

Previous List of Court Cases pending before the Hon’ble Punjab and Haryana High Court, UT, Chandigarh from 19.01.2026 to 31.01.2026

S. no.	Case No.	BRIEF OF THE CASE	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date	Next Date
1	CWP/5465/2020	Quashing the eviction order dated 15.01.2020 - DU No. 879, Village Dhanas, UT, Chandigarh - Building Violation Matter.	NASIB CHAND GUPTA VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND OTHERS	PENDING ADJOURNMENT	19 Jan 2026	19.03.2026
2	CWP/3633/2021	The petitioners have filed a petition under Article 226,227 of the Constitution of India for Issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents for providing shelter to the petitioners for providing shelter to the petitioners in the light of the facts mentioned in the petition in the interest of justice. And/Or Directing the respondents to provide flats to the petitioners being the malafide and eligible candidates under “Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme” dated 06.11.2006 as amended upto date (AnnexureP-3). And/Or Issue a writ for restraining the respondents from allotting tenements to any body under the scheme and keep the same reserved for the petitioners during the pendency of present Civil Writ Petition. And/Or Any other order, writ or direction which this Hon’ble Court may deem fit and proper in facts and circumstances of the case be also issued.	PINKI RANI AND ORS VS UNION TERRITORY, CHANDIGARH AND ORS	PENDING ADJOURNMENT	19 Jan 2026	23.02.2026
3	CWP/31656/2024	The subject cited case was filed by the petitioner Under article 226-227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a suitable writ, order or direction especially in the nature of certiorari quashing the impugned order dated 10-10-2024 impugned order dated 30-10-2023 impugned order dated 22-07-2015 and impugned order dated 18-02-2008 passed by the respondent Nos. 1 to 4 pertaining to flat no 6590-c sector 56 Chandigarh allotted to the petitioners under the rehabilitation scheme known as Chandigarh Small Flat scheme 2006 which are being wholly unjust, arbitrary and illegal And for issuance of writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to open the seal of the small flat no 6590-c sector 56 Chandigarh and to hand over the possession of the flat to the petitioners.	JABAR SINGH AND ANOTHER VS ADVISER TO THE ADMINISTRATOR UT CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	PENDING ADJOURNMENT	19 Jan 2026	23.03.2026

4	CWP/4691/2017	(Oustees Matter) Writ petition filed by the petitioners for quashing the “Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling Units to the Oustees of Chandigarh Scheme, 1996” issued by Respondent No.3 i.e. CHB vide which applications have been invited upto 15.03.2017 for allotment of flats to the eligible oustees of U.T., Chandigarh under Self Financing Housing Scheme on lease hold/free hold basis, the same being directly in conflict of the order/judgement dated 05.12.2013 passed by the Hon’ble High Court in CWP No.14300 of 1996 and connected 46 writ petitions. AND also a writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to allot dwelling units to the petitioner’s strictly in compliance of the order/judgement dated 05.12.2013 passed by the Hon’ble High Court in CWP No.14300 of 1996 and connected 46 writ petitions.	SATBIR SINGH & ORS VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION & ORS	PENDING	20 Jan 2026	23.03.2026
5	FAO-CARB/26/2018	Appeal filed by the petitioner under Section 37 of the Arbitration And Conciliation Act, 1996 against the order dated 30.05.2018 passed by Ms Aradhana Sawhney, Additional District Judge, Chandigarh in Arbitration Case No.69 of 2015	PARSVNATH DEVELOPERS LTD VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ANR	PENDING ADJOURNMENT	20 Jan 2026	20.02.2026
6	CWP/4109/2019	Petition for quashing the order dated 09.11.2017 passed by the screening committee and subsequent order dated 06.02.2018 passed by the appellate authority whereby the claim of the petitioner for allotment of house has been rejected arbitrary and illegally inspite of the petitioner having fulfilled all the conditions which is a clear violation of Article 14 and 16 of the Constitution of India; AND 3.Further for directing the respondent for the claim of the petitioner for allotment of flat under “Chandigarh Small Flat Scheme-2006” having sufficient proofs of his residence as defined under the revised scheme. (Small flat scheme)	SATINDER KUMAR OHJA VS UNION TERRITORY, CHANDIGARH AND ORS.	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	20 Jan 2026	01.04.2026
7	RSA/4176/2019	RSA filed against the orders dated 10.04.2017 in CS/2457/2014 and order dated 09.04.2019 in CA/243/2017 (Declaring the owner of DU No. 923, Phase-II, Ramdarbar, Chandigarh, on the basis of WILL dated 23.03.1995)	TIKKA RAM AND ORS. VS CHIRANJI LAL AND ORS.	PENDING	20 Jan 2026	18.12.2026
8	RSA/4918/2019	DU No. 925, Ram Darbar-Ph-II, Chandigarh)	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD VS CHIRANJI LAL AND ORS	PENDING	20 Jan 2026	18.12.2026
9	CWP/6462/2020	Quashing the impugned order dt. 02.01.2019, order dt. 13.08.2019 and 29.10.2019, Building Violation DU No. 3121/1, 45-D, Chd.	BACHAN SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD THROUGH ITS CHAIRMAN, CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	PENDING ADJOURNMENT	20 Jan 2026	01.04.2026
10	CWP/23511/2023	The petitioner under Article 226 of the Constitution of india for issuance of a wint in the nature of Mandamus directing Respondent No1-Chandigarh Housing Board to act in accordance with its own Order No 02 Dated 03-01-2023 olosing Need Based Changes in Dwelling Units of CHB in relaxation of	KRISHNA GARG VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND OTHERS	PENDING NOTICE	20 Jan 2026	24.03.2026

		Chandigarh Building Rules 2017 and accordingly direct CHB to accept applications under Clouse 22 of aforesaid Order forthwith by bringing into operation the Online Building Plan Approval System so as to enable Petitioner and similarly situated persons (whose Dwelling Units are covered thereunder to apply under the said Clause and get their plans certified under Self Certification Scheme by paying the compounding fee as per the formula provided in Clause 22 itself AND With a further prayer for issuance of a Writ in the nature of Certiorari quashing the action of Respondent No 2- Secretary CHB wherein despite repeated requests of the Petitioner to consider her case under Clause 22 of Order No 02 dated 03-01-2023 as also recorded in his own Order dated 06-10-2023 yet the Secretary in CHB has proceeded further with a more than two year old Show Cause Notice dated 24-05-2021 which was Issued at the time when previous Need Based Change Policy dated 15-02-2019 was in force which in turn stands superseded by Order No 02 dated 03-01-2023 and accordingly he has refused to consider the case of the Petitioner under Clause 22 of Order No 02 or the premise that zoning is required to bring the said Clause into operation which in fact runs contrary to Letter dated 27-09-2023 of Chief Architect Chandigarh Administration wherein he has clarified that zoning is not required and CHB may take further action accordingly While doing so the Secretary CHB has directed the Petitioner to remove the alleged violations mentioned in the aforesaid SCN within a period of 15 days vide Order dated 09-10-2023 AND With a further prayer that the proceedings emanating from the Show Cause Notice dated 24-0502021 and the consequential orders passed by the Secretary CHB Including Order dated 09-10-2023 directing the Petitioner to remove the alleged violations within a period of 15 days may kindly be stayed during pendency of the present Writ Petition		OF MOTION		
11	CWP/19543/2024	On perusal of the contents of the petition it is found that Civil Writ Petition under Article 226 227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a Wilt in the nature of Certiorari for quashing the Impugned Order dated 03-07-2024 passed by Respondent No 2 Secretary CHB vide which her Dwelling Unit - Independent House- No 5459 Sector 38-West Chandigarh has been illegally resumed that too behind her back as she has been permanently residing in Canada since September 2021 The Impugned Order has been passed in furtherance of a Show Cause Notice dated 24-05-2021 which was issued while the erstwhile Need Based Change -NBC -Policy dated 15-02-2019 was in vogue which in turn was superseded in 2023 by the latest NBC Policy dated 03-01-2023 as per Clause 22 of which no building violation can be attributed to the Petitioner AND With a further prayer for issuance of a Writ in the nature of Mandamus directing Respondent No 1-CHB to act in accordance with its own NBC Policy 03-01-2023 allowing Need Based Changes in Dwelling Units of CHB in relaxation of Chandigarh Building Rules -Urban- 2017 and accordingly further direct CHB to accept applications under Clause 22 of aforesaid Policy forthwith by bringing into operation the Online Building Plan Approval System -OBPAS so as to enable Petitioner and similarly situated persons - whose Dwelling Units are covered thereunder to apply thereunder to apply under the said Clause and get the plans certified under Self Certification Scheme by paying the compounding fee as per the formula provided in Clause 22 itself. AND With a further prayer that the operation of Impugned Order dated 03-07-2024 may kindly be kept in abeyance during the pendency of present Writ Petition	MANDEEP KAUR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND OTHERS	PENDING	20 Jan 2026	24.03.2026

