Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon'ble High Court of Punjab and Haryana, from 26.04.2025 to 02.05.2025

	11d1 y d11d, 11 0111 20:0 1:2020 to 02:00:2020					
S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing dat e	
1	CWP/13840/201 9	Civil Writ petition filed by the petitioner for setting aside the order dated 18.02.2019 passed by the respondents CHB. And to issue directions to the respondents to refund the amount of Rs.32,27,864/- paid by the petitioner with interest @24% per annum if he is not agreeing with the proposal put forwarded by the petitioner in his application dated 26.02.2018.(Booth BNo.35Housing Complex, Manimajra)	SANDEEP KUMAR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD, CHANDIGARH AND ORS	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	28 Apr 2025	
2	CWP/327/2006	REGD. ALLOTMENT IN PALSORA COLONY and quashing the order dated 5.8.2002 of AEO	KULDEEP SINGH VS U.T.CHD. AND ORS.	Arguments	28 Apr 2025	
3	CWP/3958/2017	Writ petition filed by the petitioners for quashing the order dated 11.02.2013 and all subseque3nt orders passed thereafter and the Last order dated 30.09.2016 whereby the Revision Petition was rejected on ground of limitation and further issuance of writ of mandamus directing the Revisional Authority to consider the appeal on merits in accordance with law file by the petitioner against the cancellation of Dwelling Unit No. 1669, Sector 29-B, Chandigarh.	RAMESH HARIBHAU KATYARMAL VS U.T. ADMINISTRATION THR ADVISOR TO ADMINISTRATOR CHANDIGARH & ANR	Arguments	28 Apr 2025	
4	CWP/28778/201 8	Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for the issuance of a writ of certiorari (sending for the records of the case and finding that the Orders of the Estate Officer, U.T., Chandigarh Respondent No.3 dated 06.11.2017 and the order dated 14.08.2018 passed b the Appellate Authority respondent No.2 Annexure P-17 are not sustainable to quash the same. 3.Further directing the respondents to consider the claims of the petitioners, the displaced and uprooted residents of Palsora Colony, demolished b the UT Chandigarh Administration in June 2003 to rehabilitate the petitioners for allotment of alternate accommodation of one room Flat under the Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006 as per the directions of Hon'ble High Court dated 30.05.2017 in CWP NO.12042 of 2017 – Ram Gulam and Others Vs U.T, Chandigarh and Others without taking into consideration the condition of bio metric Survey and finding them eligible, to make allotment of one room flat under the 2006 scheme, as has been done in respect of similarly placed	RAM GULAM AND OTHERS VS U T CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND OTHERS	Arguments	28 Apr 2025	

5	COCP/269/2025	residents of erstwhile Palsora Colony who after the demotion of Palsora Colony had settled in other unauthorized slum colonies of Chandigarh, for which the Administration conducted bio metric survey in 2006, while the petitioner who sought shelter in the authorized areas of Chandigarh for which no bio metric survey was conducted COCP filed by the petitioner for disobeying the order dated 23-09-2024 passed by the Honorable High Court in the CWP No-26403 of 2019 and for further prayed for issuance of any other appropriate order or such directions as Honorable Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstance of	RAKESH KUMAR VS RAJEEV VERMA IAS	Arguments	28 Apr 2025
6	RSA/706/2025	To be entered by Nodal Officer	SANDEEP KUMAR AND ANOTHER VS UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS	Arguments	28 Apr 2025
7	CWP/793/2023	Petition filed by one Smt. Parveen Kumari before Honorable High Court of Punjab and Haryana for quashing the order passed by the Property Allotment Committee order dated 07-08-2019 whereby the Appellant Authority has affirmed the order of PAC and order dated 08-04-2022 passed by the Court of the Advisor to the Administrator U T Chandigarh whereby upheld the order passed by the appellate authority being illegal arbitrary unconstitutional and against the cannon of fundamental right of the petitioner Further for directing the respondents to allot the dwelling unit to the petitioner under the Oustees Scheme as the petitioner has been duly authorized by all the legal heirs of the Oustees by way of submitting the relinquishment affidavit as per the specification of the respondents		Arguments	29 Apr 2025
8	CWP/4769/2021	CWP under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ in the nature of a Certiorari, quashing the impugned letter No.377367 dated 27.08.2020 vide which the Respondent No.3 has rejected the request of the Petitioner for refund of conversion charges of Rs.40,56,000/- in respect of Plot No.368-369, Indl Area, Ph-I, Chandigarh of the Petitioner, being manifestly arbitrary, violative of Articel 14 of India and also declare the same as being illegal, improper, unjust, predetermined, malicious, irrational and beyond the provisions of law.	M/S ESSEN DEINKI VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER	Arguments	29 Apr 2025
9	RSA/3703/2023	Appellant has filed the subject cited Regular Second Application against the judgement and decree dated 15-09-2017 passed by the Ld Civil Judge -Jr. Division)-Chandigarh and the Judgement dated 14-09-2023 passed by the Ld	BHAJAN SINGH VS HARDEEP SINGH GREWAL AND	Arguments	29 Apr 2025

