

## Advance list of court cases pending before Hon'ble High Court of Punjab and Haryana from 18.01.2025 to 25.01.2025

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing Date
1	<b>CWP/28958/2018</b>	Civil Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing the order dated 31.10.2018 and further directing the respondents to transfer the D.U.No.3009/1, Sector 47-D, in his name.	KIRPAL SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD THROUGH ITS SECRETARY	Arguments	20 Jan 2025
2	<b>CWP/15389/2023</b>	Civil Writ Petition under article 226 of the Constitution of India, for issuing appropriate writ order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing Respondents to consider and immediately issue a one room flat in view of the policy i.e. The Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006 to the petitioner no. 1 who is comatose as he has been evicted from his house and thus rendering him homeless as his house along with the whole colony i.e. Labor Colony 4 has been demolished the eviciton as well as demolition has been done in an illegal arbitrary and unreasonable manner in violation of Article 14 &21 of the constitution of India principles of natural justice beside in violation of the scheme of the respondents no. 1.	RAJDEV MEHRA AND ANOTHER VS UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	Arguments	20 Jan 2025
3	<b>CWP/18692/2014</b>	RA-CW-549 of 2014 and C.M. No.12784 of 2014 filed in CWP No.18692 of 2014 for releasing of retirement benefit of the petitioner which includes Graatuity, Leave Encashment, Pension with the further deirections that thepension be released as part the 2009 Pension Scheme of the Respondent No.1 asapproved by the Board of Directors in the 345th meeting held on 3.2.2009. And seting aside the resolution passed by the Respondent No.l in its 371st meeting vide agenda no. 371.2.3 and releasing of three annual increments for the year 2010, 2011 and 2012 alongwith arears of pay and TA bills for the last two meetings attending by the petitioner at Delhi on 11.2.2012 28.02.2012.	GURSHARAN JIT SINGH ROSHA VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD & ANR	Arguments	21 Jan 2025
4	<b>CWP/10808/2019</b>	Encroachment on Govt. Land	VIBHA DHIMAN AND OTHERS VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION	Arguments	21 Jan 2025

			AND OTHERS		
5	<b>CWP/2230/2021</b>	The case is related to cancellation of allotment of D.U.No. 5146/1, Manimajra on the grounds of misuse and violations. The Chief Executive Officer, CEO, has canceled the allotment of said D.U. The applicant has filed an application before the Chairman, CHB. Since the violations and leakages have been removed, the allotment of D.U. was restored subject to payment of restoration charges and other dues, if any, within 10 days of the issue of this communication. In case the restoration charges have not been deposited, the orders passed by CEI shall remain operational. The department has intimated the applicant to deposit a sum of Rs.3,74,579/- as the revival charges including GST. The applicant has not deposited the revival charges and filed revision petition before the Adviser to Administrator UT, Chandigarh. The same was decided and disposed off vide order dated 4th December, 2020 and upheld the decision of the Board. The petitioner has filed the present CWP No. 2230/2021. The Hon'ble High Court has issued notice of motion for 23.02.2021.	VINOD KUMAR BANSAL VS THE ADVISER TO THE ADMINISTRATOR U.T SECRETARIAT AND OTHERS	Arguments	21 Jan 2025
6	<b>CWP/19994/2018</b>	Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for directing the respondents to Regularize and Transfer of Dwelling Unit No.3324/1, Sector 45-D, in favour of the present petitioner. Also for implementing the order dated 29.05.2017 passed by the Respondent Board in its letter and spirit and given benefits of the compliance of the same to the present petitioner.	RAJ RANI VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ANR	Arguments	22 Jan 2025
7	<b>FAO- CARB/26/2018</b>	Appeal filed by the petitioner under Section 37 of the Arbitration And Conciliation Act, 1996 against the order dated 30.05.2018 passed by Ms Aradhana Sawhney, Additional District Judge, Chandigarh in Arbitration Case No.69 of 2015	PARSVNATH DEVELOPERS LTD VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ANR	Arguments	22 Jan 2025
8	<b>CWP/270/2022</b>	CWP No 270-2022 filed by Ranjit Singh against the order dated 10.01.2022 passed by Permanent Lok Adalat and Others DU No 1097 Phase-2 Ram Darbar Chandigarh.	RANJIT SINGH VS PERMANENT LOK ADALAT AND ORS	Arguments	22 Jan 2025
9	<b>CWP/12718/2023</b>	Industrial Conversion case	PREET MOHINDER KOHLI VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND OTHERS	Arguments	22 Jan 2025

