

**ADVANCE LIST OF COURT CASES PENDING BEFORE NATIONAL CONSUMER DISPUTE REDRESSAL
COMMISSION, NEW DELHI**

W.E.F. 27-10-2025 TO 08- 11-2025

Sr. No.	Case No.	Brief subject Matter /prayer	Petitioner / Respondent	Hearing Date
1	REVISION PETITION/3261/2024	Revision petition filed by the petitioner against the Order dated 05-07-2024 passed by the Honorable State Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission UT in Appeal No. A/176/2023.	Arun Kumar VS Chandigarh Housing Board, CHandigarh and others	29 Oct 2025
2	REVISION PETITION/3260/2024	Revision Petition filed by the petitioner against the order dated 05-07-2024 passed by Honorable State Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission, UT, Chandigarh	Vinay Kumar Srivastava VS Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh and others	29 Oct 2025
3	REVISION PETITION/3246/2024	Revision Petition filed against order dated 05-07-2024 passed by State Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission UT Chandigarh in Case No.A-176-2023 - Arun Kumar Vs CHB (DU No-200-A, Sector 51-A, Chandigarh)	Chandigarh Housing Board VS Arun Kumar	29 Oct 2025
4	FA/1050/2024	First Appeal filed for challenging order dated 30-05-2024 passed by State Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission UT Chandigarh in CC No-7 of 2023 - Parkash Lal Ahuja Vs CHB - DU No.	Chandigarh Housing Board VS Parkash Lal Ahuja	29 Oct 2025
5	FA/549/2024	Against the Order dated 30/05/2024 in Complaint No. CC/7/2023 of the State Commission Chandigarh	Chandigarh Housing Board VS Parkash Lal Ahuja	29 Oct 2025
6	REVISION PETITION/3245/2025	Revision Petition filed for challenging the order dated 05-07-2024 passed by Honorable State Consumer Redressal Commission, UT Chandigarh in Case No-A-175-2023-Vinay Kumar Srivastave Vs CHB (DU No-204-A, Sector 51-A, Chandigarh)	Chandigarh Housing Board VS Vinay Kumar Srivastava	29 Oct 2025

ADVANCE LIST OF COURT CASES PENDING BEFORE HONORABLE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA**AT CHANDIGARH****W E F 27-10-2025 TO 08-11-2025**

Sr. No.	Case No.	Brief Subject matter/prayer	Petitioner and Respondent	Status	Hearing Date
1	CWP/11818/2005	PETITIONER HAS PRAYED FOR QUASHING ANNEXURE P-10, VIDE WHICH HIS REQUEST FOR GRANT OF HIGHER SCALE OF RS.4020-6200 HAS BEEN REJECTED.	KRISHAN KUMAR VS THE U.T. OF CHD. & ORS.	PENDING ADMITTED	27 Oct 2025
2	CWP/26717/2017	CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing the impugned order dated 13.06.2016 and 25.04.2017 passed by the Respondent No.3 the Appellate Authority, vide which the bonafide claim of the petitioner for allotment of a tenement under "The Chandigarh Small Flat Scheme 2006" for rehabilitation of slum dwellers have been declined. Further praying for issuance of writ in the nature of Mandamus commanding the respondents to consider and allot the respondents to consider and allot the petitioner a tenement, as per Scheme called the Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme-2006.	RAKESH AND ANR VS UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH AND ORS	PENDING	27 Oct 2025
3	CWP/6462/2020	Quashing the impugned order dt. 02.01.2019, order dt. 13.08.2019 and 29.10.2019, Building Violation DU No. 3121/1, 45-D, Chd.	BACHAN SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD THROUGH ITS CHAIRMAN, CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	PENDING ADJOURN MENT	27 Oct 2025
4	CWP/2230/2021	The case is related to cancellation of allotment of D.U.No. 5146/1, Manimajra on the grounds of misuse and violations. The Chief Executive Officer, CEO, has canceled the allotment of said D.U. The applicant has filed an application before the Chairman, CHB. Since the violations and leakages have been removed, the allotment of D.U. was restored subject to payment of restoration charges and other dues, if any, within 10 days of the issue of	VINOD KUMAR BANSAL VS THE ADVISER TO THE ADMINISTRATOR	PENDING ADJOURN MENT	27 Oct 2025

		this communication. In case the restoration charges have not been deposited, the orders passed by CEI shall remain operational. The department has intimated the applicant to deposit a sum of Rs.3,74,579/- as the revival charges including GST. The applicant has not deposited the revival charges and filed revision petition before the Adviser to Administrator UT, Chandigarh. The same was decided and disposed off vide order dated 4th December, 2020 and upheld the decision of the Board. The petitioner has filed the present CWP No. 2230/2021. The Hon'ble High Court has issued notice of motion for 23.02.2021.	U.T SECRETARIAT AND OTHERS		
5	CWP/21618/2021	SBI Employees Cooperative House Building Society case -relating to Conversion of Lease Hold Land to Free Hold Land policy.	JAGJIWAN JIT SINGH VS UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS	PENDING ADJOURNMENT	27 Oct 2025
6	CWP/23426/2025	CIVIL WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226-227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT ESPECIALLY IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS DIRECTING THE RESPONDENTS TO GRANT THE STATUTORY PAY SCALE TO THE PETITIONERS AS MANDATED IN RELEVANT THEIR SERVICE REGULATIONS HOUSING BOARD -OFFICE AND SERVANTS SERVICE REGULATIONS 2021 DATED 10-11-2021-VIZ 10300-34800 AND GRADE PAY RS. 3200 WITH FURTHER PAY REVISIONS FROM THE DATE OF THEIR DIRECT APPOINTMENT ALONG WITH ARREARS AND INTEREST AT 12 percent PER ANNUM THEREON ESPECIALLY KEEPING IN VIEW THE FACT THAT THE SAME WAS PROMISED BY THE RESPONDENT-DEPARTMENT IN THE ADVERTISEMENT DATED Nil AND THE SAME WAS GIVEN TO THE EMPLOYEES CLERKS APPOINTED PRIOR TO THE PETITIONERS HOWEVER THE PETITIONERS HAVE BEEN DEPRIVED OF THE CORRECT PAY CIVIL WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226-227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT ESPECIALLY IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS DIRECTING THE RESPONDENTS TO GRANT THE STATUTORY PAY SCALE TO THE PETITIONERS AS MANDATED IN RELEVANT THEIR SERVICE REGULATIONS IE HOUSING BOARD -OFFICE AND SERVANTS- SERVICE REGULATIONS 2021 DATED 10-11-2021 VIZ 10300-34800 AND GRADE PAY RS-3200 WITH FURTHER PAY REVISIONS FROM THE DATE OF THEIR DIRECT APPOINTMENT ALONG WITH ARREARS AND INTEREST AT 12PERCENT PER ANNUM THEREON ESPECIALLY KEEPING IN VIEW THE FACT THAT THE SAME WAS PROMISED BY THE RESPONDENT-DEPARTMENT IN THE ADVERTISEMENT DATED NIL AND the respondents to take into account the statutory pay scale as provided in the housing board office and servants service regulations 2021 of RS-10300-34800 and grade pay RS- 3200 with further pay revisions And issue a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the adoption order dated 01-05.2023 issued by the respondent-Chandigarh housing board without any	VIVEK BISHT AND ORS VS UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH AND ORS		27 Oct 2025

		application of mind or justification whereby the wrong pay scale of rs 5200-20200 and grade pay rs1900 has been extended to the petitioners while ignoring the correct-entitled pay scale i.e. 10300-34800 and grade pay rs. 3200, while extending wrong pay scale to the petitioners/ clerks 1e rs 5200-20200 and grade pay rs1900 in arbitrary discriminatory manner without application of mind reason or justification And issue a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to take into account the statutory pay scale as provided in the housing board office and servant service regulations 2021 dated 10 11-2021) of rs. 10300-34800 and grade pay rs. 3200/- with further pay revisions And issue a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the adoption order dated 01-05-2023 issued by the respondent - Chandigarh housing board, without any application of mind or justification. whereby, the wrong pay scale of rs. 5200-20200 and grade pay rs.1900, has been extended to the petitioners, while ignoring the correct/entitled pay scale which is mentioned in their relevant service regulation 2021 (annexure p-1 dated 10.11.2021) and advertisement dated nil i.e. rs. 10300-34800 and grade pay rs. 3200			
7	CWP/4492/2017	Reg. allotment of house/flats to the Kashmiri Migrant who were eligible for allotment of houses/flats	MEENA ARORA VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION THR HOME SECRETARY UT CHD & ORS	PENDING	28 Oct 2025
8	LPA/484/2018	LPA filed by the petitioner for setting aside the order dated 16.01.2018 passed by the Hon'ble Single Judge of the Hon'ble High Court in CWP No. 28630 of 2017 titled Rajesh Kumar Vs U.T., Chandigarh and others (Cooperative House Building Society Case)	RAJESH KUMAR VS UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH AND ORS	PENDING ADJOURN MENT	28 Oct 2025
9	CWP/29809/2018	Petition filed by the petitioner for issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of Certiorari Mandamus of such other appropriate writ, order or direction declaring the action of the respondent Board in charging excess amount for the Dwelling Unit 5281 MIG Sector 38-W for the allotment of the flat under Hire-Purchase under Partial OR Full Self Finance System from the petitioner instead of charging the price prevail Fin in the year 1997 when draw of lots for the allotment of said flats were held while issuing allotment letter dated 07-02-2018 and also charging ground rent on the revised price ignoring the fact that the petitioner was not given the possession of the said flat as illegal arbitrary malafide and violative of Article 14 of the Constitution of India and further directing the respondent Board to refund the excess amount charged from the petitioner	ADDAR SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	PENDING	29 Oct 2025