		First Appellate Court are against the law facts and evidence on the file and are not sustainable in the eyes of law and are liable to be set aside	ANOTHER		
10	CWP/23681/201 7	(Oustees Matter) CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing impugned action dated 13.09.2017 holding the petitioner ineligible only on the ground that the land was acquired before 01.11.1966 while this benefit is being extended to all other oustees whose land was acquired and struck down the clause which restrict the benefit only to the oustees whose house was acquired being discriminatory and for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to consider the petitioner application form No.1519 for allotment of flat under Oustees Scheme 2017 (Three Bed Room) for the petitioner whose father's land was acquired for development of Phase-II of Chandigarh in the year 1964.	ANJU MITTAL VS UT OF CHANDIGARH AND ORS.	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	01 May 2025
11	CWP/15226/201 9	For directing the respondent authorities to allot one flat to the petitioners under the Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006.	VIJAY PAL VERMA AND ANR VS THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY-CUM- CEO CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ANR	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	01 May 2025
12	CWP/26186/201 7	(Oustees Matter) CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing the impugned order dated 07.11.2017 issued by the Accounts Officer, Chandigarh Housing Board, Respondent No.3 rejecting the application Form No.1101 of the petitioner by the Property Allotment Committee Respondent No.4 for MIG(Two Bed Rooms) Flats under the "263 Flats for oustees of U.T., Chandigarh on lease hold basis/free hold basis under the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling units of the Oustees of Chandigarh, Scheme 1996" and writ of mandamus directing the respondent No.3 and 4 to allot the MIG (Two Bed Rooms) Flats, in Sector 51-A, Chandigarh as action of the of Respondent No.3 and 4 rejecting the application Form No.1101 of the petitioner is illegal, null and void, discriminatory and against the scheme and pass any other direction or order as this Hon'ble Court may deemed fit in the circumstances of the case Also it is further prayed that the during the pendency of the writ petition, one flat of MIG (Two Bed Rooms) Flats may kindly be kept reserved and the same may not be allotted to any person till the matter is decided by this Hon'ble Court.	AJIT SINGH VS UNION TERRITORY, CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	Arguments	01 May 2025

13	CWP/29842/201 9	Allotment of Flat under the Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006 - J.No. 75, Block-L, Labour Colony No. 4, Industrial Area, Phase-I, Chandigarh.	VINAY KUMAR CHAUHAN VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION ESTATE OFFICE AND ORS	Arguments	01 May 2025
14	CWP/34896/202 4	Civil Writ Petition under Article 226 227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the notice dated 11-07-2022 whereby the petitioner has been informed to give consent for allotment of EWS flats due to non availability of one Bedroom Flat under the Oustee Scheme 2012 and to quash the letter dated 18-08-2022 whereby even the allotment of EWS flat has also stands cancelled which was passed during the pendency of the earlier writ petition no18701 of 2022 filed by the present Further issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to allot MIG Flat instead of EWS Flat due to non availability of One Bedroom Flat or to allot LIG Flat available with the respondents	MANJIT SINGH VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND OTHERS	Arguments	01 May 2025
15	CM/8645- CWP/2023	CM Filed by the petitioner in CWP No.2309 of 2014 for modification and clarification of orders	GROUND FLOOR RESIDENTS IN DUPLEX FLATS WELFARE ASSOCIATION VS CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR UT & ORS	PENDING NOTICE IN CM	02 May 2025

Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon'ble Central Administrative Tribunal, from 26.04.2025 to 02.05.2025

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
		OA failed by the applicant for Directions to the the respondents A) To quash the	RAJESH KUMAR	PENDING, AFTER	
1	OA/142/2023	Memorandum dated 07.02.2023 (Annexure-5) issued by Respondent No.2 B) To	SHARMA VS	NOTICE(i.e.	29 Apr 2025
		issue directions to the Respondents no to proceed with the Memorandum dated	CHANDIGARH	NOT READY	

07.02.2023. Further the applicant has prayed for the stay of memorandum dated	ADMINISTRATION	FOR HEARING)	
07.02.2023.		,	

Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon'ble District Court, UT Chandigarh, from 26.04.2025 to 02.05.2025

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	C.S./2585/2019	CS/2585/2018 Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff seeking allotment of flat under Chd. SmallFlat 2006 in lieu of H.No. 300 Pandit Colony, Kajheril Sector 52, Chandigarh.	MOHAMED SAHANI VS ADVISOR, CHD ADMIN, UT, CHD	Defence evidence	28 Apr 2025
2	CS CJ/826/2019	Suit filed by the plaintiff for declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is owner to the extent of 50% share in DU No. 2313, Sector 45-C, Chandigarh with consequential relief directing the def. No. 1 (CHB) to transfer 50% share of the said DU.	MEENU DHAWAN VS THE CHAIRMAN	Evidence	28 Apr 2025
3	CS CJ/315/2022	Suit for mandatory injunction for issuance of direction to the Defendenta1 and 2 for issuance of possession letter and provide physical possession on allotted Dwelling Unit No. 6254-B, Sector-56, Chandigarh, with immediate effect with the name of plantiff.	HEERAWAN VS ESTATE OFFICER	Evidence	28 Apr 2025
4	CIVIL MISC/234/201 9	CM/234/2019 Jasbir Singh (Deceased) through Legal Heirs Vs General Public and Ors (Du No 60 , Sector 41-A , Chandigarh)	JASBIR SINGH(DECEASED) THROUGH LIEGAL HEIRS VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Notice And Record	28 Apr 2025
5	CS CJ/98/2023	Restraining the defendants for transferring the DU No. 2195/1, Sector 40-C, UT, Chandigarh.	DALEEP RATTAN VS PREM LATA	Reply And Consideration	28 Apr 2025
6	CS CJ/773/2022	CSCJ/773/2022, Ajit Singh Butter Vs General Public. #3369, Sector 46-C, CHD	AJIT SINGH BUTTER VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Reply And Consideration	28 Apr 2025
7	CS CJ/1205/2023	CSCJ/1205/2023 Bal Krishan Vs Chandigarh Housing Board, Du No 2629 Small Flats Sector 49, Chandigarh	BAL KRISHAN VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Evidence	28 Apr 2025
8	MISC DJ/591/2023	MISC DJ/591/2023 Satish Kumar Wadhawa Vs General Public Du No 511-2, Sector 45-A, Chandigarh he Plaintiff has filed the Application under section 5 of Limitation Act for condonation of delay of 60 days.	SATISH KUMAR WADHAWA VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Consideration	28 Apr 2025
9	CS CJ/2149/2023	CSCJ/2149/ 2023 Harmeet Kaur Bhatia VS CHB H No 3127/3 Sector 44 D Chandigarh PUC dated 29 11 2023 may kindly be perused Vide PUC Civil Judge Junior Division Chandigarh forwarded the copy of Civil Suit copy attached filed by the plaintiff for the declaration of the plaintiffs as the true and rightful	HARMEET KAUR BHATIA VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Notice And Record	28 Apr 2025

