

**ADVANCE LIST OF COURT CASES PENDING BEFORE THE HON'BLE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA W.E.F. 11-10-2025 TO 25 10-2025**

| Sr. No. | Case No and year  | Brief Subject Matter and prayer  | Petitioner and Respondent   | Next Date of Hearing |
|---------|-------------------|--|---|----------------------|
| 1       | SLP(C)/34975/2024 | SLP filed vide D-34975 - 2024 by the Chandigarh Housing Board against the final judgement and order dated 30-05-2024 passed by the Honorable High Court in CWp No.22626 of 2013. | Chandigarh Housing Board, Chandigarh<br>VS Phool Kumar Saini and others | 15 Oct 2025          |

**ADVANCE LIST OF COURT CASES PENDING BEFORE HONORABLE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH W E F 11-10-2025 TO 25 10-2025**

| Sr. No. | Case No and year | Brief Subject Matter and prayer   | Petitioner and Respondent   | Status                 | Next Date of Hearing |
|---------|------------------|---|---|------------------------|----------------------|
| 1       | CWP/12718/2023   | Industrial Conversion case  | PREET MOHINDER KOHLI VS<br>CHANDIGARH<br>ADMINISTRATION AND<br>OTHERS           | PENDING                | 13 Oct 2025          |
| 2       | CWP/26150/2019   | Discretionary Quota Case - DU No. 235-C, Sector 51-A, Chandigarh.             | SUBHASH YADAV VS<br>ADMINISTRATOR, UNION<br>TERRITORY CHANDIGARH<br>AND ANOTHER | PENDING<br>ADJOURNMENT | 14 Oct 2025          |
| 3       | CWP/4769/2021    | CWP under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a | M/S ESSEN DEINKI VS   | PENDING                | 14 Oct 2025          |

|   |                                       |  |  |                             |             |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------|-------------|
|   |                                       | writ in the nature of a Certiorari, quashing the impugned letter No.377367 dated 27.08.2020 vide which the Respondent No.3 has rejected the request of the Petitioner for refund of conversion charges of Rs.40,56,000/- in respect of Plot No.368-369, Indl Area, Ph-I, Chandigarh of the Petitioner, being manifestly arbitrary, violative of Article 14 of India and also declare the same as being illegal, improper, unjust, predetermined, malicious, irrational and beyond the provisions of law.   | CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER                          |                             |             |
| 4 | COCP/2655/2023<br>IN<br>CWP/2309/2014 | Contempt petition under Sections 10 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act for proceeding against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act for willfully and deliberately violating the order dated 15-03-2023 passed by this Hon'ble Court in CWP-No-37168 of 2019, titled as Prabha Sharma and others Vs Chief Administrator UT Chandigarh and others and to punish them   | PRABHA SHARMA VS<br>DHARAM PAL, IAS,<br>CHAIRMAN AND ANOTHER | PENDING<br>ADJOURNMENT      | 14 Oct 2025 |
| 5 | CR/5183/2025                          | Civil Revision filed under section 227 of Constitution of India seeking setting aside of order dated 29-04-2025 and 29-05-2025 passed by the Ld Additional Session Judge-cum-Appellate Authority in Appeal No.MCA-DJ-63-2025 titled as Shivraj and another Vs CHB and Misc. Application dated 02-05-2025 respectively being illegal and ultra vires and also appeal dated 28-04-2025 preferred by Respondents be also dismissed as not legally maintainable and prayed that operation order dated 29-05-2025 may be stayed during pendency of the present petition as the respondents have filed an Execution Application in which notice has been issued on July 29-07-2025 | CHANDIGARH HOUSING<br>BOARD VS SHIVRAJ AND<br>ANOTHER        | PENDING NOTICE<br>OF MOTION | 14 Oct 2025 |
| 6 | CWP/30777/2018                        | Writ Petition filed for quashing the order dated 26.06.2018 passed by the appellate authority-cum-CEO, CHB in appeal vide which the claim of the petitioner for allotment of house under the Chandigarh Small Flat Scheme 2006 has been rejected and further directing the respondents to allot the dwelling unit in lieu of Jhugi No.538, SBS Colony to the petitioner under the Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006.  | VARINDER VS ESTATE<br>OFFICER UT CHANDIGARH<br>AND ANR       | PENDING NOTICE<br>OF MOTION | 15 Oct 2025 |
| 7 | CWP/29809/2018                        | Petition filed by the petitioner for issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of Certiorari Mandamus of such other appropriate writ, order or direction declaring the action of the respondent Board in charging excess amount for the Dwelling Unit 5281 MIG Sector 38-W for the allotment of the flat under Hire-Purchase under Partial OR Full Self Finance System from the petitioner instead   | ADDAR SINGH VS<br>CHANDIGARH HOUSING<br>BOARD                | PENDING                     | 15 Oct 2025 |

|    |                |  |   |                          |             |
|----|----------------|--|---|--------------------------|-------------|
|    |                | of charging the price prevail Fin in the year 1997 when draw of lots for the allotment of said flats were held while issuing allotment letter dated 07-02-2018 and also charging ground rent on the revised price ignoring the fact that the petitioner was not given the possession of the said flat as illegal arbitrary malafide and violative of Article 14 of the Constitution of India and further directing the respondent Board to refund the excess amount charged from the petitioner  |   |                          |             |
| 8  | CWP/23095/2019 | Writ petition filed by the petitioner for quashing impugned order dated 03.11.2016, order in Appeal Dated 06.03.2017, Order in further appeals dated 27.07.2017, order in Revision Petition dated 09.04.2019 and Order dated 12.07.2019. 3. And for issuance of writ of mandamus against the respondents to permit the petitioner to deposit compounding charges in terms of latest policy dated 15.07.2019. 4. And further directions to grant status quo in respect of the property of the petitioner as granted on 25.09.2017 in the connected case CWP No.21780 of 2017 by a Coordinate Bench of this Court. (3124/1, Sector 45-D, Chandigarh – Building Violation case)   | SAZID HUSSAIN VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD THROUGH ITS CHAIRMAN, CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS | PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION | 15 Oct 2025 |
| 9  | CWP/2210/2022  | Sh. Vikram Singh for directing the Respondent No.1 to consider the application dated 28.09.2017 vide reference no. 186645 dated 28.09.2017 and to consider the application of petitioner in Affordable Housing Partnership   | VIKRAM SINGH VS CHAIRMAN, CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ANOTHER                        | PENDING ADJOURNMENT      | 15 Oct 2025 |
| 10 | CWP/31656/2024 | The subject cited case was filed by the petitioner Under article 226-227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a suitable writ, order or direction especially in the nature of certiorari quashing the impugned order dated 10-10-2024 impugned order dated 30-10-2023 impugned order dated 22-07-2015 and impugned order dated 18-02-2008 passed by the respondent Nos. 1 to 4 pertaining to flat no 6590-c sector 56 Chandigarh allotted to the petitioners under the rehabilitation scheme known as Chandigarh Small Flat scheme 2006 which are being wholly unjust, arbitrary and illegal And for issuance of writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to open the seal of the small flat no 6590-c sector 56 Chandigarh and to hand over the possession of the flat to the petitioners | JABAR SINGH AND ANOTHER VS ADVISER TO THE ADMINISTRATOR UT CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS      | PENDING ADJOURNMENT      | 15 Oct 2025 |
| 11 | CWP/7095/2001  | Regarding the allotment of land CWP FILED SEEKING DIRECTIONS FOR   | JASWANT SINGH AND ORS   | PENDING                  | 16 Oct 2025 |

|    |                |   |   |                     |             |
|----|----------------|---|---|---------------------|-------------|
|    |                | DECLARING THE PETITIONER AS DULY ENROLLED NOMINEE OF THE DECEASED MEMBER OF THE SOCIETY and FOR QUASHING BOARD'S LETTER DT.19.4.2001 WHEREBY THE SOCIETY HAS BEEN DIRECTED TO SEEK APPROVAL OF RCS FOR THE TRANSFER OF SHARES TO THE NOMINEES IN CASE OF DECEASED MEMBER OF THE SOCIETY.  | VS THE UNION TERRITORY ANDORS                                     | ADMITTED            |             |
| 12 | CWP/23578/2014 | Petition filed for quashing the action of the respondents in not regularizing the service of he petitioner dispite the fact that they are continuously working with the respondent Board from the year 1981-1994 onward to the entire satisfaction of the Board on the ground that the matter was referred to the respondent No.1 for according approval for reation of posts in the year 1999 and the same was returned, but thereafter no further steps was taken. Further for directions to consider and regularize the services of the petitioners, who are continuously working from the last so many years in term of Govt. instructions issued from time to time.  | BRIJ PAL & ORS VS UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION & ORS | PENDING ADMITTED    | 16 Oct 2025 |
| 13 | CWP/16727/2016 | reg. Industrial Plot no 161, Industrial Area, Phase-II chandigarh.  | SAT PAUL BANSAL & ORS VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION & ORS          | PENDING             | 16 Oct 2025 |
| 14 | CWP/4691/2017  | (Oustees Matter) Writ petition filed by the petitioners for quashing the "Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling Units to the Oustees of Chandigarh Scheme, 1996" issued by Respondent No.3 i.e. CHB vide which applications have been invited upto 15.03.2017 for allotment of flats to the eligible oustees of U.T., Chandigarh under Self Financing Housing Scheme on lease hold/free hold basis, the same being directly in conflict of the order/judgement dated 05.12.2013 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in CWP No.14300 of 1996 and connected 46 writ petitions. AND also a writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to allot dwelling units to the petitioner's strictly in compliance of the order/judgement dated 05.12.2013 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in CWP No.14300 of 1996 and connected 46 writ petitions. | SATBIR SINGH & ORS VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION & ORS             | PENDING             | 16 Oct 2025 |
| 15 | CWP/28778/2018 | Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for the issuance of a writ of certiorari (sending for the records of the case and finding that the Orders of the Estate Officer, U.T., Chandigarh Respondent No.3 dated 06.11.2017 and the order  | RAM GULAM AND OTHERS VS U T CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND         | PENDING ADJOURNMENT | 16 Oct 2025 |

