

Advance list of court cases pending before Hon'ble Supreme Court from 22.02.2025 to 28.02.2025

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	C.A/594/2024	Civil Appeal filed for arising out of the impugned final order dated 06-09-2023 in Consumer Complaint No237 of 2015 passed by the Honorable National Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission Delhi	Parsvnath Developers Limited VS Ram Swarup Kanda (deceased) through LR.s. and ors	Arguments	28 Feb 2025

Advance list of court cases pending before Hon'ble High Court of Punjab and Haryana from 22.02.2025 to 28.02.2025

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	FAO/2331/2014	FAO FILED AGAINST THE ORDERS OF ADDL. DISTRICT MAGISTERATE, U.T. CHANDIGARH	SHUBINDER SINGH BRAR & ANR VS GEETINDER GREWAL & ORS	Arguments	24 Feb 2025
2	CWP/11716/2015	Writ Petition filed by the subject cited petitioner for quashing the impugned order dated 06.02.2014 communicated on 28.05.2014 passed by the respondent No.2 being contrary to the order dated 01.08.2012 and dated 16.10.2012 passed by the Hon'ble Court in CWP No.1586 of 2011 and order dated 10.06.2004 passed by the respondent no.2 itself. And to issue a writ directing the respondents to make the allotment of dwelling unit to the petitioner as have been allotted to the similarly situated persons or to make the allotment of dwelling unit No.6287, Sector 56, Chandigarh to him which is still lying vacant and kept reserved in furtherance to the order dated 10.06.2004 passed by the Respondent No.2/competent authority.	BALI RAM VS UT OF CHANDIGARH AND ORS.	Arguments	24 Feb 2025
3	CWP/26717/2017	CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing the impugned order dated 13.06.2016 and 25.04.2017 passed by the Respondent No.3 the Appellate Authority, vide which the bonafide claim of the petitioner for allotment of a tenement under "The Chandigarh Small Flat Scheme 2006" for	RAKESH AND ANR VS UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH AND ORS	Arguments	24 Feb 2025

		rehabilitation of slum dwellers have been declined. Further praying for issuance of writ in the nature of Mandamus commanding the respondents to consider and allot the respondents to consider and allot the petitioner a tenement, as per Scheme called the Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme-2006.			
4	CWP/26186/2017	(Oustees Matter) CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing the impugned order dated 07.11.2017 issued by the Accounts Officer, Chandigarh Housing Board, Respondent No.3 rejecting the application Form No.1101 of the petitioner by the Property Allotment Committee Respondent No.4 for MIG(Two Bed Rooms) Flats under the "263 Flats for oustees of U.T., Chandigarh on lease hold basis/free hold basis under the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling units of the Oustees of Chandigarh, Scheme 1996" and writ of mandamus directing the respondent No.3 and 4 to allot the MIG (Two Bed Rooms) Flats, in Sector 51-A, Chandigarh as action of the of Respondent No.3 and 4 rejecting the application Form No.1101 of the petitioner is illegal, null and void, discriminatory and against the scheme and pass any other direction or order as this Hon'ble Court may deemed fit in the circumstances of the case.. Also it is further prayed that the during the pendency of the writ petition, one flat of MIG (Two Bed Rooms) Flats may kindly be kept reserved and the same may not be allotted to any person till the matter is decided by this Hon'ble Court.	AJIT SINGH VS UNION TERRITORY, CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	Arguments	24 Feb 2025
5	CWP/4433/2025	Petition filed by the subject cited petitioner under Article 226-227 of the Constitution for issuing appropriate writ- order or direction in the nature of certiorari for quashing the impugned notice dated 03-05-2022 being illegal- arbitrary- unreasonable therefore in violation of Article 14- 19 and 21 of Constitution of India besides in violation of the policy of respondent no-1 dated 06-11-2006 AND For issuing an appropriate Order or Direction restraining the respondent no- to 3 from carrying on the eviction of petitioners from their houses-Jhuggis in Janta Colony Sector 25-D Chandigarh till the adjudication of this Writ Petition AND For Issuing an appropriate Writ, Order or Direction in nature of mandamus directing the Respondents to make arrangements of the alternative accommodations for the petitioners in view of the policy dated 06-11-2006 The Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme-2006 and Policy of September 2024-Pradhan Mantri Awas Yojana-Urban 2-0 OR any other	NANHA RAM AND OTHERS VS UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	Parawise comments sent to the counsel, Reply to be prepared by the counsel.	24 Feb 2025

