

Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon'ble Supreme Court, from 10.05.2025 to 16.05.2025

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	C.A/594/2024	Civil Appeal filed for arising out of the impugned final order dated 06-09-2023 in Consumer Complaint No237 of 2015 passed by the Honorable National Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission Delhi	Parsvnath Developers Limited VS Ram Swarup Kanda (deceased) through LRs. and ors	Arguments	13 May 2025

Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon'ble High Court of Punjab and Haryana, from 10.05.2025 to 16.05.2025

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	RSA/6932/2016	Regular Second Appeal filed by the petitioner for setting aside the order dated 04.08.2015 passed by the Court of Sh. Anil Kaushik, Civil Judge, Junior Division, U.T., Chandigarh thereby ordering for rejection of the plaint and judgement dated 09.08.2016 passed by the court of Sh. Jasbir Singh Sidhu, Addl. District Judge Chandigarh in the interest of justice. 3.Further prayed that filing of certified copies of the order dated 04.08.2015 and judgement dated 09.08.2016 may kindly be exempted in the interest of justice. (2007/1, Sector 40-C, Chandigarh)	CHARANJIT KAUR AND ANR VS CHAIRMAN CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ORS	Arguments	13 May 2025
2	ARB/304/2019	For appointment of an Arbitrator to Adjudicate Disputes between the Petitioner and the Respondents pertaining to Contract for Construction of 160 2BR Category-II Composite Work	B L MEHTA CONSTRUCTIONS PVT LTD VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Arguments	13 May 2025

			AND ANR		
3	COCP/4931/2024	The COCP No.4931 of 2024 filed by the Petitioner Col. Jatinder Singh (Retd.) v/s Akhil Kumar, Secretary, CHB and Another was listed on 05-12-2024 before the court of Hon'ble Mr. Justice Harkesh Manuja, issued Notice of Motion and on asking of the Court, Ms. Madhu Dayal, Advocate with Mr. Prateek Rathee, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-UT and prays for two weeks' time to file the compliance report. Considering the fact that the direction to consider the claim of the petitioner(s) was passed on 11.03.2024, a period of more than 08 months has elapsed, thus, in case the compliance report is not filed within the aforesaid stipulated period, the Officer concerned shall join the proceedings through video-conferencing and would be liable to pay litigation cost(s) to the petitioner(s) to the tune of Rs.50,000/- from his/her own pocket.	COL JATINDER SINGH RETD VS AKHIL KUMAR SECRETARY CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Arguments	14 May 2025
4	CWP/16945/2018	Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for setting aside of impugned order dated 13.02.2008 passed by the Appellate Authority-cum-Chief Executive Officer, CHB in Appeal No.160 of 2018 through which the appeal of the petitioner for allotment of flat under the Small Flat Scheme has been rejected.(Jhugi No.B-191 in Nehru – Small Flat Scheme)	NATHU RAM VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND ORS	Arguments	14 May 2025
5	CWP/31656/2024	The subject cited case was filed by the petitioner Under article 226-227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a suitable writ, order or direction especially in the nature of certiorari quashing the impugned order dated 10-10-2024 impugned order dated 30-10-2023 impugned order dated 22-07-2015 and impugned order dated 18-02-2008 passed by the respondent Nos. 1 to 4 pertaining to flat no 6590-c sector 56 Chandigarh allotted to the petitioners under the rehabilitation scheme known as Chandigarh Small Flat scheme 2006 which are being wholly unjust, arbitrary and illegal And for issuance of writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to open the seal of the small flat no 6590-c sector 56 Chandigarh and to hand over the possession of the flat to the petitioners	JABAR SINGH AND ANOTHER VS ADVISER TO THE ADMINISTRATOR UT CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	Arguments	14 May 2025
6	CWP/1442/2025	Writ Petition filed by the petitioner under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India praying for the issuance of an appropriate writ especially in the nature of Certiorari for quashing of the notice dated 22.10.2024 (Annexure P-5) issued to the petitioner (since deceased) whereby the allotment of Flat No.2554, Small Flats, Ramdarbar was cancelled in a very illegal and arbitrary manner and unjust in the eyes of law	SUNITA DEVI VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	For filing reply	14 May 2025