|    |                |   |   |                        |             |
|----|----------------|---|---|------------------------|-------------|
|    |                | dated 14.08.2018 passed b the Appellate Authority respondent No.2 Annexure P-17 are not sustainable to quash the same. 3. Further directing the respondents to consider the claims of the petitioners, the displaced and uprooted residents of Palsora Colony, demolished b the UT Chandigarh Administration in June 2003 to rehabilitate the petitioners for allotment of alternate accommodation of one room Flat under the Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006 as per the directions of Hon'ble High Court dated 30.05.2017 in CWP NO.12042 of 2017 – Ram Gulam and Others Vs U.T, Chandigarh and Others without taking into consideration the condition of bio metric Survey and finding them eligible, to make allotment of one room flat under the 2006 scheme, as has been done in respect of similarly placed residents of erstwhile Palsora Colony who after the demotion of Palsora Colony had settled in other unauthorized slum colonies of Chandigarh, for which the Administration conducted bio metric survey in 2006, while the petitioner who sought shelter in the authorized areas of Chandigarh for which no bio metric survey was conducted | OTHERS  |                        |             |
| 16 | CWP/11289/2018 | Civil Writ Petition filed for quashing order dated 26.02.2018 passed by Learned Advisor to the Administrator, U.T, Chandigarh being illegal, Arbitrary, discriminatory and whimsical Further directing the Respondents not to demolished the glazed enclosures/alleged cabins and further directing the respondents not to interfere in the peaceful usage and enjoyment of the property rights by the petitioners and further to grant the permission/NOC at par with other societies granted vide notification dated 17.09.2010.  | SURENDER LAMBA AND ORS<br>VS UT OF CHANDIGARH AND<br>ORS    | PENDING                | 16 Oct 2025 |
| 17 | CWP/10343/2018 | reg allotment of small flat under Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006  | NEK RAM VS CHANDIGARH<br>HOUSING BOARD CHD AND<br>ORS       | PENDING<br>ADJOURNMENT | 16 Oct 2025 |
| 18 | CWP/270/2022   | CWP No 270-2022 filed by Ranjit Singh against the order dated 10.01.2022 passed by Permanent Lok Adalat and Others DU No 1097 Phase-2 Ram Darbar Chandigarh.  | RANJIT SINGH VS<br>PERMANENT LOK ADALAT<br>AND ORS          | PENDING                | 16 Oct 2025 |
| 19 | CWP/793/2023   | Petition filed by one Smt. Parveen Kumari before Honorable High Court of Punjab and Haryana for quashing the order passed by the Property Allotment Committee order dated 07-08-2019 whereby the Appellant Authority has  | PARVEEN KUMARI VS<br>UNION TERRITORY<br>CHANDIGARH AND ORS. | PENDING<br>ADJOURNMENT | 16 Oct 2025 |

|    |                |   |   |                          |             |
|----|----------------|---|---|--------------------------|-------------|
|    |                | affirmed the order of PAC and order dated 08-04-2022 passed by the Court of the Advisor to the Administrator U T Chandigarh whereby upheld the order passed by the appellate authority being illegal arbitrary unconstitutional and against the cannon of fundamental right of the petitioner Further for directing the respondents to allot the dwelling unit to the petitioner under the Oustees Scheme as the petitioner has been duly authorized by all the legal heirs of the Oustees by way of submitting the relinquishment affidavit as per the specification of the respondents  |   |                          |             |
| 20 | COCP/2195/2025 | Contempt Petition under section 12 of the Contempt of court Act 1971 against the respondents as they have not decided the representation dated 20-04-2022 made by the petitioners as per the order of Honorable High Court in CWP No-11844 of 2018 on dated 31-03-2022. In which it is directed to petitioners to made an representation within a period of 15 days In case representation is filed within the said period, then respondent no- 3 will decide the same accordance with law within next three months That till date the representation is not decided by the Respondent no 3 Hence, punishment be given to respondents | DHARAM SINGH AND OTHERS VS SH RAJEEV VERMA AND OTHERS | PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION | 16 Oct 2025 |

**ADVANCE LIST OF COURT CASES PENDING BEFORE NATIONAL CONSUMER DISPUTE REDRESSAL COMMISSION, NEW DELHI  
W.E.F. 11-10-2025 TO 25 10-2025**

| Sr. No. | Case No.   | Summary  | Case Title  | Next date   |
|---------|------------|--|---|-------------|
| 1       | EA/19/2013 | The applicant herein had filed a complaint against the respondent being Original Petition no 253 of 2010 That the complaint of the applicant was disposed off by this Honorable commission vide order dated 22-03-2013 read with order dated 10-05-2013 corrigendum dated 5-7-2013 read with order dated 5-3-2013 in RP no 396 of 2011 | Surbhi Garg VS Parsavnath Developers Ltd. and Anr | 15 Oct 2025 |

## Advance List of Court Cases Pending before the Hon'ble District Court, U.T., Chandigarh from 11.10.2025 to 25.10.2025

| Sr. No. | Case No.        | Summary  | Case Title                           | Present Status          | Next date |
|---------|-----------------|--|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|
| 1       | CS CJ/736/2018  | Civil Suit has been filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of DU No. 3194/46-C, Chandigarh on fraud documents.  | RAJWANT KAUR VS SUKHDEEP SINGH       | Defence evidence        | 13-10-25  |
| 2       | CS CJ/3002/2018 | Suit for specific performance of Agreement to Sell dated 12-03-2003 in respect of DU No. 3081, Sector 44-D, UT, Chandigarh.  | VIJENDER KUMAR NIRMAL VS NANAK SINGH | Reply And Consideration | 13-10-25  |
| 3       | CS CJ/2431/2019 | In this matter plaintiff has filed application under order Rule 1 and 2 read with Section 151 C.P.C. for Ad-interim Injunction restraining the defendants No.2 and 3 from selling alienating, mortgaging and handing over the possession of the Dwelling Unit No.1125, Indra Colony, Manimajra, Chandigarh to any other person except the plaintiff during the pendency of the main suit.      | BISH RAM @ VISH RAM VS THE CHAIRMAN  | Civil Evidence          | 13-10-25  |
| 4       | CS CJ/129/2021  | In this matter plaintiff has filed suit for Mandatory injunction directing the defendants No.1-4 to remove the illegal optical shop running in the name and style of M/s Vijay Optical on the ground floor of the dwelling unit No.1096, Ram Darbar, Chandigarh which amounts to cancellation of registration/allotment as well as forfeiture of the amount deposited with the defendant No.6. | ARVIND SINGH VS VIJAY SINGH          | Evidence                | 13-10-25  |

|   |                |   |                                 |                         |          |
|---|----------------|---|---------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|
| 5 | CS CJ/662/2022 | Suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant no 3 not to transfer the ownership of House No 2035 Sector45C Chandigarh in the name of defendant no 1 and 2 without the NOC from the plaintiff and if the defendant no 3 had already transferred the same then defendant no 3 may be directed to remove the name of defendant no 1 2. And Suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendants no 1 and 2 not to entered into any any sale mortgage exchange disposed off lease out the suit property ie House No 2035 Sector 45C Chandigarh in any manner during the pendency of present suit   | JASPAL KAUR VS BHARTI MITTAL    | Consideration..         | 13-10-25 |
| 6 | CS/557/2023    | CS/557/2023, Monika Kanwar Vs Gitika DU No 3111/2 Sector 41/D Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed Suit for declaration to the effect that the plaintiffs and defendant No 1 are owners in joint possession to extent of 1/3 share each in respect of the immovable properties left by Lachman Dass Sharma and Smt Santosh Kumari D/o Village Daun Tehsil Distt SAS Nagar Mohali on account of inheritance being their class I legal heirs which includes property No 1 Residential House situated within the revenue estate of village daun tehsil distt SAS Nagar Mohali property no 2 house no 3111/2 Sector 41 D Chandigarh And Suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendant no 1 her agents attorneys representatives from alienating gift mortgage transfer or changing the nature of the suit property in any other manner till the same is not partitioned and furhter restraining the defendant no 2 to transfer the property no 2 to any person during the pendency of suit And Grant any other relief which this Hon ble Court may deems fit and proper in favour of the plaintiffs | MONIKA KANWAR VS GITIKA         | Arguments               | 13-10-25 |
| 7 | CS CJ/721/2023 | CSCJ/721/2023 Jyoti Vs Pawan Kumar and others 3214/1, Sector-41-D   | JYOTI VS PAWAN KUMAR AND OTHERS | Reply And Consideration | 13-10-25 |