10	CWP/23095/2019	Writ petition filed by the petitioner for quashing impugned order dated 03.11.2016, order in Appeal Dated 06.03.2017, Order in further appeals dated 27.07.2017, order in Revision Petition dated 09.04.2019 and Order dated 12.07.2019. 3. And for issuance of writ of mandamus against the respondents to permit the petitioner to deposit compounding charges in terms of latest policy dated 15.07.2019. 4. And further directions to grant status quo in respect of the property of the petitioner as granted on 25.09.2017 in the connected case CWP No.21780 of 2017 by a Coordinate Bench of this Court. (3124/1, Sector 45-D, Chandigarh – Building Violation case)	SAZID HUSSAIN VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD THROUGH ITS CHAIRMAN, CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	29 Oct 2025
11	CWP/6413/2020	Petition filed by the subject cited petitioner for directing the respondents to implement the pension scheme for the petitioners and all other serving and retired employees who joined the service of the Chandigarh Housing Board before 01.01.2004, expeditiously in the time bond manner particularly when it has already resolved in its 345th meeting held on 03.02.2009 and also in its various subsequent meeting to implement the pension scheme at part with the employees of the Chandigarh Administration, who are governed by the Punjab Civil Services Rules and for further directions to immediately release the pensionary benefits to the employees who have already retired from the Chandigarh Housing Board.	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD EMPLOYEES COORDINATION COMMITTEE AND OTHERS VS UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	29 Oct 2025
12	CWP/11603/2022	CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing the impugned public notice dated 05.10.2021 issued by Respondent No.3 and 4, the same being wrong illegal, arbitrary and contrary to the scheme General Self Finance Housing Scheme 2008 as well as against the Provisions of Haryana Housing Board Act, 1971 as extended to U.T., Chandigarh, the Provision of Chandigarh Housing Board Allotment, Management and Sale of Tenements) Regulations, 1979 and Capital Of Punjab (Development and regulation) Act, 1952 and the Rules and Regulation made thereunder from time to time and Chandigarh Conversion of Residential Leasehold Land Tenure into free hold Land Tenure Rules, 1966. With further prayer to quash the letter dated 18.04.2022 issued by the Respondent No.3 and 4 vide which it has been directed to the allottee to execute the lease deed.	RESIDENT WELFARE ASSOCIATION VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND OTHERS	PENDING ADJOURN MENT	29 Oct 2025
13	CWP/12418/2023	writ petition filed by Sh. Gurinder Pal Singh and 29 others for directing the Respondent No.2 to duly constitute a Registered Agency, being a Residents Welfare Association Sector 51-Ak, Chandigarh 2 BHK Self Financing Housing Scheme 2016, to be formed for the purpose of maintenance of common portions and services, as per the Allotment Letter issued to the Petitioners by Respondent No.2 and as per the provisions of the Chandigarh Housing Board (Allotment, Management and Sale of Tenements), Regulations 1979 and to validly hand over the common area facilities/portions, more particularly the lifts, in a working condition to the Residents Welfare	GURINDER PAL SINGH AND OTHERS VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION THROUGH ITS	PENDING ADJOURN MENT	29 Oct 2025

	<p>Association and to further ensure that the Registered Agency functions with the domain of its powers for protection of the interests of the of the Residents of Sector 51-A, Chandigarh 2BHK Self Financing Housing Scheme; And for quashing the letter dated 23.07.2021 whereby while arbitrarily exercising its powers, Respondents No.2 upholds the elections conducted on 11.07.2021 to constitute Residents Welfare Association, Sector 51-A, Chandigarh, 2 BHK Self-Financing Scheme, 2016 and directs the invalidly constituted Residents Welfare Association to start its functioning, the same being formed in derogation of Chandigarh Housing Board (Allotment, Management and Sale of Tenements), Regulations 1979; And directing the Respondent No.2 take over the administration/management of the affairs of 200 - Two Bed Room Flats under Self Financing Housing Scheme on leasehold basis in Sector 51-A, Chandigarh until a Residents Welfare Association is constituted validly in consonance with the terms of Chandigarh Housing Board Allotment, Management and Sale of Tenements Regulations 1979 issuance of a writ in the nature of MANDAMUS directing Respondent No.4, which despite being constituted invalidly, has been illegally raising demands for payment of maintenance charges/fees which are as such in teeth of the provisions of Chandigarh Housing Board (Allotment, Management and Sale of Tenements), Regulations 1979 as the same and the purpose of collecting the same are required to be determined/decided by Respondent No.2 and are being raised without there being any basis thereof, especially when an amount of Rs.50,000/- was collected from each allottee towards maintenance charges at the time of allotment, to refrain such collection of maintenance fees/charges from the Residents of 200 Two Bed Room Flats allotted under Self Financing Housing Scheme on leasehold basis in Sector 51-A, Chandigarh; AND issuance of a writ in the nature of MANDAMUS directing Respondent No.2 to return the lease rent collected from allottees since 2019, due to non-execution of lease deed, thereby violating the provisions of the Chandigarh Estate Rules, 2007 and also as delineated in the Self Financing Housing Scheme on leasehold basis, in Sector 51-A, Chandigarh, within 30 days from the date of issuance of allotment letter; AND issuance of a writ in the nature of MANDAMUS directing Respondent No.2 to convert the leasehold flats to freehold flats similar to the 55 flats auctioned later without additional charges as within the same scheme, all units must either by on leasehold or freehold basis or in the alternative execute the Lease Deed and register the same in favour of the allottees, which is to be executed and registered mandatrily as per the Chandigarh Estate Rules, 2007 and also as delineated in the Self-Financing Housing Scheme AND issuance of a writ in the nature of MANDAMUS directing the Respondents to grant access to the residents of 200 Two Bed Room Flats allotted under Self Financing Housing Scheme on leasehold basis in Sector 51-A, Chandigarh of all common portions and services, particularly lifts in working/functioning condition, without demanding any amount towards purported charges; AND issuance of a writ in the nature of MANDAMUS directing Respondent No.2 to share with the initial 130 allottees</p>	<p>ADVISOR TO THE ADMINISTRATOR, UT AND OTHERS</p>		
--	--	--	--	--

14	CWP/12706/2015	Writ Petition filed by the subject cited petitioner for quashing the letter dated 28.06.2012 issued by Respondent No.3 whereby respondent No.3 has invited the names of the persons junior to the petitioners for the purpose of regularization of their services and also the letter dated 26.4.2013 whereby the respondents have rejected the claim of the petitioners for considering their names for the purpose of regularization of their services over and above the persons junior to them and further to quash the Office Order No.16 Dated 9.7.2013 as well as Office Order No.6 dated 5.2.2015 passed by the Respondent No.5 whereby persons junior to the petitioners have been brought on Work Charge (Regular) Cadre, as the action of the respondents are totally arbitrary, illegal, discriminatory and in violation of recommendations of the screening committee as well as of Article 14 of the Constitution of India; AND also directing the respondents to place the petitioners as Junior Technician Work Charge (Regular) Cadre keeping in view the recommendation of screening Committee i.e. Annexure P 1 from the date the persons mentioned in Order Annexure P 10 and P 13 has been brought on Work Charge (Regular Cadre; AND Stay the further process of placing the persons junior to the petitioners in Work Charge (Regular) Cadre during the pending of the instant writ petitioner	MOHINDER SINGH & ANR VS THE CHAIRMAN CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD & ORS	PENDING ADJOURNMENT	30 Oct 2025
15	CWP/11906/2018	Civil Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for setting aside orders dated 06.02.2018 passed by respondent No.4 (CEO, CHB) vide which the petitioner have decline allotment of tenement despite the fact the name/particular of the petitioner finds mentioned in Bio-Metric Survey at Sr. No.26281 of the list. Further for directing the respondents to consider and allot the petitioner the tenement, as per the Scheme named as Chandigarh Small Flat Scheme 2006" and Amended Scheme of 2009.	SHYAM SUNDER VS UT OF CHANDIGARH AND ORS	PENDING REHEARING	30 Oct 2025
16	CWP/33179/2018	Civil Writ Petition under article 226/227 of Constitution of India for the issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of writ of certiorari/ mandamus or such other appropriate writ, order or direction declaring the action of the Chandigarh Administration In over-charging the petitioner towards ground rent and the price of the shop allotted to the petitioner, in violation of the orders dated 14.05.2015, Annexure P/1, passed by this Hon'ble court in CWP No. 9723 of 2014 as illegal, arbitrary, malafide and violative of Article 14 and 19(1)(g) of the Constitution; Further an issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of mandamus directing the Chandigarh Administration to charge the ground rent on the land price and to adjust a sum of Rs. 20,00,000/- paid by the petitioner on 22.11.2016 towards premium amount without any further delay; And/or such other appropriate writ, order or direction as this Hon'ble court may deem fit and appropriate in the facts and circumstances of the case.	VIJAY KUMAR SABHARWAL VS UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS	PENDING ADJOURNMENT	30 Oct 2025
17	CWP/24320/2025	petitioner has filed the subject cited petition for seeking an appropriate writ, order or direction for quashing of the order dated 22-07-2024- passed by the respondent No2 whereby the petitioner who is a 78 years old veteran of the 1971 war has been ordered to be evicted from the residential H-No.5529-Sector 38-West Chandigarh and the Judgment dated 30-07-2025 whereby the Ld ADJ has dismissed the Appeal filed by the petitioner Further for	LABH SINGH VS ESTATE OFFICE THROUGH ITS	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	30 Oct 2025