		<p>AND</p> <p>Further issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondent no 2 to decide the appeal and not to dispossess the family of the petitioner (since deceased) from the said premises as the said flat is the only residential roof on their head</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Further prayed that the operation of the impugned notice dated 23-12-2024 passed by the respondent no 2 may kindly be stayed during the pendency of the present writ petition in the interest of justice</p>			
7	CWP/270/2022	CWP No 270-2022 filed by Ranjit Singh against the order dated 10.01.2022 passed by Permanent Lok Adalat and Others DU No 1097 Phase-2 Ram Darbar Chandigarh.	RANJIT SINGH VS PERMANENT LOK ADALAT AND ORS	Arguments	15 May 2025
8	CWP/23923/2023	For Quashing the impugned order date 11.4.2023 passed by the Permanent Lok Adalat.	RAJBIR VS THE CHAIRMAN CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	Arguments	15 May 2025
9	CWP/11818/2005	PETITIONER HAS PRAYED FOR QUASHING ANNEXURE P-10, VIDE WHICH HIS REQUEST FOR GRANT OF HIGHER SCALE OF RS.4020-6200 HAS BEEN REJECTED.	KRISHAN KUMAR VS THE U.T. OF CHD. & ORS.	Arguments	16 May 2025

Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon'ble District Court, UT Chandigarh, from 10.05.2025 to 16.05.2025

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	MISC DJ/252/2025	Appeal filed against the impugned eviction order dated 21-02-2025 in respect of Small flat No. 6600-B, Sector 56, Palsora, UT, Chandigarh.	SUNITA DEVI VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	10 May 2025

2	CS CJ/2006/2016	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff seeking transfer of properties i.e. DU No. 1238, Sector 43-B, Chandigarh 14th share in agriculture land on WILL basis.	INDU MUNJAL VS CHAIRMAN CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Defence evidence	12 May 2025
3	CA/495/2018	Appeal filed by the appellant against order dated 8.5.2018 passed by Ms. Saloni Gupta, CJD, Chandigarh (Regn. No. 1517/2014) regarding transfer of ownership of DU No. 5371/1, Cat-IV, Phase-II, Manimajra, UT, Chandigarh..	SONA SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	12 May 2025
4	CS CJ/2702/2018	Civil Suit has been filed by the plaintiff regarding mandatory injunction not to issue NOC of sale etc. in respect of DU No.1096, Phase-II, Ram Darbar, Chandigarh.	RANJIT SINGH VS VIJAY SINGH	Written Statement	12 May 2025
5	CS CJ/1019/2019	Civil suit filed in the court of Ms. Meenakshi Gupta, CJD, Chd. by the plaintiff regarding transfer of ownership of DU No. 3404/2, Sector 40-D, Chandigarh on the basis of Regd. WILL under GPA transfer policy.	PREM NATH SHARMA VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Defence evidence	12 May 2025
6	CS CJ/874/2021	Suit for Possession (symbolic) by way of Specific Performance of Agreement to Sell (Contract) date 20.06.1986, with direction to defendant no. 1 to execute and get registered sale deed in respect of House No. 3708, HIG (Lower), Sector-46-C, Chandigarh.	SANDEEP SINGH BHASIN VS MAJOR M.L. MEHTA	Arguments.	12 May 2025
7	CS CJ/2242/2022	CSCJ/2242/2022-Rajinder Singh Vs Mohinder Singh. DU No. 4578, Maloya Colony, Chandigarh	RAJINDER SINGH VS MOHINDER SINGH	Reply And Consideration	12 May 2025
8	EXE/359/2023	EXE/359/2023 Vijay Kumar Vs Chandigarh Housing Board, Du no 2671, Sector 40-C, Chandigarh.	VIJAY KUMAR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Notice And Record	12 May 2025
9	CS CJ/1325/2023	CSCJ/1325/2023 Ranjit Singh Vs Vijay Singh Du No 1097, Phase-2, Ram Darbar, Chandigarh	RANJIT SINGH VS VIJAY SINGH	Reply And Consideration	12 May 2025
10	CS CJ/1191/2023	CSCJ/1191/2023 Manjit Singh Vs Verinder Kaur, Du No 2952, Sector 42-C, Chandigarh	MANJIT SINGH VS VERINDER KAUR	Reply And Consideration	12 May 2025
11	MCA DJ/14/2024	MCADJ/14/2024 Anand Kumar Vs Advisor to the Administrator HNo 4662 2 Sector 38 W Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the Appeal under Section 54 of the Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 As extended to the Union Territory of Chandigarh against the eviction order dated 11/1/2024 passed by Respondent No 3 And Further praying that during the pendency of the appeal the operation of the impugned order dated 11/01/2024 may kindly be stayed Or Any other relief which this Hon ble Court may deem fit in the facts and circumstances of the case And filed an Application for staying the operation	ANAND KUMAR VS ADVISER TO THE ADMINISTRATOR	Consideration	12 May 2025