|   |                |   |                                |                         |          |
|---|----------------|---|--------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|
| 8 | CS CJ/89/2024  | <p>CSCJ/89/2024 SUSHIL KUMAR VS GENERAL PUBLIC H NO 3372 SECTOR 45 D CHANDIGARH The Plaintiff has filed the Suit for declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is the owner of H No 3372 Sector 45 D Chandigarh by virtue of the WILL Executed by Dr Shankar Nath Sanyal son of Late Sh Provot Kumar Sanyal registered in the office of Sub Registrar Chandigarh at serial No 2369 Book No 3 Volume No 257 Dated 20/11/2001 in favour of the plaintiff along with a Suit for Mandatory Injunction directing the defendant No 3 to transfer the said house in the record of Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh in the name of the plaintiff And Suit for Permanent injunction restraining the Defendant No 2 from Selling Transferring Alienating the property in favour of any other person or the create any charge Lien Interest of any Third party in the above noted property and also restraining the defendant No 3 from entering any Mutation/transferring the property in name of any other person except the Plaintiff</p> | SUSHIL KUMAR VS GENERAL PUBLIC | Reply And Consideration | 13-10-25 |
| 9 | CS CJ/612/2024 | <p>CSCJ/612/2024 Isher Singh Vs General Public H No 14 Sector 41A UT Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the SUIT for declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is owner in possession of the HNo 14 Sector 41A UT Chandigarh and further Civil Suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant no 2 to transfer the House No 14 Sector 41 A UT Chandigarh owner in possession on the basis of bonafide purchaser and documents ie Power of Attorney Will etc executed in the favour of plaintiff And/Or Any other relief which this Hon ble Court may deem fit and proper in the light of facts and circumstances of the case also be passed in favour of the plaintiff and against the defendants</p>   | ISHER SINGH VS GENERAL PUBLIC  | Notice And Record       | 13-10-25 |

|    |                |   |                                   |          |          |
|----|----------------|---|-----------------------------------|----------|----------|
| 10 | CS CJ/553/2024 | <p>CSCJ/533/2024 Murit Ram Uniyal Vs Neelam Mishra HNo 1158/1 Sector 40/B Chandigarh PUC is a summons to appear in the subject cited court case received in this office on 21/07/2024 from Dealing Hand of AO II branch CHB the copy of petition copy attached may kindly be perused please The Plaintiff has filed the SUIT for Specific performance to the agreement to sell dated 10/04/1991 Executed by late Smt Neelam Mishra whereby the late Smt Neelam Mishra wife of Brij Mohan Mishra entered into agreement to sell in respect of DU NO 1158/1 Sector 40/B Chandigarh in favor of Shri Murti Rm Uniyal son of Shri Mohinder Dutt residence of 1158/1 Sector 40/B Chandigarh to the extent of 100 share at a total consideration price of rupees 35000 in full anf final settlement to the agreement to sell dated 10th of April 1991 and also executed Registered General power of attorney dated 10th of April 1991 in favour of plaintiff and Neelam Mishra also executed a registered will dated 10/04/1991 regisrered at serial number 103 book number 3 volume number 188 page number 136 on 10th of April 1991 at the total sale consideration of Rs 35000/ which was duly paid by the plaintiff against the receipt dated 10/04/1991 as full and final settlement of agreement to sell dated 10/04/1991 And Suit for declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is owner in possession of property ie DU No 1158/1 Sector 40 B Chandigarh is liable to be transferred lease hold right of property in favour of plaintiff on basis of agreement to sell and registered Any other order or direction which Plaintiff this Honble Court deem fit be passed in favour of the plaintiff and against the defendants And also filed an Application under order 39 Rule 1 and 2 read with Section 151 of the code of Civil Procedure 1908 for Grant of Temporary Injunction Restraining the defendants no 1 and her agents Representative Successors Servants Legal Heirs etc from disposing off the suit property in question by way of sale motage renting out etc. and from dispossessing the plaintiffs from the suit property ie 1158/1 Sector 40B Chandigarh Chandigarh till the pendency the suit And Interim stay may also be granted in favour of the plaintiffs till the disposal of the present application</p> | MURIT RAM UNİYAL VS NEELAM MISHRA | Evidence | 13-10-25 |
|----|----------------|---|-----------------------------------|----------|----------|

|    |                      |  |   |                         |          |
|----|----------------------|--|---|-------------------------|----------|
|    |                      | Earlier the case fixed before the Hon ble District Court on 22/05/2024 and after discussion with SLO CHB Advocate Sh Anant pal singh was directed to appear/defend the case on behalf of Chandigarh Housing Board and memo of appearance filed by the Advocate |   |                         |          |
| 11 | CRL<br>MISC/380/2025 | Release of file of DU No. 2248-C, Sector 63, UT, Chandigarh.   | STATE OF UT CHANDIGARH<br>VS RAJESH KUMAR               | Fresh Case              | 13-10-25 |
| 12 | MISC DJ/630/2025     | Against Eviction order dated 14-10-2024 and de seal the Small Flat No. 6551, Sector 56, UT, Chd.   | KAMLESH DEVI VS<br>CHANDIGARH HOUSING<br>BOARD          | Reply And Consideration | 13-10-25 |
| 13 | PROB/15/2025         | Probation of WILL dated 22-09-1997 executed by Late Sh. Sohan Lal, in favour of the petitioner in respect of H.No. 3649, Sector 46-C, UT, Chandigarh.  | RAJNEESH KUMAR VS<br>GENERAL PUBLIC                     | Notice And Record       | 13-10-25 |
| 14 | MCA DJ/86/2025       | Set aside the impugned order dated 07-05-2025 in respect of Small Flat No. 4945, Sector 38(W), UT, Chandigarh.   | JASBIR SINGH VS<br>CHANDIGARH HOUSING<br>BOARD          | Reply And Consideration | 13-10-25 |
| 15 | MCA DJ/65/2025       | Appeal against eviction notice 18-02-2025 and eviction order dated 16-04-2025 in respect of Small Flat No. 2708/1, Sector 49, UT, Chandigarh.  | BRIJ PAL VS SECRETARY-<br>CUM-COMPETENT<br>AUTHORITY    | Reply And Consideration | 13-10-25 |
| 16 | MCA DJ/44/2025       | Appeal against Eviction order dated 03-03-2025 passed in respect of Small Flat No. 2561-B, Ramdarbar, UT, Chandigarh.  | RAM PARKASH VS<br>SECRETARY-CUM-<br>COMPETENT AUTHORITY | Reply And Consideration | 13-10-25 |
| 17 | MCA DJ/43/2025       | Appeal against the eviction order dated 03-03-2025 in respect of Small Flat No. 2563-B, Ramdarbar, UT, Chandigarh.   | MUKESH VS SECRETARY-<br>CUM-COMPETENT<br>AUTHORITY      | Reply And Consideration | 13-10-25 |
| 18 | MCA DJ/13/2025       | Appellant has filed the subject cited suit to set aside the impugned order dated 02-01-2025 (cancellation order) in respect of Small Flat No. 2707/3, Sector 49, UT, Chandigarh, due to non-payment and directing the respondent to re-allot the said flat.    | KAMLESH VS CHANDIGARH<br>HOUSING BOARD                  | Consideration           | 13-10-25 |

|    |                     |   |   |                         |          |
|----|---------------------|---|---|-------------------------|----------|
| 19 | MCA DJ/8/2025       | Application under 54(2) of the Haryana Housing Board Atct 1971 (as extended to Chandigarh) for staying the operation of order dated 17-12-2024 passed under Section 51 of the said act by the Secretary, CHB, exercising the powers of competent authority under Chapter VI of the Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 ordering the eviction of the appellant from DU No. 3150, Sector 45, Chandigarh.   | DIPINDER SURI VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD | Arguments               | 13-10-25 |
| 20 | CIVIL SUIT/515/2021 | Civil Suit No. CSCJ/515/2021 titled as Dilawar Singh VS Asha Deep Kaur and Others before the Civil Judge (Jr. Division) Garhshankar (PB).(DU No. 3675 ,CAT-MIG-I, Sector 46-C)  | Dilawar Singh VS Asha Deep Kaur           | Consideration           | 14-10-25 |
| 21 | CS CJ/616/2016      | Suit for declaration of WILL dated 08-09-2015 in favor of the plaintiff in respect of DU No. 5171/3, MHC, Manimajra and mandatory injunction to the respondent no. 5 to transfer the said house.  | MANDEEP MAND VS GENERAL PUBLIC            | Notice And Record       | 14-10-25 |
| 22 | CS CJ/109/2019      | Civil Suit filed by the Plaintiff seeking direction to Def. No. 1 to demolish illegal Constn. of DU No. 3770, Ambedkar Awas Yojna, Sector 56, Chandigarh and further directing Def. No. 2 (CHB) for necessary action in respect of Govt. land encroached by Defendant No. 1.  | MALTI DEVI VS AHMAD ALI                   | Civil Evidence          | 14-10-25 |
| 23 | C.S./719/2021       | the plaintiff has filed a Suit for a Decree for Possession (Symbolic) by way of the Specific performance of the Agreement to Sell dated 12.09.216 executed by the Defendant No.1 in favour of the Plaintiff, by directing the defendant No.1 to execute and get register the Sale Deed/Transfer Deed qua Buil-Up Booth No.242, RBL No.107, Shastri Market, Sector 22-C, Chandigarh in favour of the Plaintiff. AND for a Decree for Mandatory Injunction directing the Defendant No.2 and 3 to transfer the Built-up Booth No.242 RBL No.107, Shastri Market, Sector 22-C, Chandigarh favour of the Plaintiff by issuing a Re-Allotment letter and by executing Transfer Deed/Lease Deed in favour of the Plaintiff. And suit based on Oral as well as Documentary Evidence. Plaint under Order 7 Rule 1 CPC. | SUKHVINDER SINGH VS JASWINDER PAL SINGH   | Reply And Consideration | 14-10-25 |