10	<b>CWP/17234/2024</b>	CWP filed by the petitioner under section 226 227 of the Constitution of India with a prayer that this Honorable Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate writ order or direction including a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent No 1-Chandigarh Housing Board to implement the Order No- 02 dated 03-01-2023 in its true letter and spirit wherein the need based changes in Dwelling Unit of CHB have been made permissible and the Independent houses are made at par with Marla houses and accordingly direct to consider the applications for changes-additions-alterations In consonance with Clause 22 of said Order read with Chandigarh Building Rules -Urban 2017 forthwith by bringing into operation Online Building Plan Approval System -OBPAS so that the Residents of HIG -IND Resident Wing Association can apply under the said Scheme	HIG (IND) RESIDENTS WELFARE ASSOCIATION VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ORS	Arguments	22 Jan 2025
11	<b>CWP/31656/2024</b>	The subject cited case was filed by the petitioner Under article 226-227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a suitable writ, order or direction especially in the nature of certiorari quashing the impugned order dated 10-10-2024 impugned order dated 30-10-2023 impugned order dated 22-07-2015 and impugned order dated 18-02-2008 passed by the respondent Nos. 1 to 4 pertaining to flat no 6590-c sector 56 Chandigarh allotted to the petitioners under the rehabilitation scheme known as Chandigarh Small Flat scheme 2006 which are being wholly unjust, arbitrary and illegal And for issuance of writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to open the seal of the small flat no 6590-c sector 56 Chandigarh and to hand over the possession of the flat to the petitioners	JABAR SINGH AND ANOTHER VS ADVISER TO THE ADMINISTRATOR UT CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	For filing reply	22 Jan 2025
12	<b>COCP/4931/2024</b>	To Be Entered By Nodal	COL JATINDER SINGH RETD VS AKHIL KUMAR SECRETARY CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Arguments	22 Jan 2025
13	<b>CWP/34896/2024</b>	Civil Writ Petition under Article 226 227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the notice dated 11-07-2022 whereby the petitioner has been informed to give consent for allotment of EWS flats due to non availability of one Bedroom Flat under the Oustee Scheme 2012 and to quash the letter dated 18-08-2022 whereby even the allotment of	MANJIT SINGH VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND OTHERS	Arguments	22 Jan 2025