		issuance of directions to the respondent No-1 to 3 for holding an inquiry and for taking action against the employees of the respondent No-2 and 3 for vandalizing the property of the petitioner lying in the residential H-No-5529- Sector 38-West- Chandigarh under the garb of evicting the petitioner and his heart patient wife without even issuing prior notice and giving sufficient time Further the respondents may be directed to de-seal the residential H-No-5529 Sector 38-West Chandigarh during pendency of the present petition as this is the only house of the petitioner Further for Stay the operation of the impugned orders dated 22.07.2024 and Judgment dated 30-07-2025 during the pendency of this case.	SECRETARY ESTATES		
18	RSA/459/2020	DU No. 3918, 47-D, Chandigarh (Restore and upheld the Judgements dt. 07.12.2017 passed by Civil Judge and 08.08.2019 passed by District and Session Judge)	HANS RAJ MALHOTRA VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	31 Oct 2025
19	CWP/23511/2023	The petitioner under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing Respondent No1-Chandigarh Housing Board to act in accordance with its own Order No 02 Dated 03-01-2023 following Need Based Changes in Dwelling Units of CHB in relaxation of Chandigarh Building Rules 2017 and accordingly direct CHB to accept applications under Clouse 22 of aforesaid Order forthwith by bringing into operation the Online Building Plan Approval System so as to enable Petitioner and similarly situated persons (whose Dwelling Units are covered thereunder to apply under the said Clause and get their plans certified under Self Certification Scheme by paying the compounding fee as per the formula provided in Clause 22 itself AND With a further prayer for issuance of a Writ in the nature of Certiorari quashing the action of Respondent No 2-Secretary CHB wherein despite repeated requests of the Petitioner to consider her case under Clause 22 of Order No 02 dated 03-01-2023 as also recorded in his own Order dated 06-10-2023 yet the Secretary in CHB has proceeded further with a more than two year old Show Cause Notice dated 24-05-2021 which was Issued at the time when previous Need Based Change Policy dated 15-02-2019 was in force which in turn stands superseded by Order No 02 dated 03-01-2023 and accordingly he has refused to consider the case of the Petitioner under Clause 22 of Order No 02 or the premise that zoning is required to bring the said Clause into operation which in fact runs contrary to Letter dated 27-09-2023 of Chief Architect Chandigarh Administration wherein he has clarified that zoning is not required and CHB may take further action accordingly While doing so the Secretary CHB has directed the Petitioner to remove the alleged violations mentioned in the aforesaid SCN within a period of 15 days vide Order dated 09-10-2023 AND With a further prayer that the proceedings emanating from the Show Cause Notice dated 24-0502021 and the consequential orders passed by the Secretary CHB Including Order dated 09-10-	KRISHNA GARG VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND OTHERS	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	31 Oct 2025

		2023 directing the Petitioner to remove the alleged violations within a period of 15 days may kindly be stayed during pendency of the present Writ Petition			
20	CWP/16089/2005	It is, further prayed that during the pendency of the writ petition, the highly exorbitant and arbitrary demands, which are being made, be stayed. And/or any other interim order which Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper the facts and circumstances of the case may please be passed.	SATYA PARKASH AND ORS. VS CHD. ADMN AND ORS.	PENDING	03 Nov 2025
21	CWP/26186/2017	(Oustees Matter) CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing the impugned order dated 07.11.2017 issued by the Accounts Officer, Chandigarh Housing Board, Respondent No.3 rejecting the application Form No.1101 of the petitioner by the Property Allotment Committee Respondent No.4 for MIG(Two Bed Rooms) Flats under the "263 Flats for oustees of U.T., Chandigarh on lease hold basis/free hold basis under the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling units of the Oustees of Chandigarh, Scheme 1996" and writ of mandamus directing the respondent No.3 and 4 to allot the MIG (Two Bed Rooms) Flats, in Sector 51-A, Chandigarh as action of the of Respondent No.3 and 4 rejecting the application Form No.1101 of the petitioner is illegal, null and void, discriminatory and against the scheme and pass any other direction or order as this Hon'ble Court may deemed fit in the circumstances of the case.. Also it is further prayed that the during the pendency of the writ petition, one flat of MIG (Two Bed Rooms) Flats may kindly be kept reserved and the same may not be allotted to any person till the matter is decided by this Hon'ble Court.	AJIT SINGH VS UNION TERRITORY, CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	PENDING	03 Nov 2025
22	CWP/5424/2018	Civil Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing the office order dated 28.02.2018 and Resolution dated 27.02.2018 against Table Agenda Point No.409.1 passed in 409th meeting held on 27.02.2018 to the extent that the Chandigarh Housing Board has resolved that the extensions in service beyond the age of superannuation to its employees will not be granted extension already granted to its employees will be treated as revoked except those employees, whose extensions are ongoing, who shall be relieved and retired, on attaining the ages of 59 or 60 years as the case may be. AND Further for directions to the respondents to restore the office order dated 12.02.2018 whereby the accepting the Option dated 30.10.2017 submitted by the petitioner to continue in service for first years beyond 28.02.2018 was accepted and the petitioner was allowed to continue in service for a period of one (1) more year i.e. up to 28.02.2019.	SUDHIR KUMAR BHAKHRI VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATIO N & ANR	PENDING ADJOURN-MENT	03 Nov 2025
23	CWP/15226/2019	For directing the respondent authorities to allot one flat to the petitioners under the Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006.	VIJAY PAL VERMA AND ANR VS THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY-	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	03 Nov 2025

			CUM-CEO CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ANR		
24	CWP/16320/2023	Petitioner has filed the petition under Article 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate writ order or direction especially in the nature of Certiorari for setting aside the impugned cancellation order dated 27-11-2000 28-06-2017 order dated 25-09-2017 impugned order dated 21-11-2017 impugned eviction notice dated 10-10-2017 and impugned eviction passed by the to 5 whereby the dwelling unit in the question cancelled by the respondent no 4 and the appeals Revision Petition filed by the petitioners were wrongly dismissed by the respondent No 1 2 and 3 and the eviction notice and eviction orders were wrongly passed by the respondents No 5 which are wholly unjust arbitrary illegal and the same are liable to be quashed And For or issuance of writ order direction in the nature of mandamus directing respondent not take any LO coercive measures in pursuance impugned order dated 15-12-2017 with further prayer to stay the operation of the impugned orders passed by the respondents no 1 to 5 or It is further prayed that a writ of mandamus may kindly be issued to respondent no 2 to 5 to revive and restore the allotment of the dwelling unit in question in the name of the petitioner and do not evict the petitioner from the premises in question during the pendency of the writ petition.	CATHRINE VS ADVISER TO THE ADMINISTRATOR , UT CHD AND ORS.	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	03 Nov 2025
25	CWP/18181/2025	Civil Writ Petition under article 226-227 of Constitution of India praying for issuance of a writ of certiorari, mandamus or any other writ order or direction by way of issuing a writ of certiorari quashing the impugned show cause notice dated 05-03-2025 and subsequent notice dated 23-05-2025 issued by respondent No 3 -Secretary CHB Further issuing a writ of mandamus staying the resumption of the dwelling unit No 408 Sector 41-A Chandigarh during the pendency of the present petition Further issuing a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to consider the covering of courtyard as a need based change under their Need Based Change Policy and-or in the alternative directing the respondents to amend the Need Based Changes Policy by including the covering of courtyard in the dwelling unit of the petitioner and other such and similar dwelling units relaxing the Chandigarh Building Rules -Urban- 2017 as amended from time to time in the facts and circumstances of the present case	MOHINDER SINGH VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATIO N AND OTHERS	PENDING ADJOURN- MENT	03 Nov 2025
26	CWP/19994/2018	Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for directing the respondents to Regularize and Transfer of Dwelling Unit No.3324/1, Sector 45-D, in favour of the present petitioner. Also for implementing the order dated 29.05.2017 passed by the Respondent Board in its letter and spirit and given benefits of the compliance of the same to the present petitioner.	RAJ RANI VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ANR	PENDING	04 Nov 2025

27	CWP/9798/2020	petitioner has file writ petition under article 226/227 of the Constitution of India with the prayer for issuance title/ownership of D/Unit No.1490/2, MIG, Sector 43-B, Chandigarh by executive deed of Conveyance in his favour as the GPA dated 19.04.2001	HARDEV SINGH KAHN VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATIO N UT CHD AND OTHERS	PENDING ADJOURNM ENT	04 Nov 2025
28	CWP/2836/2021	CWP under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for an appropriate writ order of direction, by way of issuance of and Writ of mandamus directing the respondents to issue re-allotment letter transferring the D/U No.2385-A, Category EWS Sector 63, Chandigarh in favour of the petitioners. Writ of mandamus staying the closing of file during the pendency of the present petition; The Hon'ble Court may also grant any other relief which it may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of present case.	POOJA MEHTA AND ANR VS THE CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ANR	PENDING	04 Nov 2025
29	CWP/26809/2021	Civil Writ petition of subject cited court cases filed by the petitioner for direction quashing demand for unearned increase raised via impugned letter No./CHB/AO-Society/2021/ dated 29.07.2021 by the Chandigarh Housing Board by which the respondents are insisting upon payment of Unearned Increase in respect of Flat No.1358, 1st Floor, the PUSH PAC Cooperative House Building Society, Sector 49-B, Chandigarh.	OM PARKASH SANGWAN VS THE CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD, CHANDI GARH	PENDING ADJOURNM ENT	04 Nov 2025
30	CWP/13512/2023	Civil Writ Petition filed by the petitioner under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner as per Order No 342 dated 26-12-2017-Annexure P-9 issued by the Chandigarh Housing Board vide which the penal charges and revival fees have already been deposited by the petitioner with the respondents by treasury receipt -Annexure P-11 and in addition to above the petitioner had also removed all the violations in the House No 51 Ram Darbar Phase- II UT Chandigarh Or issue a writ, order or direction especially in the nature of Certiorari to quash the eviction proceedings vide eviction notice -Annexure P-20 has been initiated by the respondent despite the fact there is no violation/misuse at the site ie House No 51 Ram Darbar Phase-II UT Chandigarh in the interest of justice	RAJU VS THE CHAIRMAN, CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD, UT CHD. AND ANR.	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	04 Nov 2025
31	CWP/15389/2023	Civil Writ Petition under article 226 of the Constitution of India, for issuing appropriate writ order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing Respondents to consider and immediately issue a one room flat in view of the policy i.e. The Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006 to the petitioner no. 1 who is comatose as he has been evicted from his house and thus rendering him homeless as his house along with the whole colony i.e. Labor Colony 4 has	RAJDEV MEHRA AND ANOTHER VS UNION TERRITORY	PENDING ADJOURNM ENT	04 Nov 2025

		been demolished the eviction as well as demolition has been done in an illegal arbitrary and unreasonable manner in violation of Article 14 & 21 of the constitution of India principles of natural justice beside in violation of the scheme of the respondents no. 1.	CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS		
32	CWP/17414/2024	Writ Petition it is found that the petitioner has filed the petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ in the nature of Certiorari quashing the notice orders dated 09-08-2023 -Annexure P-11- and dated 01-12-2023 -Annexure P-13- passed by the Respondent No3 and 4 As also for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents not to demolish the railing above the boundary wall As also for the issuance of any other writ order or direction which this Honorable Court may deem fit and necessary in the facts and circumstances of the present case It is also prayed that during the pendency of the present writ petition the operation of impugned notices-orders -Annexures P-11 and P-13- issued by respondent No3 and 4 may kindly be stayed	RESIDENT WELFARE ASSOCIATION VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD THR CHAIRMAN AND OTHERS	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	04 Nov 2025
33	CWP/20478/2019	Petition for directions to the respondents to transfer the Dwelling Unit No.720, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh in the name of the petitioner being a bonafide purchaser as per Housing Board Policy dated 17.03.2010 and settle the proposition of law in CWP No.27322 of 2013 decided on 13.01.2015.(Transfer case of D.U.No.720, Sector 41-A, Chd.)	BALDEV KRISHAN SINGHAL SINCE DECEASED THROUGH LR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ANR	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	06 Nov 2025
34	CWP/22212/2019	Writ petition filed by the petitioner for quashing impugned order dated 26.06.2006, order in First Appeal Dated 28.05.2010, Order in second appeal dated 19.05.2017, order in Final Revision Petition dated 09.04.2019 Another (3281, Dhanas, Chandigarh – Building Violation case)	SOHAN LAL SAINI THROUGH SUB-GPA, SUMAN GABA VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD THROUGH ITS CHAIRMAN, SECTOR 9 CHANDIGARH AND ANOTHER	PENDING ADJOURNMENT	06 Nov 2025

