

Previous List of Court Cases pending before the Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High Court, UT, Chandigarh from 16.03.2026 to 28.03.2026

Sno	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date	Next Date
1	CWP/33179/2018	Civil Writ Petition under article 226/227 of Constitution of India for the issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of writ of certiorari/ mandamus or such other appropriate writ, order or direction declaring the action of the Chandigarh Administration In over-charging the petitioner towards ground rent and the price of the shop allotted to the petitioner, in violation of the orders dated 14.05.2015, Annexure P/1, passed by this Hon'ble court in CWP No. 9723 of 2014 as illegal, arbitrary, malafide and violative of Article 14 and 19(1)(g) of the Constitution; Further an issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of mandamus directing the Chandigarh Administration to charge the ground rent on the land price and to adjust a sum of Rs. 20,00,000/- paid by the petitioner on 22.11.2016 towards premium amount without any further delay; And/or such other appropriate writ, order or direction as this Hon'ble court may deem fit and appropriate in the facts and circumstances of the case.	VIJAY KUMAR SABHARWAL VS UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS	PENDING ADJOURNMENT	16-03-2026	13.08.2026
2	CWP/26150/2019	Discretionary Quota Case - DU No. 235-C, Sector 51-A, Chandigarh.	SUBHASH YADAV VS ADMINISTRATOR, UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH AND ANOTHER	PENDING ADJOURNMENT	16-03-2026	04.08.2026
3	CWP/6413/2020	Petition filed by the subject cited petitioner for directing the respondents to implement the pension scheme for the petitioners and all other serving and retired employees who joined the service of the Chandigarh Housing Board before 01.01.2004, expeditiously in the time bond manner particularly when it has already resolved in its 345th meeting held on 03.02.2009 and also in its various subsequent meeting to implement the pension scheme at part with the employees of the Chandigarh Administration, who are governed by the Punjab Civil Services Rules and for further directions to immediately release the pensionary benefits to the employees who have already retired from the Chandigarh Housing Board.	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD EMPLOYEES COORDINATION COMMITTEE AND OTHERS VS UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	16-03-2026	14.05.2026
4	CWP/17414/2024	Writ Petition it is found that the petitioner has filed the petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ in the nature of Certiorari quashing the notice orders dated 09-08-2023 - Annexure P-11- and dated 01-12-2023 -Annexure P-13- passed by the Respondent No3 and 4 As also for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents not to demolish the railing above the boundary wall As also for the issuance of any other writ order or direction which this Honorable Court may deem fit and necessary in the facts and circumstances of the present case It is also prayed that during the pendency of the present writ petition the operation of impugned notices-orders -Annexures P-11 and P-13- issued by respondent No3 and 4 may kindly be stayed	RESIDENT WELFARE ASSOCIATION VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD THR CHAIRMAN AND OTHERS	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	16-03-2026	10.08.2026

5	CWP/16727/2016	reg. Industrial Plot no 161, Industrial Area, Phase-II Chandigarh.	SAT PAUL BANSAL & ORS VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION & ORS	PENDING	17-03-2026	22.07.2026
6	CWP/313/2017	Writ petition FILED against order dated 21/2/2012 passed by the G S Rosha under Clause 2 of Contract Agreement between M/s Dhandi Builders Ltd. respect of construction of 96 Nos. Flats of Cat-II (Group-III) in Sector 51-A, Chandigarh.	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD VS M/S DHANDI BUILDERS LTD	PENDING	17-03-2026	29.07.2026
7	CWP/26186/2017	(Oustees Matter) CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing the impugned order dated 07.11.2017 issued by the Accounts Officer, Chandigarh Housing Board, Respondent No.3 rejecting the application Form No.1101 of the petitioner by the Property Allotment Committee Respondent No.4 for MIG(Two Bed Rooms) Flats under the "263 Flats for oustees of U.T., Chandigarh on lease hold basis/free hold basis under the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling units of the Oustees of Chandigarh, Scheme 1996" and writ of mandamus directing the respondent No.3 and 4 to allot the MIG (Two Bed Rooms) Flats, in Sector 51-A, Chandigarh as action of the of Respondent No.3 and 4 rejecting the application Form No.1101 of the petitioner is illegal, null and void, discriminatory and against the scheme and pass any other direction or order as this Hon'ble Court may deemed fit in the circumstances of the case.. Also it is further prayed that the during the pendency of the writ petition, one flat of MIG (Two Bed Rooms) Flats may kindly be kept reserved and the same may not be allotted to any person till the matter is decided by this Hon'ble Court.	AJIT SINGH VS UNION TERRITORY, CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	PENDING	17-03-2026	17.07.2026
8	CWP/23681/2017	(Oustees Matter) CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing impugned action dated 13.09.2017 holding the petitioner ineligible only on the ground that the land was acquired before 01.11.1966 while this benefit is being extended to all other oustees whose land was acquired and struck down the clause which restrict the benefit only to the oustees whose house was acquired being discriminatory and for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to consider the petitioner application form No.1519 for allotment of flat under Oustees Scheme 2017 (Three Bed Room) for the petitioner whose father's land was acquired for development of Phase-II of Chandigarh in the year 1964.	ANJU MITTAL VS UT OF CHANDIGARH AND ORS.	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	17-03-2026	29.07.2026
9	CWP/36613/2019	(GPA Transfer Policy) Transfer of DU No. 146/2, HIG-I, Sector 45-A, Chandigarh.	MANJU SHARMA VS UT CHANDIGARH AND ANOTHER	PENDING ADJOURNMENT	17-03-2026	27.07.2026
10	RSA/1455/2023	To Be Entered By Nodal	VIVEK ANAND AHUJA AND ANOTHER VS THE ESTATE OFFICER, UT, CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	PENDING	17-03-2026	18.11.2026
11	CWP/16089/2005	It is, further prayed that during the pendency of the writ petition, the highly exorbitant and arbitrary demands, which are being made, be stayed. And/or any other interim order which Hon ble Lourt may deem fit and proper the facts and circumstances of the case may please be passed.	SATYA PARKASH AND ORS. VS CHD. ADMN AND ORS.	PENDING	18-03-2026	13.05.2026

12	CWP/11716/2015	Writ Petition filed by the subject cited petitioner for quashing the impugned order dated 06.02.2014 communicated on 28.05.2014 passed by the respondent No.2 being contrary to the order dated 01.08.2012 and dated 16.10.2012 passed by the Hon'ble Court in CWP No.1586 of 2011 and order dated 10.06.2004 passed by the respondent no.2 itself. And to issue a writ directing the respondents to make the allotment of dwelling unit to the petitioner as have been allotted to the similarly situated persons or to make the allotment of dwelling unit No.6287, Sector 56, Chandigarh to him which is still lying vacant and kept reserved in furtherance to the order dated 10.06.2004 passed by the Respondent No.2/competent authority.	BALI RAM VS UT OF CHANDIGARH AND ORS.	PENDING	18-03-2026	19.08.2026
13	CWP/19994/2018	Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for directing the respondents to Regularize and Transfer of Dwelling Unit No.3324/1, Sector 45-D, in favour of the present petitioner. Also for implementing the order dated 29.05.2017 passed by the Respondent Board in its letter and spirit and given benefits of the compliance of the same to the present petitioner.	RAJ RANI VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ANR	PENDING	18-03-2026	11.08.2026
14	CWP/4452/2019	Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing of the impugned orders/letters issued on 18.05.2018 vide which the Respondent Board has reused to transfer D.U.No.`129/2, Sector 55, Chandigarh to the name of petitioner, in accordance with Regulation No.16 of the Chandigarh Housing Board (Allotment, Management and Sale of Tenements) Regulations, 1979 and amendments made there under time to time. Further with prayer that a writ in the nature of mandamus be issued directing the respondent to transfer the above said dwelling unit in the name of petitioner in accordance with Regulation 16 of the Chandigarh Housing Board (Allotment, Management and Sale of Tenements) Regulations, 1979.	MAKHAN SINGH VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION THROUGH THE SECRETARY	PENDING ADJOURNMENT	18-03-2026	13.08.2026
15	RSA/2321/2025	Regular Second Appeal filed by the petitioner for setting aside the order dated 10-03-2025 passed in Civil Appeal No.2450 of 2018 by the Additional District Judge	RAM LAL ARYA AND ANOTHER VS VIJAY KUMAR AND OTHERS	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	18-03-2026	26.11.2026
16	CWP/21741/2019	(Oustees Matter) CWP under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of Writ of certiorari quashing the Cancellation of Registration and Allocation of Dwelling Unit orders dated 09.05.2019 and Show Cause Notice dated 25.09.2018 passed in a most casual and summary manner, without understanding and considering the factual situation and further directing the respondent to issue letter of allotment and deliver of possession of EWS Dwelling Unit Nos. 2353-C and 2392-C both third floor, Sector-63, Chandigarh, respectively, already allotted to the petitioners in December 2017 as "Oustees" under 'THE CHANDIGARH ALLOTMENT OF DWELLING UNITS TO THE OUSTEES OF CHANDIGARH, SCHEME, 1996" AND During the pendency of writ petition, EWS Dwelling Units Nos. 2353-C and 2392-C both third floor, Sector-63, be kept reserved for the petitioners, which were allotted to both the petitioners respectively in lieu of the land measuring 7K-6M (addendum of two parcels of land measuring 3K-13-M each belonging to petitioners having been acquired by respondent no. 1 for respondent no. 2 in the year 2002. 3) Issue letter of allotment and deliver possession of EWS Dwelling Unit Nos. 2353-C and 2392-C both third floor, Sector-63, Chandigarh under OUSTEES Scheme.	NISHA GUPTA AND ANOTHER VS UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH AND ANOTHER	PENDING ADJOURNMENT	19-03-2026	11.08.2026