		of the impugned eviction order dated 11/01/2024 during the pendency of the accompanying appeal			
12	CIVIL MISC/567/2023	PUC is a summons to appear in the subject cited court case received in this office without the copy of petition attached may kindly be perused please The next date of Subject Cited Court Case has been fixed for 13/08/2024 Earlier Civil Suit no CS/2114/2020 titled as Shamsher Singh others Vs The Estate Officer Chandigarh another which was dismissed by the Hon ble District on 03/04/2023	SHAMSHER SINGH VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION	Appearance	12 May 2025
13	EXE/216/2024	Application for execution of defree under Section 36 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 passed in arbitration case decided on 01-10-2019 by Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.N.Aggarwal, Retd. Sole arbitrator.	KAMALADITYYA CONSTURCION PVT. LTD. VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ORS	Notice and record	12 May 2025
14	CS CJ/492/2025	Plaintiff filed the CS/492/2025 titled as Vikas Singla vs. UOI & others (DU No. 2692/2, Sector 44-C, UT, Chandigarh) for directing CHB to transfer the said dwelling unit on the basis of Transfer deed dated 13-12-2024 executed by defendent no. 02 in his favor.	VIKAS SINGLA VS UNION OF INDIA	Fresh Case	12 May 2025
15	CS CJ/1181/2020	Suit for declaration to the effect that the plaintiff be declared as the owner of 12.5% share of the ancestral property i.e. H.No.3021, HBC,Dhanas, Chd and suit for permanant injuction restraining the defendants No.1 to 3 from alenating any property.	AMAYRA KANOJIA VS KIRAN	Civil Evidence	13 May 2025
16	CS CJ/1012/2022	Civil Suit No. CSCJ/1012/ 2022 titled as Krishan Lal vs Chandigarh Housing Board (DU No. 659, Phase-II, Ram Darbar, Chandigarh)	KRISHAN LAL VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	13 May 2025
17	CIVIL MISC/489/2022	DU No. 1447-B, Sector 61, UT, Chandigarh.	LAKHBIR KAUR VS JAGDIP KAUR	Reply And Consideration	13 May 2025
18	CA/151/2024	CA/151/2024 Brij Lal vs Chandigarh Housing Board HNo 3122/1 Sector 41/D Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed an appeal U/s 54 of Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 extended to Chandigarh setting aside the impugned Eviction Notice dated 29/08/2024 Annexure P/11 received by the petitioner on 03/09/2024 issued under Section 51 Sub Section 1 of Haryana Housing Board Act As extended to Chandigarh an explanation and produce evidence if any within 14 days from the date of service of this notice being wrong illegal wholly without jurisdiction against the principle of natural justice and in violation of the rules of Haryana Housing board Act 1971 As extended to UT Chandigarh and liable	BRIJ LAL VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	13 May 2025

		to be set aside And Filed an Application U/o 39 rule 1 and 2 of the Civil Procedure Code restraining the operation of order dated 29/08/2024 Annx-P/11 during the pendency of the present appeal			
19	MISC DJ/273/2025	Appeal against the eviction order dated 28-07-2022 in respect of Small Flat No. 6594-A, Sector 56, UT, Chandigarh.	PRABHU NATH VS THE SECRETARY	Notice and record	13 May 2025
20	CA/78/2025	The appellant filed the appeal to set aside the decree dated 13-03-2025 passed by the District Court, UT, Chandigarh in CS/3121/2018 in respect of DU No. 2198-D, Sector 63, UT, Chandigarh.	VEDWATI VS LAKHBIR KAUR	Notice and record	13 May 2025
21	CS CJ/1234/2019	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff to record the ownership of the plaintiff to transfer the extent of 8.33% share in respect of DU No. 1014, Sector 43-B, Chandigarh.	PRANAV SIKRI VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	14 May 2025
22	CS CJ/2008/2019	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for rthe transfer of DU No. 547, Indira Colony Manimajra on the basis of WILL dated 23.3.2018 plaintiff is grand son of original allottee.	AJAY PARTAP SINGH VS THE CHAIRMAN	Evidence	14 May 2025
23	CIVIL MISC/299/2023	CM/299/2023 Rajesh Goyal Vs General Public, Du No .2223-E, Sector 63, Chandigarh	RAJESH GOYAL VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Reply/ Consideration	14 May 2025
24	EXE/625/2023	EXE/625/2023 Rajesh Goyal Vs General Public H No 2223-E Sector 63The plaintiff has filed an application for execution of the judgement dated 30-05-2023 passed by this honble Court in Civil Suit No 1440/2022 decided on 30-05-2023	RAJESH GOYAL VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Reply/ Consideration	14 May 2025
25	CS CJ/4322/2023	CSCJ/4322/2023 Rajesh Kumar Vs Raj Khurana H No 2230 C Sector 63 Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the Suit for declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is the owners of House No 2230 C Sector 63 Chandigarh Category General Two Bed Room Flats on the basis of Registered Will executed by the decased Late Sh Narender Kumar Khurana And SUIT for Mandatory Injunction directing the defendant No 4 to transfer the ownership of House No 2230 c Sector 63 Chandigarh in the names of plaintiff	RAJESH KUMAR VS RAJ KHURANA	Evidence	14 May 2025
26	CA/189/2024	No petition received	URMILA VS THE SECRETARY	Reply And Consideration	14 May 2025
27	MCA DJ/63/2025	The appellant filed the appeal for setting aside the sealing and eviction order dated 24-01-2020, 04-03-2020 and 24-04-2025 passed against the Small Flat No. 4749/2, Sector 38(w), UT, Chandigarh.	SHIVRAJ VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	14 May 2025
28	CS CJ/2699/2018	Civil Suit filled by plaintiff for specific performancre of the agreement to sell dated 2.3.1989 and for execution and registration of sale deed in term of agreement to sell in respect of DU No. 3940 Sector 47-D, Chandigarh.	RENUKA PAL THROUGH SPA PARITOSH KUMAR PAL VS	Reply/ Consideration	15 May 2025

