

Previous List of Court Cases pending before Hon’ble High Court of Punjab and Haryana, from 17.05.2025 to 23.05.2025

| S. no. | Case No. | Brief Subject Matter / Prayer | Petitioner And Respondent | Status | Hearing date | Next Date |
|--------|-----------------------|--|---|---|--------------|------------|
| 1 | CWP/16089/2005 | It is, further prayed that during the pendency of the writ petition, the highly exorbitant and arbitrary demands, which are being made, be stayed. And/or any other interim order which Hon ble Lourt may deem fit and proper the facts and circumstances of the case may please be passed. | SATYA PARKASH AND ORS. VS CHD. ADMN AND ORS. | Arguments | 19 May 2025 | 20.08.2025 |
| 2 | CWP/19994/2018 | Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for directing the respondents to Regularize and Transfer of Dwelling Unit No.3324/1, Sector 45-D, in favour of the present petitioner. Also for implementing the order dated 29.05.2017 passed by the Respondent Board in its letter and spirit and given benefits of the compliance of the same to the present petitioner. | RAJ RANI VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ANR | Arguments | 19 May 2025 | 04.11.2025 |
| 3 | CWP/9798/2020 | petitioner has file writ petition under article 226/227 of the Constitution of India with the prayer for issuance title/ownership of D/Unit No.1490/2, MIG, Sector 43-B, Chandigarh by executive deed of Conveyance in his favour as the GPA dated 19.04.2001 | HARDEV SINGH KAHLOH VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION UT CHD AND OTHERS | Arguments | 19 May 2025 | 04.11.2025 |
| 4 | COCP/4931/2024 | To Be Entered By Nodal | COL JATINDER SINGH RETD VS AKHIL KUMAR SECRETARY CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD | Arguments | 19 May 2025 | 03.09.2025 |
| 5 | FAO/2331/2014 | FAO FILED AGAINST THE ORDERS OF ADDL. DISTRICT MAGISTERATE, U.T. CHANDIGARH | SHUBINDER SINGH BRAR & ANR VS GEETINDER GREWAL & ORS | Arguments and supplying the necessary documents | 20 May 2025 | Dismissed |
| 6 | CWP/23681/2017 | (Oustees Matter) CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing impugned action dated 13.09.2017 holding the petitioner ineligible only on the ground that the land was acquired before 01.11.1966 while this benefit is being extended to all other oustees whose land was acquired and struck down the clause which restrict the benefit only to the oustees whose house was acquired being discriminatory and for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to consider the petitioner application form No.1519 for allotment of flat under Oustees Scheme 2017 (Three Bed Room) for the petitioner whose father’s land was acquired for development of Phase-II of Chandigarh in the year 1964. | ANJU MITTAL VS UT OF CHANDIGARH AND ORS. | Arguments | 20 May 2025 | 08.07.2025 |
| 7 | CWP/20749/2019 | Petitioner filed by the petitioners for quashing the allotment letters of the Small flats at Dhanas, Chd. to that extent to the petitioners which were allotted on license basis for a period | VANITA DEVI AND OTHERS VS THE UNION | Arguments | 20 May 2025 | 01.09.2025 |

| | | | | | | |
|----|-----------------------|--|---|-----------|-------------|------------|
| | | of 20 years as the petitioners being allottee is having no rights over the property in question even after investing the amount on creating the basic amenities such as Electricity and Water Connection etc as the clause of license is in detrimental to their rights over the property in question belongs to EWS category and further prayed that to frame a new policy in lieu of Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme, 2006 dated 06.11.2006 qua the petitioners so that the license fees paid by the petitioners till date be adjusted towards the cost of the flats which will be fixed by the respondents according to the price of the flats chalked out by them when the allotment was made to the petitioners. | TERRITORY OF CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS | | | |
| 8 | CWP/2335/2021 | As decided by Worthy CM,CHB to challenge the court orders dated 8.2.2020 passed by the Hon'ble Permanent Lok Adalat, UT, Chandigarh in App/1016/2018 titled as Anand Mishra Vs CHB, (D/Unit No.2211-A, 2BR, Sector 63, Chandigarh) in favour of the petitioner because the Hon'ble PLA Court has taken a view that there is not justified delay of project of Sector 63 and awarded relief to the applicant i.e. One lakh compensation to the applicant for causing delay of possession and also awarded Rs.10,000/- towards mental agony/ harassments and Rs.10,000/- as litigation expenses. | CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD CHD VS ANAND MISHRA AND ANR | Arguments | 20 May 2025 | 12.11.2025 |
| 9 | COCp/2195/2025 | Contempt Petition under section 12 of the Contempt of court Act 1971 against the respondents as they have not decided the representation dated 20-04-2022 made by the petitioners as per the order of Honorable High Court in CWP No-11844 of 2018 on dated 31-03-2022. In which it is directed to petitioners to made an representation within a period of 15 days In case representation is filed within the said period, then respondent no- 3 will decide the same accordance with law within next three months That till date the representation is not decided by the Respondent no 3 Hence, punishment be given to respondents | DHARAM SINGH AND OTHERS VS SH RAJEEV VERMA AND OTHERS | Arguments | 20 May 2025 | 24.07.2025 |
| 10 | CWP/30181/2018 | Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing the proceedings/orders dated 30.08.2018 vide which the refund was deposited in the account of the petitioner by respondents No.3 and 4 while surrendering the dwelling unit illegally and deducting 10% of the amount paid by the petitioner being illegal, null and void as the representations dated 16.8.2018 requesting respondents No.3 and 4 withdrawing the request to surrender the dwelling unit NO.2212/A, Sector 63, Chandigarh vide intimation letter dated 16.08.2018 as well as e-mail sent to them in not considering said presentations withdrawing the application dated 25.07.2018 and order passed thereon in refunding the amount and the intimation letter dated 20.09.2018 vide are liable to be set aside as the request withdrawing the application dated 25.07.2018 was illegally cancelled and intimation letter dated 20.09.2018 is also liable to be set aside and further directing the respondents to handover the possession of the said plot after accepting the amount which was refunded in the account of the petition and pass any order or direction as this Hon'ble Court may fit in the circumstances of the case and further praying that during the pendency of the writ petition dwelling unit No. 2212/A, Sector 63, Chandigarh may not be allotted to any person. | RAM GOPAL VS UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH AND ORS | Arguments | 21 May 2025 | 25.09.2025 |

