

Advance List of Court Cases pending before the Hon’ble Supreme Court from 20.07.2026 to 01.08.2026					
Sno	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	SLP(C)/34975/2024	SLP filed vide D-34975 - 2024 by the Chandigarh Housing Board against the final judgement and order dated 30-05-2024 passed byt he Honorable High Court in CWp No.22626 of 2013.	Chandigarh Housing Board, Chandigarh VS Phool Kumar Saini and others	pending	22 Jul 2026
2	C.A/594/2024	Civil Appeal filed for arising out of the impugned final order dated 06-09-2023 in Consumer Complaint No237 of 2015 passed by the Honorable National Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission Delhi	Parsvnath Developers Limited VS Ram Swarup Kanda (deceased) through LRs. and ors	pending	23 Jul 2026
3	SLP(C)/Diary No-13990/2026	SLP filed against the impugned final judgment and order dated 26-11-2025 in LPA No. 3400/2025 passed by the High Court of Punjab & Haryana at Chandigarh.	Chandigarh Housing Board VS Iqbal Kaur W/o Late sh. Gursharanjit Singh Rosha	pending	29 Jul 2026
4	SLA(C)/Diary No.13990/2026	Special Leave Petition filed against the Impugned interim order dated 26-11-2025 passed by Honorable High Court of Punjab and Haryana in LPA No-3400 of 2025 vide which the Honorable Single Judge as exercised his Lordships discretion under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to pass an order based on equity and good conscience whereby the penal rent for overstaying in the official accommodation for a period of 08 months has been reduced from Rs-528801 to Rs-200000	Chandigarh Housing Board VS Iqbal Kaur (LRs of Gursharan Singh Rosha)	pending	29 Jul 2026

Advance List of Court Cases pending before the Hon’ble High Court of Punjab and Haryana, UT, Chandigarh from 20.07.2026 to 01.08.2026					
Sno	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	CWP/14027/2023	Petition filed under Article 226 - 227 of Constitution of India praying for issuance the writ in the nature of mandamus directing officials respondents to issue possession letter cum permanent allotment letter to the petitioner as against House No1621 Sector 25 Chandigarh as same was allotted to petitioner namely Shalo Devi widow of Daya Chand by the respondents in the draw conducted by them in the interest of justice And With a further prayer declare action of respondents is illegal unjust arbitrary Further more the petitioner is still in possession of the said plinth And Further a writ in nature of mandamus for directing the respondents not to threaten the petitioner and take forceful possession of the plinth till the decision of the present	SHALO DEVI VS STATE OF UT AND OTHERS	PENDING ADJOURNMENT	20 Jul 2026

		petition And For issuance of any other writ order or direction which this Honorable Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case may kindly be passed			
2	CWP/4769/2021	CWP under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ in the nature of a Certiorari, quashing the impugned letter No.377367 dated 27.08.2020 vide which the Respondent No.3 has rejected the request of the Petitioner for refund of conversion charges of Rs.40,56,000/- in respect of Plot No.368-369, Indl Area, Ph-I, Chandigarh of the Petitioner, being manifestly arbitrary, violative of Article 14 of India and also declare the same as being illegal, improper, unjust, predetermined, malicious, irrational and beyond the provisions of law.	M/S ESSEN DEINKI VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER	PENDING	20-Jul-26
3	CWP/19145/2021	i. Providing installation, testing and commissioning of fire fighting system in 1216 small flats in Maloya-I, Chandigarh Gr.-III ii. Providing installation, testing and commissioning of fire fighting system in 1536 small flats in Maloya-I, Chandigarh Gr.-II	M/S HST ENTERPRISES VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ANOTHER	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	20-Jul-26
4	CWP/24320/2025	petitioner has filed the subject cited petition for seeking an appropriate writ, order or direction for quashing of the order dated 22-07-2024- passed by the respondent No2 whereby the petitioner who is a 78 years old veteran of the 1971 war has been ordered to be evicted from the residential H-No.5529-Sector 38-West Chandigarh and the Judgment dated 30-07-2025 whereby the Ld ADJ has dismissed the Appeal filed by the petitioner Further for issuance of directions to the respondent No-1 to 3 for holding an inquiry and for taking action against the employees of the respondent No-2 and 3 for vandalizing the property of the petitioner lying in the residential H-No-5529- Sector 38-West- Chandigarh under the garb of evicting the petitioner and his heart patient wife without even issuing prior notice and giving sufficient time Further the respondents may be directed to de-seal the residential H-No-5529 Sector 38-West Chandigarh during pendency of the present petition as this is the only house of the petitioner Further for Stay the operation of the impugned orders dated 22.07.2024 and Judgment dated 30-07-2025 during the pendency of this case.	LABH SINGH VS ESTATE OFFICE THROUGH ITS SECRETARY ESTATES	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	21 Jul 2026
5	CWP/12718/2023	Industrial Conversion case	PREET MOHINDER KOHLI VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND OTHERS	PENDING	21-Jul-26
6	CWP/4490/2024	TO COMPLIANCE THE ORDERS PASSED BY HON'BLE HIGH COURT DATED 12.01.2011 IN CWP NO. 3233 OF 1993 TITLED AS HARI RAM AND OTHERS VERSUS UT CHANDIGARH.	HARI RAM VS UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	PENDING ADJOURNMENT	21-Jul-26
7	CWP/16727/2016	reg. Industrial Plot no 161, Industrial Area, Phase-II chandigarh.	SAT PAUL BANSAL & ORS VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION & ORS	PENDING	22 Jul 2026

8	COCP/3096/2026	Contempt of Court filed under Act 1971 read with Article 215 of the Constitution of India for initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondents for willfully intentionally and deliberately disobeying the direction of this Honorable High Court dated 02-12-2025 passed by this Honorable High Court in CWP No-20837 of 2015 - Kiran Vs Chandigarh Housing Board and others	KIRAN VS H. RAJESH PRASAD, IAS AND ANOTHER		22 Jul 2026
9	CR/6342/2025	Civil Revision Petition filed for setting aside the order dated 13-08-2025 21-08-2025 and 02-09-2025 being void and premature and accordingly the execution petitioner baring no.EXE No.846 of 2023 be dismissed in the interest of justice and further for staying the proceedings before the Ld. Executing court during the pendency of the present petition in the interest of justice.	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD VS M/S INTER SOLAR PVT. LTD. AND ANOTHER	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	22-Jul-26
10	CWP/7095/2001	Regarding the allotment of land CWP FILED SEEKING DIRECTIONS FOR DECLARING THE PETITIONER AS DULY ENROLLED NOMINEE OF THE DECEASED MEMBER OF THE SOCIETY and FOR QUASHING BOARD'S LETTER DT.19.4.2001 WHEREBY THE SOCIETY HAS BEEN DIRECTED TO SEEK APPROVAL OF RCS FOR THE TRANSFER OF SHARES TO THE NOMINEES IN CASE OF DECEASED MEMBER OF THE SOCIETY.	JASWANT SINGH AND ORS VS THE UNION TERRITORY ANDORS	PENDING ADMITTED	23-Jul-26
11	LPA/1861/2012	LPA FILED AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 7.12.2010 PASSED IN CWP NO.13365/1999 ABD IRDER DATED 5.9.2012 PASSED IN REVIEW APPLICATION NO.13 OF 2012	CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION VS UNITED SERVICES CO-OP HOUSE BUILDING SOCIETY CHD. AND ANR	PENDING	23-Jul-26
12	LPA/15/2014	Letters Patent Appeal under Clause X of the Letters Patents against the judgement/order dated 07.12.2010 passed in C.W.P.No.8443 of 2000 alongwith C.W.P. No. 13365 of 1999 and order dated 05.09.2012 passed in R.A.No.13 of 2012 in C.W.P. No. 13365 of 1999, by the Ld. Single Judge of this Hon'ble Court That through the instant Letters Patent Appeal, the appellant is impugning the judgment dated 07.12.2010 passed by the Ld. Single Judge of this Hon'ble Court in C.W.P.No. 8443 of 2000, whereby the said writ petition alongwith other writ petitions has been disposed off with C.W.P.No.13365 of 1999 as the main case, with the direction that the petitioners, who had deposited 10% earnest money at unrevised density upto 01.06.1992 and in case of freshly screened members in 1994 who had not deposited 10% earnest money or 25%, as the case may be, by 12.08.1994, but completed deposit of 25% eamest money at revised density in time, shall be considered for allotment of land by charging 18% interest on the earnest money from the date of demand upto the year 1996 in the light of the judgement dated 18.12.1996 in C.W.P.No.1454 of 1992. It was further directed that in the case of all the petitioners who had already deposited 25% premium amount by the stipulated date, the land be allotted to them at the rate of Rs.2500/- per square yard. The order dated 05.09.2012 passed in R.A.No.13 of 2012 in C.W.P.No. 13365 of 1999 ie, the main case, whereby, the said review application has been dismissed is also being impugned in the present appeal.	UT OF CHANDIGARH VS CITY STAR COOP HOUSE BUILDING SOCIETY LTD & ANR	PENDING ADMITTED	23-Jul-26

13	LPA/1759/2015	That through the instant Letters Patent Appeal, the appellant is impugning the judgment dated 07.12.2010 passed by the Ld. Single Judge of this Hon'ble Court in C.W.P.No. 7041 of 2000, whereby the said writ petition alongwith other writ petitions has been disposed off with C.W.P.No. 13365 of 1999 as the main case, with the direction that the petitioners, who had deposited 10% earnest money at unrevised density upto 01.06.1992 and in case of freshly screened members in 1994 who had not deposited 10% earnest money or 25%, as the case may be, by 12.08.1994, but completed deposit of 25% earnest money at revised density in time, shall be considered for allotment of land by charging 18% interest on the earnest money from the date of demand upto the year 1996 in the light of the judgement dated 18.12.1996 in C.W.P. No. 1454 of 1992. It was further directed that in the case of all the petitioners who had already deposited 25% premium amount by the stipulated date, the land be allotted to them at the rate of Rs.2500/- per square yard. The order dated 05.09.2012 passed in R.A.No.13 of 2012 in C.W.P.No. 13365 of 1999 i.e the main case, whereby, the said review application has been dismissed is also being impugned in the present appeal.	UNION TERRITORY OF CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION VS THE CITY BEAUTIFUL COOPERATIVE HOUSE BUILDING SOCIETY LTD AND ANR	PENDING	23-Jul-26
14	LPA/44/2016	That through the instant Letters Patent Appeal, the appellant is impugning the judgment dated 07.12.2010 passed by the Hon'ble Single Judge of this Hon'ble Court in C.W.P.No. 7274 of 2000, whereby the said writ petition alongwith other writ petitions has been disposed of with C.W.P.No. 13365 of 1999 as the main case, with the direction that the petitioner societies, who had deposited 10% earnest money at unrevised density upto 01.06.1992 and in case of freshly screened members in 1994 who had not deposited 10% earnest moncy or 25%, as the case may be, by 12.08.1994, but completed deposit of 25% earnest money at revised density in time, shall be considered for allotment of land by charging 18% interest on the carnest money from the date of demand upto the year 1996 in the light of the judgment dated 18.12.1996 in C.W.P.No. 1454 of 1992. It was further directed that in the case of all the petitioner societies who had already deposited 25% premium amount by the stipulated date, the land be allotted to them at the rate of Rs.2500/- per square yard.	UT OF CHANDIGARH VS CHD ORDINANCE CABLE FACTORY EMPLOYEES COOP HOUSE BUILDING SOCIETY LTD & ANR	PENDING	23-Jul-26
15	LPA/45/2016	That through the instant Letters Patent Appeal, the appellant is impugning the judgment dated 07.12.2010 passed by the Hon'ble Single Judge of this Hon'ble Court in C.W.P.No. 7052 of 2000, whereby the said writ petition alongwith other writ petitions has been disposed of with C.W.P.No. 13365 of 1999 as the main case, with the direction that the petitioner societies, who had deposited 10% earnest money at unrevised density upto 01.06.1992 and in cuse of freshly screened members in 1994 who had not deposited 10% carnest money or 25%, as the case may be, by 12.08.1994, but completed deposit of 25% earnest money at revised density in time, shall be considered for allotment of land by charging 18% interest on the earnest money from the date of demand upto the year 1996 in the light of the judgment dated 18.12.1996 in C.W.P.No. 1454 of 1992. It was further directed that in the case of all the petitioner societies who had already deposited	UT OF CHANDIGARH VS CHD SEC 16 COOP HOUSE BUILDING SOCIETY & ORS	PENDING	23-Jul-26

		25% premium amount by the stipulated date, the land be allotted to them at the rate of Rs.2500/- per square yard.			
16	CWP/27932/2018	CIVIL WRIT PETITION filed by the petitioner alongwith 15 others under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to allot the alternative sites accommodations to rehabilitate the petitioner who have been uprooted and thrown out of their homes after demolition of Palsora Colony way back in June 2003 keeping in view the facts and circumstances and the provisions of the Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006 issued by UT Chandigarh Administration respondents to provide alternate sites-accommodation to the displaced residents on demotion of their colony	RAJ KUMAR AND OTHERS VS STATE OF UNION TERRITORY U T CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	PENDING	24-Jul-26
17	CWP/30133/2018	Chandigarh rehabilitation schemes for EVS of society Scheme 1979	BAL MUKAND AND OTHERS VS U.T. CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND OTHERS	PENDING	24-Jul-26
18	CWP/29469/2018	schemes of 1979 and 2006 Palsora Colony cases	SUBHASH CHAND & ORS VS UT OF CHANDIGARH & ORS	PENDING	24-Jul-26
19	CWP/42895/2018	For quashing the order dated 42 dt. 18.02.2016 being illegal and without authority of law and and in violation of section 4 of capital of punjab (Development and regulations) Act, 1952 - DU No. 3067, Sector 46-C, Chd.	PURAN MAL SAINI VS UT ADMINISTRATION THROUGH ADVISORS AND ORS	PENDING	24-Jul-26
20	CWP/28778/2018	Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for the issuance of a writ of certiorari (sending for the records of the case and finding that the Orders of the Estate Officer, U.T., Chandigarh Respondent No.3 dated 06.11.2017 and the order dated 14.08.2018 passed b the Appellate Authority respondent No.2 Annexure P-17 are not sustainable to quash the same. 3.Further directing the respondents to consider the claims of the petitioners, the displaced and uprooted residents of Palsora Colony, demolished b the UT Chandigarh Administration in June 2003 to rehabilitate the petitioners for allotment of alternate accommodation of one room Flat under the Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006 as per the directions of Hon'ble High Court dated 30.05.2017 in CWP NO.12042 of 2017 – Ram Gulam and Others Vs U.T, Chandigarh and Others without taking into consideration the condition of bio metric Survey and finding them eligible, to make allotment of one room flat under the 2006 scheme, as has been done in respect of similarly placed residents of erstwhile Palsora Colony who after the demotion of Palsora Colony had settled in other unauthorized slum colonies of Chandigarh, for which the Administration conducted bio metric survey in 2006, while the petitioner who sought shelter in the authorized areas of Chandigarh for which no bio metric survey was conducted	RAM GULAM AND OTHERS VS U T CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND OTHERS	PENDING ADJOURNMENT	24-Jul-26

21	CWP/4709/2019	Writ Petition filed for quashing the order dated 15.06.2017 passed by the appellate authority-cum-CEO, CHB and impugned order dated 7.9.2015 passed by the Permanent Lok Adalat/Respondent No.2 whereby the Appellate Authority has rejected the claim of the petitioner as prayed for the petitioner in his appeal bearing No.167 of 2017 and further prayed for directions the respondents for early allotment of a small flat to the petitioner under Small Flat Scheme 2006 as the petitioner is entitled and eligible for the same.	HARINDER KUMAR VS ESTATE OFFICE , UT CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	PENDING	24-Jul-26
22	RA-CW/274/2024	Review Application filed by the applicant under Section 114 CPC praying for reviewing of the impugned order dated 30-04-2024 -Annexure A-1- passed by this Honorable Court AND Prayer to accept the review application AND In view of Order No- 272 dated 29-09-2016 in which the decision has been taken by the respondents in its 399th Meeting held on 28-09-2016 vide Table Agenda Item No 399-04 to formulate a uniform policy to restore all such dwelling units subject to payment of all outstanding dues revival charges etc and penal charges issued vide Annexure A-2 by the respondents AND To restore the possession of the dwelling Unit No 24 Sector 51-A Chandigarh to the applicant being helpless widow shelter less and penniless AND Further to issue any other order or direction which this Honorable Court may deem fit and appropriate in the peculiar facts and circumstances in the present case	CHARANJIT KAUR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND OTHERS	PENDING NOTICE IN CM	24-Jul-26
23	CWP/17382/2017	Civil Writ Petition filed for quashing order No.42 dated 18.02.2016 being illegal and without authority of law and in violation of Section 4 of Capital of Punjab(Development and Regulations) Act, 1952 and further declaring the Notice Annexure P-17 issued to one of the petitioner and similar notices issued to the other petitioners as illegal and without any authority of law.	ARUN KUMAR AND ORS VS UT OF CHANDIGARH AND ORS	PENDING	27 Jul 2026
24	CWP/26593/2018	Building Violations in DU No. 2167, MIG, Sector 45-C, Chd.	SURINDER KUMAR VS UT ADMINISTRATION THROUGH ITS ADVISOR AND OTHERS	PENDING	27 Jul 2026
25	CWP/11996/2018	RGARDING TRASNFER	ROMESH CHANDER BATRA SON OF RAM PARKASH BATRA DECEASED THROUGH HIS LR'S DEVINDER SINGH VS UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	PENDING	27 Jul 2026
26	CWP/3349/2018	CWP filed by the petitioner alongwith 24 others for quashing the orders dated 18.02.2016 and order dated 26.12.2017 and consequently show cause notice for cancellation and Penal Compounding Charges notice regarding building violations in dwlling units of sector 39-B Chandigarh	HARINDER KAUR KHETERPAL AND OTHERS VS U T ADMINISTRATION AND OTHERS	PENDING	27 Jul 2026