		of the owner of the property i e H No 3127/3 Sector 44 D Chandigarh which was transferred to them through Registered General Power of Attorney dated 15/06/2007 along with the sale agreement It is further prayed that direction may kindly be issued to Defendant to transfer the property in the name of plaintiffs It is also further prayed that this Hon ble Court may be pleased to grant any other relief that this Hon ble Court may deem fit and proper in the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case			
10	CS CJ/390/2024	CSCJ/390/2024 Vinod Manocha Vs Krishna Devi H.No 1509/1 Sector 29/B Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the Suit for Declaration to the effect that to declare the judgment/ Decree dated 14/11/2017 in Civil Suit no 278/2017 passed by the Hon ble Court of Sh Puneet Mohinia CJJD Chandigarh in the case titled as Krishna Devi Vs Vinod Manocha and Others as null and void And Suit for mandatory injunction for issuance of mandage to the Chandigarh Housing Board defendant no 2 to Cancel the transfer of Flat No 1509/1 Sector 29B Chandigarh And Suit for permanent injunction for restraining the defendant No 1 or her heirs agent attorney gunda elements not the dispossess from the peaceful possession in Flat No 1509/1 Sector 29B Chandigarh under the garb of judgment/decree dated 14/11/2022 as well as execution No 593 2023 pending for 16/03/2024 in the Court of Sh Puneet Mohinia Civil Judge Junior Division Chandigarh during the pendency of the present civil suit Application under Order 39 rule 1 and 2 of CPC for the restraining the defendant No 1 her agents heirs attorney gunda elements from peaceful possession of the plaintiff in House No 1509/1 Sector 29 B Chandigarh during the pendency of the Suit	VINOD MANOCHA VS KRISHNA DEVI	Notice And Record	28 Apr 2025
11	CS CJ/612/2024	CSCJ/612/2024 Isher Singh Vs General Public H No 14 Sector 41A UT Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the SUIT for declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is owner in possession of the HNo 14 Sector 41A UT Chandigarh and further Civil Suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant no 2 to transfer the House No 14 Sector 41 A UT Chandigarh owner in possession on the basis of bonafide purchaser and documents ie Power of Attorney Will etc executed in the favour of plaintiff And/Or Any other relief which this Hon ble Court may deem fit and proper in the light of facts and circumstances of the case also be passed in favour of the plaintiff and against the defendants	ISHER SINGH VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Notice And Record	28 Apr 2025
12	MCA DJ/81/2024	Setting aside cancellation/eviction order dated 21-11-2024 in respect of DU No. 2230, Sector 45-C, UT, Chandigarh.	DES RAJ VS CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICE	Reply And Consideration	28 Apr 2025
13	CS CJ/158/2025	Restraining the defendents to transfer the DU No. 176/2, Sector 45-A, UT, Chd.	JASWINDER SINGH VS JAGJIT SINGH GHUMAN	Reply/ Consideration	28 Apr 2025
14	MCA DJ/5/2025	To set aside the eviction order dated 12-12-2024 in respect of DU No. 2765/2, 47-C, UT, Chandigarh.	RADHA THAKUR VS CHAIRMAN	Notice And Record	28 Apr 2025
15	MCA DJ/45/2025	Appeal against the eviction order dated 05-03-2025 against H.No. 1566, KP-II, Ram Darbar, UT, Chandigarh.	SABHAJIT VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	28 Apr 2025
16	CS CJ/2206/2024	Suit for declaration to WILL dated 30-06-1998 in respect of DU No. 447/1, Sector 44-A, UT, Chandigarh.	MOHINDER KAUR VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Notice And Record	28 Apr 2025
17	MISC	Appeal for setting aside the eviction notice/order dated 21-02-2025 in respect of Small Flat No. 6630-C,	HANS RAJ VS	Notice And	28 Apr 2025