12	ARB/708/2025	Arbitration Application filed under section 11-6 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996-as amended up to date - read with Section 151 Civil Procedure Code 1908 filed for appointment of Sole Arbitrator for the adjudication of the dispute-cu-claims arising out of the contract dated 09-04-2021 for illegally cancelling the contract of the applicant with effect from 03-07-2023 without any prior intimation or information	NAWAB SINGH VS EXECUTIVE ENGINEER VI, CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ANOTHER	PENDING	20 Jan 2026	Allowed
13	COCP/2655/2023	Contempt petition under Sections 10 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act for proceeding against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act for willfully and deliberately violating the order dated 15-03-2023 passed by this Hon'ble Court in CWP-No-37168 of 2019, titled as Prabha Sharma and others Vs Chief Administrator UT Chandigarh and others and to punish them	PRABHA SHARMA VS DHARAM PAL, IAS, CHAIRMAN AND ANOTHER	PENDING ADJOURNMENT	21 Jan 2026	26.02.2026
14	CWP/1063/2018	CWP Filed for quashing the impugned order dated 19.09.2017 and for issuance of writ in the nature of ;mandamus directing the official respondents to allot the small flat under the Chandigarh Small Flat Scheme 2006 for which the petitioner is legally entitled but the claim has been rejected on illegal ground. AND further for directing the respondents to make allotment of dwelling unit to the petitioner as having been allotted to the similarly situated persons.	SADANAND PASWAN VS UT OF CHANDIGARH AND ORS.	PENDING AS PER ROSTER	22 Jan 2026	01.04.2026
15	CWP/33028/2018	Civil writ petition under article 226 of the constitution of India for the issuance of a writ of certiorari for quashing order of cancellation of the swelling unit dated 20.08.2015 (annexure P 12) passed by chief executive officer Chandigarh Housing board, the order dated 06.04.2017 (annexure P 14) passed by the chairman dismissing the appeal by a non speaking order, the order dated 13.11.2017 (annexure P 16) passed by the board by a non-speaking order and for quashing the final order dated 09.1.02018 (annexure P 18) passed by the advisor to administrator, UT Chandigarh AND for setting aside the resumption proceedings illegally initiated by the respondents, and as the resumption proceedings have been initiated after a period of 10 years, by issuing show cause notice dated 30.11.2009 seeking cancellation of the allotment letter dated 07.01.1991, whereas the restriction was against alienation of the property for a period of 10 years, AND for quashing the illegal action of the respondents in cancelling the allotment of the dwelling unit allotted to the petitioner and the subsequent act of sealing the dwelling unit of the petitioner, AND for the issuance of writ of mandamus directing the respondent to restore the allotment made in favor of the petitioner vide letter of allotment dated 07.01.1991 and to remove the sealing, illegally made, AND directing the respondent to forever from enforcing the order of resumption or the order of eviction, AND for the issuance of any other appropriate writ, order for directions.	SATPAL VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	PENDING ADJOURNMENT	22 Jan 2026	01.04.2026
16	CWP/21451/2018	For quashing the eviction notice dated 05.11.2015 passed by the respondent and subsequent order dated 15.01.2018 against DU No. 2119, Ambadkar Colony, Dhanas, UT, Chandigarh	SAT PAL VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	PENDING	22 Jan 2026	01.04.2026

17	COCP/2195/2025	Contempt Petition under section 12 of the Contempt of court Act 1971 against the respondents as they have not decided the representation dated 20-04-2022 made by the petitioners as per the order of Honorable High Court in CWP No-11844 of 2018 on dated 31-03-2022. In which it is directed to petitioners to made an representation within a period of 15 days In case representation is filed within the said period, then respondent no- 3 will decide the same accordance with law within next three months That till date the representation is not decided by the Respondent no 3 Hence, punishment be given to respondents	DHARAM SINGH AND OTHERS VS SH RAJEEV VERMA AND OTHERS	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	22 Jan 2026	16.02.2026
18	CWP/1076/2019	(The Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006) Writ Petition filed for setting aside the order dated 20.04.2018 passed by the appellate authority-cum-CEO, CHB and directing the respondents to allow the petitioner to apply under the Chandigarh Small Flat Scheme 2006 for which he is fully eligible and is legally entitled. And further prayed for directing the respondent No.3 to consider the case of the petitioner for allotment of a small flat under the Small Flat Scheme 2006 within a period of one month.	BALI KARAN VS UT CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	PENDING ADJOURNMENT	27 Jan 2026	08.04.2026
19	CWP/23095/2019	Writ petition filed by the petitioner for quashing impugned order dated 03.11.2016, order in Appeal Dated 06.03.2017, Order in further appeals dated 27.07.2017, order in Revision Petition dated 09.04.2019 and Order dated 12.07.2019. 3.And for issuance of writ of mandamus against the respondents to permit the petitioner to deposit compounding charges in terms of latest policy dated 15.07.2019. 4.And further directions to grant status quo in respect of the property of the petitioner as granted on 25.09.2017 in the connected case CWP No.21780 of 2017 by a Coordinate Bench of this Court. (3124/1, Sector 45-D, Chandigarh – Building Violation case)	SAZID HUSSAIN VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD THROUGH ITS CHAIRMAN, CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	27 Jan 2026	10.04.2026
20	CWP/18452/2019	CWP filed for quashing the provisions as contained in para (4) (i) and para 4 (ii) of impugned notification dated 14.11.2018 vide which petitioner (Member of Coop. Society) has been asked to pay stamp duty again for issuing sub-conveyance deed to him by 30.06.2019 being last date for the same.	BRIJ MOHAN MAINI VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND OTHERS	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	27 Jan 2026	25.03.2026.
21	CWP/12512/2019	Society Matter.	THE NIRVANA COOPERATIVE HOUSE BUILDING FIRST SOCIETY LTD AND ORS VS UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	PENDING ADJOURNMENT	27 Jan 2026	25.03.2026

22	CWP/35495/2019	Society Matter.	CHAMAN LAL GUPTA AND OTHERS VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND OTHERS	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	27 Jan 2026	25.03.2026
23	CWP/36450/2019	UT Employees Scheme 2008 (The Petitioner filed the petition with pray i) issue order to the respondents to allot the flats to the petitioners under UT Employees Self Financing Scheme of 2008 within a time bound frame in pursuance to draw of lots held on 04.11.2010 and to allot the flats on the same price as depicted in the scheme II) quashing the public notice dated 22.06.2019 vide which the respondents have put an excessive and exorbitant rate at current price without any reasonable nexus with the scheme so floated III) Pass order for staying the operation of the impugned public notice dated 22.06.2019 qua the part of demand of exorbitant/excessive rate of flats or in the alternative directions be issued to the respondents to accept the old rates subject to final decision of the writ petition in the interest of justice).	PARVEEN GUPTA VS UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	27 Jan 2026	23.03.2026
24	CWP/36108/2019	UT Employees Scheme 2008 (The Petitioner filed the petition with pray i) issue order to the respondents to allot the flats to the petitioners under UT Employees Self Financing Scheme of 2008 within a time bound frame in pursuance to draw of lots held on 04.11.2010 and to allot the flats on the same price as depicted in the scheme II) quashing the public notice dated 22.06.2019 vide which the respondents have put an excessive and exorbitant rate at current price without any reasonable nexus with the scheme so floated III) Pass order for staying the operation of the impugned public notice dated 22.06.2019 qua the part of demand of exorbitant/excessive rate of flats or in the alternative directions be issued to the respondents to accept the old rates subject to final decision of the writ petition in the interest of justice).	SACHIN SHARMA VS UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	27 Jan 2026	25.03.2026
25	CWP/12515/2019	Petition filed for quashing the impugned notification dated 14.11.2018 framed by Chd. Admn., (The Pink Rose Coop. Society)	BHAG SINGH AND ORS VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND ORS	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	27 Jan 2026	25.03.2026
26	CWP/32709/2024	Petitioner has filed CWP for quashing the impugned order dated 21-05-2024 whereby the application filed under section 22-C of the Legal Services Authority Act 1987 has been dismissed and directing the respondents to enter the name of Yash Pal Aggarwal in the column of father in the records and documents of DU No 5524/2 Category-II phase I Manimajra	SHASHANK AGGARWAL AND ANOTHER VS PERMANENT LOK ADALAT AND OTHERS	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	27 Jan 2026	28.07.2026
27	CWP/11906/2018	Civil Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for setting aside orders dated 06.02.2018 passed by respondent No.4 (CEO, CHB) vide which the petitioner have decline allotment of tenement despite the fat the name/particular of the petitioner finds mentioned in Bio-Metric Survey at Sr. No.26281 of the list. Further for directing the respondents to consider and allot the petitioner the tenement, as per the Scheme named as Chandigarh Small Flat Scheme 2006" and Amended Scheme of 2009.	SHYAM SUNDER VS UT OF CHANDIGARH AND ORS	PENDING REHEARIN G	28 Jan 2026	Adjourned, next date will be intimated shortly

28	CWP/8352/2022	Allottees of 2BHK, Sector 63, Chandigarh filed petition for quashing the impugned public notice dated 05.10.2021 issued by Respondent No.3 ,Chief Executive Officer, CHB being wrong illegal, arbitrary and contrary to the scheme General Self Finance Housing Scheme 2008 as well as against the Provisions of Haryana Housing Board Act, 1971 as extended to U.T., Chandigarh, the Provision of Chandigarh Housing Board Allotment, Management and Sale of Tenements) Regulations, 1979 and Capital Of Punjab (Development and regulation) Act, 1952 and the Rules and Regulation made thereunder from time to time and Chandigarh Conversion of Residential Leasehold Land Tenure into free hold Land Tenure Rules, 1966 and also the impugned notice is an outcome of colorable exercise of Administrative Powers vested in the respondents.	RESIDENT WELFARE ASSOCIATION VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND OTHERS	PENDING	28 Jan 2026	Adjourned, next date will be intimated shortly
29	CWP/11603/2022	CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing the impugned public notice dated 05.10.2021 issued by Respondent No.3 and 4, the same being wrong illegal, arbitrary and contrary to the scheme General Self Finance Housing Scheme 2008 as well as against the Provisions of Haryana Housing Board Act, 1971 as extended to U.T., Chandigarh, the Provision of Chandigarh Housing Board Allotment, Management and Sale of Tenements) Regulations, 1979 and Capital Of Punjab (Development and regulation) Act, 1952 and the Rules and Regulation made thereunder from time to time and Chandigarh Conversion of Residential Leasehold Land Tenure into free hold Land Tenure Rules, 1966. With further prayer to quash the letter dated 18.04.2022 issued by the Respondent No.3 and 4 vide which it has been directed to the allottee to execute the lease deed.	RESIDENT WELFARE ASSOCIATION VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND OTHERS	PENDING ADJOURNMENT	28 Jan 2026	Adjourned, next date will be intimated shortly
30	CWP/20215/2022	The CWP filed by the petitioners for seeking quashing of Public Notice dated 05-10-2021 issued by respondent No 3 and 4 being wrong illegal arbitrary and contrary to the scheme General Self Finance Housing Scheme 2008 as well as against the Provisions of Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 as extended to U.T., Chandigarh, the Provision of Chandigarh Housing Board Allotment Management and Sale of Tenements Regulations 1979 and Capital Of Punjab Development and regulation Act, 1952 and Chandigarh Conversion of Residential Lease Hold Land Tenure into freehold land Chandigarh Rules 1996. With further prayer seeking directions to respondents to initiate a process to convert the dwelling units from lase hold basis to free hold basis as per the terms and condition of Housing Flat Scheme 2008 in which as per clause v of the VIII of price and Mode of payment wherein it has been specifically stated that applicant shall have the option of converting the lease hold land tenure into free hold land tenure after a period of five years from the date of actual possession of dwelling unit by paying the conversion charges as may be prescribed by the Board at the relevant time and on such terms and conditions as decided by the Board, as per representation dated 19.10.2021 sent by the petitioner, however , the respondent No 3 and 4 did not take any action till today.	RESIDENT WELFARE ASSOCIATION THREE BHK VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND OTHERS	PENDING	28 Jan 2026	Adjourned, next date will be intimated shortly

