

Advance List of Court Cases pending before the Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High Court, UT, Chandigarh from 27.04.2026 to 09.05.2026

| Sno | Case No. | Brief Subject Matter / Prayer | Petitioner And Respondent | Status | Hearing date |
|-----|----------------|--|---|-----------------------------|--------------|
| 1 | CWP/20478/2019 | Petition for directions to the respondents to transfer the Dwelling Unit No.720, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh in the name of the petitioner being a bonafide purchaser as per Housing Board Policy dated 17.03.2010 and settle the proposition of law in CWP No.27322 of 2013 decided on 13.01.2015.(Transfer case of D.U.No.720, Sector 41-A, Chd.) | BALDEV KRISHAN SINGHAL SINCE DECEASED THROUGH LR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ANR | PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION | 27 Apr 2026 |
| 2 | CWP/22212/2019 | Writ petition filed by the petitioner for quashing impugned order dated 26.06.2006, order in First Appeal Dated 28.05.2010, Order in second appeal dated 19.05.2017, order in Final Revision Petition dated 09.04.2019 Anothers (3281, Dhanas, Chandigarh – Building Violation case) | SOHAN LAL SAINI THROUGH SUB-GPA, SUMAN GABA VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD THROUGH ITS CHAIRMAN, SECTOR 9 CHANDIGARH AND ANOTHER | PENDING ADJOURNMENT | 27 Apr 2026 |
| 3 | CWP/20825/2025 | CWP filed by the petitioner under Article 226-227 of The Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for setting aside the impugned orders dated 05-05-2025 Passed by Chief Secretary UT Chandigarh order dated 19-09-2023 passed by the Secretary Estates Appellate Authority order dated 07-02-2023 passed by Secretary cum Competent authority and Eviction Notice dared 14-11-2023 passed by respondents the being wrong, illegal, arbitrary and against the principles of natural justice & equity in the interest of justice AND It is further Prayed to kindly stay the operation and implementation of the impugned orders dated 05-05-2025 Passed by Chief Secretary UT Chandigarh order dated 19-09-2023 passed by the Secretary Estates Appellate Authority order dated 07-02-2023 passed by Secretary cum Competent authority and Eviction Notice dared 14-11-2023 passed by the Respondents during the pendency of present Civil Writ Petition | MADAN LAL VS UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS | PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION | 27 Apr 2026 |
| 4 | CWP/27508/2025 | Civil Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing the eviction notice dated 27-06-2024 and eviction order dated 30-04-2024 which are in violation of fundamental rights of the petitioner and also violates the principle of natural justice and Issuance of writ in nature for directing the respondent to restore the dwelling unit No-779-1 Sector 41-A, Chandigarh after setting aside the cancellation order and also to stay the eviction notice dated 27-06-2024 and 30-07-2024 in the interest of justice equity and good conscience. | PREM KUMARI VS CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER AND OTHERS | PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION | 27 Apr 2026 |
| 5 | CWP/2168/2026 | Petitioner has filed the petition under article 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in nature of mandamus for passing appropriate order or direction to respondent No- 2 i.e. Secretary Estate-Cum-Finance Secretary, U.T. Chandigarh for deciding the appeal No.6 of 2021 dated 15.03.2021 (AnnexureP-5), preferred by the petitioner which is pending adjudication since 2021 and have notdecided | RAJKALI VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND OTHERS | PENDING | 27 Apr 2026 |

| | | | | | |
|----|----------------|--|--|------------------------|-------------|
| | | finally as yet, as such the same may be directed to be decided expeditiously in a time bound manner, in the interest of justice. Further prayer that quashing the impugned letter/orders dated 04.11.2019 (Annexure-P2) as well as eviction letter/order 02.03.2020 (Annexure-P4) passed by the respondent No. 1 whereby the allotment of the small flat bearing house No.4675-3 sector 38W, Chandigarh to the petitioners has been erroneously cancelled and petitioners have been evicted from the house on the grounds of nonpayment of rent license fees, without giving an opportunity of being heard which is totally illegal, arbitrary, unfair, unsustainable and unreasonable is liable to be set aside. | | | |
| 6 | CWP/4492/2017 | Reg. allotment of house/flats to the Kashmiri Migrant who were eligible for allotment of houses/flats | MEENA ARORA VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION THR HOME SECRETARY UT CHD & ORS | PENDING | 28 Apr 2026 |
| 7 | CWP/4769/2021 | CWP under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ in the nature of a Certiorari, quashing the impugned letter No.377367 dated 27.08.2020 vide which the Respondent No.3 has rejected the request of the Petitioner for refund of conversion charges of Rs.40,56,000/- in respect of Plot No.368-369, Indl Area, Ph-I, Chandigarh of the Petitioner, being manifestly arbitrary, violative of Article 14 of India and also declare the same as being illegal, improper, unjust, predetermined, malicious, irrational and beyond the provisions of law. | M/S ESSEN DEINKI VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER | PENDING | 28 Apr 2026 |
| 8 | CWP/4769/2021 | CWP under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ in the nature of a Certiorari, quashing the impugned letter No.377367 dated 27.08.2020 vide which the Respondent No.3 has rejected the request of the Petitioner for refund of conversion charges of Rs.40,56,000/- in respect of Plot No.368-369, Indl Area, Ph-I, Chandigarh of the Petitioner, being manifestly arbitrary, violative of Article 14 of India and also declare the same as being illegal, improper, unjust, predetermined, malicious, irrational and beyond the provisions of law. | M/S ESSEN DEINKI VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER | PENDING | 28 Apr 2026 |
| 9 | CWP/270/2022 | CWP No 270-2022 filed by Ranjit Singh against the order dated 10.01.2022 passed by Permanent Lok Adalat and Others DU No 1097 Phase-2 Ram Darbar Chandigarh. | RANJIT SINGH VS PERMANENT LOK ADALAT AND ORS | PENDING | 28 Apr 2026 |
| 10 | CR/1827/2026 | Revision Petition filed Under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside order dated 02-02-2026 passed by the Learned District Judge Chandigarh in Civil Appeal whereby the learned Appellate Court dismissed the application for condonation of delay vide Misc DJ-963-2025 has been dismissed is illegal unlawful and contrary to the law and facts It is further prayed that the proceeding-execution of order passed by the respondent dated 24-02-2025 annexure A-6 kindly be stayed where by the respondent has order the appellant to evict the said premises Any other order and direction which this Honorable Court may deem fit be passed in favour of the petitioner and against the respondents | SHIV SHANKAR VS THE SECRETARY CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ANOTHER | PENDING | 28 Apr 2026 |
| 11 | CWP/10808/2019 | Encroachment on Govt. Land | VIBHA DHIMAN AND OTHERS VS CHANDIGARH | PENDING ADJOURNMENT | 29 Apr 2026 |

| | | | | | |
|----|----------------|--|--|--------------------------|-------------|
| | | | ADMINISTRATION AND OTHERS | | |
| 12 | CWP/3787/2020 | Quashing the policy/order no 59 dt. 15.02.2019 issued by the respondents – DU No. 728/1, Sector 41-A, Chd. | HARISH CHANDER GULATI VS CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR, UT CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS | PENDING | 29 Apr 2026 |
| 13 | CWP/14202/2022 | The Petition has been filed by the petitioner for directing the respondents to allot Dwelling Unit-Flat to the petitioners under the Slum Dwellers Rehabilitation Scheme - The Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006 against the demolition of Jhuggi-Hut No 426-2 Colony No 5 Sector 45-C Chandigarh without any further delay | GEETA AND ORS VS STATEOF UT CHD AND ORS | PENDING ADJOURNMENT | 30 Apr 2026 |
| 14 | RSA/3412/2023 | The appellant has filed the subject cited Regular Second Appeal before the Honorable High Court against the Impugned Judgment and decree dated 17-02-2023 passed by Ld Additional District Judge Chandigarh as well as Judgment and Decree dated 31-03-2017 passed by Ld Civil Judge Chandigarh as vide the judgments as referred herein above, both the Ld Courts below had committed material illegality while decreeing the suit as preferred by respondents No 1 to 3 seeking declaring as well as injunction and both the Courts did not appreciate the facts and material evidence before passing the above referred judgment and the reasons as herein the Impugned Judgments and Decrees passed by the Ld Lower Courts are to be set aside | ANITA VS SHASHI BALA PATHAK AND OTHERS | PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION | 30 Apr 2026 |
| 15 | CWP/10906/2019 | Civil Writ Petition filed for quashing the impugned letter dated 08.06.2018 of Chandigarh Housing Board and Legal notice reply dated 18.09.2018 rejecting the application dated 15.03.2016 of the petitioner for transfer of D.U.o.4813 , Sector 38 (West) on GPA basis, despite the facts in response to CHB letter dated 14.07.2016 the petitioner had already submitted all documents in original alongwith transferee amounting to Rs.1,31,500/- to the CHB vide letter dated 21.07.2016. 3.And for directing the respondents to transfer the above said dweling unit in the name of petitioner under GPA keeping in view the fact that CHB itself accepted the number of applications for transfer under GPA in 2017 and even 2018 and the same have accepted/cleared in January 2018 and GPA has been transferred. (DU No. 4813 sector 38, Chandigarh) | SUDESH KUMARI VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND OTHERS | PENDING | 04 May 2026 |
| 16 | CWP/13840/2019 | Civil Writ petition filed by the petitioner for setting aside the order dated 18.02.2019 passed by the respondents CHB. And to issue directions to the respondents to refund the amount of Rs.32,27,864/- paid by the petitioner with interest @24% per annum if he is not agreeing with the proposal put forwarded by the petitioner in his application dated 26.02.2018.(Booth BNo.35Housing Complex, Manimajra) | SANDEEP KUMAR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD, CHANDIGARH AND ORS | PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION | 04 May 2026 |
| 17 | CWP/26809/2021 | Civil Writ petition of subject cited court cases filed by the petitioner for direction quashing demand for unearned increase raised via impugned letter No./CHB/AO-Society/2021/ dated 29.07.2021 by the Chandigarh Housing Board by which the respondents are insisting upon payment of Unearned Increase in respect of Flat No.1358, 1st Floor, the PUSH PAC Cooperative House Building Society, Sector 49-B, Chandigarh. | OM PARKASH SANGWAN VS THE CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD,CHANDIGARH | PENDING ADJOURNMENT | 04 May 2026 |