	DJ/264/2025	Sector 56, Palsora, UT, Chandigarh, cancelled due to non-payment.	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Record	
18	CS CJ/1801/2021	Suit for specific performance of the agreement to sell dated 01.05.2006 entered into between Defendant No. 4 and Chote Lal Soni being the husband of plantiff no.1 and father of plantif no. 2 to 4 wherein H. No. 275, Sector-44-A, Chandigarh was sold to Shhote Lal Soni at total sale consideration money of Rs. 1,50,000/ The said amount was paid and full final receipt dated 01.05.2006.	PADMA SONI VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Consideration	29 Apr 2025
19	CS CJ/244/2022	Civil Suit No CSCJ 244 2022 Rajesh Dubey Versus Chandigarh Housing Board Another DU No 2635 Rehabilitation Colony Mauli Jagran	RAJESH DUBEY VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Arguments	29 Apr 2025
20	CS CJ/486/2023	Seema Sood Vs Sanjay Sood, CSCJ/486/2023, Du No 2149/2, Sector 45-C, CHD	SEEMA SOOD VS SANJAY SOOD	Consideration	29 Apr 2025
21	CS CJ/89/2024	CSCJ/89/2024 SUSHIL KUMAR VS GENERAL PUBLIC H NO 3372 SECTOR 45 D CHANDIGARH The Plaintiff has filed the Suit for declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is the owner of H No 3372 Sector 45 D Chandigarh by virtue of the WILL Executed by Dr Shankar Nath Sanyal son of Late Sh Provot Kumar Sanyal registered in the office of Sub Registrar Chandigarh at serial No 2369 Book No 3 Volume No 257 Dated 20/11/2001 in favour of the plaintiff along with a Suit for Mandatory Injunction directing the defendant No 3 to transfer the said house in the record of Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh in the name of the plaintiff And Suit for Permanent injunction restraining the Defendant No 2 from Selling Transferring Alienating the property in favour of any other person or the create any charge Lien Interest of any Third party in the above noted property and also restraining the defendant No 3 from entering any Mutation/transferring the property in name of any other person except the Plaintiff	SUSHIL KUMAR VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Reply And Consideration	29 Apr 2025
22	CS CJ/859/2024	CSCJ/859/2024 Kamal Kumar Vs Kharaiti Lal Dwelling unit no 2159 Sector 40/C Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed Civil Suit for Specific performance of Agreement to sell dated 17/03/1981 by way of execution of Sale/Transfer deed of rights of HNo 2159 Sector 40C Chandigarh in favour of the plaintiff Further suit for permanent injunction restraing the defendants from interfering in the peaceful possession of the plaintiff S over HNo 2159 Sector40C Chandigarh IN ALTERNATIVE Suit for declaration to the effect that plaintiffs have become owner of the HNo 2159 Sector40C Chandigarh by way of adverse possession And also filed Application under order 39 rule 1 and 2 read with section 151 CPC praying for grant of temporary injunction restraining the defendants from interfering with the possession of the plaintiff during the pendency of the civil suit	KAMAL KUMAR VS KHARAITI LAL	Notice And Record	29 Apr 2025
23	CS CJ/3002/2018	Suit for specific performance of Agreement to Sell dated 12-03-2003 in respect of DU No. 3081, Sector 44-D, UT, Chandigarh.	VIJENDER KUMAR NIRMAL VS NANAK SINGH	Evidence	29 Apr 2025
24	MCA DJ/33/2025	Against the Eviction order dated 03-03-2025 in respect of Small Flat No. 2745/1, Sector 49, UT, Chandigarh.	KANTA VS SECRETARY ESTATE CUM FINANCE SECRETARY	Reply And Consideration	29 Apr 2025

