

## Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon’ble High Court of Punjab and Haryana, from 03.05.2025 to 09.05.2025

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	<b>COCP/2655/2023 IN CWP/2309/2014</b>	Contempt Petition under Sections 11 and 12 of the Contempt of Court Act 1971 for the willful and intentional non-compliance of the directions/order dated 21-04-2022 order dated 05-05-2022 and order dated 20-05-2022 passed by the Honorable High court of Punjab and Haryana in CWP No 2309 of 2024 with prayed that the present contempt petition may be allowed with costs and the respondents may kindly be proceeded against willfully, with malafide intention and during the pendency of instant contempt petition operation of the impugned notice dated 12-09-2022 enclosed Built Up Plans issued to occupants of Ground Floor or duplex flats Sector 41-A may kindly be stayed due to contrary to policy order 59 dated 15-02-2019 in the interest of justice.	PRABHA SHARMA VS DHARAM PAL, IAS, CHAIRMAN AND ANOTHER	Arguments	05 May 2025
2	<b>RSA/3412/2023</b>	The appellant has filed the subject cited Regular Second Appeal before the Honorable High Court against the Impugned Judgment and decree dated 17-02-2023 passed by Ld Additional District Judge Chandigarh as well as Judgment and Decree dated 31-03-2017 passed by Ld Civil Judge Chandigarh as vide the judgments as referred herein above, both the Ld Courts below had committed material illegality while decreeing the suit as preferred by respondents No 1 to 3 seeking declaring as well as injunction and both the Courts did not appreciate the facts and material evidence before passing the above referred judgment and the reasons as herein the Impugned Judgments and Decrees passed by the Ld Lower Courts are to be set aside	ANITA VS SHASHI BALA PATHAK AND OTHERS	Arguments	06 May 2025
3	<b>FAO- CARB/26/2018</b>	Appeal filed by the petitioner under Section 37 of the Arbitration And Conciliation Act, 1996 against the order dated 30.05.2018 passed by Ms Aradhana Sawhney, Additional District Judge, Chandigarh in Arbitration Case No.69 of 2015	PARSVNATH DEVELOPERS LTD VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ANR	Arguments	07 May 2025
4	<b>CWP/12418/2023</b>	writ petition filed by Sh. Gurinder Pal Singh and 29 others for directing the Respondent No.2 to duly constitute a Registered Agency, being a Residents Welfare Association Sector 51-Ak, Chandigarh 2 BHK Self Financing Housing Scheme 2016, to be formed for the purpose of maintenance of common portions and services, as per the Allotment Letter issued to the Petitioners by Respondent No.2 and as per the provisions of the Chandigarh Housing Board (Allotment, Management and Sale of Tenements), Regulations 1979 and to validly hand over the common area facilities/portions, more particularly the lifts, in a working condition to the Residents Welfare Association and to further ensure that the Registered Agency functions with the domain of its powers for protection of the interests of the of the Residents of Sector 51-A, Chandigarh 2BHK Self Financing Housing Scheme;	GURINDER PAL SINGH AND OTHERS VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION THROUGH ITS ADVISOR TO THE ADMINISTRATOR, UT AND OTHERS	Arguments	07 May 2025

		<p>And for quashing the letter dated 23.07.2021 whereby while arbitrarily exercising its powers, Respondents No.2 upholds the elections conducted on 11.07.2021 to constitute Residents Welfare Association, Sector 51-A, Chandigarh, 2 BHK Self-Financing Scheme, 2016 and directs the invalidly constituted Residents Welfare Association to start its functioning, the same being formed in derogation of Chandigarh Housing Board (Allotment, Management and Sale of Tenements), Regulations 1979;</p> <p>And directing the Respondent No.2 take over the administration/management of the affairs of 200 - Two Bed Room Flats under Self Financing Housing Scheme on leasehold basis in Sector 51-A, Chandigarh until a Residents Welfare Association is constituted validly in consonance with the terms of Chandigarh Housing Board Allotment, Management and Sale of Tenements Regulations 1979 issuance of a writ in the nature of MANDAMUS directing Respondent No.4, which despite being constituted invalidly, has been illegally raising demands for payment of maintenance charges/fees which are as such in teeth of the provisions of Chandigarh Housing Board (Allotment, Management and Sale of Tenements), Regulations 1979 as the same and the purpose of collecting the same are required to be determined/decided by Respondent No.2 and are being raised without there being any basis thereof, especially when an amount of Rs.50,000/- was collected from each allottee towards maintenance charges at the time of allotment, to refrain such collection of maintenance fees/charges from the Residents of 200 Two Bed Room Flats allotted under Self Financing Housing Scheme on leasehold basis in Sector 51-A, Chandigarh; AND issuance of a writ in the nature of MANDAMUS directing Respondent No.2 to return the lease rent collected from allottees since 2019, due to non-execution of lease deed, thereby violating the provisions of the Chandigarh Estate Rules, 2007 and also as delineated in the Self Financing Housing Scheme on leasehold basis, in Sector 51-A, Chandigarh, within 30 days from the date of issuance of allotment letter; AND issuance of a writ in the nature of MANDAMUS directing Respondent No.2 to convert the leasehold flats to freehold flats similar to the 55 flats auctioned later without additional charges as within the same scheme, all units must either by on leasehold or freehold basis or in the alternative execute the Lease Deed and register the same in favour of the allottees, which is to be executed and registered mandatrily as per the Chandigarh Estate Rules, 2007 and also as delineated in the Self-Financing Housing Scheme AND issuance of a writ in the nature of MANDAMUS directing the Respondents to grant access to the residents of 200 Two Bed Room Flats allotted under Self Financing Housing Scheme on leasehold basis in Sector 51-A, Chandigarh of all common portions and services, particularly lifts in working/functioning condition, without demanding any amount towards purported charges; AND issuance of a writ in the nature of MANDAMUS directing Respondent No.2 to share with the initial 130</p>			
--	--	---	--	--	--