			SURESH KUMAR SHARMA		
29	CS CJ/375/2020	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of share in respect of DU No. 3623, Maulijagran, Chandigarh.	PARMOD KUMAR VS SATDEV KUMAR	Reply And Consideration	15 May 2025
30	CS CJ/1860/2021	Application U/o 39 Rule 1 and 2 CPC for temporary injunction restraining the defendant no. 1 and 2 from alienating the suit property by way of sale, mortgage, gift, exchange and changing the nature by any other mode of the property in question, during the pendency of the main suit. (DU NO. 118/1, Sector-55, CHD)	SHANTI RANI VS MAJOR I. J. S. BAMBRHA	Evidence	15 May 2025
31	CIVIL SUIT/515/2021	Civil Suit No. CSCJ/515/2021 titled as Dilawar Singh VS Asha Deep Kaur and Others before the Civil Judge (Jr. Division) Garhshankar (PB).(DU No. 3675 ,CAT-MIG-I, Sector 46-C)	Dilawar Singh VS Asha Deep Kaur	Arguments	15 May 2025
32	CS CJ/2381/2018	Civil Suit No. CSCJ/2381/ 2018 titled as Anju Sharma vs Rajiv Sharma, 342-2, Sector 45-A, CHD	ANJU SHARMA VS RAJIV SHARMA	Reply And Consideration	15 May 2025
33	CS CJ/2115/2022	CSCJ/2115/2022 Labh Singh vs Soni DU No 3125 Housing Board Colony Dhanas, Chandigarh	LABH SINGH VS SONI	Reply And Consideration	15 May 2025
34	CA/177/2022	CA/177/2022 Deepika Singh vs Satwinder Singh Du No 282/1, sector 41-A, Chandigarh The appellant the filed the appeal against findings under issue no 2A 2B 2C 2D 2E in judgement and decree dated 28/10/2022 passed by the court of Shri Chetesh Gupta Civil Judge Jr Div Chandigarh in Civil suit no 3070 of 2013 titled Satwinder Singh Vs Prabhjot Singh and others and Claim for acceptance of appeal and after setting aside findings under issue 2A 2B 2C 2D 2E in judgemnt and decree dated 28/10/2022 passed by the Court of Shri Chetesh Gupta Civil Judge Jr Div Chandigarh for decreetal of the counter claim filed by the appellants/defendants no 1 to 3 against the respondents in civil suit no 3070 of 2013 titled as Satwinder Singh Vs prabhjot kaur and others with costs through out.	DEEPIKA SINGH VS SATWINDER SINGH	Arguments	15 May 2025
35	CA/187/2022	CA/187/2022 Satwinder Singh Vs Prabhjot Kaur Du no 282-1 sector 41-A, Chandigarh The appellant the filed the appeal against impugned order dated 28/10/2022 passed by the Civil Judge, Junior Division chandigarh and Claim in appeal to accept the appeal and the impugned order deserved to be set aside.	SATWINDER SINGH VS PRABJOT KAUR	Arguments	15 May 2025
36	CS CJ/731/2024	CSCJ/731/2024 Harparkash kaur Vs Chandigarh Housing Board HNo 3017/2 Sector 44/D Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the SUIT for specific performance of the agreement to sell dated 23/04/1990 whereby the husband of plaintiff No 1 and father of plaintiff no 2 3 had purchased HNO	HARPARKASH KAUR VS THE SECRETARY	Reply And Consideration	15 May 2025