27	CWP/25881/2018	(Enforcement Branch) Issuance of a writ quashing the notice dated 19.07.2018 of demolition and further issuance of a writ directing the respondents not to carryout demolition till formulation of new policy as per the press note published on 06.08.2018 - Sector 41-A, Chandigarh	NATHA SINGH SHARMA AND OTHERS VS CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR UT CHANDIGARH THROUGH FINANCE SECRETARY UT CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	PENDING	27 Jul 2026
28	CWP/11650/2018	Residents of CHB dwelling units of various sectors challenged the order no. 342 dt. 26/12/2017 issued regarding imposition of penal charges for encroachments on incidental spaces, Govt. Land and for additional constructions which do not fulfill the conditions mentioned in need based changes order)	T.S CHAUDHARY AND ORS VS UT ADMINISTRATION AND ORS	PENDING	27 Jul 2026
29	FAO-CARB/26/2018	Appeal filed by the petitioner under Section 37 of the Arbitration And Conciliation Act, 1996 against the order dated 30.05.2018 passed by Ms Aradhana Sawhney, Additional District Judge, Chandigarh in Arbitration Case No.69 of 2015	PARSVNATH DEVELOPERS LTD VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ANR	PENDING ADJOURNMENT	27 Jul 2026
30	CWP/11906/2018	Civil Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for setting aside orders dated 06.02.2018 passed by respondent No.4 (CEO, CHB) vide which the petitioner have decline allotment of tenement despite the fat the name/particular of the petitioner finds mentioned in Bio-Metric Survey at Sr. No.26281 of the list. Further for directing the respondents to consider and allot the petitioner the tenement, as per the Scheme named as Chandigarh Small Flat Scheme 2006" and Amended Scheme of 2009.	SHYAM SUNDER VS UT OF CHANDIGARH AND ORS	PENDING REHEARING	27 Jul 2026
31	CWP/36613/2019	(GPA Transfer Policy) Transfer of DU No. 146/2, HIG-I, Sector 45-A, Chandigarh.	MANJU SHARMA VS UT CHANDIGARH AND ANOTHER	PENDING ADJOURNMENT	27 Jul 2026
32	CWP/16877/2019	notification dated 14.11.2018 regarding transfer of flats/dwelling unit in respect of Coop. H/B Socs	THE PUNJAB UNIVERSITY STAFF HOME PROVISION ASSOCIATES COOPERATIVE HOUSE BUILDING SOCIETY LIMITED) VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	27 Jul 2026
33	CWP/18452/2019	CWP filed for quashing the provisions as contained in para (4) (i) and para 4 (ii) of impugned notification dated 14.11.2018 vide which petitioner (Member of Coop. Society) has been asked to pay stamp duty again for issuing sub-conveyance deed to him by 30.06.2019 being last date for the same.	BRIJ MOHAN MAINI VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND OTHERS	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	27 Jul 2026

34	CWP/30891/2019	regarding notification dated 14.11.2018 in respect of Sub-conveyance Deed/Lease Deed	THE SWATI CO-OPERATIVE HOUSE BUILDING SOCIETY LTD VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND ORS	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	27 Jul 2026
35	CWP/35495/2019	Society Matter.	CHAMAN LAL GUPTA AND OTHERS VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND OTHERS	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	27 Jul 2026
36	CWP/36450/2019	UT Employees Scheme 2008 (The Petitioner filed the petition with pray i) issue order to the respondents to allot the flats to the petitioners under UT Employees Self Financing Scheme of 2008 within a time bound frame in pursuance to draw of lots held on 04.11.2010 and to allot the flats on the same price as depicted in the scheme II) quashing the public notice dated 22.06.2019 vide which the respondents have put an excessive and exorbitant rate at current price without any reasonable nexus with the scheme so floated III) Pass order for staying the operation of the impugned public notice dated 22.06.2019 qua the part of demand of exorbitant/excessive rate of flats or in the alternative directions be issued to the respondents to accept the old rates subject to final decision of the writ petition in the interest of justice).	PARVEEN GUPTA VS UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	27 Jul 2026
37	CWP/36108/2019	UT Employees Scheme 2008 (The Petitioner filed the petition with pray i) issue order to the respondents to allot the flats to the petitioners under UT Employees Self Financing Scheme of 2008 within a time bound frame in pursuance to draw of lots held on 04.11.2010 and to allot the flats on the same price as depicted in the scheme II) quashing the public notice dated 22.06.2019 vide which the respondents have put an excessive and exorbitant rate at current price without any reasonable nexus with the scheme so floated III) Pass order for staying the operation of the impugned public notice dated 22.06.2019 qua the part of demand of exorbitant/excessive rate of flats or in the alternative directions be issued to the respondents to accept the old rates subject to final decision of the writ petition in the interest of justice).	SACHIN SHARMA VS UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	27 Jul 2026
38	CWP/36219/2019	UT Employees Scheme 2008 (The Petitioner filed the petition with pray i) issue order to the respondents to allot the flats to the petitioners under UT Employees Self Financing Scheme of 2008 within a time bound frame in pursuance to draw of lots held on 04.11.2010 and to allot the flats on the same price as depicted in the scheme II) quashing the public notice dated 22.06.2019 vide which the respondents have put an excessive and exorbitant rate at current price without any reasonable nexus with the scheme so floated III) Pass order for staying the operation of the impugned public notice dated 22.06.2019 qua the part of demand of exorbitant/excessive rate of flats or in the alternative directions be issued to the respondents to accept the old rates subject to final decision of the writ petition in the interest of justice).	SURINDER KUMAR VS UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS	PENDING	27 Jul 2026

39	CWP/37168/2019	(Enforcement) (For quashing the policy/order no. 59 dt. 15.02.2019 issued by the respondents – petition filed by the residents of Sector 41-A, 45-A, 40-C etc. Chandigarh)	PRABHA SHARMA AND OTHERS VS CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR, UT CHANDIGARH AND ORS	PENDING	27 Jul 2026
40	CWP/12512/2019	Society Matter.	THE NIRVANA COOPERATIVE HOUSE BUILDING FIRST SOCIETY LTD AND ORS VS UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	PENDING ADJOURNMENT	27 Jul 2026
41	CWP/12515/2019	Petition filed for quashing the impugned notification dated 14.11.2018 framed by Chd. Admn., (The Pink Rose Coop. Society)	BHAG SINGH AND ORS VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND ORS	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	27 Jul 2026
42	CWP/2599/2020	(Society Matter) Quashing the impugned notification dated 14.11.2018 and directed the respondents to allow the general bodies of the Societies to frame their own rules for transfer of the share/dwelling units of their members	NEHA SHARMA AND ORS VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND ORS	PENDING	27 Jul 2026
43	CWP/1810/2020	(Society Matter) Quashing the impugned notification dated 14.11.2018 passed by the Chd. Admn – Chandigarh Scheme for transfer of flats/DUs in the Coop. H.B.Societies 2018 – The Pink Rose Coop. H.B.First Society Ltd.	LIAQAT ALI KHAN VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND OTHERS	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	27 Jul 2026
44	CWP/2487/2020	Quashing the impugned notification dated 14.11.2018 and directed the respondents to allow the general bodies of the Societies to frame their own rules for transfer of the share/dwelling units of their members.	THE CUSTOM AND CENTRAL EXCISE EMPLOYEES COOPERATIVE HOUSE BUILDING FIRST SOCIETY LTD VS UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH AND ORS	PENDING	27 Jul 2026
45	CWP/2990/2020	Quashing impugned notification/scheme dated 14.11.2018 by which the respondents are insisting upon another Conveyance Deed term as sub Conveyance Deed with the members of the Coop. Society after the Conveyance Deed with the Chandigarh Admn. already stand registered	THE SUPER COOPERATIVE HOUSE BUILDING FIRST (1) SOCIETY LIMITED VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND ORS	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	27 Jul 2026
46	CWP/13759/2023	The petitioner has been filed by the Petitioner Under Article 226-227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ the nature of certiorari quashing policy order No59 dated 15-02-2019 and policy order dated 03-	JAI SINGH SAINI AND OTHERS VS CHIEF	PENDING	27 Jul 2026

		01-2023 issued by the respondents superseding earlier policies issued by the respondent No-3 from time to time according to which the petitioners have made the need based changes in the Dwelling Units AND Further quashing of notice dated 19-01-2023 AND 20.01.2023 and Speaking order/demolition order dated 15-06-2023 received on 20-06-2023 and all similar notices-orders issued to the petitioners as a consequence of policy-order dated 15-02-2019 and policy-order dated 03-01-2023 superseding earlier policies AND During the pendency of the writ petition status quo regarding the property/dwelling units of the petitioners may kindly be maintained with regard to any such demolition order dated 15-06-2023 and similar notices issued to the petitioners on different dates may kindly be kept in abeyance till the pendency of the writ petition	ADMINISTRATION UT CHANDIGARH AND ORS		
47	CWP/30741/2024	Civil Writ Petition filed by the petitioner Sh Rachha Ram under article 226 of the constitution of India praying for issue a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the impugned notices dated 21-01.-008 vide which the allotment of dwelling unit/flat no 6586-C Sector-56 Chandigarh was cancelled on the ground of non-payment of monthly licence fee and impugned eviction notice dated 16-08-2024 issued by the respondent which are illegal unjust unfair contrary to the facts and circumstances of the case and as such liable to be set aside in the interest of justice AND It is further prayed that operation of the impugned notices dated 21.01.2008 and 16-08-2024 issued by respondent may kindly be stayed during the pendency of present writ petition before this Honorable Court AND-OR It is further prayed that the petitioner may kindly be allowed to take their belongings which were locked in the flat no 6586-C Sector-56 Chandigarh	RACHHA RAM VS SECRETARY CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	27 Jul 2026
48	CWP/1251/2025	Civil Writ Petition filed under Article 226-227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ of Certiorari quashing orders dated 18-02-2016 and order dated 26-12-2017 and consequently show cause notices of cancellation of allotment dated 20-11-2017 Dated 07-02-2018 and Dated 26-09-2024 due to building violations	DEEPAK AHLUWALIA VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND ANOTHER	PENDING	27 Jul 2026
49	CWP/10005/2025	Petitioner has filed the Civil Writ Petition Under Article 226-227 of the Constitution Of India for issuance of a writ of Certiorari quashing orders dated 18-02-2016 and order dated 26.-2-2017 and consequently show cause notices of cancellation of allotment dated 15-01-2018 and Dated 18-02-2025 AND/OR for issuance of any other writ, order or directio, which this Honorable Court may deem fit and appropriate in the facts and circumstances of the present case in the interest of justice	VIRENDER PAUL DANI VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND ANOTHER	PENDING	27 Jul 2026
50	CWP/18181/2025	Civil Writ Petition under article 226-227 of Constitution of India praying for issuance of a writ of certiorari, mandamus or any other writ order or direction by way of issuing a writ of certiorari quashing the impugned show cause notice dated 05-03-2025 and subsequent notice dated 23-05-2025 issued by respondent No 3 -Secretary CHB Further issuing a writ of mandamus staying the resumption of the dwelling unit No 408 Sector 41-A Chandigarh during the pendency of the present petition	MOHINDER SINGH VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND OTHERS	PENDING	27 Jul 2026

		Further issuing a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to consider the covering of courtyard as a need based change under their Need Based Change Policy and-or in the alternative directing the respondents to amend the Need Based Changes Policy by including the covering of courtyard in the dwelling unit of the petitioner and other such and similar dwelling units relaxing the Chandigarh Building Rules - Urban- 2017 as amended from time to time in the facts and circumstances of the present case			
51	CWP/928/2026	The petitioner has filed the above said Civil Writ Petition under article 226 and 227 of Constitution of India praying for issuance of a writ of certiorari mandamus or any other writ order or direction by way of issuing writ of mandamus directing the respondents to take necessary action against the respondent nos- 4 to 7 officials of respondent No-2 and particularly respondent no-4 in issuing intimation letters -Annexures P-4 to P-7 contrary to SOP Further issuing a writ of mandamus directing the respondents Nos-4 to 7 to place on record the daily diary maintained by them in terms of SOP before this Honorable Court in respect of DU Nos-300 to 500 Sector 41-A Chandigarh	MOHINDER SINGH VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND OTHERS	PENDING	27 Jul 2026
52	CWP/23095/2019	Writ petition filed by the petitioner for quashing impugned order dated 03.11.2016, order in Appeal Dated 06.03.2017, Order in further appeals dated 27.07.2017, order in Revision Petition dated 09.04.2019 and Order dated 12.07.2019. 3.And for issuance of writ of mandamus against the respondents to permit the petitioner to deposit compounding charges in terms of latest policy dated 15.07.2019. 4.And further directions to grant status quo in respect of the property of the petitioner as granted on 25.09.2017 in the connected case CWP No.21780 of 2017 by a Coordinate Bench of this Court. (3124/1, Sector 45-D, Chandigarh – Building Violation case)	SAZID HUSSAIN VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD THROUGH ITS CHAIRMAN, CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	28-Jul-26
53	COCP/2655/2023 IN CWP/2309/2014	Contempt petition under Sections 10 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act for proceeding against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act for willfully and deliberately violating the order dated 15-03-2023 passed by this Hon'ble Court in CWP-No-37168 of 2019, titled as Prabha Sharma and others Vs Chief Administrator UT Chandigarh and others and to punish them	PRABHA SHARMA VS DHARAM PAL, IAS, CHAIRMAN AND ANOTHER	PENDING ADJOURNMENT	28-Jul-26
54	CWP/8324/2026	Civil Writ Petition under Article 226-227 of the Constitution of India seeking the following reliefs - Issue a writ in the nature of Certiorari or any other appropriate writ order or direction quashing and setting aside the allotment cancellation order dated 11-08-2017 passed by the Chief Executive Officer,Chandigarh Housing Board the appellate order dated 29-09-2017 passed by the Chairman Chandigarh Housing Board the appellate order dated 27-03-2019 passed by the Board of Chandigarh Housing Board the Eviction Order No- 910 dated 15-06-2023 passed by the Secretary Chandigarh Housing Board the revisional order dated 30-07-2025 passed by the Chief Secretary Chandigarh Administration and the consequential order passed	HARI OM SONI AND ANOTHER VS UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	INTERIM RELIEF	28-Jul-26

		by the learned District Judge Chandigarh dismissing the statutory appeal of the Petitioners being illegal arbitrary and unsustainable in law -b- Issue a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the Respondents to consider and decide the case of the Petitioners for regularization of the existing building plan and need-based additions in respect of Dwelling Unit No 939-1 Sector 40-A Chandigarh in accordance with the prevailing policies and rules of the Chandigarh Housing Board within a time bound period			
55	CWP/32709/2024	Petitioner has filed CWP for quashing the impugned order dated 21-05-2024 whereby the application filed under section 22-C of the Legal Services Authority Act 1987 has been dismissed and directing the respondents to enter the name of Yash Pal Aggarwal in the column of father in the records and documents of DU No 5524/2 Category-II phase I Manimajra	SHASHANK AGGARWAL AND ANOTHER VS PERMANENT LOK ADALAT AND OTHERS	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	28 Jul 2026
56	CWP/313/2017	Writ petition FILED against order dated 21/2/2012 passed by the G S Rosha under Clause 2 of Contract Agreement between M/s Dhandi Builders Ltd. respect of construction of 96 Nos. Flats of Cat-II (Group-III) in Sector 51-A, Chandigarh.	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD VS M/S DHANDI BUILDERS LTD	PENDING	29 Jul 2026
57	CWP/23681/2017	(Oustees Matter) CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing impugned action dated 13.09.2017 holding the petitioner ineligible only on the ground that the land was acquired before 01.11.1966 while this benefit is being extended to all other oustees whose land was acquired and struck down the clause which restrict the benefit only to the oustees whose house was acquired being discriminatory and for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to consider the petitioner application form No.1519 for allotment of flat under Oustees Scheme 2017 (Three Bed Room) for the petitioner whose father's land was acquired for development of Phase-II of Chandigarh in the year 1964.	ANJU MITTAL VS UT OF CHANDIGARH AND ORS.	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	29 Jul 2026
58	CWP/12609/2017	(Oustees matter) Directing the respondents to allot flat/dwelling unit to the petitioners/LRs' of the Oustees at pre1966 rates and to frame a comprehensive scheme for rehabilitation of Oustees of Chandigarh..	MOHAN LAL AND ORS. VS UNION TERRITORY, CHANDIGARH AND ORS.	PENDING	29 Jul 2026
59	CWP/14572/2017	(Oustees Matter) CWP filed by the petitioners for quashing the advertisement 'CHB Oustees Scheme-2017" qua clause No.1 that the said scheme is the last and final opportunity to such oustees of U.T., Chandigarh for allotment of flats/dwelling units and that no claim of oustees whatsoever shall be entertained thereafter, whereas there were 643 families (at the time of acquisition), whose constructed houses were acquired as part of abadi deh land, during the acquisition of eleven villages for development of Phase-2 of the city of Chandigarh and besides there were families whose land was acquired during the Phase-2 of acquisition. And for directing the respondents to allow all the families of the legal heirs of the oustees to be considered as eligible candidate for the said scheme. Further for directing the respondents to allot flats/dwelling units to the petitioners/LR's of the oustees at	GOPAL SINGH AND ORS. VS UNION TERRITORY, CHANDIGARH AND ORS.	PENDING	29 Jul 2026