| | | | | | |
|----|----------------|--|---|---------------------------------|-------------|
| 18 | CWP/26247/2024 | The petitioners were allotted Small Flat No. 2520-2, Sector-49, Chandigarh under the Chandigarh Small flat scheme 2006. That a survey was conducted by the Respondents to identify unauthorized occupants in flats under the scheme. | GHANSHYAM AND ANR VS ADVISOR TO ADMINISTRATOR, UT CHANDIGARH AND ORS | PENDING Notice of Motion+Stayed | 04 May 2026 |
| 19 | CWP/6661/2026 | Civil Writ Petition it is found that the Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of Writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the Respondents to allot a -One Room tenement under the Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme-2006 by setting aside the arbitrary rejection of the Petitioner Application in the interest of justice | KRISHAN KUMAR VS UNION TERRITORY OF CHANDIGARH, AND OTHERS | PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION | 04 May 2026 |
| 20 | CWP/28778/2018 | Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for the issuance of a writ of certiorari (sending for the records of the case and finding that the Orders of the Estate Officer, U.T., Chandigarh Respondent No.3 dated 06.11.2017 and the order dated 14.08.2018 passed b the Appellate Authority respondent No.2 Annexure P-17 are not sustainable to quash the same. 3.Further directing the respondents to consider the claims of the petitioners, the displaced and uprooted residents of Palsora Colony, demolished b the UT Chandigarh Administration in June 2003 to rehabilitate the petitioners for allotment of alternate accommodation of one room Flat under the Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006 as per the directions of Hon'ble High Court dated 30.05.2017 in CWP NO.12042 of 2017 – Ram Gulam and Others Vs U.T, Chandigarh and Others without taking into consideration the condition of bio metric Survey and finding them eligible, to make allotment of one room flat under the 2006 scheme, as has been done in respect of similarly placed residents of erstwhile Palsora Colony who after the demotion of Palsora Colony had settled in other unauthorized slum colonies of Chandigarh, for which the Administration conducted bio metric survey in 2006, while the petitioner who sought shelter in the authorized areas of Chandigarh for which no bio metric survey was conducted | RAM GULAM AND OTHERS VS U T CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND OTHERS | PENDING ADJOURNMENT | 05 May 2026 |
| 21 | CWP/28958/2018 | Civil Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing the order dated 31.10.2018 and further directing the respondents to transfer the D.U.No.3009/1, Sector 47-D, in his name. | KIRPAL SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD THROUGH ITS SECRETARY | PENDING ADJOURNMENT | 05 May 2026 |
| 22 | CWP/23433/2023 | To Be Entered By Nodal | KAMALJIT KAUR BHULLAR AND ANR. VS UT, CHANDIGARH AND ORS. | PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION | 05 May 2026 |
| 23 | CWP/29651/2022 | Civil Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing the impugned order dated 14-12-2022 whereby demand has been raised by the Respondent - Municipal Corporation from the Petitioner through the contract awarded by the Respondent - Chandigarh Housing Board towards Advertisement Fees under the Advertisement Control Order 1952 being totally illegal without jurisdiction and have been passed without considering the grounds raised by the Petitioner as also violation of letter dated 16-03-2023 etc of Respondent Chandigarh Housing Board whereby petitioner was asked to reconcile the calculation with Respondent Municipal Corporation Chandigarh and also without affording any opportunity of hearing | M/S SELVEL MEDIA SERVICES PVT LTD VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ORS | PENDING Notice of Motion+Stayed | 06 May 2026 |

| | | | | | |
|----|----------------|--|--|--------------------------|-------------|
| | | The petitioner has been victimised because of the inter-se dispute between the two government bodies that is Chandigarh Housing Board and Municipal Corporation by concealment of their inter-se correspondence and further both the demands of license fee and advertisement fee are totally arbitrary illegal discriminatory and a result of non-application of mind and on account of all grounds which have been raised in the writ petition AND further prayed that during the pendency of the present writ petition operation of the impugned orders may kindly be stayed and no coercive actions be taken against the petitioner | | | |
| 24 | LPA/484/2018 | LPA filed by the petitioner for setting aside the order dated 16.01.2018 passed by the Hon'ble Single Judge of the Hon'ble High Court in CWP No. 28630 of 2017 titled Rajesh Kumar Vs U.T., Chandigarh and others (Cooperative House Building Society Case) | RAJESH KUMAR VS UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH AND ORS | PENDING ADJOURNMENT | 07 May 2026 |
| 25 | CWP/18416/2019 | Transfer of DU No 2018-1 Sector 47-C Chandigarh | BALJIT SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ANR | PENDING ADJOURNMENT | 07 May 2026 |
| 26 | CWP/5497/2026 | Civil Writ Petition under article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in nature of Certiorari for quashing the impugned Cancellation order dated 19.02.2025 (Annexure-P. 2) as well as eviction letter/order 09.09.2025 (Annexure-P-4) passed by the respondent No. 1 whereby the allotment of the small flat bearing house No. 456, small Flats, Dhanas, Chandigarh to the petitioners has been erroneously cancelled and petitioners have been evicted from the house on the grounds of non-payment of rent/license fees, without giving opportunity of being heard which is totally illegal, arbitrary, unfair, unsustainable and unreasonable is liable to be set aside. an And further during the pendency of the present writ petitioners impugned Cancellation order dated 19.02.2025 (Annexure-P-2) as well as eviction letter/order 09.09.2025 (Annexure-P-4) passed by the respondent No. 1 may kindly be stayed during the pendency of the present writ petition. | PARMOD RAI AND ANOTHER VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ANOTHER | PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION | 07 May 2026 |

Advance List of Court Cases pending before the Hon'ble National Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission from 27.04.2026 to 09.05.2026

| Sno | Case No. | Brief Subject Matter / Prayer | Petitioner And Respondent | Status | Hearing date |
|-----|-------------|---|---|---------|--------------|
| 1 | EA/132/2022 | Execution Application filed by the petition before the Honorable National Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission in Complaint Case No 20 of 2011. | Sher Singh Sidhu VS Parsvnath Developers and others | Pending | 29 Apr 2026 |
| 2 | EA/129/2022 | Execution application filed by the petitioner before National Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission, New Delhi against the order in State Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission, U.T., Chandigarh | Ronsher Singh Sidhu VS Parsvnath Developers Ltd. and others | Pending | 29 Apr 2026 |

| | | | | | |
|---|-------------|--|---|---------|-------------|
| 3 | EA/133/2022 | Execution application filed by the petitioner before National Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission, New Delhi against the order in State Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission, U.T., Chandigarh | Ronher Singh Sidhu VS Parsvnath Developer Ltd. and others | Pending | 29 Apr 2026 |
| 4 | EA/128/2022 | Execution application filed by the petitioner before National Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission, New Delhi against the order in State Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission, U.T., Chandigarh in Complaint Case no 20of 2011 | Sher Singh Sidhu VS Parsvnath Developers Ltd. and others | Pending | 29 Apr 2026 |

Advance List of Court Cases pending before the District Court, UT, Chandigarh from 27.04.2026 to 09.05.2026

| Sno | Case No. | Brief Subject Matter / Prayer | Petitioner And Respondent | Status | Hearing date |
|-----|--------------------|--|---|--------------------------------|--------------|
| 1 | CS CJ/2242/2022 | CSCJ/2242/2022-Rajinder Singh Vs Mohinder Singh. DU No. 4578, Maloya Colony, Chandigarh | RAJINDER SINGH VS MOHINDER SINGH | Reply And Considerati on | 27-04-2026 |
| 2 | CS CJ/126/2023 | CSCJ/126/2023, Ashok Kumar Marwaha Vs CHB Du No 514, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh | ASHOK KUMAR MARWAHA VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD | Considerati on | 27-04-2026 |
| 3 | CS CJ/407/2024 | CSCJ/407/2024 Suman Lata Vs Vinod Kumar DU No 1665/1 Sector 29 B First Floor and DU no 1667 Sector 29 B Ground Floor U T Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the Suit for Permanent Injunction restraining the defendants relatives and their agents from interfering into peaceful possession of the plaintiff and her famly members at house no 1665/1 Sector 29 B First Floor UT Chandigarh And Suit for Permanent injunction restraining the defendants from raising construction/violation at the first floor in the courtyard of house no 1667 Sector 29 B Second Floor UT Chandigarh of which caused hurdles in the easement right of the plaintiff like air, sunlight etc and gross violation of plan proved by the defendant. No 2 And Suit for mandatory injunction for directing the defendants to remove the illegal construction which they have already done/erect without the consent of defendant no 2 from the roof of the construction at courtyard of the house no. 1667 Sector 29 B UT Chandigarh Earlier the APPEAL/75/2016 and APPEAL/203/2016 of the subject cited court had been attended by Advocate Sh Rajiv Sharma So the Counsel is well aware about the subject cited court case | SUMAN LATA VS VINOD KUMAR | Evidence | 27-04-2026 |
| 4 | CS CJ/731/2024 | CSCJ/731/2024 Harparkash kaur Vs Chandigarh Housing Board HNo 3017/2 Sector 44/D Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the SUIT for specific performance of the agreement to sell dated 23/04/1990 whereby the husband of plaintiff No 1 and father of plaintiff no 2 3 had purchased HNO 3017/2 Sector 44D Chandigarh from the allotte ie defendant No2 at a total sale consideration money of Rs 55000/ AND Suit for mandatory injunction directing defendant No1 to transfer the property ie HNo 3017/2 Sector 44D Chandigarh in favor of the plaintiffs being the legal heir of the agreement to sell holder namely sh Sabinder Singh alias Savinder Singh AND Suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendant from alienating mortgaging transferring gifting | HARPARKASH KAUR VS THE SECRETARY | Evidence | 27-04-2026 |