31	RSA/3412/2023	The appellant has filed the subject cited Regular Second Appeal before the Honorable High Court against the Impugned Judgment and decree dated 17-02-2023 passed by Ld Additional District Judge Chandigarh as well as Judgment and Decree dated 31-03-2017 passed by Ld Civil Judge Chandigarh as vide the judgments as referred herein above, both the Ld Courts below had committed material illegality while decreeing the suit as preferred by respondents No 1 to 3 seeking declaring as well as injunction and both the Courts did not appreciate the facts and material evidence before passing the above referred judgment and the reasons as herein the Impugned Judgments and Decrees passed by the Ld Lower Courts are to be set aside	ANITA VS SHASHI BALA PATHAK AND OTHERS	PENDING	28 Jan 2026	10.03.2026
32	CWP/15226/2019	For directing the respondent authorities to allot one flat to the petitioners under the Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006.	VIJAY PAL VERMA AND ANR VS THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY-CUM-CEO CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ANR	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	29 Jan 2026	20.05.2026
33	CWP/19064/2023	The Petitioner has filed the subject cited petition against the order dated impugned order dated 26.07.2023 (Annexure P11) passed by the Respondent No. 1 thereby rejecting the revision petition filed against the order dated 16.07.2019 passed by the Respondent No. 2 i.e The Chandigarh Housing Board, Chandigarh in respect of L.I.G Dwelling Unit No. 3898/1, Sector - 47D, Chandigarh. And Order dated 16.07.2019 whereby appeal of the Petitioner for restoration and regularization of possession of L.I.G Dwelling Unit No. 3898/1, Sector 47D, Chandigarh was rejected.	Harjit Singh Vs Advisor to the Administrator, U.T., Chandigarh and Others	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	30-Jan-26	Adjourned, next date will be intimated shortly

Previous List of Court Cases pending before the Hon’ble National Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission from 19.01.2026 to 31.01.2026

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date	Next Date
1	RP/1235/2024	First Appeal filed by Chandigarh Housing Board before Honorable National Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission New Delhi for challenging the order dated 30-01-2024 passed by Honorable State Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission UT Chandigarh in Appeal No103 of 2023 in Ashok Bembey Vs Chairman CHB and Others	Chandigarh Housing Board, Chandigarh VS Ashok Kumar Bembey & Another	Pending	20 Jan 2026	26.05.2026
2	RP/1524/2024	Revision Petition filed by the Municipal Corporation against the order dated 30-01-2023 in Appeal No-A-103-2023 of the State Commission Chandigarh	Municipal Corporation Chandigarh VS Ashok Bembey	Pending	20 Jan 2026	26.05.2026
3	NC/EA/158/2016	Execution Application’s filed by the applicants for directions to the Opposite Party to comply with the judgement dated 4.12.2014 (read with Sc Order and the award, as defind above) and pay a	Jyoti Sagar and Another VS PDL and Another	Pending	27 Jan 2026	27.02.2026

		sum of Rs.7,49,250 alongwith interest @24% p.a. from May 20, 2015 till the date of its realization, to the petitioner/applicant AND Opposite Party 2 fails to pay the amount, issue of warrant of attachment of the properties of the Opposite Party No.21 ad issue Certificate to the Collector under Section 25(3) of the Act for enabling the Collector to recoer the pending amount of Rs.7,49,250/- alongwith interest @25% pa from May 20,2015 till the date of realization from Opposite Party No.2 AND Direction to OP No.2 to pay the costs of the present application.				
4	NC/EA/156/2016	Execution Application's filed by the applicants for directions to the Opposite Party to comply with the judgement dated 4.12.2014 (read with Sc Order and the award, as defined above) and pay a sum of Rs.7,49,250 alongwith interest @24% p.a. from May 20, 2015 till the date of its realization, to the petitioner/applicant AND Opposite Party 2 fails to pay the amount, issue of warrant of attachment of the properties of the Opposite Party No.21 ad issue Certificate to the Collector under Section 25(3) of the Act for enabling the Collector to recoer the pending amount of Rs.7,49,250/- alongwith interest @25% pa from May 20,2015 till the date of realization from Opposite Party No.2 AND Direction to OP No.2 to pay the costs of the present application.	Anil Sethi and Others VS PDL and Others	Pending	27 Jan 2026	27.02.2026

Previous List of Court Cases pending before the State Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission I & II, UT, Chandigarh from 19.01.2026 to 31.01.2026

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date	Next Date
1	EA/125/2020	execution application under Section 72 of Consumer Protection Act, 2019 for enforcement of order dated 12.09.2014 passed by this Commission in Consumer Complaint No.82 of 2014	Jyoti Sood & Others VS PDL	Pending	19 Jan 2026	04.02.2026
2	CC/810/2022	The Complainant has filed the subject cited complaint under Section 35 of Consumer Protection Act 2019 and prayed to direct the respondents to refund an amount of Rupees 1088231 which has been charged illegally by the OPs, to Direct the OPs to pay the interest on the aforesaid amount at the rate 20percent per annum that is the rate which the OPs themselves charged from the general public when the received the delayed payment, To direct the OPs to pay an amount of Rs10 lacs as compensation on account of mental agony harassment and depriving the complainant of this hard earned money and further complainant has been made as a pendulum between the department of the OPs and to direct the OPs to pay an amount of Rs110000 on account of cost of litigation	Kewal Krishan VS Chairman, Chandigarh Housing Board	Pending	19 Jan 2026	12.02.2026
3	APP/394/2024	Appeal filed by the appellant seeking the setting aside of the impugned Judgement/Order dated 30-09-2024, passed by the District Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission-1, U.T., Chandigarh.	K L Malhotra VS Chandigarh Housing Board and others	Pending	23 Jan 2026	Orders reserved
4	EA/57/2023	Jasdev Singh has filed the subject cited Execution Application for execution of order dated 13-09-2012 passed by the Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission UT in CC No33-2012 and claimed the payment of compensation amount	Jasdev Singh Mattu VS Parsavnath Developers Ltd and others	Pending	28 Jan 2026	25.02.2026

Previous List of Court Cases pending before the District Court, UT, Chandigarh from 19.01.2026 to 31.01.2026

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date	Next Date
1	CS CJ/826/2019	Suit filed by the plaintiff for declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is owner to the extent of 50% share in DU No. 2313, Sector 45-C, Chandigarh with consequential relief directing the def. No. 1 (CHB) to transfer 50% share of the said DU.	MEENU DHAWAN VS THE CHAIRMAN	Defence evidence	19-01-2026	02.02.2026
2	CS CJ/2299/2020	The plaintiff has file an application U/s 39 Rule 1 and 2 read with Section 151 CPC for grant of ad-interim injunction by restraining the defendants to transfer the said D/Unit No.2919/1, HIG, Sector 42-C, Chandigarh in the name of any other Operson except the plaintiff during the pendency of the present suit.	LAKHBIR SINGH SETH VS MANJIT KAUR	Civil Evidence	19-01-2026	06.02.2026
3	CS CJ/1356/2021	Suit for declaration to the effect that plantiff be declare owner in possession of house No. 4573, Maloya Colony, UT, Chandigarh on the basis of GPA, Agreement to sell, affidavits and Wills dated 20.08.1990, which was executed by the original allottee in favvour of the plaintiff.	GOONA DEVI @ SARASWATI VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Reply And Consideration	19-01-2026	19.02.2026
4	CS CJ/508/2022	CSCJ/508/ 2022 titled as Col M.K. Khorana (Retd) vs Kulbhushan Aggarwal. Regarding House no 5120-2, CAI-I MHC, Sector 13, Manimajra	COL M.K. KHORANA (RETD) VS KULBHUSHAN AGGARWAL	Reply And Consideration	19-01-2026	16.04.2026
5	CS CJ/1658/2022	CSCJ/1658/2022 Kam Ram Kohli Vs R.K.Dass, # 3686 Sector 46-C, CHD	KAM RAM KOHLI VS R.K.DASS	Evidence	19-01-2026	26.02.2026
6	CS CJ/1523/2024	CSCJ/1523/2024 Gurnam Singh vs Rajesh Kumar Gupta HNo 3220 Sector 40 D Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed an Suit for declaration to the effect that the GPA executed by Sh Raghubir Singh registered at Sr No 3620 Book No 4 Volume No 157 Page No 58 on 28 July 1994 in the office of Sub Registrar Chandigarh in favour of Sh Rajesh Kumar Gupta is a Legal valid and genuine GPA and thereafter Sh Rajesh Kumar Gupta further executed Sub General Power of Attorney in favour of Sh Gurnam Singh registered at Sr No 86 Book No 4 Volume No 274 on 11 April 2005 in the office of Sub Registrar Chandigarh is a legal valid and genuine Sub GPA and on the basis of said SubGPA dated 11/04/2005 Sh Gurnam Singh has become the sole and exclusive owner of Cheap House no 3220 Sector 40 D Chandigarh wef 11/04/2005 AND Suit for Mandatory Injunction directing the defendants No 3 4 to transfer the ownership of Cheap House No 3220 Sector 40D Chandigarh in favour of plaintiff And filed an Application under Section 80(2) CPC for exemption from issuance of Previous Notice to the defendant no 3 4	GURNAM SINGH VS RAJESH KUMAR GUPTA	Notice And Record	19-01-2026	06.04.2026
7	CS CJ/420/2024	CSCJ/420/2024 Veenu Vs Sunil Kumar H No 1785 Dadumajra Colony UT Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the SUIT for Possession by way of ejectment of the defendants No 1 to 3 from the premises describable as HNo 1785Dadumajra Colony UT Chandigarh and for recovery of mesne	VEENU VS SUNIL KUMAR	Reply And Consideration	19-01-2026	02.04.2026