		allottees			
5	<b>FAO/2331/2014</b>	FAO FILED AGAINST THE ORDERS OF ADDL. DISTRICT MAGISTERATE, U.T. CHANDIGARH	SHUBINDER SINGH BRAR & ANR VS GEETINDER GREWAL & ORS	Arguments	08 May 2025
6	<b>CWP/10906/2019</b>	Civil Writ Petition filed for quashing the impugned letter dated 08.06.2018 of Chandigarh Housing Board and Legal notice reply dated 18.09.2018 rejecting the application dated 15.03.2016 of the petitioner for transfer of D.U.o.4813 , Sector 38 (West) on GPA basis, despite the facts in response to CHB letter dated 14.07.2016 the petitioner had already submitted all documents in original alongwith transferee amounting to Rs.1,31,500/- to the CHB vide letter dated 21.07.2016.  3.And for directing the respondents to transfer the above said dweling unit in the name of petitioner under GPA keeping in view the fact that CHB itself accepted the number of applications for transfer under GPA in 2017 and even 2018 and the same have accepted/cleared in January 2018 and GPA has been transferred. (DU No. 4813 sector 38, Chandigarh)	SUDESH KUMARI VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND OTHERS	Arguments	08 May 2025
7	<b>CWP/32709/2024</b>	Petitioner has filed CWP for quashing the impugned order dated 21-05-2024 whereby the application filed under section 22-C of the Legal Services Authority Act 1987 has been dismissed and directing the respondents to enter the name of Yash Pal Aggarwal in the column of father in the records and documents of DU No 5524/2 Category-II phase I Manimajra	SHASHANK AGGARWAL AND ANOTHER VS PERMANENT LOK ADALAT AND OTHERS	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	08 May 2025
8	<b>CWP/28958/2018</b>	Civil Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing the order dated 31.10.2018 and further directing the respondents to transfer the D.U.No.3009/1, Sector 47-D, in his name.	KIRPAL SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD THROUGH ITS SECRETARY	Arguments	09 May 2025
9	<b>CWP/10967/2022</b>	FOR QUASHING THE DEMOLITION ORDERS DATED 14.05.2022 AS PER THE PUBLIC NOTICE BOARD (SHAHPUR RAJIV COLONY WARD NO.6, SECTOR 38-A, WEST)	RAJINDER KUMAR AND ORS VS UNION OF INDIA AND ORS	Arguments	09 May 2025

## Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon’ble District Court, UT Chandigarh, from 03.05.2025 to 09.05.2025

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	<b>CS CJ/1233/2023</b>	CS CJ/1233/2023, Arvind Vs The Sub Divisional Magistrate, Du No 1096, Phase -2, Ram Darbar, Ind Area,	ARVIND VS THE	Arguments	03 May 2025

		Chandigarh	SUB DIVISIONAL MAGISTRATE		
2	<b>CS CJ/736/2024</b>	CSCJ/736/2024 Harvinder Singh Vs Chandigarh Housing Board HNo 685 Sector 56 br ambedkar Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the SUIT for Declaration to the effect that plaintiff is owner of House no 685 Sector 56 br ambedkar Chandigarh on the bases of agreement to sell dated 22/08/2022 between the plaintiff and defendant no 2 And Suit for mandatory injuncation directing the defendant no 1 to transfer the suit property in favour of plaintiff on the bases of documents executed by the defendant no And filed an Application under Section 80 2 of the Civil Procedure 1908 As amended for leave to institute the suit without service of notice	HARVINDER SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	03 May 2025
3	<b>MISC DJ/270/2025</b>	Appeal for setting aside the eviction notice/order dated 21-02-2025 in respect of Small Flat No. 6576-C, Sector 56, Palsora, UT, Chandigarh, cancelled due to non-payment.	BALA DEVI VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	03 May 2025
4	<b>CS CJ/623/2025</b>	The plaintiff filed the suit for restoration of Small Flat No. 555, Dhanas, UT, Chandigarh, cancelled due to building violation.	NEELU VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply	03 May 2025
5	<b>CS CJ/826/2019</b>	Suit filed by the plaintiff for declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is owner to the extent of 50% share in DU No. 2313, Sector 45-C, Chandigarh with consequential relief directing the def. No. 1 (CHB) to transfer 50% share of the said DU.	MEENU DHAWAN VS THE CHAIRMAN	Evidence	05 May 2025
6	<b>CA/295/2019</b>	Appeal filed by the Appellant u/s 96 read with order 41 CPC against the judgement and decree dated 22.8.2019 passed by Ms. Mamta Kakkar, CJJD, Chandigarh in Civil Suit No. 1857 of 7.8.2014 titled as Ashok Kumar Vs. Devi Dyal and Anr. (Allotment/Transfer of DU No. 972, Dhanas, UT, Chandigarh)	DEVI DAYAL VS ASHOK KUMAR	Arguments	05 May 2025
7	<b>CS CJ/345/2020</b>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding cancellation of allotment of demised premises of DU No. 2229/1, Sector 45-C, Chandigarh even SCN was issued.	LAKHVIR KAUR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Arguments	05 May 2025
8	<b>CS CJ/129/2021</b>	In this matter plaintiff has filed suit for Mandatory injuction directing the defendants No.1-4 to remove the illegal optical shop running in the name and style of M/s Vijay Optical on the ground floor of the dwelling unit No.1096, Ram Darbar, Chandigarh which amounts to cancellation of registration/allotment as well as forfeiture of the amount deposited with the defendant No.6.	ARVIND SINGH VS VIJAY SINGH	Evidence	05 May 2025
9	<b>CS CJ/2431/2019</b>	In this matter plaintiff has filed application under order Rule 1 and 2 read with Section 151 C.P.C. for Ad-interim Injunction restraining the defendants No.2 and 3 from selling alienating, mortgaging and handing over the possession of the Dwelling Unit No.1125, Indira Colony, Manimajra, Chandigarh to any other person except the plaintiff during the pendency of the main suit.	BISH RAM @ VISH RAM VS THE CHAIRMAN	Reply And Consideration	05 May 2025
10	<b>CS CJ/1956/2021</b>	Application under section 80(2) of the cod of Civil Procedure 1908, for seeking exemption for sending the notice under section 80 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908.	KAILASH GARG VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Defence evidence	05 May 2025
11	<b>CS CJ/928/2022</b>	Civil Suit No. CSCJ/928/2022 titled as Susmita Kashyap VS Rajeev kashyap and Others before the Civil Judge (Jr.	SUSMITA	Reply And	05 May 2025