| | | | | | | |
|----|-----------------------|---|---|-----------|-------------|------------|
| 11 | CWP/21741/2019 | (Oustees Matter) CWP under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of Writ of certiorari quashing the Cancellation of Registration and Allocation of Dwelling Unit orders dated 09.05.2019 and Show Cause Notice dated 25.09.2018 passed in a most casual and summary manner, without understanding and considering the factual situation and further directing the respondent to issue letter of allotment and deliver of possession of EWS Dwelling Unit Nos. 2353-C and 2392-C both third floor, Sector-63, Chandigarh, respectively, already allotted to the petitioners in December 2017 as "Oustees" under 'THE CHANDIGARH ALLOTMENT OF DWELLING UNITS TO THE OUSTEES OF CHANDIGARH, SCHEME, 1996" AND During the pendency of writ petition, EWS Dwelling Units Nos. 2353-C and 2392-C both third floor, Sector-63, be kept reserved for the petitioners, which were allotted to both the petitioners respectively in lieu of the land measuring 7K-6M (addendum of two parcels of land measuring 3K-13-M each belonging to petitioners having been acquired by respondent no. 1 for respondent no. 2 in the year 2002. 3) Issue letter of allotment and deliver possession of EWS Dwelling Unit Nos. 2353-C and 2392-C both third floor, Sector-63, Chandigarh under OUSTEES Scheme. | NISHA GUPTA AND ANOTHER VS UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH AND ANOTHER | Arguments | 21 May 2025 | 25.09.2025 |
| 12 | CWP/26786/2022 | Civil Writ petition under Articles 226 227 of Constitution of India for issuance of a writ, order or direction especially a writ in the nature of Certiorari for setting aside the order dated 07-09-2022 passed by the Ld Debt Recovery Tribunal-II Chandigarh in OA No 2469 of 2017 titled as Union Bank of India Vs MsR Construction Enterprises and others which is passed on the basis of execution of the judgment and decree dated 19-09-1996 passed by the Court of Sub Judge First Class Chandigarh in Civil Suit NO 140 dated 17-07-1991-13-08-1993 on the short ground that the present petitioner is stranger to the above mentioned litigations and the present petitioner has been dragged and roped in with malafide intentions without appreciating the true, simple and basic fact matrix of the most simple proposition that the Government its instrumentalities never approach any private bank for procuring loan that too such meager loan And With a further prayer directing the Executing Court and the Trial Court to appreciate the true facts and decide the maintainability of the present proceedings in the Suit -OA- Execution qua the present petitioner being the instrumentality of the State and proceed on the basis of actual record where there is no privity of contract between the Decree Holder Plaintiff and the present petitioner-JD No 8 And With a further prayer to allow and direct the present petitioner to lay challenge to the judgment and decree dated 19-09-1996 passed by the Court of Sub Judge First Class Chandigarh in Civil Suit No 140 dated 17-07-1991-13-08-1993 after condoning the delay that has occurred in the meantime by taking appropriate objections on the short ground that the present petitioner has been unnecessarily and malafidely impleaded in the proceedings as the Defendant No 8 without any basis as the present petitioner being the instrumentality of the State has never ever approached the respondent no 1 bank for availing any loan facilities whatsoever and there being no document at all on record whereby the | CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD VS UNION BANK OF INDIA AND OTHERS | Arguments | 21 May 2025 | 28.08.2025 |

| | | | | | | |
|----|-----------------------|---|---|-----------|-------------|------------|
| | | present petitioner can be said to have approached or signed any document till date And With a further prayer to stay the operation of the impugned order dated 07-09-2022 passed by the Ld Debt Recovery Tribunal-II Chandigarh in OA No 2469 of 2017 titled as Union Bank of India Vs Mis Construction Enterprises and others and the recovery proceedings arising therefrom during pendency of the present writ petition And With a further prayer to summon the complete records of the case and take to task the illegal malafide and unethical attempt of the respondent no1 bank and the private respondents in daring to proceed to implead the present petitioner as one of the parties approaching the bank for loan without any occasion and in the absence of any cogent and tangible proof of the petitioner ever either signing any single document or having visited any time the office of the respondent no-1 in connection with applying for loan and with a further prayer to take exemplary action against the erring officials of all the respondents for which the present petitioner also reserves his rights to take and initiate appropriate action | | | | |
| 13 | CWP/23578/2014 | Petition filed for quashing the action of the respondents in not regularizing the service of he petitioner despite the fact that they are continuously working with the respondent Board from the year 1981-1994 onward to the entire satisfaction of the Board on the ground that the matter was referred to the respondent No.1 for according approval for reation of posts in the year 1999 and the same was returned, but thereafter no further steps was taken. Further for directions to consider and regularize the services of the petitioners, who are continuously working from the last so many years in term of Govt. instructions issued from time to time. | BRIJ PAL & ORS VS UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION & ORS | Arguments | 22 May 2025 | 16.10.2025 |
| 14 | CWP/11906/2018 | Civil Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for setting aside orders dated 06.02.2018 passed by respondent No.4 (CEO, CHB) vide which the petitioner have decline allotment of tenement despite the fat the name/particular of the petitioner finds mentioned in Bio-Metric Survey at Sr. No.26281 of the list. Further for directing the respondents to consider and allot the petitioner the tenement, as per the Scheme named as Chandigarh Small Flat Scheme 2006" and Amended Scheme of 2009. | SHYAM SUNDER VS UT OF CHANDIGARH AND ORS | Arguments | 22 May 2025 | 04.08.2025 |
| 15 | CWP/2230/2021 | The case is related to cancellation of allotment of D.U.No. 5146/1, Manimajra on the grounds of misuse and violations. The Chief Executive Officer, CEO, has canceled the allotment of said D.U. The applicant has filed an application before the Chairman, CHB. Since the violations and leakages have been removed, the allotment of D.U. was restored subject to payment of restoration charges and other dues, if any, within 10 days of the issue of this communication. In case the restoration charges have not been deposited, the orders passed by CEI shall remain operational. The department has intimated the applicant to deposit a sum of Rs.3,74,579/- as the revival charges including GST. The applicant has not deposited the revival charges and filed revision petition before the Adviser to Administrator UT, Chandigarh. The same was decided and disposed off vide order dated 4th December, 2020 and upheld the decision of the Board. | VINOD KUMAR BANSAL VS THE ADVISER TO THE ADMINISTRATOR U.T SECRETARIAT AND OTHERS | Arguments | 22 May 2025 | 27.10.2025 |

| | | | | | | |
|----|-----------------------|---|---|-----------|-------------|------------|
| | | The petitioner has filed the present CWP No. 2230/2021. The Hon'ble High Court has issued notice of motion for 23.02.2021. | | | | |
| 16 | CWP/34756/2024 | Civil Writ petition filed by the petitioner under Articles 226-227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction in the nature of certiorari quashing order dated 10-10-2024 passed by Sh SK Sachdeva Chairman Permanent Lok Adalat -Public Utility Services -Union Territory Chandigarh AND Writ of mandamus directing respondents No1 and 2 to transfer the Dwelling Unit-Flat 123-C Small Flat Dhanas UT Chandigarh in the name of petitioner. | DAYA SHANKAR VS THE CHAIRMAN CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND OTHERS | Arguments | 22 May 2025 | 22.07.2025 |
| 17 | CWP/15237/2024 | petition, filed by the subject cited petitioner under Articles 226-227 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction especially in the nature of Certiorari quashing the order dated 14-03-2024 Annexure P-20 passed by the respondents whereby the application dated 07-02-2024 Annexure P-18 transfer of ownership of Dwelling Unit No-2356-1 Sector 45-C Chandigarh in favour of Petitioner No-1 on the basis of Memorandum of Settlement-cum-Compromise Deed dated 0-02-2023 Annexure P-16 has been rejected the same being non-speaking and also in total disregard to the documents whereby all the rights and interests in relation to the said dwelling unit stands transferred in favour of Petitioner No-1 AND for issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction especially in the nature of Certiorari quashing the order dated 02-04-2024 Annexure P-23 passed by Respondent No-2 whereby the request made by Petitioner No-1 regarding removing of discrepancy in Respondents Accounts Statement of Dwelling Unit No-2356-1 Sector 45-C Chandigarh has been dismissed without there being any reasonable justification and also in complete disregard to the documents whereby all rights and interests with respect to the dwelling unit in question vests with Petitioner No-1 AND for issuance of an appropriate writ order or direction especially in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to transfer ownership of Dwelling Unit No-2356-1 Sector 45-C Chandigarh in favour of Petitioner No-1 on the basis of Memorandum of Settlement-cum-Compromise Deed dated 06-02-2023 Annexure P-16 in view of the documents whereby all rights and interests in the said dwelling unit vest with Petitioner No-1 AND for issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction, especially in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to execute conveyance deed with respect to Dwelling Unit No-2356-1 Sector 45-C Chandigarh in favour of Petitioner No-2 to 5 who happen to be legal heirs of Late Shri Karam Chand Thakur so that thereafter the ownership of same can be transferred to petitioner No-1 as agreed between the parties in Memorandum of Settlement-cum- Compromise Deed dated 06-02-2023 Annexure P-16 and for which a legal notice dated 20-05-2024 Annexure P-24 was issued upon the respondents but till date no response has been received | ARVIND KUMAR TANDON@ARVINDER KUMAR TANDON AND OTHERS VS THE CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD,CHANDIGARH AND ANOTHER | Arguments | 22 May 2025 | 11.11.2025 |