| | | | | | |
|----|-----------------|---|---|---|------------|
| | | or creating any third party right in respect of property ie HNo 3017/2 Sector 44/D Chandigarh AND any other relief in this Hon ble Court deems fit and proper may be granted in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant | | | |
| 5 | CA/119/2024 | CA/112/2024 titled as Sushma Vs The Secretary Chandigarh Housing Board HNo 4901 Ground floor sector 38W ChandigarH The Plaintiff has filed an Application under Section 54(2) of the Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 as extended to Chandigarh read with Section 151 of CPC for staying the impugned eviction order 17/07/2024 where by the respondent directed to vacate the small flat No 4901 Ground floor sector 38 W Chandigarh which was cancelled on 17/05/2023 | SUSHMA VS THE SECRETARY | Arguments | 27-04-2026 |
| 6 | CS CJ/723/2025 | Suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendant no. 1 from alienating, gift, mortgage, selling etc. the Flat No. 7-B, 2BR, Sector 51-A, UT, Chandigarh. | MOHD. SADIK VS DEEPAK MALIK | Appearance | 27-04-2026 |
| 7 | CS CJ/1614/2025 | Suit for declaration that the plaintiff is the absolute/lawful owner in possession of the suit property i.e. DU No. 237/1, Sector 45-A, UT, Chandigarh and directing the defendants to transfer/register/mutate the said property in the name of plaintiff. | MOHINDER SINGH BAJAJ VS GENERAL PUBLIC | Evidence | 27-04-2026 |
| 8 | CS CJ/435/2026 | DU No. 1071, Sector 43-B, UT, Chandigarh. | AYANA RANA VS JAGATJIT SINGH KOHLI | Reply And Consideration | 27-04-2026 |
| 9 | CS CJ/3002/2018 | Suit for specific performance of Agreement to Sell dated 12-03-2003 in respect of DU No. 3081, Sector 44-D, UT, Chandigarh. | VIJENDER KUMAR NIRMAL VS NANAK SINGH | Reply And Consideration | 28-04-2026 |
| 10 | CS CJ/2008/2019 | Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for rthe transfer of DU No. 547, Indira Colony Manimajra on the basis of WILL dated 23.3.2018 plaintiff is grand son of original allottee. | AJAY PARTAP SINGH VS THE CHAIRMAN | Defence/Rebuttal Evidence/Arguments | 28-04-2026 |
| 11 | CS CJ/2149/2020 | Transfer of D.U.No.1201 Ground Floor, Sector 40-B, Chandigarh. | BHUPINDER SINGH VS GENERAL PUBLIC | Uncontested - DISMISSED FOR NON-PROSECUTION | 28-04-2026 |
| 12 | CS/515/2021 | DU No. 3675, Sector 46-C, UT, Chandigarh. | Dilawar Singh VS Asha Deep Kaur | Defence Evidence | 28-04-2026 |
| 13 | CS CJ/275/2022 | Civil Suit No CSCJ 275 2022 titled as Kiran vs Nachatro Devi Flat No 2002 Ground Floor Small Flats Colony Maloya | KIRAN VS NACHATRO DEVI | Reply/Consideration | 28-04-2026 |
| 14 | CS CJ/1627/2022 | CSCJ/1627/2022 Sharda Soni Vs CHB (Booth No 26, Sector 46 (Rahri Market), Chandigarh) | SHARDA SONI VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD | Arguments | 28-04-2026 |

| | | | | | |
|----|---------------------|---|---|--------------------------------|------------|
| 15 | CS CJ/1671/2022 | CS CJ/1671/2022 SHIRANGLI DEVI Vs JOGINDER SINGH H.No 444 LIG U.T. Cat Sector 41-A, CHD | SHIRANGLI DEVI VS JOGINDER SINGH | Reply And Considerati on | 28-04-2026 |
| 16 | CS CJ/659/2023 | CSCJ/659/2023, Kedari Vs Chandigarh Housing Board,DU No 3733, Mauli Jagran, Chandigarh | KEDARI VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD | Reply And Considerati on | 28-04-2026 |
| 17 | CA/86/2023 | CA/86/2023, Jagdeep Kaur Vs Lakhbir Kaur, Du No 1441-A Sector 61, CHD The Petitioner has filed the Application under order 41 Rule 5 CPC read with order 39 rule 1 and 2 CPC and section 515 of CPC for stay of the operation of the impugned judgment order dated 11/07/2022 during the pendency of the appeal | JAGDEEP KAUR VS LAKHBIR KAUR | Reply And Considerati on | 28-04-2026 |
| 18 | MISC DJ/591/2023 | MISC DJ/591/2023 Satish Kumar Wadhawa Vs General Public Du No 511-2, Sector 45-A, Chandigarh he Plaintiff has filed the Application under section 5 of Limitation Act for condonation of delay of 60 days. | SATISH KUMAR WADHAWA VS GENERAL PUBLIC | Considerati on | 28-04-2026 |
| 19 | CS CJ/2127/2023 | CSCJ/2127/2023 Prabha Pardal VS General Public H.No 2203/2, Sector 45-C Chandigarh PUC dated 29 11 2023 may kindly be perused Vide PUC Civil Judge Junior Division Chandigarh forwarded the copy of Civil Suit copy attached filed by the plaintiff for the declaration that plaintiff no 1 is the owner of 50 Percent share and plaintiff no 2 3 are owner to the extent of 25 percent share of each of House No 2203 2 Sector 45 C Chandigarh and after the death of Late Sh Surinder Mohan Pardal S o Late Sh Sardari Lal Pardal who was allotted this house/dwelling unit i e House No 2203 2 MIG F Category Sector 45 C Chandigarh in a draw held on 14 1 1989 and Sh Surinder Mohan Pardal died on 06 03 2017 at Chandigarh leaving behind plaintiff no 1 as wife and plaintiff no 2 3 as sons and at the time of death mother of Late Sh Surinder Mohan Pardal namely Kulwanti wife of Late Sh Sardari Lal Pardal was alive and she also died on 09-02-2019 by executing a Will dated 14-12-2012 of her share in favour of plaintiff declared in joint possession with plaintiff no 1 to the extent of 25 percent share of each in the house in question and accordingly this dwelling unit may kindly be transferred in the names of plaintiff no 1 to the extent of 50 percent share and in the name of plaintiff no 2 to the extent of 25 percent share each and also suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant no 2 to 4 transfer the dwelling unit/House No 2203 2 Sector 45 C Chandigarh from the name of Late Sh Surinder Mohan Pardal in the name of plaintiff no 1 to 3 in the interest of justice equity and fair play and to grant any other relief which the plaint law or direction or re entitled as per law The next date of hearing of this case is fixed on 19 01 2024 May send the case to Worthy Secretary for necessary approval for the entrustment of this case to Advocate Sh. Anshuman Narula for defending the case on behalf of Chandigarh Housing Board Submitted Please | PRABHA PARDAL VS GENERAL PUBLIC | Evidence | 28-04-2026 |
| 20 | CS/557/2023 | CS/557/2023, Monika Kanwar Vs Gitika DU No 3111/2 Sector 41/D Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed Suit for declaration to the effect that the plaintiffs and defendant No 1 are owners in joint possession to extent of 1/3 share each in respect of the immovable properties left by Lachman Dass Sharma and Smt Santosh Kumari D/o Village Daun Tehsil Distt SAS Nagar Mohali on account of inheritance being their class I legal heirs which includes property No 1 Residential House situated within the revenue estate of village daun tehsil distt SAS Nagar Mohali property no 2 house no 3111/2 Sector 41 D Chandigarh And Suit for permanent injunction | MONIKA KANWAR VS GITIKA | Plaintiff Evidence | 28-04-2026 |

| | | | | | |
|----|--------------------|---|--|--------------------------------|------------|
| | | restraining the defendant no 1 her agents attorneys representatives from alienating gift mortgage transfer or changing the nature of the suit property in any other manner till the same is not partitioned and further restraining the defendant no 2 to transfer the property no 2 to any person during the pendency of suit And Grant any other relief which this Hon ble Court may deems fit and proper in favour of the plaintiffs | | | |
| 21 | MCA DJ/178/2025 | Appellant filed the appeal to stay and setting aside the impugned order dated 09-12-2025 in respect of Dwelling Unit No. 1123, Sector 56, UT, Chandigarh (Due to Building Violation). | SHAMBU RAM VS CHANDIGARH HOSUSING BOARD | Notice And Record | 28-04-2026 |
| 22 | MCA DJ/177/2025 | Appellant filed the appeal to stay and setting aside the impugned order dated 09-12-2025 in respect of Dwelling Unit No. 1122, Sector 56, UT, Chandigarh (Building Violation Case). | BAHADUR SINGH VS CHANDIAGRH HOUSING BOARD | Notice And Record | 28-04-2026 |
| 23 | CS CJ/816/2025 | DU No. 1122/2, Sector 40-B, UT, Chandigarh (Suit for mandatory injunction that plaintiff is the absolute owner of the property in question). | SAT PAL VS RAJ KUMARI | Notice And Record | 28-04-2026 |
| 24 | CS CJ/360/2026 | Appellant filed the suit to set aside the cancellation order dated 02-02-2026, passed due to non-payment and for restoration the DU No. 2323, Maloya, allotted under ARHCs. | PARAMJIT CHAUHAN VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD | Reply And Considerati on | 28-04-2026 |
| 25 | CS CJ/347/2026 | appellant filed the suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendants, its officer/agents from dispossessing the plaintiffs or interfering with his peaceful possession of DU No. 2323, Maloya, allotted under ARHCs. | PARAMJIT CHAUHAN VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD | Reply And Considerati on | 28-04-2026 |
| 26 | CS CJ/6/2019 | Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding recovery of amount of Rs.61,00,000/- in lieu of DU No. 398/2, Sector 44-A, Chandigarh. | MUKESH MITTAL VS DINESH KUMAR | Civil Evidence | 29-04-2026 |
| 27 | CS CJ/2431/2019 | In this matter plaintiff has filed application under order Rule 1 and 2 read with Section 151 C.P.C. for Ad-interim Injunction restraining the defendants No.2 and 3 from selling alienating, mortgaging and handing over the possession of the Dwelling Unit No.1125, Indira Colony, Manimajra, Chandigarh to any other person except the plaintiff during the pendency of the main suit. | BISH RAM @ VISH RAM VS THE CHAIRMAN | Defence evidence | 29-04-2026 |
| 28 | CS CJ/2170/2020 | The Appellant has filed a suit for declaration to the effect that the challan dated 10.09.2020 and consequential Notice-cum-Demolition Order dated 22.09.2020 passed by the Secretary, CHB against D/Unit No.528, Sector 56, Chandigarh | DEVA NAND VS CHD HOUSING BOARD | Evidence | 29-04-2026 |
| 29 | CS CJ/244/2022 | Civil Suit No CSCJ 244 2022 Rajesh Dubey Versus Chandigarh Housing Board Another DU No 2635 Rehabilitation Colony Mauli Jagran | RAJESH DUBEY VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD | Reply And Considerati on | 29-04-2026 |
| 30 | CS CJ/1047/2023 | CSCJ/1047/2023 Tejinder Singh Vs Abdul Shaheed Usmani. Du No 431 MIG, Sector 45-A, Chandigarh | TEJINDER SINGH VS ABDUL SHAHEED USMANI | Appearanc e | 29-04-2026 |
| 31 | CA/112/2024 | CA/121/2024 titled as Pappu vs Chandigarh Housing Board Flat no 14/C Small Flats Scheme in Dhanas UT Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed an Application u/s 54/2 of the Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 as extended to the Union Territory of Chandigarh for grant of stay of the impugned eviction/enforcement order dated 27/06/2024 of the competent authority | PAPPU VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD | Arguments | 29-04-2026 |