		per 1965 rates and to frame a comprehensive scheme for rehabilitation of oustees of Chandigarh (Phase-II)/petitioners within a specific time before issuing any other Housing Scheme and for directing the respondents to reserve/allot plots/dwelling units to such oustees/petitioners whose villages i.e. houses/land were acquired for development of Chandigarh at the prices prevailing at the time of acquisition of villages.			
60	CWP/27481/2017	(Ousteas Matter) CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing Clause (vii) of “263 Flats for Ousteas of U.T., Chandigarh on lease hold basis/free hold basis under the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling Units of the Ousteas of Chandigarh Scheme 1996” framed on 30.01.2017 being illegal, arbitrary, discriminatory, irrational and has no nexus with the objective to be achieved i.e. resettlement of land owners who have been rendered landless on account of acquisition of their land and every land owner is entitled to allotment of flat as per his/their legibility as each of the co-shares has independent right and title to enjoy the possession and the said clause does not take into consideration the rights of the petitioner as joint owners and violates the right of the petitioner as co-sharer and further declaring that the impugned letter/order dated 07.11.2017 issued by the Accounts Officer, Chandigarh Housing Board, Respondent No.3 rejecting the application Form No.1906 of the petitioner by the Property Allotment Committee Respondent No.4 for MIG(Two Bed Rooms) Flats under the “263 Flats for ousteas of U.T., Chandigarh on lease hold basis/free hold basis under the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling units of the Ousteas of Chandigarh, Scheme 1996” framed on 30.01.2017 is illegal, null and void and liable to be quashed and writ of mandamus directing the respondents No.3 and 4 to allot the MIG (Two Bed Rooms) Flats, Chandigarh. Also further prayed that the during the pendency of the writ petition, one flat of MIG (Two Bed Rooms) Flats may kindly be kept reserved and the same may not be allotted to any person till the matter is decided by this Hon’ble Court.	TARSEM SINGH VS UT OF CHANDIGARH AND ORS.	PENDING	29 Jul 2026
61	CWP/26683/2017	(Ousteas Matter) CWP filed by the petitioner for directing the respondents to consider the petitioner as ‘Oustee’ as per Eligibility and Procedure Clause III of the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling Units to the ousteas of Chandigarh Scheme 1996. Further for quashing the eligibility and procedure clause III (vii) of the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling units to the Ousteas of Chandigarh Scheme 1996. Further for quashing the impugned letters dated 03.08.2017 and 31.10.2017 and further for directing the respondents to forthwith allot flat to the petitioner as per the Scheme in ‘Oustee’ quota.	SOM NATH VS THE UNION TERRITORY, CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION, CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	PENDING	29 Jul 2026
62	CWP/24968/2017	ousteas	NARINDER KUMAR PANJU AND OTHER VS UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND ORS	PENDING	29 Jul 2026

63	CWP/29637/2017	(Oustees Matter) CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing condition/clause III (vii) of the 'Chandigarh Housing Board Oustees Scheme-2017 and also the letter dated 27.10.2017 holding the petitioners not eligible for the allotment of a flat each under the Oustees Quota, the same being highly illegal, irrational, arbitrary, discriminatory, capricious, violative of Article 14 and 18 of the Constitution of India and right under the teeth of the judgement of Hon'ble Full Bench of this Hon'ble Court in the case of Jarnail Sigh and others V State of Punjab and others (2011(1) RCR Civil 915) AND A writ mandamus directing the respondents to consider case of each of the petitioners for allotment of one flat under the "Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling Units to the Oustees of Chandigarh, Scheme 1996", as per their entitlement i.e. an HIG dwelling unit and till that time, further allotment of left out plots which were floated under the scheme for allotment to the oustees of UT Chandigarh or any allotment under the oustees category may kindly be stayed.	KRISHAN KUMAR AND ORS. VS U.T. ADMINISTRATION CHANDIGARH AND ORS.	PENDING	29 Jul 2026
64	CWP/1458/2018	(Oustees Matter) Civil Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing Clause VIII of 263 Flats for Oustees of AU.T., Chandigarh on lease hold basis free4 hold as is under the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling Units of the Chandigarh Scheme 1996" framed on 30.01.2017 being illegal arbitrary, discriminatory, irrational and has no nexus with the objective to be achieved i.e. resettlement of land owners who have been rendered landless on account of acquisition of their land and every land owner is entitled to allotment of float as per his/their legibility as each of the co-shares has independent right and title to enjoy the possession and the said clause does not take into consideration the rights of the petitioner as joint owners and violates the right of the petitioner as co-sharer and further declaring that the impugned letter/ order dated 27.10.,2017 issued by the Accounts Officer Chandigarh Housing Board, Chandigarh, respondent No.3 rejecting the application form No. 1918 of the petitioner by the Property Allotment Committee Respondent No.4 for HIG Three Bed Rooms Flats under the 263 Flats for of UT Chandigarh on lease hold basis free hold basis under the Allotment of Dwelling Units of the Oustee of Chandigarh Scheme 1996 framed on 30.01.2017 is illegal null and void liable to be quashed and writ of mandamus directing the respondent Nos. 3 and 4 to allot HIG (three Bed Rooms) Flats, Chandigarh. AND OR Directions to respondents to consider the legal and valid claim of the petitioner for the allotment of a dwelling unit to the petitioner under the "Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling Units to the Oustees of Chandigarh Scheme, 1996" on "No Profit No Loss" Basis against 263 dwelling units which are ready for occupation AND Further prayed that during the pendency of writ petition, one flat of HIG (Three Bed Room) Flat may kindly be kept reserved and the same may not be allotted to any person till the matter is decided by the Hon'ble Court.	RACHNA RAM VS UT OF CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	PENDING	29 Jul 2026

65	CWP/1516/2018	(Oustees Matter) Civil Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing Clause VIII of 263 Flats for Oustees of UT, Chandigarh on lease hold basis free hold as is under the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling Units of the Chandigarh Scheme 1996 framed on 30-01-2017 being illegal arbitrary discriminatory irrational and has no nexus with the objective to be achieved i.e. resettlement of land owners who have been rendered landless on account of acquisition of their land and every land owner is entitled to allotment of flat as per his or their legibility as each of the co-shares has independent right and title to enjoy the possession and the said clause does not take into consideration the rights of the petitioner as joint owners and violates the right of the petitioner as co-sharer and further declaring that the impugned letter/ order dated 27-10-2017 issued by the Accounts Officer Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh respondent No.3 rejecting the application form No 1918 of the petitioner by the Property Allotment Committee Respondent No4 for HIG Three Bed Rooms Flats under the 263 Flats for of UT Chandigarh on lease hold basis free hold basis under the Allotment of Dwelling Units of the Oustee of Chandigarh Scheme 1996 framed on 30-01-2017 is illegal null and void liable to be quashed and writ of mandamus directing the respondent Nos. 3 and 4 to allot HIG -three Bed Rooms Flats, Chandigarh.	RANGA RAM VS UNION TERRITORY, CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	PENDING	29 Jul 2026
66	CWP/3627/2018	(Oustees Matter) CWP filed for quashing the condition No.VII of para No.III of CHB Oustees Scheme 2017 dated 30.01.2017 laying down that in case of joint khata, the entitlement shall be on basis of the holding under the joint khata and co-sharers within the khata would not be taken into reckoning for the purpose of allotment of dwelling unit, being contrary to law laid down in Jarnail Singh case Full Bench of High Court of Punjab and Haryana.And further for quashing the impugned letter dated 05.05.2017 whereby the claim of the petitioner has not been considered under Oustees Category on the ground that only one application can be considered against one oustee category certificate and only one co-oustee by authorized to apply, being contrary to law laid down in Jarnail Singh Vs State of Punjab.	DARSHAN KUMAR VS STATE OF UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH AND ORS	PENDING	29 Jul 2026
67	CWP/10997/2018	(Oustees Matter) CWP filed by the petitioner for quashing the order dated 12.09.2017 passed by the Respondent No.3 whereby the application submitted by the petitioner For Allotment of LIG Flat under "Oustees Scheme 2017" of U.T., Chandigarh on lease hold basis/free hold basis under the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling Units of the Oustees of Chandigarh Scheme, 1996" has been rejected by the Property Allotment Committee/Respondent NO.4 to the effect that the land was acquired under joint khata and the same is illegally arbitrary and in violation of the Judgement dated 01.10.2010 passed by the full bench of this High Court. Further for directing the respondents to consider the application of the petitioner for the allotment of LIG Flat in Chandigarh to the petitioner in respect of application submitted being oustee as per the scheme of U.T., ChandigarhAnd further prayed that during the pendency of the writ petition, one Flat of LIG Flat may	HARDEEP SINGH VS UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH AND ORS	PENDING	29 Jul 2026

		kindly be kept reserved and the same may not be allotted to any person till the matter is decided by this Hon'ble High Court.			
68	CWP/19472/2018	(Oustees Matter) Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing the condition No.vii of para No. III of Chandigarh Housing Board Oustees Scheme 2017 dated 30.01.2017 laying down that in case of joint khata, the entitlement shall be on basis of the holding under the joint khata and co-sharers within the khata would not be taking into reckoning for the purpose of allotment of dwelling unit, it being contrary to law laid down by the Hon'ble Full Bench of this High Court in Jarnail Singh's case. Further for quashing the impugned letter dated 05.05.2017 whereby the claim of the petitioner has not been considered under oustee category on the ground that only one application can be considered against one oustee category certificate and only on co-oustee be authorized to apply, being contrary to law laid down in Jarnail Singh Vs State of Punjab. And further for direction to the respondent6s to allot the petitioner independent dwelling unit as per his entitlement in accordance with law as laid down in Jarnail Singh Vs State of Punjab	BALWINDER KUMAR VS UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH AND ORS	PENDING	29 Jul 2026
69	CWP/27520/2018	(Oustees Matter) Writ Petition filed by the petitioner for quashing the Clause(vii) of '263 Flats for Oustee's of U.T. Chandigarh on lease hold/free hold basis under the Chandigarh Allotment of Dwelling Units of the Oustees of Chandigarh Scheme 1996" framed on 30.01.2017 being illegal, arbitrary, discriminatory, irrational and has no nexus with the objective to be achieved i.e. resettlement of land owners who have been rendered landless on account of acquisition of their land and every land owner is entitled to allotment of flat as per his/their eligibility as each of the co-shares has independent right and title to enjoy the possession and the said clause does not take into consideration the rights of the petitioner as joint owners and violates the right of the petitioner as co-sharer and further declaring that the impugned letter/order dated 15.12.2017 issued by the joint name of Surinder Singh petitioner and Paramjit Singh S/o of Hazura Sing and the letter dated 11.10.2018 issued by the Respondent No.4 Accounts Officer, Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh is illegal as the same should have been issued in the name of Surinder Singh (Petitioner) alone as the application Form No.1184 was submitted only by the petitioner and further the letter dated 11.10.2018 directing the petitioner to get the dispute sought out in the Civil Court as per law which is illegal as the objectors i.e. Respondent No.6 and 7 never applied for the said dwelling unit under the same scheme and further directing the respondent No.3 and 4 to handover the possession to the petitioner of the said dwelling unit bearing 2075/E, Sector 63, Chandigarh only to the petitioner as per allotment letter dated 15.12.2017 and delete the name of Paramjit Singh in the said allotment letter.	SURINDER SINGH VS UNION TERRITORY, CHANDIGARH & ORS	PENDING	29 Jul 2026
70	CWP/24137/2019	(Oustees Matter) Petitioner filed by the petitioner for quashing the clause (VII) of "263 Flats for Oustees of UT, Chandigarh on lease hold basis free hold as is under the Chd. Allotment of DUs of the Chandigarh Scheme 1996" framed on 30.01.2017 being illegal, arbitrary, discriminatory, irrational and has no nexus with the	SATPAL SINGH VS UNION TERRITORY, CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	PENDING	29 Jul 2026

		objective to be achieved and further requested to allot H.No. 2050-E, Sector 63, Chandigarh, which was allotted to the petitioner in the draw of lots held on 30.10.2017.			
71	CWP/26786/2022	Civil Writ petition under Articles 226 227 of Constitution of India for issuance of a writ, order or direction especially a writ in the nature of Certiorari for setting aside the order dated 07-09-2022 passed by the Ld Debt Recovery Tribunal-II Chandigarh in OA No 2469 of 2017 titled as Union Bank of India Vs MsR Construction Enterprises and others which is passed on the basis of execution of the judgment and decree dated 19-09-1996 passed by the Court of Sub Judge First Class Chandigarh in Civil Suit NO 140 dated 17-07-1991-13-08-1993 on the short ground that the present petitioner is stranger to the above mentioned litigations and the present petitioner has been dragged and roped in with malafide intentions without appreciating the true, simple and basic fact matrix of the most simple proposition that the Government its instrumentalities never approach any private bank for procuring loan that too such meager loan And With a further prayer directing the Executing Court and the Trial Court to appreciate the true facts and decide the maintainability of the present proceedings in the Suit -OA- Execution qua the present petitioner being the instrumentality of the State and proceed on the basis of actual record where there is no privity of contract between the Decree Holder Plaintiff and the present petitioner-JD No 8 And With a further prayer to allow and direct the present petitioner to lay challenge to the judgment and decree dated 19-09-1996 passed by the Court of Sub Judge First Class Chandigarh in Civil Suit No 140 dated 17-07-1991-13-08-1993 after condoning the delay that has occurred in the meantime by taking appropriate objections on the short ground that the present petitioner has been unnecessarily and malafidely impleaded in the proceedings as the Defendant No 8 without any basis as the present petitioner being the instrumentality of the State has never ever approached the respondent no 1 bank for availing any loan facilities whatsoever and there being no document at all on record whereby the present petitioner can be said to have approached or signed any document till date And With a further prayer to stay the operation of the impugned order dated 07-09-2022 passed by the Ld Debt Recovery Tribunal-II Chandigarh in OA No 2469 of 2017 titled as Union Bank of India Vs Mis Construction Enterprises and others and the recovery proceedings arising therefrom during pendency of the present writ petition And With a further prayer to summon the complete records of the case and take to task the illegal malafide and unethical attempt of the respondent no1 bank and the private respondents in daring to proceed to implead the present petitioner as one of the parties approaching the bank for loan without any occasion and in the absence of any cogent and tangible proof of the petitioner ever either signing any single document or having visited any time the office of the respondent no-1 in connection with applying for loan and with a further prayer to take exemplary action against the erring officials of all the respondents for which the present petitioner also reserves his rights to take and initiate appropriate action	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD VS UNION BANK OF INDIA AND OTHERS	PENDING Notice of Motion+Stayed	29 Jul 2026

72	CWP/10401/2023	The CWP filed by the Petitioner for directing the respondents for quashing the impugned letter dated 31-08-2022 and impugned letter dated 13-02-2023 issued by the respondents requiring the petitioner to deposit money again inspite of the fact that petitioner has already paid entire amount of cost of land and construction of booth and same is mentioned in the lease deed dated 24-04-2008 and the lease deed was executed by the respondents only after receipt of entire amount as mention in the allotment letter Further for issuance of an appropriate writ order or direction in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to issue No Due Certificate to the petitioner as petitioner has paid all the amount on account of cost of land and construction of booth and 2rd and last installment has already been paid on dated 09-06-1995 and nothing is due against the petitioner	OM PARKASH CHOPRA VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ANR.	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	29 Jul 2026
73	CR/1731/2020	Challenge the legality and propriety of the impugned order dt. 17.12.2019 whereby the learned Arbitrator has fixed a separate fee for filing a Counter Claim and order dated 13.02.2020 whereby an amount of Rs.10.00 Lac has additionally been imposed or directed to be deposited by the petitioner due to non-payment of fees assessed for counter-claim.	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD VS M/S B.L. MEHTA CONSTRUCTION PRIVATE LTD AND ANR	PENDING	29-Jul-26
74	CWP/28226/2025	Petition has filed the petitioner Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for Issuance of writ in the nature of Certiorari to set aside- 1 Order dated 18-08-2025 passed by Respondent No-1 2 Order dated 19-04-2024 passed by Respondent No-2 ,3 Order 06-03-2023 passed by Respondent No-3 4 Order dated 14-03-2023 passed by Respondent No-3 5 Order dated 01-07-2024 passed by Respondent No-3 AND Further issue a Writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the Respondents not to take any coercive action against the Petitioner and stay the operation of Annexure P-10 during the pendency of the present writ petition	SUNITA DEVI VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ORS	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	29-Jul-26
75	RSA/1980/2026	The Petition has been filed by the petitioner for directing the respondents to allot Dwelling Unit/Flat to the petitioners under the Slum Dwellers Rehabilitation Scheme/The Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme, 2006 against the demolition of Jhuggi o r Hut No-426-2 Colony No5 Sector 45-C, Chandigarh without any further delay And for directing the respondent No-2 to recommend forward the case of the petitioners for allotment of Dwelling Unit under Slum Dwellers Rehabilitation Scheme-Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme- 2006 to the office of Respondents No-3 to 6 And directing the respondents to pay the adequate compensation as deemed fit by this Honorable Court favour of the petitioners on account of causing unnecessary delay in allotting a Dwelling Unit or Flat and handing over its possession under the aforesaid Schemes framed by the respondents for the rehabilitation of the persons effected from demolition of their respective huts	NARINDER SINGH VS SMT. GEETA RANI AND OTHERS		29 Jul 2026
76	CWP/31656/2024	The subject cited case was filed by the petitioner Under article 226-227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a suitable writ, order or direction especially in the nature of certiorari quashing the impugned order dated 10-10-2024 impugned order dated 30-10-2023 impugned order dated 22-07-2015 and impugned order dated 18-02-2008 passed by the respondent Nos. 1 to 4 pertaining to flat no 6590-c sector	JABAR SINGH AND ANOTHER VS ADVISER TO THE ADMINISTRATOR UT CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS	PENDING ADJOURNMENT	30 Jul 2026