		3017/2 Sector 44D Chandigarh from the allottee ie defendant No2 at a total sale consideration money of Rs 55000/ AND Suit for mandatory injunction directing defendant No1 to transfer the property ie HNo 3017/2 Sector 44D Chandigarh in favor of the plaintiffs being the legal heir of the agreement to sell holder namely sh Sabinder Singh alias Savinder Singh AND Suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendant from alienating mortgaging transferring gifting or creating any third party right in respect of property ie HNo 3017/2 Sector 44/D Chandigarh AND any other relief in this Hon ble Court deems fit and proper may be granted in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant			
37	CA/191/2024	The Appellant has filed an application for interim stay of the impugned order dated 21.10.2024 and 05.08.2024 passed under section 51 sub section 1 of Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 as applicable to Chandigarh. And filed an appeal under 54 of the Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 as extended to UT Chandigarh against the impugned order passed by respondent vide No CHB EO 1 2024 493 dated 21.10.2024 issuing directions for eviction of the appellant and all persons from small flat Dwelling unit no 6565 A Sector 56 UT Chandigarh under section 51 sub section 1 of Haryana housing Board Act 1971 as applicable to Chandigarh	VIKAS SINGH VS SECRETARY	Arguments	15 May 2025
38	CS CJ/3002/2018	Suit for specific performance of Agreement to Sell dated 12-03-2003 in respect of DU No. 3081, Sector 44-D, UT, Chandigarh.	VIJENDER KUMAR NIRMAL VS NANAK SINGH	Evidence	15 May 2025
39	MCA DJ/27/2025	Appeal filed to set aside the order dated 18-02-2025 passed to vacate the Small flat No. 2571-2, Sector 49, UT, Chandigarh	MANISH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	15 May 2025
40	CS CJ/1350/2017	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for cancellation of allotment in respect of # 908/40-A, Chandigarh # 928/40-A, Chandigarh due to filing false affidavit..	RAJ KUMAR CHIBBER VS USHA PRASHAR	Defence evidence	16 May 2025
41	CS CJ/2339/2018	Civil Suit has been filed by the plaintiff for transfer of DU No. 355/2, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh on the basis of WILL.	BALWINDER KAUR VS KASHMIR KAUR	Reply And Consideration	16 May 2025
42	CS CJ/1356/2021	Suit for declaration to the effect that plaintiff be declare owner in possession of house No. 4573, Maloya Colony, UT, Chandigarh on the basis of GPA, Agreement to sell, affidavits and Wills dated 20.08.1990, which was executed by the original allottee in favour of the plaintiff.	GOONA DEVI @ SARASWATI VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Reply And Consideration	16 May 2025
43	CIVIL	CSCJ/608/2022 - Jaskirat Kaur Vs Jasmen Dyal 414, Sector 44-A, Chandigarh	Jaskirat Kaur VS	Arguments	16 May 2025

	SUIT/608/2022	The plaintiff has filed the subject cited suit to transfer Dwelling Unit NO.418, Sector 44-A, Chandigarh in the name of plaintiff.	Jasmen Dyal		
44	CS CJ/244/2022	Civil Suit No CSCJ 244 2022 Rajesh Dubey Versus Chandigarh Housing Board Another DU No 2635 Rehabilitation Colony Mauli Jagran	RAJESH DUBEY VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Arguments	16 May 2025
45	CA/130/2022	CA/130/2022 Rambir Singh Toor vs Pritam Singh H.NO 5929, Duplex, Ph-III, Mordern Residential Complex, Manimajra The Plaintiff has filed the Civil appeal under section 96 cpc against the order dated 25.08.2022 where by sh. Tejpratap Singh Randhawa, LD. civil judge, rejected the plaint of the civil suit no.83 of 2018 titled Rambir Singh Toor v/s Pritam Singh Randhawa and others in terms of order 7, rule 11 (d) of the code of civil procedure.	RAMBIR SINGH TOOR VS PRITAM SINGH RANDHAWA	Arguments	16 May 2025
46	CS CJ/1345/2023	DU No. 2910/3, Sector 49, UT, Chandigarh.	ANMOL RATTAN PUPNEJA VS RAJBIR SINGH	Reply And Consideration	16 May 2025
47	CS CJ/4314/2023	CSCJ/4314/2023 Swarna Rani Vs Vijay Shera H No 5108/3 MHC Manimajra UT Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the Suit for declaration to the effect that the suit property ie House number the House No 5108/3 Modern Housing Complex Manimajra UT Chandigarh is coowned by plaintiff No 1 to 5 and defendant No 1 to the extent of equal shares each being legal heir of Late Sh Ajit Singh son of late Shri Guttu Ram and further for declaration to the effect that the alleged Will of Late Shri Ajit Singh son of late Shri Guttu Ram is a forged fabricated and manipulated document which is also surrounded by suspicious circumstances and is illegal null and void and thus is not binding upon the parties and as well as for declaration to the effect that the alleged Will which is being impugned by way of present suit does not entitle the defendant No 1 to proclaim the ownership by her to the extent of 50 along with plaintiff No 5 consequently thus entitles the plaintiffs and defendant No 1 to co own the suit property to the extent of equal share each And for partition and exclusive possession of in respect of House No 5108/3 Modern Housing Complex Manimajra UT Chandigarh ie 2 BHK alongwith latrine bath and scooter garage by meets and bounds among the plaintiff no 1 to 5 and defendant no 1 as per their respective equal share and if the partition of the above said house is not possible by meets and bounds due to any legal implication or otherwise among the plaintiff No 1 to 5 and defendant no 1 then partition of the House/ sale of the House by auction amongst the plaintiffs and defendant no1 and if the partition of the above house is not	SWARNA RANI VS VIJAY SHERA	Consideration	16 May 2025