		suitable rehabilitation scheme for the slum dwellers in view of order			
6	CWP/17769/2015	Writ Petition filed by the subject cited petitioner for quashing of order dated 08.04.2015 endorsed on 16.04.2015 and order dated 11.08.2015 passed by the Respondent No.1 and 2 i.e. CHB and Registrar Cooperative Societies-cum-Deputy Commissioner, U.T., Chandigarh.	ARSHDEEP SINGH SIVIA AND ORS VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ORS	Arguments	25 Feb 2025
7	CWP/21779/2017	Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing the show cause notice for resumption of the allotment of D.U. No. 1/1, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh on account of Building Violation.	ASHWANI KUMAR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD THR ITS CHAIRMAN AND ORS	Arguments	25 Feb 2025
8	CWP/33179/2018	Civil Writ Petition under article 226/227 of Constitution of India for the issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of writ of certiorari/ mandamus or such other appropriate writ, order or direction declaring the action of the Chandigarh Administration In over-charging the petitioner towards ground rent and the price of the shop allotted to the petitioner, in violation of the orders dated 14.05.2015, Annexure P/1, passed by this Hon'ble court in CWP No. 9723 of 2014 as illegal, arbitrary, malafide and violative of Article 14 and 19(1)(g) of the Constitution; Further an issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of mandamus directing the Chandigarh Administration to charge the ground rent on the land price and to adjust a sum of Rs. 20,00,000/- paid by the petitioner on 22.11.2016 towards premium amount without any further delay; And/or such other appropriate writ, order or direction as this Hon'ble court may deem fit and appropriate in the facts and circumstances of the case.	VIJAY KUMAR SABHARWAL VS UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS	Arguments	25 Feb 2025
9	CWP/30777/2018	Writ Petition filed for quashing the order dated 26.06.2018 passed by the appellate authority-cum-CEO, CHB in appeal vide which the claim of the petitioner for allotment of house under the Chandigarh Small Flat Scheme 2006 has been rejected and further directing the respondents to allot the dwelling unit in lieu of Jhugi No.538, SBS Colony to the petitioner under the Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006.	VARINDER VS ESTATE OFFICER UT CHANDIGARH AND ANR	Arguments	25 Feb 2025
10	CWP/2335/2021	As decided by Worthy CM,CHB to challenge the court orders dated 8.2.2020 passed by the Hon'ble Permanent Lok Adalat, UT, Chandigarh in App/1016/2018 titled as Anand Mishra Vs CHB, (D/Unit No.2211-A, 2BR, Sector 63, Chandigarh) in favour of the petitioner because the Hon'ble PLA Court has taken a view that there is not justified delay of project of	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD CHD VS ANAND MISHRA AND ANR	Arguments	25 Feb 2025

