights of House No 2696/2 Sector 44c Chandigarh in favour of plaintiffs on the basis of Agreement to sell dated 19/10/1993 and had bequeathed the above said house in favor of the plaintiffs vide will dated 22/10/1993 in exclusion of any other person AND Suit for permanent injunction restraining the Defendant no. 2, their associates and agent etc. from selling, transferring, alienating, gifting any share and / or creating any third-party rights in house no 2696/2 Sector 44C Chandigarh except the plaintiffs And any other relief this Hon ble Court deems fit according to the facts and circumstances of the present case may be granted in favour of the Plaintiffs and against the defendants	RAJESH KUMAR SADANA VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Notice And Record	15 Jan 2025
15	<b>CS/557/2023</b>	CS/557/2023, Monika Kanwar Vs Gitika DU No 3111/2 Sector 41/D Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed Suit for declaration to the effect that the plaintiffs and defendant No 1 are owners in joint possession to extent of 1/3 share each in respect of the immovable properties left by Lachman Dass Sharma and Smt Santosh Kumari D/o Village Daun Tehsil Distt SAS Nagar Mohali on account of inheritance being their class I legal heirs which includes property No 1 Residential House situated within the revenue estate of village daun tehsil distt SAS Nagar Mohali property no 2 house no 3111/2 Sector 41 D	MONIKA KANWAR VS GITIKA	Replication/ Issues	15 Jan 2025



		Chandigarh And Suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendant no 1 her agents attorneys representatives from alienating gift mortgage transfer or changing the nature of the suit property in any other manner till the same is not partitioned and further restraining the defendant no 2 to transfer the property no 2 to any person during the pendency of suit And Grant any other relief which this Hon ble Court may deems fit and proper in favour of the plaintiffs			
16	<b>CS CJ/1066/2020</b>	The application under section 80(2) CPC for grant of exemption for sending the advancing legal notice to defendants. The applicant prayed the present suit of the plaintiff be allowed and the decree of declaration be passed in favour of the plaintiff to entitle and to allot one booth in the Rehri Market, Sector 20-D, Chandigarh on the basis of this hand cart license No.45, Site No.179, Sector 20-D, Chandigarh.	OM PARKASH VS UNION OF INDIA	Evidence	16 Jan 2025
17	<b>CS CJ/2408/2020</b>	In this matter plaintiff has file an application under section 80(2)CPC for exemption from the service of statutory legal notice U/S 80 CPC. Application under order 39 Rule 1 and 2 read with section 151 CPC restraining the defendant No.4 not to transfer the house in question i.e. H.No.862/1, LIG, Sector 40-A, Chandigarh in the name of defendant No.1 on the basis of alleged fake and fictitious Will during the pendency of the present suit.	PARDEEP SAXENA VS SAVITRI SAXENA	Consideration..	16 Jan 2025
18	<b>CS CJ/1691/2023</b>	CSCJ/1691/2023 Pawan Kumar Vs CHB Du No 2027, Phase-II, Ramdarbar.	PAWAN KUMAR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Written Statement	16 Jan 2025
19	<b>CS CJ/390/2024</b>	CSCJ/390/2024 Vinod Manocha Vs Krishna Devi H.No 1509/1 Sector 29/B Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the Suit for Declaration to the effect that to declare the judgment/ Decree dated 14/11/2017 in Civil Suit no 278/2017 passed by the Hon ble Court of Sh Puneet Mohinia CJD Chandigarh in the case titled as Krishna Devi Vs Vinod Manocha and Others as null and void And Suit for mandatory injunction for issuance of mandage to the Chandigarh Housing Board defendant no 2 to Cancel the transfer of Flat No 1509/1 Sector 29B Chandigarh And Suit for permanent injunction for restraining the defendant No 1 or her heirs agent attorney gunda elements not the dispossess from the peaceful possession in Flat No 1509/1 Sector 29B Chandigarh under the garb of judgment/decreed dated 14/11/2022 as well as execution No 593 2023 pending for 16/03/2024 in the Court of Sh Puneet Mohinia Civil Judge Junior Division Chandigarh during the pendency of the present civil suit Application under Order 39 rule 1 and 2 of CPC for the	VINOD MANOCHA VS KRISHNA DEVI	Notice And Record	16 Jan 2025