		56 Chandigarh allotted to the petitioners under the rehabilitation scheme known as Chandigarh Small Flat scheme 2006 which are being wholly unjust, arbitrary and illegal And for issuance of writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to open the seal of the small flat no 6590-c sector 56 Chandigarh and to hand over the possession of the flat to the petitioners			
77	CR/6507/2025	Civil Revision Petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the impugned orders dated 04-09.-025 and order dated 08-09-2025 passed by the learned Additional District Judge Chandigarh in Execution Petition No 87 of 2024 may kindly be set-aside-modified to the extent of imposition of the condition of furnishing 100 p security of the awarded amount and furnishing appropriate security of the awarded sum of Rs 169710487 in the form of FDR within such period as this Honorable Court may deem fit in the interest of justice And It is further prayed that the further proceedings before the Ld. Executing Court may kindly be stayed during the pendency of the present petition in the interest of justice.	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD VS M/S B.L. MEHTA CONSTRUCTIONS PVT. LTD.	PENDING	30 Jul 2026
78	CWP/16089/2005	It is, further prayed that during the pendency of the writ petition, the highly exorbitant and arbitrary demands, which are being made, be stayed. And/or any other interim order which Hon ble Lourt may deem fit and proper the facts and circumstances of the case may please be passed.	SATYA PARKASH AND ORS. VS CHD. ADMN AND ORS.	PENDING	30-Jul-26
79	CWP/8838/2015	Regarding allotment of Booth No. 23, Sector 9D, Chandigarh, Civil Writ Petition under Articles 226 & 227 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of appropriate writ, order direction especially in the nature of Certiorari quashing impugned order dated 07.07.2010 (Anrexre_P-1), passed by respondent no. 3, impugned order dated (Annexure P-2), passed by Respondent No. 2, impugned order dated 07.06.2011 (Annexure P-3), passed by respondent no. 4, impugned order dated 29.07.2013 (Annexure P-6), passed by respondent no.1, and impugned order dated 30.01.2015 (Annexure P-11) passed by L.d. Addl District Judge, Chandigarh whereby appe.al of the petitioner under Section 9 cf the Public Premises Act, 1971, has been dismissed being illegal, arbitrary and unsustainable. an 23.03.2011 Further issuance of writ, order or direction in the rapture of Mandamus directing the respondents not to initiate eviction proceedings ag against the petitioner on the basis of impugned order (P-3) in any manner. Any other writ, order or direction which this fit in favour of Hon'ble Court may petitioner may. circumstances of the case. or deem be granted in the 'acts and	SANSAR RAM VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION & ORS.	PENDING	30-Jul-26
80	CWP/10773/2015	RBL 1619 Civil writ petition under article 226/227of the constitution of India praying for issuing of writ in the nature certiorari for quash /stay the order dated 11.10.2013 passed by the respondent no.3	SUKHWINDER SINGH VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION TH	PENDING	30-Jul-26

			ADVISOR TO THE ADMINISTRATOR AND ORS		
81	CWP/12232/2015	Writ Petition filed by the subject cited petitioner for quashing the impugned order dated 11.10.2013 passed by the respondent No.4 since the appeal filed by the petitioner under provisions of Allotment/Transfer of Built-up booths in any sector on lease/hire purchase basis in Chandigarh "Rules 1991 before Chief Administrator i.e. respondent No.3 and impugned order dated 16.04.2015 passed by appellant authority under the Public Premises (Eviction of Unauthorised Occupants) Act, 1971, Chandigarh by which appeal referred by the petitioner has been dismissed without taking into consideration material, facts and circumstances of the case.	HARI RAM VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION TH ADVISOR TO THE ADMINISTRATOR AND ORS	PENDING	30-Jul-26
82	CWP/27648/2025	CIVIL WRIT PETITION under Articles 226-227 of the Constitution of India with the prayer for the issuance of an appropriate writ order or direction especially a writ in the nature of Certiorari quashing the Suspension Order No-58 dated 23-05-2025 being mala-fide, biase prejudicated illegal arbitrary and colourful exercise of Administrative powers with the only motive to initiate disciplinary proceedings against the petitioner to get rid of him as he was an eyesore being a staunch Trade Union Leader which is clear from the fact that he was kept under suspension from 11-09-2022 onwards in utter violation of mandatory provisions of Sub-Rule 7 of Rule 10 of Central Civil Services -Classification Control and Appeal- Rules-1965 but sensing the above said illegalities and irregularities his Suspension Order was REVOKED in the morning of 23-05-2023 vide Order No-57 and very cleverly and hastily he was again placed under Suspension in the afternoon same day vide Order No- 58 dated 23-05-2025 which is height of arbitrariness and discrimination because the similarly placed employees were already re-instated in Service vide Annexure P-12 and Annexure P-13 without prejudice to the respective criminal cases pending against them	SHAMSHER SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND ORS	PENDING NOTICE OF MOTION	30-Jul-26

Advance List of Court Cases pending before the National Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission, UT, Chandigarh from 20.07.2026 to 01.08.2026

Sno	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	NC/EA/158/2016	Execution Application's filed by the applicants for directions to the Opposite Party to comply with the judgement dated 4.12.2014 (read with Sc Order and the award, as defined above) and pay a sum of Rs.7,49,250 alongwith interest @24% p.a. from May 20, 2015 till the date of its realization, to the petitioner/applicant AND Opposite Party 2 fails to pay the amount, issue of warrant of attachment of the properties of the Opposite Party No.21 and issue Certificate to the Collector under Section 25(3) of the Act for enabling the Collector to recover the pending amount of Rs.7,49,250/- alongwith interest @25% pa from May 20,2015 till the date of realization from Opposite Party No.2 AND Direction to OP No.2 to pay the costs of the present application.	Jyoti Sagar i and Another VS PDL and Another	Pending	22 Jul 2026

2	NC/EA/156/2016	Execution Application's filed by the applicants for directions to the Opposite Party to comply with the judgement dated 4.12.2014 (read with Sc Order and the award, as defined above) and pay a sum of Rs.7,49,250 along with interest @24% p.a. from May 20, 2015 till the date of its realization, to the petitioner/applicant AND Opposite Party 2 fails to pay the amount, issue of warrant of attachment of the properties of the Opposite Party No.21 and issue Certificate to the Collector under Section 25(3) of the Act for enabling the Collector to recover the pending amount of Rs.7,49,250/- along with interest @25% pa from May 20, 2015 till the date of realization from Opposite Party No.2 AND Direction to OP No.2 to pay the costs of the present application.	Anil Sethi and Others VS PDL and Others	Pending	22 Jul 2026
3	REVISION PETITION/3261/2024	Revision petition filed by the petitioner against the Order dated 05-07-2024 passed by the Honorable State Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission UT in Appeal No. A/176/2023.	Arun Kumar VS Chandigarh Housing Board, Chandigarh and others	Pending	29 Jul 2026
4	REVISION PETITION/3260/2024	Revision Petition filed by the petitioner against the order dated 05-07-2024 passed by Honorable State Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission, UT, Chandigarh	Vinay Kumar Srivastava VS Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh and others	Pending	29 Jul 2026
5	REVISION PETITION/3246/2024	Revision Petition filed against order dated 05-07-2024 passed by State Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission UT Chandigarh in Case No.A-176-2023 - Arun Kumar Vs CHB (DU No-200-A, Sector 51-A, Chandigarh)	Chandigarh Housing Board VS Arun Kumar	Pending	29 Jul 2026
6	FA/1050/2024	First Appeal filed for challenging order dated 30-05-2024 passed by State Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission UT Chandigarh in CC No-7 of 2023 - Parkash Lal Ahuja Vs CHB - DU No.	Chandigarh Housing Board VS Parkash Lal Ahuja	Pending	29 Jul 2026
7	FA/549/2024	Against the Order dated 30/05/2024 in Complaint No. CC/7/2023 of the State Commission Chandigarh	Chandigarh Housing Board VS Parkash Lal Ahuja	Pending	29 Jul 2026
8	REVISION PETITION/3245/2025	Revision Petition filed for challenging the order dated 05-07-2024 passed by Honorable State Consumer Redressal Commission, UT Chandigarh in Case No-A-175-2023-Vinay Kumar Srivastava Vs CHB (DU No-204-A, Sector 51-A, Chandigarh)	Chandigarh Housing Board VS Vinay Kumar Srivastava	Pending	29 Jul 2026

Advance List of Court Cases pending before the State Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission, UT, Chandigarh from 20.07.2026 to 01.08.2026					
Sno	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	CC/266/2025	Consumer Complaint filed by the petitioner before Honorable State Commission Patiala for not refunding the amount of Ground Rent of House NO- 1430, Sector 61	Gian Chand VS ICICI Bank and others	Pending	20 Jul 2026

Advance List of Court Cases pending before the District Court, UT, Chandigarh from 20.07.2026 to 01.08.2026

Sr.no	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	CS CJ/616/2016	Suit for declaration of WILL dated 08-09-2015 in favor of the plaintiff in respect of DU No. 5171/3, MHC, Manimajra and mandatory injunction to the respondent no. 5 to transfer the said house.	MANDEEP MAND VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Evidence	20-07-2026
2	CS CJ/1379/2021	The applicant filed an application under order 39 Rule 1 and 2 for restraining the defendant from selling, alienating, mortgaging the property i.e. DU No. 5019, MIG, Ground Floor, Sector-38(West), CHD and for interfering in peaceful possession of the property	RAJ KUMAR SALUJA VS PARDEEP SALUJA	Defence evidence	20-07-2026
3	CS CJ/1926/2022	Rajan Joshi vs CHB CS/1926/2022 Du No 5264-A, Sector 38-West, Chandigarh.	RAJAN JOSHI VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Consideration	20-07-2026
4	CS CJ/126/2023	CSCJ/126/2023, Ashok Kumar Marwaha Vs CHB Du No 514, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh	ASHOK KUMAR MARWAHA VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Consideration	20-07-2026
5	CS CJ/1279/2023	CSCJ/1279/2023, Gireesh Kapoor Vs General Public, DU No 3702, HIG Lower, Sector 46-C, Chandigarh	GIREESH KAPOOR VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Reply And Consideration	20-07-2026
6	CS CJ/1683/2025	Suit for restraining the respondents from taking illegal and coercive action on Flat No. 2769, Sector 47-C and directing the respondents to withdraw the notice dated 25-07-2025.	HARJEET BEDI VS State of UT Chandigarh	Reply/Consideration	20-07-2026
7	EXE/343/2025	Execution has been filed to enforce the award dated award dated 25-02-2025 for the work of construction of 324 1BR Flats, Sector 63, UT, Chandigarh.	M/S BL MEHTA PRIJECTS PVT. LTD. VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	20-07-2026
8	CS CJ/1937/2025	Suit for declaration that the plaintiffs are the class 1 legal heirs of late Sh. Ranjodh Singh and for mandatory injunction for issuance of directions to Def. No. 2 & 3 to transfer 100% share of House No. 393, Sector 41-A, UT, Chandigarh.	GURMEET KAUR VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Reply And Consideration	20-07-2026
9	CS CJ/2599/2025	Only summon received.	MANOJ KUMAR VS THE CHAIRMAN	Pending	20-07-2026
10	MISC DJ/164/2026	Challenging the Eviction order dated 21-02-2025 passed due to non-payment in respect of Small Flat No. 6632-B, Sector 56 (Palsora), UT, Chandigarh.	DEEPAK VS SECRETARY	Reply And Consideration	20-07-2026

11	CS CJ/517/2026	Suit for declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is the sole owner of DU No. 385/2, Sector 41-A on the basis of REGISTERED WILL dated 04-10-2017 executed by Smt. Ravinder Kaur, and directing respondent no. 4 i.e. CHB to transfer the DU No. 385/2, Sector 41-A in the name of the plaintiff.	RAMESH KUMAR VS DURGA SINGH	Appearance	20-07-2026
12	CS CJ/760/2026	Permanent Injunction restraining the defendants from dispossessing the plaintiffs or interfering peaceful possession of Flat No. 2475/3, Maloya, Allotted under ARHCs.	AMIT VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Pending	20-07-2026
13	CS CJ/761/2026	Challenging the Cancellation order dated 09-02-2026 issued due to non-payment in respect of Flat No. 2475/3, Maloya, allotted under ARHCs.	AMIT VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Pending	20-07-2026
14	CS CJ/762/2026	Challenging the cancellation order dated 05-02-2026 passed due to non-payment in respect of Flat No. 2569-1, Maloya, allotted under ARHCs.	OM BIR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Pending	20-07-2026
15	CS CJ/763/2026	Plaintiff filed the suit restraining the defendants from dispossessing the plaintiffs or interfering peaceful possession of Flat No. 2569/1, Maloya, Allotted under ARHCs.	OM BIR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Pending	20-07-2026
16	ARB/126/2026	Challenging the Arbitration award dated 14-02-2026.	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD VS M	Pending	20-07-2026
17	ARB/127/2026	Challenging the Arbitration award dated 14-02-2026.	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD VS M	Pending	20-07-2026
18	ARB/128/2026	Challenging the Arbitration award dated 14-02-2026.	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD VS M	Pending	20-07-2026
19	ARB/129/2026	Challenging the Arbitration award dated 14-02-2026.	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD VS M	Pending	20-07-2026
20	CS CJ/1350/2017	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for cancellation of allotment in respect of # 908/40-A, Chandigarh # 928/40-A, Chandigarh due to filing false affidavit..	RAJ KUMAR CHIBBER VS USHA PRASHAR	Defence/Rebuttal Evidence/Arguments	21-07-2026
21	CS CJ/3002/2018	Suit for specific performance of Agreement to Sell dated 12-03-2003 in respect of DU No. 3081, Sector 44-D, UT, Chandigarh.	VIJENDER KUMAR NIRMAL VS NANAK SINGH	Reply And Consideration	21-07-2026
22	CS CJ/518/2022	CSCJ 518 2022 titled as Veena Kohli vs Amit Kumar Anand House No 3332 Sector 46 C Chandigarh	VEENA KOHLI VS AMIT KUMAR ANAND	Civil Evidence	21-07-2026
23	CS CJ/244/2022	Civil Suit No CSCJ 244 2022 Rajesh Dubey Versus Chandigarh Housing Board Another DU No 2635 Rehabilitation Colony Mauli Jagran	RAJESH DUBEY VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	21-07-2026

24	MCA DJ/95/2023	Challenging the eviction order dated 18/07/2023 in respect of H.No. 5680, Manimajra, UT, Chandigarh.	JASBIR KAUR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	21-07-2026
25	CS CJ/1844/2023	The Petitioner has filed the Suit for issuing necessary direction to the defendant no 1 to realloot/transfer the Dwelling Unit No 3171/1 of MIG F category in the Sector 41d Chandigarh on the basis of Sub GPA executed in favor of the plaintiff which got registered in the office of Sub/Registrar Chandigarh at Serial no 810 Book No 4 Dated 08/05/20 OR Any other relief this court may deem fit and proper in the interest of justice And also filed an Application under Section 809 CPC for grant of leave to file the present suit without serving notice under section 80 2 CPC upon the defendant no 1	PARAMJIT KAUR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Evidence	21-07-2026
26	CS CJ/1552/2024	CSCJ/1552/2024 Gaurav Sharma vs Naveen Sharma DU No 3230/3 Sector 44/D ChandigarH The Plaintiff has filed SUIT for declaration to the effect that plaintiff alongwith Performa defendant no 4 are joint owner in possession of 1/3 share in Dwelling Unit no 3230/3 Sector 44/D Chandigarh being the legal heirs of Meenu Sharma D/o Chander Mohan Sharma original allottee and further declaration that transfer of the dwelling unit in favour of defendant no 1 and 2 in 1/2 share is illegal null void having no effect over the rights of the plaintiff and same is liable to set aside along with consequential relief permanent injunction restraining the defendant 1 and 2 from alienating the above said property by way of sale gift and mortgage etc and not the oust the plaintiff from the joint possession of the above said land In the alternative suit for joint possession of the share of the plaintiff in the suit property And filed an Application u/o 39 rule 1 and 2 read with section 151 CPC for issuing the temporary injunction during the pendency of the suit	GAURAV SHARMA VS NAVEEN SHARMA	Order	21-07-2026
27	CS CJ/1974/2024	Suit declaration that plaintiff no. 1 is owner of DU No. 3104, Dhanas, Chd. and cancellaton of sale deed dted 03-07-2019.	MINAL VS RITA DEVI	Arguments.	21-07-2026
28	CA/78/2025	The appellant filed the appeal to set aside the decree dated 13-03-2025 passed by the District Court, UT, Chandigarh in CS/3121/2018 in respect of DU No. 2198-D, Sector 63, UT, Chandigarh.	VEDWATI VS LAKHBIR KAUR	Notice And Record	21-07-2026
29	MCA DJ/133/2025	Setting aside the eviction order dated 21-07-2025 passed due to building violation in repsect of DU No. 1738/2, Sector 43-B, UT, Chandigarh.	GOVIND KAUR VS THE SECRETARY CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Arguments	21-07-2026