Previous List of Court Cases pending before Hon’ble District Court, UT Chandigarh, from 17.05.2025 to 23.05.2025

| S. no. | Case No. | Brief Subject Matter / Prayer | Petitioner And Respondent | Status | Hearing date | Next Date |
|--------|-----------------|---|---|-------------------------|--------------|------------|
| 1 | CS CJ/1234/2019 | Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff to record the ownership of the plaintiff to transfer the extent of 8.33% share in respect of DU No. 1014, Sector 43-B, Chandigarh. | PRANAV SIKRI VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD | Reply And Consideration | 17 May 2025 | 26.05.2025 |
| 2 | C.S./443/2021 | <p>In this matter, the applicant has filed suit for declaration to the effect that the plaintiffs are the co-owners in equal shartes (1/4th share each) of immovable properties (a) Booth No.317, Sector 15-D, Patel Market, Chandigarh (b) House No.1000, Small Flats, Dhanas, Chandigarh alongwith any other immovable property/ies in the name of deceased-Dharam Pal, if any, so discovered during the pendency of present case and being co-owners are further entitled to receive rent, license fee and/or any other person, as per Section 8 of Hindu Succession Act,1956 being the sole surviving Class-II legal heirs of the deceased- Dharam Pal who died intestate, unmarried issueless on 02.12.2020.</p> <p>Suit for Mandatory injunction directing the defendant No.1 to handover the entire original documents of Booth No.317, Sector 15, Patel Market Chandigarh (RBL-4345) and all original documents relating to House No.1000, Small Flats, Dhanas, Chandigarh to the plaintiffs and any/al other documents relating to the estate of late Sh.Dharam Pal S/o late Sh.Manpool, which are illegally taken and retained by defendant No.1 to the detriments of the plaintiffs.</p> <p>AND Suit for Mandatory Injunction for directing the defendant No.3 to 5 to Transfer the Booth No.317, Sector 15-D, Chandigarh and House No.1000, Small Flats, Dhanas, Chandigarh in the name of all plaintiffs in equal shares.</p> <p>AND for Permanent Injunction restraining the defendant No.1 2 and/or his agents, servants, successors legal heirs from alienating, transferring, letting out or sub-letting, mortgaging or creating any type of charge or third party interest in any manner in respect of said immovable property.</p> | BHAGWAN SINGH VS ANANT RAM | Reply/ Consideration | 17 May 2025 | 09.07.2025 |
| 3 | CS CJ/1658/2022 | CSCJ/1658/2022 Kam Ram Kohli Vs R.K.Dass, # 3686 Sector 46-C, CHD | KAM RAM KOHLI VS R.K.DASS | Evidence | 17 May 2025 | 27.08.2025 |
| 4 | ARB/65/2021 | To challenge the arbitration award 17-05-2021 has been challenged by CHB in the Honble District court and Sessions Court Jalandhar for providing water supply for 2108 flats in Sector 63 Chandigarh in Arbitration case M/S RG Industries Arvinder Pal Singh and District Level Micro and Small Enterprises Facilitation Council | CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD VS RG INDUSTRIES | Arguments | 17 May 2025 | 28.05.2025 |
| 5 | EXE/136/2024 | The plaintiff has filed the Application for Execution Under Section 36 of Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 for the enforcement of the arbitral award dated 17-05-2021 amounting to | R.G INDUSTRIES VS EXECUTIVE | Arguments | 17 May 2025 | 11.07.2025 |

| | | | | | | |
|----|------------------------|---|--|----------------------------|-------------|------------|
| | | Rs 1,61,22,417/- as on 30-04-2021 plus Rs 97,14,473/- (Calculated upto 29-02-2024) totaling amount of Rs 2,58,36,890/- plus future interest passed by District Level Micro and Small Enterprises Facilitation Council Jalandhar Punjab (Constituted under the Micro Small and Medium enterprises Development Act 2006) | ENGINEER CHANDIGARH | | | |
| 6 | CS CJ/1552/2024 | CSCJ/1552/2024 Gaurav Sharma vs Naveen Sharma DU No 3230/3 Sector 44/D ChandigarH The Plaintiff has filed SUI for declaration to the effect that plaintiff alongwith Performa defendant no 4 are joint owner in possession of 1/3 share in Dwelling Unit no 3230/3 Sector 44/D Chandigarh being the legal heirs of Meenu Sharma D/o Chander Mohan Sharma original allottee and further declaration that transfer of the dwelling unit in favour of defendant no 1 and 2 in 1/2 share is illegal null void having no effect over the rights of the plaintiff and same is liable to set aside along with consequential relief permanent injunction restraining the defendant 1 and 2 from alienating the above said property by way of sale gift and mortgage etc and not the oust the plaintiff from the joint possession of the above said land In the alternative suit for joint possession of the share of the plaintiff in the suit property And filed an Application u/o 39 rule 1 and 2 read with section 151 CPC for issuing the temporary injunction during the pendency of the suit | GAURAV SHARMA VS NAVEEN SHARMA | Appearance | 17 May 2025 | 17.07.2025 |
| 7 | CS CJ/158/2025 | Restraining the defendants to transfer the DU No. 176/2, Sector 45-A, UT, Chd. | JASWINDER SINGH VS JAGJIT SINGH GHUMAN | Reply/ Consideration | 17 May 2025 | 11.07.2025 |
| 8 | CA/33/2025 | DU No. 2607, Sector 40-C, UT, Chandigarh | SARABJIT SINGH VS MANJIT KAUR | Notice And Record | 17 May 2025 | 13.08.2025 |
| 9 | CS CJ/612/2020 | Plaintiff for restraining the defendant no.1 to 3 from alienating, mortgaging, selling, sub-letting or creating any third party interest in DU No.1478/1, Sector-29/B, UT, CHD. | KULDEEP SHARMA VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD | Evidence | 19 May 2025 | 04.08.2025 |
| 10 | CS CJ/941/2021 | Application under order 39 rule 1 and 2 for restraining the defendant from selling, alienating, mortgaging the property i.e. DU No 842-1, Sector-40-A, CHD and for interfering in peaceful possession of the property | PAWAN KUMAR VS KAMAL KUMAR | Consideration.. | 19 May 2025 | 25.07.2025 |
| 11 | CS CJ/751/2022 | CSCJ/751/2022 - BHUPINDER SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD (5103, SECTOR 38(W) CHANDIGARH | BHUPINDER SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD | Reply And Consideration | 19 May 2025 | 28.07.2025 |
| 12 | ARB/142/2024 | To Challenge the Arbitration Award dated 08-11-2023 in district court for the work of construction of 240 Two Bedroom Flats Group-IV in Sector 63 Chandigarh in Arbitration Case M/s BL Mehta Construction Pvt Ltd Vs Chandigarh Housing Board | CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD VS M/S B.L MEHTA PROJECTS PVT. LTD. | Arguments | 19 May 2025 | 16.09.2025 |
| 13 | CS CJ/509/2024 | CSCJ/509/2024 Sushma Manrai Vs The Union of India H No 1667Ground Floor Sector 40/B | SUSHMA MANRAI | Notice And | 19 May 2025 | 01.09.2025 |