|    |                 |   |  |                         |          |
|----|-----------------|---|--|-------------------------|----------|
| 24 | CS CJ/874/2021  | Suit for Possession (symbolic) by way of Specific Performance of Agreement to Sell (Contract) date 20.06.1986, with direction to defendant no. 1 to execute and get registered sale deed in respect of House No. 3708, HIG (Lower), Sector-46-C, Chandigarh.  | SANDEEP SINGH BHASIN VS MAJOR M.L. MEHTA | Reply And Consideration | 14-10-25 |
| 25 | CS CJ/1627/2022 | CSCJ/1627/2022 Sharda Soni Vs CHB (Booth No 26, Sector 46 (Rahri Market), Chandigarh)   | SHARDA SONI VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD  | Arguments               | 14-10-25 |
| 26 | MCA DJ/95/2023  | MCA DJ/95/2023 Jasbir Kaur Vs Chandigarh Housing Board, HU No 5680 MHC Sector 13 Chandigarh PUC is a summons to appear in the subject cited court case received in this office on 16/08/2023 alongwith the copy of petition copy attached in right window may kindly be perused please The case is fixed for hearing on 16/08/2023 in the Hon ble District Court of Sh Rajeev K Beri Additional District Judge Chandigarh The Appellant has filed the Appeal U/s 54 2 of the Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 as extended to Chandigarh read with Section 151 CPC for the stay of the operation of impugned order dated 18/07/2023 A 4 passed by the respondent No2 whereby the eviction of the appellant has been ordered from the premises ie DU NO 5680 MHC Sector 13 Chandigarh within one month from the date of receiving of the notice dated 18/07/2023 which was pasted at the house of the appellant hence the same be stayed during the pendency of the present appeal and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the said impugned order may also be stayed | JASBIR KAUR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD  | Consideration           | 14-10-25 |
| 27 | CS CJ/1191/2023 | CSCJ/1191/2023 Manjit Singh Vs Verinder Kaur, Du No 2952, Sector 42-C, Chandigarh   | MANJIT SINGH VS VERINDER KAUR            | Reply And Consideration | 14-10-25 |
| 28 | CS CJ/1974/2024 | Suit declaration that plaintiff no. 1 is owner of DU No. 3104, Dhanas, Chd. and cancellaton of sale deed dted 03-07-2019.   | MINAL VS RITA DEVI                       | Arguments.              | 14-10-25 |
| 29 | CA/189/2024     | Small Flat No. 6606-A, Sector 56, UT, Chandigarh (Cancelled due to non-payment)   | URMILA VS THE SECRETARY                  | Reply And Consideration | 14-10-25 |

|    |                  |   |  |                         |          |
|----|------------------|---|--|-------------------------|----------|
| 30 | MISC DJ/106/2025 | For grant of stay of operation of the impugned eviction order dated 16-07-2024 in respect of Small Flat No. 3283/1, Dhanas, UT, Chandigarh.   | ALTAF AHMED VS ADVISER TO THE ADMINISTRATOR      | Arguments               | 14-10-25 |
| 31 | CS CJ/304/2025   | Resident Welfare Matter, 2BR, Sector 63, UT, Chandigarh.  | HARI GARG VS THE RESIDENT OF WELFARE ASSOCIATION | Reply/Consideration     | 14-10-25 |
| 32 | MCA DJ/100/2025  | Against eviction order dated 07-05-2025 in respect of Small Flat No. 4997/2, 38-W, UT, Chandigarh, passed due to non-payment.   | ANIL KUMAR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD           | Arguments               | 14-10-25 |
| 33 | MCA DJ/102/2025  | To set aside the eviction order dated 19-05-2025 in respect of Small Flat No. 2577, Sector 49, UT, Chandigarh, issued due to non-payment.   | GANGA DEVI VS SECRETARY-CUM-COMPETENT AUTHORITY  | Arguments               | 14-10-25 |
| 34 | MCA DJ/115/2025  | Appeal against eviction order dated 11-06-2025 against Small Flat No. 2589, Sector 49, UT, Chandigarh due to non-payment.   | RITA DEVI VS SECRETARY-CUM-COMPETENT AUTHORITY   | Fresh Case              | 14-10-25 |
| 35 | MCA DJ/123/2025  | Appeal against Eviction Order dated 19-06-2025 in respect of DU No. 4645, Maloya, UT, Chandigarh.   | TIRATH RAM VS SECRETARY CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD | Reply And Consideration | 14-10-25 |
| 36 | MCA DJ/155/2025  | Appeal against the eviction order dated 08-09-2025 passed in respect of the DU No. 170/1, Sector 41-A, UT, Chandigarh, due to building violation.   | RAMANDEEP SINGH VS THE SECRETARY                 | Reply And Consideration | 14-10-25 |
| 37 | MCA DJ/158/2025  | Appeal has been filed by the appellant to stay and set aside the eviction order dated 18-09-2025 in respect of Small Flat No. 4697, Sector 38-W, UT, Chandigarh.                                    | PALA RAM VS SECRETARY-CUM-COMPETENT AUTHORITY    | Reply And Consideration | 14-10-25 |
| 38 | CA/78/2020       | Appeal u/s 96 against judgement and decree dated 6.1.2020 passed by Kaushal Singla, CJD, Chandigarh in respect of DU No. 2051/45-C, Chandigarh for leakage of kitchen and sewerage water from roof. | NIRMAL BHATIA VS SONIA KHULLAR                   | Arguments               | 15-10-25 |

|    |                 |   |   |                         |          |
|----|-----------------|---|---|-------------------------|----------|
| 39 | CS CJ/1801/2021 | Suit for specific performance of the agreement to sell dated 01.05.2006 entered into between Defendant No. 4 and Chote Lal Soni being the husband of plaintiff no.1 and father of plantif no. 2 to 4 wherein H. No. 275, Sector-44-A, Chandigarh was sold to Shhote Lal Soni at total sale consideration money of Rs. 1,50,000/-. The said amount was paid and full final receipt dated 01.05.2006. | PADMA SONI VS GENERAL PUBLIC                      | Written Statement       | 15-10-25 |
| 40 | CS CJ/1860/2021 | Application U/o 39 Rule 1 and 2 CPC for temporary injunction restraining the defendant no. 1 and 2 from alienating the suit property by way of sale, mortgage, gift, exchange and changing the nature by any other mode of the property in question, during the pendency of the main suit. ( DU NO. 118/1, Sector-55, CHD)  | SHANTI RANI VS MAJOR I. J. S. BAMBRHA             | Arguments               | 15-10-25 |
| 41 | CS CJ/773/2022  | CSCJ/773/2022, Ajit Singh Butter Vs General Public. #3369, Sector 46-C, CHD   | AJIT SINGH BUTTER VS GENERAL PUBLIC               | Reply And Consideration | 15-10-25 |
| 42 | CS CJ/508/2022  | CSCJ/508/ 2022 titled as Col M.K. Khorana (Retd) vs Kulbhushan Aggarwal. Regarding House no 5120-2, CAT-I MHC, Sector 13, Manimajra   | COL M.K. KHORANA (RETD) VS KULBHUSHAN AGGARWAL    | Reply And Consideration | 15-10-25 |
| 43 | MCA DJ/14/2023  | MCA DJ/14/2023 Teejo Vs Chandigarh Housing Board Du No Site No 43 Karsan Colony, Phase-II, Chandigarh   | TEEJO VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD                 | Evidence                | 15-10-25 |
| 44 | ARB/482/2023    | To Challenge the Arbitration Award dated 08-05-2023 of Aggarwal and Aggarwal Vs Chandigarh Housing Board before the Ld District Court U/s 34 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act 1996 related to work of Providing Comprehensive Consultancy Services for construction of Block B in CHB Office Complex Sector-9 D Chandigarh as Intelligent and green building                                 | CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD VS AGGARWAL AND AGGARWAL | Reply And Consideration | 15-10-25 |
| 45 | CS CJ/1205/2023 | Bal Krishan Vs Chandigarh Housing Board, Du No 2629 Small Flats Sector 49, Chandigarh   | BAL KRISHAN VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD           | Evidence                | 15-10-25 |
| 46 | CS CJ/1949/2024 | DU No. 2603, Sector 44-C, UT, Chandigarh  | PUSHPA RANI VS DILSHAD AHMAD                      | Notice And Record       | 15-10-25 |

|    |                 |   |  |                         |          |
|----|-----------------|---|--|-------------------------|----------|
| 47 | CS CJ/731/2024  | Harparkash kaur Vs Chandigarh Housing Board HNo 3017/2 Sector 44/D Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the SUIT for specific performance of the agreement to sell dated 23/04/1990 whereby the husband of plaintiff No 1 and father of plaintiff no 2 3 had purchased HNO 3017/2 Sector 44D Chandigarh from the allottee ie defendant No2 at a total sale consideration money of Rs 55000/ AND Suit for mandatory injunction directing defendant No1 to transfer the property ie HNo 3017/2 Sector 44D Chandigarh in favor of the plaintiffs being the legal heir of the agreement to sell holder namely sh Sabinder Singh alias Savinder Singh AND Suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendant from alienating mortgaging transferring gifting or creating any third party right in respect of property ie HNo 3017/2 Sector 44/D Chandigarh AND any other relief in this Hon ble Court deems fit and proper may be granted in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant | HARPARKASH KAUR VS THE SECRETARY                                       | Reply And Consideration | 15-10-25 |
| 48 | EXE/216/2024    | Application for execution of decree under Section 36 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 passed in arbitration case decided on 01-10-2019 by Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.N.Aggarwal, Retd. Sole arbitrator.   | KAMALADITYYA CONSTURCION PVT. LTD. VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ORS | Notice And Record       | 15-10-25 |
| 49 | CS CJ/1888/2025 | Suit for declaration of 1/5th share in favor of plaintiff in respect of DU No. 5041/1, MHC, Manimajra.  | ANKUSH PURI VS THE CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD                            | Fresh Case              | 15-10-25 |
| 50 | PROB/12/2025    | For probate of WILL dated 22-10-2001 in respect of DU No. 5044, Category-III, MHC, Manimajra.   | RAM KUMAR VS GENERAL PUBLIC  | Notice And Record       | 15-10-25 |
| 51 | ARB/91/2025     | Challenging the award dated 30-04-2025 passed by sole arbitrator Sh. Manoj Kumar Bansal (CHB vs. Sawhney Electricals)   | CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD VS M/S SAWHNEY ELECTRICALS                    | Notice And Record       | 15-10-25 |
| 52 | MCA DJ/132/2025 | Appeal against Eviction Notice/Order dated 21-02-2025 in respect of Small Flat No. 6630-C, Sector 56, UT, Chandigarh, issued due to non-payment.  | HANS RAJ VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD                                   | Reply And Consideration | 15-10-25 |