17	CWP/5465/2020	Quashing the eviction order dated 15.01.2020 - DU No. 879, Village Dhanas, UT, Chandigarh - Building Violation Matter.	NASIB CHAND GUPTA VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND OTHERS	PENDING ADJOURNMENT	19-03-2026	17.08.2026
18	CWP/21618/2021	SBI Employees Cooperative House Building Society case -relating to Conversion of Lease Hold Land to Free Hold Land policy.	JAGJIWAN JIT SINGH VS UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS	PENDING ADJOURNMENT	19-03-2026	18.08.2026
19	RSA/3412/2023	The appellant has filed the subject cited Regular Second Appeal before the Honorable High Court against the Impugned Judgment and decree dated 17-02-2023 passed by Ld Additional District Judge Chandigarh as well as Judgment and Decree dated 31-03-2017 passed by Ld Civil Judge Chandigarh as vide the judgments as referred herein above, both the Ld Courts below had committed material illegality while decreeing the suit as preferred by respondents No 1 to 3 seeking declaring as well as injunction and both the Courts did not appreciate the facts and material evidence before passing the above referred judgment and the reasons as herein the Impugned Judgments and Decrees passed by the Ld Lower Courts are to be set aside	ANITA VS SHASHI BALA PATHAK AND OTHERS	PENDING	19-03-2026	30.04.2026
20	CWP/16320/2023	Petitioner has filed the petition under Article 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate writ order or direction especially in the nature of Certiorari for setting aside the impugned cancellation order dated 27-11-2000 28-06-2017 order dated 25-09-2017 impugned order dated 21-11-2017 impugned eviction notice dated 10-10-2017 and impugned eviction passed by the to 5 whereby the dwelling unit in the question cancelled by the respondent no 4 and the appeals Revision Petition filed by the petitioners were wrongly dismissed by the respondent No 1 2 and 3 and the eviction notice and eviction orders were wrongly passed by the respondents No 5 which are wholly unjust arbitrary illegal and the same are liable to be quashed And For or issuance of writ order direction in the nature of mandamus directing respondent not take any LO coercive measures in pursuance impugned order dated 15-12-2017 with further prayer to stay the operation of the impugned orders passed by the respondents no 1 to 5 or It is further prayed that a writ of mandamus may kindly be issued to respondent no 2 to 5 to revive and restore the allotment of the dwelling unit in question in the name of the petitioner and do not evict the petitioner from the premises in question during the pendency of the writ petition	CATHRINE VS ADVISER TO THE ADMINISTRATOR, UT CHD AND ORS.	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	19-03-2026	04.08.2026
21	CWP/21166/2025	Petitioner has filed petition under Articles 226-227 of the Constitution of India praying for the issuance of a writ order or direction for the issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus to the Respondents for transfer of 50 percent ownership of property DU No-1479-1 Sector 29-B Chandigarh in favour of the petitioner on the basis of a Compromise decree passed by a Competent Civil Court -National Lok Adalat Kinnaur HP- dated 13-05-2023 without insisting upon registration or execution of a separate deed And For the issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the communication order dated 11-06-2025 issued by the Respondent no 1 rejecting the Petitioners request on the ground of absence of a registered deed.	BHISHAM SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND OTHERS	PENDING	19-03-2026	11.08.2026

22	CWP/11906/2018	<p>Civil Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for setting aside orders dated 06.02.2018 passed by respondent No.4 (CEO, CHB) vide which the petitioner have decline allotment of tenement despite the fact the name/particular of the petitioner finds mentioned in Bio-Metric Survey at Sr. No.26281 of the list.</p> <p>Further for directing the respondents to consider and allot the petitioner the tenement, as per the Scheme named as Chandigarh Small Flat Scheme 2006" and Amended Scheme of 2009.</p>	SHYAM SUNDER VS UT OF CHANDIGARH AND ORS	PENDING REHEARING	20-03-2026	27.07.2026
23	CWP/4691/2017	<p>(Oustees Matter) Writ petition filed by the petitioners for quashing the "Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling Units to the Oustees of Chandigarh Scheme, 1996" issued by Respondent No.3 i.e. CHB vide which applications have been invited upto 15.03.2017 for allotment of flats to the eligible oustees of U.T., Chandigarh under Self Financing Housing Scheme on lease hold/free hold basis, the same being directly in conflict of the order/judgement dated 05.12.2013 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in CWP No.14300 of 1996 and connected 46 writ petitions.</p> <p>AND also a writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to allot dwelling units to the petitioner's strictly in compliance of the order/judgement dated 05.12.2013 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in CWP No.14300 of 1996 and connected 46 writ petitions.</p>	SATBIR SINGH & ORS VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION & ORS	PENDING	23-03-2026	26.08.2026
24	CWP/25599/2019	<p>(The Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006) Allotment of Flat under the Chandigarh Small flats Scheme 2006, quashing the impugned action of Screening Committee as they have rejected the application of petitioner for allotment of rehabilitation site and for quashing the impugned order dated 12.06.2018 passed by the Ld. Appellate Authority under Clause 17 of Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006 in Appeal no. 44 of 2017 and also to quash the impugned order dated 23.04.2019 passed in Revision Petition no. 11 of 2019 titled as Ram Kishore vs. Estate Officer and others, passed by the Court of the Advisor to the Administrator, UT, Chandigarh.</p>	RAM KISHORE VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND ORS	PENDING ADJOURNMENT	23-03-2026	03.09.2026
25	CWP/11603/2022	<p>CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing the impugned public notice dated 05.10.2021 issued by Respondent No.3 and 4, the same being wrong illegal, arbitrary and contrary to the scheme General Self Finance Housing Scheme 2008 as well as against the Provisions of Haryana Housing Board Act, 1971 as extended to U.T., Chandigarh, the Provision of Chandigarh Housing Board Allotment, Management and Sale of Tenements) Regulations, 1979 and Capital Of Punjab (Development and regulation) Act, 1952 and the Rules and Regulation made thereunder from time to time and Chandigarh Conversion of Residential Leasehold Land Tenure into free hold Land Tenure Rules, 1966.</p> <p>With further prayer to quash the letter dated 18.04.2022 issued by the Respondent No.3 and 4 vide which it has been directed to the allottee to execute the lease deed.</p>	RESIDENT WELFARE ASSOCIATION VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND OTHERS	PENDING ADJOURNMENT	23-03-2026	01.09.2026
26	CWP/26786/2022	<p>Civil Writ petition under Articles 226-227 of Constitution of India for issuance of a writ, order or direction especially a writ in the nature of Certiorari for setting aside the order dated 07-09-2022 passed by the Ld Debt Recovery Tribunal-II Chandigarh in OA No 2469 of 2017 titled as Union Bank of India Vs MsR Construction Enterprises and others which is passed on the basis of execution of the judgment and decree</p>	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD VS UNION BANK OF INDIA AND OTHERS	PENDING Notice of Motion+Stay ed	23-03-2026	29.07.2026

		<p>dated 19-09-1996 passed by the Court of Sub Judge First Class Chandigarh in Civil Suit NO 140 dated 17-07-1991-13-08-1993 on the short ground that the present petitioner is stranger to the above mentioned litigations and the present petitioner has been dragged and roped in with malafide intentions without appreciating the true, simple and basic fact matrix of the most simple proposition that the Government its instrumentalities never approach any private bank for procuring loan that too such meager loan And With a further prayer directing the Executing Court and the Trial Court to appreciate the true facts and decide the maintainability of the present proceedings in the Suit -OA- Execution qua the present petitioner being the instrumentality of the State and proceed on the basis of actual record where there is no privity of contract between the Decree Holder Plaintiff and the present petitioner-JD No 8 And With a further prayer to allow and direct the present petitioner to lay challenge to the judgment and decree dated 19-09-1996 passed by the Court of Sub Judge First Class Chandigarh in Civil Suit No 140 dated 17-07-1991-13-08-1993 after condoning the delay that has occurred in the meantime by taking appropriate objections on the short ground that the present petitioner has been unnecessarily and malafidely impleaded in the proceedings as the Defendant No 8 without any basis as the present petitioner being the instrumentality of the State has never ever approached the respondent no 1 bank for availing any loan facilities whatsoever and there being no document at all on record whereby the present petitioner can be said to have approached or signed any document till date And With a further prayer to stay the operation of the impugned order dated 07-09-2022 passed by the Ld Debt Recovery Tribunal-II Chandigarh in OA No 2469 of 2017 titled as Union Bank of India Vs Mis Construction Enterprises and others and the recovery proceedings arising therefrom during pendency of the present writ petition And With a further prayer to summon the complete records of the case and take to task the illegal malafide and unethical attempt of the respondent no1 bank and the private respondents in daring to proceed to implead the present petitioner as one of the parties approaching the bank for loan without any occasion and in the absence of any cogent and tangible proof of the petitioner ever either signing any single document or having visited any time the office of the respondent no-1 in connection with applying for loan and with a further prayer to take exemplary action against the erring officials of all the respondents for which the present petitioner also reserves his rights to take and initiate appropriate action</p>				
27	CWP/10401/2023	<p>The CWP filed by the Petitioner for directing the respondents for quashing the impugned letter dated 31-08-2022 and impugned letter dated 13-02-2023 issued by the respondents requiring the petitioner to deposit money again inspite of the fact that petitioner has already paid entire amount of cost of land and construction of booth and same is mentioned in the lease deed dated 24-04-2008 and the lease deed was executed by the respondents only after receipt of entire amount as mention in the allotment letter Further for issuance of an appropriate writ order or direction in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to issue No Due Certificate to the petitioner as petitioner has paid all the amount on account of cost of land and construction of booth and 2rd and last installment has already been paid on dated 09-06-1995 and nothing is due against the petitioner</p>	OM PARKASH CHOPRA VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ANR.	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	23-03-2026	29.07.2026

28	CWP/14027/2023	Petition filed under Article 226 - 227 of Constitution of India praying for issuance the writ in the nature of mandamus directing officials respondents to issue possession letter cum permanent allotment letter to the petitioner as against House No1621 Sector 25 Chandigarh as same was allotted to petitioner namely Shalo Devi widow of Daya Chand by the respondents in the draw conducted by them in the interest of justice And With a further prayer declare action of respondents is illegal unjust arbitrary Further more the petitioner is still in possession of the said plinth And Further a writ in nature of mandamus for directing the respondents not to threaten the petitioner and take forceful possession of the plinth till the decision of the present petition And For issuance of any other writ order or direction which this Honorable Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case may kindly be passed	SHALO DEVI VS STATE OF UT AND OTHERS	PENDING ADJOURNMENT	23-03-2026	20.07.2026
29	CWP/31656/2024	The subject cited case was filed by the petitioner Under article 226-227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a suitable writ, order or direction especially in the nature of certiorari quashing the impugned order dated 10-10-2024 impugned order dated 30-10-2023 impugned order dated 22-07-2015 and impugned order dated 18-02-2008 passed by the respondent Nos. 1 to 4 pertaining to flat no 6590-c sector 56 Chandigarh allotted to the petitioners under the rehabilitation scheme known as Chandigarh Small Flat scheme 2006 which are being wholly unjust, arbitrary and illegal And for issuance of writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to open the seal of the small flat no 6590-c sector 56 Chandigarh and to hand over the possession of the flat to the petitioners	JABAR SINGH AND ANOTHER VS ADVISER TO THE ADMINISTRATOR UT CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	PENDING ADJOURNMENT	23-03-2026	30.07.2026
30	CWP/17769/2015	Writ Petition filed by the subject cited petitioner for quashing of order dated 08.04.2015 endorsed on 16.04.2015 and order dated 11.08.2015 passed by the Respondent No.1 and 2 i.e. CHB and Registrar Cooperative Societies-cum-Deputy Commissioner, U.T., Chandigarh.	ARSHDEEP SINGH SIVIA AND ORS VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ORS	PENDING ADJOURNMENT	24-03-2026	10.08.2026
31	CWP/23511/2023	The petitioner under Article 226 of the Constitution of india for issuance of a wint in the nature of Mandamus directing Respondent No1-Chandigarh Housing Board to act in accordance with its own Order No 02 Dated 03-01-2023 olosing Need Based Changes in Dwelling Units of CHB in relaxation of Chandigarh Building Rules 2017 and accordingly direct CHB to accept applications under Clouse 22 of aforesaid Order forthwith by bringing into operation the Online Building Plan Approval System so as to enable Petitioner and similarly situated persons (whose Dwelling Units are covered thereunder to apply under the said Clause and get their plans certified under Self Certification Scheme by paying the compounding fee as per the formula provided in Clause 22 itself AND With a further prayer for issuance of a Writ in the nature of Certiorari quashing the action of Respondent No 2- Secretary CHB wherein despite repeated requests of the Petitioner to consider her case under Clause 22 of Order No 02 dated 03-01-2023 as also recorded in his own Order dated 06-10-2023 yet the Secretary in CHB has proceeded further with a more than two year old Show Cause Notice dated 24-05-2021 which was Issued at the time when previous Need Based Change Policy dated 15-02-2019 was in force which in turn stands superseded by Order No 02 dated 03-01-2023 and accordingly he has refused to consider the case of the Petitioner under Clause 22 of Order No 02 or the premise that zoning is required to bring the said Clause into	KRISHNA GARG VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND OTHERS	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	24-03-2026	07.09.2026