35	CWP/12718/2023	Industrial Conversion case	PREET MOHINDER KOHLI VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATIO N AND OTHERS	PENDING	06 Nov 2025
36	RA-CW/274/2024	Review Application filed by the applicant under Section 114 CPC praying for reviewing of the impugned order dated 30-04-2024 -Annexure A-1- passed by this Honorable Court AND Prayer to accept the review application AND In view of Order No- 272 dated 29-09-2016 in which the decision has been taken by the respondents in its 399th Meeting held on 28-09-2016 vide Table Agenda Item No 399-04 to formulate a uniform policy to restore all such dwelling units subject to payment of all outstanding dues revival charges etc and penal charges issued vide Annexure A-2 by the respondents AND To restore the possession of the dwelling Unit No 24 Sector 51-A Chandigarh to the applicant being helpless widow shelter less and penniless AND Further to issue any other order or direction which this Honorable Court may deem fit and appropriate in the peculiar facts and circumstances in the present case	CHARANJIT KAUR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND OTHERS	PENDING NOTICE IN CM	07 Nov 2025

ADVANCE LIST DISTRICT COURT (27-10-2025 TO 08-11-2025)

Sr. No.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	CS CJ/827/2019	Civil Suit has been filed by the plaintiff for declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is the owner of DU No. 2206, Sector 45-C, Chandigarh and further praying for temporary injunction against resumption order dated 17.10.2017 passed by EO-cum-Secretary, CHB as the allotted not removed the violations and appeal dismissed on 18.3.2019.	TARMINDER SINGH CHAUDHARY VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply/Consideration	27-10-25
2	CS CJ/826/2019	Suit filed by the plaintiff for declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is owner to the extent of 50% share in DU No. 2313, Sector 45-C, Chandigarh with consequential relief directing the def. No. 1 (CHB) to transfer 50% share of the said DU.	MEENU DHAWAN VS THE CHAIRMAN	Evidence	27-10-25
3	APPEAL/75/2020	Appeal against judgement dated 20-01-2020 passed by Smt. Saloni Gupta, CJD, Chandigarh whereby dismiss the suit of the plaintiff regarding transfer of 1/5th share of DU No. 4782-B, Sector 38(West), Chandigarh.	Vidya Sagar VS Angelina Gill and Ors.	Arguments	27-10-25
4	ARB/545/2021	To challenge the Arbitration award dated 05-03-2021 has been challenged in District court for the work construction of 1600 Small Flats	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD VS B.L. MEHTA CONSTRUCTION P LTD	Arguments	27-10-25

		at Dhanas in Arbitration case M/S BL Mehta Pvt Ltd Vs CHB			
5	CS CJ/1356/2021	Suit for declaration to the effect that plaintiff be declare owner in possession of house No. 4573, Maloya Colony, UT, Chandigarh on the basis of GPA, Agreement to sell, affidavits and Wills dated 20.08.1990, which was executed by the original allottee in favvour of the plaintiff.	GOONA DEVI @ SARASWATI VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Reply And Consideration	27-10-25
6	CA/130/2022	CA/130/2022 Rambir Singh Toor vs Pritam Singh H.NO 5929, Duplex, Ph-III, Mordern Residential Complex, Manimajra The Plaintiff has filed the Civil appeal under section 96 cpc against the order dated 25.08.2022 where by sh. Tejpratap Singh Randhawa, LD. civil judge, rejected the plaint of the civil suit no.83 of 2018 titled Rambir Singh Toor v/s Pritam Singh Randhawa and others in terms of order 7, rule 11 (d) of the code of civil procedure.	RAMBIR SINGH TOOR VS PRITAM SINGH RANDHAWA	Arguments	27-10-25
7	CS CJ/1926/2022	Rajan Joshi vs CHB CS/1926/2022 Du No 5264-A, Sector 38-West, Chandigarh.	RAJAN JOSHI VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Consideration	27-10-25
8	EXE/436/2023	B L MEHTA CONSTRUCTIONS P LTD vs CHANIDGARH HOUSING BOARD for the work construction of 1600 small flats Group-IV at Dhanas, Chandigarh	B L MEHTA CONSTRUCTIONS P LTD VS CHANIDGARH HOUSING BOARD	Arguments	27-10-25

9	CS CJ/402/2024	<p>CSCJ/402/2024 Abdul Shaheed Usmani Vs The Secretary H.No 431 Sector 45A Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the Suit for Declaration that Late Akhtari Begum i e Mother of the Plaintiff has gifted entire house no 431 Sector 45 A Chandigarh in favour of the Plaintiff and the Plaintiff has become the owner of the said house on the basis of gift, which was further forwarded by Late Akhtari Begum for its registration in the office of the defendant No1 vide Application bearing diary no 2429 dated 14/12/2006 Or Civil Suit for declaration that the Plaintiff has become owner of entire House no 431 Sector 45A Chandigarh on the basis of the registered will dated 12 th May 2006 duly executed by Late Akhtari Begum in favour of the Plaintiff And Civil Suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant No 1 to transfer the ownership/mutation of House no 431 Sector 45A Chandigarh in favour of the plaintiff</p>	ABDUL SHAHEED USMANI VS THE SECRETARY	Reply/Consideration	27-10-25
10	PROB/5/2024	<p>PROB/5/2024 BB Khanna Vs General Public H No 300/2 MIG L Sector 41A ChandIGARH PUC is a summons to appear in the subject cited court case received in this office sent by AO IV on 19/03/2024 without the copy of petition (copy attached) may kindly be perused please The Plaintiff has filed the petition under Section 372 of the Indian Succession Act, 1925 for Probatre of irrevocable Will dated 09/07/1985, Annexure P/1 Registered vide Wasika/ Registration serial No 822 Book No 3 Volume 132 Page No 173/177 Registered</p>	B.B. KHANNA VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Notice And Record	27-10-25

		Before the then Sub Registrar before the then Sub Registrar Chandigarh on 10/07/1985.			
11	CS CJ/1762/2024	Seeking permanent injunction restraining the defendant from dispossessing the plaintiff from Small Flat No. 1630, Dhanas, UT, Chandigarh and also to pass an order to stay the cancellation order.	ROOP KAUR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	27-10-25
12	CS CJ/523/2025	DU No. 2847, MIG-I, Sector 40-C, UT, Chandigarh	ASHWANI THAPAR VS RAKESH THAPAR	Written Statement/Reply/Replication/Considration	27-10-25
13	MCA DJ/96/2025	Appeal against eviction order in respect of Small Flat No. 4708-3, Sector 38-W, UT, Chandigarh.	SHANTI PRAKASH (DECEASED) AND SMT. OM WATI (DECEASED) VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Arguments	27-10-25
14	CS CJ/1350/2017	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for cancellation of allotment in respect of # 908/40-A, Chandigarh # 928/40-A, Chandigarh due to filing false affidavit..	RAJ KUMAR CHIBBER VS USHA PRASHAR	Arguments	28-10-25
15	CS CJ/559/2019	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff restraining CHB from demolishing the need based changes/constn. raised in DU No. 3208/2, Sector 44-D, Chandigarh.	GURINDER SINGH VS THE CHAIRMAN	Reply And Consideration	28-10-25

16	CA/295/2019	Appeal filed by the Appellant u/s 96 read with order 41 CPC against the judgement and decree dated 22.8.2019 passed by Ms. Mamta Kakkar, CJD, Chandigarh in Civil Suit No. 1857 of 7.8.2014 titled as Ashok Kumar Vs. Devi Dyal and Anr. (Allotment/Transfer of DU No. 972, Dhanas, UT, Chandigarh)	DEVI DAYAL VS ASHOK KUMAR	Arguments	28-10-25
17	CIVIL MISC/489/2022	DU No. 1447-B, Sector 61, UT, Chandigarh.	LAKHBIR KAUR VS JAGDIP KAUR	Reply And Consideration	28-10-25
18	ARB/140/2024	To challenge the Arbitration Award dated 07.11.2023 for the work of construction of 216 three bedroom flats Group-III in Sector-63 Chandigarh in Arbitration case M/s BL Mehta Construction Pvt Ltd Vs Chandigarh Housing Board	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD VS M/S B.L MEHTA CONSTRUCTIONS PVT. LTD	Notice And Record	28-10-25
19	EXE/89/2024	The plaintiff has filed the Execution Application Under Section 36 of Arbitration and Conciliation Act 1996 read with order 21 and Section 151 of CPC for the Enforcement and Execution of the Arbitral Award dated 07-11-2023 passed by the Ld Arbitrator Sh Ramesh Kumar Singla regarding construction of 216 Nos Three Bed Room Flats- (GROUP-III) Composite Work in Sector-63 Chandigarh	M/S B.L MEHTA CONSTRUCTIONS PVT. LTD VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Notice And Record	28-10-25
20	EXE/90/2024	The plaintiff has filed the Execution Application Under Section 36 of Arbitration and Conciliation Act 1996 (Amended) read with order 21 and Section 151 of CPC for the Enforcement and Execution of the Arbitral Award dated 07-11-2023 passed by the Ld Arbitrator Sh Ramesh Kumar Singla regarding construction of 1120 Nos Small Flats (Two	M/S B.L MEHTA CONSTRUCTIONS PVT. LTD VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Notice And Record	28-10-25