		Sector 63 and awarded relief to the applicant i.e. One lakh compensation to the applicant for causing delay of possession and also awarded Rs.10,000/- towards mental agony/ harassments and Rs.10,000/- as litigation expenses.			
11	CWP/29809/2018	Petition filed by the petitioner for issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of Certiorari Mandamus of such other appropriate writ, order or direction declaring the action of the respondent Board in charging excess amount for the Dwelling Unit 5281 MIG Sector 38-W for the allotment of the flat under Hire-Purchase under Partial OR Full Self Finance System from the petitioner instead of charging the price prevail in the year 1997 when draw of lots for the allotment of said flats were held while issuing allotment letter dated 07-02-2018 and also charging ground rent on the revised price ignoring the fact that the petitioner was not given the possession of the said flat as illegal arbitrary malafide and violative of Article 14 of the Constitution of India and further directing the respondent Board to refund the excess amount charged from the petitioner	ADDAR SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Arguments	25 Feb 2025
12	CR/3577/2023	The copy of Civil Revision Petition filed by the petitioner under Article 227 of the Constitution of India with the prayer that order dated 05-05-2023 passed by learned Additional Civil Judge Chandigarh in case Civil Suit No CS of 2647 of 2018 titled as Bhim Singh versus Ved Rani and others which suit is now pending for 26-05-2023 vide which order the application filed by the petitioner plaintiff for summoning of witnesses in rebuttal evidence has been dismissed may kindly be set aside the said order being illegal against law and facts of the case arbitrary against the principles on natural justice thus unsustainable and liable to be set aside the application filed by the petitioner plaintiff for summoning the witnesses in evidence dated 18-04-2023 may kindly be ordered to be allowed in the interest of justice AND For issuance of any other orders or directions as this Honorable Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the present case The Civil Suit No.CS/2647/2018 earlier filed by the petitioner before the Addl Civil Judge Chandigarh was defended by the CHB through the Counsel Sh Harinder Kumar Aurora Advocate	BHIM SINGH @ BHIM CHAND VS VED RANI AND OTHERS	Arguments	26 Feb 2025
13	CWP/327/2006	REGD. ALLOTMENT IN PALSORA COLONY and quashing the order dated 5.8.2002 of AEO	KULDEEP SINGH VS U.T.CHD. AND ORS.	Arguments	27 Feb 2025
14	CWP/10401/2023	The CWP filed by the Petitioner for directing the respondents for	OM PARKASH	Arguments	27 Feb 2025

		quashing the impugned letter dated 31-08-2022 and impugned letter dated 13-02-2023 issued by the respondents requiring the petitioner to deposit money again inspite of the fact that petitioner has already paid entire amount of cost of land and construction of booth and same is mentioned in the lease deed dated 24-04-2008 and the lease deed was executed by the respondents only after receipt of entire amount as mention in the allotment letter Further for issuance of an appropriate writ order or direction in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to issue No Due Certificate to the petitioner as petitioner has paid all the amount on account of cost of land and construction of booth and 2rd and last installment has already been paid on dated 09-06-1995 and nothing is due against the petitioner	CHOPRA VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ANR.		
15	CWP/34705/2024	The Petitioner has filed this petition under Civil Articles Constitution issuance direction nature of Writ Petition 226-227 of India of for writ, order especially in under the the or the certiorari/Mandamus the promotion of thereby quashing order dated 04-01-2022 of private respondent being in violation of mandate of law and against the Housing Servants) Board Service -The Chandigarh -Officers Regulations- 2003- and also in defiance to the precedent settled by this Honorable Court in CWP No 9266 of 2021 titled as -Mohit Khanna Versus The Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh and others decided on 24.11.2023 and modified-upheld by the Honorable Division Bench vide order dated 02-05-2024 directing the and further official respondents to consider the claim of the petitioner for promotion from the source meant for Diploma Holders vacancy which has arisen on 22-07-2013 and lying vacant since 13-10-2017 and to follow the roster keeping in view the sequel of the facts mentioned below in the interest of justice or issue any other direction which this Honorable Court may deem fit and proper in the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case in the interest of justice	MOHIT HANDA S/O MAHENDER PAL HANDA VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ORS	Parawise comments sent, reply to be prepared by the counsel.	27 Feb 2025
16	RA-CW/274/2024	Review Application filed by the applicant under Section 114 CPC praying for reviewing of the impugned order dated 30-04-2024 -Annexure A-1- passed by this Honorable Court AND Prayer to accept the review application AND In view of Order No- 272 dated 29-09-2016 in which the decision has been taken by the respondents in its 399th Meeting held on 28-09-2016 vide Table Agenda Item No 399-04 to formulate a uniform policy to restore all such dwelling units subject to payment of all outstanding dues revival charges etc and penal charges issued vide	CHARANJIT KAUR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND OTHERS	Arguments	28 Feb 2025