30	MCA DJ/162/2025	Appeal against Eviction order dated 08-05-2025 in respect of the Small Flat No. 6453-A, Sector 56, UT, Chandigarh cancelled due to non-payment.	MAYA VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Arguments	21-07-2026
31	CS CJ/2125/2025	Suit for specific performance of Agreement to sell dated 29-01-2013, for direction to Respondent No. 01 to transfer the H.No. 2382-A, Sector 63 in favor of plaintiff and restraining the defendants from transferring, alienating, gifting any share in the property in favor of any other person except the plaintiff.	ASHOK KUMAR PASSI VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	21-07-2026
32	MISC DJ/105/2026	Setting aside the Eviction order dated 31-03-2021 issued due to non-payment in respect of Small Flat No. 2599-A, Ram Darbar, UT, Chandigarh.	VINOD KUMAR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Notice And Record	21-07-2026
33	CS CJ/6/2019	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding recovery of amou nyt of Rs.61,00,000/- in lieu of DU No. 398/2, Sector 44-A, Chandigarh.	MUKESH MITTAL VS DINESH KUMAR	Civil Evidence	22-07-2026
34	CA/75/2020	Appeal against judgement dated 20-01-2020 passed by Smt. Saloni Gupta, CJJD, Chandigarh in CS/1421/2016 whereby dismiss the suit of the plaintiff regarding transfer of 1/5th share of DU No. 4782-B, Sector 38(West), Chandigarh.	VIDYA SAGAR VS ANGELINA GILL	Arguments	22-07-2026
35	CS CJ/508/2022	CSCJ/508/ 2022 titled as Col M.K. Khorana (Retd) vs Kulbhushan Aggarwal. Regarding House no 5120-2, CAI-I MHC, Sector 13, Manimajra	COL M.K. KHORANA (RETD) VS KULBHUSHAN AGGARWAL	Reply And Consideration	22-07-2026
36	CS CJ/2115/2022	CSCJ/2115/2022 Labh Singh vs Soni DU No 3125 Housing Board Colony Dhanas, Chandigarh	LABH SINGH VS SONI	Reply And Consideration	22-07-2026
37	CS CJ/659/2023	CSCJ/659/2023, Kedari Vs Chandigarh Housing Board,DU No 3733, Mauli Jagran, Chandigarh	KEDARI VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	22-07-2026
38	EXE/278/2023	EXE/278/2023 Angelina Gill Vs Vidya Sagar, House No 729, Sector 20, Panchkula, CHB Property no 4782-B, Sector 38-W	ANGELINA GILL VS VIDYA SAGAR	Awaiting Further Order	22-07-2026
39	MCA DJ/14/2024	MCADJ/14/2024 Anand Kumar Vs Advisor to the Administrator HNo 4662 2 Sector 38 W Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the Appeal under Section 54 of the Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 As extended to the Union Territory of Chandigarh against the eviction order dated 11/1/2024 passed by Respondent No 3 And Further praying that during the pendency of the appeal the operation of the impugned order dated 11/01/2024 may kindly be stayed Or Any other relief which this Hon ble Court may deem fit in the facts and circumstances of the case And filed an Application for staying the operation of the impugned eviction order dated 11/01/2024 during the pendency of the accompanying appeal	ANAND KUMAR VS ADVISER TO THE ADMINISTRATOR	Consideration	22-07-2026

40	CS CJ/402/2024	CSCJ/402/2024 Abdul Shaheed Usmani Vs The Secretary H.No 431 Sector 45A Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the Suit for Declaration that Late Akhtari Begum i e Mother of the Plaintiff has gifted entire house no 431 Sector 45 A Chandigarh in favour of the Plaintiff and the Plaintiff has become the owner of the said house on the basis of gift, which was further forwarded by Late Akhtari Begum for its registration in the office of the defendant No1 vide Application bearing diary no 2429 dated 14/12/2006 Or Civil Suit for declaration that the Plaintiff has become owner of entire House no 431 Sector 45A Chandigarh on the basis of the registered will dated 12 th May 2006 duly executed by Late Akhtari Begum in favour of the Plaintiff And Civil Suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant No 1 to transfer the ownership/mutation of House no 431 Sector 45A Chandigarh in favour of the plaintiff	ABDUL SHAHEED USMANI VS THE SECRETARY	Appearance	22-07-2026
41	CS CJ/867/2024	CSCJ/867/2024 Harpal Singh Vs Jaswant Kaur Dwelling unit no2057/C Category 3BR Sector 63 Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the SUIIT for Declaration on behalf of plaintiffs that Will dated 15/09/2020 executed by Late Sh Harminder Singh in respect of his 1/3 rd share in DU No 2057/C Category 3BR Sector 63 Chandgiarh is legal valid and genuine document by virtue of which both the plaintiffs had inherited 1/3 rd share held by him and became co/owners in possession of the above said dwelling unit to the exclusion of other legal heirs of Late Sh Harminder Singh as mentioned in Will dated 5/09/2020 on the basis or oral as well as documentary evidence under order 7 Rule 1 of CPC AND Suit for permanent injunction restraining defendants No 1 to3 from selling alienate mortgaging or to change the nature of property in respect to 1/3 rd share held by late Sh Harminder Singh in dwelling unit no 2057/C Category 3BR Sector 63 Chandigarh in any manner to any third person as they have no right title or interest with regard to the property in question till the decision took place between the parties AND Suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant no 4 to transfer 1/3 rd share in dwelling unit No 2057/c Category 3BR Sector 63 Chandigarh into the name of plaintiffs on the basis of Will dated 15/09/2020 and as per rules and byelaws framed by the Chandigarh Housing Board at the costs and expenditure of the plaintiffs as their request for transfer had been declined without any reason and both of them have acquired 1/3 rd share held by Late Sh Harminder Singh after his death by virtue of his last Will dated	HARPAL SINGH VS JASWANT KAUR	Evidence	22-07-2026

		15/09/2020 so executed by him during his lifetime And filed an Application on behalf of plaintiffs under order 39 rule 1 and 2 of CPC restraining defendants No 1 to 3 from selling alienating, mortgaging or to change the nature of property in respect to 1/3 rd share held by Late Sh Harminder Singh in DU No 2057/C Category 3BR Sector 63 Chandigarh in any manner to any third person a they have no right title or interest with regard to the property in question till the decision took place between the parties			
42	CS CJ/899/2024	CSCJ/899/2024 titled as Tajinder Pal Singh vs Ghan Sham lal & Others House no 3304 Ground Floor Sector 45/D Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed an Application under order 39 rule 1 and 2 read with Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure 1908 for Grant of Temporary Injunction Restraining the Defendants No 1 and her agents Representative Successors Servants Legal heirs etC from disposing off the suit property in question by way of sale mortgage renting out etc and from dispossessing the plaintiffs from the suit property ie Dwelling unit no 3304 Ground floor Sector 45/d Chandigarh till the pendency of the suit/plaint And Interim Stay may also be granted in favor of the plaintiffs till the disposal of the present application And Filed an Suit for specific performance of the Agreement to Sell dated 10/09/2004 executed by defendant no 1 SH Ghan Sham Lal now deceased Whereby he entered into agreement to sell, in respect of Dwelling unit No. 3304 LIG Flat Ground Floor Sector 45/D Chandigarh in favor of Sh Manjit Singh son of Gulab Singh resident of HNo 3025 Sector 27d Chandigarh which included their heirs assignees executors successors legal representatives and administrations to the extent of 100 share with a total consideration price of Rs 350000 as full and final settlement to the said agreement to sell dated 10/09/2004 which was duly paid by Sh Manjit Singh against the receipt dated 10/04/1991 and Sh Ghan Sham Lal also executed a registered General Power of Attorney dated 10/09/2004 registered at serial number 4559 Book no 4 volume No 267 pm 10/09/2004 and a registered Will dated 10/09/2004 registered at serial no 2777 Book no 3 volume no 274 on 10/09/2004 and an affidavit dated 10/09/2004 acknowledging the execution of aforementioned document And file an Suit for declaration to the effect that the plaintiffs are owner in possession in property ie Dwelling unit no 3304 LIG Flat Ground Floor Sector 45/d is liable to be transferred lease hold right of property in favor of plaintiffs	TAJINDER PAL SINGH VS GHAN SHAM LAL	Written Statement/Reply/Repli cation/ Considration	22-07-2026

		on the basis of an agreement to sell a registered will a registered GPA and an affidavit executed by Sh Ghan Sham Lal in favour of Sh Manjit Singh Which included plaintiffs being his sons /heirs assigness executors Successors legal representatives and administrators along with other supporting documents on account of the intestate demise of Sh Manjit Sngh And Suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant no 2 to transfer the lease hold rights of the said dwelling unit no 3304 LIG FlaT Ground Floor Sector 45/d in favour of plaintiffs on the basis ofdocuments mentioned above And Suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendant no 1 legal heirs of late Sh Ghan Sham Lal their associates Legal representatives agents successors etc from alienating and mortgaging the suit property and further restraining them from interfering into peaceful possession of plaintiffs over the suit property and from forcibly dispossessing the plaintiffs from the premises in question till the final disposal of the present suit And also directing the defendant no. 2 Chandigarh Housing Board to issue NOC and further transferring the lease hold right of property in question in favour of plaintiffs on the basis of the aforementioned Agreement to sell registered will registered GPA an affidavit and other supporting documents executing by late Sh Ghan Sham Lal in favour of Sh Manjit Singh which included plaintiffs being his son shei			
43	CS CJ/1647/2024	CSCJ/1647/2024 Kiranjit Kaur vs The Secretary CHB Others HNo 358 HIG Ground Floor Sector 44 A Chandigarh AND Suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendant No 4 from entertaining any sale deed transfer deed mortgage deed in respect of HNo 358 Sector 44A HIG Ground Floor Chandigarh AND Suit for mandatory injunction directing defendant No 1 to transfer the property ie HNo 358 Sector 44A HIG Ground Floor Chandigarh in the name of the plaintiff defendant No 2 and defendant No 3 in equal shares by holding The Will dated 21/03/1997 alleged to have been executed by Late Sh Jagdev Singh Gill to have been executed in suspicious circumstances and being a forged and fabricated document AND Suit for declaration that the transfer on the basis of alleged Will dated 21/03/1997 in favor of Smt Harbhajan Kaur be declared null and void on account of the alleged will dated 1/03/1997 being forged and fabricated and the transfer having been affected in favor of Late sh Harbhajan Kaur without following proper procedure without issuing any notice to the plaintiff and	KIRANJIT KAUR VS THE SECRETARY CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply Consideration And	22-07-2026

		without giving the plaintiff any right to file objection to said will and proposed transfer on the basis of alleged Will AND Suit for declaration that the consequent transfer on the basis of family transfer alleged to have been executed by smt. Harbhajan Kaur in favor of defendant No 2 is non-consequential since Harbhajan Kaur was not the owner of 100 share in respect of HNo 358 Sector 44A HIG Ground Floor Chandigarh and further smt Harbhajan Kaur did not possess the mental and physical health on account of her having been suffering from various old age related problems including paralysis for the past more than 0 years from the date of her death AND Any other relief in this Hon ble court deems fit and proper may be granted in favour of the plaintiff and against the defendant And also filed an Application U/s 80 2 seeking exemption from sending advance notice to the defendants no 1 2 And an application under order 39 rule 1 2 restraining the defendants from selling alienating mortgaging or creating any third party rights in respect of the property ie residential HNo 358 Sector 44A HIG Ground Floor			
44	MCA DJ/5/2025	To set aside the eviction order dated 12-12-2024 in respect of DU No. 2765/2, 47-C, UT, Chandigarh.	RADHA THAKUR VS CHAIRMAN	Reply And Consideration	22-07-2026
45	MISC DJ/106/2025	For grant of stay of operation of the impugned eviction order dated 16-07-2024 in respect of Small Flat No. 3283/1, Dhanas, UT, Chandigarh.	ALTAF AHMED VS ADVISER TO THE ADMINISTRATOR	Arguments	22-07-2026
46	CS CJ/325/2025	Suit filed for declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is the owner of the House No. 1252, Indira Colony, Manimajra, UT, Chandigarh and mandaory injunction directing to the defendant no. 02 to transfer the same in his name.	SADHU RAM VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Evidence	22-07-2026
47	MCA DJ/32/2025	Appeal against eviction order dated 18-02-2025 in respect of Small Flat No. 2528-C, Phase-2, Ram Darbar, UT, Chandigarh.	AYODHYA PRASAD VS SECRETARY CUM COMPETENT AUTHORITY	Arguments	22-07-2026
48	MCA DJ/42/2025	Appeal against the eviction order dated 24-02-2025 in respect of Small Flat No. 6532-C, Sector 56, UT, Chandigarh.	OM PARKASH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Arguments	22-07-2026
49	CS CJ/659/2025	Suit for declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is in owner in possession of Flat No. 2630/1, Sector 47, Chandigarh, on the basis of WILL dated 16-04-2015 executed by the mother of the plaintiff vide which the said flat was bequeathed in favour of the plaintiff.	TEJVIR SINGH DUA VS AJAY PAL SINGH	Written Statement/Reply/Repli cation/ Considrations	22-07-2026

50	CS CJ/850/2025	Suit for specific performance of the Agreement to Sell dated 10-12-1987 in respect of DU No. 3441, Sector 40-D.	MOHIT GOEL VS THE SECRETARY CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Notice And Record	22-07-2026
51	MCA DJ/112/2025	Against eviction order dated 12-06-2025 passed in respect of Small Flat No. 4919-3, Sector 38-W, UT, Chandigarh, due to non-payment.	ASHOK KUMAR VS SECRETARY-CUM-COMPETENT AUTHORITY	Arguments	22-07-2026
52	PROB/25/2025	Grant of probate of WILL dated 24-04-2000 executed by mother of petitioner late Ms. Harjinder Kaur in favor of the petitioner in respect of DU No. 5103-A, Sector 38-W, UT, Chandigarh.	XX VS XX	Civil Evidence	22-07-2026
53	CS CJ/389/2026	Challenging the Cancellation order, eviction notice and all consequential eviction proceedings in respect of Small Flat No. 2686/3, Sector 49, UT, Chandigarh.	VINOD KUMAR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	22-07-2026
54	CS CJ/990/2026	Suit for mandatory injunction, directing defendant no. 02 to enter name of plaintiff as co-owner of H.No. 2121, Sector 45-C, UT, Chandigarh and partition of the said house as each share as 50% etc.	BHAG CHAND VS PREM CHAND	Pending	22-07-2026
55	CS CJ/827/2015	Regarding allotment of flat (PDL matter)	VASDEV SINGH VS INDERDEV SINGH	Arguments.	23-07-2026
56	CS CJ/205/2017	Mandator Injunction to transfer the DU No.460, Sector 41-A, UT, Chandigarh.	KANWAR ASHWANI KUMAR VS THE CHAIRMAN CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Evidence	23-07-2026
57	CS CJ/2304/2019	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff restraining transfer of 1/3rd share in respect of DU No. 661/1, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh.	ARUNA PARASHAR VS PARVEEN KUMAR SANDAL	Evidence	23-07-2026
58	CS CJ/827/2019	Civil Suit has been filed by the plaintiff for declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is the owner of DU No. 2206, Sector 45-C, Chandigarh and further praying for temporary injunction against resumption order dated 17.10.2017 passed by EO-cum-Secretary, CHB as the allotted not removed the violations and appeal dismissed on 18.3.2019.	TARMINDER SINGH CHAUDHARY VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Evidence	23-07-2026
59	CS CJ/170/2022	Suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendants their agents, representatives, etc. with regard to asking of additional amount of Rs. 4,92,268/- in respect of Booth No. 9, Sector-46, Chandigarh, as on 17.08.2021 from the plaintiff.	JASPAL SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	23-07-2026