		operation which in fact runs contrary to Letter dated 27-09-2023 of Chief Architect Chandigarh Administration wherein he has clarified that zoning is not required and CHB may take further action accordingly While doing so the Secretary CHB has directed the Petitioner to remove the alleged violations mentioned in the aforesaid SCN within a period of 15 days vide Order dated 09-10-2023 AND With a further prayer that the proceedings emanating from the Show Cause Notice dated 24-0502021 and the consequential orders passed by the Secretary CHB Including Order dated 09-10-2023 directing the Petitioner to remove the alleged violations within a period of 15 days may kindly be stayed during pendency of the present Writ Petition				
32	CWP/6661/2026	Civil Writ Petition it is found that the Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of Writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the Respondents to allot a -One Room tenement under the Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme-2006 by setting aside the arbitrary rejection of the Petitioner Application in the interest of justice	KRISHAN KUMAR VS UNION TERRITORY OF CHANDIGARH, AND OTHERS	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	24-03-2026	04.05.2026
33	CWP/13381/2001	DU No. 2373, Indira Colony, Manimajra, Chandigarh.	SHIV CHARAN VERMA VS UNION OF INDIA AND ORS	PENDING ADMITTED	25-03-2026	18.05.2026
34	CWP/12512/2019	Society Matter.	THE NIRVANA COOPERATIVE HOUSE BUILDING FIRST SOCIETY LTD AND ORS VS UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	PENDING ADJOURNMENT	25-03-2026	22.07.2026
35	CWP/14624/2023	petition for setting aside the order which is placed on record dated 16-10-2018 which is passed by the Respondent No-4 - Appellate Authority -cum-CEO Under Clause 17 of the Chandigarh Small Flat Sceme-2006 Chandigarh Housing Board And With a further praying to direct the Respondent No 3 to make the allotment flat or tenement to the petitioner in terms of the 2006 scheme And Or For issuance of any other writ order or direction which this Honorable Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case may kindly be passed	RAJU VS UT, CHANDIGARH AND ORS.	PENDING ADJOURNMENT	25-03-2026	26.08.2026
36	COCP/2195/2025	Contempt Petition under section 12 of the Contempt of court Act 1971 against the respondents as they have not decided the representation dated 20-04-2022 made by the petitioners as per the order of Honorable High Court in CWP No-11844 of 2018 on dated 31-03-2022. In which it is directed to petitioners to made an representation within a period of 15 days In case representation is filed within the said period, then respondent no- 3 will decide the same accordance with law within next three months That till date the representation is not decided by the Respondent no 3 Hence, punishment be given to respondents	DHARAM SINGH AND OTHERS VS SH RAJEEV VERMA AND OTHERS	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	25-03-2026	21.05.2026
37	CWP/20421/2025	Petitioner has filed the same under Article 226-227 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of writ in nature of certiorari quashing of order dated 22-04-2025 passed by the Honorable Permanent Lok Adalat -Public Utility Services- Union Territory Chandigarh and order dated 19-07-2023 passed by the respondent No1 rejecting the claim of the petitioner for No Objection for sale of lease rights which is illegal arbitrary and against the settled principles of law	PRABHA SABIKHI ALIAS PRABHA BAJAJ AND ANOTHER VS THE CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND OTHERS	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	25-03-2026	21.09.2026

38	CWP/23426/2025	<p>CIVIL WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226-227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT ESPECIALLY IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS DIRECTING THE RESPONDENTS TO GRANT THE STATUTORY PAY SCALE TO THE PETITIONERS AS MANDATED IN RELEVANT THEIR SERVICE REGULATIONS HOUSING BOARD -OFFICE AND SERVANTS SERVICE REGULATIONS 2021 DATED 10-11-2021-VIZ 10300-34800 AND GRADE PAY RS. 3200 WITH FURTHER PAY REVISIONS FROM THE DATE OF THEIR DIRECT APPOINTMENT ALONG WITH ARREARS AND INTEREST AT 12 percent PER ANNUM THEREON ESPECIALLY KEEPING IN VIEW THE FACT THAT THE SAME WAS PROMISED BY THE RESPONDENT-DEPARTMENT IN THE ADVERTISEMENT DATED Nil AND THE SAME WAS GIVEN TO THE EMPLOYEES CLERKS APPOINTED PRIOR TO THE PETITIONERS HOWEVER THE PETITIONERS HAVE BEEN DEPRIVED OF THE CORRECT PAY CIVIL WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226-227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT ESPECIALLY IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS DIRECTING THE RESPONDENTS TO GRANT THE STATUTORY PAY SCALE TO THE PETITIONERS AS MANDATED IN RELEVANT THEIR SERVICE REGULATIONS IE HOUSING BOARD - OFFICE AND SERVANTS- SERVICE REGULATIONS 2021 DATED 10-11-2021 VIZ 10300-34800 AND GRADE PAY RS-3200 WITH FURTHER PAY REVISIONS FROM THE DATE OF THEIR DIRECT APPOINTMENT ALONG WITH ARREARS AND INTEREST AT 12PERCENT PER ANNUM THEREON ESPECIALLY KEEPING IN VIEW THE FACT THAT THE SAME WAS PROMISED BY THE RESPONDENT-DEPARTMENT IN THE ADVERTISEMENT DATED NIL AND the respondents to take into account the statutory pay scale as provided in the housing board office and servants service regulations 2021 of RS-10300-34800 and grade pay RS- 3200 with further pay revisions And issue a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the adoption order dated 01-05.2023 issued by the respondent-Chandigarh housing board without any application of mind or justification whereby the wrong pay scale of rs 5200-20200 and grade pay rs1900 has been extended to the petitioners while ignoring the correct-entitled pay scale i.e. 10300-34800 and grade pay rs. 3200, while extending wrong pay scale to the petitioners/ clerks 1e rs 5200-20200 and grade pay rs1900 in arbitrary discriminatory manner without application of mind reason or justification And issue a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to take into account the statutory pay scale as provided in the housing board office and servant service regulations 2021 dated 10 11-2021) of rs. 10300-34800 and grade pay rs. 3200/- with further pay revisions And issue a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the adoption order dated 01-05-2023 issued by the respondent - Chandigarh housing board, without any application of mind or justification. whereby, the wrong pay scale of rs. 5200-20200 and grade pay rs.1900, has been extended to the petitioners, while ignoring the correct/entitled pay scale which is mentioned in their relevant service regulation 2021 (annexure p-1 dated 10.11.2021) and advertisement dated nil i.e. rs. 10300-34800 and grade pay rs. 3200</p>	<p>VIVEK BISHT AND ORS VS UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH AND ORS</p>	<p>PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION</p>	<p>27-03-2026</p>	<p>21.04.2026</p>
----	----------------	---	--	---	-------------------	-------------------

Previous List of Court Cases pending before the National Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission, from 16.03.2026 to 28.03.2026

Sno	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date	Next Date
1	AE/5/2024	Appeal Execution filed by PDL against the order dated 20-11-2023 in EA No125-2020 with MA No 647-2023 of the State Commission UT Chandigarh with IA No 2166-2024 and 2167-2024 - Stay Exemption from dim documents - and directed to take further notice that the matter above mentioned will be listed for direction hearing before National Commission on 15-04-2024 and in default of appearance the matter will be heard and determined ex-parte Also he has supplied the copy of order dated 23-02-2024 in the above said appeal execution saying the proceedings in this execution case subject to deposit of the balance amount of delay compensation from 07-02-2015 to 21-08-2015 before the Hoorable State Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission UT, Chandigarh.	Parsvnath Developers Ltd. VS Jyoti Sood and Others	Pending	17 Mar 2026	15.09.2026
2	AE/11/2024	APPEAL EXECUTION NO. NC/AE/11/2024 Appeal Execution filed by CHB against the Order dated 20th November 2023 passed in Complaint EA-25-2020 of the State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission Chandigarh	Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh VS Parsvnath Developers Ltd and others - Jyoti Sood	Pending	17 Mar 2026	15.09.2026
3	AE/82/2024	Appeal Execution filed b the Chandigarh Housing Board against the order dated 03-09-2024 in Complaint No-MA-913-2024 passed by State Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission UT Chandigarh	Chandigarh Housing Board, Chandigarh VS Parsvnath Developers Ltd and others - Ajay Gauba	Pending	17 Mar 2026	15.09.2026

Previous List of Court Cases pending before the District Court, UT, Chandigarh from 16.03.2026 to 28.03.2026

Sno	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date	Next Date
1	CS CJ/826/2019	Suit filed by the plaintiff for declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is owner to the extent of 50% share in DU No. 2313, Sector 45-C, Chandigarh with consequential relief directing the def. No. 1 (CHB) to transfer 50% share of the said DU.	MEENU DHAWAN VS THE CHAIRMAN	Defence/Rebuttal Evidence/Arguments	16-03-2026	24.03.2026
2	CS CJ/1379/2021	The applicant filed an application under order 39 Rule 1 and 2 for restraining the defendant from selling, alienating, mortgaging the property i.e. DU No. 5019, MIG, Ground Floor, Sector-38(West), CHD and for interfering in peaceful possession of the property	RAJ KUMAR SALUJA VS PARDEEP SALUJA	Defence evidence	16-03-2026	08.04.2026

