

## Advance list of court cases pending before Hon'ble Supreme Court from 29.03.2025 to 04.04.2025

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	<b>SLP/14336/2014</b>	(Alongwith Civil Appeal No. 1376 of 2019) Special Leave Petition filed to challenge the common judgment and order dated 31.01.2014 passed By The High Court Of Punjab And Haryana, Chandigarh in LPA No.2078 Of 2011 In CWP No.14316 Of 2008 whereby the Division Bench of the High Court set aside and upheld an order dated 14.07.2008 issued by respondent no. 1 i.e. Chd. Admn. by which conversion fee payable to change user of land from industrial to commercial, has been increased retrospectively.	H.L VIJ VS Chd. Admn. and other.	Arguments	03 Apr 2025
2	<b>SLP(C)/7568/2014</b>	Civil Appeal No. 1342-58/2019 -SLP filed against the final judgement and order dated 31.01.2014 passed by the Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana HIGH COURT IN LPA NOS. 2053 OF 2011, 2064 OF 2011, 2030 OF 2011, 2033 OF 2011, 2035 OF 2011, 2036 OF 2011, 2038 OF 2011, 2052 OF 2011, 2055 OF 2011, 2059 OF 2011, 2066 OF 2011, 2065 OF 2011, 2123 OF 2011, 2121 OF 2011, 2051 OF 2011 AND 2116 OF 2011 (Issue of enhancement of conversion fee payable to change user of land from industrial to commercial, increased retrospectively).	Neera Goyal VS Chd. Admn. and others.	Arguments	03 Apr 2025
3	<b>SLP(C)/8301/2014</b>	SLP FILED AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT/ORDER DATED 31.01.2014 PASSED BY THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH IN LPA NO.1125 OF 2012 IN CWP NO.19118 OF 2008.	M/S CHANDIGARH SPUN PIPES CO. VS UNION TERRITORY , CHANIGARH AND ORS.	Arguments	03 Apr 2025
4	<b>SLP(C)/8303/2014</b>	(Alongwith Civil Appeal No. 1359/2019) SLP filed against the final judgement and order dated 31.01.2014 passed by the Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana HIGH COURT in LPA/2063/2011 IN CWP/14629/2008 (Issue of enhancement of conversion fee payable to change user of land from industrial to commercial, increased retrospectively).	Harish Goyal and Another. VS Chd. Admn. and Another.	Arguments	03 Apr 2025
5	<b>SLP(C)/8450/2014</b>	(Alongwith Civil Appeal No. 1360/2019) SLP filed against the final judgement and order dated 31.01.2014 passed by the Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana HIGH COURT in LPA/2120/2011 IN CWP/12834/2008 (Issue of enhancement of conversion fee payable to change user of land from industrial to commercial, increased	M/s Khandelia Oil and General Mills Pvt. Ltd.,	Arguments	03 Apr 2025

		retrospectively)	Chandigarh. VS Chd. Admn. and others.		
6	<b>SLP(C)/029144/2014</b>	SLP filed against the final judgement and order dated 31.01.2014 passed by High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh in LPA No.2053 of 2011 in CWP No.12796 of 2008 (Industrial Conversion Case)	Bharat Bhushan Aggarwal VS Chandigarh Housing Board and others	Arguments	03 Apr 2025
7	<b>SLP(C)/023710/2014</b>	SLP filed against the order dated 31.01.2014 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in LPA No.2077 of 2011 in CWP No.14325 of 2008 - Chandigarh Administration Vs M/s Hindustan Garage and Another alongwith 44 connected matter (Industrial Conversion from Lease Hold to Free Hold)	M/s Hindustan Garage VS Chandigarh Administration and others	Arguments	03 Apr 2025
8	<b>C.A/1380/2019</b>	Subject cited Civil Appeal before Hon'ble Supreme Court of India against the order dated 28.01.2019 passed in earlier SLP {C} No.3498 of 2019 from the Judgement and order dated 31.01.2014 of the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh in LPA No.2037 of 2011 for condonation of delay and interim relief regarding change of conversion from lease hold to free hold on earlier fee as per Industrial Conversion Policy of Chandigarh Administration.	M/s Jagan Realtors Pvt. Ltd. VS Chandigarh Administration and Ors	Arguments	03 Apr 2025

## Advance list of court cases pending before Hon'ble High Court of Punjab and Haryana from 29.03.2025 to 04.04.2025

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	<b>CWP/18692/2014</b>	RA-CW-549 of 2014 and C.M. No.12784 of 2014 filed in CWP No.18692 of 2014 for releasing of retirement benefit of the petitioner which includes Gratuity, Leave Encashment, Pension with the further directions that the pension be released as part the 2009 Pension Scheme of the Respondent No.1 as approved by the Board of Directors in the 345th meeting held on 3.2.2009. And setting aside the resolution passed by the Respondent No.1 in its 371st meeting vide agenda no. 371.2.3 and releasing of three annual increments for the year 2010, 2011 and 2012 alongwith arrears of pay and TA bills for the last two meetings attending by the petitioner at Delhi on 11.2.2012 28.02.2012.	GURSHARAN JIT SINGH ROSHA VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD & ANR	Arguments	01 Apr 2025