		profit/damages from 01/07/2023 Rs 25000/ per month till the filing of the suit and future mesne profit Rs25,000/per month from the date of filing suit till the actual possession of the premises is delivered to the plaintiff as the plaintiff is owner of above said house on the basis of registered will dated 13/05/2023 executed by late Sh Ishwar in favour of the plaintiff And Suit for Mandatory Injunction directing the defendant No 4 to transfer HNo1785 Dadumajra Colony UT Chandigarh in the name of the plaintiff on the basis of registered Will dated 13/05/2023 executed by late Sh Ishwar in favour of the plaintiff And Suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendants No1 to 3 from selling transferring alienating or mortgaging HNo 1785 Dadumajra Colony UT Chandigarh as they have no right title claim or interest in the above said house whatsoever And filed an Application under Order 39 Rule 1 and 2 read with section 151 CPC for grant of ad-interim injunction thereby restraining the defendants no1 to 3 from selling transferring alienating or mortgaging HNo 1785 Dadumajra Colony UT Chandigarh as they have no right title claim or interest in the above said house whatsoever during the pendency of the suit				
8	CIVIL MISC/448/2024	CM filed for restoration of original case i.e. CS/285/2017 in respect of DU No. 3100, Housing Board Colony, Dhanas, UT, Chandigarh.	RAVI KUMAR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply/Consideration	19-01-2026	24.02.2026
9	CS CJ/508/2025	Suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendants from interfering into possession of Small Flat No. 2610/3, Sector 49, UT, Chandigarh and mandatory injunction to not to cancel the same.	MOHD. ISRAIL KHAN VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	19-01-2026	24.03.2026
10	MCA DJ/41/2025	Setting aside the eviction order dated 21-02-2025 in respect of Small Flat No. 6451-B, Sector 56, UT, Chandigarh, issued due to non-payment.	BANTU VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Arguments	19-01-2026	24.04.2026
11	MCA DJ/86/2025	Set aside the impugned order dated 07-05-2025 in respect of Small Flat No. 4945, Sector 38(W), UT, Chandigarh.	JASBIR SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Arguments	19-01-2026	25.05.2026
12	CS CJ/3002/2018	Suit for specific performance of Agreement to Sell dated 12-03-2003 in respect of DU No. 3081, Sector 44-D, UT, Chandigarh.	VIJENDER KUMAR NIRMAL VS NANAK SINGH	Evidence	20-01-2026	04.02.2026
13	CS CJ/6/2019	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding recovery of amount of Rs.61,00,000/- in lieu of DU No. 398/2, Sector 44-A, Chandigarh.	MUKESH MITTAL VS DINESH KUMAR	Civil Evidence	20-01-2026	16.02.2026
14	CS CJ/1072/2020	SCR No. 619, Daddu Majra, Colony (Property pertains to Estate Office).	AMRIK SINGH VS ANIL KUMAR	Civil Evidence	20-01-2026	19.02.2026
15	CS CJ/1346/2021	Civil Suit for declaration to the effect that Plaintiff is the absolute allottee of the suit property i.e. Booth No 68 Sector-23 C Chandigarh as registered allottee of the property as bounded as under Plaintiff's Booth No 68 Sector-23 C situated in corner allotted in the name of plaintiff - Roshan Lal Left hand side Public Passage Right Hand side Booth No 69, Sector-23/C allotted in the name of Suresh Kumar, Back Side Booth No 67, 23/C, allotted in the name of Rajesh Kumar, Front Side Parking. Nothing is due towards defendants and all conditions including total	ROSHAN LAL VS ESTATE OFFICER	Consideration.	20-01-2026	19.02.2026

		premium stand paid by the plaintiff and registered deed was executed in favour of the plaintiff since on dated 17-02-2005 in the interest of justice.				
16	CS CJ/1346/2021	Civil Suit for declaration to the effect that Plaintiff is the absolute allottee of the suit property I e Booth No 68 Sector-23 C Chandigarh as registered allottee of the property as bounded as under Plaintiffs Booth No 68 Sector-23 C situated in corner allotted in the name of plaintiff - Roshan Lal Left hand side Public Passage Right Hand side Booth No 69, Sector-23/C allotted in the name of Suresh Kumar, Back Side Booth No 67, 23/C, allotted in the name of Rajesh Kumar, Front Side Parking. Nothing is due towards defendants and all conditions including total premium stand paid by the plaintiff and registered deed was executed in favour of the plaintiff since on dated 17-02-2005 in the interest of justice.	ROSHAN LAL VS ESTATE OFFICER	Consideration.	20-01-2026	19.02.2026
17	CS CJ/1421/2023	CSCJ/1421/2023 Mamta Vs The Secretary CHB, DU No 2818/1, Sector 47-C, Chandigarh	MAMTA VS THE SECRETARY	Reply And Consideration	20-01-2026	20.02.2026
18	CS CJ/1233/2023	CS CJ/1233/2023, Arvind Vs The Sub Divisional Magistrate, Du No 1096, Phase -2, Ram Darbar, Ind Area, Chandigarh	ARVIND VS THE SUB DIVISIONAL MAGISTRATE	Arguments	20-01-2026	23.04.2026
19	CA/138/2024	CA/138/2024 Papi vs Chief Administrator Finance Secretary others HNo 4918/3 Small Flats Sector 38/W Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed an Application for staying the operation of the eviction order dated 05/08/2024 passed by Respondent no 1 during the pendency of the present appeal vide which flat no 4918//3 Small flats Sector 38/W Chandigarh has been ordered to be vacated And filed an Appeal under section 54 of the Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 against the eviction order dated 05/08/2024 passed by Respondent No 1 qua flat no 4918/3 Small Flats Sector 38/W Chandigarh under Section 51 Suit for Declaration that the transfer deed dated 02/07/2024 registered at of Haryana Housing Board Act	PAPI VS CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR FINANCE SECRETARY	Arguments	20-01-2026	12.05.2026
20	CS CJ/1647/2024	CSCJ/1647/2024 Kiranjit Kaur vs The Secretary CHB Others HNo 358 HIG Ground Floor Sector 44 A Chandigarh AND Suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendant No 4 from entertaining any sale deed transfer deed mortgage deed in respect of HNo 358 Sector 44A HIG Ground Floor Chandigarh AND Suit for mandatory injunction directing defendant No 1 to transfer the property ie HNo 358 Sector 44A HIG Ground Floor Chandigarh in the name of the plaintiff defendant No 2 and defendant No 3 in equal shares by holding The Will dated 21/03/1997 alleged to have been executed by Late Sh Jagdev Singh Gill to have been executed in suspicious circumstances and being a forged and fabricated document AND Suit for declaration that the transfer on the basis of alleged Will dated 21/03/1997 in favor of Smt Harbhajan Kaur be declared null and void on account of the alleged will dated 1/03/1997 being forged and fabricated and the transfer having been affected in favor of Late sh Harbhajan Kaur without following proper procedure without issuing any notice to the plaintiff and without giving the plaintiff any right to file objection to said will and proposed transfer on the basis of alleged Will AND Suit for declaration that the consequent transfer on the basis of family transfer alleged to have been executed by smt. Harbhajan Kaur in favor of defendant No 2 is	KIRANJIT KAUR VS THE SECRETARY CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	20-01-2026	20.03.2026

		non-consequential since Harbhajan Kaur was not the owner of 100 share in respect of HNo 358 Sector 44A HIG Ground Floor Chandigarh and further smt Harbhajan Kaur did not possess the mental and physical health on account of her having been suffering from various old age related problems including paralysis for the past more than 0 years from the date of her death AND Any other relief in this Hon ble court deems fit and proper may be granted in favour of the plaintiff and against the defendant And also filed an Application U/s 80 2 seeking exemption from sending Previous notice to the defendants no 1 2 And an application under order 39 rule 1 2 restraining the defendants from selling alienating mortgaging or creating any third party rights in respect of the property ie residential HNo 358 Sector 44A HIG Ground Floor				
21	MCA DJ/8/2024	Appeal against the order dated 06-07-2023 communicated to the appellant vide letter dated 11-07-2023 for eviction of Plinth Site No. 1096, Karsan Colony, Phase-II, Ram Darbar, UT, Chd.	VIJAY SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Consideration	20-01-2026	29.04.2026
22	CS CJ/850/2024	CSCJ/850/2024 Sanjay Awasthi Vs Kamlesh Bhardwaj and others HNo 2813/1 Sector 49 ChandiGARH The Plaintiff has filed the SUIt for Specific performance of the agreement to sell dated 18/01/2010 vide which DU No 2813 Sector 49 Chandigarh has been sold by late Sh Vijay Bhardwaj to the plaintiff Annexure p1 for a total consideration of Rs 1900000/ Rupees Nineteen Lakhs Only SUIt for mandatory injunction directing the defendant no 1 to 3 ie the legal heirs of late sh Vijay Bhardwaj to register a sale deed in favor of the plaintiff or to execute the documents for the transfer of the said house as required by the defendant no 4 for the transfer of the said house in favour of the plaintiff SUIt for mandatory injunction directing the defendant no 4 ie Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh to transfer the 100 rights in the said property in favor of the plaintiff SUIt for permanent injunction directing the defendant no1 to 3 not create any charge lien or interest in the said property in favor of any third party or tc transfer the property in favour of any other person except the plaintiff	SANJAY AWASTHI VS KAMLESH BHARDWAJ	Civil Evidence	20-01-2026	10.04.2026
23	CA/110/2024	CA/110/2024 Ram Ajor vs The Secretary of Chandigarh Housing Board HNo 1398 Sector 38 West Dadumajra Colony Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed an APPEAL against the impugned eviction order dated 15/07/2024 dispatched on 17/07/2024 passed by Secretary Chandigarh Housing Board vide which DU No 1398 Sector 38 West Dadumarja colony Chandigarh belonging to appellant has been cancelled and eviction of the appellant has been ordered in most illegal and arbitrary manner.	RAM AJOR VS SECRETARY OF CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	20-01-2026	03.02.2026
24	CS CJ/1416/2025	Suit filed for specific performance of Agreement To Sell dated 16-06-1997 and 23-07-1999 in respect of DU No. 5527/1, MHC, Manimajra, UT, Chandigarh.	VEENA GUPTA ALIAS VEENA RANI VS THE CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	20-01-2026	19.02.2026
25	CS CJ/1614/2025	Suit for declaration that the plaintiff is the absolute/lawful owner in possession of the suit property i.e. DU No. 237/1, Sector 45-A, UT, Chandigarh and directing the defendants to transfer/register/mutate the said property in the name of plaintiff.	MOHINDER SINGH BAJAJ VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Reply And Consideration	20-01-2026	13.03.2026