|    |                 |  |   |                         |          |
|----|-----------------|--|---|-------------------------|----------|
| 53 | MCA DJ/94/2025  | Against the eviction order dated 08-05-2025 in respect of Small Flat No. 4663-2, Sector 38-W, UT, Chandigarh.  | PRITI ALIAS PREETI VS THE CHAIRMAN                | Notice And Record       | 15-10-25 |
| 54 | MCA DJ/73/2025  | Appeal for staying the eviction order dated 07-04-2025 in respect of Small Flat No. 2581-B, Ramdarbar, UT, Chandigarh.   | SANTOSH VS SECRETARY ESTATE-CUM-FINANCE SECRETARY | Arguments               | 15-10-25 |
| 55 | CS CJ/321/2025  | To be entered by Nodal Officer   | DEVINDER KUMAR CHAUDHARY VS AMNINDER GILL         | Notice And Record       | 15-10-25 |
| 56 | CS CJ/228/2025  | suit for declaration to the effect that she is the owner in possession of DU No. 1053/1, Sector 39-B, UT, Chandigarh, is liable to be transferred lease hold right of property in favour of plaintiff on the basis of Argeement to Sell and registered WILL along with other supporting documents executed by defendant in favour of plaintiff and for mandatory injunction directing the defendent no. 2 to transfer the lease hold rights of the said DU in her favor on the basis of documents mentioned above. | SATINDER KAUR VS MADHU SINGLA                     | Appearance              | 15-10-25 |
| 57 | CS CJ/1234/2019 | Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff to record the ownership of the plaintiff to transfer the extent of 8.33% share in respect of DU No. 1014, Sector 43-B, Chandigarh.   | PRANAV SIKRI VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD          | Reply And Consideration | 16-10-25 |
| 58 | CS CJ/2408/2020 | In this matter plaintiff has file an application under section 80(2)CPC for exemption from the service of statutory legal notice U/S 80 CPC. Application under order 39 Rule 1 and 2 read with section 151 CPC restraining the defendant No.4 not to transfer the house in question i.e. H.No.862/1, LIG,Sector 40-A, Chandigarh in the name of defendant No.1 on the basis of alleged fake and fictitious Will during the pendency of the present suit.   | PARDEEP SAXENA VS SAVITRI SAXENA                  | Consideration..         | 16-10-25 |

|    |                 |  |                                   |          |          |
|----|-----------------|--|-----------------------------------|----------|----------|
| 59 | CS CJ/1066/2020 | The application under section 80(2) CPC for grant of exemption for sending the advancing legal notice to defendants. The applicant prayed the present suit of the plaintiff be allowed and the decree of declaration be passed in favour of the plaintiff to entitle and to allot one booth in the Rehri Market, Sector 20-D, Chandigarh on the basis of this hand cart license No.45, Site No.179, Sector 20-D, Chandigarh.   | OM PARKASH VS UNION OF INDIA      | Evidence | 16-10-25 |
| 60 | CS CJ/1224/2021 | In this regard, it is submitted that the said application under Order 39 Rule 1 and 2 read with Section 151 CPC for grant of ad-interim injunction restraining the defendant No.1 not to part with, rent out, change the structure, lease out to any body and not to create charge in any form against Flat No.454 Sector 61, Chandigarh, during the pendency of the suit and further restraining defendant no 3 not to the transfer the flat in question during the pendency of the suit in the name of any other person. | JITENDER UPPAL VS ASHWANI KUMAR   | Evidence | 16-10-25 |
| 61 | CS CJ/315/2022  | Suit for mandatory injunction for issuance of direction to the Defendenta1 and 2 for issuance of possession letter and provide physical possession on allotted Dwelling Unit No. 6254-B, Sector-56, Chandigarh, with immediate effect with the name of plaintiff.  | HEERAWAN VS ESTATE OFFICER        | Evidence | 16-10-25 |
| 62 | CS CJ/2232/2022 | CSCJ/2232/2022, Nisha Vs Chandigarh Housing Board, House No 235-P, Village Dhanas, Chandigarh  | NISHA VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD | Evidence | 16-10-25 |

|    |                |  |                                       |                |          |
|----|----------------|--|---------------------------------------|----------------|----------|
| 63 | CS CJ/850/2024 | <p>CSCJ/850/2024 Sanjay Awasthi Vs Kamlesh Bhardwaj and others<br/>HNo 2813/1 Sector 49 ChandiGARH The Plaintiff has filed the SUIT for Specific performance of the agreement to sell dated 18/01/2010 vide which DU No 2813 Sector 49 Chandigarh has been sold by late Sh Vijay Bhardwaj to the plaintiff Annexure p1 for a total consideration of Rs 1900000/ Rupees Nineteen Lakhs Only SUIT for mandatory injunction directing the defendant no 1 to 3 ie the legal heirs of late sh Vijay Bhardwaj to register a sale deed in favor of the plaintiff or to execute the documents for the transfer of the said house as required by the defendant no 4 for the transfer of the said house in favour of the plaintiff SUIT for mandatory injunction directing the defendant no 4 ie Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh to transfer the 100 rights in the said property in favor of the plaintiff SUIT for permanent injunction directing the defendant no1 to 3 not create any charge lien or interest in the said property in favor of any third party or to transfer the property in favour of any other person except the plaintiff</p> | SANJAY AWASTHI VS<br>KAMLESH BHARDWAJ | Civil Evidence | 16-10-25 |
|----|----------------|--|---------------------------------------|----------------|----------|

|    |                |   |   |           |          |
|----|----------------|---|---|-----------|----------|
| 64 | CS CJ/935/2024 | <p>CSCJ/935/2024 Rajinder Singh Vs Jai Bhagwan Chhachhia Dwelling unit no 5552/1 Manimajra ChandigarH PUC dated 25/06/2024 is a summons to appear in the subject cited court case received in this office on 25/06/2024 without the copy of petition may kindly be perused please And the copy of petition is obtained from the counsel of Plaintiff dated 05/07/2024 copy attached The next date of Subject Cited Court Case has been fixed for 19/07/2024 The Plaintiff has filed Suit under section 34 of specific Relief Act for grant of decree for specific performance of Agreement to Sell dated 13/10/1995 entered into between the plaintiff and defendant no 1 2 seeking directions be given to defendants to execute sale deed/transfer of lease rights by way of sale in favour of plaintiff with regard to house no 5552/1 Cat IV Modern Housing Complex manimajra chandigar And Suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendants their agents restraining the defendants their agents or servant or any other person on teir behalf from alienating the suit property ie House no 5552/1 Cat/IV Modern Housing complex Manimajra Chandigarh to any other third party except the plaintiff and further from interfering into the peaceful possession of the plaintiff in the suit property occupied by him being a owner in possession for the last more than 28 years And also filed an application under section 80 2 CPC for grant of leave to file the present suit without serving prior notice upon the defendant no3</p> | RAJINDER SINGH VS JAI BHAGWAN CHHACHHIA | Arguments | 16-10-25 |
|----|----------------|---|---|-----------|----------|

|    |                 |   |   |                         |          |
|----|-----------------|---|---|-------------------------|----------|
| 65 | MCA DJ/14/2024  | MCADJ/14/2024 Anand Kumar Vs Advisor to the Administrator HNo 4662 2 Sector 38 W Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the Appeal under Section 54 of the Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 As extended to the Union Territory of Chandigarh against the eviction order dated 11/1/2024 passed by Respondent No 3 And Further praying that during the pendency of the appeal the operation of the impugned order dated 11/01/2024 may kindly be stayed Or Any other relief which this Hon ble Court may deem fit in the facts and circumstances of the case And filed an Application for staying the operation of the impugned eviction order dated 11/01/2024 during the pendency of the accompanying appeal | ANAND KUMAR VS ADVISER TO THE ADMINISTRATOR | Consideration           | 16-10-25 |
| 66 | CS CJ/2246/2024 | Restraining the defendant no. 01 and his agents from raising any construction over the suit property and selling, alienating, mortgaging or transfer of DU No. 645, Sector 38-A, UT, Chandigarh.  | DEEPAK VS JASVIR                            | Reply And Consideration | 16-10-25 |

|    |                 |   |                                 |            |          |
|----|-----------------|---|---------------------------------|------------|----------|
| 67 | CS CJ/1246/2024 | <p>CSCJ/1246/2024 titled as Raghbir Singh Vs Joginder Singh HNo 5361/1 Modern Housing Complex Manimajra Sector 13 Chandigarh</p> <p>The Plaintiff has filed an Civil Suit for Declaration to the effect that the will dated 24/02/.223 as mentioned in petition alleged to be executed by late Smt Raj Rani registered at SNo 1920 Book No 3 Vol No I with Sub/Registrar Chandigarh in favouR of defendant NO 1 is a fabricated document and is outcome of fraud and misrepresentation therefore same may be declared as illegal document and further declaration that the properties left by late Smt Raj Rani moveable and immovable have been inherited by the plaintiff and defendants no 1 to 3 in equal share all being the class I legal heirs of late Smt. Raj Rani Further Suit for Permanent Injunction restraining the defendant no. 1 from transferring and parting with the possession of the HNo 5361/1 Modern Housing Complex Manimajra Section 13 Chandigarh and gold in the possession of the defendant no.1 to third person by way of sale mortgage and gift and not to withdraw and misappropriate the money laying in the banks in the name of late Smt Raj RanI Further Civil Suit for partition of the H.No 5361/1 Modern Housing Complex Manimajra Chandigarh amounts of FDRs laying wit SBi Sector 30 Chandigarh and gold in the possession of the defendant no 1 amongst plaintiff and defendants no 1 to 3 in equal share If partition is not 0possible the house in dispute be ordered to be sold in public auction and proceed be divided amongst the plaintiff and defendant no1 to 3 in equal share</p> | RAGHBIR SINGH VS JOGINDER SINGH | Appearance | 16-10-25 |
|----|-----------------|---|---------------------------------|------------|----------|