3	CS CJ/786/2022	CSCJ/786/ 2022 titled as Col M.K. Khorana (Retd) vs Kulbhushan Aggarwal.(Regarding Dwelling unit 5115-5120, Second Floor, Mordern Housing Complex, Sector 13, Chandigarh.	COL M K KHORANA (RETD) VS KULBHUSHAN AGGARWAL	Written Statement/Reply/Replication/ Consideration	16-03-2026	02.04.2026
4	CS CJ/948/2023	CSCJ/948/2023 Mohinder Singh Sood Vs Munne DU No 2626/1 Sector 44-C, CHD	MOHINDER SINGH SOOD VS MUNNE	Reply And Consideration	16-03-2026	08.05.2026
5	CS CJ/2006/2016	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff seeking transfer of properties i.e. DU No. 1238, Sector 43-B, Chandigarh 14th share in agriculture land on WILL basis.	INDU MUNJAL VS CHAIRMAN CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Defence evidence	17-03-2026	06.04.2026
6	CS CJ/616/2016	Suit for declaration of WILL dated 08-09-2015 in favor of the plaintiff in respect of DU No. 5171/3, MHC, Manimajra and mandatory injunction to the respondent no. 5 to transfer the said house.	MANDEEP MAND VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Reply And Consideration	17-03-2026	01.04.2026
7	CS CJ/2381/2018	Civil Suit No. CSCJ/2381/ 2018 titled as Anju Sharma vs Rajiv Sharma, 342-2, Sector 45-A, CHD	ANJU SHARMA VS RAJIV SHARMA	Civil Evidence	17-03-2026	25.03.2026
8	CS CJ/3002/2018	Suit for specific performance of Agreement to Sell dated 12-03-2003 in respect of DU No. 3081, Sector 44-D, UT, Chandigarh.	VIJENDER KUMAR NIRMAL VS NANAK SINGH	Evidence	17-03-2026	25.03.2026
9	CS CJ/2431/2019	In this matter plaintiff has filed application under order Rule 1 and 2 read with Section 151 C.P.C. for Ad-interim Injunction restraining the defendants No.2 and 3 from selling alienating, mortgaging and handing over the possession of the Dwelling Unit No.1125, Indira Colony, Manimajra, Chandigarh to any other person except the plaintiff during the pendency of the main suit.	BISH RAM @ VISH RAM VS THE CHAIRMAN	Defence evidence	17-03-2026	29.04.2026
10	CS CJ/1072/2020	SCR No. 619, Daddu Majra, Colony (Property pertains to Estate Office).	AMRIK SINGH VS ANIL KUMAR	Civil Evidence	17-03-2026	03.04.2026
11	CS CJ/1022/2022	CSCJ/1022/2022, Aseem Parkash Vs Dr. Alka Singh booth no 8, sector 48-A	ASEEM PARKASH VS DR. ALKA SINGH	Evidence	17-03-2026	07.05.2026
12	CA/86/2023	CA/86/2023, Jagdeep Kaur Vs Lakhbir Kaur, Du No 1441-A Sector 61, CHD The Petitioner has filed the Application under order 41 Rule 5 CPC read with order 39 rule 1 and 2 CPC and section 515 of CPC for stay of the operation of the impugned judgment order dated 11/07/2022 during the pendency of the appeal	JAGDEEP KAUR VS LAKHBIR KAUR	Reply And Consideration	17-03-2026	28.04.2026
13	CS CJ/486/2023	Seema Sood Vs Sanjay Sood, CSCJ/486/2023, Du No 2149/2, Sector 45-C, CHD	SEEMA SOOD VS SANJAY SOOD	Consideration	17-03-2026	18.05.2026
14	CS CJ/2127/2023	CSCJ/2127/2023 Prabha Pardal VS General Public H.No 2203/2, Sector 45-C Chandigarh PUC dated 29 11 2023 may kindly be perused Vide PUC Civil Judge Junior Division Chandigarh forwarded the copy of Civil Suit copy attached filed by the plaintiff for the declaration that plaintiff no 1 is the owner of 50 Percent share and plaintiff no 2 3 are owner to the extent of 25 percent share of each of House No 2203 2 Sector 45 C Chandigarh and after the death of Late Sh Surinder Mohan Pardal S o Late Sh Sardari Lal Pardal who	PRABHA PARDAL VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Evidence	17-03-2026	28.04.2026

		<p>was allotted this house/dwelling unit i e House No 2203 2 MIG F Category Sector 45 C Chandigarh in a draw held on 14 1 1989 and Sh Surinder Mohan Pardal died on 06 03 2017 at Chandigarh leaving behind plaintiff no 1 as wife and plaintiff no 2 3 as sons and at the time of death mother of Late Sh Surinder Mohan Pardal namely Kulwanti wife of Late Sh Sardari Lal Pardal was alive and she also died on 09-02-2019 by executing a Will dated 14-12-2012 of her share in favour of plaintiff declared in joint possession with plaintiff no 1 to the extent of 25 percent share of each in the house in question and accordingly this dwelling unit may kindly be transferred in the names of plaintiff no 1 to the extent of 50 percent share and in the name of plaintiff no 2 to the extent of 25 percent share each and also suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant no 2 to 4 transfer the dwelling unit/House No 2203 2 Sector 45 C Chandigarh from the name of Late Sh Surinder Mohan Pardal in the name of plaintiff no 1 to 3 in the interest of justice equity and fair play and to grant any other relief which the plaint law or direction or re entitled as per law The next date of hearing of this case is fixed on 19 01 2024 May send the case to Worthy Secretary for necessary approval for the entrustment of this case to Advocate Sh. Anshuman Narula for defending the case on behalf of Chandigarh Housing Board</p> <p>Submitted Please</p>				
15	MISC DJ/416/2024	MISC DJ/416/2024 titled as Surinder Kumar vs Krishna Devi Earlier CSCJ/425/2019 and MCA DJ/46/2024 was defended by Advocate Sh Vikas Jain on behalf of Chandigah Housing Board So he is well informed with the facts of the subject cited court case	SURINDER KUMAR VS KRISHNA DEVI	Notice And Record	17-03-2026	09.09.2026
16	EXE/461/2025	Execution the award dated 15-07-2025 (Corrected on 11-09-2025) passed in the arbitration matter for the work of Construction of 388 2BR Flat, Sector 63, UT, Chandigarh.	M/S BL MEHTA CONSTRUCTION PVT. LTD. VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	17-03-2026	21.04.2026
17	CS CJ/1683/2025	Suit for restraining the respondents from taking illegal and coercive action on Flat No. 2769, Sector 47-C and directing the respondents to withdraw the notice dated 25-07-2025.	HARJEET BEDI VS State of UT Chandigarh	Reply/Consideration	17-03-2026	05.05.2026
18	CS CJ/1117/2021	App. under Order 39 Rule 1 and 2 of CPC, 1908 read with section 151 CPC for seeking temporary and permanent injunction towards restraining the release of pension benefits, a retirement benefits and all other monetary benefits of late Sh Parvesh Kumar S/o Sh. Bikhari # 1678, mauli Jagran Complex, CHD to anyone except the plaintiff	MEETO VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Notice And Record	18-03-2026	17.04.2026
19	CS CJ/928/2022	Civil Suit No. CSCJ/928/2022 titled as Susmita Kashyap VS Rajeev kashyap and Others before the Civil Judge (Jr. Division) Chandigarh.(5801/38 (West))	SUSMITA KASHYAP VS RAJEEV KASHYAP	Reply And Consideration	18-03-2026	19.05.2026
20	CS CJ/2242/2022	CSCJ/2242/2022-Rajinder Singh Vs Mohinder Singh. DU No. 4578, Maloya Colony, Chandigarh	RAJINDER SINGH VS MOHINDER SINGH	Reply And Consideration	18-03-2026	27.04.2026
21	EXE/278/2023	EXE/278/2023 Angelina Gill Vs Vidya Sagar, House No 729, Sector 20, Panchkula, CHB Property no 4782-B, Sector 38-W	ANGELINA GILL VS VIDYA SAGAR	Awaiting Further Order	18-03-2026	22.07.2026

22	CS CJ/695/2024	CSCJ/695/2024 Ripudaman Singh Vs Chandigarh Housing Board Booth No 15 Sector 49B Chandigarh PUC is a summons to appear in the subject cited court case received in this office on 08/05/2024 with the copy of petition copy attached may kindly be perused please The next date of Subject Cited Court Case has been fixed for 21/05/2024 The Plaintiff has filed the SUIT for Mandatory Injunction directing defendant No 1 to restore the No Objection Certificate NOC issued vide Letter/Endst. No 17167/68 dated 26/10/2023 for sale of lease hold rights in respect of Booth No 15 Sector 49/B Chandigarh And Suit for Permanent Injunction restraining defendant No 1 and 2 from transferring the Booth No 15 Sector 49B Chandigarh in favour of anybody else except the plaintiff And filed an Application under Order 39 rule 1 and 2 CPC for grant of ad-interim injunction restraining the defendants from transferring the Booth No 15 Sector 49/B Chandigarh in favour of anybody else except the plaintiff during the pendency of the suit	RIPUDAMAN SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	18-03-2026	21.05.2026
23	CIVIL MISC/180/2024	Civil Misc/180/2024 in Civil Misc 372/2023 in PROB/14/2021 titled as Neha Masi vs General Public HNo 748 Dadu Majra Colony Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed an Application on behalf of petitioner for restoration of the application for clarification of the order in the probate petition which was dismissed on 28/03/2024	NEHA MASI VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Notice And Record	18-03-2026	25.05.2026
24	CS CJ/1364/2024	CSCJ/1364/2024 Sahil Kansal Vs Narinder Kumar & Others HNo 5797/A Category HIG U Sector 38W Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed an Suit for Declaration that the transfer deed dated 02/07/2024 registered at serial No 1910 Book No 1 in the office of Sub registrar UT Chandigarh with regard to 1/3 rd share of the plaintiff in favour of defendant no2 is the result of fraud committed by defendants 1 and 2 with the plaintiff with consequential relief that said deed of transfer is void non/est and inspite of execution and registration of the same the plaintiff is still the owner in the possession of 1/3 rd share of the house in question whereas defendant no 2 cannot get the 1/3 rd share of the house of the plaintiff transferred in his name by approaching defendant no 3 And also suit for permanent injunction for restraining defendant no. 3 from transferring in any way 1/3 rd share of the plaintiff to any person or party including defendant no2 during the pendency of suit And filed an Application under Order 39 Rule 1 and 2 for grant of temporary injunction during the pendency of suit and Application under Section 151 CPC for dispensing of giving notice of defendant no 3 before filing the suit	SAHIL KANSAL VS NARINDER KUMAR	Reply/Consideration	18-03-2026	14.05.2026
25	CS CJ/158/2025	Restraining the defendants to transfer the DU No. 176/2, Sector 45-A, UT, Chd.	JASWINDER SINGH VS JAGJIT SINGH GHUMAN	Evidence	18-03-2026	11.05.2026
26	CS CJ/492/2025	Plaintiff filed the CS/492/2025 titled as Vikas Singla vs. UOI & others (DU No. 2692/2, Sector 44-C, UT, Chandigarh) for directing CHB to transfer the said dwelling unit on the basis of Transfer deed dated 13-12-2024 executed by defendant no. 02 in his favor.	VIKAS SINGLA VS UNION OF INDIA	Appearance	18-03-2026	10.07.2026
27	CS CJ/850/2025	Suit for specific performance of the Agreement to Sell dated 10-12-1987 in respect of DU No. 3441, Sector 40-D.	MOHIT GOEL VS THE SECRETARY CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Notice And Record	18-03-2026	22.07.2026