		EWS flat has also stands cancelled which was passed during the pendency of the earlier writ petition no18701 of 2022 filed by the present petitioner Further issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to allot MIG Flat instead of EWS Flat due to non availability of One Bedroom Flat or to allot LIG Flat available with the respondents			
14	<b>CWP/30181/2018</b>	Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing the proceedings/orders dated 30.08.2018 vide which the refund was deposited in the account of the petitioner by respondents No.3 and 4 while surrendering the dwelling unit illegally and deducting 10% of the amount paid by the petitioner being illegal, null and void as the representations dated 16.8.2018 requesting respondents No.3 and 4 withdrawing the request to surrender the dwelling unit NO.2212/A, Sector 63, Chandigarh vide intimation letter dated 16.08.2018 as well as e-mail sent to them in not considering said presentations withdrawing the application dated 25.07.2018 and order passed thereon in refunding the amount and the intimation letter dated 20.09.2018 vide are liable to be set aside as the request withdrawing the application dated 25.07.2018 was illegally cancelled and intimation letter dated 20.09.2018 is also liable to be set aside and further directing the respondents to handover the possession of the said plot after accepting the amount which was refunded in the account of the petition and pass any order or direction as this Hon'ble Court may fit in the circumstances of the case and further praying that during the pendency of the writ petition dwelling unit No. 2212/A, Sector 63, Chandigarh may not be allotted to any person.	RAM GOPAL VS UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH AND ORS	Arguments	23 Jan 2025
15	<b>CWP/6413/2020</b>	Petition filed by the subject cited petitioner for directing the respondents to implement the pension scheme for the petitioners and all other serving and retired employees who joined the service of the Chandigarh Housing Board before 01.01.2004, expeditiously in the time bond manner particularly when it has already resolved in its 345th meeting held on 03.02.2009 and also in its various subsequent meeting to implement the pension scheme at part with the employees of the Chandigarh Administration, who are governed by the Punjab Civil Services Rules and for further directions to immediately release the pensionary benefits to the employees who have already retired from the Chandigarh Housing Board.	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD EMPLOYEES COORDINATION COMMITTEE AND OTHERS VS UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS	Arguments	23 Jan 2025
16	<b>ARB/304/2019</b>	For appointment of an Arbitrator to Adjudicate Disputes between the Petitioner	B L MEHTA	Arguments	23 Jan 2025

		and the Respondents pertaining to Contract for Construction of 160 2BR Category-II Composite Work	CONSTRUCTIONS PVT LTD VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ANR		
17	<b>CWP/10605/2024</b>	Petition filed by him for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari-mandamus or pass any other order or direction, with the prayer to direct the Respondents to consider the claim of the petitioner for refund of initial deposit paid by the petitioner along with interest at the rate of 9 percent per annum as application money-deposit under the HIG -Independent Housing Scheme -1996 and not insist upon asking for original copy of acknowledgement-receipt dated 10-10-1996 And Further issue of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the Respondents to refund Rs 80000 along with interest at the per annum paid by the petitioner as initial deposit as application money acknowledgement-receipt dated 10-10-1996 along with interest of 9 percent after 30th day of expiration of the waiting list ie from 20-03-1998 till payment of the same And-or In the alternative direct the Respondent No 1 or Respondent No 3 to pass a speaking order in reply to legal notice dated 14-11-2019	AMAR PREET SINGH DEOL VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND OTHERS	Arguments	23 Jan 2025
18	<b>CWP/21741/2019</b>	(Oustees Matter) CWP under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of Writ of certiorari quashing the Cancellation of Registration and Allocation of Dwelling Unit orders dated 09.05.2019 and Show Cause Notice dated 25.09.2018 passed in a most casual and summary manner, without understanding and considering the factual situation and further directing the respondent to issue letter of allotment and deliver of possession of EWS Dwelling Unit Nos. 2353-C and 2392-C both third floor, Sector-63, Chandigarh, respectively, already allotted to the petitioners in December 2017 as "Oustees" under 'THE CHANDIGARH ALLOTMENT OF DWELLING UNITS TO THE OUSTEES OF CHANDIGARH, SCHEME, 1996" AND During the pendency of writ petition, EWS Dwelling Units Nos. 2353-C and 2392-C both third floor, Sector-63, be kept reserved for the petitioners, which were allotted to both the petitioners respectively in lieu of the land measuring 7K-6M (addendum of two parcels of land measuring 3K-13-M each belonging to petitioners having been acquired by respondent no. 1 for respondent no. 2 in the year 2002. 3) Issue letter of allotment and deliver possession of EWS Dwelling Unit Nos. 2353-C and 2392-C	NISHA GUPTA AND ANOTHER VS UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH AND ANOTHER	Arguments	24 Jan 2025