|    |                 |   |  |  |          |
|----|-----------------|---|--|--|----------|
| 68 | CS CJ/659/2025  | Suit for declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is in owner in possession of Flat No. 2630/1, Sector 47, Chandigarh, on the basis of WILL dated 16-04-2015 executed by the mother of the plaintiff vide which the said flat was bequeathed in favour of the plaintiff.  | TEJVIR SINGH DUA VS AJAY PAL SINGH                           | Written Statement/Reply/Replication/Considration | 16-10-25 |
| 69 | CS CJ/1978/2022 | CSCJ/1978/2022 SANDEEP MARWAHA VS SUDESH MARWAHA DU NO 5520-1 MHC Manimajra   | SANDEEP MARWAHA VS SUDESH MARWAHA                            | Arguments.                                       | 17-10-25 |
| 70 | MCA DJ/77/2024  | For staying the operation of impugned order dated 11-10-2024 and 28-11-2024 (eviction order) in respect of Small Flat No. 2618/2, Sector 49, UT, Chandigarh.  | RAM KISHAN VS THE SECRETARY                                  | Reply And Consideration                          | 17-10-25 |
| 71 | MCA DJ/70/2024  | Applicaton for putting up case file and for pre-poning the date of hearing of appeal to some early date (Main Case Misc. DJ/740/2024)   | RAM KISHAN VS THE SECRETARY CHANDIGARH                       | Reply And Consideration                          | 17-10-25 |
| 72 | ARB/142/2024    | To Challenge the Arbitration Award dated 08-11-2023 in district court for the work of construction of 240 Two Bedroom Flats Group-IV in Sector 63 Chandigarh in Arbitration Case M/s BL Mehta Construction Pvt Ltd Vs Chandigarh Housing Board  | CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD VS M/S B.L MEHTA PROJECTS PVT. LTD. | Arguments  | 17-10-25 |
| 73 | EXE/87/2024     | The plaintiff has filed the Execution Application Under Section 36 of Arbitration and Conciliation Act 1996 (Amended) read with order 21 and Section 151 of CPC for the Enforcement and Execution of the Arbitral Award dated 08-11-2023 passed by the Ld. Arbitrator Sh Hari Singh regarding construction of 240 Two Bedroom Flats GROUP-IV (Composite Work) in Sector-63 Chandigarh | M/S B. L MEHTA PROJECTS PVT. LTD VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD | Notice And Record                                | 17-10-25 |
| 74 | CS CJ/2086/2024 | Suit for declaration that plaintiff is the absoulre owner in possession of House No. 232, Daddu Majra Colony, Chandigarh on the basis of WILL dated 28-06-1986.   | LAKHBIR SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD                    | Arguments  | 17-10-25 |

|    |                 |  |                       |                         |          |
|----|-----------------|--|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------|
| 75 | CS CJ/1421/2024 | <p>CSCJ/1421/2024 Geeta VS The Chairman CHB DU No 2221 Small flats Maloya UT Chandigarh The Appellant has filed an Application under section 67 of Haryana Housing Board Rules read with section 802 CPC for grant of leave to file the present suit without serving notice under section 802 CPC upon the defendants And filed a suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant no 1 not to dispossess the plaintiff from the premises ie H No 2221 Small flats Maloya UT Chandigarh where the plaintiff is residing and in possession of said house and also issued direction to the defendant no1 that in the event of vacation of said premises alternative site may kindly be allotted to the plaintiff for her residence And filed a suit for mandatory injunction directing defendant no 2 to return the household articles ie washing machine fridge TV and other household articles on 20/08/2024 which has been forcibly taken by them without giving any notice to the plaintiff or showing any order of any authority/ Court Any other order of directions which this Honble Court may deem fit be passed in favour of the plaintiff and against the defendants</p> | GEETA VS THE CHAIRMAN | Reply And Consideration | 17-10-25 |
|----|-----------------|--|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------|

|    |                 |  |                       |                         |          |
|----|-----------------|--|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------|
| 76 | CS CJ/1421/2024 | <p>CSCJ/1421/2024 Geeta VS The Chairman CHB DU No 2221 Small flats Maloya UT Chandigarh The Appellant has filed an Application under section 67 of Haryana Housing Board Rules read with section 802 CPC for grant of leave to file the present suit without serving notice under section 802 CPC upon the defendants And filed a suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant no 1 not to dispossess the plaintiff from the premises ie H No 2221 Small flats Maloya UT Chandigarh where the plaintiff is residing and in possession of said house and also issued direction to the defendant no1 that in the event of vacation of said premises alternative site may kindly be allotted to the plaintiff for her residence And filed a suit for mandatory injunction directing defendant no 2 to return the household articles ie washing machine fridge TV and other household articles on 20/08/2024 which has been forcibly taken by them without giving any notice to the plaintiff or showing any order of any authority/ Court Any other order of directions which this Honble Court may deem fit be passed in favour of the plaintiff and against the defendants</p> | GEETA VS THE CHAIRMAN | Reply And Consideration | 17-10-25 |
|----|-----------------|--|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------|

|    |             |  |   |                         |          |
|----|-------------|--|---|-------------------------|----------|
| 77 | CA/135/2024 | <p>CA/135/2024 Datar Singh vs Chandigarh Housing Board DU No 4315 Sector 39W Maloya Colony Chandigarh The Appellant has filed an Application under section 151 CPC seeking permission from this Hon ble Court for placing on record Annexures A1 to A11 and further seeking exemption from filing certified copies of the same however the photostat and downloaded copies of the same may very kindly be allowed to be placed on record in the interest of justice And filed an application u/s 54 2 of the Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 as extended to Chandigarh read with section 151 CPC for the stay of the operation of impugned order dated 12/07/2024 ANNEXURE P10 passed by the respondent o 2 whereby the eviction of the appellant has been ordered from the premises ie 4315 sector 39 west Maloya Colony Chandigarh within one month from the date of receiving of the notice dated 12/07/2024 hence the same be stayed during the pendency of the present appeal and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the said impugned order may also be stayed And filed an appeal us 54 1 of the Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 as extended to Chandigarh against the order dated 12/07/2024 Annexure A10 passed by respondent number 2 whereby eviction of the appellant has been ordered from the premises ie DU No 4315 sector 39 west Maloya Colony Chandigarh within one month from the date of service of the notice Earlier the case was fixed on 21/08/2024 before the Hon ble District Court Chandigarh Advocate Sh Manoj Kumar was instructed to attend/defend the court case on behalf of Chandigarh Housing Board</p> | DATAR SINGH VS<br>CHANDIGARH HOUSING<br>BOARD | Reply And Consideration | 17-10-25 |
|----|-------------|--|---|-------------------------|----------|

|    |                 |   |   |  |          |
|----|-----------------|---|---|--|----------|
| 78 | CS CJ/1523/2024 | CSCJ/1523/2024 Gurnam Singh vs Rajesh Kumar Gupta HNo 3220 Sector 40 D Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed an Suit for declaration to the effect that the GPA executed by Sh Raghubir Singh registered at Sr No 3620 Book No 4 Volume No 157 Page No 58 on 28 July 1994 in the office of Sub Registrar Chandigarh in favour of Sh Rajesh Kumar Gupta is a Legal valid and genuine GPA and thereafter Sh Rajesh Kumar Gupta further executed Sub General Power of Attorney in favour of Sh Gurnam Singh registered at Sr No 86 Book No 4 Volume No 274 on 11 April 2005 in the office of Sub Registrar Chandigarh is a legal valid and genuine Sub GPA and on the basis of said SubGPA dated 11/04/2005 Sh Gurnam Singh has become the sole and exclusive owner of Cheap House no 3220 Sector 40 D Chandigarh wef 11/04/2005 AND Suit for Mandatory Injunction directing the defendants No 3 4 to transfer the ownership of Cheap House No 3220 Sector 40D Chandigarh in favour of plaintiff And filed an Application under Section 80(2) CPC for exemption from issuance of advance Notice to the defendant no 3 4 | GURNAM SINGH VS RAJESH KUMAR GUPTA              | Notice And Record                                  | 17-10-25 |
| 79 | MCA DJ/5/2025   | To set aside the eviction order dated 12-12-2024 in respect of DU No. 2765/2, 47-C, UT, Chandigarh.   | RADHA THAKUR VS CHAIRMAN                        | Reply And Consideration                            | 17-10-25 |
| 80 | CS CJ/495/2025  | DU No. 3267, Sargodha Housing Board Society, Sector 50-D, UT, Chandigarh.   | ANUBHAV VERMA VS THE MANAGER/AUTHORIZED OFFICER | Written Statement/Reply/Replication/ Consideration | 17-10-25 |
| 81 | CS CJ/1795/2025 | Only Summon   | LOKESH VS SMT SHOBHA WATI                       | Fresh Case   | 17-10-25 |
| 82 | CS CJ/1953/2025 | Restraining the defendants from interfering into the peaceful possession of Flat No. 2561/3, Maloya, UT, Chandigarh allotted under ARHCs and also for stay of cancellation order dated 22-08-2025.  | FAQIR CHAND VS UNION OF INDIA                   | Reply And Consideration                            | 17-10-25 |