2	<b>CWP/4691/2017</b>	(Oustees Matter) Writ petition filed by the petitioners for quashing the "Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling Units to the Oustees of Chandigarh Scheme, 1996" issued by Respondent No.3 i.e. CHB vide which applications have been invited upto 15.03.2017 for allotment of flats to the eligible oustees of U.T., Chandigarh under Self Financing Housing Scheme on lease hold/free hold basis, the same being directly in conflict of the order/judgement dated 05.12.2013 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in CWP No.14300 of 1996 and connected 46 writ petitions. AND also a writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to allot dwelling units to the petitioner's strictly in compliance of the order/judgement dated 05.12.2013 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in CWP No.14300 of 1996 and connected 46 writ petitions.	SATBIR SINGH & ORS VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION & ORS	Arguments	01 Apr 2025
3	<b>RSA/3340/2017</b>	RSA filed for restraining the respondents from alienating the suit property and from changing the nature of the suit property and prayed before the Honorable Court for setting aside the impugned judgements and decrees dated 22-07-2015 and 03-01-2017 passed by both the Ld Courts below in the interest of justice CHB is a Respondent No-3 3320 Sector 47-D, Chandigarh	TILAK RAJ GUPTA VS MITT SINGH SAHOTA AND OTHERS	Arguments	01 Apr 2025
4	<b>CWP/11906/2018</b>	Civil Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for setting aside orders dated 06.02.2018 passed by respondent No.4 (CEO, CHB) vide which the petitioner have decline allotment of tenement despite the fact the name/particular of the petitioner finds mentioned in Bio-Metric Survey at Sr. No.26281 of the list.  Further for directing the respondents to consider and allot the petitioner the tenement, as per the Scheme named as Chandigarh Small Flat Scheme 2006" and Amended Scheme of 2009.	SHYAM SUNDER VS UT OF CHANDIGARH AND ORS	Arguments	01 Apr 2025
5	<b>CWP/11289/2018</b>	Civil Writ Petition filed for quashing order dated 26.02.2018 passed by Learned Advisor to the Administrator, U.T, Chandigarh being illegal, Arbitrary, discriminatory and whimsical Further directing the Respondents not to demolished the glazed enclosures/alleged cabins and further directing the respondents not to interfere in the peaceful usage and enjoyment of the property rights by the petitioners and further to grant the permission/NOC at par with other societies granted vide notification dated 17.09.2010.	SURENDER LAMBA AND ORS VS UT OF CHANDIGARH AND ORS	Arguments	01 Apr 2025
6	<b>CWP/12531/2021</b>	Plaintiff has filed a Civil Writ Petition under Articles 226/227 of Constitution of India for Issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing of the letter dated 29.06.2020 demanding Rs.3,32,881/- letter dated 17.10.2020 demanded by the respondents from the petitioner with regard to conversion of land from lease	SANTOSH KUMARI DHIMAN VS THE SECRETARY OF	Arguments	01 Apr 2025

		hold to free hold. And issuance of a Writ in the nature of Mandamus and any other appropriate writ petition for giving direction to the respondents not to implement the letter dated 29.06.2020 and 17.10.2020 as the petitioner has already deposited the requisite amount of conversion charges as well as full payment have already been made in the year of 2008 and made an application for converting from lease hold to free hold, the dwelling unit no. 5291-A, Sector 38 West, Chandigarh. Further directing the respondents to do the needful for converting the above said property with immediate effect as the requisite fees has already been deposited since 2008 onwards and made request for conversion in the year of 2012. Any other writ, order or direction which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case may kindly be issued in favour of the petitioners against the respondents.	HOUSING, CHANDIGARH AND ORS		
7	<b>CWP/22533/2023</b>	Petitioner has filed the subject cited petition under Civil Writ Petition Under Article 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a Writ in the nature of Certiorari for quashing the impugned order dated 11-04-2023 passed by respondent No 2 whereby application u-s 22-C of Legal Services Authority Acts 1987 as Amended up to date for settlement of dispute between petitioner and respondent No 1 for transferring of Dwelling Unit No 1101 Sector-40B Chandigarh wholly on untenable unsustainable grounds and also illegal wrong cryptic wanton and unconstitutional and further issuance of writ in the nature of Mandamus after setting-aside the impugned order dated 11-04-2023 directing respondent No 1 to transfer the Dwelling Unit No 1101 Sector-40B Chandigarh in the name of petitioner in the interest of justice equity and fair play AND-OR For issuance of any other writ order or direction which this Honorable Court may deem fit and proper in the peculiar facts and circumstances of the present case	MANJEET KAUR VS THE CHAIRMAN AND ANOTHER	Arguments	01 Apr 2025
8	<b>CWP/11818/2005</b>	PETITIONER HAS PRAYED FOR QUASHING ANNEXURE P-10, VIDE WHICH HIS REQUEST FOR GRANT OF HIGHER SCALE OF RS.4020-6200 HAS BEEN REJECTED.	KRISHAN KUMAR VS THE U.T. OF CHD. & ORS.	Arguments	02 Apr 2025
9	<b>CWP/16089/2005</b>	It is, further prayed that during the pendency of the writ petition, the highly exorbitant and arbitrary demands, which are being made, be stayed. And/or any other interim order which Hon ble Court may deem fit and proper the facts and circumstances of the case may please be passed.	SATYA PARKASH AND ORS. VS CHD. ADMN AND ORS.	Arguments	02 Apr 2025
10	<b>CWP/18416/2019</b>	Transfer of DU No 2018-1 Sector 47-C Chandigarh	BALJIT SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ANR	Arguments	02 Apr 2025