26	CS CJ/523/2025	DU No. 2847, MIG-I, Sector 40-C, UT, Chandigarh	ASHWANI THAPAR VS RAKESH THAPAR	Written Statement/Reply/Replication/ Consideration	20-01-2026	20.02.2026
27	TA CIVIL/82/2025	Transfer application for transfer of two cases to any one judge (CS/536/2020 & CS/2138/2022) DU No. 1217/1, Sector 40-B, UT, Chandigarh.	RAVI KUMAR VS KAMLA DEVI	Reply And Consideration	20-01-2026	Dismissed as withdrawn
28	CS CJ/2191/2025	Suit has been filed by the plaintiff for permanent injunction as well as Mandatory injunction restraining the defendants to transferring the plaintiff to any other place from his present place of posting i.e. Chandigarh Housing Board.	MOHIT VS MS BRIGHTWAYS	Reply And Consideration	20-01-2026	30.01.2026
29	CA/495/2018	Appeal filed by the appellant against order dated 8.5.2018 passed by Ms. Saloni Gupta, CJJD, Chandigarh (Regn. No. 1517/2014) regarding transfer of ownership of DU No. 5371/1, Cat-IV, Phase-II, Manimajra, UT, Chandigarh..	SONA SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Arguments	21-01-2026	11.03.2026
30	CS CJ/2431/2019	In this matter plaintiff has filed application under order Rule 1 and 2 read with Section 151 C.P.C. for Ad-interim Injunction restraining the defendants No.2 and 3 from selling alienating, mortgaging and handing over the possession of the Dwelling Unit No.1125, Indira Colony, Manimajra, Chandigarh to any other person except the plaintiff during the pendency of the main suit.	BISH RAM @ VISH RAM VS THE CHAIRMAN	Defence evidence	21-01-2026	16.02.2026
31	CS CJ/109/2019	Civil Suit filed by the Plaintiff seeking direction to Def. No. 1 to demolish illegal Constn. of DU No. 3770, Ambedkar Awas Yojna, Sector 56, Chandigarh and further directing Def. No. 2 (CHB) for necessary action in respect of Govt. land encroached by Defendant No. 1.	MALTI DEVI VS AHMAD ALI	Civil Evidence	21-01-2026	16.02.2026
32	CA/34/2020	Appeal filed by the Appellant against decree dated 12.12.2019 passed by Ms. Meenakshi Gupta, CJJD, Chandigarh whereby suit of the appellant has been dismissed in respect of allot/tranfer of DU No. 413, sector 43-A, Chandigarh.	GURJEET KAUR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Arguments	21-01-2026	05.03.2026
33	CS CJ/577/2020	Civil Suit No.577/2020 has filed by the petitioner for possession by way of partition of the residential property house no.1107, Dadu Majra Colony, Sector 38, Chandigarh.	TUSHAR VS SANJAY	Written Statement/Reply/Replication/ Consideration	21-01-2026	23.03.2026
34	CS CJ/129/2021	In this matter plaintiff has filed suit for Mandatory injuction directing the defendants No.1-4 to remove the illegal optical shop running in the name and style of M/s Vijay Optical on the ground floor of the dwelling unit No.1096, Ram Darbar, Chandigarh which amounts to cancellation of registration/allotment as well as forfeiture of the amount deposited with the defendant No.6.	ARVIND SINGH VS VIJAY SINGH	Evidence	21-01-2026	11.03.2026
35	CS CJ/1958/2021	Application under order 39 Rule 1 and 2 for restraining the defendant from selling, alienating, mortgaging the property i.e. H.No. 3349, Sector-45/D, CHD and for interfering in peaceful possession of the property	GOPAL SINGH VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Reply And Consideration	21-01-2026	13.03.2026
36	ARB/474/2023	To challenge the arbitration award dated 30-04-2023 In the matter of Arbitration between Ms BLMehta Construction Pvt Ltd and Chandigarh Housing Board for the work of Construction of 260 Two Bed Room Flats GR-II Composite Wok at Sector-63, Gr-II CHD	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD VS M/S B. L. MEHTA CONSTRUCTIONS P LTD	Arguments	21-01-2026	21.05.2026

37	PROB/11/2024	PROB/11/2024 Swarn Gulati Vs General Public H No 1024/1First Floor of HIG Category in Sector 39/B Chandigarh PUC is a summons to appear in the subject cited court case received in this office on 04/04/2024 with the copy of petition copy attached may kindly be perused please The next date of Subject Cited Court Case has been fixed for 2/05/2024 The Plaintiff has filed the Petition under Section 276 of the Indian Succession Act for the grant of probate of duly executed and registered WILL of late Basant Kaur wife of Sh KS Khamb Kulvinder Singh Jhamb dated 09/08/2004 registered vide Sr No 2139 Book No 3 volume No 273 dated 09/08/2004 with Sub Registrar Chandigarh	SWARAN GULATI VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Notice And Record	21-01-2026	25.03.2026
38	PROB/5/2024	PROB/5/2024 BB Khanna Vs General Public H No 300/2 MIG L Sector 41A ChandiGARH PUC is a summons to appear in the subject cited court case received in this office sent by AO IV on 19/03/2024 without the copy of petition (copy attached) may kindly be perused please The Plaintiff has filed the petition under Section 372 of the Indian Succession Act, 1925 for Probatre of irrevocable Will dated 09/07/1985, Annexure P/1 Registered vide Wasika/ Registration serial No 822 Book No 3 Volume 132 Page No 173/177 Registered Before the then Sub Registrar before the then Sub Registrar Chandigarh on 10/07/1985.	B.B. KHANNA VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Notice And Record	21-01-2026	10.03.2026
39	MCA DJ/132/2025	Appeal against Eviction Notice/Order dated 21-02-2025 in respect of Small Flat No. 6630-C, Sector 56, UT, Chandigarh, issued due to non-payment.	HANS RAJ VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	21-01-2026	14.05.2026
40	CS CJ/1888/2025	Suit for declaration of 1/5th share in favor of plaintiff in respect of DU No. 5041/1, MHC, Manimajra.	ANKUSH PURI VS THE CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Notice And Record	21-01-2026	15.04.2026
41	CIVIL MISC/496/2025	Restoration of CS no. 2027 of 2017 Seeking allotment under Small Flat Scheme 2006 in lieu of Jhuggi.	CHARANJIT KAUR VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION	Notice And Record	21-01-2026	20.03.2026
42	CS CJ/286/2025	Suit for declaration that Late Smt. Jasbir Kaur bequeathed the DU No. 3233/1, Sector 40-D, UT, Chandigarh in favor of plaintiff on the basis of WILL dated 27-04-2017 and suit for mandator injunction to defendant no. 1 to transfer property on the basis of WILL.	INDERPAUL KAUR VS THE SECRETARY CHANDIGARH	Evidence	21-01-2026	12.03.2026
43	CS CJ/1039/2025	Transfer of DU No. 2593/1, Sector 44-C, UT, Chandigarh.	CHETAN VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply/Consideration	21-01-2026	20.03.2026
44	CS CJ/616/2016	Suit for declaration of WILL dated 08-09-2015 in favor of the plaintiff in respect of DU No. 5171/3, MHC, Manimajra and mandatory injunction to the respondent no. 5 to transfer the said house.	MANDEEP MAND VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Notice And Record	22-01-2026	30.01.2026
45	CS CJ/400/2021	In this matter the plaintiff had filed suit for declaration to the effect that the plaintiff and the defendant No.2 are co-owners of H.No.2156/1, Sector 40-C, Chandigarh being Class-I legal heirs of deceased Smt.Suridner Kanta who died on 6.12.2020 and for declaration to the effect that the Def.No.1 is not entitled to get any share in above house as he has been disowned by the deceased. And for mandatory injunction directing the defendant No.,1 to vacate and handover the vacant possession of house. And Suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendant	SANTOSH KUMAR VS SHIV KUMAR	Evidence	22-01-2026	13.03.2026