60	CS CJ/275/2022	Civil Suit No CSCJ 275 2022 titled as Kiran vs Nachatro Devi Flat No 2002 Ground Floor Small Flats Colony Maloya	KIRAN VS NACHATRO DEVI	Evidence	23-07-2026
61	CS CJ/1047/2023	CSCJ/1047/2023 Tejinder Singh Vs Abdul Shaheed Usmani. Du No 431 MIG, Sector 45-A, Chandigarh	TEJINDER SINGH VS ABDUL SHAHEED USMANI	Appearance	23-07-2026
62	CA/144/2024	CA/144/2024 Kamaljeet Kang vs General Public The Secretary Chandigarh Housing Board HNo 2619/2 Sector 49 Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed an Application for grant of Interim Stay During the pendency of the present appeal and also filed an APPEAL under section 96 of CPC against the order of the Court Shri Sachin Yadav Additional Civil Judge UT Chandigarh Dated 10/07/2024 granting letter of Administration and probate in favour of respondents Photocopy of Judgment and Decree is attached as Annexure A/1 Certified copy of the judgment and decree is attached as annexure A/1 Herein after referred to as impugned order CLAIM in appeal for setting aside the impugned order dated 10/07/2024 granting letter of administration and probate to the respondents	KAMALJEET KANG VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Reply And Consideration	23-07-2026
63	MISC DJ/799/2024	Setting aside the cancellation order dated 22-10-2024 passed due to non payment in respect of Small Flat No. 2567, RamDarbar, Chd.	PRABHU TANTRI VS THE SECRETARY CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	23-07-2026
64	CS CJ/2206/2024	Suit for declaration to WILL dated 30-06-1998 in respect of DU No. 447/1, Sector 44-A, UT, Chandigarh.	MOHINDER KAUR VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Notice And Record	23-07-2026
65	CS CJ/2223/2025	Conversion Charges and demand notice issue (DU No. 5728-B, Sector 38-W, UT, Chandigarh).	VIVIK K SOOD VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply/Consideration	23-07-2026
66	CS CJ/1771/2025	Suit for declaration that plaintiff be declared as owner of 100% share of House No. 687, Phase II, Ram Darbar, Chandigarh, restraining the defendants from interfering into the peaceful possession of said house and mandatory injunction directing the defendant no. 4 to transfer the ownership of the house in question in the name of plaintiff.	RAHISH AHMED ALIAS RAHISH AHMAD VS KAMAR JAHAN	Pending	23-07-2026
67	MISC DJ/300/2026	The appellant challenged the decree dated 14-03-2023, where the Hon'ble Dismissed the CS/107/2020 against the appellant. That suit was filed by the Appellant for declaration to the effect that she is the Class II legal heir and the DU No. 2195/1, Sector 40-C, UT, Chandigarh may be transferred in her name.	PREM LATA VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Pending	23-07-2026

68	CS CJ/136/2026	Suit for directing def. no. 1 (CHB) to issue duplication/original documents to the plaintiffs, declare plaintiffs are owners being legal heirs, issue transfer letter in favor of plaintiffs, etc, in respect of DU No. 1309, Mauli Jagran, UT, Chandigarh.	VIJAY VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Notice And Record	23-07-2026
69	CS CJ/736/2018	Civil Suit has been filed by the plaintiff regarding transfer of DU No. 3194/46-C, Chandigarh on fraud documents.	RAJWANT KAUR VS SUKHDEEP SINGH	Rebuttal/Arguments	24-07-2026
70	CS CJ/1075/2019	To restore DU No. 230, Sector 55, Chandigarh consonant with order dated 29.9.2006 whereby it was decided to restore the DU of the person who have given false stayment.	PREM KUMAR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Defence evidence	24-07-2026
71	C.S./2212/2019	Civil suit filed by the plaintiff restraining to block common passage/kuchha rasta by raising constn. Of boundary wall in village Maloya, UT, Chandigarh.	RAJESH KUMAR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Arguments.	24-07-2026
72	CS CJ/345/2020	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff regarding cancellation of allotment of demised premises of DU No. 2229/1, Sector 45-C, Chandigarh even SCN was issued.	LAKHVIR KAUR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Arguments	24-07-2026
73	CS CJ/874/2021	Suit for Possession (symbolic) by way of Specific Performance of Agreement to Sell (Contract) date 20.06.1986, with direction to defendant no. 1 to execute and get registered sale deed in respect of House No. 3708, HIG (Lower), Sector-46-C, Chandigarh.	SANDEEP SINGH BHASIN VS MAJOR M.L. MEHTA	Reply And Consideration	24-07-2026
74	CIVIL MISC/91/2023	CM for restoration of CS/225/2021 (DU No. 350, Sector 56, UT, Chandigarh).	NARINDER KUMAR VS CHAIRMAN CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Arguments.	24-07-2026
75	CIVIL MISC/135/2023	The matter is regarding providing basic amenities to the houses of plaintiffs in village Maloya. The Nodal officer in this case is CHB as the matter relates to CHB. The requisite affidavit has been submitted by DC/UT Chd. in the said case after taking inputs from all concerned deptts	RAJESH KUMAR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Evidence	24-07-2026
76	CS CJ/1421/2023	CSCJ/1421/2023 Mamta Vs The Secretary CHB, DU No 2818/1, Sector 47-C, Chandigarh	MAMTA VS THE SECRETARY	Reply And Consideration	24-07-2026
77	MISC DJ/591/2023	MISC DJ/591/2023 Satish Kumar Wadhawa Vs General Public Du No 511-2, Sector 45-A, Chandigarh he Plaintiff has filed the Application under section 5 of Limitation Act for condonation of delay of 60 days.	SATISH KUMAR WADHAWA VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Consideration.	24-07-2026
78	CS CJ/445/2024	CSCJ/445/2024 Manjit Singh Vs Kuldeep Kaur and Anr. HNo 295 Sector 51/A Chandigarh THE Plaintiff has filed the SUIT for declaration to the effect that the Plaintiff is the actual owner in possession of House No 295 Sector 51A	MANJIT SINGH VS KULDEEP KAUR	Reply And Consideration	24-07-2026

		Chandigarh having purchased the same from his own funds and defendant No 1 is its Benami owner only, having no legal right to deal with the same in any manner and is not competent to create third party right over the same by way of sale mortgage gift etc and for permanent injunction restraining defendant no 1 from alienating disposing the said house in any manner ie by way of sale mortgage gift etc and further restraining from creating any charge upon the said house in any manner AND For Mandatory injunction for directing defendant no 2 to transfer the House No295 Sector 51A Chandigarh in its record in the name of the plaintiff on the basis of oral and documentary evidence And also filed APPLICATION under order 39 Rules 1 2 read with section 151 of CPC for grant of Ad-interim mandatory injunction			
79	CA/108/2024	CA/108/2024 Kamrus Bai vs The Secretary of Chandigarh Housing Board HNo 72/A Dhanas Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed an Application for stay of the order dated 11/07/2024 passed by the Secretary of Chandigarh Housing Board under the Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 Chandigarh Housing Board And Filed an Appeal against the impugned eviction order dated 10/07/2024 dispatched on 11/07/2024 passed by Secretary, Chandigarh housing Board vide which DU No 72/A Dhanas Chandigarh belonging to appellant has been cancelled and eviction of the appellant has been ordered in most illegal and arbitrary manner	KAMRUS BAI VS SECRETARY OF CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Arguments	24-07-2026
80	CS CJ/1362/2024	CSCJ/1362/2024 Rajinder Kaur vs General Public HNo 3258/1 Sector 44D Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed an Suit for Declaration to the effect that as the plaintiffs and defendant no 3 are the owners of HNo 3258/1 Sector 44d Chandigarh to the extent of 1/4 th share each on the basis of agreement special power of Attorney General Power of Attorney and Will dated 09/05/1985 AND Suit for Partition of HNo 3258/1 Sector 44d Chandigarh between the plaintiffs and defendants to the extent of 1/4 th share each by metes and bounds and in case the partition is not possible the property be sold by the parties amongst the coowners first and in case no coowner is ready to purchase the property then the property may be sold in the open market by way of auction And Suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant no 3 to pay the mesne profit to be assessed by this Honble court from the date of present suit till the actual realization And Suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant no 2 to	RAJINDER KAUR VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Reply Consideration And	24-07-2026

		transfer the suit property in the name of plaintiffs and defendant no 3 to the extent of 1/4 th share each and to make necessary changes in the register of ownership and records maintained by them And Suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendants no 3 their agents nominees attorneys heirs relatives employees etc from selling alienating transferring any portion of the suit property to any person or creating charge or encumbrance over the suit property and from dispossessing the plaintiff and interfering in peaceful possession of the suit property			
81	MCA DJ/104/2025	Against eviction order dated 23-05-2025 in respect of Small Flat No. 2623/3, Sector 49, UT, Chandigarh, passed due to non-payment.	RAJ KUMARI VS SECRETARY- CUM-COMPETENT AUTHORITY	Reply Consideration And	24-07-2026
82	MCA DJ/146/2025	Appeal against eviction order dated 28-07-2025 issued due to non-payment in respect of Small Flat No. 6777-B, Sector 56, UT, Chandigarh.	SONU VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply Consideration And	24-07-2026
83	CS CJ/2150/2025	Challenging the transfer letter dated 02-04-2025 issued by CHB in favor of defendant no. 01 i.e. Harpreet Chopra in respect of DU No. 588, Sector 41-A, UT, Chandigarh and re-transfer the DU in the name of the plaintiff.	POONUM SHARMA VS HARPREET CHOPRA	Reply Consideration And	24-07-2026
84	MISC DJ/102/2026	The appellant filed the appeal for setting aside the eviction order dated 14-10-2024 issued in respect of Small Flat No. 6551, Sector 56, Palsora, UT, Chandigarh, due to non payment.	KAMLESH DEVI VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply Consideration And	24-07-2026
85	CIVIL MISC/58/2026	Restoration of CS No. 374 of 2024 (DU No. 5066/2, MHC, Manimajra)	JASPREET SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply Consideration And	24-07-2026
86	MISC DJ/180/2026	Appeal has been filed by the appellant, Challenging the Eviction order dated 06-05-2025 passed due to non-payment in respect of Small Flat No. 4894/3, Sector 38-W, UT, Chandigarh.	SONI KUMAR VS CHAIRMAN	Notice And Record	24-07-2026
87	CS CJ/281/2026	Suit for declaration that the plaintiff is the owner in possession on the basis of Registered WILL dated 24-09-1992 and mandator injunction directing the CHB to transfer the DU No. 3410, Sector 45-D, in the name of the plaintiff on the basis of said WILL.	MADAN PAL GAUTAM VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Notice And Record	24-07-2026
88	CS CJ/1149/2026	Suit for declaration that plaintiff is the lawful owner of Flat No. 903/1, Sector 40-A, UT, Chandigarh having valid title through the Gift deed.	MANJIT SINGH VS THE CHAIRMAN CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD		24-07-2026

89	CS CJ/914/2019	Civil Suit No CSCJ 914 2019 titled as Kamlesh Kamla vs Veermati 5455 Maloya	KAMLESH@ KAMLA VS VEERMATI	Evidence	27-07-2026
90	C.S./463/2020	In this matter plaintiff has filed Suit for declaration to declare the plaintiff is entitle for tenement site or flat in lieu of his jhuggi no.F99, Kamal Colony, Palsora, Chandigarh. AND Suit for mandatory injunction to direct the defendant no.1 and 2 to issue allotment / possession letter after allotment of tenement site/flat in the name of the plaintiff.	DHARAMBIR VS ESTATE OFFICE	Reply And Consideration	27-07-2026
91	CS CJ/1801/2021	Suit for specific performance of the agreement to sell dated 01.05.2006 entered into between Defendant No. 4 and Chote Lal Soni being the husband of plaintiff no.1 and father of plantif no. 2 to 4 wherein H. No. 275, Sector-44-A, Chandigarh was sold to Shhote Lal Soni at total sale consideration money of Rs. 1,50,000/-. The said amount was paid and full final receipt dated 01.05.2006.	PADMA SONI VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Civil Evidence	27-07-2026
92	CS/515/2021	DU No. 3675, Sector 46-C, UT, Chandigarh.	Dilawar Singh VS Asha Deep Kaur	Reply	27-07-2026
93	CS CJ/751/2022	CSCJ/751/2022 - BHUPINDER SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD (5103, SECTOR 38(W) CHANDIGARH	BHUPINDER SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	27-07-2026
94	EXE/37/2023	Execution of decree dated 12-12-2022 in CA No. 186 of 2017 in respect of House No. 4578, Maloya Colony, UT, Chandigarh.	RAJESHWAR VS MOHINDER SINGH	Reply And Consideration	27-07-2026
95	CS CJ/4318/2023	DU No. 5775/A, Sector 38(W), UT, Chandigarh.	SACHIN CHAUDHARY VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Rebuttal/Arguments	27-07-2026
96	CS CJ/545/2023	CSCJ/545/2023 Sushma Bajaj vs Pushpa Kakkar@Susheela Kakkar DU No 2280/1, FF, Sector 45-C, Chandigarh	SUSHMA BAJAJ VS PUSHPA KAKKAR @ SUSHEELA KAKKAR	Reply And Consideration	27-07-2026
97	CS CJ/232/2024	CSCJ/232/2024 Rajeshwar Kumar Vs General Public H No 4426 EWS Maloya Colony Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the SUIT for declaration to the effect that the Plaintiffs are the legal heirs of late Sh Dharam Pal alias Dharam Chand son of Sh Chhadi Lal alias Chhedi Lal to the extent of 50 share each of House no 4426 EWS Maloya Colony Chandigarh And Suit for mandatory injunction directing the defendant No 2 to transfer the 50 share each of House no 4426 EWS Maloya colony Chandigarh in favour of the plaintiffs and directing the defendant No 2 not to transfer the house in the name of any persons except the plaintiffs And also filed an Application under section 67 of Chandigarh Housing	RAJESHWAR KUMAR VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Evidence	27-07-2026

		Board Act 1971 As amended read with section 80 of CPC for leave to institute the suit without service of notice over the defendant no 2			
98	CS CJ/566/2024	CSCJ/566/2024 Phula Devi Vs Union of India Jhuggi No 452 LBS Colony Sector 56 Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the SUI for Permanent Injunction restraining the defendants and their officials from allotment of built up house dwelling unit constructed for allotment under rehabilitation EWS Scheme lying vacant in Maloya Coloy and Dhanas Chandigarh to any person without the allotment of house/flat to the plaintiffs And Suit for Mandatory injunction directing the defendants to allot the Dwelling Unit to the plaintiffs under the Chandigarh Small Flat Scheme 2006 as Suit for declaration to the effect that the plaintiffs are entitled for allotment of Dwelling Unit under the Chandigarh Small Flat Scheme 2006 as the plaintiff were living in slum area and are entitle for Dwelling Unit on the basis of Form No 17671 issued by defendant no 3 for allotment of flat as per Scheme of 2006 Possession by way of ejectment of the defendants No 1 to 3 from the premises (Jhuggi No. 452, LBS Colony Sector 56)	PHULA DEVI VS UNION BANK OF INDIA	Reply Consideration And	27-07-2026
99	CS CJ/783/2024	CSCJ/783/2024 Sonia Parmar Vs Ajay Kumar H No3261/1 Sector 45/D Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the SUI for DECLARATION to the effect that Will dated 21/07/2014 allegedly executed by late Baldev Singh Son of late Sh Onkar Chand, (entered at Serial No 625 Book no 3 Volume No 312 Page No 189 on 21/07/2014 in the office of Sub Registrar UT Chandigarh is a forged fabricated and fictitious document with consequential relief that consequent transfer if any has taken place in favour of defendant No 1 in respect of suit properties ie booth No 2 Sadar Bazar Sector 19 Chandigarh and House No 3261/1 Sector 45/D Chandigarh in the records of defendant No 2 or defendant No3 respectively on the basis of above forged fabricated and fictitious Will dated 21/07/2014 are invalid and noest And Suit for permanent injunction restraining defendant no 1 from creating any third party interest in any manner in respect of suit properties ie Booth No2 Sadar Bazar Sector 19 Chandigarh and House no 3261/1 Sector 45d Chandigarh on the basis of above forged fabricated and fictitious Will dated 21/07/2014 And Suit for permanent injunction restraining defendant No 2 and 3 from changing record of rights in respect of suit properties ie Booth No2 Sadar Bazar Sector 19 Chandigarh and House No 3261/1 Sector 45D Chandigarh in favour of defendant no1 on the basis of forged	SONIA PARMAR VS AJAY KUMAR	Reply Consideration And	27-07-2026

		and fabricated Will dated 21/07/2014 allegedly executed by late Baldev Singh entered at Serial No 625 Book No 3 Volume No 312 Page No 189 on 21/07/2014 in the office of Sub Registrar UT Chandigarh if transfer in favour of defendant no 1 has not yet taken place And Suit for Declaration to the effect that plaintiff no1 plaintiff no2 and defendant No1 are equally entitled to succeed to 100 share of late Sh Baldev Singh son of Onkar Chand in suit properties ie Booth No2 Sadar Bazar Sector19 Chandigarh nd House No 3261/1 Sector 45D Chandigarh on account of intestate demise of late Sh Baldev Singh son of Onjar chand with consequential relied of Decree of Mandatory injunction directing defendant No2 and defendant No3 to enter and record equal entitlement of plaintiff no 1 plaintiff no 2 with defendant no 1 to the extent of 1/3 rd share each in respect of 100 share of late Sh Baldev Singh son of Onkar Chand in suit properties ie Booth No 2 Sadar Bazar Sector 19 Chandigarh and House No 3261/1 Sector 45/D Chandigarh in their records of rights with further consequential relief for partition and separate possession of suit properties ie Booth No 2 Sadar Bazar Sector 19 Chandigarh and House No 3261/1 Sector 45D Chandigarh by meets and bounds in favour of plaintiffs and defendant No 1 as per their share and in case partition is not feasible or permissible the suit properties i.e. Booth No2 Sadar Bazar Sector 19 Chandigarh and House No 3261/1 Sector 45D Chandigarh may be put to open auction and sale proceeds may be distributed amongst plaintiffs and defendant No 1 as per their sharesAnd Suit for recovery of damages/mense profit 60,000 per month wef 01/04/2024 to till dated of partition of suit properties or its sale in open auction on account of user/occupation of suit properties by defendant No1 Earlier the case fixed before the Hon ble District Court on 18/05/2024 and memo of appearance was given by Advocate Sh Harpreet Singh Sudan on behalf of Chandigarh Housing Board			
100	CS CJ/2349/2025	Appeal filed against the cancellation order dated 22-08-2025 passed due to non-payment in respect of DU No. 2594/3, Maloya, allotted under ARHCs.	SANTOSH KUMAR SHUKLA VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD		27-07-2026
101	CS CJ/2351/2025	Appeal filed against the cancellation order dated 22-08-2025 passed due to non-payment in respect of DU No. 2137/1, Maloya, allotted under ARHCs.	SANGEETA VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD		27-07-2026