		both third floor, Sector-63, Chandigarh under OUSTEES Scheme.			
19	<b>COCP/2655/2023 IN CWP/2309/2014</b>	Contempt Petition under Sections 11 and 12 of the Contempt of Court Act 1971 for the willful and intentional non-compliance of the directions/order dated 21-04-2022 order dated 05-05-2022 and order dated 20-05-2022 passed by the Honorable High court of Punjab and Haryana in CWP No 2309 of 2024 with prayed that the present contempt petition may be allowed with costs and the respondents may kindly be proceeded against willfully, with malafide intention and during the pendency of instant contempt petition operation of the impugned notice dated 12-09-2022 enclosed Built Up Plans issued to occupants of Ground Floor or duplex flats Sector 41-A may kindly be stayed due to contrary to policy order 59 dated 15-02-2019 in the interest of justice.	PRABHA SHARMA VS DHARAM PAL, IAS, CHAIRMAN AND ANOTHER	Arguments	24 Jan 2025
20	<b>CWP/26786/2022</b>	Civil Writ petition under Articles 226 227 of Constitution of India for issuance of a writ, order or direction especially a writ in the nature of Certiorari for setting aside the order dated 07-09-2022 passed by the Ld Debt Recovery Tribunal-II Chandigarh in OA No 2469 of 2017 titled as Union Bank of India Vs MsR Construction Enterprises and others which is passed on the basis of execution of the judgment and decree dated 19-09-1996 passed by the Court of Sub Judge First Class Chandigarh in Civil Suit NO 140 dated 17-07-1991-13-08-1993 on the short ground that the present petitioner is stranger to the above mentioned litigations and the present petitioner has been dragged and roped in with malafide intentions without appreciating the true, simple and basic fact matrix of the most simple proposition that the Government its instrumentalities never approach any private bank for procuring loan that too such meager loan And With a further prayer directing the Executing Court and the Trial Court to appreciate the true facts and decide the maintainability of the present proceedings in the Suit -OA- Execution qua the present petitioner being the instrumentality of the State and proceed on the basis of actual record where there is no privity of contract between the Decree Holder Plaintiff and the present petitioner-JD No 8 And With a further prayer to allow and direct the present petitioner to lay challenge to the judgment and decree dated 19-09-1996 passed by the Court of Sub Judge First Class Chandigarh in Civil Suit No 140 dated 17-07-1991-13-08-1993 after condoning the delay that has occurred in the meantime by taking appropriate objections on the short ground that the present petitioner has been unnecessarily and malafidely impleaded in the	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD VS UNION BANK OF INDIA AND OTHERS	Arguments	25 Jan 2025

		<p>proceedings as the Defendant No 8 without any basis as the present petitioner being the instrumentality of the State has never ever approached the respondent no 1 bank for availing any loan facilities whatsoever and there being no document at all on record whereby the present petitioner can be said to have approached or signed any document till date And With a further prayer to stay the operation of the impugned order dated 07-09-2022 passed by the Ld Debt Recovery Tribunal-II Chandigarh in OA No 2469 of 2017 titled as Union Bank of India Vs Mis Construction Enterprises and others and the recovery proceedings arising therefrom during pendency of the present writ petition And With a further prayer to summon the complete records of the case and take to task the illegal malafide and unethical attempt of the respondent no1 bank and the private respondents in daring to proceed to implead the present petitioner as one of the parties approaching the bank for loan without any occasion and in the absence of any cogent and tangible proof of the petitioner ever either signing any single document or having visited any time the office of the respondent no-1 in connection with applying for loan and with a further prayer to take exemplary action against the erring officials of all the respondents for which the present petitioner also reserves his rights to take and initiate appropriate action</p>			
--	--	---	--	--	--

## Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon'ble District Court, UT Chandigarh from 18.01.2025 to 25.01.2025