28	CS CJ/1601/2025	Suit for specific performance of sale agreement dated 14-10-2014 in respect of DU No. 2785-A, LIG, Sector 49, UT, Chandigarh.	MANDEEP SINGH VS UNION OF INDIA	Notice And Record	18-03-2026	29.04.2026
29	EXE/391/2025	Execution has been filed to enforce the award dated 10-04-2025 & 14-05-2025 for the work of providing comprehensive Architectural Consultancy Services for construction of two residential plots and a club at IT Habitat, RGCTP, Chandigarh.	M/S BY DESIGN ART AND ARCHITECTURE VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	18-03-2026	27.03.2026
30	CM/338/2025	Revival of original CS-608/-2022 (DU No. 418, Sector 44-A ,UT, Chandigarh).	JASKIRAT KAUR VS JASMEEN DAYAL	Appearance	18-03-2026	03.04.2026
31	CS/515/2021	DU No. 3675, Sector 46-C, UT, Chandigarh.	Dilawar Singh VS Asha Deep Kaur	Defendant Evidence	19-03-2026	01.04.2026
32	CS CJ/49/2023	Pintu Vs Sunita Devi, CSCJ/49/2023, Du No 107-A, Dhanas, Chandigarh	PINTU VS SUNITA DEVI	Reply And Consideration	19-03-2026	18.04.2026
33	CS CJ/1191/2023	CSCJ/1191/2023 Manjit Singh Vs Verinder Kaur, Du No 2952, Sector 42-C, Chandigarh	MANJIT SINGH VS VERINDER KAUR	Civil Evidence	19-03-2026	07.07.2026
34	ARB/140/2024	To challenge the Arbitration Award dated 07.11.2023 for the work of construction of 216 three bedroom flats Group-III in Sector-63 Chandigarh in Arbitration case M/s BL Mehta Construction Pvt Ltd Vs Chandigarh Housing Board	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD VS M/S B.L MEHTA CONSTRUCTIONS PVT. LTD	Notice And Record	19-03-2026	21.08.2026
35	CS CJ/407/2024	CSCJ/407/2024 Suman Lata Vs Vinod Kumar DU No 1665/1 Sector 29 B First Floor and DU no 1667 Sector 29 B Ground Floor U T Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the Suit for Permanent Injunction restraining the defendants relatives and their agents from interfering into peaceful possession of the plaintiff and her family members at house no 1665/1 Sector 29 B First Floor UT Chandigarh And Suit for Permanent injunction restraining the defendants from raising construction/violation at the first floor in the courtyard of house no 1667 Sector 29 B Second Floor UT Chandigarh of which caused hurdles in the easement right of the plaintiff like air, sunlight etc and gross violation of plan proved by the defendant. No 2 And Suit for mandatory injunction for directing the defendants to remove the illegal construction which they have already done/erect without the consent of defendant no 2 from the roof of the construction at courtyard of the house no. 1667 Sector 29 B UT Chandigarh Earlier the APPEAL/75/2016 and APPEAL/203/2016 of the subject cited court had been attended by Advocate Sh Rajiv Sharma So the Counsel is well aware about the subject cited court case	SUMAN LATA VS VINOD KUMAR	Evidence	19-03-2026	27.04.2026
36	CS CJ/352/2024	CSCJ/352/2024 Rajesh Kumar Sadana Vs General Public Dwelling unit no 2696/2 Sector 44C Chandigarh PUC dated 25/06/2024 is a summons to appear in the subject cited court case received in this office on 25/06/2024 without the copy of petition may kindly be perused please And the copy of petition is obtained from the counsel of Plaintiff dated 05/07/2024 copy attached The next date of Subject Cited Court Case has been fixed for 02/09/2024 The Plaintiff has filed Civil Suit for Specific performance of Agreement to	RAJESH KUMAR SADANA VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Reply/Consideration	19-03-2026	06.05.2026

		sell dated 19/10/1993 entered into between Defendant no3 and the Plaintiffs wherein House No 2696/2 Sector 44C Chandigarh was sold to the plaintiffs at a total sale consideration money of Rs 40,000/ the said amount was paid and full and final receipt dated 19/10/1993 was executed And filed the Suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant no 2 for transferring the Lease hold Rights of House No 2696/2 Sector 44c Chandigarh in favour of plaintiffs on the basis of Agreement to sell dated 19/10/1993 and had bequeathed the above said house in favor of the plaintiffs vide will dated 22/10/1993 in exclusion of any other person AND Suit for permanent injunction restraining the Defendant no. 2, their associates and agent etc. from selling, transferring, alienating, gifting any share and / or creating any third-party rights in house no 2696/2 Sector 44C Chandigarh except the plaintiffs And any other relief this Hon ble Court deems fit according to the facts and circumstances of the present case may be granted in favour of the Plaintiffs and against the defendants				
37	CS CJ/1246/2024	CSCJ/1246/2024 titled as Raghbir Singh Vs Joginder Singh HNo 5361/1 Modern Housing Complex Manimajra Sector 13 Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed an Civil Suit for Declaration to the effect that the will dated 24/02/.223 as mentioned in petition alleged to be executed by late Smt Raj Rani registered at SNo 1920 Book No 3 Vol No I with Sub/Registrar Chandigarh in favouR of defendant NO 1 is a fabricated document and is outcome of fraud and misrepresentation therefore same may be declared as illegal document and further declaration that the properties left by late Smt Raj Rani moveable and immovable have been inherited by the plaintiff and defendants no 1 to 3 in equal share all being the class I legal heirs of late Smt. Raj Rani Further Suit for Permanent Injunction restraining the defendant no. 1 from transferring and parting with the possession of the HNo 5361/1 Modern Housing Complex Manimajra Section 13 Chandigarh and gold in the possession of the defendant no.1 to third person by way of sale mortgage and gift and not to withdraw and misappropriate the money laying in the banks in the name of late Smt Raj Rani Further Civil Suit for partition of the H.No 5361/1 Modern Housing Complex Manimajra Chandigarh amounts of FDRs laying wit SBI Sector 30 Chandigarh and gold in the possession of the defendant no 1 amongst plaintiff and defendants no 1 to 3 in equal share If partition is not Oossible the house in dispute be ordered to be sold in public auction and proceed be divided amongst the plaintiff and defendant no1 to 3 in equal share	RAGHBIR SINGH VS JOGINDER SINGH	Reply/Consideration	19-03-2026	16.04.2026
38	CS CJ/899/2024	CSCJ/899/2024 titled as Tajinder Pal Singh vs Ghan Sham Lal & Others House no 3304 Ground Floor Sector 45/D Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed an Application under order 39 rule 1 and 2 read with Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure 1908 for Grant of Temporary Injunction Restraining the Defendants No 1 and her agents Representative Successors Servants Legal heirs etC from disposing off the suit property in question by way of sale mortgage renting out etc and from dispossessing the plaintiffs from the suit property ie Dwelling unit no 3304 Ground floor Sector 45/d Chandigarh till the pendency of the suit/plaint And Interim Stay may also be granted in favor of the plaintiffs till the disposal of the present application And Filed an Suit for specific performance of the Agreement to Sell dated 10/09/2004 executed by defendant no 1 SH Ghan Sham Lal now deceased Whereby he entered into agreement to sell, in respect	TAJINDER PAL SINGH VS GHAN SHAM LAL	Written Statement/Reply/Replication/Considration	19-03-2026	16.04.2026

		<p>of Dwelling unit No. 3304 LIG Flat Ground Floor Sector 45/D Chandigarh in favor of Sh Manjit Singh son of Gulab Singh resident of HNo 3025 Sector 27d Chandigarh which included their heirs assignees executors successors legal representatives and administrations to the extent of 100 share with a total consideration price of Rs 350000 as full and final settlement to the said agreement to sell dated 10/09/2004 which was duly paid by Sh Manjit Singh against the receipt dated 10/04/1991 and Sh Ghan Sham Lal also executed a registered General Power of Attorney dated 10/09/2004 registered at serial number 4559 Book no 4 volume No 267 pm 10/09/2004 and a registered Will dated 10/09/2004 registered at serial no 2777 Book no 3 volume no 274 on 10/09/2004 and an affidavit dated 10/09/2004 acknowledging the execution of aforementioned document And file an Suit for declaration to the effect that the plaintiffs are owner in possession in property ie Dwelling unit no 3304 LIG Flat Ground Floor Sector 45/d is liable to be transferred lease hold right of property in favor of plaintiffs on the basis of an agreement to sell a registered will a registered GPA and an affidavit executed by Sh Ghan Sham Lal in favour of Sh Manjit Singh Which included plaintiffs being his sons /heirs assigness executors Successors legal representatives and administrators along with other supporting documents on account of the intestate demise of Sh Manjit SngH And Suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant no 2 to transfer the lease hold rights of the said dwelling unit no 3304 LIG FlaT Ground Floor Sector 45/d in favour of plaintiffs on the basis of documents mentioned above And Suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendant no 1 legal heirs of late Sh Ghan Sham Lal their associates Legal representatives agents successors etc from alienating and mortgaging the suit property and further restraining them from interfering into peaceful possession of plaintiffs over the suit property and from forcibly dispossessing the plaintiffs from the premises in question till the final disposal of the present suit And also directing the defendant no. 2 Chandigarh Housing Board to issue NOC and further transferring the lease hold right of property in question in favour of plaintiffs on the basis of the aforementioned Agreement to sell registered will registered GPA an affidavit and other supporting documents executing by late Sh Ghan Sham Lal in favour of Sh Manjit Singh which included plaintiffs being his son shei</p>				
39	CS CJ/1552/2024	<p>CSCJ/1552/2024 Gaurav Sharma vs Naveen Sharma DU No 3230/3 Sector 44/D ChandigarH The Plaintiff has filed SUIT for declaration to the effect that plaintiff alongwith Performa defendant no 4 are joint owner in possession of 1/3 share in Dwelling Unit no 3230/3 Sector 44/D Chandigarh being the legal heirs of Meenu Sharma D/o Chander Mohan Sharma original allottee and further declaration that transfer of the dwelling unit in favour of defendant no 1 and 2 in 1/2 share is illegal null void having no effect over the rights of the plaintiff and same is liable to set aside along with consequential relief permanent injunction restraining the defendant 1 and 2 from alienating the above said property by way of sale gift and mortgage etc and not the oust the plaintiff from the joint possession of the above said land In the alternative suit for joint possession of the share of the plaintiff in the suit property And filed an Application u/o 39 rule 1 and 2 read with section 151 CPC for issuing the temporary injunction during the pendency of the suit</p>	GAURAV SHARMA VS NAVEEN SHARMA	Appearance	19-03-2026	17.04.2026