		Rooms) GROUP-VI (Composite Work) in Maloya-I Chandigarh			
21	CS CJ/1211/2024	<p>CSCJ/1211/2024 titled as S Bhag Singh Dhillon Vs General Pubic Chandigarh Housing Board HNo 2223/3 sector 45/C Chandigarh</p> <p>The Plaintiff has filed an Suit for specific performance of the agreement to sell dated 07/11/1998 entered into between Defendant no 3 and the plaintiff wherein HNo 2223/3 Sector 45/C Chandigarh was sold to the plaintiff at total sale consideration money of Rs 87000/ The said amount was paid and full and final receipt dated 07/11/1998 was execute And Suit for mandatory injunction directing the Defendant no 2 for transferring the Lease Hold Rights of HNo 2223/3 Sector 45/C Chandigarh in favour of plaintiff on the basis of agreement to sell dated 07/11/1998 and had bequeathed the above said house in favor of the plaintiff vide will dated 07/11/1998 in exclusion of any other person AND Suit for permanent injunction restraining the Defendant no. 2 their associated and agent etc. from selling, transferring, alienating, gifting any share and/or creating any third party rights in House no. 2223/3 Sector 45/C Chandigarh except the plaintiff AND any other relief this Hon ble Court deems fit according to the facts and circumstances of</p>	S. BHAG SINGH DHILLON VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Notice And Record	28-10-25

		<p>the present case may be granted in favour of the plaintiff and against the defendants And filed an Application under order 39 Rule 1 2 read with section 151 CPC for grant of ad interim injunction thereby restraining the defendants from selling alienating transferring and or creating third party rights etc in any manner in the suit property bearing House no 2223/3 Sector 45/C Chandigarh except the plaintiffs and /or his nominees and from interfering in peaceful possession of the plaintiffs during the pendency of the suit</p>			
22	MCA DJ/111/2025	Against eviction order dated 11-06-2025 in respect of Small Flat No. 2509-B, Ram Darbar, UT, Chandigarh, issued due to non-payment.	SURENDER KUMAR VS SECRETARY	Consideration	28-10-25
23	CS CJ/1953/2025	Restraining the defendants from interfering into the peaceful possession of Flat No. 2561/3, Maloya, UT, Chandigarh allotted	FAQIR CHAND VS UNION OF INDIA	Reply And Consideration	28-10-25

		under ARHCs and also for stay of cancellation order dated 22-08-2025.			
24	EXE/391/2025	Execution has been filed to enforce the award dated 10-04-2025 & 14-05-2025 for the work of providing comprehensive Architectural Consultancy Services for construction of two residential plots and a club at IT Habitat, RGCTP, Chandigarh.	M/S BY DESIGN ART AND ARCHITECTURE VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	28-10-25
25	CS CJ/109/2019	Civil Suit filed by the Plaintiff seeking direction to Def. No. 1 to demolish illegal Constn. of DU No. 3770, Ambedkar Awas Yojna, Sector 56, Chandigarh and further directing Def. No. 2 (CHB) for necessary action in respect of Govt. land encroached by Defendant No. 1.	MALTI DEVI VS AHMAD ALI	Civil Evidence	29-10-25
26	CS CJ/914/2019	Civil Suit No CSCJ 914 2019 titled as Kamlesh Kamla vs Veermati 5455 Maloya	KAMLESH@ KAMLA VS VEERMATI	Reply And Consideration	29-10-25
27	CS CJ/2223/2022	H.No. 2580, Sector 40-C, UT, Chandigarh.	GAURAV GABA VS MOHAN GABA	Consideration	29-10-25
28	CS CJ/1184/2022	Civil Suit No CSCJ/1184/2022 Vishwanath Pathak vs Ayodhya Prasad(DU No 383, Phase-II, Ramdarbar)	VISHWANATH PATHAK VS AYODHYA PRASAD	Evidence	29-10-25
29	CS CJ/1233/2023	CS CJ/1233/2023, Arvind Vs The Sub Divisional Magistrate, Du No 1096, Phase -2, Ram Darbar, Ind Area, Chandigarh	ARVIND VS THE SUB DIVISIONAL MAGISTRATE	Arguments	29-10-25
30	CS CJ/1047/2023	CSCJ/1047/2023 Tejinder Singh Vs Abdul Shaheed Usmani. Du No 431 MIG, Sector 45-A, Chandigarh	TEJINDER SINGH VS ABDUL SHAHEED USMANI	Appearance	29-10-25

31	EXE/88/2024	The plaintiff has filed the Execution Application Under Section 36 of Arbitration and Conciliation Act 1996 Read with order 21 and Section 151 of CPC for the Enforcement and Execution of the Arbitral Award dated 06-10-2023 passed by the Ld Arbitrator Sh Ramesh Kumar Singla regarding construction of 240 Nos One Bed Room Flats- (GROUP-VI) Composite Work in Sector-63 Chandigarh	M/S B.L MEHTA PROJECTS PVT. LTD VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Notice And Record	29-10-25
32	MISC DJ/157/2024	MISC DJ/157/2024 Som Pal Vs Secretary Dwelling unit no 2656/1, Sector 49, Chandigarh PUC dated 07/03/2024 is a summons to appear in the subject cited court case received in this office on 08/07/2024 from Enforcement Officer with the copy of petition copy attached may kindly be perused pleaseThe next date of Subject Cited Court Case has been fixed for 15/07/2024 The Plaintiff has filed an Application under Section 5 of Limitation Act for Condoning the delay of 87 days in filing the appeal and also filed an application for INTERIM STAY OF THE IMPUGNED ORDER DATED 06/12/2023 PASSED UNDER SECTION 51 SUB SECTION 1 OF HARYANA HOUSING BOARD ACT 1971 AS APPLICABLE TO CHANDIGARH And filed an Appeal under section 54 of the Haryana Housing board act 1971 as extended to union Territory Chandigarh against the order passed by the respondent vide no 333 dated 06/12/2023 issuing directions for eviction of the appellant and all persons from small flat no 2656/1 Sector 49 UT Chandigarh under	SOM PAL VS SECRETARY	Arguments	29-10-25

		section 51 sub section 1of Haryana Housing Board act 1971 as applicable to Chandigarh			
--	--	---	--	--	--

33	CS CJ/1545/2024	<p>CSCJ/1545/2024 Meera Rawat vs Navneet Dhillon Brij Lal vs Chandigarh Housing Board HNo 2876 Sector 40/C Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed Civil Suit for Declaration to the effect that Plaintiffs are the owners in possession of House No 2876 Sector 40/C Chandigarh to the extent of 25 each respectively totaling to the extent of 75 they being the only surviving legal Heirs of Santan Singh Negi noted registered owner in records of Chandigarh Housing Board and defendant no 1 to 3 are entitled to get 25 share transferred in their name being the sole legal heirs of late Sh Brijesh Negi 4 th Legal Heir of Late Sh Santan Singh Negi in viewo fo the averments made in the Civil suit in the interest of justice AND mandatory Injunction be issued restraining Defendant no 1 to 3 their agents and servants not to interfere and enter in the peaceful possession of respective share of Plaintiffs constituting 75 percent in House no 2876 Sector 40/C Chandigarh And Permanent injunction be issued restraining Defendant no 1 to 3 their agents and servants from sale Mortgage Alienate change the nature of property and to create third party to the extent of respective share of the Plaintiffs constituting 75percent in House no 2876 Sector 40/C Chandigarh in any manner in the interest of justice AND Suit for Permanent Injunction Restraining the defendants no 1 to 3 or their agents Representative Successors Servants Legal Heirs etc from alienating, creating any chare or change the construction</p>	MEERA RAWAT VS NAVNEET DHILLON	Reply/Consideration	29-10-25
----	-----------------	---	--------------------------------	---------------------	----------

		<p>and nature of the House in any manner it being un partitioned house in view of the averments made in the civil suit in the interest of justice AND Mandatory injunction be issued to defendant no 1 directing her to give 75 percent share of Rent proceeds to the plaintiffs on account of receipt of rent from the tenant of 2 nd floor of house no 2876 Sector 40/C Chandigarh from date of filing of present suit in view of the peculiar facts and circumstances of the present case in the interest of justice AND It is further prayed that this Hon ble Court may be pleased to pass any other order in favour of the Plaintiffs and against the Defendants as it may deem fit in view of the peculiar facts and circumstances of the present case in the interest of justice And filed an Application under order 39 rule 1 and 2 read with Section 151 CPC with a prayer that this Hon ble Court may be pleased to issue ad interim injunction directing defendant no 1 to 3 not to alienate or create third party rights qua the respective share of Plaintiffs in the interest of justice Ad interim injunction be ordered restraining defendant no 4 from taking any action on the request or application of Defendant no 1 to 3 regarding transfer of any part in their name during the pendency of the accompanied suit in the interest of justice And also filed an Application under section 80 2 read with Section 151 CPC with a prayer that this Hon ble Court may be pleased to exempt the Plaintiffs from serving the Respondent no</p>			
--	--	--	--	--	--

		<p>4 prior to filing of civil suit with advance notice as required under Section 80 1 in view of the averments made in the application in the interest of justice</p>			
--	--	---	--	--	--