		Division) Chandigarh.(5801/38 (West))	KASHYAP VS RAJEEV KASHYAP	Consideration	
12	<b>CS CJ/2232/2022</b>	CSCJ/2232/2022, Nisha Vs Chandigarh Housing Board, House No 235-P, Village Dhanas, Chandigarh	NISHA VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Evidence	05 May 2025
13	<b>CIVIL MISC/299/2023</b>	CM/299/2023 Rajesh Goyal Vs General Public, Du No .2223-E, Sector 63, Chandigarh	RAJESH GOYAL VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Appearance	05 May 2025
14	<b>EXE/625/2023</b>	EXE/625/2023 Rajesh Goyal Vs General Public H No 2223-E Sector 63The plaintiff has filed an application for execution of the judgement dated 30-05-2023 passed by this honble Court in Civil Suit No 1440/2022 decided on 30-05-2023	RAJESH GOYAL VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Consideration	05 May 2025
15	<b>CS CJ/411/2024</b>	CSCJ/411/2024 Sushil Kumar VS Chandigarh Housing Board DU NO 2194 Sector 40/C Chandigarh PUC is a summons to appear in the subject cited court case received in this office on 20/03/2024 with the copy of petition which is fixed before Hon ble District Court Chandigarh on 22/03/2024 copy attached may kindly be perused please The Plaintiff has filed the Suit for Permanent Injunction restraining the defendant No 1 from transferring Dwelling Unit No 2194 Sector 40/C Chandigarh in favour of defendant No 2 And Pass such other and further order s as may be deemed fit and proper on the facts and in the circumstances of this case	SUSHIL KUMAR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Arguments.	05 May 2025
16	<b>CS CJ/2246/2024</b>	Restraining the defendant no. 01 and his agents from raising any construction over the suit property and selling, alienating, mortgaging or transfer of DU No. 645, Sector 38-A, UT, Chandigarh.	DEEPAK VS JASVIR	Reply And Consideration	05 May 2025
17	<b>MISC DJ/123/2025</b>	Appeal filed against order dated 02-12-2024 passed by the District Court against the application under Order 39 Rules 1 and 2 CPC filed by the appellant/plaintiff (DU No. 1665/1, Secto 29-B, UT, Chandigarh).	SUMAN LATA VS VINOD KUMAR	Reply	05 May 2025
18	<b>CS CJ/286/2025</b>	Suit for declaration that Late Smt. Jasbir Kaur bequeathed the DU No. 3233/1, Sector 40-D, UT, Chandigarh in favor of plaintiff on the basis of WILL dated 27-04-2017 and suit for mandator injunction to defendent no. 1 to transfer property on the basis of WILL.	INDERPAUL KAUR VS THE SECRETARY CHANDIGARH	Reply	05 May 2025
19	<b>CS CJ/736/2018</b>	Civil Suit has been filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of DU No. 3194/46-C, Chandigarh on fraud documents.	RAJWANT KAUR VS SUKHDEEP SINGH	Defence evidence	06 May 2025
20	<b>CIVIL SUIT/515/2021</b>	Civil Suit No. CSCJ/515/2021 titled as Dilawar SinghVS Asha Deep Kaur and Others before the Civil Judge (Jr. Division) Garhshankar (PB).(DU No. 3675 ,CAT-MIG-I, Sector 46-C)	Dilawar Singh VS Asha Deep Kaur		06 May 2025
21	<b>CS CJ/496/2023</b>	CSCJ/496/2023, Rajdeep Singh Vs Chandigarh Housing Board DU No 4987, Maloya Colony, Chandigarh	RAJDEEP SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Notice And Record	06 May 2025
22	<b>CS CJ/1691/2023</b>	CSCJ/1691/2023 Pawan Kumar Vs CHB Du No 2027, Phase-II, Ramdarbar.	PAWAN KUMAR VS CHANDIGARH	Written Statement	06 May 2025