		restraining the defendant No 1 her agents heirs attorney gunda elements from peaceful possession of the plaintiff in House No 1509/1 Sector 29 B Chandigarh during the pendency of the Suit			
20	<b>CS CJ/1627/2022</b>	CSCJ/1627/2022 Sharda Soni Vs CHB (Booth No 26, Sector 46 (Rahri Market), Chandigarh)	SHARDA SONI VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply/ Consideration	17 Jan 2025
21	<b>CS CJ/165/2023</b>	Sandeep Kaushal Vs Chandigarh Housing Board, CSCJ/165/2023, Du No 2951, EWS, CHB Flats, Sector 49-D, CHD	SANDEEP KAUSHAL VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Civil Evidence	17 Jan 2025
22	<b>CS CJ/659/2023</b>	CSCJ/659/2023, Kedari Vs Chandigarh Housing Board, DU No 3733, Mauli Jagran, Chandigarh	KEDARI VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	17 Jan 2025

## Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon'ble Permanent Lok Adalat PUS, Chandigarh from 11.01.2025 to 17.01.2025

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	<b>APPL/25/2024</b>	The Plaintiff has filed the Misc application for restraining the Respondent authorities from taking any coercive action against the applicant on the basis of the notices dated 17-05-2024 and 05-06-2024 and for issuing any further similar notices for inspection of the dwelling unit house No 5469 Sector 38-West Chandigarh of the applicant during the pendency of the present Petition And also filed the Application w/s 22-C of Legal Services Authorities Act 1987 as amended Up-To-Date for the settlement of dispute between the parties to the application and to direct the respondent-Department to refrain from issuing notices for inspection and for conducting inspection thereto of the dwelling house of the applicant for any need based changes done by the applicant as the notification dated 03-01-2023 has already been issued thereby allowing the additional construction and need based changes of the dwelling units And Further prayer that the respondents be directed to immediately implement the order/ notification dated 03-01-2023 and to allow / permit the applicant to get his additional construction and need based	Kulwant Singh Rana VS Chandigarh Housing Board and Others	Reply yet to be filed	16 Jan 2025

		changes regularized after getting the requisite fee to be calculated as per clause by of order dated 03-01-2023			
2	<b>APPL/21/2024</b>	The Plaintiff has filed the application for temporary injunction restraining the Respondents from conducting any inspection of dwelling unit of applicant as regularization/additional construction is lawful in terms of order/policy dated 03-01-2023 and the applicant has already applied for the same till the decision of this Honourable court (House No 5572, Sector 38-West Chandigarh)	Bhupinder Puri VS Chandigarh Housing Board and Others (House No. 5572, Sector 38-West, Chandigarh)	Short Reply filed	16 Jan 2025
3	<b>APPL/20/2024</b>	The Plaintiff has filed the application for temporary injunction restraining the Respondents from conducting any inspection of dwelling unit of applicant as regularization/additional construction is lawful in terms of order/policy dated 03-01-2023 and the applicant has already applied for the same, till the decision of this Honourable court (House No 5550 Sector 38-West Chandigarh)	Maninder Singh Patti VS Chandigarh Housing Board and Others (House No. 5550, Sector 38-West, Chandigarh)	Short Reply filed	16 Jan 2025

## Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon'ble Consumer Court, Chandigarh from 11.01.2025 to 17.01.2025

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	EA/57/2023	Jasdev Singh has filed the subject cited Execution Application for execution of order dated 13-09-2012 passed by the Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission UT in CC No33-2012 and claimed the payment of compensation amount	Jasdev Singh Mattu VS Parsavnath Developers Ltd and others	Arguments	14 Jan 2025

## Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon'ble National Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission, from 11.01.2025 to 17.01.2025