34	MCA DJ/8/2024	Appeal against the order dated 06-07-2023 communicated to the appellant vide letter dated 11-07-2023 for eviction of Plinth Site No. 1096, Karsan Colony, Phase-II, Ram Darbar, UT, Chd.	VIJAY SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Consideration	29-10-25
35	CA/78/2025	The appellant filed the appeal to set aside the decree dated 13-03-2025 passed by the District Court, UT, Chandigarh in CS/3121/2018 in respect of DU No. 2198-D, Sector 63, UT, Chandigarh.	VEDWATI VS LAKHBIR KAUR	Notice And Record	29-10-25
36	CS CJ/1234/2019	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff to record the ownership of the plaintiff to transfer the extent of 8.33% share in respect of DU No. 1014, Sector 43-B, Chandigarh.	PRANAV SIKRI VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	30-10-25
37	CS CJ/2169/2019	Civil suit filed by the plaintiff restraining Defendant No. 1 to 3(CHB) to issue notice regarding cancellation of permission of amalgamation in connivance with Defendant No. 4 and 5 qua booth No. 95-96, MHC, Manimajra.	TRIPTA RANI VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply/Consideration	30-10-25
38	CA/78/2020	Appeal u/s 96 against judgement and decree dated 6.1.2020 passed by Kaushal Singla, CJD, Chandigarh in respect of DU No. 2051/45-C, Chandigarh for leakage of kitchen and sewerage water from roof.	NIRMAL BHATIA VS SONIA KHULLAR	Arguments	30-10-25
39	CS CJ/1860/2021	Application U/o 39 Rule 1 and 2 CPC for temporary injunction restraining the defendant no. 1 and 2 from alienating the suit property by way of sale, mortgage, gift, exchange and changing the nature by any other mode of the property in question, during the pendency of the main suit. (DU NO. 118/1, Sector-55, CHD)	SHANTI RANI VS MAJOR I. J. S. BAMBRHA	Arguments	30-10-25

40	CIVIL SUIT/515/2021	Civil Suit No. CSCJ/515/2021 titled as Dilawar Singh VS Asha Deep Kaur and Others before the Civil Judge (Jr. Division) Garhshankar (PB).(DU No. 3675 ,CAT-MIG-I, Sector 46-C)	Dilawar Singh VS Asha Deep Kaur		30-10-25
41	CS CJ/1665/2023	CSCJ/1665/2023 titled as Shimla Devi Vs General Public the plaintiff has filed the Suit for declaration to the effect that the plaintiffs are owners in possession of H.No. 534/1 Sector 40/A Chandigarh being the legal heirs of late Sh Suresh Kumar on the basis of general Power of Attorney Will Agreement to sell and affidavit dated 03/05/1989 executed by defendant no 3 in favour of deceased suresh kumar husband annd father of plaintiffs and Suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant to transfer h no 534/1 Sector 40A Chandigarh in the name of the plaintiffs on the basis of general power of attorney will agreement to sell and affidavit dated 03/05/1989 executed by defendant no 3 in favour of deceased Suresh Kumar husband and father of plaintiff	SHIMLA DEVI VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Reply And Consideration	30-10-25

42	CS CJ/1364/2024	<p>CSCJ/1364/2024 Sahil Kansal Vs Narinder Kumar & Others HNo 5797/A Category HIG U Sector 38W Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed an Suit for Declaration that the transfer deed dated 02/07/2024 registered at serial No 1910 Book No 1 in the office of Sub registrar UT Chandigarh with regard to 1/3 rd share of the plaintiff in favour of defendant no2 is the result of fraud committed by defendants 1 and 2 with the plaintiff with consequential relief that said deed of transfer is void non/est and inspite of execution and registration of the same the plaintiff is still the owner in the possession of 1/3 rd share of the house in question whereas defendant no 2 cannot get the 1/3 rd share of the house of the plaintiff transferred in his name by approaching defendant no 3 And also suit for permanent injunction for restraining defendant no. 3 from transferring in any way 1/3 rd share of the plaintiff to any person or party including defendant no2 during the pendency of suit And filed an Application under Order 39 Rule 1 and 2 for grant of temporary injunction during the pendency of suit and Application under Section 151 CPC for dispensing of giving notice of defendant no 3 before filing the suit</p>	SAHIL KANSAL VS NARINDER KUMAR	Reply/Consideration	30-10-25
----	-----------------	--	--------------------------------	---------------------	----------

43	CS CJ/1647/2024	<p>CSCJ/1647/2024 Kiranjit Kaur vs The Secretary CHB Others HNo 358 HIG Ground Floor Sector 44 A Chandigarh AND Suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendant No 4 from entertaining any sale deed transfer deed mortgage deed in respect of HNo 358 Sector 44A HIG Ground Floor Chandigarh AND Suit for mandatory injunction directing defendant No 1 to transfer the property ie HNo 358 Sector 44A HIG Ground Floor Chandigarh in the name of the plaintiff defendant No 2 and defendant No 3 in equal shares by holding The Will dated 21/03/1997 alleged to have been executed by Late Sh Jagdev Singh Gill to have been executed in suspicious circumstances and being a forged and fabricated document AND Suit for declaration that the transfer on the basis of alleged Will dated 21/03/1997 in favor of Smt Harbhajan Kaur be declared null and void on account of the alleged will dated 1/03/1997 being forged and fabricated and the transfer having been affected in favor of Late sh Harbhajan Kaur without following proper procedure without issuing any notice to the plaintiff and without giving the plaintiff any right to file objection to said will and proposed transfer on the basis of alleged Will AND Suit for declaration that the consequent transfer on the basis of family transfer alleged to have been executed by smt. Harbhajan Kaur in favor of defendant No 2 is non-consequential since Harbhajan Kaur was not the owner of 100 share in respect of HNo 358</p>	KIRANJIT KAUR VS THE SECRETARY CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	30-10-25
----	-----------------	--	---	-------------------------	----------

		<p>Sector 44A HIG Ground Floor Chandigarh and further smt Harbhajan Kaur did not possess the mental and physical health on account of her having been suffering from various old age related problems including paralysis for the past more than 0 years from the date of her death AND Any other relief in this Hon ble court deems fit and proper may be granted in favour of the plaintiff and against the defendant And also filed an Application U/s 80 2 seeking exemption from sending advance notice to the defendants no 1 2 And an application under order 39 rule 1 2 restraining the defendants from selling alienating mortgaging or creating any third party rights in respect of the property ie residential HNo 358 Sector 44A HIG Ground Floor</p>			
44	CS CJ/158/2025	Restraining the defendents to transfer the DU No. 176/2, Sector 45-A, UT, Chd.	JASWINDER SINGH VS JAGJIT SINGH GHUMAN	Evidence	30-10-25

45	MCA DJ/34/2025	Appeal against eviction order dated 21-02-2025 in respect of Small Flat No. 4759/3, Sector 38(W), UT, Chandigarh,	INDER KUMAR VS SECRETARY	Reply And Consideration	30-10-25
46	MCA DJ/28/2025	Against the eviction order dated 24-02-2025 passed in respect of Small Flat No. 6610, Sector 56 (Palsora), UT, Chandigarh.	VARINDER KUMAR VS SECRETARY ESTATE-CUM-FINANCE SECRETARY	Reply And Consideration	30-10-25
47	CS CJ/286/2025	Suit for declaration that Late Smt. Jasbir Kaur bequeathed the DU No. 3233/1, Sector 40-D, UT, Chandigarh in favor of plaintiff on the basis of WILL dated 27-04-2017 and suit for mandator injunction to defendent no. 1 to transfer property on the basis of WILL.	INDERPAUL KAUR VS THE SECRETARY CHANDIGARH	Notice And Record	30-10-25
48	CS CJ/2006/2016	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff seeking transfer of properties i.e. DU No. 1238, Sector 43-B, Chandigarh 14th share in agriculture land on WILL basis.	INDU MUNJAL VS CHAIRMAN CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Defence evidence	31-10-25
49	CS CJ/2339/2018	Civil Suit has been filed by the plaintiff for transfer of DU No. 355/2, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh on the basis of WILL.	BALWINDER KAUR VS KASHMIR KAUR	Written Statement/Reply/Replication/Considration	31-10-25
50	CS CJ/3002/2018	Suit for specific performance of Agreement to Sell dated 12-03-2003 in respect of DU No. 3081, Sector 44-D, UT, Chandigarh.	VIJENDER KUMAR NIRMAL VS NANAK SINGH	Reply And Consideration	31-10-25

51	CS CJ/727/2024	<p>CSCJ/727/2024 Rajat Sharma Vs Suresh Kumar HNo 948/1 Sector 40/A Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the SUIT for Declaration to the effect that the plaintiffs being the sole surviving Class I Legal Heirs of Late Sh Mangat Rai their father who died intestate on 05 May 2018 are entitled to succeed to the estate of their father late Sh Mangat Rai And Suit for specific performance of the agreement to sell dated 10/10/1991 in respect of House No 948/1 Sector 40/A Chandigarh as executed by Sh Mangat Rai since deceased father of the plaintiffs with defendant no1 being General Power of Attorney of Narender Pal Singh Under 7 Rule 1 CPC</p> <p>Suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant no 2 to execute and transfer the lease rights in respect of House No 948/1 Sector 40/A Chandigarh in favour of the plaintiffs being the sole surviving legal heirs of Late Sh Mangat Rai</p>	RAJAT SHARMA VS SURESH KUMAR	Evidence	31-10-25
52	MCA DJ/46/2024	For setting aside the order dated 02/07/2024 passed by Secretary Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh in respect of Small Flat No. 5656, Sector 56 (Palsora), UT, Chandigarh.	RAM CHANDER VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Arguments	31-10-25
53	CS CJ/2047/2024	DU No. 3303/2, Sector 47-D, UT, Chandigarh.	RAVINDER KUMAR BHARDWAJ VS SANJAY KUMAR	Reply And Consideration	31-10-25
54	EXE/216/2024	Application for execution of decree under Section 36 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 passed in arbitration case decided on 01-10-2019 by Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.N.Aggarwal, Retd. Sole arbitrator.	KAMALADITYYA CONSTURCION PVT. LTD. VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ORS	Notice And Record	31-10-25