		Annexure A-2 by the respondents AND To restore the possession of the dwelling Unit No 24 Sector 51-A Chandigarh to the applicant being helpless widow shelter less and penniless AND Further to issue any other order or direction which this Honorable Court may deem fit and appropriate in the peculiar facts and circumstances in the present case			
17	CWP/26247/2024	The petitioners were allotted Small Flat No. 2520-2, Sector-49, Chandigarh under the Chandigarh Small flat scheme 2006. That a survey was conducted by the Respondents to identify unauthorized occupants in flats under the scheme.	GHANSHYAM AND ANR VS ADVISOR TO ADMINISTRATOR, UT CHANDIGARH AND ORS	Notice of Motion issued, reply not prepared by the counsel	28 Feb 2025

Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon'ble District Court, UT Chandigarh from 22.02.2025 to 28.02.2025

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	CS CJ/1350/2017	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for cancellation of allotment in respect of # 908/40-A, Chandigarh # 928/40-A, Chandigarh due to filing false affidavit..	RAJ KUMAR CHIBBER VS USHA PRASHAR	Evidence	24 Feb 2025
2	CS CJ/826/2019	Suit filed by the plaintiff for declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is owner to the extent of 50% share in DU No. 2313, Sector 45-C, Chandigarh with consequential relief directing the def. No. 1 (CHB) to transfer 50% share of the said DU.	MEENU DHAWAN VS THE CHAIRMAN	Evidence	24 Feb 2025
3	CS CJ/1795/2021	Suit for Declaration to the effect that the plaintiffs are owners to the extent of 1/5th share each of House No. 3215, Sector-45/D, Chandigarh being Class 1 leal heirs entitled to inherit the property of deceased Ram Singh S/o Matoo Ram R/o H.No. 3215, Sector-45-D, UT, Chandigarh with consequential relief of permanent injunction relief of permanent injunction restraining the defendant no.1 and 2 and their agents to dispossess the plaintiffs from the demised premises illegally and forcibly, selling, mortgaging, transferring, exchanging, encumbering and changing the nature of the suit property in any manner more than their share and specific part thereof to any person, creating any type of hindrance and restraining to disconnect the electricity and water connection of the premises.	MANJEET KAUR VS BALJIT SINGH	Evidence	24 Feb 2025

4	CS CJ/2232/2022	CSCJ/2232/2022, Nisha Vs Chandigarh Housing Board, House No 235-P, Village Dhanas, Chandigarh	NISHA CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	VS	Reply And Consideration	24 Feb 2025
5	ARB/142/2024	To Challenge the Arbitration Award dated 08-11-2023 in district court for the work of construction of 240 Two Bedroom Flats Group-IV in Sector 63 Chandigarh in Arbitration Case M/s BL Mehta Construction Pvt Ltd Vs Chandigarh Housing Board	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD VS M/S B.L MEHTA PROJECTS PVT. LTD.		Notice And Record	24 Feb 2025
6	MCA DJ/45/2024	MCA DJ/45/2024 Vikram vs Chandigarh Housing Board HNO 6547/B Sector 56 small flats Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed an APPEAL under section 54 1 of the Haryana Housing Bord, Act 1971 As extended to Chandigarh against the orders dated 27/06/2024 passed by the secretary Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh under Section 51 Sub/section 1 of the Haryana Housing Board Act Excerising the Powers of copetent authority ordering the Eviction of the appellant from small flat no 6547/B Sector 56 Chandigarh	VIKRAM CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	VS	Arguments	24 Feb 2025
7	CA/2452/2018	CA/2452/2018 Appeal filed by the Appellant against judgement dated 31.10.2018 passed by Sh. Gurkiran Singh, CJD, Chandigarh whereby suit of the Appellant is partly decreed in respect of payment of flat sold vide Regn. No. 2337 allotted to him for refund of amount alongwith 12% interest - Flat No. 5151, MHC, Cat-I, Manimajra	RAM LAL ARYA VS VIJAY KUMAR		Arguments	25 Feb 2025
8	CS CJ/3121/2018	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer the ownership of flat No. 2198-D, Sector 63, Chandigarh on the basis of WILL.	VEDWATI LAKHBIR KAUR	VS	Arguments	25 Feb 2025
9	CS CJ/1224/2021	In this regard, it is submitted that the said application under Order 39 Rule 1 and 2 read with Section 151 CPC for grant of ad-interim injunction restraining the defendant No.1 not to part with, rent out, change the structure, lease out to any body and not to create charge in any form against Flat No.454 Sector 61, Chandigarh, during the pendency of the suit and further restraining defendant no 3 not to the transfer the flat in question during the pendency of the suit in the name of any other person.	JITENDER UPPAL VS ASHWANI KUMAR		Evidence	25 Feb 2025
10	CS CJ/2050/2021	Suit for declaration that the Letter dated 17.02.2021 transferring the ownership rights of dwelling unit no. 3394/2, Second Floor, Category MIG, Sector-40/D, Chandigarh, on the basis of the Will through Court judgement is nullity void, illegal and is inconsequential being based on willful misrepresentation.	ASHOK KUMAR VS MEERA KUMARI		Reply And Consideration	25 Feb 2025
11	CS CJ/1184/2022	Civil Suit No CSCJ/1184/2022 Vishwanath Pathak vs Ayodhya Prasad(DU No 383, Phase-II, Ramdarbar)	VISHWANATH PATHAK	VS	Arguments	25 Feb 2025