40	CS CJ/2270/2025	Only summon received.	SACHIN KANOJIA VS THE CHANDIGARH HOUSE BOARD	Pending	19-03-2026	08.05.2026
41	CS CJ/337/2025	Grant of decree for specific performance of Agreement to Sell dated 31-07-1995 and seeking directions to def. no. 01 & 02 to execute sale deed in favor of plaintiffs in respect of DU No. 6022 (Duplex), MHC, Manimajra, UT, Chandigarh.	SATWANT KOUR SANDHU VS RAHUL SHARMA	Evidence	19-03-2026	04.05.2026
42	CS CJ/321/2025	To be entered by Nodal Officer	DEVINDER KUMAR CHAUDHARY VS AMNINDER GILL	Notice And Record	19-03-2026	01.07.2026
43	CS CJ/659/2025	Suit for declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is in owner in possession of Flat No. 2630/1, Sector 47, Chandigarh, on the basis of WILL dated 16-04-2015 executed by the mother of the plaintiff vide which the said flat was bequeathed in favour of the plaintiff.	TEJVIR SINGH DUA VS AJAY PAL SINGH	Written Statement/Reply/Replication/Considration	19-03-2026	20.04.2026
44	CS CJ/100/2026	Plaintiff filed the suit for declaration that the plaintiff is the lawful owner of DU No. 565/2, Sector 40-A, on the basis of Agreement to Sell dated 28-12-1988 and WILL dated 28-12-1988.	RAJINDER KUMAR SHARMA VS BALBIR SINGH	Reply And Consideration	19-03-2026	12.05.2026
45	ARB/1816/2019	Civil suit to be filed by CHB challenge the award dated 1.10.2019 passed by Sole Arbitrator Mr. Justice S.N.Aggarwal, Former Judge Punjab and Haryana High Court u/s 34 of the Arbitration and Reconciliation Act 1996 in arbitration case CHB Vs Kamladitya Construction Pvt Ltd for construction of 2304 Small Flats at Dhanas, Chandigarh (Composite Work)	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD VS KAMLADITYYA CONSTRUCTION P LTD	Arguments	20-03-2026	25.08.2026
46	CS CJ/375/2020	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of share in respect of DU No. 3623, Maulijagan, Chandigarh.	PARMOD KUMAR VS SATDEV KUMAR	Evidence	20-03-2026	19.05.2026
47	CS CJ/232/2024	CSCJ/232/2024 Rajeshwar Kumar Vs General Public H No 4426 EWS Maloya Colony Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the SUIT for declaration to the effect that the Plaintiffs are the legal heirs of late Sh Dharam Pal alias Dharam Chand son of Sh Chhadi Lal alias Chhedi Lal to the extent of 50 share each of House no 4426 EWS Maloya Colony Chandigarh And Suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant No 2 to transfer the 50 share each of House no 4426 EWS Maloya colony Chandigarh in favour of the plaintiffs and directing the defendant No 2 not to transfer the house in the name of any persons except the plaintiffs And also filed an Application under section 67 of Chandigarh Housing Board Act 1971 As amended read with section 80 of CPC for leave to institute the suit without service of notice over the defendant no 2	RAJESHWAR KUMAR VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Evidence	20-03-2026	26.05.2026
48	CS CJ/1647/2024	CSCJ/1647/2024 Kiranjit Kaur vs The Secretary CHB Others HNo 358 HIG Ground Floor Sector 44 A Chandigarh AND Suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendant No 4 from entertaining any sale deed transfer deed mortgage deed in respect of HNo 358 Sector 44A HIG Ground Floor Chandigarh AND Suit for mandatory injunction directing defendant No 1 to transfer the property ie HNo 358 Sector 44A HIG Ground Floor Chandigarh in the name of the plaintiff defendant No 2 and defendant No 3 in equal shares by holding The Will dated 21/03/1997 alleged to have been executed by Late Sh Jagdev Singh Gill to have	KIRANJIT KAUR VS THE SECRETARY CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	20-03-2026	22.07.2026

		been executed in suspicious circumstances and being a forged and fabricated document AND Suit for declaration that the transfer on the basis of alleged Will dated 21/03/1997 in favor of Smt Harbhajan Kaur be declared null and void on account of the alleged will dated 1/03/1997 being forged and fabricated and the transfer having been affected in favor of Late sh Harbhajan Kaur without following proper procedure without issuing any notice to the plaintiff and without giving the plaintiff any right to file objection to said will and proposed transfer on the basis of alleged Will AND Suit for declaration that the consequent transfer on the basis of family transfer alleged to have been executed by smt. Harbhajan Kaur in favor of defendant No 2 is non-consequential since Harbhajan Kaur was not the owner of 100 share in respect of HNo 358 Sector 44A HIG Ground Floor Chandigarh and further smt Harbhajan Kaur did not possess the mental and physical health on account of her having been suffering from various old age related problems including paralysis for the past more than 0 years from the date of her death AND Any other relief in this Hon ble court deems fit and proper may be granted in favour of the plaintiff and against the defendant And also filed an Application U/s 80 2 seeking exemption from sending Previous notice to the defendants no 1 2 And an application under order 39 rule 1 2 restraining the defendants from selling alienating mortgaging or creating any third party rights in respect of the property ie residential HNo 358 Sector 44A HIG Ground Floor				
49	MCA DJ/35/2025	Against the Eviction Order dated 24-02-2025 in respect of Small Flat No. 106-B, Dhanas, UT, Chandigarh.	RAM SUBHAG VS SECRETARY ESTATES-CUM-FINANCE SECRETARY	Notice And Record	20-03-2026	15.05.2026
50	CS CJ/1039/2025	Transfer of DU No. 2593/1, Sector 44-C, UT, Chandigarh.	CHETAN VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply/Consideration	20-03-2026	15.05.2026
51	CIVIL MISC/496/2025	Restoration of CS no. 2027 of 2017 Seeking allotment under Small Flat Scheme 2006 in lieu of Jhuggi.	CHARANJIT KAUR VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION	Notice And Record	20-03-2026	08.05.2026
52	CS CJ/1350/2017	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for cancellation of allotment in respect of # 908/40-A, Chandigarh # 928/40-A, Chandigarh due to filing false affidavit..	RAJ KUMAR CHIBBER VS USHA PRASHAR	Defence/Rebuttal Evidence/Arguments	23-03-2026	30.03.2026
53	CS CJ/2008/2019	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for rthe transfer of DU No. 547, Indira Colony Manimajra on the basis of WILL dated 23.3.2018 plaintiff is grand son of original allottee.	AJAY PARTAP SINGH VS THE CHAIRMAN	Defence evidence	23-03-2026	10.04.2026
54	CS CJ/577/2020	Civil Suit No.577/2020 has filed by the petitioner for possession by way of partition of the residential property house no.1107, Dadu Majra Colony, Sector 38, Chandigarh.	TUSHAR VS SANJAY	Written Statement/Reply/Replication/Consideration	23-03-2026	09.07.2026

55	CIVIL MISC/389/2024	Restoration of Suit no. 2149 of 2020 in respect of DU No. 1201, Sector 40-B, UT, Chandigarh.	BHUPINDER SINGH KOHLI VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Reply/Consideration	23-03-2026	Disposed
56	PROB/27/2024	The applicant filed the probate case for probation of WILL dated 13-01-2011, executed by Smt. Swaran Kaur in favor of the applicant, in respect of DU No. 4780-C, EWS, Sector 38-W, UT, Chandigarh.	GURDEV SINGH VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Written Statement/Reply/Replication/Consideration	23-03-2026	23.04.2026
57	C.S./463/2020	In this matter plaintiff has filed Suit for declaration to declare the plaintiff is entitle for tenement site or flat in lieu of his jhuggi no.F99, Kamal Colony, Palsora, Chandigarh. AND Suit for mandatory injunction to direct the defendant no.1 and 2 to issue allotment / possession letter after allotment of tenement site/flat in the name of the plaintiff.	DHARAMBIR VS ESTATE OFFICE	Reply And Consideration	24-03-2026	17.04.2026
58	CS CJ/1184/2022	Civil Suit No CSCJ/1184/2022 Vishwanath Pathak vs Ayodhya Prasad(DU No 383, Phase-II, Ramdarbar)	VISHWANATH PATHAK VS AYODHYA PRASAD	Evidence	24-03-2026	21.05.2026
59	CS/557/2023	CS/557/2023, Monika Kanwar Vs Gitika DU No 3111/2 Sector 41/D Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed Suit for declaration to the effect that the plaintiffs and defendant No 1 are owners in joint possession to extent of 1/3 share each in respect of the immovable properties left by Lachman Dass Sharma and Smt Santosh Kumari D/o Village Daun Tehsil Distt SAS Nagar Mohali on account of inheritance being their class I legal heirs which includes property No 1 Residential House situated within the revenue estate of village daun tehsil distt SAS Nagar Mohali property no 2 house no 3111/2 Sector 41 D Chandigarh And Suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendant no 1 her agents attorneys representatives from alienating gift mortgage transfer or changing the nature of the suit property in any other manner till the same is not partitioned and furhter restraining the defendant no 2 to transfer the property no 2 to any person during the pendency of suit And Grant any other relief which this Hon ble Court may deems fit and proper in favour of the plaintiffs	MONIKA KANWAR VS GITIKA	Plaintiff Evidence	24-03-2026	17.04.2026
60	CS CJ/325/2025	Suit filed for declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is the owner of the House No. 1252, Indira Colony, Manimajra, UT, Chandigarh and mandaory injunction directing to the defendant no. 02 to transfer the same in his name.	SADHU RAM VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Evidence	24-03-2026	26.05.2026
61	CS CJ/508/2025	Suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendants from interfering into possession of Small Flat No. 2610/3, Sector 49, UT, Chandigarh and mandatory injunction to not to cancel the same.	MOHD. ISRAIL KHAN VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	24-03-2026	16.04.2026
62	MCA DJ/101/2025	Against eviction order dated 07-05-2025 in respect of Small Flat No. 430, Sector 56, Palsora, UT, Chandigarh, passed due to Building Violation.	INDER SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	24-03-2026	11.05.2026
63	MCA DJ/111/2025	Against eviction order dated 11-06-2025 in respect of Small Flat No. 2509-B, Ram Darbar, UT, Chandigarh, issued due to non-payment.	SURENDER KUMAR VS SECRETARY	Consideration	24-03-2026	12.05.2026

64	MISC DJ/655/2025	Appeal to De-seal the DU No. 170/1, Sector 41-A, UT, Chandigarh cancelled due to building violation.	BALDEV SINGH VS SECRETARY CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	24-03-2026	25.05.2026
65	CS CJ/2337/2025	Transfer of DU No. 2766-B, Sector 49, UT, Chandigarh on the basis of WILL dated 21-04-2011 and set aside the speaking order dated 23-10-2025 passed by the CHB.	AMANDEEP SINGH KANG VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	24-03-2026	19.05.2026
66	CS CJ/2362/2025	DU No. 2207/1, Sector 45-C, UT, Chandigarh (Suit for execution of Agreement to Sell)	KAMAL KISHORE SHARMA VS TILAK RAJ SHARMA	Pending	24-03-2026	15.05.2026
67	CS CJ/417/2026	appellant filed the suit to set aside the cancellation order dated 05-02-2026 passed due to non-payment in respect of DU No. 2115/3, Maloya, Allotted under ARHCs.	SURENDER YADAV VS UNION OF INDIA	Reply And Consideration	24-03-2026	24.04.2026
68	CS CJ/736/2018	Civil Suit has been filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of DU No. 3194/46-C, Chandigarh on fraud documents.	RAJWANT KAUR VS SUKHDEEP SINGH	Rebuttal/Argument s	25-03-2026	10.04.2026
69	CS CJ/6/2019	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding recovery of amount of Rs.61,00,000/- in lieu of DU No. 398/2, Sector 44-A, Chandigarh.	MUKESH MITTAL VS DINESH KUMAR	Civil Evidence	25-03-2026	29.04.2026
70	CS CJ/226/2022	Suit for possession by way of specific performance of the Oral agreement to sell dated 30.09.2021 executed by defendant no.1 in favour of plaintiff in respect of property i.e. Booth No. 260, Sector-15/D, U.T., Chandigarh and further directing the defendant no.1, to execute and get the sale deed/lease deed registered in the office of Sub-Registrar, Chandigarh in the name of plaintiff.	MOHD. ELYAS VS SATPAL	Reply And Consideration	25-03-2026	17.07.2026
71	CS CJ/244/2022	Civil Suit No CSCJ 244 2022 Rajesh Dubey Versus Chandigarh Housing Board Another DU No 2635 Rehabilitation Colony Mauli Jagran	RAJESH DUBEY VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	25-03-2026	29.04.2026
72	CS CJ/2115/2022	CSCJ/2115/2022 Labh Singh vs Soni DU No 3125 Housing Board Colony Dhanas, Chandigarh	LABH SINGH VS SONI	Reply And Consideration	25-03-2026	13.05.2026
73	PROB/11/2024	PROB/11/2024 Swarn Gulati Vs General Public H No 1024/1 First Floor of HIG Category in Sector 39/B Chandigarh PUC is a summons to appear in the subject cited court case received in this office on 04/04/2024 with the copy of petition copy attached may kindly be perused please The next date of Subject Cited Court Case has been fixed for 2/05/2024 The Plaintiff has filed the Petition under Section 276 of the Indian Succession Act for the grant of probate of duly executed and registered WILL of late Basant Kaur wife of Sh KS Khamb Kulvinder Singh Jhamb dated 09/08/2004 registered vide Sr No 2139 Book No 3 volume No 273 dated 09/08/2004 with Sub Registrar Chandigarh	SWARAN GULATI VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Civil Evidence	25-03-2026	16.07.2026
74	CS CJ/1953/2025	Restraining the defendants from interfering into the peaceful possession of Flat No. 2561/3, Maloya, UT, Chandigarh allotted under ARHCs and also for stay of cancellation order dated 22-08-2025.	FAQIR CHAND VS UNION OF INDIA	Reply And Consideration	25-03-2026	25.03.2026