11	<b>CWP/6413/2020</b>	Petition filed by the subject cited petitioner for directing the respondents to implement the pension scheme for the petitioners and all other serving and retired employees who joined the service of the Chandigarh Housing Board before 01.01.2004, expeditiously in the time bond manner particularly when it has already resolved in its 345th meeting held on 03.02.2009 and also in its various subsequent meeting to implement the pension scheme at part with the employees of the Chandigarh Administration, who are governed by the Punjab Civil Services Rules and for further directions to immediately release the pensionary benefits to the employees who have already retired from the Chandigarh Housing Board.	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD EMPLOYEES COORDINATION COMMITTEE AND OTHERS VS UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS	Arguments	02 Apr 2025
12	<b>CWP/8212/2025</b>	Civil Writ Petition filed by the petitioner under Articles 226-227 of The Constitution of India for issuance of writ in the nature of CERTIORARI for quashing the order dated 16-02-2024 for rejecting the claim of the petitioner for transferring the Dwelling Unit No 2113-C, 3rd Floor, Sector 63-C, Chandigarh in the name of the petitioner and further issuance of writ of MANDAMUS for the transfer of the said Dwelling Unit No. 2113-C, 3rd Floor, Sector 63-C, Chandigarh in the name of the petitioner as per guidelines regarding processing of applications of transfer on the basis of intestate Death/ WILL/GPA-SPA-WILL transfer cases/Family Settlement and Court Decree dated 12-11-2018 issued by the Chandigarh administration, Finance Department, Estate Branch-I, Chandigarh.	YOGUL KAPOOR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD THROUGH THE SECRETARY AND ANOTHER	For Reply (Fresh Case)	02 Apr 2025
13	<b>FAO/2331/2014</b>	FAO FILED AGAINST THE ORDERS OF ADDL. DISTRICT MAGISTERATE, U.T. CHANDIGARH	SHUBINDER SINGH BRAR & ANR VS GEETINDER GREWAL & ORS	Arguments	03 Apr 2025
14	<b>CWP/5424/2018</b>	Civil Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing the office order dated 28.02.2018 and Resolution dated 27.02.2018 against Table Agenda Point No.409.1 passed in 409th meeting held on 27.02.2018 to the extent that the Chandigarh Housing Board has resolved that the extensions in service beyond the age of superannuation to its employees will not be granted extension already granted to its employees will be treated as revoked except those employees, whose extensions are ongoing, who shall be relieved and retired, on attaining the ages of 59 or 60 years as the case may be. AND Further for directions to the respondents to restore the office order dated 12.02.2018 whereby the accepting the Option dated 30.10.2017 submitted by the petitioner to continue in service for first years beyond 28.02.2018 was accepted and the petitioner was allowed to continue in service for a period of one (1) more	SUDHIR KUMAR BHAKHRI VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION & ANR	Arguments	03 Apr 2025

		year i.e. up to 28.02.2019.			
15	<b>CWP/4109/2019</b>	<p>Petition for quashing the order dated 09.11.2017 passed by the screening committee and subsequent order dated 06.02.2018 passed by the appellate authority whereby the claim of the petitioner for allotment of house has been rejected arbitrary and illegally inspite of the petitioner having fulfilled all the conditions which is a clear violation of Article 14 and 16 of the Constitution of India;</p> <p>3.Further for directing the respondent for the claim of the petitioner for allotment of flat under “Chandigarh Small Flat Scheme-2006” having sufficient proofs of his residence as defined under the revised scheme. (Small flat scheme)</p>	SATINDER KUMAR OHJA VS UNION TERRITORY, CHANDIGARH AND ORS.	Arguments	03 Apr 2025
16	<b>CWP/29651/2022</b>	<p>Civil Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing the impugned order dated 14-12-2022 whereby demand has been raised by the Respondent - Municipal Corporation from the Petitioner through the contract awarded by the Respondent - Chandigarh Housing Board towards Advertisement Fees under the Advertisement Control Order 1952 being totally illegal without jurisdiction and have been passed without considering the grounds raised by the Petitioner as also violation of letter dated 16-03-2023 etc of Respondent Chandigarh Housing Board whereby petitioner was asked to reconcile the calculation with Respondent Municipal Corporation Chandigarh and also without affording any opportunity of hearing The petitioner has been victimised because of the inter-se dispute between the two government bodies that is Chandigarh Housing Board and Municipal Corporation by concealment of their inter-se correspondence and further both the demands of license fee and advertisement fee are totally arbitrary illegal discriminatory and a result of non-application of mind and on account of al grounds which have been raised in the writ petition AND further prayed that during the pendency of the present writ petition operation of the impugned orders may kindly be stayed and no coercive actions be taken against the petitioner</p>	M/S SELVEL MEDIA SERVICES PVT LTD VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ORS	Arguments	03 Apr 2025
17	<b>CWP/5150/2015</b>	<p>the Petition filed by the subject cited petitioner for directing the respondents to implement the Pension Scheme for the petitioners and all other serving and retired employees who joined the service of the Chandigarh Housing Board before 1.1.2004, expeditiously in the time bound manner particularly when it has already resolved in its 345th meeting held on 3.2.2009 and also in its various subsequent meeting to implement the Pension Scheme at part with the employees of the Chandigarh Administration, who are governed by the Punjab Civil Services Rules and for further directions to immediately release the Pensionary benefits to the employees who have already retired from the Chandigarh Housing Board.</p>	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD EMPLOYEES CO-ORDINATION COMMITTEE AND ORS VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION TH ADVISER TO	Arguments	04 Apr 2025