| | | | | | | |
|----|----------------|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------|------------|
| | | <p>Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the SUIT for specific performance of the sale agreement dated 23/08/1984 executed between defendant No 3 and Plaintiff whereby the LIG/U House No 1667 Ground Floor Sector 40B Chandigarh allotted by defendant No 2 in favour of defendant No 3 was sold to the Plaintiff at a total sale consideration money of Rs 95000/being the full and final sale consideration money AND/OR Suit for declaration by passing a declaratory decree in favour of plaintiff to the effect that she is purchaser of lease hold right in LIG/U House No1667 Ground Floor Sector 40B Chandigarh allotted to defendant no 3 by virtue of agreement to sell delivery of actual physical possession of premises and full and final payment of sale consideration OR Alternatively defendant no 3 ie Anand Parkash Bhandari S/o Sh Dina nath Bhandari be declared dead because he has not been heard of for last more than 7 years by those who would naturally have heard of him if he had been alive Consequently the plaintiff may kindly be declared entitled to inherit LIG/U house no 1667 Ground Floor Sector 40/ Chandigarh on the basis of Will dated 23/08/1984 which was executed and registered in the office of the Sub-registrar UT Chandigarh at SrNo 786 Book No3 Vol105 Page 45/46 dated 2 nd September 1984 in favour of the plaintiff AND Suit for mandatory injunction directing defendant No 3 to complete all applications affidavits documents for transfer of ownership of HN LIG/U House No 1667 Ground Floor Sector 40/B Chandigarh in the records of defendant No 2 and direction to defendant no 2 for transferring/mutating the said house/property in favour of the plaintiff and execute Hire Purchase Agreement and Lease Deed in favour of the plaintiff And Any other relief which this Hon ble Court may deem appropriate may be granted in favour of the Plaintiff and against the defendants And Filed and Application under order 39 Rule 1 2 for restraining the defendants from selling alienating mortgaging the property ie LIG/U House no 1667 Ground Floor Sector 40B Chandigarh and for interfering in peaceful possession of the property Earlier the case CSCJ/1776/2023 Sushma Manrai Vs The Union Bank of India had been defended by Advocate Sh Vikas Jain and according to the final order of this stated that I want to withdraw the present suit due to technical/format defect with liberty to file fresh notice u/s 67 of Haryana Board act applicable to Chandigarh Housing Board Court fee may be refunded as per rules And reply Notice Under section 67 of the code of civil procedure on behalf of my client Smt Sushma Manrai Aged 72 years Senior Citizen R/o of House No 159 Sector 40/A Chandigarh regarding LIG House No 1667 Ground Floor Sector 40/B, Chandigarh Vide letter N HB/AOII/2024/2167 has been sent by concerned branch Copy attached</p> | VS THE UNION OF INDIA | Record | | |
| 14 | CS CJ/899/2024 | <p>CSCJ/899/2024 titled as Tajinder Pal Singh vs Ghan Sham Lal & Others House no 3304 Ground Floor Sector 45/D Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed an Application under order 39 rule 1 and 2 read with Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure 1908 for Grant of Temporary Injunction Restraining the Defendants No 1 and her agents Representative Successors Servants Legal heirs etc from disposing off the suit property in question by way of sale mortgage renting out etc and from dispossessing the plaintiffs from the suit property ie Dwelling unit no 3304 Ground floor Sector 45/d Chandigarh till the pendency of the suit/plaint And Interim Stay may also be granted in favor</p> | TAJINDER PAL SINGH VS GHAN SHAM LAL | Reply/ Consideration | 19 May 2025 | 06.08.2025 |

| | | | | | | |
|----|-----------------|--|---|-------------------------|-------------|------------|
| | | <p>of the plaintiffs till the disposal of the present application And Filed an Suit for specific performance of the Agreement to Sell dated 10/09/2004 executed by defendant no 1 SH Ghan Sham Lal now deceased Whereby he entered into agreement to sell, in respect of Dwelling unit No. 3304 LIG Flat Ground Floor Sector 45/D Chandigarh in favor of Sh Manjit Singh son of Gulab Singh resident of HNo 3025 Sector 27d Chandigarh which included their heirs assignees executors successors legal representatives and administrations to the extent of 100 share with a total consideration price of Rs 350000 as full and final settlement to the said agreement to sell dated 10/09/2004 which was duly paid by Sh Manjit Singh against the receipt dated 10/04/1991 and Sh Ghan Sham Lal also executed a registered General Power of Attorney dated 10/09/2004 registered at serial number 4559 Book no 4 volume No 267 pm 10/09/2004 and a registered Will dated 10/09/2004 registered at serial no 2777 Book no 3 volume no 274 on 10/09/2004 and an affidavit dated 10/09/2004 acknowledging the execution of aforementioned document And file an Suit for declaration to the effect that the plaintiffs are owner in possession in property ie Dwelling unit no 3304 LIG Flat Ground Floor Sector 45/d is liable to be transferred lease hold right of property in favor of plaintiffs on the basis of an agreement to sell a registered will a registered GPA and an affidavit executed by Sh Ghan Sham Lal in favour of Sh Manjit Singh Which included plaintiffs being his sons /heirs assigness executors Successors legal representatives and administrators along with other supporting documents on account of the intestate demise of Sh Manjit Sngh And Suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant no 2 to transfer the lease hold rights of the said dwelling unit no 3304 LIG FlaT Ground Floor Sector 45/d in favour of plaintiffs on the basis of documents mentioned above And Suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendant no 1 legal heirs of late Sh Ghan Sham Lal their associates Legal representatives agents successors etc from alienating and mortgaging the suit property and further restraining them from interfering into peaceful possession of plaintiffs over the suit property and from forcibly dispossessing the plaintiffs from the premises in question till the final disposal of the present suit And also directing the defendant no. 2 Chandigarh Housing Board to issue NOC and further transferring the lease hold right of property in question in favour of plaintiffs on the basis of the aforementioned Agreement to sell registered will registered GPA an affidavit and other supporting documents executing by late Sh Ghan Sham Lal in favour of Sh Manjit Singh which included plaintiffs being his son shei</p> | | | | |
| 15 | CA/112/2024 | CA/121/2024 titled as Pappu vs Chandigarh Housing Board Flat no 14/C Small Flats Scheme in Dhanas UT Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed an Application u/s 54/2 of the Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 as extended to the Union Territory of Chandigarh for grant of stay of the impugned eviction/enforcement order dated 27/06/2024 of the competent authority | PAPPU VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD | Arguments | 19 May 2025 | 19.09.2025 |
| 16 | CS CJ/1753/2024 | CSCJ 1753 2024 Rakesh Kumar Sharma vs Chandigarh Housing Board H No 5419 2 Category HIG I MHC Manimajra Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed SUIT for declaration to the effect that the Plaintiff is the Rightful owner in | RAKESH KUMAR SHARMA VS CHANDIGARH | Reply/ Consideration | 19 May 2025 | 11.08.2025 |