75	CS CJ/2223/2025	Conversion Charges and demand notice issue (DU No. 5728-B, Sector 38-W, UT, Chandigarh).	VIVIK K SOOD VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply/Consideration	25-03-2026	06.05.2026
76	CS CJ/2058/2019	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of DU No. 12, Dadu Majra Colony, Chandigarh on the basis of intestate death.	ASHA RANI VS THE CHAIRMAN	Evidence	27-03-2026	23.04.2026
77	CS CJ/1658/2022	CSCJ/1658/2022 Kam Ram Kohli Vs R.K.Dass, # 3686 Sector 46-C, CHD	KAM RAM KOHLI VS R.K.DASS	Evidence	27-03-2026	06.04.2026
78	CS CJ/2086/2024	Suit for declaration that plaintiff is the absolute owner in possession of House No. 232, Daddu Majra Colony, Chandigarh on the basis of WILL dated 28-06-1986.	LAKHBIR SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Evidence	27-03-2026	15.05.2026
79	MCA DJ/5/2025	To set aside the eviction order dated 12-12-2024 in respect of DU No. 2765/2, 47-C, UT, Chandigarh.	RADHA THAKUR VS CHAIRMAN	Reply And Consideration	27-03-2026	22.07.2026
80	MISC DJ/106/2025	For grant of stay of operation of the impugned eviction order dated 16-07-2024 in respect of Small Flat No. 3283/1, Dhanas, UT, Chandigarh.	ALTAH AHMED VS ADVISER TO THE ADMINISTRATOR	Arguments	27-03-2026	22.07.2026
81	ARB/84/2025	Challenging the order dated 10-04-2025 & 14-05-2025 passed by the sole arbitrator Sh. Manoj Kumar Bansal against CHB in the matter M/s By Design Art & Architecture vs. CHB.	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD VS M/S BY DESIGN ART AND ARCHITECTURE	Arguments	27-03-2026	06.08.2026
82	MCA DJ/175/2025	Challenging Eviction order dated 09-12-2025 passed due to building violation in respect of Dwelling Unit No. 1120, Sector 56, UT, Chandigarh.	CHAMAN SINGH VS THE CHAIRMAN	Reply And Consideration	27-03-2026	27.08.2026
83	MCA DJ/174/2025	Challenging Eviction order dated 09-12-2025 passed due to building violation in respect of Dwelling Unit No. 1121, Sector 56, UT, Chandigarh.	RAMESH KUMAR VS THE CHAIRMAN	Reply And Consideration	27-03-2026	28.08.2026
84	CS CJ/2411/2025	Transfer the DU No. 565/2, Sector 40-A, UT, Chandigarh in the name of plaintiff on the basis of intestate demise.	BALBIR SINGH VS THE SECRETARY CHANDIGARH	Reply And Consideration	27-03-2026	17.05.2026
85	MISC DJ/105/2026	Setting aside the Eviction order dated 31-03-2021 issued due to non-payment in respect of Small Flat No. 2599-A, Ram Darbar, UT, Chandigarh.	VINOD KUMAR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Notice And Record	27-03-2026	21.07.2026

Previous List of Court Cases pending before the Permanent Lok Adalat (PUS), UT, Chandigarh from 16.03.2026 to 28.03.2026

Sno	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date	Next Date
1	APPLICATION/24/2024	The Plaintiff has filed the application under Section 22 (A) legal services Authority Act (1987) The plaintiff has filed the application to give the direction to the Respondent to give possession of allotted small flat under Chandigarh small flats scheme 2009 to applicant Mr Raj Kumar	Raj Kumar VS Estate Office and Chandigarh Housing Board (Jhuggi No 2744, Colony No. 5, Chandigarh)	Reply filed	16 Mar 2026	07.04.2026
2	APPLICATION/02/2026	The Plaintiff has filed the Application under Section 22 of the Legal Services Authorities Act 1987 for the settlement or resolution of the dispute detailed below and pass an award in merits in the event of failure to effect settlement or conciliation of the dispute betw een the parties.	Rajinder Chawla and another VS Chandigarh Housing Board and another (2029/A, Block 22, Category 3BR, Sector 63, Chandigarh)	Reply yet to filed	18 Mar 2026	13.04.2026
3	APPLICATION/36/2024	The Plaintiff has filed the Application under Section 22-C of the Public Utility Service Act 1986 for directing the respondents for refund of excess amount charges to the tune of Rs 263015 alongwith interest at 18 percent in respect of dwelling unit no 5025-1 MHC Manimajra Chandigarh and It is also further direct to pay litigation expenses and it was also further prayer that the Applicant may kindly be compensated for causing mental harassment and financial loss	Raman Singla VS Chandigarh Housing Board and others (House No 5025/1, MHC, Manimajra, Chandigarh)	Reply filed	20 Mar 2026	07.04.2026

Previous List of Court Cases pending before the hon'ble Chief Secretary, UT, Chandigarh from 16.03.2026 to 28.03.2026

Sno	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date	Next Date
1	REVISION/37/2019	Cancellation on account of building violation in respect of DU No. 3289/1, Dhanas, Chandigarh	Laxmi Devi VS CHB	Reply filed	19 Mar 2026	17.09.2026
2	REVISION/-/2021	The Petitioner has filed Revision Petition under Section 72-B of Haryana Housing Board Act, 1971	Darshana Mittal VS CHb and Another	Reply filed	19 Mar 2026	17.09.2026
3	REVISION/...../2021	The plaintiff has filed the revision petition under section 72-B of Haryana Housing Board act 1971 as extended to UT of Chandigarh against order dated 15-04-2021 passed by board of directors CHB in pursuance to cancellation of allotment order dated 1-11-2018 passed by CEO Chandigarh Housing Board with respect to DU NO 935/1 Sector-40/A CHD	Shalu Sharma VS CHB and amp; others	Reply filed	19 Mar 2026	17.09.2026
4	REVISION/10/2021	Revision Petition No 10 against the order dated 29.01.2020 passed by the Chandigarh Housing Board, Chandigarh in respect of DU No. 945, Sector-40/A, Chandigarh titled as Barjesh Mittal vs CHB,CHD and others.	Barjesh Mittal VS CHB,CHD and others.	Reply filed	19 Mar 2026	17.09.2026

5	REVISION/32/2023	(Advisor Court Case) RP 32 of 2023 Kirti Singh S/o Kesar Singh through its GPA Gautam Singh VS Chandigarh Housing Board. Du No 781, Ram Darbar, Phase-II, Chandigarh	Kirti Singh S/o Kesar Singh through its GPA Gautam Singh VS Chandigarh Housing Board.	Reply filed	26 Mar 2026	24.09.2026
6	REVISION/-----/2024	The Plaintiff has filed the Revision Petition under Section 72-B of Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 (as applicable to UT Chandigarh) against the order dated 27-03-2019 passed by the Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh in respect of House No 2796 Sector 56 Palsora Colony Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the Revision petition under Section 72-B of the Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 (as extended to Chandigarh) against the orders dated 29-04-2016 passed by Executive engineer Enforcement for Chief Executive Officer Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh cancelling the allotment of House No 2796 Sector 56, Palsora Chandigarh on account of misuse and building violations and against the orders dated 23-08-2017 passed by Chairman Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh and against the order dated 27-03-2019 passed by the Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh, dismissing the appeal preferred by the Petitioner	Ram Samujh son of Madhai through his Sub-GPA Ranjeet Singh son of Jalam Singh VS Executive Engineer Enforcement, Chandigarh Housing Board & others (DU No. 2796, Sector 56, Palsora Colony, Chandigarh).	Reply filed	26 Mar 2026	24.09.2026
7	REVISION/-----/2024	The Plaintiff has filed the Revision Petition under Section 72-B of Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 (as applicable to UT Chandigarh) against the order dated 27-03-2019 passed by the Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh in respect of House No 5880 Sector 56 Palsora Colony Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the Revision petition under Section 72-B of the Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 (as extended to Chandigarh) against the orders dated 29-04-2016 passed by Executive engineer Enforcement for Chief Executive Officer Chandigarh Housing Board, Chandigarh cancelling the allotment of House No 5880 Sector 56 Palsora Chandigarh on account of misuse and building violations against the orders dated 09-10-2017 passed by Chairman Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh and against the order dated 27-03-2019 passed by the Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh dismissing the appeal preferred by the Petitioner	Som Bati wife of Bhartu Ram son of Phoolu Behra through his GPA Gufran VS Executive Enforcement, Chandigarh Housing Board & others (DU No. 5880, Sector 56, Palsora Colony, Chandigarh)	Reply filed	26 Mar 2026	24.09.2026
8	REVISION/////2024	The Plaintiff has filed the Revision Petition under Section 72-B of Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 (as applicable to UT Chandigarh) against the order dated 27-03-2019 passed by the Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh in respect of House No 2981 Sector 56 Palsora Colony Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the Revision petition under Section 72-B of the Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 (as extended to Chandigarh) against the orders dated 29-04-2016 passed by Executive engineer Enforcement for Chief Executive Officer Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh cancelling the allotment of House No 4018 Sector 56 Palsora Chandigarh on account of misuse and building violations and against the orders dated 14-11-2018 passed by Chairman Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh and against the order dated 27-03-2019 passed by the Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh dismissing the appeal preferred by the Petitioner	Sukhdev Singh son of Sohan Singh through their GPA Mohammad Jalil VS Executive Engineer Enforcement, Chandigarh Housing Board & others (DU No. 2981, Sector 56, Palsora Colony, Chandigarh).	Reply filed	26 Mar 2026	24.09.2026