| | | | | | |
|----|--------------------|---|--|--------------------------------|------------|
| 32 | CS CJ/2042/2024 | Transfer of DU No. 1107/1, Sector 29-B, UT, Chd. on the basis of Agreement to Sell. | KHARAITI LAL HANDA VS RITU SEHGAL | Reply And Considerati on | 29-04-2026 |
| 33 | MCA DJ/8/2024 | Appeal against the order dated 06-07-2023 communicated to the appellant vide letter dated 11-07-2023 for eviction of Plinth Site No. 1096, Karsan Colony, Phase-II, Ram Darbar, UT, Chd. | VIJAY SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD | Considerati on | 29-04-2026 |
| 34 | MCA DJ/41/2025 | Setting aside the eviction order dated 21-02-2025 in respect of Small Flat No. 6451-B, Sector 56, UT, chandigarh, issued due to non-payment. | BANTU VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD | Arguments | 29-04-2026 |
| 35 | CS CJ/1601/2025 | Suit for specific performance of sale agreement dated 14-10-2014 in respect of DU No. 2785-A, LIG, Sector 49, UT, Chandigarh. | MANDEEP SINGH VS UNION OF INDIA | Reply And Considerati on | 29-04-2026 |
| 36 | MISC DJ/70/2026 | Challenging Eviction order dated 07-05-2025 passed due to non-payment in respect of Small Flat No. 4962/1 Sector 38-W, UT, Chandigarh. | BABITA VS THE SECRETARY | Notice And Record | 29-04-2026 |
| 37 | CS CJ/827/2015 | Regarding allotment of flat (PDL matter) | VASDEV SINGH VS INDERDEV SINGH | Awaiting Further Order | 30-04-2026 |
| 38 | CS CJ/205/2017 | Mandator Injunction to transfer the DU No.460, Sector 41-A, UT, Chandigarh. | KANWAR ASHWANI KUMAR VS THE CHAIRMAN CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD | Evidence | 30-04-2026 |
| 39 | CS CJ/2381/2018 | Civil Suit No. CSCJ/2381/ 2018 titled as Anju Sharma vs Rajiv Sharma, 342-2, Sector 45-A, CHD | ANJU SHARMA VS RAJIV SHARMA | Civil Evidence | 30-04-2026 |
| 40 | CA/75/2020 | Appeal against judgement dated 20-01-2020 passed by Smt. Saloni Gupta, CJJD, Chandigarh in CS/1421/2016 whereby dismiss the suit of the plaintiff regarding transfer of 1/5th share of DU No. 4782-B, Sector 38(West), Chandigarh. | VIDYA SAGAR VS ANGELINA GILL | Arguments | 30-04-2026 |
| 41 | EXE/37/2023 | Execution of decree dated 12-12-2022 in CA No. 186 of 2017 in respect of House No. 4578, Maloya Colony, UT, Chandigarh. | RAJESHWAR VS MOHINDER SINGH | Reply And Considerati on | 30-04-2026 |
| 42 | CS CJ/545/2023 | CSCJ/545/2023 Sushma Bajaj vs Pushpa Kakkar@Susheela Kakkar DU No 2280/1, FF, Sector 45-C, Chandigarh | SUSHMA BAJAJ VS PUSHPA KAKKAR @ SUSHEELA KAKKAR | Reply And Considerati on | 30-04-2026 |
| 43 | CS CJ/411/2024 | CSCJ/411/2024 Sushil Kumar VS Chandigarh Housing Board DU NO 2194 Sector 40/C Chandigarh PUC is a summons to appear in the subject cited court case received in this office on 20/03/2024 with the copy of petition which is fixed before Hon ble District Court Chandigarh on 22/03/2024 copy attached may kindly be perused please The Plaintiff has filed the Suit for Permanent Injunction restraining the defendant No 1 from transferring Dwelling Unit No 2194 Sector 40/C Chandigarh in favour of defendant No 2 And Pass such other and further order s as may be deemed fit and proper on the facts and in the circumstances of this case | SUSHIL KUMAR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD | Arguments. | 30-04-2026 |

| | | | | | |
|----|---------------------|--|--|---|------------|
| 44 | MCA DJ/46/2024 | For setting aside the order dated 02/07/2024 passed by Secretary Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh in respect of Small Flat No. 5656, Sector 56 (Palsora), UT, Chandigarh. | RAM CHANDER VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD | Arguments | 30-04-2026 |
| 45 | CA/144/2024 | CA/144/2024 Kamaljeet Kang vs General Public The Secretary Chandigarh Housing Board HNo 2619/2 Sector 49 Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed an Application for grant of Interim Stay During the pendency of the present appeal and also filed an APPEAL under section 96 of CPC against the order of the Court Shri Sachin Yadav Additional Civil Judge UT Chandigarh Dated 10/07/2024 granting letter of Administration and probate in favour of respondents Photocopy of Judgment and Decree is attached as Annexure A/1 Certified copy of the judgment and decree is attached as annexure A/1 Herein after referred to as impugned order CLAIM in appeal for setting aside the impugned order dated 10/07/2024 granting letter of administration and probate to the respondents | KAMALJEET KANG VS GENERAL PUBLIC | Notice And Record | 30-04-2026 |
| 46 | CS CJ/2464/2025 | Suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendant no. 01 to 06, their agents, workers, employees, musclemen from threatening, illegal dispossessing, demolishing the need based constructions done by the plaintiffs in house no. 3250, Sector 40-D, Chandigarh by misusing their official powers, in the discriminating and arbitrarily manner, based on pick and choose manner, without following the process of law and natural justice. The respondent no. 07 may restrain from creating leakage of polluted water, illegal and forceful interference, in the ownership and peaceful possession of the plaintiff. | NARINDER KUMAR VS THE SECRETARY CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD | Notice And Record | 30-04-2026 |
| 47 | MISC DJ/164/2026 | Challenging the Eviction order dated 21-02-2025 passed due to non-payment in respect of Small Flat No. 6632-B, Sector 56 (Palsora), UT, Chandigarh. | DEEPAK VS SECRETARY | Notice And Record | 30-04-2026 |
| 48 | C.S./2212/2019 | Civil suit filed by the plaintiff restraining to block common passage/kuchha rasta by raising constn. Of boundary wall in village Maloya, UT, Chandigarh. | RAJESH KUMAR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD | Arguments. | 01-05-2026 |
| 49 | CS CJ/2408/2020 | In this matter plaintiff has file an application under section 80(2)CPC for exemption from the service of statutory legal notice U/S 80 CPC. Application under order 39 Rule 1 and 2 read with section 151 CPC restraining the defendant No.4 not to transfer the house in question i.e. H.No.862/1, LIG, Sector 40-A, Chandigarh in the name of defendant No.1 on the basis of alleged fake and fictitious Will during the pendency of the present suit. | PARDEEP SAXENA VS SAVITRI SAXENA | Reply/Cons ideration | 01-05-2026 |
| 50 | CS CJ/496/2023 | CSCJ/496/2023, Rajdeep Singh Vs Chandigarh Housing Board DU No 4987, Maloya Colony, Chandigarh | RAJDEEP SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD | Reply And Considerati on | 01-05-2026 |
| 51 | CS CJ/616/2016 | Suit for declaration of WILL dated 08-09-2015 in favor of the plaintiff in respect of DU No. 5171/3, MHC, Manimajra and mandatory injunction to the respondent no. 5 to transfer the said house. | MANDEEP MAND VS GENERAL PUBLIC | Evidence | 02-05-2026 |
| 52 | CS CJ/1350/2017 | Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for cancellation of allotment in respect of # 908/40-A, Chandigarh # 928/40-A, Chandigarh due to filing false affidavit.. | RAJ KUMAR CHIBBER VS USHA PRASHAR | Defence/Re buttall Evidence/A rguments | 04-05-2026 |

| | | | | | |
|----|-----------------|---|---|--|------------|
| 53 | CS CJ/736/2018 | Civil Suit has been filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of DU No. 3194/46-C, Chandigarh on fraud documents. | RAJWANT KAUR VS SUKHDEEP SINGH | Rebuttal/Arguments | 04-05-2026 |
| 54 | CS CJ/2299/2020 | The plaintiff has file an application U/s 39 Rule 1 and 2 read with Section 151 CPC for grant of ad-interim injunction by restraining the defendants to transfer the said D/Unit No.2919/1, HIG, Sector 42-C, Chandigarh in the name of any other 0person except the plaintiff during the pendency of the present suit. | LAKHBIR SINGH SETH VS MANJIT KAUR | Civil Evidence | 04-05-2026 |
| 55 | CS CJ/1439/2021 | Suit for Declaration to the effect that the plantiff is entitled to get ownership of Dwelling Unit No 445/B, Sector-61, Chandigarh | BALVINDER KAUR VS GENERAL PUBLIC | Defence evidence | 04-05-2026 |
| 56 | CS CJ/2149/2023 | CSCJ/2149/ 2023 Harmeet Kaur Bhatia VS CHB H No 3127/3 Sector 44 D Chandigarh PUC dated 29 11 2023 may kindly be perused Vide PUC Civil Judge Junior Division Chandigarh forwarded the copy of Civil Suit copy attached filed by the plaintiff for the declaration of the plaintiffs as the true and rightful of the owner of the property i e H No 3127/3 Sector 44 D Chandigarh which was transferred to them through Registered General Power of Attorney dated 15/06/2007 along with the sale agreement It is further prayed that direction may kindly be issued to Defendant to transfer the property in the name of plaintiffs It is also further prayed that this Hon ble Court may be pleased to grant any other relief that this Hon ble Court may deem fit and proper in the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case | HARMEET KAUR BHATIA VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD | Notice And Record | 04-05-2026 |
| 57 | PROB/27/2024 | The applicant filed the probate case for probation of WILL dated 13-01-2011, executed by Smt. Swaran Kaur in favor of the applicant, in respect of DU No. 4780-C, EWS, Sector 38-W, UT, Chandigarh. | GURDEV SINGH VS GENERAL PUBLIC | Written Statement/Reply/Replication/Considration | 04-05-2026 |
| 58 | CS CJ/727/2024 | CSCJ/727/2024 Rajat Sharma Vs Suresh Kumar HNo 948/1 Sector 40/A Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the SUIT for Declaration to the effect that the plaintiffs being the sole surviving Classl Legal Heirs of Late Sh Mangat Rai their father who died intestate on 05 May 2018 are entitled to succeed to the estate of their father late Sh Mangat RaiAnd Suit for specific performance of the agreement to sell dated 10/10/1991 in respect of House No 948/1 Sector 40/A Chandigarh as executed by Sh Mangat Rai since deeeded father of the plaintiffs with defendant no1 being General Power of Attorney of Narender Pal Singh Under 7 Rule 1 CPC Suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant no 2 to execute and transfer the lease rights in respect of House No 948/1 Sector 40/A Chandigarh in favour of the plaintiffs being the sole surviving legal heirs of Late Sh Mangat Rai | RAJAT SHARMA VS SURESH KUMAR | Evidence | 04-05-2026 |
| 59 | CS CJ/337/2025 | Grant of decree for specific performance of Agreement to Sell dated 31-07-1995 and seeking directions to def. no. 01 & 02 to execute sale deed in favor of plaintiffs in respect of DU No. 6022 (Duplex), MHC, Manimajra, UT, Chandigarh. | SATWANT KAUR SANDHU VS RAHUL SHARMA | Evidence | 04-05-2026 |
| 60 | CS CJ/109/2019 | Civil Suit filed by the Plaintiff seeking direction to Def. No. 1 to demolish illegal Constn. of DU No. 3770, Ambedkar Awaz Yojna, Sector 56, Chandigarh and further directing Def. No. 2 (CHB) for necessary action in respect of Govt. land encroached by Defendant No. 1. | MALTI DEVI VS AHMAD ALI | Civil Evidence | 05-05-2026 |