25	CS CJ/523/2025	DU No. 2847, MIG-I, Sector 40-C, UT, Chandigarh	ASHWANI THAPAR VS RAKESH THAPAR		29 Apr 2025
26	CS CJ/1781/2017	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for mandatory injunction directing the CHB(Def. No. 1) not to transfer the house No. 3420, Maloya, UT, Chandigarh on the basis of decree dated 28.8.2017 passed by Sh. Imanbir Singh Dhaliwal, CJJD, Chandigarh also directing def. No. 1 2 to transfer the DU in favour of plaintiff on the basis of Agreement to sell.	SANJAY KUMAR GARG VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD THROUGH CHAIRMAN	Evidence	30 Apr 2025
27	CIVIL SUIT/608/2022	CSCJ/608/2022 - Jaskirat Kaur Vs Jasmen Dyal 414, Sector 44-A, Chandigarh The plaintiff has filed the subject cited suit to transfer Dwelling Unit NO.418, Sector 44-A, Chandigarh in the name of plaintiff.	Jaskirat Kaur VS Jasmen Dyal	Arguments	30 Apr 2025
28	PROB/5/2024	PROB/5/2024 BB Khanna Vs General Public H No 300/2 MIG L Sector 41A ChandiGARH PUC is a summons to appear in the subject cited court case received in this office sent by AO IV on 19/03/2024 without the copy of petition (copy attached) may kindly be perused please The Plaintiff has filed the petition under Section 372 of the Indian Succession Act, 1925 for Probatre of irrevocable Will dated 09/07/1985, Annexure P/1 Registered vide Wasika/ Registration serial No 822 Book No 3 Volume 132 Page No 173/177 Registered Before the then Sub Registrar before the then Sub Registrar Chandigarh on 10/07/1985.	B.B. KHANNA VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Reply And Consideration	30 Apr 2025
29	MCA DJ/2/2025	For staying the cancellation order dated 22-10-2024 and eviction order dated 23-12-2024 in respect of Small Flat No. 2567, Phase-II, Ram Darbar, Chd.	PRABHU TIWARI VS THE SECRETARY CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Consideration	30 Apr 2025
30	MCA DJ/13/2025	Appellant has filed the subject cited suit to set aside the impugned order dated 02-01-2025 (cancellation order) in respect of Small Flat No. 2707/3, Sector 49, UT, Chandigarh, due to non-payment and directing the respondent to re-allot the said flat.	KAMLESH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	30 Apr 2025
31	MCA DJ/15/2025	Set aside the Eviction order dated 09-01-2025 in respect of small Flat No. 5801, Maloya, UT, Chandigarh	BAL KRISHAN VS SECRETARY	Reply And Consideration	30 Apr 2025
32	CS CJ/495/2025	Summon received without petition	ANUBHAV VERMA VS THE MANAGER/AUTHORIZE D OFFICER	Notice And Record	30 Apr 2025
33	MCA DJ/17/2025	Small Flat No. 2631/1, Sector 49, UT, Chandigarh.	RAM TEJ SINGH VS SECRETARY CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Arguments	30 Apr 2025
34	CS CJ/2008/2019	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for rthe transfer of DU No. 547, Indira Colony Manimajra on the basis of WILL dated 23.3.2018 plaintiff is grand son of original allottee.	AJAY PARTAP SINGH VS THE CHAIRMAN	Evidence	01 May 2025
35	CS CJ/2083/2022	CSCJ/2083/2022 Vijay Pal Singh vs Chandigarh Housing Board petition missing	VIJAY PAL SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING	Arguments.	01 May 2025