			HOUSING BOARD		
23	<b>CS CJ/727/2024</b>	<p>CSCJ/727/2024 Rajat Sharma Vs Suresh Kumar HNo 948/1 Sector 40/A Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the SUIT for Declaration to the effect that the plaintiffs being the sole surviving Classl Legal Heirs of Late Sh Mangat Rai their father who died intestate on 05 May 2018 are entitled to succeed to the estate of their father late Sh Mangat RaiAnd Suit for specific performance of the agreement to sell dated 10/10/1991 in respect of House No 948/1 Sector 40/A Chandigarh as executed by Sh Mangat Rai since deeased father of the plaintiffs with defendant no1 being General Power of Attorney of Narender Pal Singh Under 7 Rule 1 CPC</p> <p>Suit for mandatory injuncion directing the defendant no 2 to execute and transfer the lease rights in respect of House No 948/1 Sector 40/A Chandigarh in favour of the plaintiffs being the sole surviving legal heirs of Late Sh Mangat Rai</p>	RAJAT SHARMA VS SURESH KUMAR	Evidence	06 May 2025
24	<b>CS CJ/304/2025</b>	Resident Welfare Matter.	HARI GARG VS THE RESIDENT OF WELFARE ASSOCIATION	Evidence	06 May 2025
25	<b>MCA DJ/19/2025</b>	Against the eviction order dated 11-02-2025 passed against Flat No. 2207/1, Sector 45-C, UT, Chandigarh due to misuse and building violation.	TILAK RAJ SHARMA VS THE CHAIRMAN	Arguments	06 May 2025
26	<b>CS CJ/2408/2020</b>	In this matter plaintiff has file an application under section 80(2)CPC for exemption from the service of statutory legal notice U/S 80 CPC. Application under order 39 Rule 1 and 2read with section 151 CPC restraining the defendant No.4 not to transfer the house in question i.e. H.No.862/1, LIG,Sector 40-A, Chandigarh in the name of defendant No.1 on the basis of alleged fake and fictitious Will during the pendency of the present suit.	PARDEEP SAXENA VS SAVITRI SAXENA	Consideration..	07 May 2025
27	<b>CS CJ/170/2022</b>	Suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendants their agents, representatives, etc. with regard to asking of additional amount of Rs. 4,92,268/- in respect of Booth No. 9, Sector-46, Chandigarh, as on 17.08.2021 from the plaintiff.	JASPAL SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	07 May 2025
28	<b>CS CJ/2064/2021</b>	Suit for Declaration to the effect that the plantiff is the sole and absolute owner of Dwelling Unit No 2198-D Sector-63 Chandigarh by the virtue of registered documents I e GPA Will Affidavit Indemnity Bond and Agreement to Sell all executed on 22-01-2010 by the Late Daljeet Singh S/o Sh. Mukhtiar Singh in favour of Late Balbir Kaur and plaintiff and further that the defendant no 1 to 3 have no right, title or interest to claim the ownership and possession of the Dwelling Unit No 2198-D Sector-63 Chandigarh.	GAGANDEEP SINGH AHLUWALIA VS LAKHBIR KAUR	Consideration..	07 May 2025
29	<b>CS CJ/126/2023</b>	CSCJ/126/2023, Ashok Kumar Marwaha Vs CHB Du No 514, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh	ASHOK KUMAR MARWAHA VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Consideration	07 May 2025

30	<b>CS CJ/2149/2023</b>	CSCJ/2149/ 2023 Harmeet Kaur Bhatia VS CHB H No 3127/3 Sector 44 D Chandigarh PUC dated 29 11 2023 may kindly be perused Vide PUC Civil Judge Junior Division Chandigarh forwarded the copy of Civil Suit copy attached filed by the plaintiff for the declaration of the plaintiffs as the true and rightful of the owner of the property i e H No 3127/3 Sector 44 D Chandigarh which was transferred to them through Registered General Power of Attorney dated 15/06/2007 along with the sale agreement It is further prayed that direction may kindly be issued to Defendant to transfer the property in the name of plaintiffs It is also further prayed that this Hon ble Court may be pleased to grant any other relief that this Hon ble Court may deem fit and proper in the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case	HARMEET KAUR BHATIA VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Notice And Record	07 May 2025
31	<b>CS CJ/352/2024</b>	CSCJ/352/2024 Rajesh Kumar Sadana Vs General Public Dwelling unit no 2696/2 Sector 44C Chandigarh PUC dated 25/06/2024 is a summons to appear in the subject cited court case received in this office on 25/06/2024 without the copy of petition may kindly be perused please And the copy of petition is obtained from the counsel of Plaintiff dated 05/07/2024 copy attached The next date of Subject Cited Court Case has been fixed for 02/09/2024 The Plaintiff has filed Civil Suit for Specific performance of Agreement to sell dated 19/10/1993 entered into between Defendant no3 and the Plaintiffs wherein House No 2696/2 Sector 44C Chandigarh was sold to the plaintiffs at a total sale consideration money of Rs 40,000/ the said amount was paid and full and final receipt dated 19/10/1993 was executed And filed the Suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant no 2 for transferring the Lease hold Rights of House No 2696/2 Sector 44c Chandigarh in favour of plaintiffs on the basis of Agreement to sell dated 19/10/1993 and had bequeathed the above said house in favor of the plaintiffs vide will dated 22/10/1993 in exclusion of any other person AND Suit for permanent injunction restraining the Defendant no. 2, their associates and agent etc. from selling, transferring, alienating, gifting any share and / or creating any third-party rights in house no 2696/2 Sector 44C Chandigarh except the plaintiffs And any other relief this Hon ble Court deems fit according to the facts and circumstances of the present case may be granted in favour of the Plaintiffs and against the defendants	RAJESH KUMAR SADANA VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Notice And Record	07 May 2025
32	<b>CS CJ/1974/2024</b>	Suit declaration that plaintiff no. 1 is owner of DU No. 3104, Dhanas, Chd. and cancellaton of sale deed dted 03-07-2019.	MINAL VS RITA DEVI	Reply And Consideration	07 May 2025
33	<b>CS CJ/556/2025</b>	Suit for permanent injunction the defendants and their agents from illegally dispossessing the plaintiff from the flat no. 4716/1, Sector 38(W), UT, Chandigarh.	SHYAM BABU VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply	07 May 2025
34	<b>MCA DJ/59/2025</b>	Appeal against the eviction order dated 09-04-2025 in respect of Dwelling Unit No. 3066/1, Dhanas, UT, Chandigarh.	VIPAN THAKUR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply	07 May 2025
35	<b>CS CJ/1350/2017</b>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for cancellation of allotment in respect of # 908/40-A, Chandigarh # 928/40-A, Chandigarh due to filing false affidavit..	RAJ KUMAR CHIBBER VS USHA PRASHAR	Defence evidence	08 May 2025