			AYODHYA PRASAD		
12	CS CJ/518/2022	CSCJ 518 2022 titled as Veena Kohli vs Amit Kumar Anand House No 3332 Sector 46 C Chandigarh	VEENA KOHLI VS AMIT KUMAR ANAND	Civil Evidence	25 Feb 2025
13	CS CJ/1325/2023	CSCJ/1325/2023 Ranjit Singh Vs Vijay Singh Du No 1097, Phase-2, Ram Darbar, Chandigarh	RANJIT SINGH VS VIJAY SINGH	Reply And Consideration	25 Feb 2025
14	CS CJ/1991/2023	CSCJ/1991/2023 Madhumatia Bhaskarwar VS General Public H No 2230/2 Sector 45 C Chandigarh the petitioner has filed the civil suit for declaration to the effect that plaintiffs be declare owner in possession of house no 2230/2 Sector 45 C Chandigarh as they are having the peaceful possession of house in question since last 37 years in view of the sale purchase documents such as GPA SPA Agreement to sell will and affidavit of dates 24-10-1985 which was executed by the original allottee i e defendant no 2 in favor of the plaintiff parents	MADHUMATI A BHASKARWAR VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Defence evidence	25 Feb 2025
15	CA/113/2024	CA/113/2024 Upinder Prashad vs The Secretary of Chandigarh Housing Board HNo 5860 Sector 56 Palsora UT Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed an Application U/s 54 2 of Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 as extended to Chandigarh read with Section 151 of CPC for staying the impugned eviction order 27/06/2024 where by the respondent was directed to Vacate the DU No 5860 Sector 56 Palsora UT Chandigarh directed to vacate the which was cancelled on 28/01/2019 And Filed an Appeal U/s 54 of Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 as extended to Chandigarh read with Section 151 of CPC against the impugned eviction order 27/06/2024 where by the respondent directed the appellant to vacate the DU NO 5860 Sector 56 Palsora UT Chandigarh which was cancelled on 28/01/2019	UPINDER PRASHAD VS THE SECRETARY	Arguments	25 Feb 2025
16	CS CJ/1362/2024	CSCJ/1362/2024 Rajinder Kaur vs General Public HNo 3258/1 Sector 44D Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed an Suit for Declaration to the effect that as the plaintiffs and defendant no 3 are the owners of HNo 3258/1 Sector 44d Chandigarh to the extent of 1/4 th share each on the basis of agreement special power of Attorney General Power of Attorney and Will dated 09/05/1985 AND Suit for Partition of HNo 3258/1 Sector 44d Chandigarh between the plaintiffs and defendants to the extent of 1/4 th share each by metes and bounds and in case the partition is not possible the property be sold by the parties amongst the coowners first and iin case no coowner is ready to purchase the property then the property may be sold in the open market by way of auction And Suit for	RAJINDER KAUR VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Reply And Consideration	25 Feb 2025