102	CS CJ/1320/2025	Restraining the defendant from selling, alienating and mortgagin the DU No. 3752, Sector 46, UT, Chandigarh and suit for specific performance of Agreement To Sell dated 15-09-1985.	GHANPREET SINGH VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Evidence	27-07-2026
103	CS CJ/1614/2025	Suit for declaration that the plaintiff is the absolute/lawful owner in possession of the suit property i.e. DU No. 237/1, Sector 45-A, UT, Chandigarh and directing the defendants to transfer/register/mutate the said property in the name of plaintiff.	MOHINDER SINGH BAJAJ VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Evidence	27-07-2026
104	CS CJ/1854/2025	Seeking permanent injunction directing the respondents to not create any 3rd party rights in the Small Flat No. 2608/3, Sector 49, UT, Chandigarh and restraining the defendants from alienating the household items.	ARVIND VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	27-07-2026
105	MISC DJ/254/2026	Challenging the Eviction order dated 06-05-2025 issued due to non-payment in respect of Small Flat No. 4874/3, Sector 38-W, UT, Chandigarh.	MALA DEVI VS THE SECRETARY	Reply And Consideration	27-07-2026
106	CS CJ/109/2019	Civil Suit filed by the Plaintiff seeking direction to Def. No. 1 to demolish illegal Constn. of DU No. 3770, Ambedkar Awas Yojna, Sector 56, Chandigarh and further directing Def. No. 2 (CHB) for necessary action in respect of Govt. land encroached by Defendant No. 1.	MALTI DEVI VS AHMAD ALI	Defence evidence	28-07-2026
107	CS CJ/1066/2020	The application under section 80(2) CPC for grant of exemption for sending the advancing legal notice to defendants. The applicant prayed the present suit of the plaintiff be allowed and the decree of declaration be passed in favour of the plaintiff to entitle and to allot one booth in the Rehri Market, Sector 20-D, Chandigarh on the basis of this hand cart license No.45, Site No.179, Sector 20-D, Chandigarh.	OM PARKASH VS UNION OF INDIA	Evidence	28-07-2026
108	CS CJ/1697/2022	CSCJ-1697-2022, Kusum Kuthiala vs CHB, DU NO 273-C, Third Floor, Sector 51-A	KUSUM KUTHIALA VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	28-07-2026
109	CS CJ/2082/2023	CSCJ/2082/2023 Jaspal Kaur VS General Public H No 2177/1 Sector 45 C Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed the Suit for declaration to the effect that plaintiffs be declare owner in possession of House No 2177/1 Sector 45C Chandigarh as they are having the peaceful possession of house in question since last 33 years in view of the sale-purchase documents such as registered GPA registered SPA Agreement to sell registered Will and Affidavit of dated 24 04 1997 which was executed by the allottee/transferee namely Kamaljit Singh son of Late Sh Kesar Singh in favor of the plaintiff Suit for mandatory injunction	JASPAL KAUR VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Evidence	28-07-2026

		directing the defendant no2 to transfer the ownership right of the House No 2177/1 Sector 45c Chandigarh in favor of the plaintiff in view of the purchase documents such as registered GPA SPA registered Will Agreement to sell and affidavit and all dues installment and taxes of the house in question was cleared by plaintiff with the office of the defendant no 2 And Suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendants from interfering in peaceful possession of plaintiff and restrain the defendants from transferring the ownership rights of the House No 2177/1 Sector 45C Chandigarh to anybody else except plaintiff during the pendency of present suit And any other relief this Hon ble court may deem fit			
110	MCA DJ/81/2024	Setting aside cancellation/eviction order dated 21-11-2024 in respect of DU No. 2230, Sector 45-C, UT, Chandigarh.	DES RAJ VS CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICE	Arguments	28-07-2026
111	MISC DJ/866/2024	Appeal filed for setting aside the Eviction order dated 07-08-2024 in respect of Small Flat No. 2619/2, Sector 49, UT, Chandigarh and necessary directions to re-allot the same.	PANCHAM LAL VS THE SECRETARY	Arguments	28-07-2026
112	PROB/27/2024	The applicant filed the probate case for probation of WILL dated 13-01-2011, executed by Smt. Swaran Kaur in favor of the applicant, in respect of DU No. 4780-C, EWS, Sector 38-W, UT, Chandigarh.	GURDEV SINGH VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Civil Evidence	28-07-2026
113	MCA DJ/45/2025	Appeal against the eviction order dated 05-03-2025 against H.No. 1566, KP-II, Ram Darbar, UT, Chandigarh.	SABHAJIT VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Arguments	28-07-2026
114	MCA DJ/89/2025	DU No. 2207/1, Sector 45-C, UT, Chandigarh.	KAMAL KISHORE SHARMA VS THE CHAIRMAN	Arguments	28-07-2026
115	CS CJ/816/2025	DU No. 1122/2, Sector 40-B, UT, Chandigarh (Suit for mandatory injunction that plaintiff is the absolute owner of the property in question).	SAT PAL VS RAJ KUMARI	Reply And Consideration	28-07-2026
116	CS CJ/1788/2025	Suit for passing a decree of declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is the owner in possession of DU No 358, Sector 41, UT, Chandigarh on the basis of GPA/SPA/Sub-GPA/Sale Documents etc.	RAJINDER SINGH VS SUKHDEV RAM	Notice And Record	28-07-2026
117	CS CJ/2191/2025	Suit has been filed by the plaintiff for permanent injunction as well as Mandatory injunction restraining the defendants to transferring the plaintiff to any other place from his present place of posting i.e. Chandigarh Housing Board.	MOHIT VS MS BRIGHTWAYS	Evidence	28-07-2026
118	CS CJ/2411/2025	Transfer the DU No. 565/2, Sector 40-A, UT, Chandigarh in the name of plaintiff on the basis of intestate demise.	BALBIR SINGH VS THE SECRETARY CHANDIGARH	Reply And Consideration	28-07-2026

119	PROB/4/2026	Probation of WILL dated 08-11-1983 in respect of DU No. 2155/2, Sector 45-C, UT, Chandigarh.	RANI SHARMA VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Notice And Record	28-07-2026
120	ARB/123/2026	Challenging the Arbitration award dated 07-02-2026 passed by the Sole Arbitrator Justice Mahesh Grover (Retired) in the matter ARB No. 215 of 2020 titled as Girdhari Lal Construction vs. CHB, for the work of construction of 2816 Small Flats at Dhanas, UT, Chandigarh.	CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD VS M	Pending	28-07-2026
121	CS CJ/1795/2021	Suit for Declaration to the effect that the plaintiffs are owners to the extent of 1/5th share each of House No. 3215, Sector-45/D, Chandigarh being Class 1 leal heirs entitled to inherit the property of deceased Ram Singh S/o Matoo Ram R/o H.No. 3215, Sector-45-D, UT, Chandigarh with consequential relief of permanent injunction relief of permanent injunction restraining the defendant no.1 and 2 and their agents to dispossess the plaintiffs from the demised premises illegally and forcibly, selling, mortgaging, transferring, exchanging, encumbering and changing the nature of the suit property in any manner more than their share and specific part thereof to any person, creating any type of hindrance and restraining to disconnect the electricity and water connection of the premises.	MANJEET KAUR VS BALJIT SINGH	Reply Consideration And	29-07-2026
122	CS CJ/1356/2021	Suit for declaration to the effect that plaintiff be declare owner in possession of house No. 4573, Maloya Colony, UT, Chandigarh on the basis of GPA, Agreement to sell, affidavits and Wills dated 20.08.1990, which was executed by the original allottee in favvour of the plaintiff.	GOONA DEVI @ SARASWATI VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Evidence	29-07-2026
123	CS CJ/411/2024	CSCJ/411/2024 Sushil Kumar VS Chandigarh Housing Board DU NO 2194 Sector 40/C Chandigarh PUC is a summons to appear in the subject cited court case received in this office on 20/03/2024 with the copy of petition which is fixed before Hon ble District Court Chandigarh on 22/03/2024 copy attached may kindly be perused please The Plaintiff has filed the Suit for Permanent Injunction restraining the defendant No 1 from transferring Dwelling Unit No 2194 Sector 40/C Chandigarh in favour of defendant No 2 And Pass such other and further order s as may be deemed fit and proper on the facts and in the circumstances of this case	SUSHIL KUMAR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Arguments.	29-07-2026
124	MCA DJ/45/2024	MCA DJ/45/2024 Vikram vs Chandigarh Housing Board HNO 6547/B Sector 56 small flats Chandigarh The Plaintiff has filed an APPEAL under section 54 1 of the Haryana Housing Bord, Act 1971 As extended to Chandigarh against the	VIKRAM VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Arguments	29-07-2026

		orders dated 27/06/2024 passed by the secretary Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh under Section 51 Sub/section 1 of the Haryana Housing Board Act Excercising the Powers of copetent authority ordering the Eviction of the appellant from small flat no 6547/B Sector 56 Chandigarh			
125	CA/119/2024	CA/112/2024 titled as Sushma Vs The Secretary Chandigarh Housing Board HNo 4901 Ground floor sector 38W ChandigarH The Plaintiff has filed an Application under Section 54(2) of the Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 as extended to Chandigarh read with Section 151 of CPC for staying the impugned eviction order 17/07/2024 where by the respondent directed to vacate the small flat No 4901 Ground floor sector 38 W Chandigarh which was cancelled on 17/05/2023	SUSHMA VS THE SECRETARY	Arguments	29-07-2026
126	CS CJ/1601/2025	Suit for specific performance of sale agreement dated 14-10-2014 in respect of DU No. 2785-A, LIG, Sector 49, UT, Chandigarh.	MANDEEP SINGH VS UNION OF INDIA	Reply And Consideration	29-07-2026
127	CS CJ/1853/2025	Suit for declaring the plaintiffs are joint lawful allottees of Small Flat No. 2608/3, Sector 49, UT, Chandigarh and further declare the eviction order and notice null, void and illegal.	ARVIND VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	29-07-2026
128	CS CJ/852/2026	Suit for declaring the plaintiffs for the ownership of House No. 2455, Sector 40-C, UT, Chandigarh, on the basis of GPA, SPA and other documents executed by defendant no. 02 in favor of late Sh. Suresh Kumar, elder brother of the plaintiff who left a WILL dated 14-09-2013 in favor of plaintiff, further suit for mandatory injunction restraining the defendant no. 02 to execute any document or the transfer of the property in question and further mandatory injunction restraining respondent no. 5 not to transfer the said house to any other person except the plaintiff.	SUBHASH KUMAR VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Reply And Consideration	29-07-2026
129	CS CJ/1762/2024	Seeking permanent injunction restraining the defendant from dispossessing the plaintiff from DU No. 1630, Dhanas, UT, Chandigarh and also to pass an order to stay the cancellation order (Building Violation).	ROOP KAUR VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Evidence	30-07-2026
130	MCA DJ/101/2025	Against eviction order dated 07-05-2025 in respect of Small Flat No. 430, Sector 56, Palsora, UT, Chandigarh, passed due to Building Violation.	INDER SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	30-07-2026
131	MCA DJ/148/2025	Appeal against eviction order dated 30-06-2025 in respect of Site No. 1608, Sector 38-W, Daddumajra, UT, Chandigarh.	BALWANT SINGH (DECEASED) VS THE CHAIRMAN	Arguments	30-07-2026

132	CA/14/2026	Filed the appeal for setting aside the decree dated 15-12-2025 passed in CS/2585/2018 AND Seeking allotment of Small Flat under the Small Flat Scheme 2006 in lieu of Jhuggi No. 300, Pandit Colony, Kajheri, Sector 52, UT, Chandigarh	MOHAMED SAHANI VS CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION	Arguments	30-07-2026
133	CS CJ/575/2026	Suit for Specific Performance of Agreement to Sell dated 12-12-2007 in respect of DU No. 3331/2, Sector 40-D, UT, Chandigarh.	LAKSHMI VS BHARAT BHUSHAN SHARMA	Written Statement/Reply/Repli cation/ Considration	30-07-2026
134	MISC DJ/319/2026	Challenging the Eviction notice dated 01-05-2023 and Eviction order dated 30-05-2023 in respect of Small Flat No. 6514, Sector 56, UT, Chandigarh.	DHARAMPAL VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	30-07-2026
135	MCA DJ/77/2026	Challenging the eviction, cancellation notice/order due to non payment in respect of Flat No. 2415/1, ARHCs, Maloya.	HARISH KUMAR VS SECREATRY CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Arguments	30-07-2026
136	CS CJ/49/2023	Pintu Vs Sunita Devi, CSCJ/49/2023, Du No 107-A, Dhanas, Chandigarh	PINTU VS SUNITA DEVI	Reply And Consideration	31-07-2026
137	MCA DJ/31/2025	Against the Eviction order dated 18-02-2025 passed against the Small Flat No. 2619-B, Ram Darbar, UT, Chandigarh	MURSLEEM AHMED VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Arguments	31-07-2026
138	CS CJ/556/2025	Suit for permanent injunction the defendants and their agents from illegally dispossessing the plaintiff from the flat no. 4716/1, Sector 38(W), UT, Chandigarh.	SHYAM BABU VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	31-07-2026
139	MCA DJ/123/2025	Appeal against Eviction Order dated 19-06-2025 in respect of DU No. 4645, Maloya, UT, Chandigarh.	TIRATH RAM VS SECRETARY CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Arguments	31-07-2026
140	MCA DJ/144/2025	Against Eviction order dated 14-08-2025 passed due to non-payment in respect of Small Flat No. 4690-3, Sector 38-W, UT, Chandigarh.	RAKESH VS SECRETARY- CUM-COMPETENT AUTHORITY	Appearance	31-07-2026
141	MCA DJ/161/2025	Appeal has been filed by the appellant to stay and set aside the eviction order dated 11-09-2025 in respect of Small Flat No. 4876, Sector 38-W, UT, Chandigarh.	VEERAN DEVI VS CHAIRMAN CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Notice And Record	31-07-2026
142	MCA DJ/16/2026	Appeal for stay and set aside the Eviction Order dated 21-01-2026 passed due to alienation in respect of Small Flat No. 4697/3, Sector 38-W, UT, Chandigarh.	RAGHAV RAO VS THE SECRETARY ESTATES CUM FINACE SECRETARY	Reply And Consideration	31-07-2026

143	MISC DJ/61/2026	Application for de-seal the Small Flat No. 4955/1, Sector 38-W, UT, Chandigarh, cancelled due to alienation and for setting aside the eviction order dated 26-06-2023.	CHHATIS VS THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER	Reply And Consideration	31-07-2026
144	CS CJ/398/2026	Challenging cancellation order dated 05-02-2026 issued due to non-payment in respect of DU No. 2576, Maloya, allotted under ARHCs.	RAM AGYA VS UNION OF INDIA	Written Statement/Reply/Repli cation/ Considration	31-07-2026
145	CS CJ/308/2026	Appeal filed against the cancellation order dated 02-02-2026 passed due to non-payment in respect of DU No. 2621/1, Maloya, allotted under ARHCs.	HARI LAL VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	31-07-2026
146	CS CJ/1049/2026	Seeking permanent injunction restraining the defendants to interfere in the peaceful possession of Small Flat No. 21, Dhanas, UT, Chandigarh.	RAM PRAKASH VS SANTOSH	Pending	31-07-2026
147	CS CJ/935/2026	Suit for declaration that the plaintiff is the exclusive owner in possession of DU No. 2231/2, 45-C, UT, Chandigarh.	BAL KRISHAN SHARMA VS GENERAL PUBLIC	Pending	31-07-2026
148	CS CJ/555/2016	Civil Suit filed by the plaintiff for mandatory injunction directing CHB not to transfer 1/3rd share out of full in respect of DU No. 986, Sector 41-A, Chandigarh.	SURINDER VERMA VS RAJINDER VERMA	Awaiting Further Order	01-08-2026
149	MCA DJ/67/2026	Challenging the Eviction notice/order dated 08-05-2026 passed due to non-payment in respect of Flat No. 2401/1, Maloya, allotted under ARHCs.	RADHA VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD	Reply And Consideration	01-08-2026