36	<b>CS CJ/1234/2019</b>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff to record the ownership of the plaintiff to transfer the extent of 8.33% share in respect of DU No. 1014, Sector 43-B, Chandigarh.	PRANAV SIKRI VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	08 May 2025
37	<b>CS CJ/930/2020</b>	The petitioner has filed civil suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendant No.1 from changing the basis structure or making any sort of alteration in the suit property i.e. h.nO.2238, sector 40-C (EWS category), Chandigarh and suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant No.2 to dismental the illegal alteration made by tbhe defendant No.1 and also to impose upon penalty for raing illegal construction/alteration in the suit property .	SHIV KUMAR VS INDER KUMAR	Evidence	08 May 2025
38	<b>CS CJ/1434/2021</b>	Application under Order 39 Rules 1 and 2 read with Section 151 CPC for grant of ad-interim injunction restraining defendant no 1 or its assignees from interfering into the peaceful possession of the plaintiff over the entire ground floor of Dwelling Unit No. 2238, Sector-40/C, CAT EWS, U.T. Chandigarh and further restraining defendant no 1 otr its assignees from illegally and forcibly dispossessing the plaintiff from demised premises and further restraining defendant no 1 from selling, create charge in any form against Dwelling Unit No. 2238, Sector-40/C, CAT EWS, U.T. Chandigarh, during the pendency of the suit and restraining defendant no 2 not to the transfer the flat in question and not to provide permission (NOC) to sell the house in question to defendant no 1, during the pendency of the suit.	INDER KUMAR VS SHIV KUMAR	Evidence	08 May 2025
39	<b>CS CJ/662/2022</b>	Suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant no 3 not to transfer the ownership of House No 2035 Sector45C Chandigarh in the name of defendant no 1 and 2 without the NOC from the plaintiff and if the defendant no 3 had already transferred the same then defendant no 3 may be directed to remove the name of defendant no 1 2. And Suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendants no 1 and 2 not to entered into any any sale mortgage exchange disposed off lease out the suit property ie House No 2035 Sector 45C Chandigarh in any manner during the pendency of present suit	JASPAL KAUR VS BHARTI MITTAL	Consideration..	08 May 2025
40	<b>CS CJ/899/2024</b>	CSCJ/899/2024 titled as Tajinder Pal Singh vs Ghan Sham lal & Others House no 3304 Ground Floor Sector 45/D Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed an Application under order 39 rule 1 and 2 read with Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure 1908 for Grant of Temporary Injunction Restraining the Defendants No 1 and her agents Representative Successors Servants Legal heirs etC from disposing off the suit property in question by way of sale mortgage renting out etc and from dispossessing the plaintiffs from the suit property ie Dwelling unit no 3304 Ground floor Sector 45/d Chandigarh till the pendency of the suit/plaint And Interim Stay may also be granted in favor of the plaintiffs till the disposal of the present application And Filed an Suit for specific performance of the Agreement to Sell dated 10/09/2004 executed by defendant no 1 SH Ghan Sham Lal now deceased Whereby he entered into agreement to sell, in respect of Dwelling unit No. 3304 LIG Flat Ground Floor Sector 45/D Chandigarh in favor of Sh Manjit Singh son of Gulab Singh resident of HNo 3025 Sector 27d Chandigarh which included their heirs assignees executors successors legal representatives and administrations to the extent of 100 share with a total consideration price of Rs 350000 as full and final settlement to the said agreement to sell dated 10/09/2004	TAJINDER PAL SINGH VS GHAN SHAM LAL	Reply And Consideration	08 May 2025



		<p>which was duly paid by Sh Manjit Singh against the receipt dated 10/04/1991 and Sh Ghan Sham Lal also executed a registered General Power of Attorney dated 10/09/2004 registered at serial number 4559 Book no 4 volume No 267 pm 10/09/2004 and a registered Will dated 10/09/2004 registered at serial no 2777 Book no 3 volume no 274 on 10/09/2004 and an affidavit dated 10/09/2004 acknowledging the execution of aforementioned document And file an Suit for declaration to the effect that the plaintiffs are owner in possession in property ie Dwelling unit no 3304 LIG Flat Ground Floor Sector 45/d is liable to be transferred lease hold right of property in favor of plaintiffs on the basis of an agreement to sell a registered will a registered GPA and an affidavit executed by Sh Ghan Sham Lal in favour of Sh Manjit Singh Which included plaintiffs being his sons /heirs assigness executors Successors legal representatives and administrators along with other supporting documents on account of the intestate demise of Sh Manjit Sngh And Suit for mandatory injuncion directing the defendant no 2 to transfer the lease hold rights of the said dwelling unit no 3304 LIG FlaT Ground Floor Sector 45/d in favour of plaintiffs on the basis of documents mentioned above And Suit for permanent injuncion restraining the defendant no 1 legal heirs of late Sh Ghan Sham Lal their associates Legal representatives agents successors etc from alienating and mortgaging the suit property and further restraining them from interfering into peaceful possession of plaintiffs over the suit property and from forcibly dispossessing the plaintiffs from the premises in question till the final disposal of the present suit And also directing the defendant no. 2 Chandigarh Housing Board to issue NOC and further transferring the lease hold right of property in question in favour of plaintiffs on the basis of the aforementioned Agreement to sell registered will registered GPA an affidavit and other supporting documents executing by late Sh Ghan Sham Lal in favour of Sh Manjit Singh which included plaintiffs being his son shei</p>			
41	<b>CS/354/2021</b>	<p>CS/354/2021 titled as Usha Mehra Vs Vishal Mehra and others Dispute regarding sale/transfer/Share of different properties between defendants and out of which only one property ie HNo5126 Ground Floor MHC Manimajra Chandigarh Transfer of properties In this regard it is submitted that the petitioner has filed a Suit for a decree of declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is owner in possession of 1/4 th share in the estate left by Late Shri Ashwani Kumar Mehra being widow ie i A/48Kendriya Vihar Sector 14 Panchkula ii HNo5126 Ground floor MHC Manimajra Chandigarh and another properties are at New Delhi and Faridabad and further declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is also entitled for 1/4 th share in Gold/silver/amount lying in Saving Bank account FDR RD PPF share etc pertaining to deceased Shri Ashwani Kumar Mehra and further declaration to the effect that the change of ownership qua the said properties in the record of respective authorities in the name of the defendants if any after the demise of Late Shri Ashwani Kumar Mehra on the basis of false frivolous and manipulated documents liable to be cancelled</p>	Usha Mehra VS Vishal Mehra	FILING OF WRITTEN REPLY	08 May 2025
42	<b>CS CJ/827/2015</b>	Regarding allotment of flat (PDL matter)	VASDEV SINGH VS INDERDEV SINGH	Arguments.	09 May 2025
43	<b>CS CJ/47/2020</b>	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of 1/5th share of DU No. 564-A, Sector 41-A, Chandigah on the basis of petitioner is Class-I Legal Heir.	SURESH KUMAR VS NIRMALA DEVI	Reply And Consideration	09 May 2025