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	CS CJ/2184/2021	Suit for Permanent Injunction restraining the defendants no. 1 to 3, their relatives, associates, attorney assignees, agents, employees from interfering in the peaceful possession of the plaintiffs and from dispossessing the plaintiffs from the property i.e. House No, 1265, Phase-II, Ramdarbar, Chandigarh.	GEETA RANI DASS VS MOTI RAM	Evidence	18 Jan 2025
2	ARB/65/2021	To challenge the arbitration award 17-05-2021 has been challenged by CHB in	CHANDIGARH	Arguments	18 Jan 2025

		the Honble District court and Sessions Court Jalandhar for providing water supply for 2108 flats in Sector 63 Chandigarh in Arbitration case M/S RG Industries Arvinder Pal Singh and District Level Micro and Small Enterprises Facilitation Council	HOUSING BOARD VS RG INDUSTRIES		
3	EXE/136/2024	The plaintiff has filed the Application for Execution Under Section 36 of Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 for the enforcement of the arbitral award dated 17-05-2021 amounting to Rs 1,61,22,417/- as on 30-04-2021 plus Rs 97,14,473/- (Calculated upto 29-02-2024) totaling amount of Rs 2,58,36,890/- plus future interest passed by District Level Micro and Small Enterprises Facilitation Council Jalandhar Punjab (Constituted under the Micro Small and Medium enterprises Development Act 2006)	R.G INDUSTRIES VS EXECUTIVE ENGINEER CHANDIGARH	Appearance	18 Jan 2025
4	MISC DJ/609/2024	MISC DJ/609/2024 Paramjit Kaur vs Chief Executive Officer HNo 3039 Sector 55 Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed an Application under section 5 of Limitation Act for condonation of delay of days in filing he application restoration of above said appeal And an application for restoration of appeal no 712/2018 which was dismissed in default on dated 15112022 for want of non prosecution	PARAMJIT KAUR VS CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER	Notice and record	20 Jan 2025
5	CS CJ/2120/2021	CSCJ 2120 2021 titled as Inderjit vs Chandigarh Housing Board H No 569 New Indira Colony Manimajra Chandigarh	INDERJIT VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Evidence	21 Jan 2025
6	CS CJ/496/2023	CSCJ/496/2023, Rajdeep Singh Vs Chandigarh Housing Board DU No 4987, Maloya Colony, Chandigarh	RAJDEEP SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Notice And Record	21 Jan 2025
7	CS CJ/824/2023	CSCJ/824/2023 Neelu Vs Chandigarh Housing Board DU No 555, Dhanas, Chandigarh	NEELU VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Arguments	21 Jan 2025
8	CIVIL MISC/567/2023	PUC is a summons to appear in the subject cited court case received in this office without the copy of petition attached may kindly be perused please The next date of Subject Cited Court Case has been fixed for 13/08/2024 Earlier Civil Suit no CS/2114/2020 titled as Shamsher Singh others Vs The Estate Officer Chandigarh another which was dismissed by the Hon ble District on 03/04/2023	SHAMSHER SINGH VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION	Appearance	21 Jan 2025
9	MCA DJ/10/2023	MCA DJ/10/2023, Ram Dev Vs CHB, Site No 415, Karsan, Phase-II, Ram Darbar, Chandigarh	RAM DEV VS CHANDIGARH	Reply And Consideration	22 Jan 2025