55	MISC DJ/866/2024	Appeal filed for setting aside the Eviction order dated 07-08-2024 in respect of Small Flat No. 2619/2, Sector 49, UT, Chandigarh and necessary directions to re-allot the same.	PANCHAM LAL VS THE SECRETARY	Reply And Consideration	31-10-25
56	CS CJ/2086/2024	Suit for declaration that plaintiff is the absolute owner in possession of House No. 232, Daddu Majra Colony, Chandigarh on the basis of WILL dated 28-06-1986.	LAKHBIR SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Arguments	31-10-25
57	CS CJ/1674/2024	Suit for separate possession by way of partition by metes and bounds of property comprised in Khewat No. 51, Khatauni No. 61 and Khasra No. 662/272 situated in Village Badheri, bearing hadbast no. 12, UT, Chandigarh etc.	RANJIT KAUR BOPARAI VS SURINDER SINGH	Reply And Consideration	31-10-25
58	MISC DJ/555/2025	Setting aside the eviction order dated 20-05-2025 passed due to non-payment in respect of Small Flat No. 4675/3, Sector 38-W, UT, Chandigarh.	RAJKALI VS THE SECRETARY CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	31-10-25
59	CS CJ/1427/2025	Mandatory injunctions directing the defendant to transfer of DU No. 2981, Sector 42-C, UT, Chandigarh on the basis of Registered WILL dated 17-08-2010.	SURINDER MOHAN VS GENERAL PUBLIC		31-10-25
60	MCA DJ/35/2025	Against the Eviction Order dated 24-02-2025 in respect of Small Flat No. 106-B, Dhanas, UT, Chandigarh.	RAM SUBHAG VS SECRETARY ESTATES-CUM-FINANCE SECRETARY	Arguments	31-10-25
61	MISC DJ/655/2025	Appeal to De-seal the DU No. 170/1, Sector 41-A, UT, Chandigarh cancelled due to building violation.	BALDEV SINGH VS SECRETARY CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	01-11-25
62	CS CJ/827/2015	Regarding allotment of flat (PDL matter)	VASDEV SINGH VS INDERDEV SINGH	Arguments.	03-11-25

63	CS CJ/616/2016	Suit for declaration of WILL dated 08-09-2015 in favor of the plaintiff in respect of DU No. 5171/3, MHC, Manimajra and mandatory injunction to the respondent no. 5 to transfer the said house.	MANDEEP MAND VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Notice And Record	03-11-25
64	CS CJ/786/2022	CSCJ/786/ 2022 titled as Col M.K. Khorana (Retd) vs Kulbhushan Aggarwal.(Regarding Dwelling unit 5115-5120, Second Floor, Mordern Housing Complex, Sector 13, Chandigarh.	COL M K KHORANA (RETD) VS KULBHUSHAN AGGARWAL	Written Statement/Reply/Replication/ Considration	03-11-25
65	CIVIL MISC/448/2024	CM filed for restoraion of original case i.e. CS/285/2017 in respect of DU No. 3100, Housing Board Colony, Dhanas, UT, Chandigarh.	RAVI KUMAR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Appearance	03-11-25
66	MCA DJ/104/2025	Against eviction order dated 23-05-2025 in respect of Small Flat No. 2623/3, Sector 49, UT, Chandigarh, passed due to non-payment.	RAJ KUMARI VS SECRETARY-CUM-COMPETENT AUTHORITY	Notice And Record	03-11-25
67	MISC DJ/459/2025	Contempt for not complying the interim order dated 26-05-2025 passed in MCA DJ/65/2024 in respect of Small Flat No. 4174, Sector 56, UT, Chandigarh.	KRISHAN PAL VS SECRETARY CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	03-11-25
68	CA/33/2025	DU No. 2607, Sector 40-C, UT, Chandigarh	SARABJIT SINGH VS MANJIT KAUR	Arguments	03-11-25
69	CS CJ/747/2025	Suit for declaration to the effect that the plaintiff be declared as owner in possession of H.No. 2872-A, 2BR, Sector 49, on the basis of Agreement to Sell, I-Bond and WILL dated 20-07-2006 duly registered in favor of the plaintiff.	MALKIT SINGH VS AJAY GUPTA	Appearance	03-11-25
70	CS CJ/2381/2018	Civil Suit No. CSCJ/2381/ 2018 titled as Anju Sharma vs Rajiv Sharma, 342-2, Sector 45-A, CHD	ANJU SHARMA VS RAJIV SHARMA	Reply And Consideration	04-11-25

71	CS CJ/345/2020	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding cancellation of allotment of demised premises of DU No. 2229/1, Sector 45-C, Chandigarh even SCN was issued.	LAKHVIR KAUR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Arguments	04-11-25
72	CS CJ/577/2020	Civil Suit No.577/2020 has filed by the petitioner for possession by way of partition of the residential property house no.1107, Dadu Majra Colony, Sector 38, Chandigarh.	TUSHAR VS SANJAY	Written Statement/Reply/Replication/ Consideration	04-11-25
73	CS CJ/1346/2021	Civil Suit for declaration to the effect that Plaintiff is the absolute allottee of the suit property I e Booth No 68 Sector-23 C Chandigarh as registered allottee of the property as bounded as under Plaintiffs Booth No 68 Sector-23 C situated in corner allotted in the name of plaintiff -Roshan Lal Left hand side Public Passage Right Hand side Booth No 69, Sector-23/C allotted in the name of Suresh Kumar, Back Side Booth No 67, 23/C, allotted in the name of Rajesh Kumar, Front Side Parking. Nothing is due towards defendants and all conditions including total premium stand paid by the plaintiff and registered deed was executed in favour of the plaintiff since on dated 17-02-2005 in the interest of justice.	ROSHAN LAL VS ESTATE OFFICER	Consideration.	04-11-25

74	CS CJ/1346/2021	Civil Suit for declaration to the effect that Plaintiff is the absolute allottee of the suit property i e Booth No 68 Sector-23 C Chandigarh as registered allottee of the property as bounded as under Plaintiffs Booth No 68 Sector-23 C situated in corner allotted in the name of plaintiff -Roshan Lal Left hand side Public Passage Right Hand side Booth No 69, Sector-23/C allotted in the name of Suresh Kumar, Back Side Booth No 67, 23/C, allotted in the name of Rajesh Kumar, Front Side Parking. Nothing is due towards defendants and all conditions including total premium stand paid by the plaintiff and registered deed was executed in favour of the plaintiff since on dated 17-02-2005 in the interest of justice.	ROSHAN LAL VS ESTATE OFFICER	Consideration.	04-11-25
75	MISC DJ/644/2023	Application for Restoration of Case CA/32/2021 Titled as Ashwani Kumar Vs Jatinder Uppal Du No 454, Sector 61, CHD	ASHWANI KUAMR VS JITENDER UPPAL	Consideration	04-11-25

76	CA/45/2024	<p>CA/45/2024 in Civil Suit No CSCJ/2324/2019 titled as Vijay Kumari vs Secy CHB Anr Detailed report regarding violations in respect of LIG Flats Sector 47/C CHD Allotment of DU No 2905/1 S/47/C CHD The Plaintiff has filed the Civil Appeal under section 96 of CPC Against the impugned judgment and decree dated 31/01/2024 passed by Shri Parmod Kumar, HCS Civil Judge Jr Div Chandigarh in Civil Suit No 2324 of 2019 titled as Vijay Kumair Vs Chandigarh Housing Board and Anr thereby dismissed the Civil Suit for declaration, permanent Injunction and Mandatory Injunction is illegal nonest perverse as the same was not properly decided and the same is liable to be setaside To accept the appeal, to set aside the impugned judgment and decree dated 31/01/2024 and to allow the relif claimed in the Civil Suit with cost throughout to meet the end of justice And also filed Application for staying the operation of judgment and decree dated 31/01/2024 passed by Shri Parmod Kumar HCS Civil Judge JR Div. Chandigarh in Civil Suit No 2324 of 2019 titled as Vijay Kumari vs Chandigarh Housing Board and Anr And also for staying the operation of order dated 26/11/2019 issued by the office of defendant no 2 till the decision of the appeal</p>	VIJAY KUMARI VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	04-11-25
77	MCA DJ/65/2024	Application for stay the impugned cancellation order dated 18-10-2024 in respect of Small Flat No. 4174, Palsora, Sector 56, UT, Chandigarh.	KRISHAN PAL VS THE SECRETARY	Arguments	04-11-25

78	CS CJ/695/2024	CSCJ/695/2024 Ripudaman Singh Vs Chandigarh Housing Board Booth No 15 Sector 49B Chandigarh PUC is a summons to appear in the subject cited court case received in this office on 08/05/2024 with the copy of petition copy attached may kindly be perused please The next date of Subject Cited Court Case has been fixed for 21/05/2024 The Plaintiff has filed the SUIT for Mandatory Injunction directing defendant No 1 to restore the No Objection Certificate NOC issued vide Letter/Endst. No 17167/68 dated 26/10/2023 for sale of lease hold rights in respect of Booth No 15 Sector 49/B Chandigarh And Suit for Permanent Injunction restraining defendant No 1 and 2 from transferring the Booth No 15 Sector 49B Chandigarh in favour of anybody else except the plaintiff And filed an Application under Order 39 rule 1 and 2 CPC for grant of ad-interim injunction restraining the defendants from transferring the Booth No 15 Sector 49/B Chandigarh in favour of anybody else except the plaintiff during the pendency of the suit	RIPUDAMAN SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	05-11-25
79	CS CJ/1075/2019	To restore DU No. 230, Sector 55, Chandigarh consonant with order dated 29.9.2006 whereby it was decided to restore the DU of the person who have given false staytement.	PREM KUMAR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Evidence	06-11-25
80	CS CJ/1941/2019	Civil suit filed by the plaintiff for declaration the effect that the plaintiff is entitled to transfer the Booth No. 83, Maloya, Chandigarh on the basis of GPA under GPA Transfer Policy and also Mandatory/Permanent Injunction.	ASHOK KUMAR VS THE CHAIRMAN	Reply/Consideration	06-11-25