44	<b>CS CJ/733/2020</b>	Plaintiff is the exclusive owner to the extent 100 share of DUnit No2091 CategoryII Sector 44A Chandigarh as left by her motherSmtInderjeet Kaur now deceased widow of ShMohinder Pal Singh	SUKHDEEP KAUR VS RAMNEEK KAUR	Defence evidence	09 May 2025
45	<b>CS CJ/1117/2021</b>	App. under Order 39 Rule 1 and 2 of CPC, 1908 read with section 151 CPC for seeking temporary and permanent injunction towards restraining the release of pension benefits, a retirement benefits and all other monetary benefits of late Sh Parvesh Kumar S/o Sh. Bikhari # 1678, mauli Jagran Complex, CHD to anyone except the plaintiff	MEETO VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Notice And Record	09 May 2025
46	<b>CS CJ/1439/2021</b>	Suit for Declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is entitled to get ownership of Dwelling Unit No 445/B, Sector-61, Chandigarh	BALVINDER KAUR VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Civil Evidence	09 May 2025
47	<b>CS CJ/2120/2021</b>	CSCJ 2120 2021 titled as Inderjit vs Chandigarh Housing Board H No 569 New Indira Colony Manimajra Chandigarh	INDERJIT VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Defence evidence	09 May 2025
48	<b>CS CJ/49/2023</b>	Pintu Vs Sunita Devi, CSCJ/49/2023, Du No 107-A, Dhanas, Chandigarh	PINTU VS SUNITA DEVI	Reply And Consideration	09 May 2025
49	<b>CS CJ/2082/2023</b>	CSCJ/2082/2023 Jaspal Kaur VS General Public H No 2177/1 Sector 45 C Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the Suit for declaration to the effect that plaintiffs be declare owner in possession of House No 2177/1 Sector 45C Chandigarh as they are having the peaceful possession of house in question since last 33 years in view of the sale-purchase documents such as registered GPA registered SPA Agreement to sell registered Will and Affidavit of dated 24 04 1997 which was executed by the allottee/transferee namely Kamaljit Singh son of Late Sh Kesar Singh in favor of the plaintiff Suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant no2 to transfer the ownership right of the House No 2177/1 Sector 45c Chandigarh in favor of the plaintiff in view of the purchase documents such as registered GPA SPA registered Will Agreement to sell and affidavit and all dues installment and taxes of the house in question was cleared by plaintiff with the office of the defendant no 2 And Suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendants from interfering in peaceful possession of plaintiff and restrain the defendants from transferring the ownership rights of the House No 2177/1 Sector 45C Chandigarh to anybody else except plaintiff during the pendency of present suit And any other relief this Hon ble court may deem fit	JASPAL KAUR VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Notice And Record	09 May 2025
50	<b>CS CJ/859/2024</b>	CSCJ/859/2024 Kamal Kumar Vs Kharaiti Lal Dwelling unit no 2159 Sector 40/C Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed Civil Suit for Specific performance of Agreement to sell dated 17/03/1981 by way of execution of Sale/Transfer deed of rights of HNo 2159 Sector 40C Chandigarh in favour of the plaintiff Further suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendants from interfering in the peaceful possession of the plaintiff S over HNo 2159 Sector40C Chandigarh IN ALTERNATIVE Suit for declaration to the effect that plaintiffs have become owner of the HNo 2159 Sector40C Chandigarh by way of adverse possession And also filed Application under order 39 rule 1 and 2 read with section 151 CPC praying for grant of temporary injunction restraining the defendants from interfering with the possession of the plaintiff during the pendency of the civil suit	KAMAL KUMAR VS KHARAITI LAL	Notice And Record	09 May 2025

51	<b>CA/135/2024</b>	CA/135/2024 Datar Singh vs Chandigarh Housing Board DU No 4315 Sector 39W Maloya Colony Chandigarh The Appellant has filed an Application under section 151 CPC seeking permission from this Hon ble Court for placing on record Annexures A1 to A11 and further seeking exemption from filing certified copies of the same however the photostat and downloaded copies of the same may very kindly be allowed to be placed on record in the interest of justice And filed an application u/s 54 2 of the Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 as extended to Chandigarh read with section 151 CPC for the stay of the operation of impugned order dated 12/07/2024 ANNEXURE P10 passed by the respondent o 2 whereby the eviction of the appellant has been ordered from the premises ie 4315 sector 39 west Maloya Colony Chandigarh within one month from the date of receiving of the notice dated 12/07/2024 hence the same be stayed during the pendency of the present appeal and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the said impugned order may also be stayed And filed an appeal us 54 1 of the Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 as extended to Chandigarh against the order dated 12/07/2024 Annexure A10 passed by respondent number 2 whereby eviction of the appellant has been ordered from the premises ie DU No 4315 sector 39 west Maloya Colony Chandigarh within one month from the date of service of the notice Earlier the case was fixed on 21/08/2024 before the Hon ble District Court Chandigarh Advocate Sh Manoj Kumar was instructed to attend/defend the court case on behalf of Chandigarh Housing Board	DATAR SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	09 May 2025
52	<b>CS CJ/1647/2024</b>	CSCJ/1647/2024 Kiranjit Kaur vs The Secretary CHB Others HNo 358 HIG Ground Floor Sector 44 A Chandigarh AND Suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendant No 4 from entertaining any sale deed transfer deed mortgage deed in respect of HNo 358 Sector 44A HIG Ground Floor Chandigarh AND Suit for mandatory injunction directing defendant No 1 to transfer the property ie HNo 358 Sector 44A HIG Ground Floor Chandigarh in the name of the plaintiff defendant No 2 and defendant No 3 in equal shares by holding The Will dated 21/03/1997 alleged to have been executed by Late Sh Jagdev Singh Gill to have been executed in suspicious circumstances and being a forged and fabricated document AND Suit for declaration that the transfer on the basis of alleged Will dated 21/03/1997 in favor of Smt Harbhajan Kaur be declared null and void on account of the alleged will dated 1/03/1997 being forged and fabricated and the transfer having been affected in favor of Late sh Harbhajan Kaur without following proper procedure without issuing any notice to the plaintiff and without giving the plaintiff any right to file objection to said will and proposed transfer on the basis of alleged Will AND Suit for declaration that the consequent transfer on the basis of family transfer alleged to have been executed by smt. Harbhajan Kaur in favor of defendant No 2 is non-consequential since Harbhajan Kaur was not the owner of 100 share in respect of HNo 358 Sector 44A HIG Ground Floor Chandigarh and further smt Harbhajan Kaur did not possess the mental and physical health on account of her having been suffering from various old age related problems including paralysis for the past more than 0 years from the date of her death AND Any other relief in this Hon ble court deems fit and proper may be granted in favour of the plaintiff and against the defendant And also filed an Application U/s 80 2 seeking exemption from sending advance notice to the defendants no 1 2 And an application under order 39 rule 1 2 restraining the defendants from selling alienating mortgaging or creating any third party rights in respect of	KIRANJIT KAUR VS THE SECRETARY CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	09 May 2025