|    |                 |  |  |                         |          |
|----|-----------------|--|--|-------------------------|----------|
| 83 | MCA DJ/81/2024  | Setting aside cancellation/eviction order dated 21-11-2024 in respect of DU No. 2230, Sector 45-C, UT, Chandigarh.   | DES RAJ VS CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICE          | Reply And Consideration | 18-10-25 |
| 84 | CS CJ/1283/2025 | Family Dispute, Small Flat No. 4660/2, Sector 38-W and Small Flat No. 2686/3, Sector 49, UT, Chandigarh  | MEENU VS VINOD                             | Fresh Case              | 18-10-25 |
| 85 | CS CJ/536/2020  | Civil Suit seeking transfer of 1-1/5th Share of DU No. 1217/1, Sector 40-B, Chandigarh.  | RAVI KUMAR VS KAMALA DEVI                  | Evidence                | 23-10-25 |
| 86 | CS CJ/1439/2021 | Suit for Declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is entitled to get ownership of Dwelling Unit No 445/B, Sector-61, Chandigarh  | BALVINDER KAUR VS GENERAL PUBLIC           | Civil Evidence          | 23-10-25 |
| 87 | CS CJ/400/2021  | In this matter the plaintiff had filed suit for declaration to the effect that the plaintiff and the defendant No.2 are co-owners of H.No.2156/1, Sector 40-C, Chandigarh being Class-I legal heirs of deceased Smt.Suridner Kanta who died on 6.12.2020 and for declaration to the effect that the Def.No.1 is not entitled to get any share in above house as he has been disowned by the deceased. And for mandatory injunction directing the defendant No.,1 to vacate and handover the vacant possession of house. And Suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendant No.3, its officials, representatives etc. be restrained from transferring the right/title of said house. | SANTOSH KUMAR VS SHIV KUMAR                | Evidence                | 23-10-25 |
| 88 | CA/130/2022     | CA/130/2022 Rambir Singh Toor vs Pritam Singh H.NO 5929, Duplex, Ph-III, Mordern Residential Complex, Manimajra The Plaintiff has filed the Civil appeal under section 96 cpc against the order dated 25.08.2022 where by sh. Tejpratap Singh Randhawa, LD. civil judge, rejected the plaint of the civil suit no.83 of 2018 titled Rambir Singh Toor v/s Pritam Singh Randhawa and others in terms of order 7, rule 11 (d) of the code of civil procedure.  | RAMBIR SINGH TOOR VS PRITAM SINGH RANDHAWA | Arguments               | 23-10-25 |

|    |                |   |   |                         |          |
|----|----------------|---|---|-------------------------|----------|
| 89 | CS CJ/928/2022 | Civil Suit No. CSCJ/928/2022 titled as Susmita Kashyap VS Rajeev kashyap and Others before the Civil Judge (Jr. Division) Chandigarh.(5801/38 (West))   | SUSMITA KASHYAP VS RAJEEV KASHYAP                 | Reply And Consideration | 23-10-25 |
| 90 | CS CJ/486/2023 | Seema Sood Vs Sanjay Sood, CSCJ/486/2023, Du No 2149/2, Sector 45-C, CHD  | SEEMA SOOD VS SANJAY SOOD                         | Consideration           | 23-10-25 |
| 91 | CA/192/2024    | <p>The Appellant has filed an application for staying the operation of order dated 04-10-2024 received on 09-10-2024 during the pendency of the present appeal.</p> <p>And filed an appeal under section 54 of the Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 (as extended to UT Chandigarh) against the impugned order passed by respondent vide endst no 905 dated 04-10-2024 received on 09-10-2024 whereby the Respondent illegally and arbitrarily cancelled the allotment of Flat No 251 C, Small Flats, Phase 2, Ramdarbar, Chandigarh</p> | RUPESH KUMAR VS SECRETARY-CUM-COMPETENT AUTHORITY | Reply And Consideration | 23-10-25 |

|    |                |  |  |   |          |
|----|----------------|--|--|---|----------|
| 92 | CS CJ/899/2024 | <p>CSCJ/899/2024 titled as Tajinder Pal Singh vs Ghan Sham Lal &amp; Others House no 3304 Ground Floor Sector 45/D Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed an Application under order 39 rule 1 and 2 read with Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure 1908 for Grant of Temporary Injunction Restraining the Defendants No 1 and her agents Representative Successors Servants Legal heirs etC from disposing off the suit property in question by way of sale mortgage renting out etc and from dispossessing the plaintiffs from the suit property ie Dwelling unit no 3304 Ground floor Sector 45/d Chandigarh till the pendency of the suit/plaint And Interim Stay may also be granted in favor of the plaintiffs till the disposal of the present application And Filed an Suit for specific performance of the Agreement to Sell dated 10/09/2004 executed by defendant no 1 SH Ghan Sham Lal now deceased Whereby he entered into agreement to sell, in respect of Dwelling unit No. 3304 LIG Flat Ground Floor Sector 45/D Chandigarh in favor of Sh Manjit Singh son of Gulab Singh resident of HNo 3025 Sector 27d Chandigarh which included their heirs assignees executors successors legal representatives and administrations to the extent of 100 share with a total consideration price of Rs 350000 as full and final settlement to the said agreement to sell dated 10/09/2004 which was duly paid by Sh Manjit Singh against the receipt dated 10/04/1991 and Sh Ghan Sham Lal also executed a registered General Power of Attorney dated 10/09/2004 registered at serial number 4559 Book no 4 volume No 267 pm 10/09/2004 and a registered Will dated 10/09/2004 registered at serial no 2777 Book no 3 volume no 274 on 10/09/2004 and an affidavit dated 10/09/2004 acknowledging the execution of aforementioned document And file an Suit for declaration to the effect that the plaintiffs are owner in possession in property ie Dwelling unit no 3304 LIG Flat Ground Floor Sector 45/d is liable to be transferred lease hold right of property in favor of plaintiffs on the basis of an agreement to sell a registered will a registered GPA and an affidavit executed by Sh Ghan Sham Lal in favour of Sh Manjit Singh Which included plaintiffs being his sons</p> | TAJINDER PAL SINGH VS<br>GHAN SHAM LAL | Written<br>Statement/Reply/Replication/<br>Considration | 23-10-25 |
|----|----------------|--|--|---|----------|

|    |                        |  |                                |                   |          |
|----|------------------------|--|--------------------------------|-------------------|----------|
|    |                        | <p>/heirs assigness executors Successors legal representatives and administrators along with other supporting documents on account of the intestate demise of Sh Manjit Sngh And Suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant no 2 to transfer the lease hold rights of the said dwelling unit no 3304 LIG FlaT Ground Floor Sector 45/d in favour of plaintiffs on the basis of documents mentioned above And Suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendant no 1 legal heirs of late Sh Ghan Sham Lal their associates Legal representatives agents successors etc from alienating and mortgaging the suit property and further restraining them from interfering into peaceful possession of plaintiffs over the suit property and from forcibly dispossessing the plaintiffs from the premises in question till the final disposal of the present suit And also directing the defendant no. 2 Chandigarh Housing Board to issue NOC and further transferring the lease hold right of property in question in favour of plaintiffs on the basis of the aforementioned Agreement to sell registered will registered GPA an affidavit and other supporting documents executing by late Sh Ghan Sham Lal in favour of Sh Manjit Singh which included plaintiffs being his son shei</p> |                                |                   |          |
| 93 | CIVIL<br>MISC/180/2024 | Civil Misc/180/2024 in Civil Misc 372/2023 in PROB/14/2021 titled as Neha Masi vs General Public HNo 748 Dadu Majra Colony Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed an Application on behalf of petitioner for restoration of the application for clarification of the order in the probate petition which was dismissed on 28/03/2024   | NEHA MASI VS GENERAL<br>PUBLIC | Notice And Record | 23-10-25 |

|     |                 |  |  |                         |          |
|-----|-----------------|--|--|-------------------------|----------|
| 94  | ARB/67/2024     | Application under Section 39 (2) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act 1996 for giving direction to the respondent No 1 Chandigarh Arbitration Centre for delivering the Arbitral Award pronounced by Sole Arbitrator Sh Jagdish Singh Khushdil on 25-05-2023 in the interest of Justicesd3ed4 | CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD VS CHANDIGARH ARBITRATION CENTRE  | Reply And Consideration | 23-10-25 |
| 95  | ARB/67/2024     | Application under Section 39 (2) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act 1996 for giving direction to the respondent No 1 Chandigarh Arbitration Centre for delivering the Arbitral Award pronounced by Sole Arbitrator Sh Jagdish Singh Khushdil on 25-05-2023 in the interest of Justicesd3ed4 | CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD VS CHANDIGARH ARBITRATION CENTRE  | Reply And Consideration | 23-10-25 |
| 96  | MCA DJ/113/2025 | Against eviction order dated 12-06-2025 passed against Small Flat No. 6711-B, Sector 56, UT, Chandigarh, due to non-payment.   | RAJ PAL ALIAS RAJPAL VS SECRETARY CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD | Fresh Case              | 23-10-25 |
| 97  | ARB/76/2025     | Challenging the award dated 25-02-2025 for construction of 324 One BR Flats at Sector 63.  | CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD VS M/S BL MEHTA PROJECT PVT LTD   | Notice And Record       | 23-10-25 |
| 98  | CS CJ/723/2025  | Suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendant no. 1 from alienating, gift, mortgage, selling etc. the Flat No. 7-B, 2BR, Sector 51-A, UT, Chandigarh.  | MOHD. SADIK VS DEEPAK MALIK                                | Appearance              | 23-10-25 |
| 99  | MCA DJ/78/2025  | Appeal against eviction order dated 09-05-2025 in respect of Small Flat No. 6536-A, Sector 56, UT, Chandigarh.   | JAGDISH PRASAD VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD                 | Arguments               | 23-10-25 |
| 100 | CA/495/2018     | Appeal filed by the appellant against order dated 8.5.2018 passed by Ms. Saloni Gupta, CJD, Chandigarh (Regn. No. 1517/2014) regarding transfer of ownership of DU No. 5371/1, Cat-IV, Phase-II, Manimajra, UT, Chandigarh..   | SONA SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD                     | Arguments               | 24-10-25 |