			ADMINISTRATOR CHD AND ORS.		
18	<b>CWP/31656/2024</b>	The subject cited case was filed by the petitioner Under article 226-227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a suitable writ, order or direction especially in the nature of certiorari quashing the impugned order dated 10-10-2024 impugned order dated 30-10-2023 impugned order dated 22-07-2015 and impugned order dated 18-02-2008 passed by the respondent Nos. 1 to 4 pertaining to flat no 6590-c sector 56 Chandigarh allotted to the petitioners under the rehabilitation scheme known as Chandigarh Small Flat scheme 2006 which are being wholly unjust, arbitrary and illegal And for issuance of writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to open the seal of the small flat no 6590-c sector 56 Chandigarh and to hand over the possession of the flat to the petitioners	JABAR SINGH AND ANOTHER VS ADVISER TO THE ADMINISTRATOR UT CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	Arguments	04 Apr 2025
19	<b>CWP/6810/2025</b>	CIVIL WRIT PETITION under Article 226-227 of the Constitution for leer-zing appropriate writ order or direction in the nature of certiorari for quashing the impugned order bearing endst No 3143 dated 30-09-2024 and impugned order bearing memo 4404-Colony Allotment-2024-50 dated 18-12-2024 vide which the claim of the petitioners for allotment of flat-alternative accommodation under the Chandigarh Small Flat Scheme 2006 Affordable Rent Housing Complex Scheme 2020 or any other such or similar scheme has been declined by the respondent no-2 being illegal arbitrary unreasonable as similarly situated 299 occupants of Colony No-4 Chandigarh were allotted flat-alternative accommodation under the Affordable Rent Housing Complex Scheme-2020 in a camp organized by the respondent nos-2 dated 29-30-04-2022 flat-alternative and 3 on whose claims accommodation dated for were rejected under the Chandigarh Small Flat Scheme 2006 and they were not fulfilling the criteria under the Scheme 2006 therefore the same are in violation of Article 14 19 and 21 of Constitution of India AND For Issuing an appropriate Writ Order or Direction in nature of mandamus directing the Respondents to make allotment of the flat -alternative accommodations to the petitioners who are on parity with 299 occupants of Colony No-4 Chandigarh who were allotted flat -alternative accommodation Affordable Rent Housing Complex Scheme 2020 in a camp organized by the respondent nos-2 and 3 on dated 29-30-04-2022 whose claims for flat-alternative accommodation were rejected under the Chandigarh Small Flat Scheme 2006 in view of the Chandigarh Small Flat Scheme 2006 and judgment dated 05-02-2025 passed by this Honorable Court in CWP No 1313 of 2018 titled as -Mohan Lal Vs UT of Chandigarh and Others or Pradhan	NAGESHWAR RAM YADAV AND ORS VS UNION TERRITORY, CHANDIGARH AND ORS	For reply (fresh case)	04 Apr 2025

		Mantri Awas Yojana-Urban 2-0 OR any other such or similar suitable rehabilitation scheme for the slum dwellers			
--	--	--	--	--	--

## Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon'ble District Court, UT Chandigarh from 29.03.2025 to 04.04.2025

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	<b>MISC DJ/146/2025</b>	Appeal for setting aside the eviction order dated 09-01-2025 in respect of House No. 5361, Maloya, UT, Chandigarh (Unauthorized occupied).	RAJ KUMAR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	29 Mar 2025
2	<b>MCA DJ/34/2025</b>	Appeal against eviction order dated 21-02-2025 in respect of Small Flat No. 4759/3, Sector 38(W), UT, Chandigarh,	INDER KUMAR VS SECRETARY	Reply And Consideration	29 Mar 2025
3	<b>C.S./2585/2019</b>	CS/2585/2018 Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff seeking allotment of flat under Chd. Small Flat 2006 in lieu of H.No. 300 Pandit Colony, Kajheril Sector 52, Chandigarh.	MOHAMED SAHANI VS ADVISOR, CHD ADMIN, UT, CHD	Defence evidence	01 Apr 2025
4	<b>CS CJ/1019/2019</b>	Civil suit filed in the court of Ms. Meenakshi Gupta, CJJD, Chd. by the plaintiff regarding transfer of ownership of DU No. 3404/2, Sector 40-D, Chandigarh on the basis of Regd. WILL under GPA transfer policy.	PREM NATH SHARMA VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Evidence	01 Apr 2025
5	<b>CS CJ/1795/2021</b>	Suit for Declaration to the effect that the plaintiffs are owners to the extent of 1/5th share each of House No. 3215, Sector-45/D, Chandigarh being Class 1 leal heirs entitled to inherit the property of deceased Ram Singh S/o Matoo Ram R/o H.No. 3215, Sector-45-D, UT, Chandigarh with consequential relief of permanent injunction relief of permanent injunction restraining the defendant no.1 and 2 and their agents to dispossess the plaintiffs from the demised premises illegally and forcibly, selling, mortgaging, transferring, exchanging, encumbering and changing the nature of the suit property in any manner more than their share and specific part thereof to any person, creating any type of hindrance and restraining to disconnect the electricity and water connection of the premises.	MANJEET KAUR VS BALJIT SINGH	Evidence	01 Apr 2025



6	<b>CS CJ/1502/2021</b>	Suit for declaration to the effect that the plaintiffs are co-owner in joint possession, to the extent of 1/4th share of all the properties i.e House No. 406, (The Amrita Shergil Coop. HB 1st Society Ltd.,) A.O.T Complex, Sector-48/A, Chandigarh and House No. 116-B, Sector-51, CHD and House in village and land comprising in khewat No. 20/20 Khatoni No. 24, in Khasra No. 104(3-16), 107 (4-0) total kitte 2, measuring 7 bigha 16 bisw, situated in the revenue limitd of Vill Negawan, Tehsil and District Patiala as per Jamabandi for the year 2017-2018, and any other liquidated amout in any bank or in hands, owne by Late Sh. Baldev Singh, being wife and children of Predeceased son ( late Sh. Harpreet Singh) of late Sh. Baldev Singh.	RAMJOT KAUR VS GURNAM KAUR	Reply And Consideration	01 Apr 2025
7	<b>CS CJ/1920/2021</b>	CSCJ/1920/2021 Harjit Singh vs Daljit Kaur and others ( du 2788-2 sector 47-c chd)	HARJIT SINGH VS DALJIT KAUR	Evidence	02 Apr 2025
8	<b>CS CJ/2120/2021</b>	CSCJ 2120 2021 titled as Inderjit vs Chandigarh Housing Board H No 569 New Indira Colony Manimajra Chandigarh	INDERJIT VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Defence evidence	02 Apr 2025
9	<b>MCA DJ/13/2025</b>	Appellant has filed the subject cited suit to set aside the impugned order dated 02-01-2025 (cancellation order) in respect of Small Flat No. 2707/3, Sector 49, UT, Chandigarh, due to non-payment and directing the respondent to re-allot the said flat.	KAMLESH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD		02 Apr 2025
10	<b>CS CJ/304/2025</b>	Resident Welfare Matter.	HARI GARG VS THE RESIDENT OF WELFARE ASSOCIATION	Appearance	02 Apr 2025
11	<b>CS CJ/1781/2017</b>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for mandatory injunction directing the CHB(Def. No. 1) not to transfer the house No. 3420, Maloya, UT, Chandigarh on the basis of decree dated 28.8.2017 passed by Sh. Imanbir Singh Dhaliwal, CJJD, Chandigarh also directing def. No. 1 2 to transfer the DU in favour of plaintiff on the basis of Agreement to sell.	SANJAY KUMAR GARG VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD THROUGH CHAIRMAN	Evidence	03 Apr 2025
12	<b>CS CJ/2008/2019</b>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for rthe transfer of DU No. 547, Indira Colony Manimajra on the basis of WILL dated 23.3.2018 plaintiff is grand son of original allottee.	AJAY PARTAP SINGH VS THE CHAIRMAN	Evidence	03 Apr 2025
13	<b>CS CJ/1181/2020</b>	Suit for declaration to the effect that the plaintiff be declared as the owner of 12.5% share of the ancestral property i.e. H.No.3021, HBC,Dhanas, Chd and suit	AMAYRA KANOJIA VS	Civil Evidence	03 Apr 2025