		the property ie residential HNo 358 Sector 44A HIG Ground Floor			
53	<b>CS CJ/1599/2024</b>	<p>CSCJ/1599/2024 Hardev Singh vs Surinder Mohan Khurana HNo 649/1 Sector 41A Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed SUIT for Possession as owner by way of Specific Performance of agreement of sale of LIG D Category Dwelling Unit/House No 649/1 Sector 41A Chandigarh UT as per agreement dt 10/09/1986 vide receipt of lump sum/full and final payment of Rs 40,000/ vide Bank Draft no OL2 601514 if Punjab Sind Bank Sector 22 Chandigarh paid on the same day under the terms and conditions of the defendant No 3 vide letter noR/9316 DO AAll 84/780 allotted to the defendant No 1 by way of draw dt 19/05/1984 duly executed between the plaintiff and the defendant No 1 ie Surinder Mohan Khurana AND for directing the defendant No 1 to 3 to transfer the House in question in the name of the plaintiff by executing the conveyance deed/sale deed or any other document/s which are necessary for transferring the LIG D Category Dwelling Unit/ House No 649/1 Sector 41A Chandigarh UT as per its irrevocable GPA No 3438/4 Volume NO 68 page no 58 dated 10/09/1986 along with affidavit dt 10/09/1986 of receipt of full and final/lump sum consideration of the house in question and Registered WILL bearing No 1706/3 Volume No161 page no 314, dt 10/09/1986 duly executed and registered in the office of the subregistrar Chandigarh With the consequential relief of permanent injunction restraining the defendants or their legal heirs or any agent from alienating the suit LIG9D House no 649/1 Sector 41A Chandigarh UT in any manner ie by way of sale gift mortgage exchange etc or creating the third party interest upon the suit house except the plaintiff whereby the title or nature of the suit land may change AND for declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is owners in possession being the purchaser as per irrevocable GPA No 3438/4 Volume No 68 page no 58 dt 10/09/1986 duly executed in the presence of marginal witnesses and registered in the office of the Sub/Registrar Chandigarh AND for grant of any other alternative relief of ownership/possession either in addition to or in substitution of such performance which this Hon ble Court may deem fit ad proper in the circumstances of this case However the plaintiff has physical possession since dt 10/09/1986 in the interest of justice AND filed an Application U s 80 2 CPC for leave to file the present suit without serving the legal notice upon defendant No3 And filed an Application U/O 39 Rule 1 2 read with Section 151 CPC for Temporary injunction for restraining the defendants or their legal heirs or any agent from alienating the suit LIG D House NO 649/1 Sector 41A Chandigarh (UT) in any manner ie by way of sale gift mortgage exchange etc or creating the third party interest upon the suit house may change during the pendency of this case may kindly be allowed in favour of the plaintiff and against the defendants And also filed an Application under order 5 Rule 20 of the CPC for substitute services to serve the summons upon the defendant no 4 General Public through Publication and for depositing the publication fee</p>	HARDEV SINGH VS SURINDER MOHAN KHURANA	Reply And Consideration	09 May 2025
54	<b>CS CJ/2042/2024</b>	Transfer of DU No. 1107/1, Sector 29-B, UT, Chd. on the basis of Agreement to Sell.	KHARAITI LAL HANDA VS RITU SEHGAL	Reply And Consideration	09 May 2025
55	<b>MCA DJ/8/2024</b>	Appeal against the order dated 06-07-2023 communicated to the appellant vide letter dated 11-07-2023 for eviction of Plinth Site No. 1096, Karsan Colony, Phase-II, Ram Darbar, UT, Chd.	VIJAY SINGH VS CHANDIGARH	Consideration	09 May 2025