		mandatory injunction directing the defendant nO 3 to pay the mesne profit to be assessed by this Honble court from the date of present suit till the actual realization And Suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant no 2 to transfer the suit property in the name of plaintiffs and defendant no 3 to the extent of 1/4 th share each and to make necessary changes in the register of ownership and records maintained by them And Suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendants no 3 their agents nominees attorneys heirs relatives employees etc from selling alienating transferring any portion of the suit property to any person or creating charge or encumbrance over the suit property and from dispossessing the plaintiff and interfering in peaceful possession of the suit property			
17	CA/192/2024	The Appellant has filed an application for staying the operation of order dated 04-10-2024 received on 09-10-2024 during the pendency of the present And filed an appeal under section 54 of the Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 (as extended to UT Chandigarh) against the impugned order passed by respondent vide endst no 905 dated 04-10-2024 received on 09-10-2024 whereby the Respondent illegally and arbitrarily cancelled the allotment of Flat No 251 C, Small Flats, Phase 2, Ramdarbar, Chandigarh	RUPESH KUMAR VS SECRETARY-CUM-COMPETENT AUTHORITY	Reply And Consideration	25 Feb 2025
18	CS CJ/2240/2024	Grant of ad-interim injunction by for restraining the defendants from creating any type of right in respect of DU No. 1206, Sector 43-B, UT, Chandigarh.	HARNOOR SINGH VS AMANDEEP SINGH KOCHHAR	Notice record and	25 Feb 2025
19	MCA DJ/12/2025	Setting aside the eviction order dated 01-01-2025 passed due to non-payment in respect of DU No. 3262, Maloya, UT, Chandigarh.	CHANDRAWATI ALIAS CHANDER WATI VS CHANDRIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Notice record and	25 Feb 2025
20	CS CJ/1439/2021	Suit for Declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is entitled to get ownership of Dwelling Unit No 445/B, Sector-61, Chandigarh	BALVINDER KAUR VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Reply And Consideration	26 Feb 2025
21	CS CJ/1937/2016	Civil Suit for allotment/transfer of DU No. 6756-A, Sector 56, Chandigarh in the name of legal heirs under Dr. Ambedkar Awas Yojna-2009.	REKHA RANI VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION	Defence evidence	27 Feb 2025
22	C.S./2585/2019	CS/2585/2018 Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff seeking allotment of flat under Chd. SmallFlat 2006 in lieu of H.No. 300 Pandit Colony, Kajheril	MOHAMED SAHANI VS ADVISOR, CHD	Defence evidence	27 Feb 2025

		Sector 52, Chandigarh.	ADMIN, UT, CHD		
23	CIVIL SUIT/515/2021	Civil Suit No. CSCJ/515/2021 titled as Dilawar Singh VS Asha Deep Kaur and Others before the Civil Judge (Jr. Division) Garhshankar (PB).(DU No. 3675 ,CAT-MIG-I, Sector 46-C)	Dilawar Singh VS Asha Deep Kaur		27 Feb 2025
24	EXE/170/2023	Satish Kumar Contractors LTD Vs Chandigarh Housing Board, EXE/170/2023.	SATISH KUMAR CONTRACTORS LTD VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Awaiting Further Order	27 Feb 2025
25	CA/73/2023	CA/73/2023, Balbir Singh Vs General Public, #435/1, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the Civil Appeal against the order, judgment and decree dated 01.12.2022 passed by the court of Sh. Puneet Mohinia, Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Chandigarh in the Civil Suit No. 3067 of 2018 whereby the Suit for Declaration and Mandatory Injunction of the Appellants/Plaintiffs has been dismissed. To set aside the impugned order, judgment and decree dated 01.12.2022 under appeal passed by the court of Sh. Puneet Mohinia, Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Chandigarh whereby the suit for Declaration and Mandatory Injunction of the Appellants/Plaintiffs has been dismissed by way of acceptance of this appeal throughout and decreeing of the suit of the Plaintiffs/Appellants.	BALBIR SINGH VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Arguments	27 Feb 2025
26	CA/173/2023	Civil Appeal CA/173/2023 Madhu Bala Vs Vijay Kumar, Du No 2671(Ground Floor) Sector 40-C, Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the Civil Appeal against the Judgment and Decree dated 03.04.2023 passed by the Court of Sh. Puneet Mohinia, Civil Judge (J.D.), Chandigarh. CLAIM IN APPEAL:- Acceptance of appeal, set-aside the Judgment and decree dated 03.04.2023 and dismiss the civil suit of the respondent No. 1/plaintiff.	MADHU BALA VS VIJAY KUMAR	Reply And Consideration	27 Feb 2025
27	MISC DJ/275/2023	MISC DJ/275/2023 Satish Kumar Jain vs Chandigarh Housing Board	SATISH KUMAR JAIN VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Awaiting Further Order	27 Feb 2025
28	CS CJ/232/2024	CSCJ/232/2024 Rajeshwar Kumar Vs General Public H No 4426 EWS Maloya Colony Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the SUIT for declaration to the effect that the Plaintiffs are the legal heirs of late Sh Dharam Pal alias Dharam Chand son of Sh Chhadi Lal alias Chhedi Lal to the extent of 50 share each of House no 4426 EWS Maloya Colony Chandigarh And Suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant No 2 to transfer the 50 share each of House no 4426 EWS Maloya colony Chandigarh in favour of the plaintiffs and directing the defendant No 2 not to transfer the house	RAJESHWAR KUMAR VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Evidence	27 Feb 2025