|     |                 |   |   |                         |          |
|-----|-----------------|---|---|-------------------------|----------|
| 101 | CS CJ/930/2020  | The petitioner has filed civil suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendant No.1 from changing the basis structure or making any sort of alteration in the suit property i.e. h.nO.2238, sector 40-C (EWS category), Chandigarh and suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant No.2 to dismental the illegal alteration made by tbhe defendant No.1 and also to impose upon penalty for raing illegal construction/alteration in the suit property .  | SHIV KUMAR VS INDER KUMAR                 | Evidence                | 24-10-25 |
| 102 | CA/34/2020      | Appeal filed by the Appellant against decree dated 12.12.2019 passed by Ms. Meenakshi Gupta, CJD, Chandigarh whereby suit of the appellant has been dismissed in respect of allot/tranfer of DU No. 413, sector 43-A, Chandigarh.   | GURJEET KAUR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD  | Arguments               | 24-10-25 |
| 103 | CS CJ/2242/2022 | CSCJ/2242/2022-Rajinder Singh Vs Mohinder Singh. DU No. 4578, Maloya Colony, Chandigarh   | RAJINDER SINGH VS MOHINDER SINGH          | Reply And Consideration | 24-10-25 |
| 104 | CA/12/2023      | CA/12/2023, Sushma Bhai and Ors. Vs Ranjana Suri and Ors. DU No. 1504/1, Sector 29-B, Chandigarh. The Plaintiff has filed the CIVIL APPEAL UNDER SECTION 96 of CPC for setting aside the Judgment and decree dated 29.11.2022, passed by the Court of Sh. Bharat, HCS(J), Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Chandigarh in Civil Suit No. 524 of 08.03.2016, whereby the suit of the Plaintiffs was dismissed with costs. Claim in Appeal for setting aside the judgment and decree dated 29.11.2022 and for decreeing the suit of the Plaintiffs with costs. | SUSHMA BHAI VS RANJANA SURI               | Arguments               | 24-10-25 |
| 105 | CS CJ/496/2023  | CSCJ/496/2023, Rajdeep Singh Vs Chandigarh Housing Board DU No 4987, Maloya Colony, Chandigarh  | RAJDEEP SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD | Reply And Consideration | 24-10-25 |

|     |                 |   |   |                         |          |
|-----|-----------------|---|---|-------------------------|----------|
| 106 | CS CJ/844/2024  | CSCJ/844/2024 Ruchi Arora Vs Chandigarh Housing Board HNo 5457 Modern Housing Complex Sector 13 Manimajra Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the SUIT for declaration to the effect that the impugned Challan dated 12/04/2024 Annexure P/1 and impuged Notice/cum/demolition order dated 25/04/2024 Annexure P/2 issued by defendants no 3/4 is quite illegal un constitutional arbitrary one discriminatory unilateral and even in utter violation of principles of natural justice hence liable to be set/aside AND SUIT for permanent injunction restraining the defendants through their Agents Representatives Employees Servants/Assignees or through police etc causing any sort of interference in peaceful lawful and continuous possession of the plaintiff over DU No 5457 MHC Sector /13 Manimajra Chandigarh hereinafter referred to as suit property and further restraining the defendants from demolishing any part of the above said DU of the plaintiff under the garb of NoticecumDemolition order dated 25/04/2024 and further restraining the defendants from committing any such tortuous act in future till the pendency of the present suit, in the interest of Justice AND/OR Pass any other or direction which this Hon ble Court may deems fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the present case in the interest of Justice | RUCHI ARORA VS THE CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD           | Reply And Consideration | 24-10-25 |
| 107 | MCA DJ/27/2025  | Appeal filed to set aside the order dated 18-02-2025 passed to vacate the Small flat No. 2571-2, Sector 49, UT, Chandigarh  | MANISH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD                    | Arguments               | 24-10-25 |
| 108 | MCA DJ/122/2025 | Appeal against the eviction order dated 12-06-2025 passed due to non-payment in respect of small flat no. 984-C, Dhanas, UT, Chandigarh.  | DURGA VS THE SECRETARY CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD       | Fresh Case              | 24-10-25 |
| 109 | MCA DJ/133/2025 | Setting aside the eviction order dated 21-07-2025 passed due to building violation in respect of DU No. 1738/2, Sector 43-B, UT, Chandigarh.  | GOVIND KAUR VS THE SECRETARY CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD | Arguments               | 24-10-25 |

|     |                  |   |  |                     |          |
|-----|------------------|---|--|---------------------|----------|
| 110 | CS CJ/1683/2025  | Summon without petition   | HARJEET BEDI VS State of<br>UT Chandigarh      | Reply/Consideration | 24-10-25 |
| 111 | MISC DJ/743/2025 | Appeal has been filed by the appellant to stay and set aside the eviction order dated 15-06-2023 in respect of DU No. 939/1, Sector 40-A, UT, Chandigarh. | HARI OM SONI VS<br>CHANDIGARH HOUSING<br>BOARD | Fresh Case          | 24-10-25 |

## Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon'ble Permanent Lok Adalat (PUS) U.T. Chandigarh from 11.10.2025 to 25.10.2025

| Sr. No | Case No.            | Brief Subject Matter / Prayer  | Petitioner And Respondent   | Status      | Hearing date |
|--------|---------------------|--|---|-------------|--------------|
| 1      | APPLICATION/36/2024 | The Plaintiff has filed the Application under Section 22-C of the Public Utility Service Act 1986 for directing the respondents for refund of excess amount charges to the tune of Rs 263015 alongwith interest at 18 percent in respect of dwelling unit no 5025-1 MHC Manimajra Chandigarh and It is also further direct to pay litigation expenses and it was also further prayer that the Applicant may kindly be compensated for causing mental harassment and financial loss | Raman Singla VS Chandigarh Housing Board and others (House No 5025/1, MHC, Manimajra, Chandigarh) | Reply filed | 15 Oct 2025  |
| 2      | APPLICATION/6/2025  | The Plaintiff has filed the Application under Section 22-C of the Legal Services Authority Act 1987 for redirecting the respondents to allot the residential dwelling unit Chandigarh Small Flats amendment Scheme 2009 in lieu of Jhuggi No 511 Janta Colony Sector 25 Chandigarh as the applicant is fully eligible and entitled to the allotment of the dwelling units as per the above said scheme   | Gopal VS Chandigarh Housing Board (Jhuggi No. 511, Janta Colony, Sector - 25, Chandigarh)         | Reply filed | 17 Oct 2025  |

|   |                     |  |  |             |             |
|---|---------------------|--|--|-------------|-------------|
| 3 | APPLICATION/16/2025 | The Plaintiff has filed the Application for interim injunction restraining the respondent from proceeding further and taking any further action above notice and The Plaintiff has also filed the Application Under Section 22 c of the Legal Services Authorities Act 1987 for restraining the respondent authorities from taking any coercive action against the applicant on the basis of Show Cause Notice dated 08.05.2024 and for issuing any further similar notice pertaining to dwelling unit no. 3584 Sector 46-C Chandigarh | Kamal Kanta VS Chandigarh Housing Board (DU NO. 3584, Sector 46/C, Chandigarh).                  | Reply filed | 20 Oct 2025 |
| 4 | APPLICATION/09/2025 | The Plaintiff has filed the Application under Section 80 sub section 2 CPC for the exemption of serving the advance notice to the defendant no. 3 i.e. The Estate Officer of Estate Office Sector- 17, U.T. Chandigarh. The Plaintiff has also filed the Application Under Section 22 c of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987 for the settlement of dispute detailed below and in the event of the parties failure to the effect of conciliation for making an award on merits.  | Shoban Singh VS Chandigarh Housing Board and another (DU NO. 3998, Mauli Jagran, U.T. Chandigarh | Reply filed | 22 Oct 2025 |

## Advance List of Court Cases pending before Hon'ble Chief Secretary, U.T. Chandigarh from 11.10.2025 to 25.10.2025

| Sr. No | Case No.           | Brief Subject Matter / Prayer  | Petitioner And Respondent  | Status      | Hearing date |
|--------|--------------------|--|--|-------------|--------------|
| 1      | REVISION/22/2023   | Revision Petition 22 of 2023 Vijay Singh Vs CHB, under Section 72-B of Haryana Housing Board Act, 1971 (as applicable to UT Chandigarh) against the order dated 23.2.2023 passed by the Chandigarh Housing Board, Chandigarh in respect of Dwelling Unit No. 1096, Phase-II, Ram Darbar, Chandigarh. | Vijay Singh VS Chandigarh Housing Board  | Reply filed | 23 Oct 2025  |
| 2      | REVISION/28/2023   | Honble Advisor Court Case RP 28 of 2023 Ranjit Singh Vs Chandigarh Housing Board, Du No 1096, Phase-II, Ram Darbar Chandigarh  | Ranjit Singh VS Chandigarh Housing Board   | Reply filed | 23 Oct 2025  |
| 3      | REVISION/----/2023 | The Plaintiff has filed the Revision Petition under Section 17 e of the Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006 against the order dated 17-10-2023 passed by the Appellate Authority UT Chandigarh in respect of Flat No 2690 Sector 49 Chandigarh  | Rekha Rani VS The Secretary Estates-cum-Appellate Authority UT, Chandigarh (Flat No. 2690, Sector 49, Chandigarh). | Reply filed | 23 Oct 2025  |