9	REVISION/...../2024	The Plaintiff has filed the Revision petition under Section 72-B of the Haryana Housing Board Act against the order dated 16-07-2019 passed by the Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh in respect of Dwelling Unit No 3874 Sector-56 Palsora Chandigarh and further the Plaintiff has filed an Appeal Under Section 72-A of the Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 against the orders dated 28-01-2019 passed by the Chief Executive Officer Chandigarh Housing Board, Chandigarh cancelling the allotment of House No 3874 Sector-56 Palsora Chandigarh on account of building violations and against the orders dated 28-03-2019 passed by the Chairman Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh dismissing the appeal preferred by the appellants	Sh. Ram Lal son of Sh. Chuhar Singh through GPA Hari Singh VS Chandigarh Housing Board, Chandigarh and others (House No. 3874, sector-56, Palsora, Chandigarh)	Reply filed	26 Mar 2026	24.09.2026
10	REVISION/_____/2025	The Plaintiff has filed the Revision Petition under Section 72-B of Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 as extended to UT Chandigarh against the order dated 03.05.2021 passed by the Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh in respect of Dwelling Unit No. 4174 Sector-56 Palsora Colony Chandigarh and The Plaintiff has filed the Application under Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 praying for stay of the operation of order of the Chief Executive Officer CHB dated 15.02.2019 i.e. cancellation of allotment and then order of Chairman CHB dated 12-09-2019 later the order of Board on 03-05-2021 and the final order of eviction dated 18.10.2024 passed by the respondent whereby the allotment of mentioned premises is cancelled and person or legal Allottee or the legal heir of Allottee has been ordered to vacate the said premises or flat i.e. H.No. 4174 Palsora Sector - 56 which has been illegally and unlawfully. The Plaintiff has filed the Application Under Section 5 of the Limitation Act for condonation of delay in filing the Review Petition for 125 days months.	Sh. Krishan Pal (now deceased) through his legal heir Rakesh Singh VS Chandigarh Housing Board (DU No. 4174, Sector - 56, Chandigarh)	Reply to be filed	26 Mar 2026	24.09.2026

Previous List of Court Cases pending before the Hon'ble Secretary Estates, UT, Chandigarh from 16.03.2026 to 28.03.2026

Sno	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date	Next Date
1	APPEAL NO./0009/2020	The appellant has filed appeal under clause 17 of the Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 against the order vide no 155 dated 24.01.2020 passed by the respondent through which the allotment of flat no 2543 C Ram Darbar Chandigarh has been cancelled on the ground of non payment of monthly license fee and issued the eviction notice.	Rekha wife of late balkar singh VS Secretary CHB Chandigarh exercising the power of competent authority under Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006	Pending	19 Mar 2026	27.08.2026
2	APPEAL NO./0003/2020	Appeal for withdraw of eviction order u s 5 1 sub section 1 of Haryana Housing Board Act as applicable to Chandigarh order no 160 dated 24.01.2020 (due to non payment)	Janak and Jaswinder Kaur VS Secretary CHB Chandigarh	Reply filed	19 Mar 2026	27.08.2026
3	APPEAL NO./142/2024	The appellant has filed appeal against the cancellation order passed by the respondent under Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 in H. No. 1767 B Dhanas Chandigarh due to non payment of license fee.	Gurmail Singh son of Sardara Singh VS The secretary Chandigarh housing Board exercising the	Pending	19 Mar 2026	27.08.2026

			powers of competent authority under the Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006			
4	APPEAL NO./56/2024	Appeal against cancellation order dated 18-10-2024 in respect of Small Flat No. 2552/2, Sector 49, UT, Chandigarh, issued to non-payment.	Bittu & Anr. VS Secretary, CHB & Anr.	Pending	19 Mar 2026	27.08.2026
5	APPEAL NO./045/2025	The appellant has filed appeal against the cancellation order CHB AO C 2024 18562 dated 11.12.2024 passed by secretary cum competent authority under the Chandigarh Small flats scheme 2006 CHB Chandigarh in H No 6531 C small flats sector 56 Chandigarh due to non payment of license fee.	Pawan Kumar VS Secretary CHB	Pending	19 Mar 2026	20.08.2026
6	APPEAL NO./47/2025	The appellant has filed appeal against impugned order passed by the Secretary cum competent authority under Chandigarh Housing Board under small flat scheme 2006 in H no 4981 2 sector 38 West Chandigarh cancelled due to non payment	Seema wife of Khaderu Ram VS The secretary Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh	Pending	19 Mar 2026	20.08.2026
7	APPEAL NO./53/2025	The appellant has filed appeal against impugned order passed by the secretary cum competent authority under Chandigarh Housing Board under small flat scheme 2006	Shakuntla wife of Rajender Singh VS The secretary Chandigarh Housing Board	Pending	19 Mar 2026	20.08.2026
8	APPEAL NO./51/2025	The appellant has filed appeal against impugned order passed by the secretary cum competent authority under Chandigarh Housing Board under small flat scheme 2006 in H No 4969 3 sector 38 west Chandigarh due to non payment.	Santosh Piwal wife of madan pal VS Secretary Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh	Pending	19 Mar 2026	20.08.2026
9	APPEAL NO./52/2025	The appellant has filed appeal against order no CHB AO C 2024 18959 dated 16.12.2024 for the cancellation order of allotment of flat no 4963 B small flats sector 38 west Chandigarh due to non payment.	Anita wife late Udey Beer VS The secretary cum competent authority under the Chandigarh small flat scheme 2006 Chandigarh housing Board Chandigarh	Pending	19 Mar 2026	20.08.2026
10	APPEAL NO./46/2025	The appellant has filed appeal against impugned order passed by the Secretary cum competent authority under Chandigarh housing Board under small flat scheme 2006 in h no 4962 1 sector 38 west Chandigarh small flat scheme which was cancelled due to non payment.	Babita wife of susheel VS The secretary Chandigarh housing Board Chandigarh	Pending	19 Mar 2026	20.08.2026
11	APPEAL NO./50/2025	The appellant has filed appeal under clause 17 of the Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 passed by the respondent through which the allotment of small flat no 2578 ground floor sector Ram Darbar UT Chandigarh has been cancelled on the ground of Non payment of monthly license fee and issued the Eviction Notice.	Madan Lal son of Surat Singh VS The secretary cum competent authority under the Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh	Pending	19 Mar 2026	20.08.2026
12	APPEAL NO./44/2025	The appellant has filed appeal against the order of Cancellation dated 12.12.2024 in H no 2600 B Ram Darbar Chandigarh due to non payment.	Dhan Raj son of Om Prakash and Geeta wife of Dhan Raj VS The secretary Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh	Pending	19 Mar 2026	20.08.2026

13	APPEAL NO./54/2025	The appellant has filed appeal against the cancellation order passed by the respondent under Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 in H. No. 4964 small flats sector 38 west Chandigarh due to non payment of license fee.	Ramu and Saria VS The secretary Chandigarh housing Board exercising the powers of competent authority under the Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006	Pending	19 Mar 2026	20.08.2026
14	APPEAL NO./105/2025	The appellant has filed appeal against the cancellation order passed by the respondent under Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 in H. No. 2504 B Ram Darbar Chandigarh due to non payment of license fee.	Ram Nagina son of Dilraj VS The secretary Chandigarh housing Board exercising the powers of competent authority under the Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006	Reply filed	19 Mar 2026	20.08.2026
15	APPEAL NO./233/2025	The appellant has filed appeal against the cancellation order passed by the respondent under Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 in H. No. 6762 C sector 56 Chandigarh due to non payment of license fee.	Jasbir Singh son of Kasturi Lal and Munesh daughter of Kishan Lal VS The secretary Chandigarh housing Board exercising the powers of competent authority under the Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006	Reply filed	19 Mar 2026	20.08.2026
16	APPEAL NO./203/2025	The appellant has filed appeal against the cancellation order passed by the respondent under Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 in H. No. 4980 3 sector 38 west Chandigarh due to non payment of license fee.	Mamta widow of late vinod VS The secretary Chandigarh housing Board exercising the powers of competent authority under the Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006	Reply filed	19 Mar 2026	20.08.2026
17	APPEAL NO./202/2025	The appellant has filed appeal against the cancellation order passed by the respondent under Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 in H. No. 308 A Dhanas Chandigarh due to non payment of license fee.	Swarna Kaur wife of Ram Saran Dass VS The secretary Chandigarh housing Board exercising the powers of competent authority under the Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006	Pending	19 Mar 2026	20.08.2026
18	APPEAL NO./213/2025	The appellant has filed appeal against the cancellation order passed by the respondent under Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 in H. No. 4872 1 small flats sector 38 west Chandigarh due to non payment of license fee.	Somwati son of Mukanda VS The secretary Chandigarh housing Board exercising the powers of competent authority under the Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006	Pending	19 Mar 2026	20.08.2026
19	APPEAL NO./230/2025	The appellant has filed appeal against the cancellation order passed by the respondent under Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 in H. No. 4854 2 sector 38 west Chandigarh due to non payment of license fee.	Suresh wife of Late Jai Pal daughter Jumra VS The secretary Chandigarh housing Board exercising the powers of competent authority	Reply filed	19 Mar 2026	27.08.2026

			under the Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006			
20	APPEAL NO./250/2025	The appellant has filed appeal against the cancellation order passed by the respondent under Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 in H. No. 6312 A small flats sector 56 Chandigarh due to non payment of license fee.	Jiwan son of Thuru Ram VS The secretary Chandigarh housing Board exercising the powers of competent authority under the Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006	Pending	19 Mar 2026	27.08.2026
21	APPEAL NO./0020/2025	Appeal against the order dated 13.12.2024 whereby allotment of flat no 4883 1 small flats sector 38 west Chandigarh was ordered to be cancelled. Claim in appeal to accept the appeal and to set aside the order dated 13.12.2024 passed by the Secretary cum competent authority under the Chandigarh Small Flat scheme 2006 CHB Chandigarh whereby the allotment of the small flat of the appellant was ordered to be cancelled due to non payment.	Kashmiri Devi wife of late Sat Pal and daughter of late Shri nathi ram VS The Secretary cum competent authority under the Chandigarh Small Flat scheme 2006 CHB Chandigarh	Pending	19 Mar 2026	20.08.2026
22	APPEAL NO./0030/2025	Appeal against cancellation order no CHB AO C 2024 18572 dated 11.12.2024 of small flat no 6649 C sector 56 Chandigarh passed by respondent due to non payment. Claim of appeal to set aside the cancellation order no CHB AO C 2024 18572 dated 11.12.2024 of small flat no 6649 C sector 56 Chandigarh passed by respondent by accepting the appeal with costs throughout.	Suresh Kumar alias Sures Ray son of late Raj Nath VS The Secretary cum competent authority under the Chandigarh Small Flat scheme 2006 CHB Chandigarh	Reply filed	19 Mar 2026	20.08.2026
23	APPEAL NO./0031/2025	Appeal against cancellation order no CHB AO C 2024 14770 dated 11.10.2024 of small flat no 6513 A sector 56 Chandigarh passed by respondent due to non payment Claim of appeal to set aside the cancellation order no CHB AO C 2024 14770 dated 11.10.2024 of small flat no 6513 A sector 56 Chandigarh passed by respondent by accepting and allowing the appeal with costs throughtout.	kamlesh Kumari through legal heir Kuldeep Kumar son of Kala VS The Secretary cum competent authority under the Chandigarh Small Flat scheme 2006 CHB Chandigarh	Reply filed	19 Mar 2026	20.08.2026
24	APPEAL NO./102/2025	The Appellant has filed appeal against impugned order dated 30.01.2025 issued by the Secretary-cum-competent authority under Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 CHB Chandigarh whereby the allotment of flat no 2673 in sector 49D Chandigarh to the parents of the applicant has been cancelled due to non payment	Mathur deceased through his LRs and others VS Secretary-cum-competent authority under Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 CHB Chandigarh	Pending	19 Mar 2026	27.08.2026