| | | | | | |
|----|------------------------|--|---|---|------------|
| 61 | CS CJ/1075/2019 | To restore DU No. 230, Sector 55, Chandigarh consonant with order dated 29.9.2006 whereby it was decided to restore the DU of the person who have given false staytement. | PREM KUMAR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD | Evidence | 05-05-2026 |
| 62 | CS CJ/941/2021 | Application under order 39 rule 1 and 2 for restraiing the defendant from selling, alienating, mortgaging the property i.e. DU No 842-1, Sector-40-A, CHD and for interfering in peaceful possession of the property | PAWAN KUMAR VS KAMAL KUMAR | Considerati on.. | 05-05-2026 |
| 63 | CIVIL MISC/489/2022 | DU No. 1447-B, Sector 61, UT, Chandigarh. | LAKHBIR KAUR VS JAGDIP KAUR | Considerati on | 05-05-2026 |
| 64 | CS CJ/721/2023 | CSCJ/721/2023 Jyoti Vs Pawan Kumar and others 3214/1, Sector-41-D | JYOTI VS PAWAN KUMAR AND OTHERS | Reply And Considerati on | 05-05-2026 |
| 65 | CS CJ/1345/2023 | DU No. 2910/3, Sector 49, UT, Chandigarh. | ANMOL RATTAN PUPNEJA VS RAJBIR SINGH | Evidence | 05-05-2026 |
| 66 | CS CJ/1279/2023 | CSCJ/1279/2023, Gireesh Kapoor Vs General Public, DU No 3702, HIG Lower, Sector 46-C, Chandigarh | GIREESH KAPOOR VS GENERAL PUBLIC | Reply And Considerati on | 05-05-2026 |
| 67 | CS CJ/844/2024 | CSCJ/844/2024 Ruchi Arora Vs Chandigarh Housing Board HNo 5457 Modern Housing Complex Sector 13 Manimajra Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the SUIT for declaration to the effect that the impugned Challan dated 12/04/2024 Annexure P/1 and impuged Notice/cum/domiltion order dated 25/04/2024 Annexure P/2 issued by defendants no 3/4 is quite illegal un constitutional arbitrary one discriminatory unilateral and even in utter violation of principles of natural justice hence liable to be set/aside AND SUIT for permanent injunction restraining the defendants through their Agents Representatives Employees Servants/Assignees or through police etc causing any sort of interference in peaceful lawful and continuous possession of the plaintiff over DU No 5457 MHC Sector /13 Manimajra Chandigarh hereinafter referred to as suit property and further restraining the defendants from emolishing any part of the above said DU of the plaintiff under the garb of NoticecumDemolition order dated 25/04/2024 and further restraining the defendants from committing any such tortuous act in future till the pendency of the present suit, in the interest of JusticEe AND/OR Pass any other or direction which this Hon ble Court may deems fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the present case in the interest of Justice | RUCHI ARORA VS THE CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD | Reply And Considerati on | 05-05-2026 |
| 68 | CS CJ/935/2024 | CSCJ/935/2024 Rajinder Singh Vs Jai Bhagwan Chhachhia Dwelling unit no 5552/1 Manimajra ChandigarH PUC dated 25/06/2024 is a summons to appear in the subject cited court case received in this office on 25/06/2024 without the copy of petition may kindly be perused please And the copy of petition is obtained from the counsel of Plaintiff dated 05/07/2024 copy attached The next date of Subject Cited Court Case has been fixed for 19/07/2024 The Plaintiff has filed Suit under section 34 of specific Relief Act for grant of decree for specific performance of Agreement to Sell dated 13/10/1995 entered into between the plaintiff and defendant no 1 2 seeking directions be given to defendants to execute sale deed/transfer of lease rights by way of sale in favour of plaintiff with regard to house no 5552/1 Cat IV Modern Housing Complex manimajra chandigar And Suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendants their agents restraining the | RAJINDER SINGH VS JAI BHAGWAN CHHACHHIA | Defence/Re buttall Evidence/A rguments | 05-05-2026 |

| | | | | | |
|----|--------------------|---|--|-------------------------|------------|
| | | defendants their agents or servant or any other person on teir behalf from alienating the suit property ie House no 5552/1 Cat/IV Modern Housing complex Manimajra Chandigarh to any other third party except the plaintiff and further from interfering into the peaceful possession of the plaintiff in the suit property occupied by him being a owner in possession for the last more than 28 years And also filed an application under section 80 2 CPC for grant of leave to file the present suit without serving prior notice upon the defendant no3 | | | |
| 69 | CS CJ/1362/2024 | CSCJ/1362/2024 Rajinder Kaur vs General Public HNo 3258/1 Sector 44D Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed an Suit for Declaration to the effect that as the plaintiffs and defendant no 3 are the owners of HNo 3258/1 Sector 44d Chandigarh to the extent of 1/4 th share each on the basis of agreement special power of Attorney General Power of Attorney and Will dated 09/05/1985 AND Suit for Partition of HNo 3258/1 Sector 44d Chandigarh between the plaintiffs and defendants to the extent of 1/4 th share each by metes and bounds and in case the partition is not possible the property be sold by the parties amongst the coowners first and iin case no coowner is ready to purchase the property then the property may be sold in the open market by way of auction And Suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant nO 3 to pay the mesne profit to be assessed by this Honble court from the date of present suit till the actual realization And Suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant no 2 to transfer the suit property in the name of plaintiffs and defendant no 3 to the extent of 1/4 th share each and to make necessary changes in the register of ownership and records maintained by them And Suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendants no 3 their agents nominees attorneys heirs relatives employees etc from selling alienating transferring any portion of the suit property to any person or creating charge or encumbrance over the suit property and from dispossessing the plaintiff and interfering in peaceful possession of the suit property | RAJINDER KAUR VS GENERAL PUBLIC | Reply And Consideration | 05-05-2026 |
| 70 | CS CJ/556/2025 | Suit for permanent injunction the defendants and their agents from illegally dispossessing the plaintiff from the flat no. 4716/1, Sector 38(W), UT, Chandigarh. | SHYAM BABU VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD | Reply And Consideration | 05-05-2026 |
| 71 | CS CJ/1320/2025 | Restraining the defendant from selling, alienating and mortgagin the DU No. 3752, Sector 46, UT, Chandigarh and suit for specific performance of Agreement To Sell dated 15-09-1985. | GHANPREET SINGH VS GENERAL PUBLIC | Reply And Consideration | 05-05-2026 |
| 72 | CS CJ/1683/2025 | Suit for restraining the respondents from taking illegal and coercive action on Flat No. 2769, Sector 47-C and directing the respondents to withdraw the notice dated 25-07-2025. | HARJEET BEDI VS State of UT Chandigarh | Reply/Consideration | 05-05-2026 |
| 73 | EXE/52/2026 | Execution of decree dated 02-07-2025 passed in Succession Case No. 116 of 2017 titled as Kanwaljit Kaur vs. GP & others (DU No. 3590, Sector 46-C, UT, Chandigarh) | KANWALJIT KAUR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD | Reply/Consideration | 05-05-2026 |
| 74 | CS CJ/2006/2016 | Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff seeking transfer of properties i.e. DU No. 1238, Sector 43-B, Chandigarh 14th share in agriculture land on WILL basis. | INDU MUNJAL VS CHAIRMAN CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD | Awaiting Further Order | 06-05-2026 |
| 75 | CS CJ/827/2019 | Civil Suit has been filed by the plaintiff for declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is the owner of DU No. 2206, Sector 45-C, Chandigarh and further praying for temporary injunction against resumption order dated | TARMINDER SINGH VS CHAUDHARY | Evidence | 06-05-2026 |