		in the name of any persons except the plaintiffs And also filed an Application under section 67 of Chandigarh Housing Board Act 1971 As amended read with section 80 of CPC for leave to institute the suit without service of notice over the defendant no 2			
29	CS CJ/1545/2024	<p>CSCJ/1545/2024 Meera Rawat vs Navneet Dhillon Brij Lal vs Chandigarh Housing Board HNo 2876 Sector 40/C Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed Civil Suit for Declaration to the effect that Plaintiffs are the owners in possession of House No 2876 Sector 40/C Chandigarh to the extent of 25 each respectively totaling to the extent of 75 they being the only surviving legal Heirs of Santan Singh Negi noted registered owner in records of Chandigarh Housing Board and defendant no 1 to 3 are entitled to get 25 share transferred in their name being the sole legal heirs of late Sh Brijesh Negi 4 th Legal Heir of Late Sh Santan Singh Negi in viewo fo the averments made in the Civil suit in the interest of justice AND mandatory Injunction be issued restraining Defendant no 1 to 3 their agents and servants not to interfere and enter in the peaceful possession of respective share of Plaintiffs constituting 75 percent in House no 2876 Sector 40/C Chandigarh And Permanent injunction be issued restraining Defendant no 1 to 3 their agents and servants from sale Mortgage Alienate change the nature of property and to create third party to the extent of respective share of the Plaintiffs constituting 75percent in House no 2876 Sector 40/C Chandigarh in any manner in the interest of justice AND Suit for Permanent Injunction Restraining the defendants no 1 to 3 or their agents Representative Successors Servants Legal Heirs etc from alienating, creating any chare or change the construction and nature of the House in any manner it being un partitioned house in view of the averments made in the civil suit in the interest of justice AND Mandatory injunction be issued to defendant no 1 directing her to give 75 percent share of Rent proceeds to the plaintiffs on account of receipt of rent from the tenant of 2 nd floor of house no 2876 Sector 40/C Chandigarh from date of filing of present suit in viewo f the peculiar facts and circumstances of the present case in the interest of justice AND It is further prayed that this Hon ble Court may be pleased to pass any other order in favour of the Plaintiffs and against the Defendants as it may deem fit in view of the peculiar facts and circumstances of the present case in the interest of justice And filed an Application under order 39 rule 1 and 2 read with Section 151 CPC with a</p>	MEERA RAWAT VS NAVNEET DHILLON	Reply/ Consideration	27 Feb 2025