			BOARD		
36	CA/173/2023	Civil Appeal CA/173/2023 Madhu Bala Vs Vijay Kumar, Du No 2671(Ground Floor) Sector 40-C, Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the Civil Appeal against the Judgment and Decree dated 03.04.2023 passed by the Court of Sh. Puneet Mohinia, Civil Judge (J.D.), Chandigarh. CLAIM IN APPEAL:- Acceptance of appeal, set-aside the Judgment and decree dated 03.04.2023 and dismiss the civil suit of the respondent No. 1/plaintiff.	MADHU BALA VS VIJAY KUMAR	Reply And Consideration	01 May 2025
37	EXE/436/2023	B L MEHTA CONSTRUCTIONS P LTD vs CHANIDGARH HOUSING BOARD for the work construction of 1600 small flats Group-IV at Dhanas, Chandigarh	B L MEHTA CONSTRUCTIONS P LTD VS CHANIDGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	01 May 2025
38	EXE/76/2024	Decree holder applied for the execution of judgment and decree passed by the Honble court vide order dated 20.01.2020 in suit property house no 729 sector 20 Panchkula and house no 4782B sector 38 west Chandigarh	Angelina Gill VS Vidya Sagar	APPEARANCE	01 May 2025
39	CS CJ/2339/2018	Civil Suit has been filed by the plaintiff for transfer of DU No. 355/2, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh on the basis of WILL.	BALWINDER KAUR VS KASHMIR KAUR	Reply And Consideration	02 May 2025
40	CS CJ/109/2019	Civil Suit filed by the Plaintiff seeking direction to Def. No. 1 to demolish illegal Constn. of DU No. 3770, Ambedkar Awas Yojna, Sector 56, Chandigarh and further directing Def. No. 2 (CHB) for necessary action in respect of Govt. land encroached by Defendant No. 1.	MALTI DEVI VS AHMAD ALI	Arguments	02 May 2025
41	CS CJ/1019/2019	Civil suit filed in the court of Ms. Meenakshi Gupta, CJJD, Chd. by the plaintiff regarding transfer of ownership of DU No. 3404/2, Sector 40-D, Chandigarh on the basis of Regd. WILL under GPA transfer policy.	PREM NATH SHARMA VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Evidence	02 May 2025
42	CS CJ/1159/2022	Civil Suit No. CSCJ/1159/ 2022 titled as Santosh Sehgal vs Chanchal Sehgal (DU No. 1076, Cat-A (GF) The Customs and Central Excise Employees Coop. House Building Society, Sector 51-B, Chandigarh)	SANTOSH SEHGAL VS CHANCHAL SEHGAL	Arguments	02 May 2025
43	CA/113/2024	CA/113/2024 Upinder Prashad vs The Secretary of Chandigarh Housing Board HNo 5860 Sector 56 Palsora UT Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed an Application U/s 54 2 of Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 as extended to Chandigarh read with Section 151 of CPC for staying the impugned eviction order 27/06/2024 where by the respondent was directed to Vacate the DU No 5860 Sector 56 Palsora UT Chandigarh directed to vacate the which was cancelled on 28/01/2019 And Filed an Appeal U/s 54 of Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 as extended to Chandigarh read with Section 151 of CPC against the impugned eviction order 27/06/2024 where by the respondent directed the appellant to vacate the DU NO 5860 Sector 56 Palsora UT Chandigarh which was cancelled on 28/01/2019	UPINDER PRASHAD VS THE SECRETARY	Arguments	02 May 2025
44	MCA DJ/12/2025	Setting aside the eviction order dated 01-01-2025 passed due to non-payment in respect of DU No. 3262, Maloya, UT, Chandigarh.	CHANDRAWATI ALIAS CHANDER WATI VS CHANDRIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Arguments	02 May 2025

Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon'ble State Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission, from 26.04.2025 to 02.05.2025

		11001 05501 001111115510119 11 0111 2010 112020 00 0210012020					
C	S. no.	Casa No	Case No. Brief Subject Matter / Prayer Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing data		
	3. 110.	Case No.		Respondent	Status	Hearing date	
	1	APP/394/2024	Appeal filed by the appellant seeking the setting aside of the impugned Judgement/Order dated 30-09-2024, passed by the District Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission-1, U.T., Chandigarh.	Chandigarn Housing	Arguments	28 Apr 2025	
			2021, passed by the bistrict consumer bispace near estat commission 1, 611., changement	Board and others			

Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon'ble Secretary Estate, UT Chandigarh, from 26.04.2025 to 02.05.2025