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	<b>EA/132/2022</b>	Exeution Application filed by the petition before the Honorable National Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission in Complaint Case No 20 of 2011.	Sher Singh Sidhu VS Parsvnath Developers and others	Arguments	14 Jan 2025
2	<b>EA/129/2022</b>	Execution application filed by the petitioner before National Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission, New Delhi against the order in State Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission, U.T., Chandigarh	Ronsher Singh Sidhu VS Parsvnath Developers Ltd. and others	Arguments	14 Jan 2025
3	<b>EA/133/2022</b>	Execution application filed by the petitioner before National Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission, New Delhi against the order in State Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission, U.T., Chandigarh	Ronher Singh Sidhu VS Parsvnath Developer Ltd. and others	Arguments	14 Jan 2025
4	<b>EA/128/2022</b>	Execution application filed by the petitioner before National Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission, New Delhi against the order in State Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission, U.T., Chandigarh in Complaint Case no 20of 2011	Sher Singh Sidhu VS Parsvnath Developers Ltd. and others	Arguments	14 Jan 2025

## Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon'ble State Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission, from 11.01.2025 to 17.01.2025

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	<b>APP/103/2020</b>	the Hon'ble State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, UT, Chandigarh has passed an interim order dated 23.11.2020 and issued the notice for	Ajay Gauba VS M/s. Parsavnath	Arguments	16 Jan 2025

		<p>presence of judgment debtors No. 1 to 3 namely Sh. Sanjeev Kumar Jain, CEO and Managing Director Sh. Pardeep Kumar Jain and Dr. Rajeev Jain, Director of Parsavnath Developers Ltd. Be now secured though non-bailable warrants of arrest for the next date of hearing.</p> <p>The Hon'ble State Court has also issued directions to Deputy Commissioner, UT, Chandigarh to attach the entire project of the judgement debtors i.e. 'Parsavnath Pride Asia' Rajiv Gandhi Chandigarh Technology Park Chandigarh and appoint a receiver.</p> <p>However, the project 'Parsavnath Pride Asia' is no longer in existence. This project was cancelled and the land of Rajiv Gandhi Chandigarh Technology Park is returned to Chandigarh Housing Board. And M/s Parsavnath Developers Ltd. has no titled interest right on this land. It is further submitted that similar orders were also passed in the cases of Execution Petition No.125 of 2020 titled as Jyoti Sood and anothers Vs.PDL and others. CHB has appointed Sh. Vishal Sodhi Advocate in these case and he has filed application for revision/modification of said order</p>	Developers Ltd. and others.		
--	--	---	-----------------------------	--	--

## Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon'ble Advisor to the Administrator, UT, Chandigarh from 11.01.2025 to 17.01.2025

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	<b>REVISION/22/2023</b>	Revision Petition 22 of 2023 Vijay Singh Vs CHB, under Section 72-B of Haryana Housing Board Act, 1971 (as applicable to UT Chandigarh) against the order dated 23.2.2023 passed by the Chandigarh Housing Board, Chandigarh in respect of Dwelling Unit No. 1096, Phase-II, Ram Darbar, Chandigarh.	Vijay Singh VS Chandigarh Housing Board	Reply filed	16 Jan 2025
2	<b>REVISION/28/2023</b>	Honble Advisor Court Case RP 28 of 2023 Ranjit Singh Vs Chandigarh Housing Board, Du No 1096, Phase-II, Ram Darbar Chandigarh	Ranjit Singh VS Chandigarh Housing Board	Reply filed	16 Jan 2025

## Advance List of Court Cases pending before Sole Arbitrator from 11.01.2025 to 17.01.2025

S. No.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	<b>ARB/429/2023</b>	The subject cited court case has been fixed for hearing on 09-01-2025 before the Sole Arbitrator Mr. Jaswant Singh, Chief Justice (Retd.) for settlement of dispute pertaining to and arising out of contract for the work of providing Storm Water Drainage System for 2108 flats in Sector 63 at Chandigarh.	M/S Sukhmani Megastructure Pvt. Ltd. Vs UT, Chandigarh and others	New Case, First Hearing	16.01.2025
2	<b>ARB/438/2023</b>	The subject cited court case has been fixed for hearing on 09-01-2025 before the Sole Arbitrator Mr. Jaswant Singh, Chief Justice (Retd.) for settlement of dispute pertaining to and arising out of contract for the work of providing Water Supply Lines, Storm Water Drainage System in 1696 Small flats at Mauli Jagran at Chandigarh.	M/S Sukhmani Megastructure Pvt. Ltd. Vs UT, Chandigarh and others	New Case, First Haering	16.01.2025