		for permanent injunction restraining the defendants No.1 to 3 from alienating any property.	KIRAN		
14	<b>CS CJ/1956/2021</b>	Application under section 80(2) of the Code of Civil Procedure 1908, for seeking exemption for sending the notice under section 80 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908.	KAILASH GARG VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Defence evidence	03 Apr 2025
15	<b>CIVIL MISC/489/2022</b>	CM/489/2022, Lakhbir Kaur V Jagdip Kaur without copy of petition	LAKHBIR KAUR VS JAGDIP KAUR	Reply And Consideration	03 Apr 2025
16	<b>CS CJ/1658/2022</b>	CSCJ/1658/2022 Kam Ram Kohli Vs R.K.Dass, # 3686 Sector 46-C, CHD	KAM RAM KOHLI VS R.K.DASS	Evidence	03 Apr 2025
17	<b>CIVIL SUIT/994/2023</b>	CSCJ/994/2023 Meera Rani Vs Chandigarh Housing Board, 491-1, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the application under order 39 rule 1 and 2 read with section 151 of CPC praying the ad-interim injunction restraining the defendants from selling, alienating, transferring, mortgaging the flat no.491/1, sector 41-a, Chandigarh during - the pendency of the suit.	MEERA RANI VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	03 Apr 2025
18	<b>CS CJ/1345/2023</b>	DU No. 2910/3, Sector 49, UT, Chandigarh.	ANMOL RATTAN PUPNEJA VS RAJBIR SINGH	Reply And Consideration	03 Apr 2025
19	<b>CA/12/2023</b>	CA/12/2023, Sushma Bhai and Ors. Vs Ranjana Suri and Ors. DU No. 1504/1, Sector 29-B, Chandigarh. The Plaintiff has filed the CIVIL APPEAL UNDER SECTION 96 of CPC for setting aside the Judgment and decree dated 29.11.2022, passed by the Court of Sh. Bharat, HCS(J), Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Chandigarh in Civil Suit No. 524 of 08.03.2016, whereby the suit of the Plaintiffs was dismissed with costs. Claim in Appeal for setting aside the judgment and decree dated 29.11.2022 and for decreeing the suit of the Plaintiffs with costs.	SUSHMA BHAI VS RANJANA SURI	Notice And Record	03 Apr 2025
20	<b>PROB/5/2024</b>	PROB/5/2024 BB Khanna Vs General Public H No 300/2 MIG L Sector 41A Chandigarh PUC is a summons to appear in the subject cited court case received in this office sent by AO IV on 19/03/2024 without the copy of petition (copy attached) may kindly be perused please The Plaintiff has filed the petition under Section 372 of the Indian Succession Act, 1925 for Probate of irrevocable Will dated 09/07/1985, Annexure P/1 Registered vide Wasika/ Registration serial No 822 Book No 3 Volume 132 Page No 173/177 Registered Before the then Sub Registrar before the then Sub Registrar Chandigarh on 10/07/1985.	B.B. KHANNA VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Reply And Consideration	03 Apr 2025
21	<b>CS CJ/1246/2024</b>	CSCJ/1246/2024 titled as Raghbir Singh Vs Joginder Singh HNo 5361/1 Modern Housing Complex Manimajra Sector 13 Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed an Civil Suit for Declaration to the effect that the will dated 24/02.223 as mentioned in	RAGHBIR SINGH VS JOGINDER SINGH	Appearance	03 Apr 2025