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date		
1	APPEAL NO./0024/2024	The applicant has filed appeal under section 17 of Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 against the order dated 19.08.2024 passed by the respondent no. 1 received by the appellant on 27.08.2024 vide which the allotment of the flat no. 6519 C sector 56 UT Chandigarh of the appellant has been cancelled under provision 16 a 1 of the Chandigarh small flats due to the non payment of monthly license fee.	Vinod kumar s VS o koke ram and neeta d	For reply	01 May 2025		
2	APPEAL NO./0068/2023	The applicant has filed application for stay of the order dated 25.09.2023 passed by the secretary cum competent authority under the Chandigarh Small flat scheme 2006, Chandigarh Housing Board, Chandigarh and filed appeal against the cancellation order no CHD AO C 2023 15651 dated 25.09.2023 passed by the respondent against the repellant due to violation of terms and conditions of deed license.	Nanhakau VS CHB	Reply Filed	01 May 2025		
3	APPEAL NO./0076/2023	The applicant has filed an application for stay of the order dated 08.11.2023 passed by the Secretary-cum-competent authority under chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 CHB Chandigarh and filed appeal against the cancellation order no CHD AO C 2023 17907 dated 08.11.2023 passed by the respondent against the appellant.	Kamrush Bai VS Secretary- cum-competent authority under chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 CHB Chandigarh	Reply filed	01 May 2025		
4	APPEAL NO./0034/2023	The applicant has filed application praying for stay of the operation of the impugned order dated 17.05.2023 passed by the respondent whereby the allotment of flat has been cancelled illegally and unlawfully and filed appeal under clause 17 A of the Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 for setting aside order dated 17.05.2023 passed by the respondent and further directed the respondent to reallot	Babu ram since deceased through his wife rani Rekha VS Secretary-cumcompetent authority under	Reply Filed	01 May 2025		

		flat no 6421 sector 56 Chandigarh which has been rejected cancelled due to non presence of original allottee.	chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 CHB Chandigarh		
5	APPEAL NO./0134/2025		Kamlesh VS Secretary CHB Chandigarh	Reply Filed	01 May 2025
6	APPEAL NO./002/2025	Appeal under clause 17 of the Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 for setting aside order date 05.03.2008 passed by the secretary CHB Chandigarh and further direct the copetent authority to realllot flat no 6605 C sector 56 rejected (due to non payment)	Mamta wife of Rakesh Kumar VS Secretary cum competent authority under the Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006	Reply Filed	01 May 2025

Advance List of Court Cases pending before The Sole Arbitrator, UT Chandigarh, from 26.04.2025 to 02.05.2025

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	ARB/429/2023	The case is pending before the Sole Arbitrator Mr. Jaswant Singh Chief Justice Retired in relation to providing Storm Water Drainage System for 2108 flats in Sector 63, Chandigarh alloted on 15.02.2015 for an agreement value of Rs. 35514516.		Statement of claim to be filed by the opposite party	02 May 2025
2	ARB/438/2023	The subject cited court case is pending before the Sole Arbitrator Mr. Jaswant Singh Chief Justice Retired in relation to providing water supply lines and Storm water Drainage system for 1696 Small Flats in Mauli Jagran Chandigarh alloted on 18.11.2013 for an agreement value of Rs. 42496953.		Statement of claim to be filed by the opposite party	02 May 2025

Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon'ble Permanent Lok Adalat PUS, Chandigarh from 26.04.2025 to 02.05.2025

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	APPLICATION/16/2023	(Chandigarh The plaintiff has filed the application for issue mandatory direction to respondents to issue possession letter and physical possession of Flat No 549 Dhanas UT Chandigarh to application under Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006	Mani Ram and Others VS Chandigarh Housing Board and Others	Arguments	28 Apr 2025
2	APPLICATION/36/2024	The Plaintiff has filed the Application under Section 22-C of the Public Utility Service Act 1986 for directing the respondents for refund of excess amount charges to the tune of Rs 263015 alongwith interest at 18 percent in respect of dwelling unit no 5025-1 MHC Manimajra Chandigarh and It is also further direct to pay litigation expenses and it was also further prayer that the Applicant may kindly be compensated for causing mental harassment and financial loss	Raman Singla VS Chandigarh Housing Board and others (House No 5025/1, MHC, Manimajra, Chandigarh)	Reply filed	29 Apr 2025