		possible by way of auction among co owners sale of the house i e amongst the plaintiff no 1 to 5 and defendant no 1 then by auction of the above house in public and the sale proceeds of the same may be distributed among the plaintiff no 1 to 5 and defendant no 1 as per their respective equal shares And for a recovery of Rs 10 15 560 towards mesne profit Rs 650 per day along with interest 12 from the date of death of the mother i e Smt Lajwanti who passed away on 19 02 2020 w e f 20 02 2020 i e a sum of Rs 9 06 750 and interest Rs 1 08 810 till the date of decree and from the date of decree till the realization of the decretal amount in favour of the plaintiffs and against the defendant No 1 And a decree for permanent injunction restraining the defendant No 1 or his / their representative from alienating selling transferring or parting with possession entire or any portion or share of the house in question directly or indirectly to any other person including any third person or from creating any kind of charge or person or from creating any kind of charge or encumbrances or from making any alterations over the above said property and further restraining defendant No 2 to allow sale transfer etc of House No 5108/3 Modern Housing Complex Manimajra U T Chandigarh in favour of defendant No 1 or her authorized representative etc in its record on the basis of oral and documentary be passed in favour of the plaintiffs and against the defendants on the basis of oral and documentary			
48	CS CJ/783/2024	CSCJ/783/2024 Sonia Parmar Vs Ajay Kumar H No3261/1 Sector 45/D Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the SUIT for DECLARATION to the effect that Will dated 21/07/2014 allegedly executed by late Baldev Singh Son of late Sh Onkar Chand, (entered at Serial No 625 Book no 3 Volume No 312 Page No 189 on 21/07/2014 in the office of Sub Registrar UT Chandigarh is a forged fabricated and fictitious document with consequential relief that consequent transfer if any has taken place in favour of defendant No 1 in respect of suit properties ie booth No 2 Sadar Bazar Sector 19 Chandigarh and House No 3261/1 Sector 45/D Chandigarh in the records of defendant No 2 or defendant No3 respectively on the basis of above forged fabricated and fictitious Will dated 21/07/2014 are invalid and noest And Suit for permanent injunction restraining defendant no 1 from creating any third party interest in any manner in respect of suit properties ie Booth No2 Sadar Bazar Sector 19 Chandigarh and House no 3261/1 Sector 45d Chandigarh on the basis of above forged fabricated and fictitious Will dated 21/07/2014 And Suit for permanent injunction restraining defendant No 2 and 3 from changing record	SONIA PARMAR VS AJAY KUMAR	Reply And Consideration	16 May 2025