			HOUSING BOARD		
--	--	--	---------------	--	--

Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon’ble Permanent Lok Adalat PUS, Chandigarh from 03.05.2025 to 09.05.2025					
S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	APPLICATION/16/2023	(Chandigarh The plaintiff has filed the application for issue mandatory direction to respondents to issue possession letter and physical possession of Flat No 549 Dhanas UT Chandigarh to application under Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006	Mani Ram and Others VS Chandigarh Housing Board and Others	Reply filed	06 May 2025
2	APPLICATION/22/2024	The Plaintiff has filed the application for transfer of dwelling unit i e House No P-1649 Sector-52 Chandigarh in the name of applicants being the legal heirs allottee to the extent of 1/3rd each.	Julie and others VS Chandigarh Housing Board and others (House No. P-1649, Sector-52 Chandigarh)	Reply filed	06 May 2025
3	APPLICATION/36/2024	The Plaintiff has filed the Application under Section 22-C of the Public Utility Service Act 1986 for directing the respondents for refund of excess amount charges to the tune of Rs 263015 alongwith interest at 18 percent in respect of dwelling unit no 5025-1 MHC Manimajra Chandigarh and It is also further direct to pay litigation expenses and it was also further prayer that the Applicant may kindly be compensated for causing mental harassment and financial loss	Raman Singla VS Chandigarh Housing Board and others (House No 5025/1, MHC, Manimajra, Chandigarh)	Reply filed	06 May 2025
4	APPLICATION/10/2024	The petitioner has filed the application for issuance of necessary direction to the respondents to allot an accommodation under the Pradhan Mantri Awas Yojna Scheme (PMAY) Scheme as floated by the Government being the eligible applicant	Pawan Kumar VS Chandigarh Housing Board and others	Reply filed	07 May 2025
5	APPLICATION/21/2024	The Plaintiff has filed the application for temporary injunction restraining the Respondents from conducting any inspection of dwelling unit of applicant as regularization/additional construction is lawful in terms of order/policy dated 03-01-2023 and the applicant has already applied for the same till the decision of this Honourable court (House No 5572, Sector 38-West Chandigarh)	Bhupinder Puri VS Chandigarh Housing Board and Others (House No. 5572, Sector 38-West, Chandigarh)	Short Reply filed	08 May 2025
6	APPLICATION/20/2024	The Plaintiff has filed the application for temporary injunction restraining the Respondents from conducting any inspection of dwelling unit of applicant as regularization/additional construction is lawful in terms of	Manider Singh Patti VS Chandigarh Housing	Reply filed	08 May 2025

		order/policy dated 03-01-2023 and the applicant has already applied for the same, till the decision of this Honourable court (House No 5550 Sector 38-West Chandigarh)	Board and Others (House No. 5550, Sector 38-West, Chandigarh)		
7	<b>APPLICATION/34/2024</b>	The Plaintiff has filed the Application under Section 22-C of the Legal Services Authority Act 1987 for restraining the respondent authorities from taking any coercive action against the applicant on the basis of notice dated 09-10-2024 and for issuing any further similar notice pertaining to dwelling unit no 2118 Sector 45-C Chandigarh The Plaintiff has also filed the Application for interim injunction restraining the Respondent from proceeding further and taking any further action above notice	Inder Kaur VS Chandigarh Housing Board (DU No. 2118, Sector 45-C, Chandigarh)	Reply filed	08 May 2025
8	<b>APPLICATION/6/2025</b>	The Plaintiff has filed the Application under Section 22-C of the Legal Services Authority Act 1987 for redirecting the respondents to allot the residential dwelling unit Chandigarh Small Flats amendment Scheme 2009 in lieu of Jhuggi No 511 Janta Colony Sector 25 Chandigarh as the applicant is fully eligible and entitled to the allotment of the dwelling units as per the above said scheme	Gopal VS Chandigarh Housing Board (Jhuggi No. 511, Janta Colony, Sector - 25, Chandigarh)	To be filed	09 May 2025

## Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon’ble Secretary Estate, UT Chandigarh, from 03.05.2025 to 09.05.2025

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	<b>APPEAL NO./001/2025</b>	Appeal against the cancellation order no CHB AO C 2024 15096 dated 18.10.2024 of small flat no 2599 B Ramdarbar Chandigarh passed by respondent. Claim of appeal to set aside the cancellation order no CHB AO C 2024 15096 dated 18.10.2024 of small flat no 2599 B Ramdarbar Chandigarh passed by respondent by accepting and allowing the appeal with costs throughout. And meanwhile stay on eviction orders.	Gogi wife of Sh Pyara VS Secretary cum competent authority under the Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 CHB Chandigarh	For Reply	08 May 2025

## Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon’ble Chief Secretary, UT Chandigarh, from 03.05.2025 to 09.05.2025

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	<b>REVISION/18/2021</b>	Rekha Devi vs Chandigarh Housing Board du no 68, Sector 56, Palsora	Rekha Devi VS Chandigarh Housing Board	Reply filed	08 May 2025
2	<b>REVISION/00000000/2023</b>	Kusam Vs Chandigarh Housing Board, Revision Petition in the court of Honable Advisor to the Adminisrator, UT CHD DU no 577, Ram Darbar, Phase-II, Chandigarh	Kusam VS Chandigarh Housing Board	Reply filed	08 May 2025
3	<b>REVISION/32/2023</b>	(Advisor Court Case) RP 32 of 2023 Kirti Singh S/o Kesar Singh through its GPA Gautam Singh VS Chandigarh Housing Board. Du No 781, Ram Darbar, Phase-II, Chandigarh	Kirti Singh S/o Kesar Singh through its GPA Gautam Singh VS Chandigarh Housing Board.	Reply filed	08 May 2025
4	<b>REVISION/-----/2024</b>	The petitioner has filed the Revision Petition under Section 72-B of Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 against the order dated 27-03-2019 passed by the Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh in respect of House No 4327 Sector 56 Palsora Colony Chandigarh	Sh Faqir Chand through his GPA Sh Arvind Bhatia VS Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh and Others	Reply filed	08 May 2025
5	<b>TEST.CAS./---/2024</b>	RP BEFORE THE CHIEF SECRETARY The petitioner has filed revision petition under section 17 e of Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 against the order dated 16.04.2024 passed by respondent no 2 qua flat no 4918 3 small flats sector 38 W Chandigarh.	Papi wife of late pal singh VS Secretary CHB exercising the powers of competent authority under the Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 Secretary estate UT Chandigarh exercising the powers of appellate authority under the Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006	Reply filed	08 May 2025