		No.3, its officials, representatives etc. be restrained from transferring the right/title of said house.				
46	CS CJ/1665/2023	CSCJ/1665/2023 titled as Shimla Devi Vs General Public the plaintiff has filed the Suit for declaration to the effect that the plaintiffs are owners in possession of H.No. 534/1 Sector 40/A Chandigarh being the legal heirs of late Sh Suresh Kumar on the basis of general Power of Attorney Will Agreement to sell and affidavit dated 03/05/1989 executed by defendant no 3 in favour of deceased suresh kumar husband and father of plaintiffs and Suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant to transfer h no 534/1 Sector 40A Chandigarh in the name of the plaintiffs on the basis of general power of attorney will agreement to sell and affidavit dated 03/05/1989 executed by defendant no 3 in favour of deceased Suresh Kumar husband and father of plaintiff	SHIMLA DEVI VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Reply And Consideration	22-01-2026	07.04.2026
47	CS CJ/407/2024	CSCJ/407/2024 Suman Lata Vs Vinod Kumar DU No 1665/1 Sector 29 B First Floor and DU no 1667 Sector 29 B Ground Floor U T Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the Suit for Permanent Injunction restraining the defendants relatives and their agents from interfering into peaceful possession of the plaintiff and her family members at house no 1665/1 Sector 29 B First Floor UT Chandigarh And Suit for Permanent injunction restraining the defendants from raising construction/violation at the first floor in the courtyard of house no 1667 Sector 29 B Second Floor UT Chandigarh of which caused hurdles in the easement right of the plaintiff like air, sunlight etc and gross violation of plan proved by the defendant. No 2 And Suit for mandatory injunction for directing the defendants to remove the illegal construction which they have already done/erect without the consent of defendant no 2 from the roof of the construction at courtyard of the house no. 1667 Sector 29 B UT Chandigarh Earlier the APPEAL/75/2016 and APPEAL/203/2016 of the subject cited court had been attended by Advocate Sh Rajiv Sharma So the Counsel is well aware about the subject cited court case	SUMAN LATA VS VINOD KUMAR	Evidence	22-01-2026	19.03.2026
48	CS CJ/612/2024	CSCJ/612/2024 Isher Singh Vs General Public H No 14 Sector 41A UT Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the SUIT for declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is owner in possession of the HNo 14 Sector 41A UT Chandigarh and further Civil Suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant no 2 to transfer the House No 14 Sector 41 A UT Chandigarh owner in possession on the basis of bonafide purchaser and documents ie Power of Attorney Will etc executed in the favour of plaintiff And/Or Any other relief which this Hon ble Court may deem fit and proper in the light of facts and circumstances of the case also be passed in favour of the plaintiff and against the defendants	ISHER SINGH VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Notice And Record	22-01-2026	03.04.2026
49	MCA DJ/100/2025	Against eviction order dated 07-05-2025 in respect of Small Flat No. 4997/2, 38-W, UT, Chandigarh, passed due to non-payment.	ANIL KUMAR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Arguments	22-01-2026	20.04.2026

50	MCA DJ/102/2025	To set aside the eviction order dated 19-05-2025 in respect of Small Flat No. 2577, Sector 49, UT, Chandigarh, issued due to non-payment.	GANGA DEVI VS SECRETARY-CUM- COMPETENT AUTHORITY	Arguments	22-01-2026	20.04.2026
51	CS CJ/1937/2025	Suit for declaration that the plaintiffs are the class 1 legal heirs of late Sh. Ranjodh Singh and for mandatory injunction for issuance of directions to Def. No. 2 & 3 to transfer 100% share of House No. 393, Sector 41-A, UT, Chandigarh.	GURMEET KAUR VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Notice And Record	22-01-2026	07.04.2026
52	CS CJ/2029/2025	Suit for specific performance of Agreement to Sell dated 27-12-2002 in respect of DU No. 496, Sector 41-A, UT, Chandigarh.	DARSHAN SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Appearance	22-01-2026	01.04.2026
53	MCA DJ/27/2025	Appeal filed to set aside the order dated 18-02-2025 passed to vacate the Small flat No. 2571-2, Sector 49, UT, Chandigarh	MANISH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Arguments	22-01-2026	23.04.2026
54	CA/295/2019	Appeal filed by the Appellant u/s 96 read with order 41 CPC against the judgement and decree dated 22.8.2019 passed by Ms. Mamta Kakkar, CJJD, Chandigarh in Civil Suit No. 1857 of 7.8.2014 titled as Ashok Kumar Vs. Devi Dyal and Anr. (Allotment/Transfer of DU No. 972, Dhanas, UT, Chandigarh)	DEVI DAYAL VS ASHOK KUMAR	Arguments	23-01-2026	05.02.2026
55	C.S./463/2020	In this matter plaintiff has filed Suit for declaration to declare the plaintiff is entitled for tenement site or flat in lieu of his jhuggi no.F99, Kamal Colony, Palsora, Chandigarh. AND Suit for mandatory injunction to direct the defendant no.1 and 2 to issue allotment / possession letter after allotment of tenement site/flat in the name of the plaintiff.	DHARAMBIR VS ESTATE OFFICE	Reply And Consideration	23-01-2026	19.02.2026
56	CS CJ/1795/2021	Suit for Declaration to the effect that the plaintiffs are owners to the extent of 1/5th share each of House No. 3215, Sector-45/D, Chandigarh being Class 1 legal heirs entitled to inherit the property of deceased Ram Singh S/o Matoo Ram R/o H.No. 3215, Sector-45-D, UT, Chandigarh with consequential relief of permanent injunction relief of permanent injunction restraining the defendant no.1 and 2 and their agents to dispossess the plaintiffs from the demised premises illegally and forcibly, selling, mortgaging, transferring, exchanging, encumbering and changing the nature of the suit property in any manner more than their share and specific part thereof to any person, creating any type of hindrance and restraining to disconnect the electricity and water connection of the premises.	MANJEET KAUR VS BALJIT SINGH	Reply And Consideration	23-01-2026	05.03.2026
57	CS CJ/1022/2022	CSCJ/1022/2022, Aseem Parkash Vs Dr. Alka Singh booth no 8, sector 48-A	ASEEM PARKASH VS DR. ALKA SINGH	Evidence	23-01-2026	17.03.2026
58	CS CJ/1110/2022	DU No 2285 E 5th Floor Sector 63 Chandigarh	SUDERSHAN MOUDGIL VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Defence evidence	23-01-2026	28.01.2026
59	CS CJ/1268/2023	CSCJ/1268/2023 Urmila Yadav Vs Savita Yadav, DU No 3530, Sector 46, Chandigarh	URMILA YADAV VS SAVITA YADAV	Notice And Record	23-01-2026	18.04.2026
60	CS CJ/721/2023	CSCJ/721/2023 Jyoti Vs Pawan Kumar and others 3214/1, Sector-41-D	JYOTI VS PAWAN KUMAR AND OTHERS	Reply And Consideration	23-01-2026	11.03.2026

61	CS CJ/486/2023	Seema Sood Vs Sanjay Sood, CSCJ/486/2023, Du No 2149/2, Sector 45-C, CHD	SEEMA SOOD VS SANJAY SOOD	Consideration	23-01-2026	17.03.2026
62	MCA DJ/115/2025	Appeal against eviction order dated 11-06-2025 against Small Flat No. 2589, Sector 49, UT, Chandigarh due to non-payment.	RITA DEVI VS SECRETARY- CUM-COMPETENT AUTHORITY	Reply And Consideration	23-01-2026	09.07.2026
63	MCA DJ/74/2025	Appeal against the eviction order dated 24-4-2025 passed in respect of DU No. 3591, Sector 46-C, UT, Chandigarh.	KRISHNA WANTI VS THE SECRETARY CHANDIGARH	Arguments	23-01-2026	07.08.2026
64	CS CJ/1066/2020	The application under section 80(2) CPC for grant of exemption for sending the advancing legal notice to defendants. The applicant prayed the present suit of the plaintiff be allowed and the decree of declaration be passed in favour of the plaintiff to entitle and to allot one booth in the Rehri Market, Sector 20-D, Chandigarh on the basis of this hand cart license No.45, Site No.179, Sector 20-D, Chandigarh.	OM PARKASH VS UNION OF INDIA	Evidence	27-01-2026	24.04.2026
65	CS CJ/2064/2021	Suit for Declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is the sole and absolute owner of Dwelling Unit No 2198-D Sector-63 Chandigarh by the virtue of registered documents I e GPA Will Affidavit Indemnity Bond and Agreement to Sell all executed on 22-01-2010 by the Late Daljeet Singh S/o Sh. Mukhtiar Singh in favour of Late Balbir Kaur and plaintiff and further that the defendant no 1 to 3 have no right, title or interest to claim the ownership and possession of the Dwelling Unit No 2198-D Sector-63 Chandigarh.	GAGANDEEP SINGH AHLUWALIA VS LAKHBIR KAUR	Consideration	27-01-2026	05.03.2026
66	CS CJ/275/2022	Civil Suit No CSCJ 275 2022 titled as Kiran vs Nachatro Devi Flat No 2002 Ground Floor Small Flats Colony Maloya	KIRAN VS NACHATRO DEVI	Reply/Consideration	27-01-2026	10.03.2026
67	MCA DJ/46/2024	For setting aside the order dated 02/07/2024 passed by Secretary Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh in respect of Small Flat No. 5656, Sector 56 (Palsora), UT, Chandigarh.	RAM CHANDER VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Arguments	27-01-2026	30.04.2026
68	CS CJ/2256/2024	Suit for specific performane of Agreement to Sell dated 28-06-1985 and for declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is the absolute owner of DU No. 2127, Sector 45-C, UT, Chandigarh	RAJ RANI VS AMRIT PAUL BATRA ALIAS AMRIT PAL BATRA	Written Statement/Reply/Replication/ Consideration	27-01-2026	17.04.2026
69	CS CJ/1364/2024	CSCJ/1364/2024 Sahil Kansal Vs Narinder Kumar & Others HNo 5797/A Category HIG U Sector 38W Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed an Suit for Declaration that the transfer deed dated 02/07/2024 registered at serial No 1910 Book No 1 in the office of Sub registrar UT Chandigarh with regard to 1/3 rd share of the plaintiff in favour of defendant no2 is the result of fraud committed by defendants 1 and 2 with the plaintiff with consequential relief that said deed of transfer is void non/est and inspite of execution and registration of the same the plaintiff is still the owner in the possession of 1/3 rd share of the house in question whereas defendant no 2 cannot get the 1/3 rd share of the house of the plaintiff transferred in his name by approaching defendant no 3 And also suit for permanent injunction for restraining defendant no. 3 from transferring in any way 1/3 rd share of the plaintiff to any person or party including defendant no2 during the pendency of suit	SAHIL KANSAL VS NARINDER KUMAR	Reply/Consideration	27-01-2026	18.03.2026