| | | | | | | |
|----|------------------------|---|---|----------------------------|-------------|------------|
| | | possession of Flat DU No 5419 2 Category HIG I MHC Manimajra Chandigarh AND further for mandatory injunction directing the defendant to cancel the fraudulent transfer of the said flat in favor of third party Ashok kumar Chadha AND further for passing a decree of permanent injunction restraining the defendant from creating any third-party rights in the said flat The Suit is based upon oral as well as Documentry evidence under order 7 Rule 1 CPC AND filed an Application under order 39 rule 1 AND 2 of the code of civil procedure 1908 for grant of ad interim stay | HOUSING BOARD | | | |
| 17 | CS CJ/1674/2024 | Suir for separate possession by way of partition by metes and bounds of property comprised in Khewat No. 51, Khatauni No. 61 and Khasra No. 662/272 situated in Village Badheri, bearing hadbast no. 12, UT, Chandigarh etc. | RANJIT KAUR BOPARAI VS SURINDER SINGH | Notice and record | 19 May 2025 | 08.08.2025 |
| 18 | CS CJ/1781/2017 | Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for mandatory injunction directing the CHB(Def. No. 1) not to transfer the house No. 3420, Maloya, UT, Chandigarh on the basis of decree dated 28.8.2017 passed by Sh. Imanbir Singh Dhaliwal, CJD, Chandigarh also directing def. No. 1 2 to transfer the DU in favour of plaintiff on the basis of Agreement to sell. | SANJAY KUMAR GARG VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD THROUGH CHAIRMAN | Notice And Record | 20 May 2025 | 01.07.2025 |
| 19 | CS CJ/736/2018 | Civil Suit has been filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of DU No. 3194/46-C, Chandigarh on fraud documents. | RAJWANT KAUR VS SUKHDEEP SINGH | Defence evidence | 20 May 2025 | 15.07.2025 |
| 20 | C.S./719/2021 | the plaintiff has filed a Suit for a Decree for Possession (Symbolic) by way of the Specific performance of the Agreement to Sell dated 12.09.216 executed by the Defendant No.1 in favour of the Plaintiff, by directing the defendant No.1 to execute and get register the Sale Deed/Transfer Deed qua Buil-Up Booth No.242, RBL No.107, Shastri Market, Sector 22-C, Chandigarh in favour of the Plaintiff. AND for a Decree for Mandatory Injunction directing the Defendant No.2 and 3 to transfer the Built-up Booth No.242 RBL No.107, Shastri Market, Sector 22-C, Chandigarh favour of the Plaintiff by issuing a Re-Allotment letter and by executing Transfer Deed/Lease Deed in favour of the Plaintiff. And suit based on Oral as well as Documentary Evidence. Plaint under Order 7 Rule 1 CPC. | SUKHVINDER SINGH VS JASWINDER PAL SINGH | Reply And Consideration | 20 May 2025 | 11.08.2025 |
| 21 | CS CJ/1958/2021 | Application under order 39 Rule 1 and 2 for restraining the defendant from selling, alienating, mortgaging the property i.e. H.No. 3349, Sector-45/D, CHD and for interfering in peaceful possession of the property | GOPAL SINGH VS GENERAL PUBLIC | Reply And Consideration | 20 May 2025 | 12.08.2025 |
| 22 | CS CJ/1669/2022 | CS CJ 1669 2022 Pind Vasao Committee Vs Advisor Along with the suit an application under Section | PIND VASAO | Arguments | 20 May 2025 | 23.09.2025 |

| | | | | | | |
|----|----------------------------|---|---|-------------------------|-------------|------------|
| | | 80 (2) CPC has been moved. Considering the fact that the relief sought by plaintiff is urgent and immediate in nature, plaintiff is granted leave to institute suit without service of notice under Section 80 (1) CPC to defendants. Along with the suit an application U/o 39 Rule 1 and 2 read with section 151 CPC also filed. | COMMITTEE VS ADVISOR | | | |
| 23 | CIVIL MISC/399/2022 | Civil Misc/399/2022 Balwinder Singh Bamra Vs Manpreet Singh. petition copy missing | BALWINDER SINGH BAMRA VS MANPREET SINGH | Consideration | 20 May 2025 | 11.07.2025 |
| 24 | CS CJ/1421/2023 | CSCJ/1421/2023 Mamta Vs The Secretary CHB, DU No 2818/1, Sector 47-C, Chandigarh | MAMTA VS THE SECRETARY | Reply And Consideration | 20 May 2025 | 30.07.2025 |
| 25 | EXE/109/2024 | EXE/109/2024 Kamlesh Kumar Sharma Vs Rajinder kaur Earlier the Civil Suit No CSCJ/101/2018 titled as Rajinder Kaur vs Kamlesh Kumar Sharma DU No 292/2 sector 45/A Chandigarh has been defended by the board Counsel Sh Vikas Jain So the same Counsel is well informed about this case | KAMLESH KUMAR SHARMA VS RAJINDER KAUR | Notice And Record | 20 May 2025 | 27.05.2025 |
| 26 | CS CJ/695/2024 | CSCJ/695/2024 Ripudaman Singh Vs Chandigarh Housing Board Booth No 15 Sector 49B Chandigarh PUC is a summons to appear in the subject cited court case received in this office on 08/05/2024 with the copy of petition copy attached may kindly be perused please The next date of Subject Cited Court Case has been fixed for 21/05/2024 The Plaintiff has filed the SUIT for Mandatory Injunction directing defendant No 1 to restore the No Objection Certificate NOC issued vide Letter/Endst. No 17167/68 dated 26/10/2023 for sale of lease hold rights in respect of Booth No 15 Sector 49/B Chandigarh And Suit for Permanent Injunction restraining defendant No 1 and 2 from transferring the Booth No 15 Sector 49B Chandigarh in favour of anybody else except the plaintiff And filed an Application under Order 39 rule 1 and 2 CPC for grant of ad-interim injunction restraining the defendants from transferring the Booth No 15 Sector 49/B Chandigarh in favour of anybody else except the plaintiff during the pendency of the suit | RIPUDAMAN SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD | Reply And Consideration | 20 May 2025 | 09.07.2025 |
| 27 | CS/557/2023 | CS/557/2023, Monika Kanwar Vs Gitika DU No 3111/2 Sector 41/D Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed Suit for declaration to the effect that the plaintiffs and defendant No 1 are owners in joint possession to extent of 1/3 share each in respect of the immovable properties left by Lachman Dass Sharma and Smt Santosh Kumari D/o Village Daun Tehsil Distt SAS Nagar Mohali on account of inheritance being their class I legal heirs which includes property No 1 Residential House situated within the revenue estate of village daun tehsil distt SAS Nagar Mohali property no 2 house no 3111/2 Sector 41 D Chandigarh And Suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendant no 1 her agents attorneys representatives from alienating gift mortgage transfer or changing the nature of the suit property in any other manner till the same is not partitioned and further restraining the defendant no 2 to transfer the property no 2 to any person during the pendency of suit And Grant any other relief which this Hon ble Court may deems fit and proper in favour of the plaintiffs | MONIKA KANWAR VS GITIKA | Arguments | 20 May 2025 | 15.07.2025 |
| 28 | CS CJ/228/2025 | suit for declaration to the effect that she is the owner in possession of DU No. 1053/1, Sector 39-B, | SATINDER KAUR | Appearance | 20 May 2025 | 14.08.2025 |