| | | | | | |
|----|--------------------|---|--|---|------------|
| | | 17.10.2017 passed by EO-cum-Secretary, CHB as the allotted not removed the violations and appeal dismissed on 18.3.2019. | CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD | | |
| 76 | CS CJ/1181/2020 | Suit for declaration to the effect that the plaintiff be declared as the owner of 12.5% share of the ancestral property i.e. H.No.3021, HBC,Dhanas, Chd and suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendants No.1 to 3 from alienating any property. | AMAYRA KANOJIA VS KIRAN | Defence evidence | 06-05-2026 |
| 77 | CS CJ/1072/2020 | SCR No. 619, Daddu Majra, Colony (Property pertains to Estate Office). | AMRIK SINGH VS ANIL KUMAR | Written Statement/Reply/Repetition/Considration | 06-05-2026 |
| 78 | CS CJ/352/2024 | CSCJ/352/2024 Rajesh Kumar Sadana Vs General Public Dwelling unit no 2696/2 Sector 44C Chandigarh PUC dated 25/06/2024 is a summons to appear in the subject cited court case received in this office on 25/06/2024 without the copy of petition may kindly be perused please And the copy of petition is obtained from the counsel of Plaintiff dated 05/07/2024 copy attached The next date of Subject Cited Court Case has been fixed for 02/09/2024 The Plaintiff has filed Civil Suit for Specific performance of Agreement to sell dated 19/10/1993 entered into between Defendant no3 and the Plaintiffs wherein House No 2696/2 Sector 44C Chandigarh was sold to the plaintiffs at a total sale consideration money of Rs 40,000/ the said amount was paid and full and final receipt dated 19/10/1993 was executed And filed the Suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant no 2 for transferring the Lease hold Rights of House No 2696/2 Sector 44c Chandigarh in favour of plaintiffs on the basis of Agreement to sell dated 19/10/1993 and had bequeathed the above said house in favor of the plaintiffs vide will dated 22/10/1993 in exclusion of any other person AND Suit for permanent injunction restraining the Defendant no. 2, their associates and agent etc. from selling, transferring, alienating, gifting any share and / or creating any third-party rights in house no 2696/2 Sector 44C Chandigarh except the plaintiffs And any other relief this Hon ble Court deems fit according to the facts and circumstances of the present case may be granted in favour of the Plaintiffs and against the defendants | RAJESH KUMAR SADANA VS GENERAL PUBLIC | Evidence | 06-05-2026 |
| 79 | CS CJ/1770/2025 | CS has been filed by the plaintiff for specific performance of Agreement to Sell dated 01-05-2003 executed by Sh. Sandeep Kumar, Sale Agreement dated 01-05-2003 in respect of DU No. 3081/2, Sector 41-D, UT, Chandigarh. | SMT MUNNI DEVI VS AMAR NATH | Written Statement/Reply/Repetition/Considration | 06-05-2026 |
| 80 | CS CJ/2223/2025 | Conversion Charges and demand notice issue (DU No. 5728-B, Sector 38-W, UT, Chandigarh). | VIVIK K SOOD VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD | Reply/Considration | 06-05-2026 |

| | | | | | |
|----|---------------------|---|--|--------------------------------|------------|
| 81 | MCA DJ/105/2025 | Appeal against eviction order dated 19-05-2025 in respect of Small Flat No. 2575-2, Sector 49, UT, Chandigarh. | GURPAL SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD | Notice And Record | 06-05-2026 |
| 82 | MISC DJ/623/2025 | Appeal against the order dated 06-06-2019 and 20-01-2020 in respect of DU No. 4322, Sector 39-West, Maloya, UT, Chandigarh. | LATA KUMARI VS THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER | Reply And Considerati on | 06-05-2026 |
| 83 | CS CJ/2150/2025 | Challenging the transfer letter dated 02-04-2025 issued by CHB in favor of defendant no. 01 i.e. Harpreet Chopra in respect of DU No. 588, Sector 41-A, UT, Chandigarh and re-transfer the DU in the name of the plaintiff. | POONUM SHARMA VS HARPREET CHOPRA | Reply And Considerati on | 06-05-2026 |
| 84 | MISC DJ/254/2026 | Challenging the Eviction order dated 06-05-2025 issued due to non-payment in respect of Small Flat No. 4874/3, Sector 38-W, UT, Chandigarh. | MALA DEVI VS THE SECRETARY | Reply And Considerati on | 06-05-2026 |
| 85 | CS CJ/2169/2019 | Civil suit filed by the plaintiff restraining Defendant No. 1 to 3(CHB) to issue notice regarding cancellation of permission of amalgamation in connivance with Defendant No. 4 and 5 qua booth No. 95-96, MHC, Manimajra. | TRIPTA RANI VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD | Reply/Cons ideration | 07-05-2026 |
| 86 | CS CJ/129/2021 | In this matter plaintiff has filed suit for Mandatory injunction directing the defendants No.1-4 to remove the illegal optical shop running in the name and style of M/s Vijay Optical on the ground floor of the dwelling unit No.1096, Ram Darbar, Chandigarh which amounts to cancellation of registration/allotment as well as forfeiture of the amount deposited with the defendant No.6. | ARVIND SINGH VS VIJAY SINGH | Evidence | 07-05-2026 |
| 87 | CS CJ/1022/2022 | CSCJ/1022/2022, Aseem Parkash Vs Dr. Alka Singh booth no 8, sector 48-A | ASEEM PARKASH VS DR. ALKA SINGH | Evidence | 07-05-2026 |
| 88 | CS CJ/1925/2023 | CSCJ/1925/2023 Charanjeet Kaur Vs Jagmohan Singh and others, H.No 446, Sector 44-A, Chandigarh | CHARANJEET KAUR VS JAGMOHAN SINGH | Notice And Record | 07-05-2026 |
| 89 | MCA DJ/95/2023 | Challenging the eviction order dated 18/07/2023 in respect of H.No. 5680, Manimajra, UT, Chandigarh. | JASBIR KAUR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD | Reply And Considerati on | 07-05-2026 |
| 90 | CS CJ/1665/2023 | CSCJ/1665/2023 titled as Shimla Devi Vs General Public the plaintiff has filed the Suit for declaration to the effect that the plaintiffs are owners in possession of H.No. 534/1 Sector 40/A Chandigarh being the legal heirs of late Sh Suresh Kumar on the basis of general Power of Attorney Will Agreement to sell and affidavit dated 03/05/1989 executed by defendant no 3 in favour of deceased suresh kumar husband annd father of plaintiffs and Suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant to transfer h no 534/1 Sector 40A Chandigarh in the name of the plaintiffs on the basis of general power of attorney will agreement to sell and affidavit dated 03/05/1989 executed by defendant no 3 in favour of deceased Suresh Kumar husband and father of plaintiff | SHIMLA DEVI VS GENERAL PUBLIC | Reply And Considerati on | 07-05-2026 |
| 91 | CS CJ/989/2024 | CSCJ/989/2024 Darshna Rani Vs Leela Devi LIG D Category HNo 658 Sector 41 A Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the SUIT for Declaration as owner by way of Specific performance of agreement of sale of LIG D Category dU No 658 Sector 41/A Chandigarh UT as per agreement dated 08/04/1985 vide full and final payment of Rs 13,500/ total/lump sum paid on the same day under the terms and conditions of the defendant no 6 Vide | DARSHNA RANI VS LEELA DEVI | Reply And Considerati on | 07-05-2026 |

| | | | | | |
|----|------------------------|---|---|--------------------------------|------------|
| | | <p>Letter No R/9504 DO AA/II 84/1842 dated 24/07/1984 duly executed between lae Sh Manohar Lal who was the Ancestor of the defendant no 1 to 5 And for directing the defendant No 6 to transfer the house in question in the names of the plaintiffs by way of conveyance deed/sale deed or any other documents/ in the name of the plaintiffs which are necessary for transferring the LIG D category DU Unit No 685 Sector 41/A Chandigarh UT as per its irrevocable agreement for transfer of Hire purchase Title/Affidavit/GPA/SPA and Registered WILL bearing No 46/3 Volume No 123 page no 241/244 dated 08/04/1985 registered by the Sub-Registrar Chandigarh WITH THE CONSEQUENTIAL RELIEF of permanent injunction restraining the defendants or their legal heirs or any agent from alienating the suit house no 685 Sector 41/A Chandigarh UT in any manner ie by way of sale gift mortgage exchange etc or creating the third party interest upon the suit house except the plaintiffs whereby the title or nature of the suit land may change AND FOR DECLARATION to the effect that the plaintiffs are owners in possession being the purchaser through their Ancestors ie late Sh Rajinder Kumar Chauhan son of late Sh Inderjit Chauhan resident of House No 1401 Sector 22B Chandigarh as per its irrevocable agreement for transfer of Hire Purchase title/Affidavit/GPA/SPA and Registered WILL bearing No 46/3 Volume No 123 page no 241/244 dated 08/04/1985 registered by the SubRegistrar Chandigarh</p> <p>AND FOR GRANT OF ANY OTHER ALTERNATIVE RELIEF OF OWNERSHIP/POSSESSION either ini addition to or in substitution of such performance which this Hon ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of this case However the plaintiffs have physical possession through their ancestors since dt 08/04/1985 in the interest of justice</p> | | | |
| 92 | CS CJ/1753/2024 | CSCJ 1753 2024 Rakesh Kumar Sharma vs Chandigarh Housing Board H No 5419 2 Category HIG I MHC Manimajra Chandigarh. The Plaintiff has filed SUIT for declaration to the effect that the Plaintiff is the Rightful owner in possession of Flat DU No 5419 2 Category HIG I MHC Manimajra Chandigarh AND further for mandatory injunction directing the defendant to cancel the fraudulent transfer of the said flat in favor of third party Ashok kumar Chadha AND further for passing a decree of permanent injunction restraining the defendant from creating any third-party rights in the said flat The Suit is based upon oral as well as Documentry evidence under order 7 Rule 1 CPC AND filed an Application under order 39 rule 1 AND 2 of the code of civil procedure 1908 for grant of ad interim stay | RAKESH KUMAR SHARMA VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD | Reply/Cons ideration | 07-05-2026 |
| 93 | EXE/76/2024 | Decree holder applied for the execution of judgment and decree passed by the Honble court vide order dated 20.01.2020 in suit property house no 729 sector 20 Panchkula and house no 4782B sector 38 west Chandigarh | Angelina Gill VS Vidya Sagar | CONSIDERA TION | 07-05-2026 |
| 94 | CS CJ/1974/2024 | Suit declaration that plaintiff no. 1 is owner of DU No. 3104, Dhanas, Chd. and cancellaton of sale deed dted 03-07-2019. | MINAL VS RITA DEVI | Arguments. | 07-05-2026 |
| 95 | CIVIL MISC/448/2024 | CM filed for restoraion of original case i.e. CS/285/2017 in respect of DU No. 3100, Housing Board Colony, Dhanas, UT, Chandigarh. | RAVI KUMAR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD | Reply/Cons ideration | 07-05-2026 |
| 96 | MCA DJ/117/2025 | Against Eviction order dated 12-06-2025 issued due to non-payment in respect of Small Flat No. 4875, Sector 38-W, UT, Chandigarh. | BABU RAM VS CHAIRMAN | Reply And Considerati on | 07-05-2026 |