Advance List of Court Cases pending before the Hon'ble Chief Secretary, UT, Chandigarh from 20.07.2026 to 01.08.2026

Sno	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Responde nt	Status	Hearing dat e
1	APPLICATION/09/20 25	The Plaintiff has filed the Application under Section 80 sub section 2 CPC for the exemption of serving the advance notice to the defendant no. 3 i.e. The Estate Officer of Estate Office Sector-17, U.T. Chandigarh. The Plaintiff has also filed the Application Under Section 22 c of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987 for the settlement of dispute detailed below and in the event of the parties failure to the effect of conciliation for making an award on merits.	Shoban Singh VS Chandigarh Housing Board and another (DU NO. 3998, Mauli Jagran, U.T. Chandigarh	Reply filed	23 Jul 2026
2	APPLICATION/05/20 26	The Plaintiff has filed the appeal under section 54 Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 for staying the order for cancellation of the H.No. 692 Sector 56 U.T. Chandigarh of letter dated 15.05.2025 vide no. CHB EO- DY-EOI 2025 dated 16.05.2025.	Usha VS CHB and others (DU No. 692, Sector 56, UT, Chandigarh)	Reply filed	29 Jul 2026

3	APPLICATION/06/2026	The Plaintiff has filed the appeal under section 54 Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 for staying the order for cancellation of the H.No. 5781 Sector 56 U.T. Chandigarh of letter dated 15.05.2025 vide no CHB EO-DY-EOI-2025-2106974	Khursida VS CHB and others (DU No 5781 Sector 56 UT Chandigarh)	Reply is yet to be filed	29 Jul 2026
---	---------------------	---	---	--------------------------	-------------

Advance List of Court Cases pending before the Permanent Lok Adalat (PUS), UT, Chandigarh from 20.07.2026 to 01.08.2026

Sno	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	REVISION/ ----- /2024	The Plaintiff has filed the Revision Petition under Section 72-B of Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 as extended to UT Chandigarh against the order dated 27-03-2019 passed by the Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh in respect of House No 3937 Sector 56 Palsora Colony Chandigarh and The Plaintiff has filed the Revision petition under Section 72-B of the Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 as extended to Chandigarh against the orders dated 23-08-2017 passed by the Chief Executive Officer Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh cancelling the allotment of House No 3937 Sector 56 Palsora Chandigarh on account of building violations and against the orders dated 14-11-2018 passed by the Chairman Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh and against the order dated 27-03-2019 passed by the Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh dismissing the appeal preferred by the Petitioner	Rikhi Ram son of Roshan Lal VS Chief Executive Officer, Chandigarh Housing Board and others	Reply is yet to be filed	23 Jul 2026
2	REVISION//2024	The Plaintiff has filed the Revision petition under Section 72-B of the Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 against the order dated 09-08-2019 passed by the Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh in respect of Dwelling Unit No 3283/1 Dhanas Chandigarh and The Plaintiff has filed the Application Under Section 151 CPC for grant of stay of operation of impugned order dated 09-08-2019 passed by the Chandigarh Housing Board order dated 22-01-2019 passed by the Chairman Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh and order dated 11-10-2017 passed by the Chief Executive Officer Chandigarh Housing Board respectively and further The Plaintiff has filed the Revision petition under Section 72-B of the Haryana Housing Board Act 1971 against the order dated 09-08-2019 passed by the Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh vide which he had wrongly dismissed the appeal filed by the petitioner against the order dated 22-01-2019 passed by the Chairman Chandigarh vide which appeal filed by the petitioner was wrongly dismissed filed against the order dated 11-10-2017 passed by the Chief Executive Officer Chandigarh Housing Board vide which he has wrongly cancelled the dwelling unit No 3283/1 Dhanas Chandigarh on the ground of building violations	Sh. Atlaf Ahmed through Sh. Ashok Kumar s/o of Sh. Krishan Lal VS Chandigarh Housing Board and others (DU No. 3283/1, Dhanas, Chandigarh)	Reply is yet to be filed	23 Jul 2026

3	REVISION/ ////2023	The Plaintiff has filed the Revision Petition under Section 17 e of the Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006 against the order dated 14-08-2023 passed by the Appellate Authority UT Chandigarh in respect of Small Flat No 6509-C Sector - 56 Chandigarh	Smt. Phoolwati VS The Secretary Estates U.T. Chandigarh and another (Flat No. 6509-C, Sector-56, Chandigarh).	Reply filed	30 Jul 2026
---	-----------------------	---	---	-------------	-------------

Advance List of Court Cases pending before the Hon’ble Secretary Estates, UT, Chandigarh from 20.07.2026 to 01.08.2026

Sno	Case No.	Brief Subject Matter / Prayer	Petitioner And Respondent	Status	Hearing date
1	APPEAL NO./0030/2020	Appeal under para 17A of the Chandigarh small flat scheme 2006 against the order dated 21.01.2008 passed by the Secretary CHB Chandigarh cancelling the allotment of DU no. 6610 B Sector 56 UT Chandigarh on account of non payment.	Vijay Kumar son of Raj Kumar and Manju daughter Pardesi VS The secretary CHB Chandigarh exercising the powers of competent authority under Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006	Pending	23 Jul 2026
2	APPEAL NO./0031/2020	Appeal under section 17 A of the Chandigarh small flat scheme 2006 against the order dated 19.11.2019 passed by the secretary CHB Chandigarh exercising the powers of competent authority, under the small flat scheme 2006 cancelling	Late Badal son of Ram swaroop through his legal heir Roopa wife of Monu son of Badal VS The secretary Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh exercising the power of competent authority under the small flat scheme 2006	Pending	23 Jul 2026
3	APPEAL NO./31/2020	Appeal against cancellation order dated 19-11-2019 in respect of Small Flat No. 4694-3, Sector 38-W, UT, Chandigarh, issued to non-payment.	Roopa VS Secretary, CHB	Pending	23 Jul 2026
4	APPEAL NO./0049/2023	The appellant has filed appeal under section 17A of Chandigarh small flat scheme 2006 against the cancellation or eviction order dated 17.05.2023 and passed by respondent under afore mentioned scheme in respect of flat no 2696 2 sector 49 Chandigarh on account of violation.	Pushpa wife of laxman VS Secretary CHB Chandigarh exercising the power of competent authority under Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 and others	Pending	23 Jul 2026
5	APPEAL NO./0024/2024	The applicant has filed appeal under section 17 of Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 against the order dated 19.08.2024 passed by the respondent no. 1 received by the appellant on 27.08.2024 vide which the allotment of the flat no. 6519 C sector 56 UT Chandigarh of the appellant has been cancelled under	Vinod kumar s VS o koke ram and neeta d	Pending	23 Jul 2026

		provision 16 a 1 of the Chandigarh small flats due to the non payment of monthly license fee.			
6	APPEAL NO./0005/2024	The applicant has filed application for staying the proceedings of the cancellation order dated 19.07.2024 passed by the respondent. And also filed an appeal against cancellation order no. CHB AO C 2024 10343 dated 19.07.2024 of small flat no 6622 A sector 56 Chandigarh passed by respondent. And filed claim of appeal to set aside the cancellation order no. CHB AO C 2024 10343 dated 19.07.2024 of small flat no 6624 A sector 56 Chandigarh passed by respondent by accepting and allowing the appeal with costs throughout. The order has been passed due to the non payment of installments.	Tara wati w VS o late rakesh	Pending	23 Jul 2026
7	APPEAL NO./27/2024	Appeal against cancellation order dated 12-08-2024 in respect of Small Flat No. 106-B, Dhanas, UT, Chandigarh, issued to non-payment.	Ram Subhag VS Secretary, CHB	Pending	23 Jul 2026
8	APPEAL NO./74/2025	The appellant has filed appeal against cancellation order dated 16.12.2024 under Chandigarh in H no 4943 3 small flats sector 38 west Chandigarh due to non payment.	Sunita wife of late Janesar VS Secretary cum competent authority Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh.	Pending	23 Jul 2026
9	APPEAL NO./72/2025	The appellant has filed appeal against cancellation order in H no 4949 3 sector 38 west Chandigarh due to non passed due to non payment	Rakesh son of Tota Ram VS The secretary Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh	Pending	23 Jul 2026
10	APPEAL NO./73/2025	The appellant has filed appeal against cancellation order dated 16.12.2024 under Chandigarh small flats sector 38 west Chandigarh	Budhi Man Tiwari VS The secretary Housing Board Chandigarh	Pending	23 Jul 2026
11	APPEAL NO./253/2025	The appellant has filed appeal against the cancellation order passed by the respondent under Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 in H. No. 2511 small flats Ramdarbar Chandigarh due to non payment of license fee.	Mitlesh wife of Sushil Kumar VS The secretary Chandigarh housing Board exercising the powers of competent authority under the Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006	Pending	23 Jul 2026
12	APPEAL NO./282/2025	The appellant has filed appeal against the cancellation order passed by the respondent under Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 in H. No. 1186 Dhanas Chandigarh due to non payment of license fee.	Kiran wife of Om Parkash VS The secretary Chandigarh housing Board exercising the powers of competent authority under the Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006	Pending	23 Jul 2026
13	APPEAL NO./283/2025	The appellant has filed appeal against the cancellation order passed by the respondent under Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 in H. No. 4879 3 sector 38 west Chandigarh due to non payment of license fee.	Sompla and Balesh VS The secretary Chandigarh housing Board exercising the powers of competent authority under the Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006	Pending	23 Jul 2026

14	APPEAL NO./138/2025	The appellant has filed appeal under rule 17 of the Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 against the impugned order dated 12.12.2024 passed by the secretary cum competent authority vide which the allotment of flat on license basis described as flat no 2552 C Ram Darbar Chandigarh has been cancelled on ground of non payment of the amount outstanding on account of license fee	Krishan Pal through his legal heir Sh Ankush and Shimla wife of Krishan Pal VS Chandigarh housing Board	Pending	23 Jul 2026
15	APPEAL NO./03/2026	The appellant has filed appeal against the cancellation order passed by the respondent under Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 in H. No. 74 Dhanas Chandigarh on account of non payment of license fee.	Jai Shree and Shakuntla VS Secretary CHB Chandigarh exercising the power of competent authority under Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006	Pending	23 Jul 2026
16	APPEAL NO./04/2026	The appellant has filed appeal against the cancellation order passed by the respondent under Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 in H. No. 6593 sector 56 Chandigarh due to non payment of license fee.	Vikas and Monika VS The secretary Chandigarh housing Board exercising the powers of competent authority under the Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006	Pending	23 Jul 2026
17	APPEAL NO./0202/2019	The applicant has filed appeal under section 17 A of the Chandigarh small flat scheme 2006 against the order dated 05.03.2008 passed by the Secretary-cum-competent authority under chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 CHB Chandigarh cancelling the allotment of house no 6611 C sector 56 chandigarh on account of building violations.	Prabhav son of late raju and saraswati daughter of late Chandgi VS Secretary-cum-competent authority under chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 CHB Chandigarh	Pending	30 Jul 2026
18	APPEAL NO./0012/2020	correct appal no. is 246/2025 Small flat no 2633 A Ram Darbar Chandigarh The applicant has filed appeal against the order dated 24.01.2020 passed by the secretary CHB Chandigarh exercising the powers of competent authority under Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 in respect of small flat no 2633 A Ram Darbar Chandigarh.	Anil Kumar s VS o hans Raj	Pending	30 Jul 2026
19	APPEAL NO./0035/2024	The applicant has filed application for staying the order for cancellation of H No 6526 B small flat sector 56 UT Chandigarh of letter dated 04.10.2024 vide no CHB AO C 2024 14257 and filed appeal for not cancellation of H No 6526 B small flat sector 56 UT Chandigarh of letter dated 04.10.2024 vide no CHB AO C 2024 14257A CHB Chandigarh (due to non payment)	Krishan lal son of mathura dass and sonu daughter of Balwant singh VS Secretary CHB Chandigarh	Pending	30 Jul 2026
20	APPEAL NO./0038/2024	The appellant has filed application for condonation of delay of 47 days in the filing of appeal And filed application for staying the operation of the impugned order dated 19.08.2024 passed by the respondent whereby the allotment of flat has been	Billo wife of Niranjan singh since deceased through her legal heir balbir singh son of niranjan singh VS Secretary CHB Chandigarh	Pending	30 Jul 2026

		cancelled illegally and unlawfully during the pendency of the present appeal AND filed appeal under section 17A of Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 for setting aside order dated 19.08.2024 passed by the respondent and further direct the respondent to reallocate flat no 6516 A sector f56 Palsora Chandigarh which has been rejected due to non payment.	exercising the power of competent authority under Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006		
21	APPEAL NO./0039/2024	The appellant has filed application for condonation of delay of 48 days in the filing of appeal AND filed application for staying the operation of the impugned order dated 19.08.2024 passed by the respondent whereby the allotment of flat has been cancelled illegally and unlawfully during the pendency of the present appeal AND filed appeal under section 17 A of Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 for setting aside order dated 19.08.2024 passed by the respondent and further direct the respondent to reallocate flat no 6505 C small flats sector 56 Chandigarh which has been rejected due to no payment	Rameshwar prasad son of shiv nath now deceased through his legal heirs VS Secretary CHB Chandigarh exercising the power of competent authority under Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006	Pending	30 Jul 2026
22	APPEAL NO./0036/2024	Du no 2640 3 sector 49 Chandigarh Appeal filed against cancellation order passed due to non payment of monthly license fee.	Gajender singh and others VS Secretary CHB Chandigarh exercising the power of competent authority under Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006	Pending	30 Jul 2026
23	APPEAL NO./0010/2025	Appeal against cancellation order no CHB AO C 2024 15082 dated 18.10.2024 of small flat no 2525 2 sector 49 Chandigarh passed by respondent Claim of Appeal to set aside the cancellation order no CHB AO C 2024 15082 dated 18.10.2024 of small flat no 2525 2 sector 49 Chandigarh passed by respondent by accepting and allowing the appeal with costs throughout. And meanwhile stay on eviction orders.	Dinesh kumar son of Ram Karna VS Secretary cum competent authority under the Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 CHB Chandigarh	Pending	30 Jul 2026
24	APPEAL NO./78/2025	The appellant has filed appeal against cancellation order no CHB AO C 2024 18667 dated 12.12.2024 of small flat no 2513 A Ramdarbar Chandigarh passed by respondent Claim of appeal To set aside the cancellation order no CHB AO C 2024 18667 dated 12.12.2024 of small flat no 2513 A Ramdarbar Chandigarh passed by respondent by accepting and allowing the appeal and meanwhile stay on cancellation orders.	Ram Lakhan son of Jagh VS Secretary cum competent authority under the Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 Chandigarh housing Board Chandigarh	Pending	30 Jul 2026

25	APPEAL NO./186/2025	The appellant has filed appeal under section 17A of the Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 against the Cancellation order no CHB AO C 2024 217 dated 03.01.2025 of small flat no 2623 3 49 Chandigarh passed by respondent.	Raj Kumari daughter of Santoshi Singh VS Secretary cum competent authority under the Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh	Pending	30 Jul 2026
26	APPEAL NO./64/2025	Appeal filed against cancellation of DU No-4977 Sector 38-West due to non payment of dues vide order No-CHB-AO-C-2024-249 dated 03-01-2025	Geeta VS The Secretary-cum-Competent Authority	Pending	30 Jul 2026
27	APPEAL NO./37/2025	The appellant has filed appeal against the cancellation order no CHB AO C 2024 15243 dated 22.10.2024 of small flat no 2563 B Ramdarbar Chandigarh passed by respondent due to non payment And has filed claim of appeal to set aside the cancellation order no CHB AO C 2024 15243 dated 22.10.2024 of small flat no 2563 B Ramdarbar Chandigarh passed by respondent by accepting and allowing the appeal with costs throughout. And meanwhile stay on eviction orders.	Mukesh son of Zile Singh VS The secretary cum competent authority under the Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 Chandigarh Housing Board Chandigarh	Pending	30 Jul 2026
28	APPEAL NO./36/2025	The appellant has filed appeal against the cancellation order passed by the respondent under Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 in H. No. 2561 B Ramdarbar Chandigarh due to non payment of license fee.	Ram Parkash son of Shri pal VS Secretary cum competent authority under Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 CHB Chandigarh	Pending	30 Jul 2026
29	APPEAL NO./35/2025	The appellant has filed appeal against the cancellation order passed by the respondent under Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 in H. No. 2589 sector 49 Chandigarh due to non payment of license fee.	Rita Devi wife of Lal Chand VS The secretary Chandigarh housing Board exercising the powers of competent authority under the Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006	Pending	30 Jul 2026
30	APPEAL NO./92/2025	The appellant has filed appeal against the cancellation order passed by the respondent under Chandigarh small flats scheme 2006 in H. No. 2501 B small flats Ramdarbar UT Chandigarh due to non payment of license fee.	Meena and Naresh Chowdhary VS The secretary cum competent authority Chandigarh	Pending	30 Jul 2026