		And filed an Application under Order 39 Rule 1 and 2 for grant of temporary injunction during the pendency of suit and Application under Section 151 CPC for dispensing of giving notice of defendant no 3 before filing the suit				
70	CA/78/2025	The appellant filed the appeal to set aside the decree dated 13-03-2025 passed by the District Court, UT, Chandigarh in CS/3121/2018 in respect of DU No. 2198-D, Sector 63, UT, Chandigarh.	VEDWATI VS LAKHBIR KAUR	Notice And Record	27-01-2026	12.07.2026
71	ARB/136/2025	Challenging the award dated 04-07-2025 passed in the arbitration matter for the work of Construction of under ground RCC cable trench at RGCTP, UT, Chandigarh.	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD VS PYRAMID BUILDERS	Consideration	27-01-2026	23.04.2026
72	PROB/25/2025	Grant of probate of WILL dated 24-04-2000 executed by mother of petitioner late Ms. Harjinder Kaur in favor of the petitioner in respect of DU No. 5103-A, Sector 38-W, UT, Chandigarh.	XXXXXXX VS XXXXXXXX	Written Statement/Reply/Replication/Consideration	27-01-2026	09.03.2026
73	CS CJ/2006/2016	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff seeking transfer of properties i.e. DU No. 1238, Sector 43-B, Chandigarh 14th share in agriculture land on WILL basis.	INDU MUNJAL VS CHAIRMAN CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Defence evidence	28-01-2026	13.02.2026
74	CS CJ/1350/2017	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for cancellation of allotment in respect of # 908/40-A, Chandigarh # 928/40-A, Chandigarh due to filing false affidavit..	RAJ KUMAR CHIBBER VS USHA PRASHAR	Defence/Rebuttal Evidence/Arguments	28-01-2026	13.02.2026
75	CS CJ/4351/2023	CSCJ/4351/2023 Amit Dhayani Vs Parveen Dhayani H NO 3264/2 Sector 41 Chandigarh PUC is a summons to appear in the subject cited court case received in this office on 12/01/2024 alongwith the copy of petition copy attached may kindly be perused please The case is fixed for hearing on 15/01/2024 at 10 00 am before the Hon ble Court of Civil Judge Jr Div Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the Suit for declaration for declaring the transfer of dwelling Unit/Flat no 3264 Sector 41 Chandigrah as null and Void as the same has been transferred in the name defendant no 1 by influencing his mentally sick father namely Sh Sathish Chander Dhyani to transfer the name in the name of defendant no 1 being illegal unconstitutional and fraudulent And Suit for Permanent Injunction restraining the defendant No 1 his agents servant and his assignees from selling alienating mortgaging entering into sny agreement to Sell creating any third party interest to any third person in the suit property during the pendency of present suit and Suit for Mandatory Injunction directing the defendant no 3 to not to transfer the suit property ie the DU No 3264/2 Sector 41 Chandigarh in the name of any person during the pendency of the suit	AMIT DHAYANI VS PARVEEN DHAYANI	Reply And Consideration	28-01-2026	07.04.2026
76	CA/197/2024	The appeallant has filed appeal against the judgment and decree dated 03.10.2024 passed by the court of Sh. Ajay Civil Judg Jr. Divn Chandigarh in civil suit No. 168-2022 whereby the suit filed by the appellant or plaintiff has been dismissed by the Ld. Lower Court illegally unlawfully and is contrary to the law and facts. AND to accept the present appeal with costs throughout by setting-aside the impugned judgment dated 03.10.2024 passed by the court of Sh. Ajay Civil Judg Jr. Divn Chandigarh and to decreed the civil suit filed by the appellant.	BHABANI GANGULY VS KUSUM RANI	Notice And Record	28-01-2026	22.05.2026

77	CS CJ/727/2024	CSCJ/727/2024 Rajat Sharma Vs Suresh Kumar HNo 948/1 Sector 40/A Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the SUIT for Declaration to the effect that the plaintiffs being the sole surviving Classl Legal Heirs of Late Sh Mangat Rai their father who died intestate on 05 May 2018 are entitled to succeed to the estate of their father late Sh Mangat RaiAnd Suit for specific performance of the agreement to sell dated 10/10/1991 in respect of House No 948/1 Sector 40/A Chandigarh as executed by Sh Mangat Rai since deeased father of the plaintiffs with defendant no1 being General Power of Attorney of Narender Pal Singh Under 7 Rule 1 CPC Suit for mandatory injuncion directing the defendant no 2 to execute and transfer the lease rights in respect of House No 948/1 Sector 40/A Chandigarh in favour of the plaintiffs being the sole surviving legal heirs of Late Sh Mangat Rai	RAJAT SHARMA VS SURESH KUMAR	Evidence	28-01-2026	02.04.2026
78	CS CJ/1795/2025	Site No. 876, Daddu Majra, UT, Chandigarh.	LOKESH VS SMT SHOBHA WATI	Notice And Record	28-01-2026	05.03.2026
79	MISC DJ/825/2025	Appeal has been filed by the appellant to stay and set aside the eviction order dated 25-08-2025 in respect of Small Flat No. 2678/2, Sector 49, UT, Chandigarh.	DAYANAND VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Consideration	28-01-2026	16.04.2026
80	MCA DJ/104/2025	Against eviction order dated 23-05-2025 in respect of Small Flat No. 2623/3, Sector 49, UT, Chandigarh, passed due to non-payment.	RAJ KUMARI VS SECRETARY-CUM-COMPETENT AUTHORITY	Reply And Consideration	28-01-2026	12.03.2026
81	CIVIL MISC/234/2019	CM/234/2019 Jasbir Singh (Deceased) through Legal Heirs Vs General Public and Ors (Du No 60 , Sector 41-A , Chandigarh)	JASBIR SINGH(DECEASED) THROUGH LIEGAL HEIRS VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Notice And Record	29-01-2026	12.03.2026
82	CS CJ/1379/2021	The applicant filed an application under order 39 Rule 1 and 2 for restraining the defendant from selling, alienating, mortgaging the property i.e. DU No. 5019, MIG, Ground Floor, Sector-38(West), CHD and for interfering in peaceful posseession of the property	RAJ KUMAR SALUJA VS PARDEEP SALUJA	Defence evidence	29-01-2026	07.03.2026
83	CIVIL MISC/285/2023	Civil Misc/285/2023, Kanwar Ashwani Kumar Vs The Chairman, Chandigarh Housing Board, DU No 460, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh	KANWAR ASHWANI KUMAR VS THE CHAIRMAN CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Notice And Record	29-01-2026	Case Disposed
84	CS/557/2023	CS/557/2023, Monika Kanwar Vs Gitika DU No 3111/2 Sector 41/D Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed Suit for declaration to the effect that the plaintiffs and defendant No 1 are owners in joint possession to extent of 1/3 share each in respect of the immovable properties left by Lachman Dass Sharma and Smt Santosh Kumari D/o Village Daun Tehsil Distt SAS Nagar Mohali on account of inheritance being their class I legal heirs which includes property No 1 Residential House situated within the revenue estate of village daun tehsil distt SAS Nagar Mohali property no 2 house no 3111/2 Sector 41 D Chandigarh And Suit for permanent injuncion restraining the defendant no 1 her agents attorneys representatives from alienating gift mortgage transfer or changing the nature of the suit property in any other manner till the same is not partitioned	MONIKA KANWAR VS GITIKA	Arguments	29-01-2026	19.02.2026

		and further restraining the defendant no 2 to transfer the property no 2 to any person during the pendency of suit And Grant any other relief which this Hon ble Court may deems fit and proper in favour of the plaintiffs				
85	CS CJ/232/2024	CSCJ/232/2024 Rajeshwar Kumar Vs General Public H No 4426 EWS Maloya Colony Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the SUIT for declaration to the effect that the Plaintiffs are the legal heirs of late Sh Dharam Pal alias Dharam Chand son of Sh Chhadi Lal alias Chhedi Lal to the extent of 50 share each of House no 4426 EWS Maloya Colony Chandigarh And Suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant No 2 to transfer the 50 share each of House no 4426 EWS Maloya colony Chandigarh in favour of the plaintiffs and directing the defendant No 2 not to transfer the house in the name of any persons except the plaintiffs And also filed an Application under section 67 of Chandigarh Housing Board Act 1971 As amended read with section 80 of CPC for leave to institute the suit without service of notice over the defendant no 2	RAJESHWAR KUMAR VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Evidence	29-01-2026	20.03.2026
86	CS CJ/2020/2024	Suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendants themselves, through their agents, representative etc. from illegally dispossessing the plaintiff from the premises i.e. Flat No. 368 at Sector 38(west), Maloya, Chd. except in due course of law/	SHYAMA PRASHAD VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	29-01-2026	06.03.2026
87	CS CJ/2042/2024	Transfer of DU No. 1107/1, Sector 29-B, UT, Chd. on the basis of Agreement to Sell.	KHARAITI LAL HANDA VS RITU SEHGAL	Reply And Consideration	29-01-2026	29.04.2026
88	MCA DJ/101/2025	Against eviction order dated 07-05-2025 in respect of Small Flat No. 430, Sector 56, Palsora, UT, Chandigarh, passed due to Building Violation.	INDER SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	29-01-2026	24.03.2026
89	CS CJ/2381/2018	Civil Suit No. CSCJ/2381/ 2018 titled as Anju Sharma vs Rajiv Sharma, 342-2, Sector 45-A, CHD	ANJU SHARMA VS RAJIV SHARMA	Civil Evidence	30-01-2026	Adjourned, next date will be intimated shortly
90	CS CJ/736/2018	Civil Suit has been filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of DU No. 3194/46-C, Chandigarh on fraud documents.	RAJWANT KAUR VS SUKHDEEP SINGH	Defence evidence	30-01-2026	Adjourned, next date will be intimated shortly
91	C.S./2212/2019	Civil suit filed by the plaintiff restraining to block common passage/kuchha rasta by raising constn. Of boundary wall in village Maloya, UT, Chandigarh.	RAJESH KUMAR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Arguments.	30-01-2026	Adjourned, next date will be intimated shortly
92	CS CJ/1525/2022	CSCJ/1525/2022, SANIA Vs CHAIRMAN, 3042, Sector 47-D,CHD	SANIA VS CHAIRMAN	Reply And Consideration	30-01-2026	Adjourned, next date