| | | | | | |
|-----|--------------------|--|--|---|------------|
| 97 | CS CJ/1427/2025 | Mandatory injunctions directing the defendant to transfer of DU No. 2981, Sector 42-C, UT, Chandigarh on the basis of Registered WILL dated 17-08-2010. | SURINDER MOHAN VS GENERAL PUBLIC | Reply And Considerati on | 07-05-2026 |
| 98 | CS CJ/2029/2025 | Suit for specific performance of Agreement to Sell dated 27-12-2002 in respect of DU No. 496, Sector 41-A, UT, Chandigarh. | DARSHAN SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD | Arguments | 07-05-2026 |
| 99 | CS CJ/659/2025 | Suit for declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is in owner in possession of Flat No. 2630/1, Sector 47, Chandigarh, on the basis of WILL dated 16-04-2015 executed by the mother of the plaintiff vide which the said flat was bequeathed in favour of the plaintiff. | TEJVIR SINGH DUA VS AJAY PAL SINGH | Written Statement/ Reply/Repli cation/ Considrati on | 07-05-2026 |
| 100 | CS CJ/345/2020 | Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding cancellation of allotment of demised premises of DU No. 2229/1, Sector 45-C, Chandigarh even SCN was issued. | LAKHVIR KAUR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD | Arguments | 08-05-2026 |
| 101 | CS CJ/536/2020 | Civil Suit seeking transfer of 1-1/5th Share of DU No. 1217/1, Sector 40-B, Chandigarh. | RAVI KUMAR VS KAMALA DEVI | Notice And Record | 08-05-2026 |
| 102 | CS CJ/1379/2021 | The applicant filed an application under order 39 Rule 1 and 2 for restraining the defendant from selling, alienating, mortgaging the property i.e. DU No. 5019, MIG, Ground Floor, Sector-38(West), CHD and for interfering in peaceful possession of the property | RAJ KUMAR SALUJA VS PARDEEP SALUJA | Defence evidence | 08-05-2026 |
| 103 | CS CJ/518/2022 | CSCJ 518 2022 titled as Veena Kohli vs Amit Kumar Anand House No 3332 Sector 46 C Chandigarh | VEENA KOHLI VS AMIT KUMAR ANAND | Civil Evidence | 08-05-2026 |
| 104 | CS CJ/1697/2022 | CSCJ-1697-2022, Kusum Kuthiala vs CHB, DU NO 273-C, Third Floor, Sector 51-A | KUSUM KUTHIALA VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD | Reply And Considerati on | 08-05-2026 |
| 105 | CS CJ/948/2023 | CSCJ/948/2023 Mohinder Singh Sood Vs Munne DU No 2626/1 Sector 44-C, CHD | MOHINDER SINGH SOOD VS MUNNE | Reply And Considerati on | 08-05-2026 |
| 106 | CS CJ/867/2024 | CSCJ/867/2024 Harpal Singh Vs Jaswant Kaur Dwelling unit no2057/C Category 3BR Sector 63 Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the SUIT for Declaration on behalf of plaintiffs that Will dated 15/09/2020 executed by Late Sh Harminder Singh in respect of his 1/3 rd share in DU No 2057/C Category 3BR Sector 63 Chandgiarh is legal valid and genuine document by virtue of which both the plaintiffs had inherited 1/3 rd share held by him and became co/owners in possession of the above said dwelling unit to the exclusion of other legal heirs of Late Sh Harminder Singh as mentioned in Will dated 5/09/2020 on the basis or oral as well as documentary evidence under order 7 Rule 1 of CPC AND Suit for permanent injunction restraining defendants No 1 to3 from selling alienate mortgaging or to change the nature of property in respect to 1/3 rd share held by late Sh Harminder Singh in dwelling unit no 2057/C Category 3BR Sector 63 Chandigarh in any manner to any third | HARPAL SINGH VS JASWANT KAUR | Evidence | 08-05-2026 |

| | | | | | |
|-----|------------------------|---|--|---|------------|
| | | person as they have no right title or interest with regard to the property in question till the decision took place between the parties AND Suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant no 4 to transfer 1/3 rd share in dwelling unit No 2057/c Category 3BR Sector 63 Chandigarh into the name of plaintiffs on the basis of Will dated 15/09/2020 and as per rules and byelaws framed by the Chandigarh Housing Board at the costs and expenditure of the plaintiffs as their request for transfer had been declined without any reason and both of them have acquired 1/3 rd share held by Late Sh Harminder Singh after his death by virtue of his last Will dated 15/09/2020 so executed by him during his lifetime And filed an Application on behalf of plaintiffs under order 39 rule 1 and 2 of CPC restraining defendants No 1 to 3 from selling alienating, mortgaging or to change the nature of property in respect to 1/3 rd share held by Late Sh Harminder Singh in DU No 2057/C Category 3BR Sector 63 Chandigarh in any manner to any third person a they have no right title or interest with regard to the property in question till the decision took place between the parties | | | |
| 107 | MCA DJ/31/2025 | Against the Eviction order dated 18-02-2025 passed against the Small Flat No. 2619-B, Ram Darbar, UT, Chandigarh | MURSLEEM AHMED VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD | Arguments | 08-05-2026 |
| 108 | MCA DJ/161/2025 | Appeal has been filed by the appellant to stay and set aside the eviction order dated 11-09-2025 in respect of Small Flat No. 4876, Sector 38-W, UT, Chandigarh. | VEERAN DEVI VS CHAIRMAN CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD | Notice And Record | 08-05-2026 |
| 109 | CIVIL MISC/496/2025 | Restoration of CS no. 2027 of 2017 Seeking allotment under Small Flat Scheme 2006 in lieu of Jhuggi. | CHARANJIT KAUR VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION | Notice And Record | 08-05-2026 |
| 110 | CS CJ/2270/2025 | Transfer of DU No. 2810/2, Sector 47-C, UT, Chandigarh. | SACHIN KANOJIA VS THE CHANDIGARH HOUSE BOARD | Written Statement/ Reply/Repli cation/ Considratio n | 08-05-2026 |
| 111 | MISC DJ/180/2026 | Appeal has been filed by the appellant, Challenging the Eviction order dated 06-05-2025 passed due to non-payment in respect of Small Flat No. 4894/3, Sector 38-W, UT, Chandigarh. | SONI KUMAR VS CHAIRMAN | Notice And Record | 08-05-2026 |
| 112 | CS CJ/398/2026 | Challenging cancellation order dated 05-02-2026 issued due to non-payment in respect of DU No. 2576, Maloya, allotted under ARHCs. | RAM AGYA VS UNION OF INDIA | | 08-05-2026 |
| 113 | MISC DJ/253/2026 | Challenging the interim order dated 25-07-2025 passed in CS/2086/2024 in respect of House No. 232, Daddu Majra Colony, Chandigarh. | GURMUKH SINGH VS LAKHBIR SINGH | Reply And Considerati on | 08-05-2026 |
| 114 | CA/135/2024 | CA/135/2024 Datar Singh vs Chandigarh Housing Board DU No 4315 Sector 39W Maloya Colony Chandigarh The Appellant has filed an Application under section 151 CPC seeking permission from this Hon ble Court for placing on record Annexures A1 to A11 and further seeking exemption from filing certified copies of the same however the photostat and downloaded copies of the same may very kindly be allowed to be placed on record | DATAR SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD | Reply And Considerati on | 09-05-2026 |

| | | | | | |
|-----|----------------|---|---|------------|------------|
| | | in the interest of justice And filed an application u/s 54 2 of the Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 as extended to Chandigarh read with section 151 CPC for the stay of the operation of impugned order dated 12/07/2024 ANNEXURE P10 passed by the respondent o 2 whereby the eviction of the appellant has been ordered from the premises ie 4315 sector 39 west Maloya Colony Chandigarh within one month from the date of receiving of the notice dated 12/07/2024 hence the same be stayed during the pendency of the present appeal and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the said impugned order may also be stayed And filed an appeal us 54 1 of the Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 as extended to Chandigarh against the order dated 12/07/2024 Annexure A10 passed by respondent number 2 whereby eviction of the appellant has been ordered from the premises ie DU No 4315 sector 39 west Maloya Colony Chandigarh within one month from the date of service of the notice Earlier the case was fixed on 21/08/2024 before the Hon ble District Court Chandigarh Advocate Sh Manoj Kumar was instructed to attend/defend the court case on behalf of Chandigarh Housing Board | | | |
| 115 | CS CJ/336/2026 | Suit for declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is the absolute owner of DU No. 2678/1, Sector 44-C by WILL dated 30-01-2023. | MADHUR SHUKLA VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD | Lok Adalat | 09-05-2026 |

Advance List of Court Cases pending before the Permanent Lok Adalat (PUS), UT, Chandigarh from 27.04.2026 to 09.05.2026

| Sno | Case No. | Brief Subject Matter / Prayer | Petitioner And Respondent | Status | Hearing date |
|-----|---------------------|--|--|-----------------------|--------------|
| 1 | APPLICATION/04/2026 | The plaintiff has filed an application under section 22 of Permanent Lok Adalat Utility Services 1987 for issuance of the direction to the respondent to issue No Objection Certificate of House No. 5066-2 Category-II Modern Housing Complex Manimajra U.T. Chandigarh for sale of lease hold right to the applicant | Surinder Singh VS Chandigarh Housing Board (DU No. 5066/2, Category-II, MHC, Manimajra, U.T. Chandigarh) | Reply yet to be filed | 30 Apr 2026 |
| 2 | APPLICATION/07/2026 | The Plaintiff has filed the Application under section 22 C of Legal Services Authorities Act 1987 as amended Up To Date for the settlement of dispute between the parties to the application and for setting aside of letter and order dated 15.01.2026 vide which the respondent Board raised Illegal and arbitrary demand of Rs. 298981 and enhance stamp duty on above amount raised after completion of conversion of Dwelling Unit from leasehold to freehold on 11.04.2025 and execution of registered conveyance deed dated 28.04.2025. And stay the operation of letter order dated 15.01.2026 and restrain the respondents Board from taking any coercive or adverse action against the applicant on the basis of the said illegal demand dated 15.01.2026 And for award of compensation of Rs 5 Lacs on account of causing | Rajesh Gupta VS Chandigarh Housing Board and others (Flat No 2149-C Block No. 8 Sector 63 Chandigarh) | Fresh Case | 30 Apr 2026 |