		<p>of rights in respect of suit properties ie Booth No2 Sadar Bazar Sector 19 Chandigarh and House No 3261/1 Sector 45D Chandigarh in favour of defendant no1 on the basis of forged and fabricated Will dated 21/07/2014 allegedly executed by late Baldev Singh entered at Serial No 625 Book No 3 Volume No 312 Page No 189 on 21/07/2014 in the office of Sub Registrar UT Chandigarh if transfer in favour of defendant no 1 has not yet taken place And Suit for Declaration to the effect that plaintiff no1 plaintiff no2 and defendant No1 are equally entitled to succeed to 100 share of late Sh Baldev Singh son of Onkar Chand in suit properties ie Booth No2 Sadar Bazar Sector19 Chandigarh nd House No 3261/1 Sector 45D Chandigarh on account of intestate demise of late Sh Baldev Singh son of Onjar chand with consequential relied of Decree of Mandatory injunction directing defendant No2 and defendant No3 to enter and record equal entitlement of plaintiff no 1 plaintiff no 2 with defendant no 1 to the extent of 1/3 rd share each in respect of 100 share of late Sh Baldev Singh son of Onkar Chand in suit properties ie Booth No 2 Sadar Bazar Sector 19 Chandigarh and House No 3261/1 Sector 45/D Chandigarh in their records of rights with further consequential relief for partition and separate possession of suit properties ie Booth No 2 Sadar Bazar Sector 19 Chandigarh and House No 3261/1 Sector 45D Chandigarh by meets and bounds in favour of plaintiffs and defendant No 1 as per their share and in case partition is not feasible or permissible the suit properties i.e. Booth No2 Sadar Bazar Sector 19 Chandigarh and House No 3261/1 Sector 45D Chandigarh may be put to open auction and sale proceeds may be distributed amongst plaintiffs and defendant No 1 as per their sharesAnd Suit for recovery of damages/mense profit 60,000 per month wef 01/04/2024 to till dated of partition of suit properties or its sale in open auction on account of user/occupation of suit properties by defendant No1 Earlier the case fixed before the Hon ble District Court on 18/05/2024 and memo of appearance was given by Advocate Sh Harpreet Singh Sudan on behalf of Chandigarh Housing Board</p>			
49	CA/108/2024	<p>CA/108/2024 Kamrus Bai vs The Secretary of Chandigarh Housing Board HNo 72/A Dhanas Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed an Application for stay of the order daTed 11/07/2024 passed by the Secretary of Chandigarh Housing Board under the Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 Chandigarh Housing Board And Filed an Appeal against the impugned eviction order dated 10/07/2024 dispatched on 11/07/2024 passed by Secretary, Chandigarh housing Board vide which DU No 72/A Dhanas Chandigarh belonging to</p>	<p>KAMRUS BAI VS SECRETARY OF CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD</p>	<p>Reply And Consideration</p>	<p>16 May 2025</p>

		appellant has been cancelled and eviction of the appellant has been ordered in most illegal and arbitrary manner			
50	CS CJ/2047/2024	DU No. 3303/2, Sector 47-D, UT, Chandigarh.	RAVINDER KUMAR BHARDWAJ VS SANJAY KUMAR	Reply And Consideration	16 May 2025
51	CS CJ/195/2025	Suit for declaration of title, permanent injunction and peacefully possession by means of specific performance of Agreement to Sell dated 15.09.2021 in respect of DU No. 1597, Daddu Majra Colony, UT, Chandigarh, to execute and get the sale deed registered in the office of Sub Registrar, UT, Chandigarh OR in the alternative suit for recovery of Rs.17 lakh and damages of Rs.2 lakh with interest at 12 percent per annum from the date of agreement to sell till its actual realization AND suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendant his agents, legal representative, successors from selling, mortgaging, lease, further doing agreement to sell transferring and alienating the suit property, to any third party in any manner.	RINKU SOMPAL VS	Appearance	16 May 2025

Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon'ble Permanent Lok Adalat PUS, Chandigarh from 10.05.2025 to 16.05.2025

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	APPLICATION/24/2024	The Plaintiff has filed the application under Section 22 (A) legal services Authority Act (1987) The plaintiff has filed the application to give the direction to the Respondent to give possession of allotted small flat under Chandigarh small flats scheme 2009 to applicant Mr Raj Kumar	Raj Kumar VS Estate Office and Chandigarh Housing Board (Jhuggi No 2744, Colony No. 5, Chandigarh)	Reply filed	13 May 2025
2	APPEAL/22/2023	Application no 22 of 2023 Rajbeer Vs CHB Others H No A-576 Nehru Colony Sector 53 Kajheri U T Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the Application U s 22-C of State Legal Services Authority Act 1987 for directing the respondents to allot the residential dwelling unit under the Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006 Chandigarh Small Flats	Rajbeer VS CHB and others	Reply filed	14 May 2025

		amendment Scheme 2009 in lieu of Jhuggi House No A-276 Nehru Colony Sector 53 Kajheri UT Chandigarh as the applicant is fully eligible and entitled to the allotment of the dwelling units as per the above said scheme			
3	APPLICATION/07/2025	The Plaintiff has filed the Application under Section 22-C of the Legal Services Authority Act 1987 for setting aside the notice bearing No. 884 dated 08.04.2025 and allowing the applicant to deposit Rs 5000 per month as installment of the total amount of Rs 196000	Smt. Santra VS Secretary, Chandigarh Housing Board (R/o Flat No. 4867/2, Small Flats, Sector 38-West, Chandigarh)	Fresh case	15 May 2025

Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon'ble Chief Secretary, UT Chandigarh, from 10.05.2025 to 16.05.2025