| | | | | | | |
|----|------------------------|--|--|-------------------------|-------------|------------|
| | | UT, Chandigarh, is liable to be transferred lease hold right of property in favour of plaintiff on the basis of Argeement to Sell and registered WILL along with other supporting documents executed by defendant in favour of plaintiff and for mandatory injunction directing the defendant no. 2 to transfer the lease hold rights of the said DU in her favor on the basis of documents mentioned above. | VS MADHU SINGLA | | | |
| 29 | MCA DJ/15/2025 | Set aside the Eviction order dated 09-01-2025 in respect of small Flat No. 5801, Maloya, UT, Chandigarh | BAL KRISHAN VS SECRETARY | Reply And Consideration | 20 May 2025 | 14.07.2025 |
| 30 | CS CJ/1949/2024 | DU No. 2603, Sector 44-C, UT, Chandigarh | PUSHPA RANI VS DILSHAD AHMAD | Notice and record | 20 May 2025 | 11.09.2025 |
| 31 | MCA DJ/33/2025 | Against the Eviction order dated 03-03-2025 in respect of Small Flat No. 2745/1, Sector 49, UT, Chandigarh. | KANTA VS SECRETARY ESTATE CUM FINANCE SECRETARY | Reply And Consideration | 20 May 2025 | 14.07.2025 |
| 32 | CS CJ/827/2015 | Regarding allotment of flat (PDL matter) | VASDEV SINGH VS INDERDEV SINGH | Arguments. | 21 May 2025 | 29.05.2025 |
| 33 | CS CJ/2006/2016 | Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff seeking transfer of properties i.e. DU No. 1238, Sector 43-B, Chandigarh 14th share in agriculture land on WILL basis. | INDU MUNJAL VS CHAIRMAN CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD | Defence evidence | 21 May 2025 | 04.07.2025 |
| 34 | CS CJ/109/2019 | Civil Suit filed by the Plaintiff seeking direction to Def. No. 1 to demolish illegal Constn. of DU No. 3770, Ambedkar Awas Yojna, Sector 56, Chandigarh and further directing Def. No. 2 (CHB) for necessary action in respect of Govt. land encroached by Defendant No. 1. | MALTI DEVI VS AHMAD ALI | Reply/ Consideration | 21 May 2025 | 29.05.2025 |
| 35 | CA/295/2019 | Appeal filed by the Appellant u/s 96 read with order 41 CPC against the judgement and decree dated 22.8.2019 passed by Ms. Mamta Kakkar, CJJD, Chandigarh in Civil Suit No. 1857 of 7.8.2014 titled as Ashok Kumar Vs. Devi Dyal and Anr. (Allotment/Transfer of DU No. 972, Dhanas, UT, Chandigarh) | DEVI DAYAL VS ASHOK KUMAR | Arguments | 21 May 2025 | 16.07.2025 |
| 36 | MCA DJ/4/2021 | In this matter plaintiff has filed an application under Section 5 of the Limitation Act, read with section 151 of CPC for the condonation of Delay of 33 days in filing the appeal And file an application for stay of the operation of the eviction notice dated 02.12.2020 in respect of D/Unit No.3261, Sector 14(w) Dhanas, Chandigarh, issued by the Secretary, CHB, exercising the power of the competent authority. | GULAB DEVI VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD | Arguments | 21 May 2025 | 28.05.2025 |
| 37 | CS CJ/508/2022 | CSCJ/508/ 2022 titled as Col M.K. Khorana (Retd) vs Kulbhushan Aggarwal. Regarding House no 5120-2, CAT-I MHC, Sector 13, Manimajra | COL M.K. KHORANA (RETD) VS KULBHUSHAN AGGARWAL | Reply And Consideration | 21 May 2025 | 20.08.2025 |
| 38 | CS CJ/518/2022 | CSCJ 518 2022 titled as Veena Kohli vs Amit Kumar Anand House No 3332 Sector 46 C Chandigarh | VEENA KOHLI VS | Civil Evidence | 21 May 2025 | 23.09.2025 |

| | | | | | | |
|----|-----------------|--|---|----------------------|-------------|------------|
| | | | AMIT KUMAR ANAND | | | |
| 39 | CA/503/2024 | <p>the plaintiff has filed the civil suit for declaration to the effect that plaintiff are the joint owners and in joint possession of the following properties one Flat No 3177 MIG F Sector 41 D Chandigarh under Chandigarh Housing Board ownership of deceased Mahesh Kumar son of Budh Ram resident of Barnala</p> <p>And after the death of Mahesh Kumar son of Budh Ram resident of Barnala who died intestate the plaintiffs being the natural and legal heirs of deceased Mahesh Kumar inherited the abovesaid properties in equal shares as per the provisions of Hindu Succession Act 1956 and as such the plaintiffs are entitled to get their names recorded as owners in the records of defendants No 2 and 3</p> <p>AND SUIT FOR MANDATORY INJUNCTION to the effect that the defendants No 2 and 3 be directed to get the names of the plaintiffs recorded in their records in equal shares being the natural legal heirs of deceased Mahesh kumar as joint owners in possession of the above said properties</p> | MANU GARG VS IMPROVEMENT TRUST BARNALA | Written Statement | 21 May 2025 | 04.07.2025 |
| 40 | CS CJ/2299/2020 | The plaintiff has file an application U/s 39 Rule 1 and 2 read with Section 151 CPC for grant of ad-interim injunction by restraining the defendants to transfer the said D/Unit No.2919/1, HIG, Sector 42-C, Chandigarh in the name of any other Operson except the plaintiff during the pendency of the present suit. | LAKHBIR SINGH SETH VS MANJIT KAUR | Evidence | 22 May 2025 | 11.08.2025 |
| 41 | CS CJ/2120/2021 | CSCJ 2120 2021 titled as Inderjit vs Chandigarh Housing Board H No 569 New Indira Colony Manimajra Chandigarh | INDERJIT VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD | Defence evidence | 22 May 2025 | 04.07.2025 |
| 42 | CS CJ/1926/2022 | Rajan Joshi vs CHB CS/1926/2022 Du No 5264-A, Sector 38-West, Chandigarh. | RAJAN JOSHI VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD | Consideration | 22 May 2025 | 04.09.2025 |
| 43 | EXE/436/2023 | B L MEHTA CONSTRUCTIONS P LTD vs CHANIDGARH HOUSING BOARD for the work construction of 1600 small flats Group-IV at Dhanas, Chandigarh | B L MEHTA CONSTRUCTIONS P LTD VS CHANIDGARH HOUSING BOARD | Arguments | 22 May 2025 | 29.05.2025 |
| 44 | CS CJ/1925/2023 | CSCJ/1925/2023 Charanjeet Kaur Vs Jagmohan Singh and others, H.No 446, Sector 44-A, Chandigarh | CHARANJEET KAUR VS JAGMOHAN SINGH | Written Statement | 22 May 2025 | 12.08.2025 |
| 45 | ARB/545/2021 | To challenge the Arbitration award dated 05-03-2021 has been challenged in District court for the | CHANDIGARH | Arguments | 22 May 2025 | 22.05.2025 |

| | | | | | | |
|----|------------------------|---|---|----------------------------|-------------|------------|
| | | work construction of 1600 Small Flats at Dhanas in Arbitration case M/S BL Mehta Pvt Ltd Vs CHB | HOUSING BOARD VS B.L. MEHTA CONSTRUCTION P LTD | | | |
| 46 | CS CJ/1324/2024 | CSCJ/1324/2024 Raju Vs Chandigarh Housing Board HNo 2703/3 Sector 49 Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed an Suit for Permanent Injunction restraining the defendant from dispossessing the Plaintiffs No 1 2 from Small flat No 2703/3 Sector 49 Chandigarh and also to pass an order to stay the implementation of Cancellation of Flat NO 2703/3 Sector 49 Chandigarh vide order dated 17/05/2023 and 23/07/2024 of the Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh And filed an Application under Order 39 Rule 1 2 read with Section 151 CPC for issuing the Temporary Injunction during the pendency of the suit And also filed Application for exempting the plaintiff from giving notice U/S 67 of Haryana Housing Board Ac 1971 and grant of leave by this Hon ble Court for filing of the present Suit for Permanent Injunction | RAJU VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD | Reply And Consideration | 22 May 2025 | 04.09.2025 |
| 47 | CA/138/2024 | CA/138/2024 Papi vs Chief Administrator Finance Secretary others HNo 4918/3 Small Flats Sector 38/W Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed an Application for staying the operation of the eviction order dated 05/08/2024 passed by Respondent no 1 during the pendency of the present appeal vide which flat no 4918//3 Small flats Sector 38/W Chandigarh has been ordered to be vacated And filed an Appeal under section 54 of the Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 against the eviction order dated 05/08/2024 passed by Respondent No 1 qua flat no 4918/3 Small Flats Sector 38/W Chandigarh under Section 51 Suit for Declaration that the transfer deed dated 02/07/2024 registered at of Haryana Housing Board Act | PAPI VS CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR FINANCE SECRETARY | Arguments | 22 May 2025 | 06.10.2025 |
| 48 | CA/197/2024 | The appelliant has filed appeal against the judgment and decree dated 03.10.2024 passed by the court of Sh. Ajay Civil Judg Jr. Divn Chandigarh in civil suit No. 168-2022 whereby the suit filed by the appelliant or plaintiff has been dismissed by the Ld. Lower Court illegally unlawfully and is contrary to the law and facts. AND to accept the present appeal with costs throughout by setting-aside the impugned judgment dated 03.10.2024 passed by the court of Sh. Ajay Civil Judg Jr. Divn Chandigarh and to decreed the civil suit filed by the appelliant. | BHABANI GANGULY VS KUSUM RANI | Notice And Record | 22 May 2025 | 18.09.2025 |
| 49 | EXE/216/2024 | Application for execution of defree under Section 36 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 passed in arbitration case decided on 01-10-2019 by Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.N.Aggarwal, Retd. Sole arbitrator. | KAMALADITYYA CONSTURCION PVT. LTD. VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ORS | Notice And Record | 22 May 2025 | 27.05.2025 |