		petition alleged to be executed by late Smt Raj Rani registered at SNo 1920 Book No 3 Vol No I with Sub/Registrar Chandigarh in favouR of defendant NO 1 is a fabricated document and is outcome of fraud and misrepresentation therefore same may be declared as illegal document and further declaration that the properties left by late Smt Raj Rani moveable and immovable have been inherited by the plaintiff and defendants no 1 to 3 in equal share all being the class I legal heirs of late Smt. Raj Rani Further Suit for Permanent Injunction restraining the defendant no. 1 from transferring and parting with the possession of the HNo 5361/1 Modern Housing Complex Manimajra Section 13 Chandigarh and gold in the possession of the defendant no.1 to third person by way of sale mortgage and gift and not to withdraw and misappropriate the money laying in the banks in the name of late Smt Raj Rani Further Civil Suit for partition of the H.No 5361/1 Modern Housing Complex Manimajra Chandigarh amounts of FDRs laying wit SBI Sector 30 Chandigarh and gold in the possession of the defendant no 1 amongst plaintiff and defendants no 1 to 3 in equal share If partition is not possible the house in dispute be ordered to be sold in public auction and proceed be divided amongst the plaintiff and defendant no1 to 3 in equal share			
22	<b>CS CJ/3002/2018</b>	Suit for specific performance of Agreement to Sell dated 12-03-2003 in respect of DU No. 3081, Sector 44-D, UT, Chandigarh.	VIJENDER KUMAR NIRMAL VS NANAK SINGH	Reply And Consideration	03 Apr 2025
23	<b>MCA DJ/78/2024</b>	Civil appeal against the eviction order dated 13-11-2024 in respect of DU No. 3129/1, Dhanas, UT, Chd.	NARINDER PARKASH SAINI VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	03 Apr 2025
24	<b>CS CJ/2006/2016</b>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff seeking transfer of properties i.e. DU No. 1238, Sector 43-B, Chandigarh 14th share in agriculture land on WILL basis.	INDU MUNJAL VS CHAIRMAN CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Defence evidence	04 Apr 2025
25	<b>C.S./719/2021</b>	the plaintiff has filed a Suit for a Decree for Possession (Symbolic) by way of the Specific performance of the Agreement to Sell dated 12.09.216 executed by the Defendant No.1 in favour of the Plaintiff, by directing the defendant No.1 to execute and get register the Sale Deed/Transfer Deed qua Buil-Up Booth No.242,	SUKHVINDER SINGH VS JASWINDER PAL SINGH	Reply And Consideration	04 Apr 2025

		RBL No.107, Shastri Market, Sector 22-C, Chandigarh in favour of the Plaintiff. AND for a Decree for Mandatory Injunction directing the Defendant No.2 and 3 to transfer the Built-up Booth No.242 RBL No.107, Shastri Market, Sector 22-C, Chandigarh favour of the Plaintiff by issuing a Re-Allotment letter and by executing Transfer Deed/Lease Deed in favour of the Plaintiff. And suit based on Oral as well as Documentary Evidence. Plaint under Order 7 Rule 1 CPC.			
26	<b>CIVIL SUIT/515/2021</b>	Civil Suit No. CSCJ/515/2021 titled as Dilawar Singh VS Asha Deep Kaur and Others before the Civil Judge (Jr. Division) Garhshankar (PB).(DU No. 3675 ,CAT-MIG-I, Sector 46-C)	Dilawar Singh VS Asha Deep Kaur		04 Apr 2025
27	<b>CS CJ/1279/2023</b>	CSCJ/1279/2023, Gireesh Kapoor Vs General Public, DU No 3702, HIG Lower, Sector 46-C, Chandigarh	GIREESH KAPOOR VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Appearance	04 Apr 2025
28	<b>EXE/846/2023</b>	EXE/846/2023 M/s Inter Solar System Pvt. Ltd Vs Chandigarh Housing Board( Without copy of petition)	INTER SOLAR P LTD VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	04 Apr 2025
29	<b>ARB/67/2024</b>	Application under Section 39 (2) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act 1996 for giving direction to the respondent No 1 Chandigarh Arbitration Centre for delivering the Arbitral Award pronounced by Sole Arbitrator Sh Jagdish Singh Khushdil on 25-05-2023 in the interest of Justicesd3ed4	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD VS CHANDIGARH ARBITRATION CENTRE	Reply And Consideration	04 Apr 2025
30	<b>ARB/67/2024</b>	Application under Section 39 (2) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act 1996 for giving direction to the respondent No 1 Chandigarh Arbitration Centre for delivering the Arbitral Award pronounced by Sole Arbitrator Sh Jagdish Singh Khushdil on 25-05-2023 in the interest of Justicesd3ed4	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD VS CHANDIGARH ARBITRATION CENTRE	Reply And Consideration	04 Apr 2025
31	<b>CS CJ/374/2024</b>	CSCJ/374/2024 Jaspreet Singh vs CHB Du No 5066/2 2 nd floor category 3 MHC Manimajra U T Chandigarh PUC is a summons to appear in the subject cited court case received in this office on 11/03/2024 with the copy of petition copy attached may kindly be perused please The Plaintiff has filed the Suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendant no1 not to transfer the said Dwelling Unit No 5066/2 2 nd floor category 3 Modern Housing Complex Mani	JASPREET SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Appearance	04 Apr 2025

		Majra UT on dated 05/12/2011 vide agreement to sell Biana was executed by the defendants in favour of the plaintiff and letter no HB CAO/AO IV/DA I/2024/2557 Dated 09/02/2024 be stay till the final disposal of the said suit			
32	<b>CS CJ/844/2024</b>	CSCJ/844/2024 Ruchi Arora Vs Chandigarh Housing Board HNo 5457 Modern Housing Complex Sector 13 Manimajra Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the SUIT for declaration to the effect that the impugned Challan dated 12/04/2024 Annexure P/1 and impuged Notice/cum/demolition order dated 25/04/2024 Annexure P/2 issued by defendants no 3/4 is quite illegal un constitutional arbitrary one discriminatory unilateral and even in utter violation of principles of natural justice hence liable to be set/aside AND SUIT for permanent injunction restraining the defendants through their Agents Representatives Employees Servants/Assignees or through police etc causing any sort of interference in peaceful lawful and continuous possession of the plaintiff over DU No 5457 MHC Sector /13 Manimajra Chandigarh hereinafter referred to as suit property and further restraining the defendants from demolishing any part of the above said DU of the plaintiff under the garb of NoticecumDemolition order dated 25/04/2024 and further restraining the defendants from committing any such tortuous act in future till the pendency of the present suit, in the interest of Justice AND/OR Pass any other or direction which this Hon ble Court may deems fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the present case in the interest of Justice	RUCHI ARORA VS THE CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	04 Apr 2025

## Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon'ble Permanent Lok Adalat PUS, Chandigarh from 29.03.2025 to 04.04.2025

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	<b>APPLICATION/16/2023</b>	(Chandigarh The plaintiff has filed the application for issue mandatory direction to respondents to issue possession letter and physical possession of Flat No 549 Dhanas UT Chandigarh to application under Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006	Mani Ram and Others VS Chandigarh Housing Board and Others	Arguments	02 Apr 2025
2	<b>APPEAL/19/2023</b>	PLA Case Application No 19 of 2023 Prabha Sabikhi others VS CHB DU No 3079 1 Sector 47 D Chandigarh PUC is a summons to appear in the subject cited court case received in this office on 28 11 2023 alongwith the copy of	Prabha Sabikhi @ Prabha Bajaj and another VS	Reply Filed	03 Apr 2025

		petition copy attached may kindly be perused please The case is fixed for hearing on 05 2 2023 at 11 00 am in the Honble Permanent Lok Adalat Public Utility Services Sector 43 Chandigarh The Petitioner has filed the Petition under Section 22 C of Legal Service Authority Act 1987 for settlement of dispute between the parties	The Chairman, Chandigarh Housing Board		
3	<b>APPLICATION/10/2024</b>	The petitioner has filed the application for issuance of necessary direction to the respondents to allot an accommodation under the Pradhan Mantri Awas Yojna Scheme (PMAY) Scheme as floated by the Government being the eligible applicant	Pawan Kumar VS Chandigarh Housing Board and others	Reply Filed	03 Apr 2025
4	<b>APPEAL/22/2023</b>	Application no 22 of 2023 Rajbeer Vs CHB Others H No A-576 Nehru Colony Sector 53 Kajheri U T Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the Application U s 22-C of State Legal Services Authority Act 1987 for directing the respondents to allot the residential dwelling unit under the Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006 Chandigarh Small Flats amendment Scheme 2009 in lieu of Jhuggi House No A-276 Nehru Colony Sector 53 Kajheri UT Chandigarh as the applicant is fully eligible and entitled to the allotment of the dwelling units as per the above said scheme	Rajbeer VS CHB and others	Reply Filed	04 Apr 2025
5	<b>APPLICATION/EX 04/2024</b>	The Plaintiff has filed the application under the Legal Services Authorities Act 1987 for Execution of the order dated 20-06-2023 Application No 73 of 2021	Bal Kishan Sharma VS The estate office UT Chd and others	Arguments	04 Apr 2025
6	<b>APPLICATION/25/2024</b>	The Plaintiff has filed the Misc application for restraining the Respondent authorities from taking any coercive action against the applicant on the basis of the notices dated 17-05-2024 and 05-06-2024 and for issuing any further similar notices for inspection of the dwelling unit house No 5469 Sector 38-West Chandigarh of the applicant during the pendency of the present Petition And also filed the Application w/s 22-C of Legal Services Authorities Act 1987 as amended Up-To-Date for the settlement of dispute between the parties to the application and to direct the respondent-Department to refrain from issuing notices for inspection and for conducting inspection thereto of the dwelling house of the applicant for any need based changes done by the applicant as the notification dated 03-01-2023 has already been issued thereby allowing the additional construction and need based changes of the dwelling units And Further prayer that the respondents be directed to immediately implement the order/ notification dated 03-01-2023 and to allow / permit the applicant to get his additional construction and need	Kulwant Singh Rana VS Chandigarh Housing Board and Others	Short Reply Filed	04 Apr 2025

		based changes regularized after getting the requisite fee to be calculated as per clause by of order dated 03-01-2023			
7	<b>APPLICATION/21/2024</b>	The Plaintiff has filed the application for temporary injunction restraining the Respondents from conducting any inspection of dwelling unit of applicant as regularization/additional construction is lawful in terms of order/policy dated 03-01-2023 and the applicant has already applied for the same till the decision of this Honourable court (House No 5572, Sector 38-West Chandigarh)	Bhupinder Puri VS Chandigarh Housing Board and Others (House No. 5572, Sector 38-West, Chandigarh)	Short Reply Filed	04 Apr 2025
8	<b>APPLICATION/20/2024</b>	The Plaintiff has filed the application for temporary injunction restraining the Respondents from conducting any inspection of dwelling unit of applicant as regularization/additional construction is lawful in terms of order/policy dated 03-01-2023 and the applicant has already applied for the same, till the decision of this Honourable court (House No 5550 Sector 38-West Chandigarh)	Manider Singh Patti VS Chandigarh Housing Board and Others (House No. 5550, Sector 38-West, Chandigarh)	Short Reply Filed	04 Apr 2025
9	<b>APPLICATION/34/2024</b>	The Plaintiff has filed the Application under Section 22-C of the Legal Services Authority Act 1987 for restraining the respondent authorities from taking any coercive action against the applicant on the basis of notice dated 09-10-2024 and for issuing any further similar notice pertaining to dwelling unit no 2118 Sector 45-C Chandigarh The Plaintiff has also filed the Application for interim injunction restraining the Respondent from proceeding further and taking any further action above notice	Inder Kaur VS Chandigarh Housing Board (DU No. 2118, Sector 45-C, Chandigarh)	Reply Filed	04 Apr 2025

## Advance List of Court Cases pending before The Sole Arbitrator, UT, Chandigarh from 29.03.2025 to 04.04.2025

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	<b>ARB/429/2023</b>	The case is pending before the Sole Arbitrator Mr. Jaswant Singh Chief Justice Retired in relation to providing Storm Water Drainage System for 2108 flats in	M VS s Sukhmani	Pending for submission of	03 Apr 2025