			HOUSING BOARD		
10	MCA DJ/11/2023	MCA DJ/11/2023, Amrinder Singh Vs Chandigarh Housing Board, Site No 10, Karsan Phase-II, Ram Darbar, Chandigarh.	AMRINDER SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	22 Jan 2025
11	CS CJ/486/2023	Seema Sood Vs Sanjay Sood, CSCJ/486/2023, Du No 2149/2, Sector 45-C, CHD	SEEMA SOOD VS SANJAY SOOD	Consideration	22 Jan 2025
12	CA/45/2024	CA/45/2024 in Civil Suit No CSCJ/2324/2019 titled as Vijay Kumari vs Secy CHB Anr Detailed report regarding violations in respect of LIG Flats Sector 47/C CHD Allotment of DU No 2905/1 S/47/C CHD The Plaintiff has filed the Civil Appeal under section 96 of CPC Against the impugned judgment and decree dated 31/01/2024 passed by Shri Parmod Kumar, HCS Civil Judge Jr Div Chandigarh in Civil Suit No 2324 of 2019 titled as Vijay Kumair Vs Chandigarh Housing Board and Anr thereby dismissed the Civil Suit for declaration, permanent Injunction and Mandatory Injunction is illegal nonest perverse as the same was not properly decided and the same is liable to be setaside To accept the appeal, to set aside the impugned judgment and decree dated 31/01/2024 and to allow the relif claimed in the Civil Suit with cost throughout to meet the end of justice And also filed Application for staying the operation of judgment and decree dated 31/01/2024 passed by Shri Parmod Kumar HCS Civil Judge JR Div. Chandigarh in Civil Suit No 2324 of 2019 titled as Vijay Kumari vs Chandigarh Housing Board and Anr And also for staying the operation of order dated 26/11/2019 issued by the office of defendant no 2 till the decision of the appeal	VIJAY KUMARI VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	22 Jan 2025
13	CS CJ/126/2023	CSCJ/126/2023, Ashok Kumar Marwaha Vs CHB Du No 514, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh	ASHOK KUMAR MARWAHA VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Consideration	23 Jan 2025
14	CS CJ/232/2024	CSCJ/232/2024 Rajeshwar Kumar Vs General Public H No 4426 EWS Maloya Colony Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the SUIT for declaration to the effect that the Plaintiffs are the legal heirs of late Sh Dharam Pal alias Dharam Chand son of Sh Chhadi Lal alias Chhedi Lal to the extent of 50 share each of House no 4426 EWS Maloya Colony Chandigarh And Suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant No 2 to transfer the 50 share each of House no 4426 EWS Maloya colony Chandigarh in favour of the plaintiffs and directing the	RAJESHWAR KUMAR VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Written Statement / Consideration	23 Jan 2025

		defendant No 2 not to transfer the house in the name of any persons except the plaintiffs And also filed an Application under section 67 of Chandigarh Housing Board Act 1971 As amended read with section 80 of CPC for leave to institute the suit without service of notice over the defendant no 2			
15	CS CJ/1279/2023	CSCJ/1279/2023, Gireesh Kapoor Vs General Public, DU No 3702, HIG Lower, Sector 46-C, Chandigarh	GIREESH KAPOOR VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Appearance	24 Jan 2025

## Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon'ble Consumer Court, Chandigarh from 18.01.2025 to 25.01.2025

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	<b>CC/167/2021</b>	In this matter the complaint under section 35 of the Consumer Protection Act, 2019, on account of employing Unfair Trade Practices and coercing the complainant to take delivery of deficient product as well as abdication from liability as service provider on part of respondent for deficient services rendered to the complainant.	Resident Welfare Association Sector 51 through its President Gurinder Pal Singh Chandigarh Housing Board VS	Arguments	20 Jan 2025
2	<b>CC/810/2022</b>	The Complainant has filed the subject cited complaint under Section 35 of Consumer Protection Act 2019 and prayed to direct the respondents to refund an amount of Rupees 1088231 which has been charged illegally by the OPs, to Direct the OPs to pay the interest on the aforesaid amount at the rate 20percent per annum that is the rate which the OPs themselves charged from the general public when the received the delayed payment, To direct the OPs to pay an amount of Rs10 lacs as compensation on account of mental agony harassment and depriving the complainant of this hard earned money and further complainant has been made as a pendulum between the department	Kewal Krishan VS Chairman, Chandigarh Housing Board	Arguments	22 Jan 2025

		of the OPs and to direct the OPs to pay an amount of Rs110000 on account of cost of litigation			
--	--	--	--	--	--

## Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon'ble Advisor to the Administrator, UT, Chandigarh from 18.01.2025 to 25.01.2025