| | | | | |
|---|---------------------|---|--|---------------------------|
| | | mental tension agony and harassment and the cost of this litigation. And to pass any appropriate order this Honourable Permanent Lok Adalat deems fit in the facts and circumstance of the case in the interest of justice. | | |
| 3 | APPLICATION/11/2026 | The Plaintiff has filed the Application under section 22 C of Legal Services Authorities Act 1987 as amended Up To Date for the settlement of dispute between the parties to the application and for setting aside of letter order dated 09.01.2026 vide which the respondent Board raised Illegal and arbitrary demand of Rs. 298981 and enhance stamp duty on above amount raised after completion of conversion of Dwelling Unit from leasehold to freehold on 25.04.2025 and execution of registered conveyance deed dated 28.04.2025 And stay the operation of letter/order dated 09.01.2026 and restrain the respondents Board from taking any coercive or adverse action against the applicant on the basis of the said illegal demand dated 09.01.2026 And for award of compensation of Rs. 5 Lacs on account of causing mental tension agony and harassment and the cost of this litigation. And to pass any appropriate order this Honourable Permanent Lok Adalat deems fit in the facts and circumstance of the case in the interest of justice. | Jagdish Lal Kalra VS Chandigarh housing Board and others (DU No. 2232 D Block No. 12 Sector 63 Chandigarh) | Fresh case 30 Apr 2026 |
| 4 | APPLICATION/09/2026 | The Plaintiff has filed the Application under section 22 C of Legal Services Authorities Act 1987 as amended Up To Date for the settlement of dispute between the parties to the application and for setting aside of letter order dated 28.11.2025 vide which the respondent/ Board raised Illegal and arbitrary demand of Rs. 298981 and enhance stamp duty on above amount raised after completion of conversion of Dwelling Unit from leasehold to freehold on 16.05.2025. And for setting aside of debit entry of Rs. 7160 plus GST charges as ground rent charged by respondent/board on 01.09.2025 in account statement showing due amount of Rs. 8662. And to direct the respondent Board to execute and get the conveyance deed registered in favor of the applicant for the Dwelling Unit No. 2279 2BR Sector 63 Chandigarh. And stay the operation of letter/order dated 28.11.2025 and restrain the respondents/ Board from taking any coercive or adverse action against the applicant on the basis or the said illegal demand dated 28.11.2025 And for award of compensation of Rs. 5 Lacs on account of causing mental tension agony and harassment and the cost of this litigation And to pass any appropriate order this Honble Permanent Lok Adalat deems fit in the facts and circumstance of the case in the interest of justice. | Puneet Monga VS CHB & Others (DU No. 2279 Block No. 1 Sector 63 Chandigarh) | Fresh case 30 Apr 2026 |

| | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|---|--|-------------|-------------|
| 5 | APPLICATION/10/2026 | The Plaintiff has filed the Application under section 22 C of Legal Services Authorities Act 1987 as amended Up To Date for the settlement of dispute between the parties to the application and for setting aside of letter order dated 19.11.2025 vide which the respondent Board raised illegal and arbitrary demand of Rs. 298981 and enhance stamp duty on above amount raised after completion of conversion of Dwelling Unit from leasehold to freehold on 16.05.2025 And to direct the respondent Board to execute and get the conveyance deed registered in favor of the applicant for the Dwelling Unit No. 2272 E 2BR Sector 63 Chandigarh. And stay the operation of letter order dated 19.11.2025 and restrain the respondents Board from taking any coercive or adverse action against the applicant on the basis of the said illegal demand dated 19.11.2025 And for award of compensation of Rs. 5 Lacs on account of causing mental tension agony and harassment and the cost of this litigation. And to pass any appropriate order this Honble Permanent Lok Adalat deems fit in the facts and circumstance of the case in the interest of justice. | Poonam VS CHB & others (DU No. 2272-E Block No. 3 Sector 63 Chandigarh) | Fresh case | 30 Apr 2026 |
| 6 | APPLICATION/24/2024 | The Plaintiff has filed the application under Section 22 (A) legal services Authority Act (1987) The plaintiff has filed the application to give the direction to the Respondent to give possession of allotted small flat under Chandigarh small flats scheme 2009 to applicant Mr Raj Kumar | Raj Kumar VS Estate Office and Chandigarh Housing Board (Jhuggi No 2744, Colony No. 5, Chandigarh) | Reply filed | 05 May 2026 |
| 7 | APPLICATION/18/2025 | The Plaintiff has filed the Application under Section 22C of the Legal Services Authority Act 1987 for directing the respondents No. 1 and 2 to waive of the interest and penal interest on account of ground rent and interest of principal amount of Booth No. 68 Day market Sector 24 Chandigarh which stands in the name of Jagdish Prasad alias Jagdish Singh and allowed to make easy installments of the principal amount. | Usha Rani VS The Estate Office and others (Booth No. 68, Day market, Sector 24, Chandigarh). | Reply filed | 07 May 2026 |

Advance List of Court Cases pending before the Hon'ble Sole Arbitrator, UT, Chandigarh from 27.04.2026 to 09.05.2026

| Sno | Case No. | Brief Subject Matter / Prayer | Petitioner And Respondent | Status | Hearing date |
|-----|--------------|---|--|---------|--------------|
| 1 | ARB/708/2025 | The Honorable Punjab and Haryana High Court Chandigarh vide its order dated 20.01.2026 Mr. Justice Paramjeet Singh Dhaliwal a former Judge of this Court resident of House No.2254 Sector 35-C Chandigarh mobile Nos.- 7837049204 9814115825 is nominated as the Sole Arbitrator to adjudicate the dispute between the parties subject to compliance of statutory provisions including Section 12 of the Act. The matter has been fixed for hearing on 20.02.2026 before the Sole Arbitrator Mr. Justice Paramjeet Singh Dhaliwal at his office House No 2254 1st Floor Sector 35-C Chandigarh. | Nawab Singh VS Executive Engineer - VI (PH) Chandigarh Housing Board and another | Pending | 08 May 2026 |

Advance List of Court Cases pending before the Hon'ble Secretary Estates, UT, Chandigarh from 27.04.2026 to 09.05.2026

| Sno | Case No. | Brief Subject Matter / Prayer | Petitioner And Respondent | Status | Hearing date |
|-----|----------------------|--|---|-------------|--------------|
| 1 | APPEAL NO./0042/2024 | The appellant has filed appeal against cancellation order no CHB AO C 2024 14281 dated 04.10.2024 of small flat no 6639 B sector 56 Chandigarh passed by respondent due to non payment. Claim of appeal to set aside the cancellation order no CHB AO C 2024 14281 dated 04.10.2024 of small flat no 6639 B sector 56 Chandigarh passed by respondent by accepting and allowing the appeal with costs throughout. | Asha Devi wife of Nand Kishor VS The secretary cum competent authority under the Chandigarh Small flat scheme 2006 | Reply filed | 30 Apr 2026 |
| 2 | APPEAL NO./0014/2024 | The applicant has filed an application for staying the proceedings of the cancellation order (due to non payment) dated 19.08.2024 passed by the respondent and filed an appeal against cancellation order no CHB AO C 2024 11990 dated 19.08.2024 of small flat no 6514 A small flats sector 56 Chandigarh passed by respondent and filed claim of appeal to set aside the cancellation order no CHB AO C 2024 11990 dated 19.08.2024 of small flat no 6514 A small flats sector 56 Chandigarh passed by respondent by accepting and allowing the appeal with costs throughout. | Bina @ beena wife of late babu ram VS Secretary-cum-competent authority under Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 CHB Chandigarh | pending | 30 Apr 2026 |
| 3 | APPEAL NO./71/2025 | The appellant has filed appeal against cancellation order No CHB AO C 2024 11982 dated 19.08.2024 of Small flat no 6634 A Sector 56 Chandigarh passed by respondent. An filed Claim of Appeal to set aside the cancellation order no CHB AO C 2024 11982 daed 19.08.2024 of small flat no 6634 A Sector 56 Chandigarh passed by the respondent by accepting and allowing the appeal with costs throughout. | Santosh wife of Ram Karan VS Secretary cum competent authority under the Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh | Pending | 30 Apr 2026 |
| 4 | APPEAL NO./152/2025 | The appellant has filed appeal against the cancellation order passed by the respondent under Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 in H. No. 2574 C Ram Darbar Chandigarh due to non payment of license fee. | Nepal Singh son of Narinder Singh and Suman Devi daughter of Ram Sarup Singh VS The secretary Chandigarh housing Board exercising the powers of competent authority under the Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 | Reply filed | 30 Apr 2026 |
| 5 | APPEAL NO./219/2025 | The appellant has filed appeal against the cancellation order passed by the respondent under Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 in H. No. 2571 C Ramdarbar Chandigarh due to non payment of license fee. | Gopal Singh son of Suba Chand VS The secretary Chandigarh housing Board exercising the powers of competent authority under the Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 | Reply filed | 30 Apr 2026 |
| 6 | APPEAL NO./205/2025 | The appellant has filed appeal against the cancellation order passed by the respondent under Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 in H. No. 375 A Small flats Dhanas UT Chandigarh due to non payment of license fee. | Dulari wife of Bhikari VS The secretary Chandigarh housing Board exercising the powers of competent | Pending | 30 Apr 2026 |

| | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|---|---|-------------|-------------|
| | | | authority under the Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 | | |
| 7 | APPEAL NO./195/2025 | The appellant has filed appeal against the cancellation order passed by the respondent under Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 in H. No. 2709 1 sector 49 Chandigarh due to non payment of license fee. | Vinod son of Kewal VS The secretary cum competent authority Chandigarh housing board Chandigarh | Reply filed | 30 Apr 2026 |
| 8 | APPEAL NO./313/2025 | The appellant has filed appeal against the cancellation order passed by the respondent under Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 in H. No. 6632 B sector 56 Small flats Chandigarh due to non payment of license fee. | Deepak and Bimla VS The secretary Chandigarh housing Board exercising the powers of competent authority under the Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 | Pending | 30 Apr 2026 |
| 9 | APPEAL NO./309/2025 | The appellant has filed appeal against the cancellation order passed by the respondent under Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 in H. No. 6514 sector 56 Chandigarh due to violation of clause 4 and 5 deed of license. | Dharampal son of Sh Tuman VS The secretary Chandigarh housing Board exercising the powers of competent authority under the Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 | Pending | 30 Apr 2026 |