						will be intimated shortly
93	MCA DJ/95/2025	Against the eviction order dated 22-05-2025 in respect of Small Flat No. 6530-C, Sector 56, UT, Chandigarh.	ASHA DEVI VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	30-01-2026	Adjourned, next date will be intimated shortly
94	MCA DJ/49/2025	Against the eviction order dated 23-03-2025 in repect of Small Flat No. 2610, Sector 49, UT, Chandigarh.	JAI RAM SINGH CHAUHAN VS THE SECRETARY	Reply And Consideration	30-01-2026	Adjourned, next date will be intimated shortly
95	CS CJ/2741/2025	Summon without petition	AMARBIR SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	30-01-2026	Adjourned, next date will be intimated shortly
96	CS CJ/1234/2019	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff to record the ownership of the plaintiff to transfer the extent of 8.33% share in respect of DU No. 1014, Sector 43-B, Chandigarh.	PRANAV SIKRI VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	31-01-2026	Adjourned, next date will be intimated shortly
97	CS CJ/283/2023	DU No. 3419, Sector 40-D, UT, Chandigarh.	GURNOOR SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Consideration	31-01-2026	Adjourned, next date will be intimated shortly

Previous List of Court Cases pending before the Hon’ble Chief Secretary, UT, Chandigarh from 19.01.2026 to 31.01.2026						
S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date	Next Date

1	REVISION/NIL/2023	The Plaintiff has filed the Revision Petition under Section 17 e of the Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006 against the order dated 08-11-2023 passed by the Appellate Authority UT Chandigarh in respect of Flat No 2552 Ground Floor Sector 49-C Chandigarh	Brahma son of Sh. Shiv Mangal VS The Secretary Chandigarh Housing Board, Chandigarh (DU No. 2552, Ground Floor, Sector 49-C, Chandigarh	Pending	29 Jan 2026	14.05.2026
2	REVISION/1/2024	The Applicant has filed the Revision Petition under Section 17 e of the Chandigarh Small Flats scheme 2006 against the order dated 08-11-2023 passed by the Appellate Authority UT Chandigarh in respect of Small Flat No 4697/3 Sector 38 West Chandigarh	Raghav Rao VS The Secretary Estates cum Appellate Authority, U.T. Chandigarh and Others (DU No. 4697/3, Sector 38 West, Chandigarh)	Pending	29 Jan 2026	14.05.2026
3	REVISION/___/2025	The Plaintiff has filed the Revision Petition under Section 10 sub section 4 of Capital of Punjab Development and Regulation Act 1952 as extended to U.T. Chandigarh against the order dated 18.12.2024 passed by the Chief Executive Officer-cum-Appellate Authority Chandigarh Housing Board, Chandigarh in respect of Dwelling Unit No. 170 Sector 41-A Chandigarh. And also filed the application Under Section 151 CPC for grant of stay of operation of impugned order dated 18.12.2024 passed by the Chief Executive Officer-cum-Appellate Authority Chandigarh Housing Board and order dated 11.09.2017 passed by the Secretary-cum-Estate Officer Chandigarh Housing Board.	Sh. Dharmender Singh and another VS The Chief Executive Officer-cum-Appellate Authority, Chandigarh Housing Board, Chandigarh and others (DU No. 170, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh).	Reply filed	29-Jan-26	14.05.2026
4	REVISION/---/2025	Revision Petition under section 17 E of Chandigarh small flat scheme 2006, H No 1892 B, Dhanas, Chandigarh against the impugned order dated 16.04.2024 passed by Dr Vijay Namdeorao Zade IAS Secretary Estate Union territory Chandigarh in case appeal no 0017 2022 titled as Sukun Ahemmad vs Chandigarh Housing board Claim in revision To accept the revision and to set aside the impugned order dated 16.04.2024 passed by Dr Vijay Namdeorao Zade IAS Secretary Estate Union territory Chandigarh.	Sukun Ahemmad son of Late Sh. Late Paltu Ram VS The secretary estate union territory, Chandigarh The Secretary cum competent authority under Chandigarh small flat scheme 2006, Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh	Pending	29 Jan 2026	14.05.2026
5	REVISION/nil/2021	RP filed against the order dated 22.10.20 passed by the CHB, CHD in respect of Booth No. 268, Manimajra, UT, CHD	Veena Goyal and Anr VS CHB	Reply filed	29-Jan-26	14.05.2026

Previous List of Court Cases pending before the Sole Arbitrator, UT, Chandigarh from

19.01.2026 to 31.01.2026

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date	Next Date
--------	----------	-------------------------------	---------------------------	--------	--------------	-----------

1	ARB/304/2019	Arbitration case in respect of Construction of 160 Nos. TBR Flats Category-II Composite Work at Sector 51-A Chandigarh - The matter has been fixed for hearing before the Sole Arbitrator Mr. Justice retired PS Dhaliwal formerly a Judge of Pb. and Haryana High Court resident of 2254 Sector 35 Chandigarh to adjudicate the disputes between the parties subject to statutory provisions for the work construction of 160 TBR flats at Sector 51-A Chandigarh	M/S B.L. Mehta Constructions Pvt. Ltd. VS CHB	Reply filed	29 Jan 2026	Adjourned, next date will be intimated shortly
---	--------------	--	---	-------------	-------------	---

Previous List of Court Cases pending before the Permanent Lok Adalat (PUS), UT, Chandigarh from 19.01.2026 to 31.01.2026						
S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date	Next Date
1	APPLICATION/18/2025	The Plaintiff has filed the Application under Section 22C of the Legal Services Authority Act 1987 for directing the respondents No. 1 and 2 to waive of the interest and penal interest on account of ground rent and interest of principal amount of Booth No. 68 Day market Sector 24 Chandigarh which stands in the name of Jagdish Prasad alias Jagdish Singh and allowed to make easy installments of the principal amount.	Usha Rani VS The Estate Office and others (Booth No. 68, Day market, Sector 24, Chandigarh).	Reply yet to be filed	19-Jan-26	11.02.2026
2	APPLICATION/36/2024	The Plaintiff has filed the Application under Section 22-C of the Public Utility Service Act 1986 for directing the respondents for refund of excess amount charges to the tune of Rs 263015 alongwith interest at 18 percent in respect of dwelling unit no 5025-1 MHC Manimajra Chandigarh and It is also further direct to pay litigation expenses and it was also further prayer that the Applicant may kindly be compensated for causing mental harassment and financial loss	Raman Singla VS Chandigarh Housing Board and others (House No 5025/1, MHC, Manimajra, Chandigarh)	Reply filed	22-Jan-26	13.02.2026
3	APPLICATION/09/2025	The Plaintiff has filed the Application under Section 80 sub section 2 CPC for the exemption of serving the Previous notice to the defendant no. 3 i.e. The Estate Officer of Estate Office Sector- 17, U.T. Chandigarh. The Plaintiff has also filed the Application Under Section 22 c of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987 for the settlement of dispute detailed below and in the event of the parties failure to the effect of conciliation for making an award on merits.	Shoban Singh VS Chandigarh Housing Board and another (DU NO. 3998, Mauli Jagran, U.T. Chandigarh	Reply filed	23-Jan-26	19.02.2026
4	APPLICATION/24/2024	The Plaintiff has filed the application under Section 22 (A) legal services Authority Act (1987) The plaintiff has filed the application to give the direction to the Respondent to give possession of allotted small flat under Chandigarh small flats scheme 2009 to applicant Mr Raj Kumar	Raj Kumar VS Estate Office and Chandigarh Housing Board (Jhuggi No 2744, Colony No. 5, Chandigarh)	Reply filed	30-Jan-26	Adjourned, next date will be intimated shortly

Previous List of Court Cases pending before the Hon’ble Secretary Estates, UT, Chandigarh from 19.01.2026 to 31.01.2026

S. no.	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date	Next Date
1	APPEAL NO./104/2025	The appellant has filed appeal under clause 17 of the Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 against order vide no 3348 dated 19.02.2025 passed by the respondent through which the allotment of small flat no 4896 2 small flats sector 38 west UT Chandigarh has been cancelled on the ground of non payment of monthly license fee.	Islam son of Lakhan VS The secretary Chandigarh housing Board Chandigarh	Reply Filed	22 Jan 2026	14.05.2026
2	APPEAL NO./226/2025	The appellant has filed appeal against the cancellation order passed by the respondent under Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 in H. No. 4902 2 small flats sector 38 west Chandigarh due to non payment of license fee.	Anil Kumar and Promila Devi VS The secretary Chandigarh housing Board exercising the powers of competent authority under the Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006	Reply Filed	22 Jan 2026	14.05.2026
3	APPEAL NO./66/2025	The appellant has filed appeal against the cancellation order passed by the respondent under Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 in H. No. 2561 C Ramdarbar Chandigarh due to non payment of license fee.	Ram Parsad Yadav son of Bali Karan Subhoti daughter of Ram Lakhan VS The secretary Chandigarh housing Board exercising the powers of competent authority under the Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006	Reply Filed	22 Jan 2026	14.05.2026
4	APPEAL NO./67/2025	The appellant has filed appeal against the cancellation order passed by the respondent under Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 in H. No. 2605 2 sector 49 Chandigarh due to non payment of license fee.	Punam Bala daughter of Sham Lal and Shri Mukesh Kumar son of Gupta Ram VS The secretary Chandigarh housing Board exercising the powers of competent authority under the Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006	Yet to be filed	22 Jan 2026	14.05.2026