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	REVISION/nil/2021	RP filed against the order dated 22.10.20 passed by the CHB, CHD in respect of Booth No. 268, Manimajra, UT, CHD	Veena Goyal and Anr VS CHB	Reply filed	15 May 2025
2	REVISION/00000/2023	Vicky Versus Chandigarh Housing Board, Revision Petition in the court of Honable Advisor to the Administrator, UT Chandigarh, Du No 2270, Ramdarbar Phase-II, CHD	Vicky VS Chandigarh Housing Board	Reply filed	15 May 2025
3	REVISION/-/2023	RP of 2023 Ram Bilas Another VS Chandigarh Housing Board DU No 1766 B Dhanas Small Flats Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the Revision Petitioner under Section 17 e of the Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006 against the order dated 14 08 2023 passed by the Appellate Authority UT Chandigarh in respect of Dwelling Unit No 1766 B Dhanas UT Chandigarh	Ram Bilas and another VS Chandigarh Housing Board	Reply filed	15 May 2025
4	REVISION/-----/2024	The Plaintiff has filed the Revision Petition under Section 72-B of Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 as extended to UT Chandigarh against the order dated 27-03-2019 passed by the Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh in respect of House No 3937 Sector 56 Palsora Colony Chandigarh and The Plaintiff has filed the Revision petition	Rikhi Ram son of Roshan Lal VS Chief Executive Officer, Chandigarh Housing Board and	Reply filed	15 May 2025

		under Section 72-B of the Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 as extended to Chandigarh against the orders dated 23-08-2017 passed by the Chief Executive Officer Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh cancelling the allotment of House No 3937 Sector 56 Palsora Chandigarh on account of building violations and against the orders dated 14-11-2018 passed by the Chairman Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh and against the order dated 27-03-2019 passed by the Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh dismissing the appeal preferred by the Petitioner	others					
5	REVISION/...../2024	The Plaintiff has filed the Revision petition under Section 72-B of the Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 against the order dated 09-08-2019 passed by the Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh in respect of Dwelling Unit No 3283/1 Dhanas Chandigarh and The Plaintiff has filed the Application Under Section 151 CPC for grant of stay of operation of impugned order dated 09-08-2019 passed by the Chandigarh Housing Board order dated 22-01-2019 passed by the Chairman Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh and order dated 11-10-2017 passed by the Chief Executive Officer Chandigarh Housing Board respectively and further The Plaintiff has filed the Revision petition under Section 72-B of the Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 against the order dated 09-08-2019 passed by the Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh vide which he had wrongly dismissed the appeal filed by the petitioner against the order dated 22-01-2019 passed by the Chairman Chandigarh vide which appeal filed by the petitioner was wrongly dismissed filed against the order dated 11-10-2017 passed by the Chief Executive Officer Chandigarh Housing Board vide which he has wrongly cancelled the dwelling unit No 3283/1 Dhanas Chandigarh on the ground of building violations	Sh. Atlaf Ahmed through Sh. Ashok Kumar s/o of Sh. Krishan Lal VS Chandigarh Housing Board and others (DU No. 3283/1, Dhanas, Chandigarh)	Reply filed	15 May 2025			
6	REVISION/////2023	The Plaintiff has filed the Revision Petition under Section 17 e of the Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006 against the order dated 14-08-2023 passed by the Appellate Authority UT Chandigarh in respect of Small Flat No 6509-C Sector - 56 Chandigarh	Smt. Phoolwati VS The Secretary Estates U.T. Chandigarh and another (Flat No. 6509-C, Sector-56, Chandigarh).	Reply filed	15 May 2025			
7	TEST.CAS./---/2023	RP	BEFORE	CHEIF	SECRETARY	Gobind son of	Reply	15 May 2025

		<p>The petitioner has filed application for stay of operation of execution of impugned order dated 25.09.2023 passed by respondent no 2 till the final disposal of present revision petition. The petitioner has filed revision petition under section 17 e of the Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 against the order dated 25.09.2023 passed by respondent no 2 flat no 4661 2 sector 38 west Chandigarh whereby the appeal filed by the petitioners have been dismissed.</p> <p>AND file claim in revision to accept the revision and to set aside the impugned order dated 25.09.2023 passed by respondent no 2 and to allow the appeal of the petitioners.</p>	<p>kailash Meena wife of Gobind VS The secretary CHB Chandigarh The secretary estate UT Chandigarh</p>	<p>filed</p>	
8	TEST.CAS./05/2023	<p>APPEAL BEFORE THE CHAIRMAN CHB</p> <p>The appellant has filed appeal under section 72 B of the Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 as extended to Chandigarh against the order dated 21.02.2023 passed by the CEO CHB Chandigarh cancelling the allotment of dwelling unit no 1608 DADU Majra colony Chandigarh on account of breach of terms and conditions of allotment.</p> <p>AND file application for staying the operation of order dated 21.02.2023 passed by the CEO CHB ordering the cancellation of lease of plinth site bearing no 1608 Dadu Majra colony sector 38 west Chandigarh</p>	<p>Dharminder Singh son of late balwant singh VS CEO, CHB Chandigarh</p>	<p>Reply filed</p>	<p>16 May 2025</p>