		Sector 63, Chandigarh allotted on 15.02.2015 for an agreement value of Rs. 35514516.	Megastructure Private Limited	statement of claims by the claimant	
2	<b>ARB/438/2023</b>	The subject cited court case is pending before the Sole Arbitrator Mr. Jaswant Singh Chief Justice Retired in relation to providing water supply lines and Storm water Drainage system for 1696 Small Flats in Mauli Jagran Chandigarh allotted on 18.11.2013 for an agreement value of Rs. 42496953.	M VS s Sukhmani Megastructure Private Limited	Pending for submission of statement of claims by the claimant	03 Apr 2025

## Advance list of court cases pending before Hon'ble High Court of Delhi from 29.03.2025 to 04.04.2025

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	<b>TEST.CAS./94/2024</b>	The present petition has been filed for the grant of Letter of Administration without Will qua one residential property which was owned jointly and equally by Petitioner no 1 and his Wife. His wife Smt Ram Murti Sunita Bhalla was the owner to the extent of 50 percent in the said residential floor House no 2945 Second Floor Sector 47-C Chandigarh. The property was purchased from Chandigarh Housing Board who have been arrayed as Respondent no 2. The Chandigarh Housing Board also describes the said residential floor as dwelling Unit No 2945 Second Floor Cat LIG Sector 47-C Chandigarh. The same is borne out of the Letter dated 1.8.2024 whereby the petitioner no 1 has been advised to seek orders from Court regarding the 50 Percent share of his wife.	SUBHASH CHANDER AND ANOTHERS VS STATE AND ANOTHERS	Arguments	03 Apr 2025

## Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon'ble Secretary Estate, UT, Chandigarh from 29.03.2025 to 04.04.2025

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	<b>APPEAL NO./0201/2019</b>	The applicant has filed appeal under section 17A of the Chandigarh small flat scheme 2006 against the order dated 21.01.2008 passed by the secretary CHB	Bobby Singh S VS o Munnal Lal and	Reply filed	03 Apr 2025



		Chandigarh exercising the powers of competent authority under the aforementioned scheme cancelling the allotment of H No 6612 C sector 56 Chandigarh on account of building violations.	Smt. Mithlesh devi		
2	<b>APPEAL NO./0072/2023</b>	The applicant has filed application for condonation of delay of 23 days in the filing of appeal. And file application for staying the operation of the impugned order dated 05.09.2023 passed by the respondent, whereby the allotment of flat has been cancelled illegally and unlawfully during the pendency of the present appeal. And file appeal under section 17A of Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 for setting aside order dated 05.09.2023 passed by the respondent and further direct the respondent to re-allot flat no 6633A small flats sector 56 Chandigarh which has been rejected or cancelled due to non presence of the original allottee and filed claim in appeal to accept and to set aside the order passed by the respondent.	Arjun kumar and another VS The secretary cum competent authority under the Chandigarh Small flat scheme 2006	Reply filed	03 Apr 2025
3	<b>APPEAL NO./0027/2020</b>	The applicant has filed appeal under section 17 A of the Chandigarh small flat scheme 2006 against the order dated 21.02.2008 passed by the secretary CHB Chandigarh exercising the powers of competent authority under the aforementioned scheme cancelling the allotment of house no 6605 B sector 56 Chandigarh on account of building violations.	Vinde shar s/o late lala madi and meena devi d/o mishari sada VS The secretary cum competent authority under the Chandigarh Small flat scheme 2006, Chandigarh Housing Board, Chandigarh.	Reply filed	03 Apr 2025
4	<b>APPEAL NO./0061/2023</b>	The applicant has filed appeal under clause 17 of the Chandigarh small flat scheme 2006 against the order dated 22.06.2023 for the cancellation of flat no 6543 B small flats sector 56 Chandigarh passed by the worthy secretary cum competent authority under the Chandigarh Small flat scheme 2006, Chandigarh Housing Board, Chandigarh due to the non presence of original allottee in the house.	Chhage s/o ram prasad represented through sant ram s/o ram naresh (relative of appellant) VS Competent authority under the Chandigarh Small flat scheme 2006, Chandigarh Housing Board, Chandigarh	Reply filed	03 Apr 2025

5	<b>APPEAL NO./170/2019</b>	6370 Sector 56 Chandigarh	Radhey shyam and suman VS CHB	Reply filed	03 Apr 2025
6	<b>APPEAL NO./0031/2024</b>	Small flat no 6319 C sector 56 Chandigarh	Nand lal s VS o late agya ram and sompati w	Reply filed	03 Apr 2025
7	<b>APPEAL NO./05/2021</b>	<p>Appeal under the Chandigarh small flats scheme as amended from time to time against the impugned order dated 13.08.2015 and 15.10.2019 or any other intimation or impugned orders passed by the respondents against the appellant vide which the allotment of tenement had been cancelled in lieu of form no 34329 folio no 16788 having viometric survey no 16788 submitted by the appellant against the jhuggi no K 127 Labour colony no 4 block K Industrial area phase I Chandigarh</p> <p>Claim in appeal for setting the impugned order dated 13.08.2019 received in or about after the first week of January 2021 against filing of the application under RTI act by the appellant and for setting aside the all other orders arising out of it passed by the respondents at the back of appellant without intimating the same and for acceptance of appeal and for further issuance of direction to respondents to reallocate the said tenement i.e. jhuggi no K 127 Labour PHase I Chandigarh or any other tenement to the appellant or remand back the present matter to the respondents to decide afresh by passing a reasoning order after consideration of the entire fact of the present appeal or further for condonation of delay of about 26 days from the communication of said impugned order under the RTI act by the appellant or any other order may be passed in favour of appellant which this Honble authority may deem fit and proper.</p>	Brij Bhan son of nikau VS The CHB through Chairman nodal agency Chandigarh and others	Reply filed	03 Apr 2025