| | | | | | | |
|----|------------------------|--|--|-------------------------|-------------|------------|
| 50 | CS CJ/1019/2019 | Civil suit filed in the court of Ms. Meenakshi Gupta, CJD, Chd. by the plaintiff regarding transfer of ownership of DU No. 3404/2, Sector 40-D, Chandigarh on the basis of Regd. WILL under GPA transfer policy. | PREM NATH SHARMA VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD | Defence evidence | 23 May 2025 | 04.07.2025 |
| 51 | CS CJ/1941/2019 | Civil suit filed by the plaintiff for declaration the effect that the plaintiff is entitled to transfer the Booth No. 83, Maloya, Chandigarh on the basis of GPA under GPA Transfer Policy and also Mandatory/Permanent Injunction. | ASHOK KUMAR VS THE CHAIRMAN | Reply/ Consideration | 23 May 2025 | 08.08.2025 |
| 52 | CS CJ/1956/2021 | Application under section 80(2) of the cod of Civil Procedure 1908, for seeking exemption for sending the notice under section 80 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908. | KAILASH GARG VS GENERAL PUBLIC | Arguments | 23 May 2025 | 01.07.2025 |
| 53 | CS CJ/1978/2022 | CSCJ/1978/2022 SANDEEP MARWAHA VS SUDESH MARWAHA DU NO 5520-1 MHC Manimajra | SANDEEP MARWAHA VS SUDESH MARWAHA | Arguments. | 23 May 2025 | 04.08.2025 |
| 54 | CS CJ/1665/2023 | CSCJ/1665/2023 titled as Shimla Devi Vs General Public the plaintiff has filed the Suit for declaration to the effect that the plaintiffs are owners in possession of H.No. 534/1 Sector 40/A Chandigarh being the legal heirs of late Sh Suresh Kumar on the basis of general Power of Attorney Will Agreement to sell and affidavit dated 03/05/1989 executed by defendant no 3 in favour of deceased suresh kumar husband and father of plaintiffs and Suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant to transfer h no 534/1 Sector 40A Chandigarh in the name of the plaintiffs on the basis of general power of attorney will agreement to sell and affidavit dated 03/05/1989 executed by defendant no 3 in favour of deceased Suresh Kumar husband and father of plaintiff | SHIMLA DEVI VS GENERAL PUBLIC | Reply And Consideration | 23 May 2025 | 07.07.2025 |
| 55 | CS CJ/1246/2024 | CSCJ/1246/2024 titled as Raghbir Singh Vs Joginder Singh HNo 5361/1 Modern Housing Complex Manimajra Sector 13 Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed an Civil Suit for Declaration to the effect that the will dated 24/02/.223 as mentioned in petition alleged to be executed by late Smt Raj Rani registered at SNo 1920 Book No 3 Vol No I with Sub/Registrar Chandigarh in favouR of defendant NO 1 is a fabricated document and is outcome of fraud and misrepresentation therefore same may be declared as illegal document and further declaration that the properties left by late Smt Raj Rani moveable and immovable have been inherited by the plaintiff and defendants no 1 to 3 in equal share all being the class I legal heirs of late Smt. Raj Rani Further Suit for Permanent Injunction restraining the defendant no. 1 from transferring and parting with the possession of the HNo 5361/1 Modern Housing Complex Manimajra Section 13 Chandigarh and gold in the possession of the defendant no.1 to third person by way of sale mortgage and gift and not to withdraw and misappropriate the money laying in the banks in the name of late Smt Raj Rani Further Civil Suit for partition of the H.No 5361/1 Modern Housing Complex Manimajra Chandigarh amounts of FDRs laying wit SBI Sector 30 Chandigarh and gold in the possession of the defendant no 1 amongst plaintiff and defendants no 1 to 3 in equal share If partition is not possible the house in dispute be ordered to be sold in public auction and proceed be divided | RAGHBIR SINGH VS JOGINDER SINGH | Appearance | 23 May 2025 | 20.08.2025 |

| | | | | | | |
|----|------------------------|---|---|-------------------------|-------------|------------|
| | | amongst the plaintiff and defendant no1 to 3 in equal share | | | | |
| 56 | CS CJ/2020/2024 | Suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendants themselves, through their agents, representative etc. from illegally dispossessing the plaintiff from the premises i.e. Flat No. 368 at Sector 38(west), Maloya, Chd. except in due course of law/ | SHYAMA PRASHAD VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD | Evidence | 23 May 2025 | 03.10.2025 |
| 57 | MCA DJ/12/2025 | Setting aside the eviction order dated 01-01-2025 passed due to non-payment in respect of DU No. 3262, Maloya, UT, Chandigarh. | CHANDRAWATI ALIAS CHANDER WATI VS CHANDRIGARH HOUSING BOARD | Arguments | 23 May 2025 | 25.07.2025 |
| 58 | CS CJ/272/2025 | To declare the plaintiff absolute owners in respect of DU No. 258, Sector 41-A, UT, Chandigarh. | HARJINDER SINGH VS AJIT SINGH | Notice And Record | 23 May 2025 | 05.08.2025 |
| 59 | MCA DJ/31/2025 | Against the Eviction order dated 18-02-2025 passed against the Small Flat No. 2619-B, Ram Darbar, UT, Chandigarh | MURSLEEM AHMED VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD | Notice And Record | 23 May 2025 | 08.10.2025 |
| 60 | MCA DJ/48/2025 | Appeal filed against the Eviction order dated 20-03-2025 in respect of Small Flat No. 2534/1, Sector 49, UT, Chandigarh. | JARNAIL KHAN VS THE SECRETARY | Reply And Consideration | 23 May 2025 | 12.09.2025 |
| 61 | MCA DJ/74/2025 | Appeal against the eviction order dated 24-4-2025 passed in respect of DU No. 3591, Sector 46-C, UT, Chandigarh. | KRISHNA WANTI VS THE SECRETARY CHANDIGARH | Reply And Consideration | 23 May 2025 | 27.05.2025 |