81	CS CJ/1345/2023	DU No. 2910/3, Sector 49, UT, Chandigarh.	ANMOL RATTAN PUPNEJA VS RAJBIR SINGH	Reply And Consideration	06-11-25
82	CS CJ/232/2024	CSCJ/232/2024 Rajeshwar Kumar Vs General Public H No 4426 EWS Maloya Colony Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the SUIT for declaration to the effect that the Plaintiffs are the legal heirs of late Sh Dharam Pal alias Dharam Chand son of Sh Chhadi Lal alias Chhedi Lal to the extent of 50 share each of House no 4426 EWS Maloya Colony Chandigarh And Suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant No 2 to transfer the 50 share each of House no 4426 EWS Maloya colony Chandigarh in favour of the plaintiffs and directing the defendant No 2 not to transfer the house in the name of any persons except the plaintiffs And also filed an Application under section 67 of Chandigarh Housing Board Act 1971 As amended read with section 80 of CPC for leave to institute the suit without service of notice over the defendant no 2	RAJESHWAR KUMAR VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Evidence	06-11-25
83	CS CJ/736/2024	CSCJ/736/2024 Harvinder Singh Vs Chandigarh Housing Board HNo 685 Sector 56 br ambedkar Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the SUIT for Declaration to the effect that plaintiff is owner of House no 685 Sector 56 br ambedkar Chandigarh on the bases of agreement to sell dated 22/08/2022 between the plaintiff and defendant no 2 And Suit for mandatory injuncation directing the defendant no 1 to transfer the suit property in favour of plaintiff on the bases of documents	HARVINDER SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	06-11-25

		executed by the defendant no And filed an Application under Section 80 2 of the Civil Procedure 1908 As amended for leave to institute the suit without service of notice			
84	CA/113/2024	CA/113/2024 Upinder Prashad vs The Secretary of Chandigarh Housing Board HNo 5860 Sector 56 Palsora UT Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed an Application U/s 54 2 of Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 as extended to Chandigarh read with Section 151 of CPC for staying the impugned eviction order 27/06/2024 where by the respondent was directed to Vacate the DU No 5860 Sector 56 Palsora UT Chandigarh directed to vacate the which was cancelled on 28/01/2019 And Filed an Appeal U/s 54 of Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 as extended to Chandigarh read with Section 151 of CPC against the impugned eviction order 27/06/2024 where by the respondent directed the appellant to vacate the DU NO 5860 Sector 56 Palsora UT Chandigarh which was cancelled on 28/01/2019	UPINDER PRASHAD VS THE SECRETARY	Arguments	06-11-25
85	MISC DJ/273/2025	Appeal against the eviction order dated 28-07-2022 in respect of Small Flat No. 6594-A, Sector 56, UT, Chandigarh.	PRABHU NATH VS THE SECRETARY	Reply And Consideration	06-11-25

86	MCA DJ/53/2025	Appeal against the eviction order dated 26-03-2025 in respect of DU No. 3418/1. Sector 45-D, UT, Chandigarh, on account of building violation.	JABAR SINGH SHEKHAWAT VS THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER-CUM-CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR	Arguments	06-11-25
87	CS CJ/2184/2021	Suit for Permanent Injunction restraining the defendants no. 1 to 3, their relatives, associates, attorney assignees, agents, employees from interfering in the peaceful possession of the plaintiffs and from dispossessing the plaintiffs from the property i.e. House No, 1265, Phase-II, Ramdarbar, Chandigarh.	GEETA RANI DASS VS MOTI RAM	Evidence	07-11-25
88	CS CJ/741/2024	CSCJ/741/2024 Varinder Sharma vs Vinod Kumar others HNo.3955 Sector 47/D Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed an Suit for declaration to the effect that transfer of property ie 100 share of House no 3955 Sector 47/d Chandigarh on the basis of Will dated 05/12/2006 executed by Late Sh Tirath Ram grant father of plaintiffs wherebt the grand father of plaintiffs declared that the plaintiffs are the owner of the properties acquired and owned by him And Suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendant no 1 from further salem alienate mortgage third party interest over the property ie House no 3955 Sector 47/D Chandigarh to any third person in any manner during the pendency of present suit AND Suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant no 2 to transfer the owner ship of the House no 3955 Sector 47/d Chandigarh in the name of plaintiffs in equal shares on the basis of Will as mentioned	VARINDER SHARMA VS VINOD KUMAR	Reply And Consideration	07-11-25

		above Any other order or direction which this Hon ble Court may deem fit be passed in favor of the plaintiff			
89	CS CJ/2256/2024	Suit for specific performane of Agreement to Sell dated 28-06-1985 and for declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is the absolute owner of DU No. 2127, Sector 45-C, UT, Chandigarh	RAJ RANI VS AMRIT PAUL BATRA ALIAS AMRIT PAL BATRA	Written Statement/Reply/Replication/ Considration	07-11-25
90	CS CJ/508/2025	Suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendants from interfering into possession of Small Flat No. 2610/3, Sector 49, UT, Chandigarh and mandatory injunction to not to cancel the same.	MOHD. ISRAIL KHAN VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	07-11-25
91	MCA DJ/85/2025	Appeal against the eviction order dated 08-05-2025 in respect of Small Flat No. 4910/3, Sector 38-W, UT, Chandigarh).	SANJAY VS THE SECRETARY CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	07-11-25

Advance List of Court Cases pending before the Hon'ble Chief Secretary, UT, Chandigarh from 27.10.2025 to 08.11.2025

Sr. No.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	REVISION/NIL/2023	The Plaintiff has filed the Revision Petition under Section 17 e of the Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006 against the order dated 08-11-2023 passed by the Appellate Authority UT Chandigarh in respect of Flat No 2552 Ground Floor Sector 49-C Chandigarh	Brahma son of Sh. Shiv Mangal VS The Secretary Chandigarh Housing Board, Chandigarh (DU No. 2552, Ground Floor, Sector 49-C, Chandigarh)	Reply filed	30 Oct 2025
2	REVISION/1/2024	The Applicant has filed the Revision Petition under Section 17 e of the Chandigarh Small Flats scheme 2006 against the order dated 08-11-2023 passed by the Appellate Authority UT Chandigarh in respect of Small Flat No 4697/3 Sector 38 West Chandigarh	Raghav Rao VS The Secretary Estates cum Appellate Authority, U.T. Chandigarh and Others (DU No. 4697/3, Sector 38 West, Chandigarh)	Reply filed	30 Oct 2025
3	REVISION/____/2025	The Plaintiff has filed the Revision Petition under Section 10 sub section 4 of Capital of Punjab Development and Regulation Act 1952 as extended to U.T. Chandigarh against the order dated 18.12.2024 passed by the Chief Executive Officer-cum-Appellate Authority Chandigarh Housing Board, Chandigarh in respect of Dwelling Unit No. 170 Sector 41-A Chandigarh. And also filed the application Under Section 151 CPC for grant of stay of operation of impugned order dated 18.12.2024 passed by the Chief Executive Officer-cum-Appellate Authority Chandigarh Housing Board and order dated 11.09.2017 passed by the Secretary-cum-Estate Officer Chandigarh Housing Board.	Sh. Dharmender Singh and another VS The Chief Executive Officer-cum-Appellate Authority, Chandigarh Housing Board, Chandigarh and others (DU No. 170, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh).	Reply filed	30 Oct 2025

4	REVISION/---/2025	Revision Petition under section 17 E of Chandigarh small flat scheme 2006, H No 1892 B, Dhanas, Chandigarh against the impugned order dated 16.04.2024 passed by Dr Vijay Namdeorao Zade IAS Secretary Estate Union territory Chandigarh in case appeal no 0017 2022 titled as Sukun Ahemmad vs Chandigarh Housing board Claim in revision To accept the revision and to set aside the impugned order dated 16.04.2024 passed by Dr Vijay Namdeorao Zade IAS Secretary Estate Union territory Chandigarh.	Sukun Ahemmad son of Late Sh. Late Paltu Ram VS The secretary estate union territory, Chandigarh The Secretary cum competent authority under Chandigarh small flat scheme 2006, Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh	Pending	30 Oct 2025
5	REVISION/nil/2021	RP filed against the order dated 22.10.20 passed by the CHB, CHD in respect of Booth No. 268, Manimajra, UT, CHD	Veena Goyal and Anr VS CHB	Reply filed	30 Oct 2025

Advance List of Court Cases pending before the Sole Arbitrator, UT, Chandigarh from 27.10.2025 to 08.11.2025

Sr. No.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	ARB/304/2019	Arbitration case in respect of Construction of 160 Nos. TBR Flats Category-II Composite Work at Sector 51-A Chandigarh - The matter has been fixed for hearing before the Sole Arbitrator Mr. Justice retired PS Dhaliwal formerly a Judge of Pb. and Haryana	M/S B.L. Mehta Constructions Pvt. Ltd. Vs Chandigarh Housing Board	Statement of defence filed	28 Oct 2025

	High Court resident of 2254 Sector 35 Chandigarh to adjudicate the disputes between the parties subject to statutory provisions for the work construction of 160 TBR flats at Sector 51-A Chandigarh		
--	--	--	--

Advance List of Court Cases pending before the Permanent Lok Adalat (PUS), UT, Chandigarh from 27.10.2025 to 08.11.2025

Sr. No.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	APPLICATION/24/2024	The Plaintiff has filed the application under Section 22 (A) legal services Authority Act (1987) The plaintiff has filed the application to give the direction to the Respondent to give possession of allotted small flat under Chandigarh small flats scheme 2009 to applicant Mr Raj Kumar	Raj Kumar VS Estate Office and Chandigarh Housing Board (Jhuggi No 2744, Colony No. 5, Chandigarh)	Reply filed	31 Oct 2025
2	APPLICATION/MISC 20/2025	The Plaintiff has filed the Application for recalling the order dated 14.07.2023 and allowing the applicant to contest the application (Oustees matter)	Harmanjit Kaur VS Chandigarh Housing Board & Another (Oustees matter)	Reply filed	04 Nov 2025

3	APPLICATION/36/2024	The Plaintiff has filed the Application under Section 22-C of the Public Utility Service Act 1986 for directing the respondents for refund of excess amount charges to the tune of Rs 263015 alongwith interest at 18 percent in respect of dwelling unit no 5025-1 MHC Manimajra Chandigarh and It is also further direct to pay litigation expenses and it was also further prayer that the Applicant may kindly be compensated for causing mental harassment and financial loss.	Raman Singla VS Chandigarh Housing Board and others (House No 5025/1, MHC, Manimajra, Chandigarh)	Reply filed	07 Nov 2025
---	---------------------	---	---	-------------	-------------