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	REVISION/./2024	The Plaintiff has filed the Revision petition under Section 72-B of the Haryana Housing Board Act against the order dated 16-07-2019 passed by the Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh in respect of Dwelling Unit No 3874 Sector-56 Palsora Chandigarh and further the Plaintiff has filed an Appeal Under Section 72-A of the Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 against the orders dated 28-01-2019 passed by the Chief Executive Officer Chandigarh Housing Board, Chandigarh cancelling the allotment of House No 3874 Sector-56 Palsora Chandigarh on account of building violations and against the orders dated 28-03-2019 passed by the Chairman Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh dismissing the appeal preferred by the appellant	Sh. Ram Lal son of Sh. Chuhar Singh through GPA Hari Singh VS Chandigarh Housing Board, Chandigarh and others (House No. 3874, sector-56, Palsora, Chandigarh)	First hearing	23 Jan 2025

## Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon'ble Permanent Lok Adalat PUS, Chandigarh from 18.01.2025 to 25.01.2025

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	APPL/16/2023	(Chandigarh The plaintiff has filed the application for issue mandatory direction to respondents to issue possession letter and physical possession of Flat No 549 Dhanas UT Chandigarh to application under Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006	Mani Ram and Others VS Chandigarh Housing Board and Others	Reply filed	24 Jan 2025

2	APPEAL/19/2023	PLA Case Application No 19 of 2023 Prabha Sabikhi others VS CHB DU No 3079 1 Sector 47 D Chandigarh PUC is a summons to appear in the subject cited court case received in this office on 28 11 2023 alongwith the copy of petition copy attached may kindly be perused please The case is fixed for hearing on 05 2 2023 at 11 00 am in the Honble Permanent Lok Adalat Public Utility Services Sector 43 Chandigarh The Petitioner has filed the Petition under Section 22 C of Legal Service Authority Act 1987 for settlement of dispute between the parties	Prabha Sabikhi @ Prabha Bajaj and another VS The Chairman, Chandigarh Housing Board	Reply filed	24 Jan 2025
3	APPL/10/2024	The petitioner has filed the application for issuance of necessary direction to the respondents to allot an accommodation under the Pradhan Mantri Awas Yojna Scheme (PMAY) Scheme as floated by the Government being the eligible applicant	Pawan Kumar VS Chandigarh Housing Board and others	Reply filed	24 Jan 2025
4	APPL/34/2024	The Plaintiff has filed the Application under Section 22-C of the Legal Services Authority Act 1987 for restraining the respondent authorities from taking any coercive action against the applicant on the basis of notice dated 09-10-2024 and for issuing any further similar notice pertaining to dwelling unit no 2118 Sector 45-C Chandigarh The Plaintiff has also filed the Application for interim injunction restraining the Respondent from proceeding further and taking any further action above notice	Inder Kaur VS Chandigarh Housing Board (DU No. 2118, Sector 45-C, Chandigarh)	Evidence	24 Jan 2025
5	APPL/36/2024	The Plaintiff has filed the Application under Section 22-C of the Public Utility Service Act 1986 for directing the respondents for refund of excess amount charges to the tune of Rs 263015 alongwith interest at 18 percent in respect of dwelling unit no 5025-1 MHC Manimajra Chandigarh and It is also further direct to pay litigation expenses and it was also further prayer that the Applicant may kindly be compensated for causing mental harassment and financial loss	Raman Singla VS Chandigarh Housing Board and others (House No 5025/1, MHC, Manimajra, Chandigarh)	Reply filed	24 Jan 2025
6	APPL/Rev App No 66/2024	The Plaintiff has filed the application for review of the order dated 08.11.2024 and the applicant seeks review of this Honorable Court order dated 08-11-2024 received on 25-11-2024 passed in Application No 07 of 2024 in the case of Alka Singh Vs Chandigarh Housing Board on the facts and documentary evidence not considered while adjudicating the prayer of refund of huge amount charged excess in violation of statutory rules The review application is maintainable as per Honorable Punjab and Haryana High Court judgment rendered in the case - Estate office UT Chandigarh Vs Charan Kaur And Another on 27-02-2015	Dr. Alka Singh VS Chairman, Chandigarh Housing Board (Booth No 8, Sector 48-A, Chandigarh)	Fresh Case	24 Jan 2025