| Previous List of Court Cases pending before Hon’ble Permanent Lok Adalat PUS, Chandigarh from 17.05.2025 to 23.05.2025 | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|--|---|-------------|--------------|------------|
| S. no. | Case No. | Brief Subject Matter / Prayer | Petitioner And Respondent | Status | Hearing date | Next Date |
| 1 | APPLICATION/34/2024 | The Plaintiff has filed the Application under Section 22-C of the Legal Services Authority Act 1987 for restraining the respondent authorities from taking any coercive action against the applicant on the basis of notice dated 09-10-2024 and for issuing any further similar notice pertaining to dwelling unit no 2118 Sector 45-C Chandigarh The Plaintiff has also filed the Application for interim injunction restraining the Respondent from proceeding further and taking any further action above notice | Inder Kaur VS Chandigarh Housing Board (DU No. 2118, Sector 45-C, Chandigarh) | Reply Filed | 22 May 2025 | 05.06.2025 |

| | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|--|---|-------------|-------------|--|
| 2 | APPLICATION/6/2025 | The Plaintiff has filed the Application under Section 22-C of the Legal Services Authority Act 1987 for redirecting the respondents to allot the residential dwelling unit Chandigarh Small Flats amendment Scheme 2009 in lieu of Jhuggi No 511 Janta Colony Sector 25 Chandigarh as the applicant is fully eligible and entitled to the allotment of the dwelling units as per the above said scheme | Gopal VS Chandigarh Housing Board (Jhuggi No. 511, Janta Colony, Sector - 25, Chandigarh) | To be filed | 22 May 2025 | 05.06.2025 |
| 3 | APPLICATION/10/2024 | The petitioner has filed the application for issuance of necessary direction to the respondents to allot an accommodation under the Pradhan Mantri Awas Yojna Scheme (PMAY) Scheme as floated by the Government being the eligible applicant | Pawan Kumar VS Chandigarh Housing Board and others | Reply Filed | 23 May 2025 | Adjourned, next date will be intimated shortly |
| 4 | APPLICATION/36/2024 | The Plaintiff has filed the Application under Section 22-C of the Public Utility Service Act 1986 for directing the respondents for refund of excess amount charges to the tune of Rs 263015 alongwith interest at 18 percent in respect of dwelling unit no 5025-1 MHC Manimajra Chandigarh and It is also further direct to pay litigation expenses and it was also further prayer that the Applicant may kindly be compensated for causing mental harassment and financial loss | Raman Singla VS Chandigarh Housing Board and others (House No 5025/1, MHC, Manimajra, Chandigarh) | Reply Filed | 23 May 2025 | Adjourned, next date will be intimated shortly |
| 5 | APPLICATION/41/2024 | The Plaintiff has filed the application for directing the respondents to refund the fee ie Rs 240339/- with interest deposited as conversion charges from lease hold to free hold against the property ie Dwelling Unit No 1617 Sector 40-B Chandigarh and also pay the harassment and litigation charges to applicant or complainant and any other relief this Honourable court may deem fit | Sukhdev Singh VS Municipal Corporation, Chandigarh and Others (DU No 1617, Sector 40-B, Chandigarh) | Evidence | 23 May 2025 | Adjourned, next date will be intimated shortly |

| Previous List of Court Cases pending before Hon’ble District Consumer Court, UT Chandigarh from 17.05.2025 to 23.05.2025 | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------|--|---|-----------|--------------|--|
| S. no. | Case No. | Brief Subject Matter / Prayer | Petitioner And Respondent | Status | Hearing date | Next Date |
| 1 | CC/192/2023 | Prayed that the opposite party may kindly be directed to pay a sum of Rs 5 Lakh to the complainant - the allottee of house no 237-B Sector 51-A Chandigarh- towards financial loss incurred by the damage of household material repair of frequent seepage litigation expenses for revival of house as well as present one also and compensation for unnecessary harassment physical and mental agony faced by the complainant due to leakage in the house which tantamount to deficiency in service and unfair trade practice | Daljit Kaur VS Chandigarh Housing Board | Arguments | 21 May 2025 | Adjourned, next date will be intimated shortly |

Previous List of Court Cases pending before Hon’ble State Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission, Chandigarh from 17.05.2025 to 23.05.2025

| S. no. | Case No. | Brief Subject Matter / Prayer | Petitioner And Respondent | Status | Hearing date | Next Date |
|--------|-------------|---|-------------------------------------|-----------|--------------|--|
| 1 | CC/266/2025 | Consumer Complaint filed by the petitioner before Honorable State Commission Patiala for not refunding the amount of Ground Rend of House NO- 1430, Sector 61 | Gian Chand VS ICICI Bank and others | Arguments | 21 May 2025 | Adjourned, next date will be intimated shortly |

Previous List of Court Cases pending before Hon’ble Secretary Estate, UT Chandigarh, from 17.05.2025 to 23.05.2025

| S. no. | Case No. | Brief Subject Matter / Prayer | Petitioner And Respondent | Status | Hearing date | Next Date |
|--------|----------------------|--|--|-------------|--------------|------------|
| 1 | APPEAL NO./02/2021 | Appeal under clause 17 of the CHandigarh small flat scheme 2006 against the order passed by respondent no 1 and communicated to the appellant vide their memo no Col A CA 2020 360265 dated 12.03.2020 rejecting the claim of the appellant for allotment of small flat under the Chandigarh small flat scheme 2006. | Subrati son of mithu VS The estate officer UT Chandigarh The secretary CHB sector 9 Chandigarh | Reply filed | 22 May 2025 | 04.09.2025 |
| 2 | APPEAL NO./0010/2021 | Appeal under clause 17 of the Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 directing the respondent to allot a flat in lieu of jhuggi no 169 41 bloack K Burail labour colony no 5 sector 45 Chandigarh | Arjun Kumar son of kailash kumar VS The secretary CHB Chandigarh Estate officer sector 17 Chandigarh | Reply filed | 22 May 2025 | 28.08.2025 |
| 3 | APPEAL NO./0029/2020 | Appeal under section 17A of the Chandigarh small flat scheme 2006 against the order dated 05.03.2008 passed by the secretary CHB Chandigarh exercising the powers of competent authority under the Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 cancelling the allotment of House no 6635A sector 56 Chandigarh on account of building violations. | Mohan lal son of Khiladi Ram and sunita daughter of Babu Lal VS The secretary Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh exercising the powers of competent authority under the | Reply filed | 22 May 2025 | 10.07.2025 |

| | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------|---|---|-------------|-------------|-----------------|
| | | | Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 | | | |
| 4 | APPEAL NO./0028/2020 | Appeal under section 17A of the Chandigarh small flat scheme 2006 against the order dated 05.03.2008 passed by the secretary CHB Chandigarh exercising the powers of competent authority, under the aforementioned scheme cancelling the allotment of House no 6369 B sector 56 Chandigarh on account of building violations. | Bhura son of shyam lal and neeta daughter mahipal VS The secretary Chandigarh housing Board exercising the powers of competent authority under the Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 | Reply filed | 22 May 2025 | Orders reserved |

| Previous List of Court Cases pending before Hon’ble Chief Secretary, UT Chandigarh, from 17.05.2025 to 23.05.2025 | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|--|--|-------------|--------------|--|
| S. no. | Case No. | Brief Subject Matter / Prayer | Petitioner And Respondent | Status | Hearing date | Next Date |
| 1 | REVISION/17/2020 | Revision Petition u/72-B of the Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 for setting aside the order of paying restoration charges and the duess passed on 29.1.20 letter issued by CHB for the recovery of the revival charges further setting aside in respect of DU No 803/1 Sector 40-A Chandigarh | Mrs Avinash Pathania VS CHb and amp; ors | Reply filed | 22 May 2025 | Adjourned, next date will be intimated shortly |
| 2 | REVISION/.../2021 | Revision Petition against the order dated 15.04.2021 passed by CHB in respect of Dwelling Unit No. 2929/2, Sector-47-C, Chandigarh | Payodhar Sinha VS CHB and others | Reply filed | 22 May 2025 | Adjourned, next date will be intimated shortly |