		prayer that this Hon ble Court may be pleased to issue ad interim injunction directing defendant no 1 to 3 not to alienate or create third party rights qua the respective share of Plaintiffs in the interest of justice Ad interim injunction be ordered restraining defendant no 4 from taking any action on the request or application of Defendant no 1 to 3 regarding transfer of any part in their name during the pendency of the accompanied suit in the interest of justice And also filed an Application under section 80 2 read with Section 151 CPC with a prayer that this Hon ble Court may be pleased to exempt the Plaintiffs from serving the Respondent no 4 prior to filing of civil suit with advance notice as required under Section 80 1 in view of the averments made in the application in the interest of justice			
30	CS CJ/1908/2024	The plaintiff has filed the suit for declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is the absolute owner of Dwelling unit or flat no 3031-1 First Floor LIG Sector 45-D, Chandigarh on the basis of registered will dated 02.02.1998 registered before sub registrar UT Chandigarh at Sr no 4512 Book no 3 Vol no 237 page 171 executed by Late Sh. Ajmer singh son of Sh. Harbans Singh. AND filed the suit for mandatory injunction directing defendant no 3 to transfer dwelling unit or flat no 3031-1, First Floor, LIG, Sector 45-D, Chandigarh in the name of plaintiff on the basis of registered will dated 02.02.1998 registered before sub registrar UT Chandigarh at Sr no 4512 Book no 3 Vol no 237 page 171 executed by Late Sh. Ajmer singh son of Sh. Harbans Singh.	SURESH SHARMA VS BALWINDER SINGH	Reply/ Consideration	27 Feb 2025
31	MCA DJ/78/2024	Civil appeal against the eviction order dated 13-11-2024 in respect of DU No. 3129/1, Dhanas, UT, Chd.	NARINDER PARKASH SAINI VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	27 Feb 2025
32	CS CJ/508/2022	CSCJ/508/ 2022 titled as Col M.K. Khorana (Retd) vs Kulbhushan Aggarwal. Regarding House no 5120-2, Cat-I MHC, Sector 13, Manimajra	COL M.K. KHORANA (RETD) VS KULBHUSHAN AGGARWAL	Reply And Consideration	28 Feb 2025
33	CS CJ/98/2023	CSCJ/98/2023 Daleep Rattan vs Prem Lata without petition	DALEEP RATTAN VS PREM LATA	Reply And Consideration	28 Feb 2025
34	CS CJ/195/2025	Suit for declaration of title, permanent injection and peacefully possession by means of specific performance of Agreement to Sell dated 15.09.2021 in respect of DU No. 1597, Daddu Majra Colony, UT, Chandigarh, to execute and get the sale deed registered in the office of	RINKU VS SOMPAL	Appearance	28 Feb 2025

		Sub Registrar, UT, Chandigarh OR in the alternative suit for recovery of Rs.17 lakh and damages of Rs.2 lakh with interest at 12 percent per annum from the date of agreement to sell till its actual realization AND suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendant his agents, legal representative, successors from selling, mortgaging, lease, further doing agreement to sell transferring and alienating the suit property, to any third party in any manner.			
35	MCA DJ/65/2024	Application for stay the impugned cancellation order dated 18-10-2024 in respect of Small Flat No. 4174, Palsora, Sector 56, UT, Chandigarh.	KRISHAN PAL VS THE SECRETARY	Arguments	28 Feb 2025

Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon'ble Permanent Lok Adalat PUS, Chandigarh from 22.02.2025 to 28.02.2025

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	APPLICATION/10/2024	The petitioner has filed the application for issuance of necessary direction to the respondents to allot an accommodation under the Pradhan Mantri Awas Yojna Scheme (PMAY) Scheme as floated by the Government being the eligible applicant	Pawan Kumar VS Chandigarh Housing Board and others	Reply filed	27 Feb 2025
2	APPLICATION/36/2024	The Plaintiff has filed the Application under Section 22-C of the Public Utility Service Act 1986 for directing the respondents for refund of excess amount charges to the tune of Rs 263015 alongwith interest at 18 percent in respect of dwelling unit no 5025-1 MHC Manimajra Chandigarh and It is also further direct to pay litigation expenses and it was also further prayer that the Applicant may kindly be compensated for causing mental harassment and financial loss	Raman Singla VS Chandigarh Housing Board and others (House No 5025/1, MHC, Manimajra, Chandigarh)	Evidence	27 Feb 2025